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Abstract

These notes are intended as a more detailed introduction to the article Traces of Singular Moduli.

TRACES OF SINGULAR MODULI à LA ZAGIER

Introduction

“Singular moduli” is the classical name given to the values assumed by the modular invariant $j(\tau)$ at quadratic irrationalities of $\mathbb{H}$, namely, points $a \in \mathbb{H}$ solutions to integer quadratic polynomials with negative discriminant. The natural way to study singular moduli is to work with the set of positive definite binary quadratic forms of discriminant $-d < 0$ defined as

$$Q_d = \{ Q = [a, b, c] = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2 : -d = b^2 - 4ac \}$$

where we recall that positive definiteness means $a > 0$.

Consider now $Q \in Q_d$ and $\alpha_Q \in \mathbb{H}$ to be the unique root of $Q$ lying in the upper half-plane (when we consider the quadratic polynomial $Q(X, 1)$). A first interesting fact is that singular moduli are algebraic integers. Before proving this, we state and prove a bunch of related results.

**Proposition 1.** $j(\tau) = \frac{E_4(\tau)^3}{\Delta(\tau)} \in M_0^!(\Gamma)$.

**Proof.** The pole at infinity follows from the fact that $\Delta$ has a zero of order one there. Furthermore, $j$ transforms under the modular group since $E_4$ and $\Delta$ are in $M_{12}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$. This implies that $j(\alpha_Q) = j(\gamma \alpha_Q) = j(\Gamma \alpha_Q)$.

**Example 1.** Here are some values of singular moduli

$$j(i) = 1728, j\left(\frac{1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) = 0, j(i\sqrt{2}) = 8000$$
Now a result about matrices and representatives that we will need later to study the $m^{th}$ modular polynomial $\Psi_m(X,Y)$. From now on, we will use $\Gamma$ to denote the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

**Proposition 2.**

\[ \mathcal{M}_m^* \triangleq \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}_m = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : ad = m, 0 \leq b < d \} \]

where $\mathcal{M}_m = \{ M \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \det(M) = m \}$ and $\#(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}_m) = \sigma_1(m)$. Also,

\[ \{ j(M\tau) : M \in \mathcal{M}_m \} = \{ j(\frac{a\tau + b}{d}) : ad = m, 0 \leq b < d \} \]

and $\tau$ has complex multiplication (CM) if and only if $j(\tau)$ lies in this set.

**Proof.** Let $W_m = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : ad = m, 0 \leq b < d \}$. If $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in W_m$, let $\Lambda_\xi$ a sublattice of $\Lambda = \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle$ (with $\Im(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}) > 0$) generated by $\omega_1' = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$ and $\omega_2' = d\omega_2$. The map $\xi \rightarrow \Lambda_\xi$ is a bijection of $W_m$ onto the set $\Lambda(m)$ of sublattices of index $m$ in $\Lambda$. First, the fact that $\Lambda_\xi$ belongs to $\Lambda(m)$ follows from the fact that $\det(\xi) = ad = m$. Conversely, let $\Lambda' \in \Lambda(m)$ a lattice of index $m$. Define $Y_1 = \Lambda'/\langle \Lambda' + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 \rangle$ and $Y_2 = \mathbb{Z}\omega_2/(\Lambda' \cap \mathbb{Z}\omega_2)$. These are cyclic groups generated respectively by the images of $w_1$ and $w_2$. Let $a$ and $d$ be the order of each of these groups. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y_2 \xrightarrow{} \Lambda/\Lambda' \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow 0$ shows that $ad = n$. If $w_2' = dw_2$, then $w_2' \in \Lambda'$. On the other hand, there exists $w_1' \in \Lambda'$ such that $w_1' \equiv aw_1[\mathbb{Z}\omega_2]$. It is clear that $w_1'$ and $w_2'$ form a basis of $\Lambda'$. Moreover, we can write $w_1'$ in the form $w_1' = aw_1 + bw_2$ with $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $b$ is uniquely determined modulo $d$. If we impose on $b$ the condition $0 \leq b < d$, this fixes $b$, thus also $w_1'$. Thus we have associated to every $\Lambda' \in \Lambda(m)$ a matrix $\xi(\Lambda') \in W_m$ and we are now left simply by showing that the maps $\xi \rightarrow \Lambda_\xi$ and $\Lambda' \mapsto \xi(\Lambda')$ are inverses. The last claim follows by definition. \hfill \Box

**Example 2.** If $p$ is a prime, the elements of $W_p$ are the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ with $0 \leq b < p$.

**Theorem 1.** $\forall n \geq 1, \exists \Psi_m(X,Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X,Y]$ called the $m^{th}$ modular polynomial. It satisfies

\[ \{ j(M\tau) : M \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}_m \} = \{ \text{roots of } \Psi_m(X,j(\tau)) \} \]

i.e.

\[ \Psi_m(X,j(\tau)) = \prod_{M \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}_m} (X - j(M\tau)) = \prod_{ad = m, 0 \leq b < d} (X - j(a\tau + b/d)) \]

with $\text{deg}(\Psi_m(X,j(\tau))) = \sigma_1(m)$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{M}_m = \{ A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \det(M) = m \}$ and note that $\Gamma$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_m$ by left multiplication with finitely many orbits. This comes from the above proposition where we saw that $\mathcal{M}_m^* = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : ad = m, 0 \leq b < d \}$ represented a full set of representatives for $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}_m$ of size $\sigma_1(m)$.

**Claim 1.** $\prod_{M \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}_m} (X - j(M\tau)) = \Psi_m(X,j(\tau))$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}, X \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Psi_m(X,Y)$ some polynomial with complex coefficients.
Proof. First, note that the left hand side is well defined since \(j(M\tau)\) depends only on the equivalence class of \(M \in \Gamma / \mathcal{M}_m\) by \(\Gamma\)-invariance of \(j\). Also, the left hand side is \(\Gamma\) invariant because \(\mathcal{M}_m\) is invariant under right multiplication by elements of \(\Gamma\). This is seen from the fact that for \(\gamma \in \Gamma\), \(\det(\gamma) = 1\) so \(\det(M\gamma) = \det(M) = m\) which implies \(M\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_m\) and so \(M\gamma \sim \Gamma M' = (a' \ b' \ 0 \ d') \in \mathcal{M}_m^*\). Furthermore, it is a polynomial in \(X\) of degree \(\sigma_1(m)\) whose coefficients are holomorphic functions of \(\tau\) with at most exponential growth at infinity since each \(j(M\tau)\) have this property and each coefficient of the product is a polynomial in \(j(M\tau)\). Furthermore,

Claim 2. Any \(\Gamma\)-invariant holomorphic function in \(\mathbb{H}\) with at most exponential growth at \(\infty\) is a polynomial in \(j(\tau)\).

Proof. Classical result. \(\square\)

Hence, \(\Psi_m(X, j(\tau))\) is indeed a polynomial \(\Psi_m(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]\).

Now we are left with showing that the coefficients are integers. We’ll use the Fourier expansion of \(j(\tau)\) at infinity and our set of representatives \(\mathcal{M}^*_m\). Note that

\[
\Psi_m(X, j(\tau)) = \prod_{M \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M}_m} (X - j(M\tau)) = \prod_{ad = m, d > 0} \prod_{b = 0}^{d-1} (X - j(ad + b/d))
\]

which can be rewritten using the Fourier expansion of \(j\) as

\[
= \prod_{ad = m, d > 0} \prod_{b \in [d]} \left( X - \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} c_n \zeta_d^n q^{an/d} \right)
\]

where as usual \(q^a = e^{2\pi i a/\tau}\) for some \(a \in \mathbb{Q}\) and \(\zeta_d = e^{2\pi i/\tau}\) is a \(d\)-th root of unity. \(\Psi_m\) belongs a priori to the ring of Laurent series in \(q^{1/d}\) with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]\), i.e \(\Psi_m \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d][X](q^{1/d})\). Note that by applying the Galois conjugation \(\zeta_d \mapsto \zeta_d^r\) with \(r \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*\), we replace \(b\) by \(br\) which still runs over \(Z/dZ\). Hence, because we have invariance of the coefficients under Galois automorphisms of \(Q(\zeta_d)\), we must have that the coefficients are integers. Also, note that \(\prod_{b \in [d]} (X - j(ad + b/d))\) is invariant under the translation \(\tau \mapsto \tau + 1\). This implies that any \(q^{a/d}\) with \(d \nmid n\) vanishes (otherwise we would make appear an extra phase \(e^{2\pi in/d}\)). Thus, any product \(\prod_{b \in [d]} (X - j(ad + b/d)) = \prod_{b \in [d]} (X - \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} c_n \zeta_d^n q^{an/d})\) belongs to \(Z[X](q)\) and this gives \(\Psi_m(X, j(\tau)) \in Z[X](q)\). However, recall that \(\Psi_m\) is a polynomial in \(X\) and \(j(\tau)\) and that \(j(\tau)\) has leading coefficient \(1/q\). This gives \(q = j^{-1} - 744j^{-2} - \ldots \in Z(1/j)\) and so \(\Psi_m \in Z[X](q) = Z[X](1/j)\) but \(\Psi_m\) is a polynomial in \(j\) and \(X\) so \(\Psi_m(X, j(\tau)) \in Z[X, j]\) and the first part of the theorem is proven. The second part follows from the previous lemma where we saw that \(|\Gamma / \mathcal{M}_m| = |\mathcal{M}^*_m| = \sigma_1(m)\) which is indeed the degree of our polynomial. \(\square\)

Proposition 3. For \(m\) not a perfect square, the polynomial \(\Psi_m(j(\tau), j(\tau))\) is, up to sign, a monic polynomial of degree \(\sigma_1^+(m) \equiv \sum_{d|n} \max(d, m/d)\).

Proof. We will use the following identity

\[
\prod_{b \in [d]} (X - \zeta_d^b y) = x^d - y^d
\]
This gives us that
\[ \Psi_m(j(\tau), j(\tau)) = \prod_{ad=m, b|d} \prod_{b|d} (j(\tau) - j(a\tau + b/d)) \]
\[ = \prod_{ad=m, b|d} \prod_{b|d} (q^{-1} - \xi_d^{-b} q^{-a/d} + O(1)) = \prod_{ad=m} (q^{-d} - q^{-a} + \text{lower order terms}) \]
and because \( m \) is not a perfect square we have that \( q^{-d} - q^{-a} \neq 0 \) which gives the asymptotic
\[ \sim \pm q^{-\sigma_1(m)} \]
as \( \Im(\tau) \to \infty \). Finally, to see that it is monic in \( j \), simply recall that \( j \sim q^{-1} \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \tau \) be a CM point. Then \( j(\tau) \) is algebraic.

**Proof.** Let \( \tau \) be a CM point and consider the minimal equation \( Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0 \) with \( A > 0 \) for which \( \tau \) is a root. Consider \( M \in \mathcal{M}_m \) such that \( M\tau = \tau \). We can construct such a matrix as follow. If \( M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \), then \( M\tau = \tau \iff cr^2 + (d-a)\tau - b = 0 \) and because \( \tau \) solves (*), we get that \( (c, d-a, b) = u(A, B, C) \) for some \( u \in \mathbb{Z} \). This gives
\[ M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(t-Bu) & -Cu \\ Au & \frac{1}{2}(t+Bu) \end{pmatrix} \]
with \( \det(M) = \frac{l^2-Du^2}{4} = m \) and \( t = \text{Tr}(M) \).

Now to prove the algebraic part, we want to show that \( j(\tau) \) solves a polynomial equation. We will show that it solves \( \Psi_m \). It is possible to find \( l^2 - Du^2 > 0 \) not being a square. This gives us the above matrix \( M \) of determinant \( m \) that is in the isotropy group of \( \tau \), namely, fixes \( \tau \). Thus, evaluating \( \Psi_m(X, j(\tau)) \) at \( j(\tau) \), we get by the previous proposition that it is a monic polynomial of degree \( \sigma_1(m) \) and thus \( \Psi_m(j(\tau), j(\tau)) \neq 0 \) (which was not true a priori). Hence we obtain
\[ \Psi_m(j(\tau), j(\tau)) = \prod_{M \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M}^+_m} (j(\tau) - j(M'\tau)) = 0 \]
since the above matrix \( M \) that fixes \( \tau \) appears in one of the equivalence class \( M' \) in the form \( \gamma M \in M' \) and by \( \Gamma \)-invariance of \( j \) we get \( j(M'\tau) = j(\gamma M\tau) = j(M\tau) = j(\tau) \) and so the product is zero for such an \( M' \).

Finally, we can answer the first fun fact about singular moduli

**Corollary 1.** Singular moduli are algebraic.

**Proof.** Singular moduli are CM points. \( \square \)

The goal of Zagier’s paper is to study the algebraic and arithmetic properties of something we call Trace of Singular Moduli (we will define it in a moment). As a result of its work, he was able to answer a question asked by Borcherd in 1994, who proved a very intriguing result about the product representation of some modular forms. More precisely, he discovered an isomorphism between the space of weakly-holomorphic modular forms on \( \Gamma_0(4) \) satisfying the Kohnen plus-space condition and the space of integer weight meromorphic modular forms on \( \Gamma \) with Heegner divisor (zeros and poles are supported at the cusp at infinity and CM points), integer coefficients and leading coefficient 1. To state this result in a general setting, we need more definitions that we now introduce. From now on, let \( d > 0, d \equiv 0,3[4] \) and consider the corresponding set of quadratic forms \( Q_d \).
Definition 1. We denote \( h(-d) \) the class number of \(-d\) to be the number of equivalence classes of primitive quadratic forms of determinant \(-d\)
\[
h(-d) = \# \left\{ Q = [a,b,c] : \det(Q) = -d, \gcd(a,b,c) = 1 \right\} / \Gamma
\]
and thus the number of singular modulus since there is 1 associated to each orbit. Each of the \( h(-d) \) values of \( j(\alpha_Q) \) is an algebraic integer of degree \( h(-d) \) and these values form a full set of conjugates so that their sum is always an integer.

More precisely, we have

Lemma 2. Let \( \mathcal{H}_d(X) = \prod_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash Q_d}(X - j(\alpha_Q)) \). Then \( \mathcal{H}_d(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \) and is irreducible (hence it is the minimal polynomial of singular moduli). Furthermore, each of the algebraic values \( j(\alpha_Q) \) is of degree \( h(-d) \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with conjugates \( j(\alpha_Q,i) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq h(-d) \).

Here are some class number values
\[
h(-3) = h(-4) = h(-7) = h(-8) = 1, h(-15) = 2
\]
We thus have the values
\[
j\left(\frac{1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) = 0, j\left(\frac{1 + i\sqrt{7}}{2}\right) = -3375
\]
\[
j\left(\frac{1 + i\sqrt{15}}{2}\right) = -\frac{191025 - 85995\sqrt{5}}{2}, j\left(\frac{1 + i\sqrt{15}}{4}\right) = -\frac{191025 + 85995\sqrt{5}}{2}
\]
where we can see the conjugate pair for \( d = 15 \).

Definition 2. We define the Hurwitz-Kronecker class number as
\[
H(d) = \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash Q_d} \frac{1}{w_Q}
\]
where \( w_Q \) is the number of stabilizers of \( \alpha_Q \), also called the isotropy number since its the size of the isotropy subgroup of \( \alpha_Q \) : \( w_Q = |\Gamma_Q| = 2, 3 \) if \( Q \) is \( \Gamma \)-equivalent to \([a,0,a], [a,a,a] \) and \( 1 \) otherwise. For example, \( H(3) = 1/3, H(4) = 1/2, H(15) = 2 \).

With all these tools in hand, here comes Borcherds theorem

Theorem 3. (Borcherds Theorem)
Let \( \xi(\tau) = -\frac{1}{12} + \sum_{1<d\leq15}[d] \) \( H(d)q^d = -\frac{1}{12} + \frac{q}{3} + \ldots \) where \( H(d) \) is the Hurwitz class number to be defined in a moment. For a weight 1/2 weakly holomorphic modular form on \( \Gamma_0(4) \) given by
\[
f(\tau) = \sum_{d \geq 0, d \equiv 0, 1[4]} A(d)q^d
\]
define
\[
\psi(f(\tau)) = q^{-h} \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^d)^{A(d^2)}
\]
where \( h \) is the constant term of \( f(\tau) \xi(\tau) \). Then the map \( \psi \) is an isomorphism between the additive group of weakly holomorphic modular forms on \( \Gamma_0(4) \) with integer coefficients \( A(d) \) satisfying \( A(d) = 0 \) unless \( d \equiv 0, 1[4] \) and the multiplicative group of integer weight modular forms on \( \Gamma \) with Heegner divisor, integer coefficients and leading coefficient 1. Under this isomorphism, the weight of \( \psi(f(\tau)) \) is \( A(0) \) and the multiplicity of the zero of \( \psi(f(\tau)) \) at a Heegner point of discriminant \( D < 0 \) is \( \sum_{d>0} A(Dd^2) \).
This result was proven originally via work on denominator formulas for infinite dimensional Lie algebras and Borcherds was interested in knowing if a proof of this result involving only the theory of modular form was possible. Zagier, with its work on Traces of Singular Moduli gave a proof of a particular case of Borcherds theorem applied to the \( j \)-function... Here we will reconstruct this proof using a very interesting property that Zagier discovered along the road, a property we refer to as the Zagier duality today.

**Example 3.** The Eisenstein series \( E_4(\tau) = 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n)q^n \) has the product expansion

\[
E_4(\tau) = (1 - q)^{-240}(1 - q^2)^{26760}(1 - q^3)^{-4096240} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{\zeta(n)}
\]

From the above isomorphism, we can conclude that there is a form in the Kohnen plus-space \( M_{1/2}^+ \) with these Fourier coefficients.

**Example 4.** Consider \( f = 12f_0 = 12\theta(\tau) = 12 + 24q + 24q^4 + 24q^9 + 24q^{16} + ... \)

It follows that \( \psi(f) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = \Delta. \)

The trace of \( j(\alpha) \) and a strange modular form of weight 3/2

**Definition 3.** The trace of singular moduli of discriminant \(-d\) for the \( j \)-function is the sum

\[
Tr(d) \triangleq \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{Q}_d} \frac{j(\alpha_Q) - 744}{\psi_Q}
\]

**Example 5.**

\( Tr(3) = -248, \ Tr(4) = 492, \ Tr(7) = -4119 \)

One of Zagier’s achievement is that he succeeded in relating this trace function to a weight 3/2 weakly holomorphic form satisfying the Kohnen plus-space condition

\[
g(\tau) \triangleq \theta_1(\tau) \frac{E_4(4\tau)}{\eta(4\tau)^6} = q^{-1} - 2 + 248q^3 - 492q^4 + 4119q^7 + ...
\]

where \( \theta_1 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{n^2}, \ E_4 = 1 + 240 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^3 q^n}{\eta^n} \) and \( \eta = q^{1/24} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n) \) are modular forms of weight 1/2, 4 and 1/2. By looking at the Fourier coefficients of \( g \) and the values \( Tr(d) \), we can see that the two are the same up to a minus sign. In fact, this gives us our first classification result

**Theorem 4.** (First Classification Result)

Write \( g(\tau) = \sum_{d \geq -1} B(d) q^d \). Then

\[
Tr(d) = -B(d), \ \forall \ d > 0
\]

With this result, the task of fully describing the trace of singular moduli of \( j \) is done. In 2008 for its PhD thesis, Paul M. Jenkins provided exact formulas for the trace using Maass-Poincaré series. He also derived nice \( p \)-adic properties of traces, congruences and a criteria for \( p \)-divisibility of class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields in terms of \( p \)-divisibility of traces of singular moduli.
There are two proofs available in the article of Zagier for this result. The first one that we will present now is the longest and probably hardest, it relies on recurrence relations. The second one, consists in proving the Zagier duality first (which is not hard as we’ll see) and then, assuming Borcherds theorem true, to relate the Trace to the coefficients of a weight 1/2 modular form and then to a weight 3/2 modular form by the duality.

Proof. The idea is to first find relations that must satisfy the Fourier coefficients of \( g \) and see if the trace satisfies them too. These relations are recursion and they determine uniquely the function that satisfies them so the above theorem will follow immediately. We will see for example that for \( B(d) \), we have \( B(-1) = 1, B(0) = -2B(-1) \) etc...

To find relations for the Fourier coefficients of \( g \), we proceed as follow. First, note that 

\[
g(\tau)\theta(\tau) = (q^{-1} - 2 + 248q^3 + ...) \times (1 + 2q + 2q^4 + ...) = q^{-1} + 0 + ... \in M_2(\Gamma_0(4))
\]

where any coefficient with index congruent to 2 modulo 4 vanishes and using the Hecke operator \( U_4 \) acting as \( \sum a_nq^n \mapsto \sum a_{4n}q^n \), we get

\[
g(\tau)\theta(\tau)|_{U_4} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(1) = \Gamma)
\]

but this space is of dimension 0 and thus

\[
g(\tau)\theta(\tau)|_{U_4} = 0 \text{ identically}
\]

The above claim follows from

**Lemma 3.** If \( f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(4)) \) with Fourier coefficient \( c_n = 0 \) for \( n \equiv \pm 2[4] \), then \( f|_{U_4} \in M_k(\Gamma) \).

In other words, we have by use of Cauchy product

\[
(\sum_d B_d q^d)(\sum r q^r)|_{U_4} = (\sum_n \sum_r B_{n-r}q^n)|_{U_4} = \sum_n \sum_r B_{4n-r^2}q^n = 0
\]

This implies

\[
\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} B(4n - r^2) = 0
\]

which can be rewritten as the recursion

\[
B(4n - 1) = 240c_3(n) - \sum_{2 \leq r \leq \sqrt{4n+1}} \sum r^2B(4n - r^2)
\]

For the second recursion relation satisfied by \( B(d) \), we recall a particular case of the more general Rankin-Cohen bracket of two smooth functions.

**Definition 4.** If \( f(\tau) \) and \( g(\tau) \) are weakly meromorphic modular forms of weight \( k \) and \( l \) respectively, then their \( v \)-th Rankin–Cohen bracket \( [f, g]_v \) is given by

\[
[f, g]_v = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^v} \sum_{r+s=v} (-1)^r \binom{k + v - 1}{s} \binom{l + v - 1}{r} \frac{d^r f}{d\tau^r} \frac{d^s g}{d\tau^s}.
\]

It is a modular form of weight \( k + l + 2v \). For non-integral weights, the same result applies with

\[
\binom{m}{s} = \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(m-s+1)}
\]

where \( \Gamma \) is the usual gamma function.
Consider \([g, \theta] = g' \theta - 3 g \theta' \in M_4(\Gamma_0(4))\). It has (like above) vanishing \(n^{th}\) coefficients for \(n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\). Thus by the above lemma, we have that its image under the Hecke operator \(U_4\) is

\[
[g, \theta]|_{U_4} = (\sum_d dB_d q^d)(\sum_r q^r) - 3 (\sum_d dB_d q^d)(\sum_r r^2 q^r)|_{U_4} \in M_4(\Gamma)
\]

and it is thus a multiple of \(E_4\) (the whole space is spanned by \(E_4\) only). Using the same computation as above, we get

\[
\sum_{r>0} r^2 B(4n - r^2) = 240 c_3(n), \forall n \geq 0
\]

This formula can now be rewritten as

\[
B(4n) = -2 \sum_{1 \leq r \leq \sqrt{4n+1}} B(4n - r^2)
\]

and as explained previously, we get all \(B(d)\) by recursion.

Now that we’ve found the desired recursion relation for the Fourier coefficients of \(g\), we prove that the trace satisfies them too, namely for all \(n > 0\)

\[
\sum_{r^2 < 4n} \text{Tr}(4n - r^2) = \begin{cases} -4, & n \text{ is a square} \\ 2, & 4n + 1 \text{ is a square} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

and

\[
\sum_{1 \leq r < 2\sqrt{n}} r^2 \text{Tr}(4n - r^2) = -240 c_3(n) + \begin{cases} -8n, & n \text{ is a square} \\ 4n + 1, & 4n + 1 \text{ is a square} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Recall that \(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \Psi_n(X,Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X,Y]\) with \(\Psi_n(X,j(\tau)) = \prod_{M \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M}_n} (X - j(M \tau)) = \prod_{d = n, 0 \leq b < d} (X - j(a \tau + b/d)). \Psi_n(j(\tau), j(\tau))\) vanishes exactly at the points in \(\tau \in \mathbb{H}\) fixed by an \(M \in \mathcal{M}_n\) and these points are just the points \(a_{Q}\) with \(Q\) a positive definite quadratic form of discriminant \(-d = r^2 - 4n\) for some \(r = \text{Tr}(M)\) satisfying \(|r| < 2\sqrt{n}\) as seen before (see discussion on fixed point above). For \(n\) not a square, it is a known fact that

\[
\Psi_n(X,X) = \prod_{r^2 < 4n} \mathcal{H}_{4n-r^2}(X)
\]

where \(\forall d > 0, d \equiv 0,3\pmod{4}, \) the modified Hilbert class polynomial (not the same \(\mathcal{H}_d\) we saw previously) is

\[
\mathcal{H}_d(X) = \prod_{Q \in \Gamma \setminus Q_d} (X - j(\tau_Q))^{1/w_Q}
\]

and \(w_Q = \# \Gamma_Q\) is the size of the isotropy subgroup of \(Q\). \(\mathcal{H}_d\) is a polynomial except for \(\mathcal{H}_3(X) = \sqrt{X}\) and \(\mathcal{H}_4(X) = \sqrt{X - 1728}\). So

\[
\mathcal{H}_d(j(\tau)) = \prod_{Q \in \Gamma \setminus Q_d} (q^{-1} - j(\tau_Q) + O(q))^{1/w_Q} = q^{-H(d)} (1 - \text{Tr}(d)q + O(q^2))
\]

and

\[
\Psi_n(j(\tau), j(\tau)) = \prod_{r^2 < 4n} \mathcal{H}_{4n-r^2}(j(\tau)) = q^{-\sum H(4n-r^2)}(1 - (\sum_r \text{Tr}(4n - r^2))q + O(q^2))
\]
Furthermore,

\[ \Psi_n(j(\tau), j(\tau)) = \prod_{ad = n, b < d} (q^{-1} - \varepsilon_d q^{-a/d} + O(q^0)) = \prod_{ad = n} (q^{-1} - \varepsilon_d q^{-a/d})(1 + O(q^1)) \]

\[ = \prod_{ad = n} (q^{-d} - q^{-a})(1 + O(q^2)) = \prod_{ad = n} \pm q^{-\max(a, d)}(1 - \varepsilon_a q + O(q^2)) \]

where \( \varepsilon_a = 1 \) if \(|a - d| = 1 \) (which can happen only if \( 4n + 1 \) is a square) and \( \varepsilon_a = 0 \) otherwise. This proves that

\[ \sum_{r^2 < 4n} H(4n - r^2) = \sum_{d | n} \max(d, n/d) + \begin{cases} 1/6, & n \text{ is a square} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

and the first recursion for \( n \) non-square. If \( n \) is a square, then \( \Psi_n(X, Y) \) is divisible by \( \Psi_1(X, Y) = X - Y \) and we must use for \( \Psi_n(X, X) = 0 \)

\[ \frac{\Psi_n(X, Y)}{\Psi_1(X, Y)} |_{X = Y} = \frac{\prod_{r^2 < 4n} \mathcal{H}_{4n-r^2}(X)}{\mathcal{H}_4(X) \mathcal{H}_5(X)^2} \]

which gives the result up to a multiplicative constant since the LHS \( \sim q^A(1 + O(q^2)) \) omitting the factor corresponding to \( a = d = \sqrt{n}, b = 0 \).

Now to prove the last recursion, we need tools. Let

\[ \Psi_n(X, X) = \prod_{r^2 < 4n} \mathcal{H}_{4n-r^2}(X) \]

and define

\[ \Lambda_d(\tau) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} \log(\mathcal{H}_d(j(\tau))) = -1 \frac{dj(\tau)}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\Delta(\tau)} \sum_{Q \in \text{Im}(\mathfrak{H})} \frac{1}{w_Q} \left( \frac{1}{j(\tau)} - j(\mathfrak{A}_Q) \right) \]

\[ = \frac{E_4^2(\tau)E_6(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} \sum_{Q \in \text{Im}(\mathfrak{H})} \frac{1}{w_Q} \left( \frac{1}{j(\tau)} - j(\mathfrak{A}_Q) \right) = H(d) + Tr(d)q + O(q^2) \]

since \( j = E_4^3 / \Delta \) and thus \( -j'(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dj(\tau)}{d\tau} = E_4^2E_6 / \Delta \). Hence, \( -2\pi i \Lambda_d(\tau) \) is a meromorphic modular form of weight 2, holomorphic at infinity and having simple pole of residue \( 1/w_Q \) at each \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{H} \) satisfying a quadratic equation over \( \mathbb{Z} \) with discriminant \(-d\). Such a form is uniquely characterized by these properties since there are no holomorphic modular forms of weight 2 on \( \Gamma \) (so if two such forms exist, their difference must be 0).

The analogue of the logarithmic derivative of

\[ \Psi_n(j(\tau), j(\tau)) = \prod_{r^2 < 4n} \mathcal{H}_{4n-r^2}(j(\tau)) = q^{-\sum_r H(4n-r^2)}(1 - (\sum_r Tr(4n - r^2))q + O(q^2)) \]

is

**Proposition 4.**

\[ \frac{E_4(\tau)E_6(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}, M \neq n} \frac{(E_4|M)(\tau)}{j(\tau) - j(M\tau)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} (n - r^2)\Lambda_{4n-r^2}(\tau) \]

where for \( M \in \mathcal{M}_n \) we let \( (E_4|M) = \frac{m^3}{(c\tau + d)^3}E_4\left(\frac{mc\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) \) so \( E_4|(\gamma M) = E_4|M \).
Proof. We note that both sides are meromorphic modular forms of weight 2, bounded at \( \infty \) thus holomorphic by Riemann removable singularity theorem and with simple poles. Thus to show equality, it suffices to prove that they have the same residues. Let \( M\alpha = a, \alpha \) a CM point and \( \lambda = c\alpha + d \) where \( c,d \) are the usual coefficients of \( M \). The residue of the LHS at \( \tau = a \) is

\[
\frac{E_4(\alpha)E_6(\alpha)}{\Delta(\alpha)} j'(\alpha) - \left( \frac{n}{\lambda^2} \right)^2 (M\alpha) = \frac{E_4(\alpha)E_6(\alpha)}{\Delta(\alpha)} \left( 1 - \frac{n}{\lambda^2} \right) j'(\alpha)
\]


\[
= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{n^3}{\lambda^4} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\lambda^3}{\lambda - \lambda}
\]

since \( n = \lambda\lambda, M(\alpha, 1)' = \lambda(\alpha, 1)' = r = \text{Tr}(M) \). Therefore we obtain

\[
= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{-\lambda^2}{\lambda - \lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} \left( \frac{\lambda^3 - \lambda^3}{\lambda - \lambda} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}} r^2 - n
\]

but this is exactly the sum of residues of the RHS \( \square \)

Now we pass to the recursion relations that the trace must satisfy. Let

\[
S_n(\tau) \triangleq \frac{E_4(\tau)E_6(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} \sum_{M \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}_n} (E_4|M)(\tau) j(\tau) - j(M\tau)
\]

First, we write its \( q \)-expansion at infinity as

\[
S_n(\tau) = C_0 + C_1 q + ...
\]

Note that we do not have negative powers of \( q \) since our function is bounded (thus holomorphic) at infinity. Furthermore, recall that

\[
\Lambda_{4n - r^2}(\tau) = H(4n - r^2) + \text{Tr}(4n - r^2)q + O(q^2)
\]

so by the above proposition we have

\[
S_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} (n - r^2)\Lambda_{4n - r^2}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} (n - r^2) \left( H(4n - r^2) + \text{Tr}(4n - r^2)q + O(q^2) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} (n - r^2) H(4n - r^2) + (n - r^2) \text{Tr}(4n - r^2)q + O(q^2)
\]

and finally

\[
C_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} (n - r^2) H(4n - r^2) \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r^2 < 4n} (n - r^2) \text{Tr}(4n - r^2)
\]
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Now we use another representation of $S_n(\tau)$, the one given by taking a set of representatives for $\Gamma \setminus M_n$. This set is $M_n^* = \{(a \ b) : ad = n, 0 \leq b < d\}. This gives us

$$S_n(\tau) = \frac{E_4(\tau)E_6(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} \sum_{M \in \Gamma \setminus M_n} \frac{(E_4|M)(\tau)}{j(\tau) - j(M\tau)} = \frac{E_4(\tau)E_6(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} \sum_{M \in M_n^*} \frac{(E_4|M)(\tau)}{j(\tau) - j(M\tau)}$$

$$= \sum_{ad=n, d>0} a^3 \left( \frac{E_4(\tau)E_6(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{b|d} \frac{E_4(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})}{j(\tau) - j(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})} \right)$$

and if we define

$$S_{a,d}(\tau) \triangleq \frac{E_4(\tau)E_6(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{b|d} \frac{E_4(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})}{j(\tau) - j(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})}$$

we get

$$S_n(\tau) = \sum_{ad=n, d>0} a^3 S_{a,d}(\tau)$$

Computing the $q$-expansion of $S_{a,d}$ we get

$$\frac{(1 + 240q + \ldots)(1 - 504q + \ldots)}{q(1 - 24q + \ldots)} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{b|d} \frac{1 + 240 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(l) \zeta_d^{bl} q^{al/d}}{1 - \zeta_d^b q^{-a/d} + O(q^{-1})}$$

$$= (1 - 240q + O(q^2)) \frac{1}{d} \sum_{b|d} \frac{1 + 240 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(l) \zeta_d^{bl} q^{al/d}}{1 - \zeta_d^b q^{1-a/d} + O(q^1)}$$

If $a < d$, we will transform

$$\frac{1}{1 - (\zeta_d^{-b} q^{1-a/d} + O(q^1))}$$

by making use of geometric series, namely

$$= (1 - 240q + O(q^2)) \frac{1}{d} \sum_{b|d} \left( 1 + 240 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(l) \zeta_d^{bl} q^{al/d} \right) \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \zeta_d^{-bm} q^{(m(1-a/d) + O(q^1))} \right)$$

$$= (1 - 240q + O(q^2)) \frac{1}{d} \sum_{b|d} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \zeta_d^{-bm} q^{m(1-a/d)} + O(q^1) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 240 \sigma_3(l) \zeta_d^{l-m/d} q^{a(l-m)/d+m} + O(q^1)$$

$$= (1 - 240q + O(q^2)) \frac{1}{d} \sum_{b|d} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 240 \sigma_3(l) \zeta_d^{l-m/d} q^{a(l-m)/d+m} + O(q^1) \right)$$

and we note that to avoid having nonintegral powers of $q$, we must have that $l \equiv m[d]$, otherwise we let the expression to be $0$. This implies that $l - m$ is divisible by $d$ and that $(\zeta_d^{-m})^{b/d} = 1, \forall 0 \leq
We can see that there is no constant term and thus we get \(0 + q^0\) which now cancels the term \(\frac{1}{d}\). We thus obtain
\[
= (1 - 240q + O(q^2)) \sum_{l,m \geq 0, \ell \equiv m \mod |d|} 240\sigma_3(l)q^{a(l-m)/d+m} + O(q^2)
\]
and finally, looking at the terms contributing to \(q^0\) and \(q^1\) we can rewrite the above as
\[
= 1 + (240\delta_{a,1}\sigma_3(n) + \delta_{a,d-1})q + O(q^2)
\]
since the only pairs \((l, m)\) contributing are \((0, 0), (1, 1), (d, 0)\) if \(a = 1\) and \((0, d)\) if \(a = d - 1\) (ouffff!! I know...).

For \(a > d\), a similar calculation gives
\[
S_{a,d}(\tau) = \sum_{l,m \geq 0, \ell \equiv m \mod |d|} 240\sigma_3(l)q^{m+a(l-m)/d}(1 - 240q + O(q^2))
\]
We can see that there is no constant term and thus we get \(0 + O(q^2)\)) since this time only the pair \((l, m) = (0, d)\) contributes. Summing we get
\[
C_0 = \sum_{0 < a < \sqrt{n}, a \mid n} a^3 \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 = 240\sigma_3(n) - \begin{cases} 3n + 1 & \text{if } 4n + 1 \text{ is a square} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
with the last term coming from the factorization of \(n\) as \(ad\) with \(a - d = \pm 1\). This finishes the proof when \(n\) is not a square.

When \(n\) is a square, everything goes exactly the same way except that we now have to compute the Fourier expansion of \(S_{a,d}\) at \(a = d\) too.

In addition, by comparing the expressions obtained for \(C_0\) we get the already well-known identity
\[
\sum_{r^2 < 4n} (n - r^2)H(4n - r^2) = \sum_{d \mid n} \min(d, n/d)^3 - \begin{cases} n/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is a square} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
which together with
\[
\sum_{r^2 < 4n} H(4n - r^2) = \sum_{d \mid n} \max(d, n/d) + \begin{cases} 1/6 & \text{if } n \text{ is a square} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
determines the Hurwitz-Kronecker class number \(H(n)\) recursively.

A mysterious weight \((1/2, 3/2)\)-duality...

The weight \(1/2 - 3/2\) duality might seem strange or mysterious but we will see that it is not too hard to derive it (even though it is still a weird structural result!). In the preceding section, we saw that the first two terms of the \(q\)-expansion of the logarithmic derivative of \(H_d(j(\tau))\) were the Hurwitz-Kronecker class number and the trace of \(j(\alpha)\) \((\ast)\). This last term was related to a meromorphic modular form of weight \(3/2\) that we denoted by \(g(\tau)\). This gave us our first big result that we now recall

**Theorem 5. (First Classification Result)**
Write \(g(\tau) = \sum_{d \geq 1} B(d)q^d\). Then
\[
Tr(d) = -B(d), \forall d > 0
\]
With this tool in hand, we succeeded in relating the trace to the coefficients of some modular form. This gives us now two ways to interpret this trace. The second way is by use of Borcherds theorem!

**Theorem 6. (Borcherds Theorem for the j-invariant)**

Let \( d > 0, d \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4} \). Then

\[
H_d(j(\tau)) = q^{-H(d)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{A(n^2,d)}
\]

where \( A(n^2,d) \) is the coefficient of a weight 1/2 modular form \( f_d \in M_{1/2}^{+}(\Gamma_0(4)) \).

By either direct computations (or by taking the logarithmic derivative) and using (\( \ast \)), we obtain

**Corollary 2.** \( \text{Tr}(d) = -B(d) = A(1,d), \forall d > 0. \)

Hence, the first mysterious apparition of the coefficient duality between weight 3/2 and 1/2 can be seen via this simple (if \( g \) was obvious to construct for you!) consideration. Now we might be interested in knowing what are these weight 1/2 forms \( f_d \) and if we can explicitly construct the Zagier duality by explicitly constructing them. To investigate this question we recall some facts of the theory of half integral weight modular forms.

**Definition 5.** The space of half-integral weight modular forms on \( \Gamma_0(4) \) is \( M_{k+1/2}^{+}(\Gamma_0(4)) \) and it consists of those forms that are holomorphic in \( \mathbb{H} \), at the cusps and which transform under the action of \( \Gamma_0(4) \) like \( \theta^{2k+1} \) where \( \theta(\tau) = \sum_{\mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} \) is the Jacobi theta function.

**Definition 6.** A very interesting subspace of \( M_{k+1/2}^{+}(\Gamma_0(4)) \) is the Kohnen plus-space \( M_{k+1/2}^{+} \). It consists of these forms \( f = \sum a_n q^n \) whose Fourier coefficients satisfy \( a_n \neq 0 \iff (-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \).

**Theorem 7. (Kohnen Isomorphism)**

\[
M_{k+1/2}^{+}(\Gamma_0(4)) \cong M_{2k}(\Gamma)
\]

and it preserves the space of cusp forms. We thus obtain that \( M_{k+1/2}^{+}(\Gamma_0(4)) \) is one dimensional for \( k = 0 \) (spanned by the above theta function!) and is 0 for \( k = 1 \) or \( k < 0 \).

**Proof.** There is a formula to compute the dimension of \( M_{2k}(\Gamma) \). \( \square \)

Hence, we can see that in order to get non trivial spaces in these low half-integral weights, we have to allow weakly holomorphic modular forms so that the spaces are now infinite dimensional because of the relaxed condition at the cusps. Let’s denote this space by \( M_{k+1/2}^{+,1}(\Gamma_0(4)) \). An easy classification of the elements of \( M_{k+1/2}^{+,1} \) is given by the following

**Proposition 5.**

\[
f(\tau) \in M_{k+1/2}^{+,1}(\Gamma_0(4)) \iff f(\tau)\Delta(4\tau)^{n} \in M_{k+12n+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))
\]

for some \( n \).

**Proof.** Let \( f(\tau) \in M_{k+1/2}^{+,1}(\Gamma_0(4)) \) and recall that the map \( \tau \mapsto N\tau \) maps a form \( g \in M_k(\Gamma) \) to a form in \( M_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \). In fact: Let \( g \) be a weakly-modular form of weight \( k \) for the full modular
group. Consider the function $h(\tau) = g(N\tau)$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$ is of the form $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, then since $N \mid c$ the matrix

$$\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} a & bN \\ c/N & d \end{pmatrix}$$

is in $\Gamma$. Therefore we may compute:

$$h(\gamma \tau) = g(N(\gamma \tau)) = g\left(\frac{N\tau + bN}{c\tau + d}\right)$$

$$= g\left(\frac{a(N\tau) + bN}{c(N\tau) + d}\right) = g(\gamma'(N\tau))$$

$$= (c/N(N\tau) + d)^k g(N\tau) = j(\gamma, \tau)^k h(\tau).$$

Therefore the function $h$ is weakly-modular of weight $k$ for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$. In fact, this operation defines injections

$$M_k(\Gamma) \to M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$$

Hence, $\Delta(4\tau) \in M_{12}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and it vanishes at $\infty$. So to cancel the pole of $f$ at the cusps of $\Gamma_0(4)$, we can use powers of $\Delta(4\tau)$ and get that $|\Delta(4\tau)^nf(\tau)| < M_{\text{cusp}} < \infty$ for every $n$. This implies that $\Delta(4\tau)^nf(\tau)$ is holomorphic at the cusps. Finally, this is a form in $M_{12n+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ where the plus-space condition comes from the fact that $f$ is in the plus-space and so the Fourier coefficients are nonzero precisely at each $l$ such that $a_l$ is non zero with $f = \sum a_lq^l$.

Conversly, let $f(\tau)\Delta(4\tau)^n \in M_{k+1/2+12n}(\Gamma_0(4))$. Clearly $12n$ is the weight of $\Delta$ so $f(\tau) \in M^{\perp}_{k+1/2}$ (we take the larger space since we don’t know if $\Delta$ cancels the poles of $f$ at the cusps). \hfill $\square$

Now, lets construct a basis for the space of interest, namely when $k = 0$ and $k = 1$.

**Claim 3.** For every $d \equiv 0, 3[4]$, there is a unique $f_d \in M^{+}_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ such that

$$f_d(\tau) = q^{-d} + \sum_{D > 0, D \equiv 0, 1[4]} A(D, d)q^D$$

and the functions $f_0$, $f_3$, $f_4$, $f_7, \ldots$ form a basis of $M^{+}_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$ which is infinite dimensional.

**Proof.** For the uniqueness, suppose we have two such forms $f_d$ and $h_d$. Their difference is then

$$f_d - h_d = \sum_{D > 0, D \equiv 0, 1[4]} (A(D, d)j - A(D, d)h)q^D \in M^{+}_{1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$$

Using Kohnen’s isomorphism, we can see that $S_0 = \{0\}$ and so $f_d - h_d = 0 \iff f_d = h_d$.

For the existence, it suffices to construct all of them by following this algorithm. The idea is that we construct $f_0$, $f_3$ by hand and the others from them. $f_0$ is simply the Jacobi $\theta$-function. To construct $f_3$, we make use of the Rankin-Cohen bracket

$$f_3 = [\theta(\tau), E_{10}(4\tau)]/\Delta(4\tau) = q^{-3} - 248q + 26752q^4 - \ldots$$

Now, to construct $f_d$ for $d \geq 4$, we take $f_{d-4}$ and multiply it by $j(4\tau)$ (to add a $q^{-4}$) to get a form in the plus-space with leading coefficient $q^{-d}$. Now, to kill the lower order negative powers of $q$, we substract multiples of $f_{d'}$ for $0 \leq d' < d$ and we are done ! \hfill $\square$
Example 6. Here are the first few basis elements

- \( f_0 = 1 + 2q + 2q^4 + 2q^9 + O(q^{16}) \)
- \( f_3 = q^{-3} - 248q + 26752q^4 - 85995q^5 + O(q^8) \)
- \( f_4 = q^{-4} + 492q + 143376q^4 + 565760q^5 + O(q^8) \)
- \( f_7 = q^{-7} - 4119q + 8288256q^4 - 52756480q^9 + O(q^8) \)

In a similar fashion, we can also compute a basis for \( M_{3/2}^{+} \).

Claim 4. For every positive integer \( D \equiv 0, 1[4] \), we define \( g_D \) as the unique form in \( M_{3/2}^{+} \) with Fourier expansion of the form

\[
g_D(\tau) = q^{-D} + \sum_{d \geq 0, d \equiv 0, 3[4]} B(D, d) q^d
\]

Proof. The proof for the uniqueness is exactly the same as above (but note that the difference of two such functions is not a cusp form as in the previous case but a regular modular form. However, \( M_2(\Gamma) \) is of dimension 0 again and the result follows immediately). For the existence, we define \( g_1 \triangleq g \), Zagier’s weight 3/2 mysterious modular form! For \( g_4 \) we again use the Rankin-Cohen bracket to get

\[
g_4 = [g_1(\tau), E_{10}(\tau)] / \Delta(4\tau)
\]

and to get higher forms \( g_D \) for \( D > 4 \), we multiply \( g_{D-4} \) by \( j(4\tau) \) and substract multiples of \( g_{D'} \) for \( 1 \leq D' < D \). \( \square \)

Example 7. Here are the first basis elements

- \( g_1 = q^{-1} - 2 + 248q^3 - 492q^4 + 4119q^9 + O(q^8) \)
- \( g_4 = q^{-4} - 2 - 26752q^3 - 143376q^4 - 8288256q^9 + O(q^8) \)
- \( g_5 = q^{-5} + 0 + 85995q^3 - 565760q^4 + 52756480q^9 + O(q^8) \)
- \( g_8 = q^{-8} + 0 - 1707264q^3 - 18473000q^4 - 5734772736q^9 + O(q^8) \)

As we can see by comparing the \( f_d \)'s and \( g_D \)'s, it looks like the duality we have observed previously can be generalized to include not only

\[
A(1, d) = -B(1, d)
\]

but also

\[
A(D, d) = -B(D, d)
\]

for all \( d, D \). This is what we call the Zagier duality.

Hence, this mysterious duality is simply understanding this (in appearance) innocent statement about \( Tr(d) = -B(d) = A(1, d) \), \( \forall d \) and try to see if such a duality still exist by replacing \( A(1, d) \) by another Fourier coefficient \( A(D, d) \) of \( f_d \) and \( g = g_1 \) by another form of weight 3/2.

We now give a proof that relies on ideas of Masanobu Kaneko.

Proof. Let \( f \in M_{3/2}^!(\Gamma_0(4)) \), \( g \in M_{3/2}^!(\Gamma_0(4)) \). Their product \( fg \in M_{3/2}^!(\Gamma_0(4)) \) and by applying the Hecke operator \( U_4 \) previously defined, we get \( fg|_{U_4} \in M_2^!(\Gamma) \). Any such function is a polynomial \( P(j'(\tau)) \) in the derivative of \( j \). This implies that its constant term is 0. Hence by computing the constant term of \( f_d g_D \) we get

\[
f_d g_D = q^{-d-D} + q^{-d} \sum_{d' \geq 0} B(D, d') q^{d'} + q^{-D} \sum_{D' > 0} A(D', d) q^{D'} + \sum_{d' \geq 0} B(D, d') q^{d'} \sum_{D' > 0} A(D', d) q^{D'}
\]
which gives

\[ B(D, d) + A(D, d) + h(q) + \xi(q) \]

Now applying \( U_4 \) to \( \xi \), we have that \( B(D, d) \) and \( A(D, d) \) are not affected since these are constants and this gives

\[ A(D, d) + B(D, d) = 0 \iff A(D, d) = -B(D, d) \]

What about the proof asked by Borcherds?

Let's consider the modified Hilbert polynomial

\[ \mathcal{H}_d(j(\tau)) = \prod_{Q \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{Q}_d} (j(\tau) - j(\alpha_Q))^{1/w_Q} \]

and let's define a sequence of Faber polynomials in \( j(\tau) \) as follows

**Claim 5.** \( \forall m \geq 0, \exists! J_m(j(\tau)) \in \mathbb{Z}[j], J_m \in M_0(\Gamma) \) such that

\[ J_m(j) = q^{-m} + O(q) \]

**Proof.** Existence is easily justified by the fact that \( \{j^n\}_n \) forms a basis of \( M_0^+ \) (\( j \) is the Hauptmodul!). Thus for \( j(\tau)^m = q^{-m} + \ldots + O(q) \), we can get rid of the other negative powers of \( q \) by subtracting multiples of \( j^n \) for \( n < m \). This whole linear combination is \( J_m \).

For the uniqueness, note that the difference of two such functions would be a cusp form of weight 0 and thus the 0 function. \( \square \)

**Definition 7.** Let's define the trace of \( J_m \) to be

\[ Tr_m(d) = \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{Q}_d} \frac{1}{w_Q} J_m(\alpha_Q) \]

The minimal polynomial of all \( j(\alpha_Q) \) is fully determined if we know all of these traces for all \( m \geq 0 \)

**Proposition 6.** \( \mathcal{H}_d(j(\tau)) = q^{-H(d)} \exp(-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Tr_m(d)q^m/m), \forall d \)

In order to get these traces, we will have to generalize the forms \( f_d \) and \( g_D \) we have constructed previously.

**Definition 8.** Let \( f = \sum a(n)q^n \in M_{k+1/2} \) and \( p \) an odd prime. Then the half-integral weight Hecke operator \( T'(p^2) \) maps \( f \) to the modular form of same weight

\[ f(\tau)|_{k+1/2}T'(p^2) = \sum \left( a(p^2n) + \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{p} p^{k-1}a(n) + p^{2k-1}a\left(\frac{n}{p^2}\right) \right) \]

This formula also holds for \( p = 2 \) if we take \( n/2 = 0 \) if \( n \) is even and \( (-1)^{(n^2-1)/8} \) if \( n \) is odd. In the case of \( k \leq 0 \), this formula introduces nontrivial denominators so we normalize by multiplying by \( p^{1-2k} \) so that our forms will still have integer coefficients, giving

\[ T(p^2) = \begin{cases} p^{1-2k}T'(p^2), & k \leq 0 \\ T'(p^2), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]
Now for any $m \geq 0$, lets apply $|1/2T(m^2)|$ to $f_d$ and $|3/2T(m^2)|$ to $g_D$. We define $A_m(D,d)$ and $B_m(D,d)$ to be the coefficients of $f_d|1/2T(m^2)$ and $g_D|3/2T(m^2)$ respectively. These are integers by definition and using properties (multiplicativity in fact) of the Hecke operators, we can compute from

$$A \quad \text{See Zagier’s original paper.}$$

**Proof.**

and by exchanging order of summation

$$\text{Theorem 8.} \quad \bullet \ \mathcal{H}_d(j(\tau)) = q^{-H(d)} \exp(-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Tr}_m(d) q^m / m), \forall d.$$

$$\bullet \ TR_m(d) = -B_m(1,d), \forall m,d.$$

$$\bullet \ A_m(D,d) = -B_m(D,d), \forall m,D,d.$$

**Proof.** (Borcherds Theorem for $j$)

$$\mathcal{H}_d(j(\tau)) = q^{-H(d)} \exp(-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Tr}_m(d) q^m / m)$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \exp(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m(1,d) q^m / m)$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \exp(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} -A_m(1,d) q^m / m)$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \exp(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n|m} -nA(n^2,d) q^m / m)$$

and by exchanging order of summation

$$= q^{-H(d)} \exp(\sum_{n \geq 1, m \geq 1} -nA(n^2,d) q^{mn} / mn)$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \exp(\sum_{n \geq 1, m \geq 1} -A(n^2,d)(q^m)^n / m)$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \exp(\sum_{n \geq 1} A(n^2,d) \cdot \sum_{m \geq 1} (q^n)^m / m)$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \exp(\sum_{n \geq 1} A(n^2,d) \log(1 - q^n))$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(A(n^2,d) \log(1 - q^n))$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(\log((1 - q^n)^A(n^2,d)))$$

$$= q^{-H(d)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^A(n^2,d)$$

□
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