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ABSTRACT. Let \( f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \) be a \( q \)-polynomial. If the \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-subspace \( U = \{(x^t, f(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q^r\} \) defines a maximum scattered linear set, then we call \( f(X) \) a scattered polynomial of index \( t \). The asymptotic behaviour of scattered polynomials of index \( t \) is an interesting open problem. In this sense, exceptional scattered polynomials of index \( t \) are those for which \( U \) is a maximum scattered linear set in \( \text{PG}(1, q^{mr}) \) for infinitely many \( m \). The complete classifications of exceptional scattered monic polynomials of index 0 (for \( q > 5 \)) and of index 1 were obtained in [1]. In this paper we complete the classifications of exceptional scattered monic polynomials of index 0 for \( q \leq 4 \). Also, some partial classifications are obtained for arbitrary \( t \). As a consequence, the complete classification of exceptional scattered monic polynomials of index 2 is given.
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1. Introduction

Let \( q \) be a prime power and \( r, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( V \) be a vector space of dimension \( r \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q^n \). For any \( k \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-vector subspace \( U \) of \( V \), the set \( L(U) \) defined by the nonzero vectors of \( U \) is called an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-linear set of \( \Lambda = \text{PG}(V, q^n) \) of rank \( k \), i.e.

\[
L(U) = \{(u)_{\mathbb{F}_q^n} : u \in U \setminus \{0\}\}.
\]

It is notable that the same linear set can be defined by different vector subspaces. Consequently, we always consider a linear set and the vector subspace defining it in pair.

Let \( \Omega = \text{PG}(W, \mathbb{F}_q^n) \) be a subspace of \( \Lambda \) and \( L(U) \) an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-linear set of \( \Lambda \). We say that \( \Omega \) has weight \( i \) in \( L(U) \) if \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(W \cap U) = i \). Thus a point of \( \Lambda \) belongs to \( L(U) \) if and only if it has weight at least 1. Moreover, for any \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-linear set \( L(U) \) of rank \( k \),

\[
|L(U)| \leq \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1}.
\]
When the equality holds, i.e. all the points of $L(U)$ have weight 1, we call $L(U)$ a scattered linear set. A scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of highest possible rank is called a maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set. See [7] for the possible ranks of maximum scattered linear sets.

Maximum scattered linear sets have various applications in Galois geometry, including blocking sets [4,34,36], two-intersection sets [7,8], finite semifields [9,21,35,40], translation caps [6], translation hyperovals [20], etc. For more applications and related topics, see [44] and the references therein. For recent surveys on linear sets and particularly on the theory of scattered spaces, see [31,32].

In this paper, we are interested in maximum scattered linear sets in $\text{PG}(1,q^n)$. Let $f$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear function over $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and

\begin{equation}
U = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}.
\end{equation}

Clearly $U$ is an $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $f$ can be written as a $q$-polynomial $f(X) = \sum a_i X^{q^i} \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$. It is not difficult to show that a necessary and sufficient condition for $L(U)$ to define a maximum scattered linear set in $\text{PG}(1,q^n)$ is

\begin{equation}
\frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{f(y)}{y} \text{ if and only if } \frac{y}{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q, \text{ for } x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^*.
\end{equation}

In [48], such a $q$-polynomial is called a scattered polynomial.

Two linear sets $L(U)$ and $L(U')$ in $\text{PG}(2,q^n)$ are equivalent if there exists an element of $\text{PΓL}(2,q^n)$ mapping $L(U)$ to $L(U')$. It is obvious that if $U$ and $U'$ are equivalent as $\mathbb{F}_q^n$-spaces, then $L(U)$ and $L(U')$ are equivalent. However, the converse is not true in general. For recent results on the equivalence issue and the classification of linear sets, we refer to [14,16,17].

There is a very interesting link between maximum scattered linear sets and the so called maximum rank distance (MRD for short) codes [16]. In particular, a scattered polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ defines an MRD code in $\mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of minimum distance $n-1$; see [1] for more details.

Given a scattered polynomial $f$ over $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, an MRD code can be defined by the following set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear maps

\begin{equation}
C_f := \{ax + bf(x) : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}.
\end{equation}

To show that (1.3) defines an MRD code, we only have to prove that $ax + bf(x)$ has at most $q$ roots for each $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $ab \neq 0$, which is equivalent to (1.2).

It is worth pointing out that the MRD code defined by (1.3) is $\mathbb{F}_q^n$-linear. Using the terminology in [39], one of its nuclei is $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. The equivalence problem of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$-linear MRD codes is slightly easier to handle compared with other MRD codes; see [41]. It can be easily proved that for two given scattered polynomials $f$ and $g$, if they define two equivalent MRD codes, then the two associated maximum scattered linear sets are also equivalent. However the converse statement is not true in general; see [14,48].
To the best of our knowledge, up to the equivalence of the associated MRD codes, all constructions of scattered polynomials for arbitrary \( n \) can be summarized as one family
\[
(1.4) \quad f(x) = \delta x^{q^t} + x^{q^{n-s}},
\]
where \( s \) satisfies \( \gcd(s, n) = 1 \) and \( \text{Norm}_{F_{q^n}/F_q}(\delta) = \delta(q^n-1)/(q-1) \neq 1 \).

When \( \delta = 0 \) and \( n-s = 1 \), \( f \) defines the maximum scattered \( F_q \)-linear set in \( \text{PG}(1, q^n) \) found by Blokhuis and Lavrauw \[7\]. In fact, no matter which value \( s \) takes, \( f(x) = x^{q^t} \) defines the same maximum scattered \( F_q \)-linear set. However, the MRD codes associated with \( x^{q^t} \) and \( x^{q^t} \) are inequivalent if and only if \( s \equiv \pm t \pmod{n} \).

When \( \delta \neq 0 \), \( f \) defines the MRD codes constructed by Sheekey in \[48\] and the equivalence problem was completely solved in \[38\]. In particular, when \( s = 1 \), the associated maximum scattered \( F_q \)-linear set in \( \text{PG}(1, q^n) \) was found by Lunardon and Polverino \[37\]. In \[14\], it is claimed that for different \( s \) the associated linear sets can be inequivalent.

Besides the family of scattered polynomials defined in (1.4), very recently, Csajbók, Marino, Polverino and Zanella found another new family of MRD codes which are of the form
\[
(1.5) \quad f(x) = \delta x^{q^t} + x^{q^{n/2+s}},
\]
for \( n = 6, 8 \) and some \( \delta \in F_{q^n}^* \); see \[15\].

As scattered polynomials appear to be very rare, it is natural to look for some classifications of them. Given an integer \( 0 \leq t \leq n-1 \) and a \( q \)-polynomial \( f \) whose coefficients are in \( F_{q^n} \), if
\[
(1.6) \quad U_m = \{(x^{q^t}, f(x)) : x \in F_{q^{mn}} \}
\]
defines a maximum scattered linear set in \( \text{PG}(1, q^{mn}) \) for infinitely many \( m \), then we call \( f \) an exceptional scattered polynomial of index \( t \). In particular, if \( U_1 \) is maximum scattered, then we say \( f \) is a scattered polynomial over \( F_{q^n} \) of index \( t \).

Note that (1.6) is slightly different from (1.1): in this ways we can describe the unique known family (1.4) as an exceptional one. Taking \( t = s \), from (1.4) we get
\[
\{(x^{q^t}, x + \delta x^{2s}) : x \in F_{q^{mn}} \}
\]
which defines a maximum scattered linear set for all \( mn \) satisfying \( \gcd(mn, s) = 1 \). This means \( x + \delta x^{2s} \) is exceptional of index \( s \).

Assume that \( U_m \) given by (1.6) defines a maximum scattered linear set for some \( m \). Now, we want to normalize our research objects to exclude some obvious cases.

[C1] Without loss of generality, we assume that the coefficient of \( X^{q^t} \) in \( f(X) \) is always 0.
[C2] When \( t > 0 \), we assume that the coefficient of \( X \) in \( f(X) \) is nonzero; otherwise let \( t_0 = \min\{i : a_i \neq 0\} \) and it is equivalent to consider
\[
\left\{ \left( x^{q^{t-t_0}} + \sum_{i=t_0}^{n-1} a_i x^{q^{n-t_0} x^{q^{i-t_0}}} \right) : x \in F_{q^{mn}} \right\}
\]
Instead of $U_m$.

[C3] We assume that $f(X)$ is monic.

The main results in [1] can be summarized as follows.

**Theorem 1.1 (1).**

1. For $q > 5$, $X^q$ is the unique exceptional scattered monic polynomial of index 0.
2. The only exceptional scattered monic polynomials $f$ of index 1 over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ are $X$ and $bX + X^q$ where $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ satisfying $\text{Norm}_{q^n/q}(b) \neq 1$. In particular, when $q = 2$, $f(X)$ must be $X$.

Scattered polynomials are related with algebraic curves via the following straightforward result; see also [1, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 1.2.** The vector space $U = \{ (x^q, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \}$ defines a maximum scattered linear set $L(U)$ in $\text{PG}(1, q^n)$ if and only if the curve defined by

$$f(X)Y^q - f(Y)X^q$$

in $\text{PG}(2, q^n)$ contains no affine point $(x, y)$ such that $\frac{y}{x} \notin \mathbb{F}_q$.

In this paper we close the gaps left for $q \leq 4$ in the above classification of index 0 exceptional scattered polynomials, proving that Theorem 1.1 (1) holds also in these cases. We also obtain partial results for exceptional scattered polynomials of index larger than 1. More precisely, the following is the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $t \geq 2$ be a natural number. Then the unique exceptional scattered polynomials of index $t$ are those having at least two non-trivial terms of $q$-degree less than $t$ (other than the one of $q$-degree zero).

Since for $t = 2$ the condition required in Theorem 1.3 is trivially satisfied by every $q$-polynomial $f(X)$, the complete classification of exceptional scattered polynomials of index 2 is obtained.

**Corollary 1.4.** The only exceptional scattered monic polynomials $f$ of index 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ are those of type (1.4).

As in [1], the main idea consists in converting the original question into an investigation of a special type of algebraic curves. Then approaches based on intersection theory or function field theory together with the Hasse-Weil Theorem are used to get contradictions.

2. **An approach based on intersection multiplicity**

In this paper we use investigations on singular points of curves $C_f$ associated with scattered polynomials $f(X)$ (see Lemma 1.2 above) to get information on the existence of absolutely irreducible components of $C_f$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. 
This approach has been used for the first time by Janwa, McGuire and Wilson [26] to classify functions on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ that are almost perfect nonlinear for infinitely many $n$, in particular for monomial functions. Later on, improvements of such a method have been used to answer existence questions about several famous functions defined over finite fields which are also quite rare; see [24, 27]. The same approach has been applied in [22] to prove a conjecture on monomial hyperovals and in [33] to get partial results towards the classification of monomial planar functions for infinitely many $n$, which was later completely solved by Zieve [49] by using the classification of indecomposable exceptional (permutation) polynomials. Similar results and approaches can also be found in [1, 10–12, 45, 46].

As in [1], the main tool is the use of branches and local quadratic transformations of a plane curve to obtain a better estimate for the intersection number of two components of a fixed curve at one of its singular points. Recently, an approach based on local quadratic transformations which uses implicitly branches has been applied in [5] to classify exceptional planar functions in characteristic two.

Consider an algebraic curve $C$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $C$ has no absolutely irreducible components over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We divide our proof into four steps.

1. We find all the singular points of $C$.
2. We assume that $C$ splits into two components $A$ and $B$ sharing no common irreducible component. An upper bound on the total intersection number of $A$ and $B$ is then obtained. The main ingredient here will be branch investigation using quadratic transformations.
3. Under the assumption that $C$ has no absolutely irreducible components over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we decompose $F(X,Y)$ as $A(X,Y)B(X,Y)$ and obtain a lower bound on $(\deg A)(\deg B)$.
4. Finally, by using Bézout’s Theorem (see Theorem 2.1), we get a contradiction between the two bounds.

**Theorem 2.1 (Bézout’s Theorem).** Let $A$ and $B$ be two projective plane curves over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$, having no components in common. Let $A$ and $B$ be the polynomials associated with $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Then
\[ \sum_P I(P,A \cap B) = (\deg A)(\deg B), \]
where the sum runs over all points in the projective plane $PG(2, \mathbb{K})$.

The following technical results will be used to study the branches at singular points of the curve $C_f$.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $C$ be the curve defined given by $F(X,Y) = 0$, where
\[ F(X,Y) = AX^m + BY^n + \sum a_{ij}X^iY^j, \]
with $n < m$, $a_{m0}a_{0n} \neq 0$, and
\[ a_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} 0 < i < m; \quad \text{or} \\ i = 0, j \leq n. \end{cases} \]
If $p \nmid (n, m)$ then $C$ has $(n, m)$ branches centered at the origin.
We distinguish two cases.

Suppose now that \( n \mid m \). Let us consider \( \ell_1 \) the smallest integer such that \( m_1 = m - \ell_1 n < n \). We apply \( \ell_1 \) times the local quadratic transformation \( F \mapsto F_1(X, Y) = F(X, XY)/X^n \). We have

\[
F_1(X, Y) = AX^{m_1} + BY^n + \sum a_{ij}X^{i+\ell_1(j-n)}Y^j.
\]

By Conditions (2.2) it is readily seen that the degree of each monomial \( a_{ij}X^{i+\ell_1(j-n)}Y^j \) is larger than \( m_1 \). Also, all the branches centered at the origin in \( C \) are still centered at the origin in \( F_1(X, Y) \).

Apply now \( k_1 \) times the transformation \( G \mapsto G(XY, Y)/Y^{m_1} \), where \( k_1 \) is the smallest integer such that \( n_1 = n - k_1 m_1 \leq m_1 \).

We distinguish two cases.

1. \( m_1 \mid n \). In this case \( F_2(X, Y) = F_1(XY, Y)/Y^{m_1} = AX^{m_1} + BY^{m_1} + \cdots \) and there are exactly \( m_1 = (n, m) \) branches centered at the origin in \( C \).

2. \( m_1 \nmid n \). Then

\[
F_2(X, Y) = AX^{m_1} + BY^{m_1} + \sum a_{ij}X^{i+\ell_1(j-n)}Y^{j+(i+\ell_1(j-n)-m_1)k_1}.
\]

Note that \( i + \ell_1(j-n) = 0 \) implies \( i = 0 \) and \( j = n \) and so \( a_{ij} = 0 \) and no monomial \( Y^\alpha \) appears in \( F_2(X, Y) \) apart from \( BY^{m_1} \). Also \( i + \ell_1(j-n) < m_1 \) if and only if \( i + \frac{m-m_1}{n}(j-n) < m_1 \) which yields \( i + \frac{m-m_1}{n}j < m \) and so \( i < m \). Since \( a_{ij} = 0 \) if \( 0 < i < m \), there is no monomial in \( F_2(X, Y) \) with degree in \( X \) smaller than \( m_1 \) apart from \( BY^{m_1} \). Finally, all the branches centered at the origin in \( F_1(X, Y) = 0 \) are centered at the origin in \( F_2(X, Y) = 0 \).

The polynomial \( F_2(X, Y) \) satisfies Conditions (2.2) and we can proceed by induction.

Proof. If \( n \mid m \) then, after applying \( m_1 = m/n - 1 \) times \( F \mapsto F_1(X, Y) = F(X, XY)/X^n \) we can easily see that the origin is the center of \( n = (n, m) \) distinct branches, since the tangent cone in \( F_1(X, Y) \) is \( AX^n + BY^n \).

Suppose now that \( n \nmid m \). Let us consider \( \ell_1 \) the smallest integer such that \( m_1 = m - \ell_1 n < n \). We apply \( \ell_1 \) times the local quadratic transformation \( F \mapsto F_1(X, Y) = F(X, XY)/X^n \). We have

\[
F_1(X, Y) = AX^{m_1} + BY^n + \sum a_{ij}X^{i+\ell_1(j-n)}Y^j.
\]

By Conditions (2.2) it is readily seen that the degree of each monomial \( a_{ij}X^{i+\ell_1(j-n)}Y^j \) is larger than \( m_1 \). Also, all the branches centered at the origin in \( C \) are still centered at the origin in \( F_1(X, Y) \).

Apply now \( k_1 \) times the transformation \( G \mapsto G(XY, Y)/Y^{m_1} \), where \( k_1 \) is the smallest integer such that \( n_1 = n - k_1 m_1 \leq m_1 \).

We distinguish two cases.

1. \( m_1 \mid n \). In this case \( F_2(X, Y) = F_1(XY, Y)/Y^{m_1} = AX^{m_1} + BY^{m_1} + \cdots \) and there are exactly \( m_1 = (n, m) \) branches centered at the origin in \( C \).

2. \( m_1 \nmid n \). Then

\[
F_2(X, Y) = AX^{m_1} + BY^{m_1} + \sum a_{ij}X^{i+\ell_1(j-n)}Y^{j+(i+\ell_1(j-n)-m_1)k_1}.
\]

Note that \( i + \ell_1(j-n) = 0 \) implies \( i = 0 \) and \( j = n \) and so \( a_{ij} = 0 \) and no monomial \( Y^\alpha \) appears in \( F_2(X, Y) \) apart from \( BY^{m_1} \). Also \( i + \ell_1(j-n) < m_1 \) if and only if \( i + \frac{m-m_1}{n}(j-n) < m_1 \) which yields \( i + \frac{m-m_1}{n}j < m \) and so \( i < m \). Since \( a_{ij} = 0 \) if \( 0 < i < m \), there is no monomial in \( F_2(X, Y) \) with degree in \( X \) smaller than \( m_1 \) apart from \( BY^{m_1} \). Finally, all the branches centered at the origin in \( F_1(X, Y) = 0 \) are centered at the origin in \( F_2(X, Y) = 0 \).

The polynomial \( F_2(X, Y) \) satisfies Conditions (2.2) and we can proceed by induction.

Proposition 2.3. Let \( C \) be a curve of the affine equation

\[
Y^q + aX^q + X^{q'q^{-1} + q^{-1} + 1} + L(X, Y),
\]

where all the monomials in \( L(X, Y) \) have degree at least \( q^{r+1} + q - 1 \). Then there is a unique branch centered at the origin.

Proof. By induction on \( r \).

If \( r = 1 \), after applying the transformation \( (X, Y) \mapsto (X, aX + Y) \), where \( a^q + \alpha = 0 \), and \( \theta(F(X, Y)) = F(X, XY)/X^q \) one gets

\[
Y^q + aX^{q-1} + X^{q^{-1} + 1} + L'(X, Y),
\]

where \( X \mid L'(X, Y) \) and \( L'(X, Y) \) contains monomials of degree at least \( q^2 - 1 \). After applying \( \eta(F(XY, Y)/Y^{q-1} \) one gets \( Y + aX^{q-1} + L''(X, Y), \) with \( Y \mid L''(X, Y) \), and therefore there is a unique branch centered at the origin.

Suppose that \( r > 1 \). One applies \( (X, Y) \mapsto (X, aX + Y) \), where \( a^q + \alpha = 0 \), and \( q^{r-1} - q^{r-2} \) times
\( \theta(F(X,Y)) = F(X, XY)/X^q \), obtaining
\[
Y^q + aX^q + X^{q'^{-1}-q'^{-2}+q^{-1}}Y + L'(X,Y),
\]
with monomials in \( L'(X,Y) \) of degree at least \( q'^{r+1} - q'^r + q^{r-1} + q - 1 \geq q'^r + q - 1 \) and the claim follows by the induction. \( \square \)

3. Exceptional scattered polynomials of index \( t \)

In this section we investigate curves arising from index \( t > 0 \) scattered polynomials. We assume that Conditions \([C1], [C2], [C3]\) hold.

In the following it will be useful to consider the homogenized version of the starting linearized polynomial \( f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \). We denote it by the same symbol \( f(X, T) \). Namely
\[
f(X, T) = \sum_{i=0}^{M} A_i X^{q^k T^q M - q^k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, T],
\]
where \( k_0 = 0, A_0 \neq 0 \) and \( A_M = 1 \).

Recall that to each polynomial \( f(X) \) is associated a curve \( C_f \) as shown in Lemma 1.2. In order to apply the machinery described in Section 2, we will investigate the singular points of the curve \( D_f \) defined by \( f(X)Y^{q^t} - f(Y)X^{q^t} = 0 \). In fact, as it can be easily seen, the set of its singular points contains also the singular points of \( C_f \). A homogeneous equation of \( D_f \) is given by \( F(X, Y, T) = 0 \), where
\[
(3.1) \quad F(X, Y, T) = f(X, T)Y^{q^t} - f(Y, T)X^{q^t} = \sum_{i=0}^{M} A_i \left( X^{q^k T^q M - q^k} Y^{q^t} - Y^{q^k T^q M - q^k} X^{q^t} \right).
\]

The are no affine singular points in \( D_f \) apart from the origin. Note that the origin is, both in \( C_f \) and in \( D_f \), an ordinary singular point of multiplicity \( q^t - q - 1 \) and \( q^t \) respectively. The multiplicity of intersection of two putative components of \( C_f \) at such a point is therefore upperbounded by \( (q^t - q - 1)^2/4 \).

All the other singular points of \( D_f \) (and therefore \( C_f \)) are contained in the ideal line.

A singular point of \( D_f \) is the point \( P = (0, 1, 0) \), while the other ideal singular points are of type \( S_a = (a, 1, 0) \).

In order to study such points it is useful to consider the change of variables \( (X, Y, T) \mapsto (T, Y, X) \).

The affine equation of the corresponding curve \( \widetilde{D}_f \) is given by \( G(X, Y) = 0 \), where
\[
(3.2) \quad G(X, Y) = F(1, Y, X) = \sum_{i=0}^{M} A_i \left( X^{q^k M - q^k} Y^{q^t} - Y^{q^k} X^{q^k M - q^k} \right).
\]

Singular points of \( \widetilde{D}_f \) belong to three distinct groups:

- \( S_\xi = (0, \xi) \), with \( \xi \in \mathbb{F}_q \).
• \( R_\xi = (0, \xi) \), with \( \xi^{q^k M} = \xi^q \), \( \xi \notin \mathbb{F}_q \), and \( \xi^{q^{k_i}} \neq \xi^q \) for at least one \( i = 0, \ldots, M - 1 \).

• \( Q_\xi = (0, \xi) \), with \( \xi^{q^{k_i}} = \xi^q \) for all \( i = 0, \ldots, M \) and \( \xi \notin \mathbb{F}_q \).

The points \( Q_\xi \) and \( S_\xi \) are such that \( \xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^M} \) with \( \ell = \text{GCD}(t, k_1 - t, \ldots, k_M - t) = \text{GCD}(t, k_1, \ldots, k_M) \).

These points are equivalent to \( S_1 \) via the automorphism \( (X, Y) \mapsto (X, \xi Y) \). While the point \( S_1 = (0, 1) \) is equivalent to \( S_0 \) via the automorphism of \( \tilde{D}_f \) given by \( (X, Y, T) \mapsto (X, Y + 1, T) \). The point \( S_0 \) corresponds to the point \((1, 0, 0)\) of \( D_f \) which is equivalent to \( P \) via the automorphism \( (X, Y, T) \mapsto (Y, X, T) \). Hence we need to study just the singularities \( S_\xi \) and \( R_\xi \) of \( \tilde{D}_f \).

First of all we consider singular points contained in the second group.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( R_\xi = (0, \xi), \xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{M-t}} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q \), be a singular point of \( \tilde{D}_f \). If \( k_i \geq t \) for each \( i = 1, \ldots, M \) then there is a unique branch centered at \( R_\xi \). Thus, the multiplicity of intersection of two putative components of \( C_f \) in \( R_\xi \) is 0.

**Proof.** In order to study branches centered at \( R_\xi \) we consider the polynomial

\[
H(X, Y) = G(X, Y + \xi) = G(X, Y) + G(X, \xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{M} A_i X^{q^k M - q^{k_i}} \left( Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^{k_i}} + \eta_i \right)
\]

\[
= Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^k M} + B_0 X^{q^k M - 1} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^k M - q^{k_i}} \left( Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^{k_i}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} B_i X^{q^k M - q^{k_i}},
\]

where \( \eta_i = \xi^{q^i} - \xi^{q^{k_i}} \) and \( B_i = A_i \eta_i \). Note that, since \( \xi \notin \mathbb{F}_q \), \( B_0 \neq 0 \).

The point \( R_\xi \) is mapped to the origin and its tangent cone in \( C \) (the homogeneous polynomial defined by \( H(X, Y) = 0 \)) is \( Y^{q^i} \). In what follows we will perform a number of quadratic transformations.

Let \( M_1 \) be the largest index such that \( B_{M_1} \neq 0 \). Note that, since \( R_\xi \) belongs to the second group, actually such \( M_1 \) exists. If \( M_1 = 0 \), then all \( B_i = 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, M - 1 \). We consider \( q^{k M - t} - 1 \) times the transformation \( \theta(H(X, Y)) = H(X, XY)/X^{q^i} \) and we can easily see that

\[
H_1(X, Y) = Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^k M} + B_0 X^{q^k M - 1} + L(X, Y).
\]

The argument follows as in Step 3.1.1. and Step 3.1.2. below. Thus we consider now the case \( M_1 \neq 0 \).

**Step 1.** Let us consider the transformation \( \theta(H(X, Y)) = H(X, XY)/X^{q^i} \). Let

\[
u_1 = \frac{q^{k M} - q^{k M_1}}{q^i} - 1.
\]

After \( u_1 \) applications of \( \theta \), one gets

\[
H_1(X, Y) = Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^k M} X^{u_1(q^k M - q^i)} + B_{M_1} X^{q^i} + B_0 X^{q^k M_1 + q^i - 1} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^k M - q^{k_i}} Y^{q^i}
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^k M_1 + q^i + (u_1 - 1)q^{k_i}} Y^{q^{k_i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{M_1-1} B_i X^{q^k M_1 + q^i - q^{k_i}}. \tag{3.3}
\]
Step 2. Let \( \rho_1(X, Y) = (X, \alpha_1 X + Y) \) such that \( \alpha_1 {q^t} + B_{M_1} = 0 \). After applying \( \rho_1 \) one gets

\[
H'_1(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} - (\alpha_1 X + Y)^{q^{kM}} X^{u_{1}(q^{kM} - q^t)} + B_0 X^{q^{kM_1 + q^t - 1}} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i \alpha_1^{q^t} X^{q^{kM_i - q^t} + q^t}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_{i} X^{q^{kM_i - q^t}} Y^{q^t} - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_{i} X^{q^{kM_i + q^t + (u_1 - 1)q^t}} Y^{q^t}
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_{i} \alpha_1^{q^t} X^{q^{kM_i + q^t + u_1 q^t}} + \sum_{i=1}^{M_1 - 1} B_i X^{q^{kM_i} + q^t - q^t_i}.
\]

(3.4)

Let us order the indices \( k_i \) in such that \( B_i \neq 0 \) as \( k_{M_1} > k_{M_2} > k_{M_3} > \cdots > k_{M_s} \). We distinguish two subcases.

(1) Suppose that \( M_2 = 0 \).

Step 3.1.1. In \( H'_1(X, Y) \), the monomials of smallest degree are \( Y^{q^t} \) and \( B_0 X^{q^{kM_1} + q^t - 1} \). If we apply \( \theta \) exactly \( q^{kM_1 - t} \) times we get

\[
\overline{H}(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} + B_0 X^{q^t - 1} + \overline{L}(X, Y),
\]

where \( L \) is a linearized polynomial in \( Y \) with all the degrees in \( X \) larger than \( q^t - 1 \). Also, 0 is the unique root of \( \overline{H}(0, Y) \).

Step 3.1.2. Now perform \( \tau(H(X, Y)) = H(XY, X)/Y^{q^t - 1} \): this gives

\[
\tilde{H}(X, Y) = Y + B_0 X^{q^t - 1} + \tilde{L}(X, Y),
\]

where all the monomials in \( \tilde{L} \) have degree in \( X \) larger than 1 and then 0 is the unique root of \( \tilde{H}(0, Y) \). The tangent cone has degree one now and there is a unique branch centered at the origin in the curve defined by \( \tilde{H}(X, Y) = 0 \) and so in \( C \). This also shows that the multiplicity of intersection of two putative components of \( C_f \) in the corresponding point is 0.

(2) Suppose now that \( M_2 \neq 0 \).

First note that \( q^{k_{M_1}} + q^t - q^{k_{M_2}} \) is the smallest degree of a monomial in \( H'_1 \) apart from \( Y^{q^t} \).

Step 3.2.1. Let

\[
u_2 = \frac{q^{k_{M_1}} - q^{k_{M_2}}}{q^t}.
\]
We apply \( u_2 \) times \( \theta \) and get

\[
H_2(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} + B_0 X^{q^{M_2} + q^t - 1} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i \alpha_i^t X^{q^k M_1 + q^{k M_2} - q^h + q^t} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^k M_1 - q^h} Y^{q^t} - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^k M_2 + q^t + (u_1 + u_2 - 1) q^h} Y^{q^h} - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i \alpha_1^q X^{q^k M_2 + q^t + u_1 q^h} + \sum_{i=1}^{M_2} B_i X^{q^k M_2 + q^t - q^h} - (\alpha_1 X + X^{u_2} Y)^{k M} X^{u_1(q^k M - q^t) - u_2 q^t}.
\]

**Step 3.2.2.** After \( \rho_2(X, Y) = (X, \alpha_2 X + Y) \) with \( \alpha_2^q + B_{M_2} = 0 \), one gets \( H'_2(X, Y) \) equal to

\[
Y^{q^t} + B_0 X^{q^{M_2} + q^t - 1} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i \alpha_i^q X^{q^k M_1 + q^{k M_2} - q^h + q^t} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^k M_1 - q^h} (Y^{q^t} + \alpha_2^q X^{q^t}) - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^k M_2 + q^t + (u_1 + u_2 - 1) q^h} (Y^{q^h} + \alpha_2^q X^{q^h}) - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i \alpha_1^q X^{q^k M_2 + q^t + u_1 q^h} + \sum_{i=1}^{M_2 - 1} B_i X^{q^k M_2 + q^t - q^h} - (\alpha_1 X + \alpha_2 X^{u_2 + 1} + X^{u_2} Y)^{k M} X^{u_1(q^k M - q^t) - u_2 q^t}.
\]

Now \( H'_2 \) can be described as

\[
Y^{q^t} + B_0 X^{q^{M_2} + q^t - 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{M_2-1} B_i X^{q^k M_2 + q^t - q^h} + L(X, Y),
\]

where \( L(X, Y) \) is a linearized polynomial in \( Y \) such that the monomials have degree in \( Y \) either 0 or larger than \( q^t - 1 \) and in \( X \) larger than \( k_{M_2} + q^t - 1 \). Note that also \( H'_1 \) can be described in this way.

**Step 3.2.3.** We perform

\[
u_j = \frac{q^{k_{M_j - 1}} - q^{k_{M_j}}}{q^t}\]

times \( \theta \) and \( \rho_j(X, Y) = (X, \alpha_j X + Y) \) with \( \alpha_j^q + B_{M_j} = 0 \) and we obtain

\[
H'_j(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} + B_0 X^{q^k M_j + q^t - 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{M_j - 1} B_i X^{q^k M_j + q^t - q^h} + L'(X, Y).
\]

At the \( s \)-th step

\[
H'_s(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} + B_0 X^{q^k M_s + q^t - 1} + L'(X, Y).
\]
Step 3.2.4. Note that at each step, 0 is the unique root of $H'_i(0, Y)$ and therefore all the branches centered at the origin in $C$ correspond to the branches centered at the origin in the curve defined by $H'_i(X, Y) = 0$. Another application of $u = q^{kM}/q^i$ times $\theta$ gives

$$\overline{H}(X, Y) = Y^{q^i} + B_0 X^{q^i-1} + \overline{L}(X, Y),$$

where $L$ is a linearized polynomial in $Y$ with all the degrees in $X$ larger than $q^i - 1$. Now the assertion follows from point (1).

We now analyze the case in which $k_1 = 1$ and all the other $k_i \geq t$. Note that, using the same notation as in Lemma 3.1, $B_1 \neq 0$, since $\xi \notin \mathbb{F}_q$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $1 = k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_M$, with $k_M \geq t + 2$. Let $R_\xi = (0, \xi), \xi \in \mathbb{F}_q^{kM-1} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q^t$, be a singular point of $\widetilde{F}_f$. Then there is a unique branch centered in $R_\xi$. Thus, the multiplicity of intersection of two putative components of $C_f$ in $R_\xi$ is 0.

Proof. We proceed as in Lemma 3.1. Now $H(X, Y) = G(X, Y + \xi) = G(X, Y) + G(X, \xi)$ reads

$$Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^{kM}} + B_0 X^{q^{kM-1}} + B_1 X^{q^{kM}-q} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^{kM}-q^i} \left(Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^i}\right) + \sum_{i=2}^{M-1} B_i X^{q^{kM}-q^i},$$

where $\eta_i = \xi^{q^i} - \xi^{q^i}$ and $B_i = A_i \eta_i$. Recall that, since $\xi \notin \mathbb{F}_q^t$, $B_0 \neq 0$.

Case $B_2 = \cdots = B_{M-1} = 0$.

We perform $u = q^{kM-t} - 1$ transformations $\theta(H(X, Y)) = H(X, XY)/X^{q^i}$ and we get

$$H_1 = Y^{q^i} + B_0 X^{q^i-1} + B_1 X^{q^i-q} + Y^{q^i} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^{kM}-q^i} - \sum_{i=0}^{M} A_i X^{q^i+q^i(q^{kM-t}-2)} Y^{q^i}.$$

Now we perform one time $\eta(H(X, Y)) = H(XY, Y)/Y^{q^i-q}$ and we get

$$H_2 = Y^{q} + B_0 X^{q^i-1} Y^{q^i} + B_1 X^{q^i-q} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^{kM}-q^i} Y^{q^{kM}-q^i+q} - \sum_{i=0}^{M} A_i X^{q^i+q^i(q^{kM-t}-2)} Y^{q^i} + q^i(q^{kM-t-1}).$$

It is readily seen that all the branches centered at the origin in $H_1 = 0$ are mapped to branches centered at the origin in $H_2 = 0$. Apply $v = q^{t-1} - 2$ times $\theta(H(X, Y)) = H(X, XY)/X^{q}$ obtaining

$$H_3 = Y^{q} + B_0 X^{q^i-1} Y^{q^i-1} + B_1 X^{q} + L(X, Y).$$

Now $H_4 = H_3(X, \alpha X + Y)$, where $\alpha^q + B_1 = 0$, reads

$$H_4 = Y^{q} + B_0 X^{q^i-q^i+1} (\alpha X + Y)^{q^i-1} + L_2(X, \alpha X + Y).$$

All the monomials in $L(X, \alpha X + Y)$ have degree at least $q^{i+1} + q^i - q^{i-1} + q - 1$ (consider the case $k_M = t + 2$ and $i = 0$). After $w = q^{t-1} - q^{t-2}$ applications of $\theta(H(X, Y)) = H(X, XY)/X^q$ we have

$$H_5 = Y^{q} + B_0 X^{q} - B_0 \alpha^{q-2} X^{q^i-q^i-2+q-1} Y + \cdots$$
where the other terms have degree in $X$ at least $q^{t+1} + q - 1$. By Proposition 2.3 there is a unique branch centered at the origin.

**Case** $B_i \neq 0$ for some $i > 1$.

Let $M_1 = \max\{i > 1 : B_i \neq 0\}$. Such $M_1$ is well defined. We now consider steps as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. The main difference here is the presence of the monomial $B_1X^{q^{M_1} - q}$. After **Step 1.** this monomial is mapped to $B_1X^{q^{M_1} - q}$ and it is fixed by **Step 2.** If $M_2 = 0$ then we use the same approach as in **Case** $B_2 = \cdots = B_{M-1} = 0$ and the claim follows. Suppose now $M_2 \neq 0$. We perform **Step 3.2.1, Step 3.2.2, Step 3.2.3,** and **Step 3.2.4:** $B_1X^{q^{M_1} - q}$ becomes $B_1X^{q^{M_2} - q}$. Now we proceed as in **Case** $B_2 = \cdots = B_{M-1} = 0$ and the claim follows.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $R_\xi = (0, \xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$, be a singular point of $\bar{D}_f$. If $k_i \geq t$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, M$ then there is a unique branch centered in $R_\xi$. Thus, the multiplicity of intersection of two putative components of $C_f$ in $R_\xi$ is 0.

**Proof.** We proceed as in Lemma 3.3. The difference here is that $B_0 = 0$. Also, let

\[ j = \max\{i = 1, \ldots, M - 1 : B_i \neq 0\}. \]

Note that $B_j$ is well defined. So

\[
H(X, Y) = G(X, Y + \xi) = G(X, Y) + G(X, \xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{M} A_i X^{q^M - q^i} \left( Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^j} + \eta_i \right)
\]

(3.5)

\[
= Y^{q^t} - Y^{q^t} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^M - q^i} \left( Y^{q^i} - Y^{q^j} \right) + B_j X^{q^M - q^j} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i X^{q^M - q^i},
\]

where $\eta_i = \xi^{q^i} - \xi^{q^j}$ and $B_i = A_i \eta_i$.

We apply $u_1 = \frac{q^M - q^j}{q^i} - 1$ times the transformation $F(X, Y) \mapsto F(X, XY)/X^{q^t}$ and then

\[
H_1(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} - Y^{q^t} X^{u_1(q^M - q^j)} + B_j X^{q^t} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^M - q^i} Y^{q^i}
\]

(3.6)

\[
+ A_0 X^{q^j + q^i + q^M - q^j - q^i - 2} - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^j + q^i + (u_1 - 1)q^i} Y^{q^i} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i X^{q^j + q^i - q^j}. \]

Let $\rho_1(X, Y) = (X, \alpha_1 X + Y)$ such that $\alpha_1^{q^t} + B_j = 0$. After applying $\rho_1$ one gets

\[
H'_1(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i X^{q^j + q^i - q^i} + A_0 X^{q^j + q^i + q^M - q^j - q^i - 1}
\]

(3.7)

\[
+ A_0 X^{q^j + q^i + q^M - q^j - 1} - 2 Y + L(X, Y),
\]
where \( L(X, Y) \) is a polynomial containing only terms of degree in \( X \) larger than \( q^{k_j} + q^t + q^{k_M - t} - q^{k_j - t} - 1 \). Note that \( q^t \mid (q^{k_i} + q^t - q^{k_i}) \). Suppose that the indices \( i \) such that \( B_i \neq 0 \) are ordered as \( i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s = j \).

We continue performing each time
\[
\frac{q^{k_i} - q^{k_{i-1}}}{q^t}
\]
times \( F(X, Y) \mapsto F(X, XY)/X^q \) and \( \rho_1(X, Y) = (X, \alpha_1 X + Y) \). Doing so, in a similar way as in Lemma 3.1 we obtain
\[
\widetilde{H}(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} + A_0 X^\beta + \cdots
\]
where \((\beta, q^t) = 1\). We now apply Proposition 2.2 and we deduce that there is a unique branch centered at the origin.

This completes the analysis of the points \( R_\xi \) for \( k_i \geq t, i = 1, \ldots, M \). The following proposition will be used to study the point \( S_1 \).

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose \( 1 = k_1 < t < k_2 < \cdots < k_M, \) with \( k_M \geq t + 2 \). Let \( R_\xi = (0, \xi), \) \( \xi \in F_{q^t}, \) be a singular point of \( \widetilde{C}_f \). Then there is a unique branch centered in it. Thus, the multiplicity of intersection of two putative components of \( C_f \) in the corresponding point is 0.

**Proof.** Recall that since \( \xi \notin F_q, B_1 \neq 0 \). The proof is the same as the one in Proposition 3.2, since \( B_0 = 0 \) does not affect the computations.

The following lemma deals with the points \( S_\xi \) (and therefore with the points \( Q_\xi \)). Here we do not assume that \( k_i > t \) for \( i > 0 \).

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( S_1 = (0, 1) \in \widetilde{D}_f \) and \( k_M \geq t \).

- If \( t \mid k_M \) then there are \( q^t \) branches centered at \( S_1 \).
- If \( k_M = tr + s, \) with \( s \in \{1, \ldots, t-1\} \) then there are \( q^{(s,t)} \) branches centered at \( S_1 \).

The maximum possible intersection multiplicity of two components of \( \widetilde{D}_f \) at \( S_1 \) is \( \frac{q^{k_M+t}}{4} \).

**Proof.** Following the same notations as in Lemma 3.1
\[
H(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} - Y^{q^{k_M}} + Y^q \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^{k_M-q^t}} - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} A_i X^{q^{k_M-q^t} Y^{q_{k_i}}}.\]

We distinguish two cases.

- \( t \mid k_M \). We perform \((q^{k_M} - 1)/(q^t - 1) - 1\) times \( \theta \) and we get
\[
\widetilde{H}(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} - A_0 Y X^{q^t-1} + \cdots.
\]
Hence there are \( q^t \) branches at the \( q^t \)-singular point \( S_1 \). All the branches centered at the origin in \( C : H(X, Y) = 0 \) are
\[
Z_i = (t, \eta_i t^\alpha + \delta),
\]
where $\alpha = (q^{kM} - 1)/(q^t - 1)$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{kM-t}}^*$, and $\deg(\delta) > \alpha$. Suppose now that the curve $\mathcal{C}$ splits into two components $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ sharing no common irreducible component. It follows that $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ have no branches in common. Let $U(X, Y)$ and $V(X, Y)$ be two polynomials defining the components $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $U$ and $V$ have no common factors. Then

$$U(X, Y) = Y^m + U_0(X, Y), \quad \text{and} \quad V(X, Y) = Y^{q^t-m} + V_0(X, Y),$$

where $0 \leq m \leq q^t$, $\deg(U_0) > m$ and $\deg(V_0) > q^t - m$.

Our aim is to compute the intersection multiplicity of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ at the origin. For a branch $Z_i$ contained in $\mathcal{X}$ it follows that the coefficient of the term degree $ma$ (in $t$) in $U(Z_i)$ vanishes, that is,

$$\eta_i^m + \sum_{r=0}^{m} \gamma_r \eta_i^{m-r} = 0,$$

where the monomials $\gamma_r X^{ra} Y^{m-r}$ belong to $U(X, Y)$. Analogously, if a branch $Z_j$ belongs to $\mathcal{Y}$ then

$$\eta_j^{q^t-m} + \sum_{r=0}^{q^t-m} \gamma_r \eta_j^{q^t-m-r} = 0,$$

where the monomials $\gamma_r X^{ra} Y^{q^t-m-r}$ belong to $V(X, Y)$, since the coefficient of the term degree $(q^t-m)\alpha$ (in $t$) in $V(Z_j)$ vanishes. Therefore, since $\eta_i, \eta_j \neq 0$, and there are exactly $q^t-1$ branches corresponding to distinct $\eta_i$, exactly $m$ of them belong to $\mathcal{X}$ and $q^t-m$ to $\mathcal{Y}$. Hence if $Z_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{X}$, then $Z_i$ does not belong to $\mathcal{Y}$. So the multiplicity of intersection at the origin of two putative components of $\mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$(q^t - m)m\beta \leq \frac{q^{2t}}{4} q^{kM-t} = \frac{q^{kM+t}}{4}.$$  

- $t \not\mid k_M$. Let $k_M = tr + s$, with $s \in \{1, \ldots, t-1\}$. We perform $q^s(q^{kM-s} - 1)/(q^t - 1)$ times $\theta$ and we get

$$\tilde{H}(X, Y) = Y^{q^t} - A_0 Y X^{q^t-1} + X^{q^t} Y^{q^t} L(X, Y) \cdots ,$$

for some $L(X, Y)$. Apart from the branch with tangent line $Y=0$, the other branches centered at the origin correspond to the branches centered at the origin for

$$Y^{q^t-1} - A_0 X^{q^t-1} + X^{q^t} Y^{q^t-1} L(X, Y) \cdots = 0.$$ 

By Proposition 2.1 there are other $q(s,t) - 1$ branches. All the branches centered at the origin in $\mathcal{C}: H(X, Y) = 0$ are

$$Z_i = \left(t^\alpha, \eta_i t^\beta + \delta\right),$$

where $\alpha = (q^t - 1)/(q^{t,s} - 1)$, $\beta = (q^{kM} - 1)/(q^{t,s} - 1)$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{kM-t}}^*$, and $\deg(\delta) > \beta$. Suppose now that the curve $\mathcal{C}$ splits into two components $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ sharing no common irreducible component. It follows that $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ have no branches in common. Let $U(X, Y)$ and $V(X, Y)$ be two polynomials defining the components $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $U$ and $V$ have no common factors. Then

$$U(X, Y) = Y^m + U_0(X, Y), \quad \text{and} \quad V(X, Y) = Y^{q^t-m} + V_0(X, Y),$$

where \(0 \leq m \leq q^t\), \(\deg(U_0) > m\) and \(\deg(V_0) > q^t - m\).

Our aim is to compute the intersection multiplicity of \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\) at the origin. For a branch \(Z_i\) contained in \(\mathcal{X}\) it follows that the coefficient of the term degree \(m\beta\) (in \(t\)) in \(U(Z_i)\) vanishes, that is,

\[
\eta_i^m + \sum_{r=0}^{[m/\alpha]} \gamma_r \eta_i^{m-r\alpha} = \eta_i^{m-r[m/\alpha]} \sum_{r=0}^{[m/\alpha]} \gamma_{[m/\alpha]-r} \eta_i^{m-r[m/\alpha]} \sum_{r=0}^{[m/\alpha]} \gamma_{[m/\alpha]-r} (\eta_i^r)^r = 0,
\]

where the monomials \(\gamma_r X^{r\beta} Y^{m-r\alpha}\) belong to \(U(X,Y)\). Analogously, if a branch \(Z_j\) belongs to \(\mathcal{Y}\) then

\[
\eta_j^{q^t-m-r([q^t-m]/\alpha)} \sum_{r=0}^{[q^t-m]/\alpha} \tau_{[q^t-m]/\alpha} (\eta_j^r)^r = 0,
\]

where the monomials \(\tau_r X^{r\beta} Y^{q^t-m-r\alpha}\) belong to \(V(X,Y)\), since the coefficient of the term degree \((q^t-m)\beta\) (in \(t\)) in \(V(Z_j)\) vanishes. Therefore, since \(\eta_i, \eta_j 
eq 0\), and there are exactly \(q^{(s,t)-1}\) branches corresponding to distinct \(\eta_i\), exactly \([m/\alpha]\) of them belong to \(\mathcal{X}\) and \([q^t-m]/\alpha\) to \(\mathcal{Y}\). In particular, noting that \(X^\alpha\) is a permutation of \(\mathbb{F}_{q^{(s,t)}}\), \(Z_i\) belongs to \(\mathcal{X}\) if and only if

\[
G(\eta_i) = \sum_{r=0}^{[m/\alpha]} \gamma_{[m/\alpha]-r} \eta_i^r = 0
\]

and to \(\mathcal{Y}\) if and only if

\[
\overline{G}(\eta_i) = \sum_{r=0}^{[q^t-m]/\alpha} \tau_{[q^t-m]/\alpha} (\eta_i^r)^r = 0.
\]

Suppose now that \(Z_i\) belongs to \(\mathcal{X}\), then \(\overline{G}(\eta_i) \neq 0\) and the coefficient of the term in \(t\) of degree \((q^t-m)\beta\) in \(V(Z_i)\) does not vanish. So the multiplicity of intersection at the origin of two putative components of \(\mathcal{C}\) is given by

\[
(q^t-m) \left\lfloor \frac{m}{\alpha} \right\rfloor \beta \leq \frac{q^{2t}}{4} q^{kM-t} = \frac{q^{kM+t}}{4}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \(t \geq 2\) be a natural number, \(f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{M} A_i X^{k_i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}[X]\) where \(k_0 = 0\), \(A_M = 1\) and \(k_i \geq t\) for \(i \geq 2\). Let \(\mathcal{C}_f\) be the algebraic curve associated with \(f\) as in Lemma 1.2. If \(t \mid k_M\) and \(k_M \geq 3t \) or \(t \nmid k_M\) and \(k_M \geq 2t-1\) then \(\mathcal{C}_f\) has an absolutely irreducible component defined over \(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\). In particular, \(f(X)\) is not exceptional scattered.

**Proof.** Suppose that \(\mathcal{C}_f : \tilde{F}(X,Y) = 0\) splits into two components \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\) sharing no absolutely irreducible component. Clearly their intersection points are singular points of \(\mathcal{C}_f\). As previously observed, the origin is an ordinary singular point of \(\mathcal{C}_f\) of multiplicity \(q^t-q-1\) and from Lemmas 3.1 \(3.2\) \(3.3\) and \(3.4\) \(I(R_\xi, \mathcal{X}\cap \mathcal{Y}) = 0\). Let \(T \in \mathcal{T} := \{P, S_\xi, Q_\xi\}\). From Lemma 3.5 \(I(T, \mathcal{X}\cap \mathcal{Y}) \leq q^{kM+t}/4\).
Note that $|I| = 1 + q + (q^t - q) = q^t + 1$, where $\ell = \gcd(t, k_1, \ldots, k_M)$. Hence, if $t \mid k_M$ then

$$
(3.8) \quad \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Y}} I(T, \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}) \leq \frac{(q^t - q - 1)^2}{4} + (q^t + 1)\frac{q^{k_M+t}}{4};
$$

while if $t \nmid k_M$ then

$$
(3.9) \quad \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Y}} I(T, \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}) \leq \frac{(q^t - q - 1)^2}{4} + (q^{t/2} + 1)\frac{q^{k_M+t}}{4}.
$$

Assume that $\tilde{F}(X, Y) = W_1(X, Y) \ldots W_k(X, Y)$ is the decomposition of $\tilde{F}(X, Y)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ with $\deg(W_i) = d_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i = q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 1 = \deg(\tilde{F}(X, Y))$ and suppose by contradiction that $\mathcal{C}_f$ has no absolutely irreducible components defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$. From [24, Lemma 10], there exist natural numbers $s_i$ such that $W_i$ splits into $s_i$ absolutely irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ each of degree $d_i/s_i$. Since $\mathcal{C}_f$ has no absolutely irreducible factors defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$, $s_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Consider the polynomials

$$
A(X, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor s_i/2 \rfloor} Z_i^{j}(X, Y), \quad B(X, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=\lfloor s_i/2 \rfloor+1}^{s_i} Z_i^{j}(X, Y),
$$

where $Z_i^j(X, Y), \ldots, Z_i^{s_i}(X, Y)$ are absolutely irreducible components of $W_i(X, Y)$. Let $\alpha$ and $\alpha + \beta$ be the degrees of $A(X, Y)$ and $B(X, Y)$ respectively. Then $2\alpha + \beta = \deg(\mathcal{C}_f) = q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 1$, $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $\beta \leq (q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 1)/3$. Furthermore from $\alpha = (q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 1 - \beta)/2$,

$$
\deg(A) \deg(B) = \alpha(\alpha + \beta) = \frac{(q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 1)^2 - \beta^2}{4} \geq \frac{2(q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 1)^2}{9}.
$$

Let $\mathcal{A} : A(X, Y) = 0$ and $\mathcal{B} : B(X, Y) = 0$. By Bézout’s Theorem

$$
(3.10) \quad \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}} I(T, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) = \deg(A) \deg(B) \geq \frac{2(q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 1)^2}{9}.
$$

Now we can combine (3.10) with (3.8) and (3.9). Assume first that $t \mid k_M$ so that $k_M = \gamma t$, $\gamma \geq 1$. Then from (3.10) and (3.8) we get

$$
\frac{(q^t - q - 1)^2}{4} + (q^t + 1)\frac{q^{k_M+t}}{4} \geq \frac{2(q^{\gamma t} + q^t - q - 1)^2}{9},
$$

which is false whenever $\gamma \geq 3$. If $t \nmid k_M$ then write $k_M = \gamma t + s$ with $s = 1, \ldots, t - 1$. From (3.10) and (3.9)

$$
\frac{(q^t - q - 1)^2}{4} + (q^{t/2} + 1)\frac{q^{(\gamma + s)k_M+t}}{4} \geq \frac{2(q^{\gamma t+s} + q^t - q - 1)^2}{9},
$$

which is false whenever $k_M \geq 2t - 1$. This shows that $\mathcal{C}_f$ has an absolutely irreducible component defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$. To show that $f(X)$ is not exceptional scattered it is sufficient to show that if $r$ is sufficiently large then $\mathcal{C}_f$ has an affine point $P = (x, y)$ with $x/y \notin \mathbb{F}_q$. From the Hasse-Weil bound

$$
|\mathcal{C}_f(\mathbb{F}_{q^r})| \geq q^r + 1 - (q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 2)(q^{k_M} + q^t - q - 3)^{\sqrt{q^r}}.
$$
The number of ideal points of $\mathcal{C}_f$ is at most $q^{kM-t}$, while the number of affine points $P = (x, y)$ of $\mathcal{C}_f$ with $x/y \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is at most $q(q^{kM} + q^t - q - 1)$. Hence it is sufficient to observe that

$$q^r + 1 - (q^{kM} + q^t - q - 2)(q^{kM} + q^t - q - 3)\sqrt{q^r} - q^{kM-t} - q(q^{kM} + q^t - q - 1) > 0$$

for $r \geq 5k_M$. □

We note that for $t = 2$ the hypothesis $k_i \geq 2$ for $i \geq 2$ is trivially satisfied. Hence the complete classification of exceptional scattered polynomials of index 2 follows as a corollary of Proposition 3.6.

**Corollary 3.7.** The only exceptional scattered monic polynomials $f$ of index 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ are those of type (1.4).

### 4. The open cases for $t = 0$

In this subsection we prove that Theorem 1.1 (1) holds also for $q \leq 5$, that is for the open cases left in [1]. Since the open cases regards binomials and trinomials in the following we analyze two families of binomials and trinomials in a more general setting.

#### 4.1. General Binomials.

Consider a curve of type $\mathcal{X}_f : F(X, Y) = 0$, where

$$F(X, Y) = \frac{(X^q + bX^{qa})Y^q - (Y^q + bY^{qa})X^q}{X^qY - XY^q} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}(X, Y),$$

where $n < m$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$. Now,

$$F(X, XY) = \frac{(X^q + bX^{qa})X^{qf}Y^q - (X^{qa}Y^n + bX^{qa}Y^{qa})X^{qf}}{X^{qf+1}(Y - Y^q)} = \frac{X^q + bX^{qa} - n - 1}{(Y - Y^q)} \frac{(1 + bX^{qa-qn})Y^q - (Y^n + bX^{qa-qn}Y^{qa})}{Y^q - Y}.$$

We have that $G(X, Y) = F(X, XY) = 0$ if and only if (apart from $X = 0$)

$$bX^{qa-qn} \frac{Y^q - Y^{qa}}{Y^q - Y} + \frac{Y^q - Y^n}{Y^q - Y},$$

that is

$$bX^{qa-qn} = \frac{Y^q - Y^{qa}}{Y^q - Y^{qa-qn}}.$$

Consider $U = X^q$, $V = Y^{q^{\min(t,n)}}$, therefore

$$bU^{q^{m-n}-1} = \frac{V^{q^{m-n}-1}}{V^t - V^{q^{m-n}}},$$

- Suppose $t < n < m$. Then

$$bU^{q^{m-n}-1} = \frac{V^{q^{m-n}-1}}{V - V^{q^{m-n}}}.$$
Suppose \( n < t \leq m \). Then
\[
bU^{q^{m-n}-1} = \frac{V - V^{q^n}}{V^{q^n} - V^{q^{m-n}}} = \frac{V - V^{q^n}}{(V - V^{q^{m-n}})q^{t-n}}.
\]

Suppose \( n < m < t \). Then
\[
bU^{q^{m-n}-1} = \frac{V - V^{q^n}}{V^{q^n} - V^{q^{m-n}}} = \frac{V - V^{q^n}}{(V q^{m-n} - V)q^{m-n}}.
\]

The above equations always define a Kummer extension of the rational function field with constant field \( \mathbb{F}_{q^k} \) apart from the case \( m - t = t - n \), that is the three integers are in arithmetical progression. Therefore, in all these cases, there are always pairs \((x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2\) such that \( F(x_0, y_0) = 0 \) and \( y_0/x_0 \notin \mathbb{F}_q \) and then \( X^{q^n} + bX^{q^m} \) is not exceptional scattered.

### 4.2. Particular trinomial in characteristic 2

Now we consider the following trinomial
\[
f_k(X) = X^{2k-2} + aX^{2k-1} + bX^2,
\]
where \( a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^* \).

**Proposition 4.1.** The polynomial \( f_k, \ k > 2, a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^* \), is not exceptional scattered of index \( t = 0 \).

**Proof.** Consider the curve \( C_k \) associated with \( f_k \).
\[
C_k : \frac{(X^{2k-2} + aX^{2k-1} + bX^2)Y + (Y^{2k-2} + aY^{2k-1} + bY^2)X}{XY(X + Y)} = 0.
\]

Let us consider the isomorphism \((X, Y) \mapsto (X, XY)\). The equation of the new curve is
\[
(X^{2k-2} + aX^{2k-1} + bX^2)XY + (X^{2k-2}Y^{2k-2} + aX^{2k-1}Y^{2k-1} + bX^2Y^2)X = 0,
\]
that is (dividing also by \( X^{2k-2} \))
\[
\frac{Y^{2k-2} - 1}{Y + 1} + aX^{2k-1} - 2Y^{2k-2} - 1 \frac{Y^{2k-1} - 1}{Y + 1} + bX^{2k-2} - 2Y^{2k-2} - 1 \frac{Y^{2k-2} - 1}{Y + 1} = 0.
\]
Let \( U = X^{2k-2} \), then the above equations reads
\[
bU^3 + aUY^{2k-1} - 1 + Y^{2k-2} - 1 + Y^{2k-2} - 1 + 1 = 0,
\]
which defines an irreducible curve if and only if there is no solution \( \overline{U} = \frac{F(Y)}{G(Y)} \in \mathbb{F}_4(Y) \) of Equation (1). If \( k \) is even, then \( \overline{\eta} \) such that \( \mathbb{F}_4 = \langle \overline{\eta} \rangle \) is a pole of multiplicity one of \( \frac{Y^{2k-1} - 1 + 1}{Y^{2k-1} - 1 + 1} \) and it is not a pole nor a zero of \( \frac{Y^{2k-2} - 1 + 1}{Y^{2k-2} - 1 + 1} \), a contradiction since the valuation of \( U \) in the corresponding place of must be an integer. If \( k \) is odd all the places corresponding to roots of \( Y^{2k-1} + 1 \) are not poles of \( \overline{U} \) (same argument as above). All the other places are not poles of \( \frac{Y^{2k-1} + 1}{Y^{2k-1} + 1} \) nor of \( \frac{Y^{2k-2} - 1 + 1}{Y^{2k-2} - 1 + 1} \) and therefore they are not poles of \( \overline{U} \). This means that the unique pole of a solution \( \overline{U} \) is \( \infty \) and
it has multiplicity $2^{k-2}$, that is $G(Y)$ is a constant and $F(Y)$ has degree $2^{k-2}$. This clearly gives a contradiction. So Equation (4.1) has no solution in $\mathbb{F}_q(Y)$ and so it defines an absolutely irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$-rational curve. The claim follows. □

**References**


