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Abstract

Let $K$ be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant $d_K$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$, and let $\tau_K$ be an element of the complex upper half-plane so that $\mathcal{O}_K = [\tau_K, 1]$. For a positive integer $N$, let $Q_N(d_K)$ be the set of primitive positive definite binary quadratic forms of discriminant $d_K$ with leading coefficients relatively prime to $N$. Then, with any congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ one can define an equivalence relation $\sim_\Gamma$ on $Q_N(d_K)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}}$ denote the field of meromorphic modular functions for $\Gamma$ with rational Fourier coefficients. We show that the set of equivalence classes $Q_N(d_K)/\sim_\Gamma$ can be equipped with a group structure isomorphic to $\text{Gal}(K\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}(\tau_K)}/K)$ for some $\Gamma$, which generalizes further into the classical theory of complex multiplication over ring class fields.

1 Introduction

For a negative integer $D$ such that $D \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4), let $Q(D)$ be the set of primitive positive definite binary quadratic forms $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ of discriminant $b^2 - 4ac = D$. The modular group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (or $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$) acts on the set $Q(D)$ from the right and defines the proper equivalence $\sim$ as

$$Q \sim Q' \iff Q' = Q\gamma = Q\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

In his celebrated work Disquisitiones Arithmeticae of 1801 ([6]), Gauss introduced the beautiful law of composition of integral binary quadratic forms. And, it seems that he first understood the set of equivalence classes $C(D) = Q(D)/\sim$ as a group. However, his original proof of the group structure is long and complicated to work in practice. After 93 years later Dirichlet ([1]) presented a different approach to the study of composition and genus theory, which seemed to be influenced by Legendre. (See [3] §3.) On the other hand, in 2004 Bhargava ([11]) derived a wonderful general law of composition on $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes of integers, from which he was able to...
obtain Gauss' composition law on binary quadratic forms as a simple special case. Now, in this paper we will make use of Dirichlet's composition law to proceed the arguments.

Given the order \( \mathcal{O} \) of discriminant \( D \) in the imaginary quadratic field \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \), let \( I(\mathcal{O}) \) be the group of proper fractional \( \mathcal{O} \)-ideals and \( P(\mathcal{O}) \) be its subgroup of nonzero principal \( \mathcal{O} \)-ideals. When \( Q = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \) is an element of \( Q(D) \), let \( \omega_Q \) be the zero of the quadratic polynomial \( Q(x, 1) \) in \( \mathbb{H} = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(\tau) > 0 \} \), namely

\[
\omega_Q = \frac{-b + \sqrt{D}}{2a}.
\]  

(1)

It is well known that \( [\omega_Q, 1] = \mathbb{Z}\omega_Q + \mathbb{Z} \) is a proper fractional \( \mathcal{O} \)-ideal and the form class group \( C(D) \) under the Dirichlet composition is isomorphic to the \( \mathcal{O} \)-ideal class group \( C(\mathcal{O}) = I(\mathcal{O})/P(\mathcal{O}) \) through the isomorphism

\[
C(D) \cong C(\mathcal{O}), \quad [Q] \mapsto [[\omega_Q, 1]].
\]

(2)

On the other hand, if we let \( H_\mathcal{O} \) be the ring class field of order \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( j \) be the elliptic modular function on lattices in \( \mathbb{C} \), then we attain the isomorphism

\[
C(\mathcal{O}) \cong \text{Gal}(H_\mathcal{O}/K), \quad [a] \mapsto (j(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto j(\overline{a}))
\]

(3)

by the theory of complex multiplication (\cite{3} Theorem 11.1 and Corollary 11.37] or \cite{10} Theorem 5 in Chapter 10)). Thus, composing two isomorphisms given in (2) and (3) yields the isomorphism

\[
C(D) \cong \text{Gal}(H_\mathcal{O}/K), \quad [Q] \mapsto (j(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto j([\omega_Q, 1])).
\]

(4)

Now, let \( K \) be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant \( d_K \) and \( \mathcal{O}_K \) be its ring of integers. If we set

\[
\tau_K = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{d_K}/2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\
(-1 + \sqrt{d_K})/2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4},
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

then we get \( \mathcal{O}_K = [\tau_K, 1] \). For a positive integer \( N \) and \( n = N\mathcal{O}_K \), let \( I_K(n) \) be the group of fractional ideals of \( K \) relatively prime to \( n \) and \( P_K(n) \) be its subgroup of principal fractional ideals. Furthermore, let

\[
P_{K, 2}(n) = \{ \nu \mathcal{O}_K \mid \nu \in K^* \text{ such that } \nu \equiv m \pmod{n} \text{ for some integer } m \text{ prime to } N \},
\]

\[
P_{K, 1}(n) = \{ \nu \mathcal{O}_K \mid \nu \in K^* \text{ such that } \nu \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \}
\]

which are subgroups of \( P_K(n) \). As for the multiplicative congruence \( \equiv^* \) modulo \( n \), we refer to \cite{7} §IV.1. Then the ring class field \( H_\mathcal{O} \) of order \( \mathcal{O} \) with conductor \( N \) in \( K \) and the ray class field \( K_n \) modulo \( n \) are defined to be the unique abelian extensions of \( K \) for which the Artin map modulo \( n \) induces the isomorphisms

\[
I_K(n)/P_{K, 2}(n) \simeq \text{Gal}(H_\mathcal{O}/K) \quad \text{and} \quad I_K(n)/P_{K, 1}(n) \simeq \text{Gal}(K_n/K),
\]

respectively (\cite{3} §8 and §9 and \cite{7} Chapter V). And, for a congruence subgroup \( \Gamma \) of level \( N \) in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), let \( \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}} \) be the field of meromorphic modular functions for \( \Gamma \) whose Fourier expansions with respect to \( q^{1/N} = e^{2\pi i r/N} \) have rational coefficients and let

\[
K\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}}(\tau_K) = K(h(\tau_K) \mid h \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}} \text{ is finite at } \tau_K).
\]
Then it is a subfield of the maximal abelian extension \( K^{ab} \) of \( K \) \(^{[17] \text{ Theorem } 6.31 \text{(i)}}\). In particular, for the congruence subgroups

\[
\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z})} \right\}, \\
\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z})} \right\},
\]

we know that

\[ H_{O} = K_{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_0(N), \mathbb{Q}(\tau_K)}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_\mathfrak{n} = K_{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_1(N), \mathbb{Q}(\tau_K)}} \quad (6) \]

\(^{[2] \text{ Corollary } 5.2 \text{ and } [9] \text{ Theorem } 3.4\). On the other hand, one can naturally defines an equivalence relation \( \sim_{\Gamma} \) on the subset

\[ Q_N(d_K) = \{ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(d_K) \mid \gcd(N, a) = 1 \} \quad (7) \]

of \( \mathbb{Q}(d_K) \) by

\[ Q \sim_{\Gamma} Q' \iff Q' = Q^\gamma \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (8) \]

Observe that \( \Gamma \) may not act on \( Q_N(d_K) \). Here, by \( Q^\gamma \) we mean the action of \( \gamma \) as an element of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \).

For a subgroup \( P \) of \( I_K(n) \) with \( P_{K,1}(n) \subseteq P \subseteq P_K(n) \), let \( K_P \) be the abelian extension of \( K \) so that \( I_K(n)/P \simeq \text{Gal}(K_P/K) \). In this paper, motivated by \(^{[4]} \) and \(^{[6]} \) we shall present several pairs of \( P \) and \( \Gamma \) for which

(i) \( K_P = K_{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}(\tau_K)}} \),

(ii) \( Q_N(d_K)/ \sim_{\Gamma} \) becomes a group isomorphic to \( \text{Gal}(K_P/K) \) via the isomorphism

\[
Q_N(d_K)/ \sim_{\Gamma} \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} \text{Gal}(K_P/K) \\
[Q] \mapsto (h(\tau_K) \mapsto h(-\overline{Q}) \mid h \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}} \text{ is finite at } \tau_K)
\]

(Propositions \(^{[4]} \) \(^{[5]} 4 \) and Theorems \(^{[2]} \) \(^{[5]} 5.1 \). This result would be certain extension of Gauss’ original work. We shall also develop an algorithm of finding distinct form classes in \( Q_N(d_K)/ \sim_{\Gamma} \) and give a concrete example (Proposition \(^{[6]} 6.2 \) and Example \(^{[5]} 6.3 \). To this end, we shall apply Shimura’s theory which links the class field theory for imaginary quadratic fields and the theory of modular functions (\(^{[17]} \text{ Chapter } 6\)). And, we shall not only use but also improve the ideas of our previous work \(^{[5]} \). See Remarks \(^{[5]} 5.3 \).

2 Extended form class groups as ideal class groups

Let \( K \) be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant \( d_K \) and \( \tau_K \) be as in \(^{[5]} \). And, let \( N \) be a positive integer, \( n = N \mathcal{O}_K \) and \( P \) be a subgroup of \( I_K(n) \) satisfying \( P_{K,1}(n) \subseteq P \subseteq P_K(n) \). Each subgroup \( \Gamma \) of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) defines an equivalence relation \( \sim_{\Gamma} \) on the set \( Q_N(d_K) \) described in
In the same manner as in (1). In this section, we shall present a necessary and sufficient condition for \( \Gamma \) in such a way that

\[
\phi_\Gamma : \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)/\sim_\Gamma \to I_K(n)/P
\]

\[
[Q] \mapsto [[\omega_Q, 1]]
\]

becomes a well-defined bijection with \( \omega_Q \) as in (1). As mentioned in §1, the lattice \([\omega_Q, 1] = \mathbb{Z}\omega_Q + \mathbb{Z}\) is a fractional ideal of \( K \).

The modular group \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) acts on \( \mathbb{H} \) from the left by fractional linear transformations. For each \( Q \in \mathcal{Q}(d_K) \), let \( I_{\omega_Q} \) denote the isotropy subgroup of the point \( \omega_Q \) in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). In particular, if we let \( Q_0 \) be the principal form in \( \mathcal{Q}(d_K) \) ([3, p. 31]), then we have \( \omega_{Q_0} = \tau_K \) and

\[
I_{\omega_{Q_0}} = \{ \pm I_2 \} \quad \text{if } d_K \neq -4, -3,
\]

\[
I_{\omega_Q} = \begin{cases} 
\{ \pm I_2 \} & \text{if } d_K = -4, \\
\{ \pm I_2, \pm S \} & \text{if } d_K = -3
\end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where \( S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \). Furthermore, we see that

\[
I_{\omega_Q} = \{ \pm I_2 \} \quad \text{if } \omega_Q \text{ is not equivalent to } \omega_{Q_0} \text{ under } \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})
\]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

([3] Proposition 1.5 (c)]. For any \( \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\
c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), let

\[
j(\gamma, \tau) = c\tau + d \quad (\tau \in \mathbb{H}).
\]

One can readily check that if \( Q' = Q^\gamma \), then

\[
\omega_Q = \gamma(\omega_{Q'}) \quad \text{and} \quad [\omega_Q, 1] = \frac{1}{j(\gamma, \omega_{Q'})}[\omega_{Q'}, 1].
\]

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( Q = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in \mathcal{Q}(d_K) \). Then \( N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}([\omega_Q, 1]) = 1/a \) and

\[
[\omega_Q, 1] \in I_K(n) \iff Q \in \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K).
\]

**Proof.** See [5] Lemma 2.3 (iii)]. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( Q = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K) \).

(i) For \( u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \) not both zero, the fractional ideal \((u\omega_Q + v)\mathcal{O}_K\) is relatively prime to \( n = N\mathcal{O}_K \) if and only if \( \gcd(N, Q(v, -u)) = 1 \).

(ii) If \( C \in P_K(n)/P \), then

\[
C = [(u\omega_Q + v)\mathcal{O}_K] \quad \text{for some } u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ not both zero such that } \gcd(N, Q(v, -u)) = 1.
\]

**Proof.** (i) See [5] Lemma 4.1]
Since $P_K(n)/P$ is a finite group, one can take an integral ideal $\mathfrak{c}$ in the class $C$ (Lemma 2.3 in Chapter IV). Furthermore, since $\mathcal{O}_K = [\omega_Q, 1]$, we may express $\mathfrak{c}$ as

$$
\mathfrak{c} = (k\omega_Q + v)\mathcal{O}_K \quad \text{for some } k, v \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

If we set $u = ka$, then we attain (ii) by (i).

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 2.3.** If the map $\phi_T$ is well defined, then it is surjective.

**Proof.** Let

$$
\rho : I_K(n)/P \to I_K(\mathcal{O}_K)/P_K(\mathcal{O}_K)
$$

be the natural homomorphism. Since $I_K(n)/P_K(n)$ is isomorphic to $I_K(\mathcal{O}_K)/P_K(\mathcal{O}_K)$ (Proposition 1.5 in Chapter IV), the homomorphism $\rho$ is surjective. Here, we refer to the following commutative diagram:

\[ \text{Figure 1: A commutative diagram of ideal class groups} \]

Let

$$
Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_h \quad (\in \mathbb{Q}(d_K))
$$

be reduced forms which represent all distinct classes in $C(d_K) = \mathbb{Q}(d_K)/\sim$ (Theorem 2.8]). Take $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_h \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that

$$
Q'_i = Q_i^{\gamma_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, h)
$$

belongs to $\mathbb{Q}_N(d_K)$ (Lemmas 2.3 and 2.25]). Then we get

$$
I_K(\mathcal{O}_K)/P_K(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{[\omega_{Q'_i}, 1]P_K(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, h\} \quad \text{and} \quad [\omega_{Q'_i}, 1] \in I_K(n)
$$

by the isomorphism given in (2) (when $D = d_K$) and Lemma 2.1. Moreover, since $\rho$ is a surjection with $\text{Ker}(\rho) = P_K(n)/P$, we obtain the decomposition

$$
I_K(n)/P = (P_K(n)/P) \cdot \{[[\omega_{Q'_i}, 1]] \in I_K(n)/P \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, h\}. \quad (12)
$$

Now, let $C \in I_K(n)/P$. By the decomposition (12) and Lemma 2.2 (ii) we may express $C$ as

$$
C = \left[ \frac{1}{u \omega_{Q'_i} + v} [\omega_{Q'_i}, 1] \right]. \quad (13)
$$
for some \(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h\}\) and \(u, v \in \mathbb{Z}\) not both zero with \(\gcd(N, Q'_i(u, -u)) = 1\). Take any 
\[
\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ \hat{u} & \hat{v} \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } \sigma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ u & v \end{bmatrix} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z})}.
\]
We then derive that 
\[
C = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \omega Q'_i + \hat{v} \\ \hat{u} \omega Q'_i + \hat{v} \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } \sigma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ u \omega Q'_i + v \end{bmatrix} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z})}.
\]
Thus, if we put \(Q = Q'_i\), then we obtain
\[
C = [\omega Q, 1] = \phi_\Gamma([Q]).
\]
This prove that \(\phi_\Gamma\) is surjective.

\begin{prop}
The map \(\phi_\Gamma\) is a well-defined injection if and only if \(\Gamma\) satisfies the following property:

Let \(Q \in Q_N(d_K)\) and \(\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\) such that \(Q\gamma^{-1} \in Q_N(d_K)\). Then,
\[
j(\gamma, \omega Q)\mathcal{O}_K \in P \iff \gamma \in \Gamma \cdot I_{\omega Q}.
\]

\end{prop}

\begin{proof}
Assume first that \(\phi_\Gamma\) is a well-defined injection. Let \(Q \in Q_N(d_K)\) and \(\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\) such that \(Q\gamma^{-1} \in Q_N(d_K)\). If we set \(Q' = Q^{-1}\), then we have \(Q = Q'\gamma\) and so
\[
[\omega Q', 1] = [\gamma(\omega Q), 1] = \frac{1}{j(\gamma, \omega Q)}[\omega Q, 1].
\]
And, we deduce that
\[
j(\gamma, \omega Q)\mathcal{O}_K \in P \iff [[\omega Q, 1]] = [[\omega Q', 1]] \text{ in } I_K(n)/P \text{ by Lemma 2.4 and (15)}
\]
\[
\iff \phi_\Gamma([Q]) = \phi_\Gamma([Q']) \text{ by the definition of } \phi_\Gamma
\iff [Q] = [Q'] \text{ in } Q_N(d_K)/\sim_\Gamma \text{ since } \phi_\Gamma \text{ is injective}
\iff Q' = Q^\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in \Gamma
\iff Q = Q'^\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ because } Q' = Q^{-1}\gamma
\iff \alpha \gamma \in I_{\omega Q} \text{ for some } \alpha \in \Gamma
\iff \gamma \in \Gamma \cdot I_{\omega Q}.
\]
Hence \(\Gamma\) satisfies the property (13).

Conversely, assume that \(\Gamma\) satisfies the property (13). To show that \(\phi_\Gamma\) is well defined, suppose that
\[
[Q] = [Q'] \text{ in } Q_N(d_K)/\sim_\Gamma \text{ for some } Q, Q' \in Q_N(d_K).
\]
Then we attain $Q = Q^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Gamma$ so that

$$[\omega Q, 1] = [\alpha(\omega_Q), 1] = \frac{1}{j(\alpha, \omega_Q)}[\omega_Q, 1]. \quad (16)$$

Now that $Q^{\alpha^{-1}} = Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma \cdot I_{\omega_Q}$, we achieve by the property (14) that $j(\alpha, \omega_Q)\mathcal{O}_K \in P$. Thus we derive by Lemma 2.4 and (16) that

$$[[\omega_Q, 1]] = [[\omega Q', 1]] \text{ in } I_K(n)/P,$$

which claims that $\phi_\Gamma$ is well defined.

On the other hand, in order to show that $\phi_\Gamma$ is injective, assume that

$$\phi_\Gamma([Q]) = \phi_\Gamma([Q']) \text{ for some } Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K).$$

Then we get

$$[\omega_Q, 1] = \lambda[\omega_Q', 1] \text{ for some } \lambda \in K^* \text{ such that } \lambda \mathcal{O}_K \in P,$$

from which it follows that

$$Q = Q^\gamma \text{ for some } \gamma \in SL_2(Z) \quad (18)$$

by the isomorphism in (2) when $D = d_K$. We then derive by (17) and (18) that

$$[\omega Q', 1] = [\gamma(\omega_Q), 1] = \frac{1}{j(\gamma, \omega_Q)}[\omega_Q, 1] = \frac{\lambda}{j(\gamma, \omega_Q)}[\omega Q', 1]$$

and so $\lambda/j(\gamma, \omega_Q) \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$. Therefore we attain

$$j(\gamma, \omega_Q)\mathcal{O}_K = \lambda \mathcal{O}_K \in P,$$

and hence $\gamma \in \Gamma \cdot I_{\omega_Q}$ by the fact $Q^{\gamma^{-1}} = Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)$ and the property (14). If we write

$$\gamma = \alpha \beta \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } \beta \in I_{\omega_Q},$$

then we see by (18) that

$$Q = Q^{\beta^{-1}} = Q^{\gamma^{-1}\alpha} = Q^{\alpha \beta}.$$

This shows that

$$[Q] = [Q'] \text{ in } \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)/\sim_{\Gamma},$$

which proves the injectivity of $\phi_\Gamma$. 

**Theorem 2.5.** The map $\phi_\Gamma$ is a well-defined bijection if and only if $\Gamma$ satisfies the property (14) stated in Proposition 2.4. In this case, we may regard the set $\mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)/\sim_{\Gamma}$ as a group isomorphic to the ideal class group $I_K(n)/P$. 

**Proof.** We achieve the first assertion by Propositions 2.3 and 2.4. Thus, in this case, one can give a group structure on $\mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)/\sim_{\Gamma}$ through the bijection $\phi_\Gamma : \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)/\sim_{\Gamma} \rightarrow I_K(n)/P$. 

**Remark 2.6.** By using the isomorphism given in (2) (when $D = d_K$) and Theorem 2.5 we obtain the following commutative diagram:
Therefore the natural map $\mathbb{Q}_N(d_K)/\sim \Gamma \rightarrow C(d_K)$ is indeed a surjective homomorphism, which shows that the group structure of $\mathbb{Q}_N(d_K)/\sim \Gamma$ is not far from that of the classical form class group $C(d_K)$.

3 Class field theory over imaginary quadratic fields

In this section, we shall briefly review the class field theory over imaginary quadratic fields established by Shimura.

For an imaginary quadratic field $K$, let $\mathbb{I}^\text{fin}_K$ be the group of finite ideles of $K$ given by the restricted product

$$\mathbb{I}^\text{fin}_K = \prod_{p} K^*_p \text{ where } p \text{ runs over all prime ideals of } \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$= \left\{ s = (s_p) \in \prod_p K^*_p \mid s_p \in \mathcal{O}^*_K, \text{ for all but finitely many } p \right\}.$$

As for the topology on $\mathbb{I}^\text{fin}_K$ one can refer to [12, p. 78]. Then, the classical class field theory of $K$ is explained by the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\text{fin}_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K) \rightarrow 1$$

where $K^*$ maps into $\mathbb{I}^\text{fin}_K$ through the diagonal embedding $\nu \mapsto (\nu, \nu, \nu, \ldots)$ ([12, Chapter IV]). Setting

$$\mathcal{O}_{K,p} = \mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$$

for each prime $p$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{K,p} \simeq \prod_{p \mid \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K,p}$$

([15] Proposition 4 in Chapter II). Furthermore, if we let $\hat{K} = K \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$, then

$$\hat{K}^* = \prod_p (K \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^*$$

where $p$ runs over all rational primes

$$= \left\{ s = (s_p) \in \prod_p (K \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^* \mid s_p \in \mathcal{O}^*_K, \text{ for all but finitely many } p \right\}$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{I}^\text{fin}_K$$

([3 Exercise 15.12] and [15] Chapter II). Thus we may use $\hat{K}^*$ instead of $\mathbb{I}^\text{fin}_K$ when we develop the class field theory of $K$. 
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Proposition 3.1. There is a one-to-one correspondence via the Artin map between closed subgroups $J$ of $\hat{K}^*$ of finite index containing $K^*$ and finite abelian extensions $L$ of $K$ such that

$$\hat{K}^*/J \simeq \text{Gal}(L/K).$$

Proof. See [12, Chapter IV].

Let $N$ be a positive integer, $n = NO_K$ and $s = (s_p) \in \hat{K}^*$. For a prime $p$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $O_K$ lying above $p$, let $n_p(s)$ be a unique integer such that $s_p \in p^{n_p(s)}O_K^\times$. We then regard $sO_K$ as the fractional ideal

$$sO_K = \prod_p \prod_{p \mid p} p^{n_p(s)} \in I_K(O_K).$$

By the approximation theorem ([7, Chapter IV]) one can take an element $\nu_s$ of $K^*$ such that

$$\nu_s s_p \in 1 + N\mathfrak{O}_{K,p} \text{ for all } p \mid N.$$ (19)

Proposition 3.2. We get a well-defined surjective homomorphism

$$\phi_n : \hat{K}^* \to I_K(n)/P_{K,1}(n)$$

$$s \mapsto [\nu_s sO_K]$$

with kernel

$$J_n = K^* \left( \prod_{p \mid N} (1 + N\mathfrak{O}_{K,p}) \times \prod_{p \nmid N} O_{K,p}^\times \right).$$

Thus $J_n$ corresponds to the ray class field $K_n$.

Proof. See [3, Exercises 15.17 and 15.18].

Let $F_N$ be the field of meromorphic modular functions of level $N$ whose Fourier expansions with respect to $q^{1/N}$ have coefficients in the $N$th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ with $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i N}$. Then $F_N$ is a Galois extension of $F_1$ with $\text{Gal}(F_N/F_1) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$ ([17, Chapter 6]).

Proposition 3.3. There is a decomposition

$$\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\} = \left\{ \pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mid d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \right\} /\{\pm I_2\} \cdot \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}.$$

Let $h(\tau)$ be an element of $F_N$ whose Fourier expansion is given by

$$h(\tau) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_n q^{n/N} \text{ (} c_n \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)).$$

(i) If $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$ with $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$, then

$$h(\tau)^\alpha = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_n^{d} q^{n/N}$$

where $\sigma_d$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ defined by $\sigma_d^{\zeta_N} = \zeta_N^d$. 
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If \( \beta \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\} \), then
\[
h(\tau)^\beta = h(\gamma(\tau))
\]
where \( \gamma \) is any element of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) which maps to \( \beta \) through the reduction \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\} \).

**Proof.** See [17, Proposition 6.21].

If we let \( \hat{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \) and \( \mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_N \), then we attain the exact sequence
\[
1 \to \mathbb{Q}^* \to \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \text{Gal}((\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{Q}) \to 1.
\]

[10 Chaper 7] or [17 Chapter 6]). Here, we note that
\[
\text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}) = \prod_p \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \quad \text{where} \quad p \text{ runs over all rational primes}
\]
\[
= \{ \gamma = (\gamma_p) \in \prod_p \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \gamma_p \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \text{ for all but finitely many } p \}
\]

[3 Exercise 15.4] and \( \mathbb{Q}^* \) maps into \( \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}) \) through the diagonal embedding. For \( \omega \in K \cap \mathbb{H} \), we define a normalized embedding
\[
q_\omega : K^* \to \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})
\]
by the relation
\[
\nu \begin{bmatrix} \tau_K \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = q_\omega(\nu) \begin{bmatrix} \tau_K \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\nu \in K^*).
\] (20)

By continuity, \( q_\omega \) can be extended to an embedding
\[
q_{\omega,p} : (K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)^* \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \quad \text{for each prime } p
\]
and hence to an embedding
\[
q_\omega : \hat{K}^* \to \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}).
\]

Let \( \min(\tau_K, \mathbb{Q}) = x^2 + b_K x + c_K \) \( (\in \mathbb{Z}[x]) \). Since \( K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Q}_p \tau_K + \mathbb{Q}_p \) for each prime \( p \), one can deduce that if \( s = (s_p) \in \hat{K}^* \) with \( s_p = u_p \tau_K + v_p \) \( (u_p, v_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p) \), then
\[
q_{\tau_K}(s) = (\gamma_p) \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma_p = \begin{bmatrix} v_p - b_K u_p & -c_K u_p \\ u_p & v_p \end{bmatrix}.
\] (21)

By utilizing the concept of canonical models of modular curves, Shimura achieved the following remarkable results.

**Proposition 3.4** (Shimura’s reciprocity law). Let \( s \in \hat{K}^*, \omega \in K \cap \mathbb{H} \) and \( h \in \mathcal{F} \) be finite at \( \omega \). Then \( h(\omega) \) lies in \( K^{ab} \) and satisfies
\[
h(\omega)^{[s^{-1}, K]} = h(\tau)^{q_\omega(s)}|_{\tau=\omega}
\]
where \([\cdot, K]\) is the Artin map for \( K \).
Proof. See [17, Theorem 6.31 (i)].

Proposition 3.5. Let $S$ be an open subgroup of $GL_2(\hat{Q})$ containing $Q^*$ such that $S/Q^*$ is compact. Let

$$\Gamma_S = S \cap GL_2^+(Q),$$
$$\mathcal{F}_S = \{ h \in \mathcal{F} \mid h^\gamma = h \text{ for all } \gamma \in S \},$$
$$k_S = \{ \nu \in Q^{ab} \mid \nu^{[s,Q]} = \nu \text{ for all } s \in Q^* \text{ det}(S) \subseteq \hat{Q}^* \}$$

where $[\cdot, Q]$ is the Artin map for $Q$. Then,

(i) $\Gamma_S/Q^*$ is a Fuchsian group of the first kind commensurable with $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$.

(ii) $C\mathcal{F}_S$ is the field of meromorphic modular functions for $\Gamma_S/Q^*$.

(iii) $k_S$ is algebraically closed in $\mathcal{F}_S$.

(iv) If $\omega \in K \cap \mathbb{H}$, then the subgroup $K^* q_\omega^{-1}(S)$ of $\hat{K}^*$ corresponds to the subfield

$$K\mathcal{F}_S(\omega) = K(h(\omega) \mid h \in \mathcal{F}_S \text{ is finite at } \omega)$$

of $K^{ab}$ in view of Proposition 3.1.

Proof. See [17, Propositions 6.27 and 6.33].

Remark 3.6. In particular, if $k_S = Q$, then $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_S,Q}$ ([17, Exercise 6.26]).

4 Construction of class invariants

Let $K$ be an imaginary quadratic field, $N$ be a positive integer and $n = NO_K$. From now on, let $T$ be a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $P$ be a subgroup of $PK(n)$ containing $PK,1(n)$ given by

$$P = \langle \nu O_K \mid \nu \in O_K - \{0\} \text{ such that } \nu \equiv t \text{ (mod n) for some } t \in T \rangle$$
$$= \{ \lambda O_K \mid \lambda \in K^* \text{ such that } \lambda \equiv^* t \text{ (mod n) for some } t \in T \}.$$

Let $Cl(P)$ denote the ideal class group

$$Cl(P) = I_K(n)/P$$

and $K_P$ be its corresponding class field of $K$ with $Cl(P) \simeq Gal(K_P/K)$. Furthermore, let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} t^{-1} & * \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} \text{ (mod NM}_2(\mathbb{Z})) \text{ for some } t \in T \right\}$$

where $t^{-1}$ stands for an integer such that $tt^{-1} \equiv 1 \text{ (mod N)}$. In this section, for a given $h \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma,Q}$ we shall define a class invariant $h(C)$ for each class $C \in I_K(n)/P$. 
Lemma 4.1. The field $K_P$ corresponds to the subgroup

$$\bigcup_{t \in T} K^* \left( \prod_{p \mid N} (t + NO_{K,p}) \times \prod_{p \mid N} O_{K,p}^* \right)$$

of $\hat{K}^*$ in view of Proposition 3.1.

Proof. We adopt the notations in Proposition 3.2. Given $t \in T$, let $t^{-1}$ be an integer such that $tt^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. Let $s = s(t) = (s_p) \in \hat{K}^*$ be given by

$$s_p = \begin{cases} t^{-1} & \text{if } p \mid N, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \nmid N. \end{cases}$$

Then one can take $\nu_s = t$ so as to have (19), and hence

$$\phi_n(s) = [tsO_K] = [tO_K]. \quad (22)$$

Since $P$ contains $P_{K,1}(n)$, we obtain $K_P \subseteq K_n$ and $\text{Gal}(K_n/K_P) \simeq P/P_{K,1}(n)$. Thus we achieve by Proposition 3.2 that the field $K_P$ corresponds to

$$\phi_n^{-1}(P/P_{K,1}(n)) = \phi_n^{-1}\left( \bigcup_{t \in T} [tO_K] \right) \quad \text{by the definitions of } P_{K,1}(n) \text{ and } P$$

$$= \bigcup_{t \in T} s(t)J_n \quad \text{by (22) and the fact } J_n = \text{Ker}(\phi_n)$$

$$= \bigcup_{t \in T} K^* \left( \prod_{p \mid N} (t^{-1} + NO_{K,p}) \times \prod_{p \mid N} O_{K,p}^* \right)$$

$$= \bigcup_{t \in T} K^* \left( \prod_{p \mid N} (t + NO_{K,p}) \times \prod_{p \mid N} O_{K,p}^* \right).$$

Proposition 4.2. We have $K_P = K\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}}(\tau_K)$.

Proof. Let $S = \mathbb{Q}^*W \subseteq GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ with

$$W = \bigcup_{t \in T} \left\{ \gamma = (\gamma_p) \in \prod_p GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid \gamma_p \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{ for all } p \right\}.$$

Following the notations in Proposition 3.3, one can readily show that

$$\Gamma_S = \mathbb{Q}^* \left\{ \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z})} \text{ for some } t \in T \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(W) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^*.$$

It then follows that $\Gamma_S/\mathbb{Q}^* \simeq \Gamma/\{\pm I_2\}$ and $k_S = \mathbb{Q}$, and hence

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}}. \quad (23)$$
We then attain by taking determinant and squaring $K^* q_{\tau_K}^{-1}(S)$ by Proposition 3.5 (ii) and Remark 3.6. Furthermore, we deduce that

\[ K^* q_{\tau_K}^{-1}(S) = K^* \{ s = (s_p) \in \widehat{K}^* \mid q_{\tau_K}(s) \in \mathbb{Q}^* W \} \]

\[ = K^* \{ s = (s_p) \in \widehat{K}^* \mid q_{\tau_K}(s) \in W \} \quad \text{since } q_{\tau_K}(r) = rI_2 \text{ for every } r \in \mathbb{Q}^* \text{ by (20)} \]

\[ = K^* \{ s = (s_p) \in \widehat{K}^* \mid s_p = u_p \tau_K + v_p \text{ with } u_p, v_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ such that} \]

\[ \gamma_p = \begin{bmatrix} v_p - b_K u_p - c_K u_p \\ u_p \end{bmatrix} \in W \text{ for all } p \} \text{ by (21)} \]

\[ = \bigcup_{t \in T} K^* \{ s = (s_p) \in \widehat{K}^* \mid s_p = u_p \tau_K + v_p \text{ with } u_p, v_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ such that} \]

\[ \gamma_p \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \text{ and } \gamma_p \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ * & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{ for all } p \} \]

\[ = \bigcup_{t \in T} K^* \left( \prod_{p \mid N} (t + NO_{K,p}) \times \prod_{p \mid N} O_{K,p}^* \right). \]

Therefore we conclude by Proposition 3.5 (iv), (23) and Lemma 4.1 that

\[ K_P = K_{\mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{Q}}(\tau_K). \]

Let $C \in \text{Cl}(P)$. Take an integral ideal $a$ in the class $C$, and let $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ be elements of $K^*$ so that

\[ a^{-1} = [\xi_1, \xi_2] \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \in \mathbb{H}. \]

Since $O_K = [\tau_K, 1] \subseteq a^{-1}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$, one can express

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \tau_K \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } A \in M_2^+(\mathbb{Z}). \] (24)

We then attain by taking determinant and squaring

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \tau_K & \tau_K \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 & \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \]

that

\[ d_K = \det(A)^2 N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a)^{-2} d_K \]

(111 Chapter III)). Hence, $\det(A) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a)$ which is relatively prime to $N$. For $a \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\gcd(N, \det(a)) = 1$, we shall denote by $\tilde{a}$ its reduction onto $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\} \cong \text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/\mathcal{F}_1)$.

**Definition 4.3.** Let $h \in \mathcal{F}_{T, \mathbb{Q}} (\subseteq \mathcal{F}_N)$. With the notations as above, we define

\[ h(C) = h(\tau)^{\tilde{A}}|_{\tau = \xi} \]

if it is finite.
Proposition 4.4. If $h(C)$ is finite, then it depends only on the class $C$ regardless of the choice of $a$, $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$.

Proof. Let $a'$ be also an integral ideal in $C$. Take any $\xi'_1, \xi'_2 \in K^*$ so that

$$a'^{-1} = [\xi'_1, \xi'_2] \quad \text{and} \quad \xi' = \frac{\xi'_1}{\xi'_2} \in \mathbb{H}.$$  \hfill (25)

Since $O_K \subseteq a'^{-1}$ and $\xi' \in \mathbb{H}$, we may write

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau_K \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = A' \begin{bmatrix} \xi'_1 \\ \xi'_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } A' \in M_2^+(\mathbb{Z}).$$  \hfill (26)

Now that $[a] = [a'] = C$, we have

$$a' = \lambda a \quad \text{with } \lambda \in K^* \text{ such that } \lambda \equiv t^* (\text{mod } n) \text{ for some } t \in T.$$

Then it follows that

$$a'^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} a^{-1} = [\lambda^{-1} \xi_1, \lambda^{-1} \xi_2] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda^{-1} \xi_1}{\lambda^{-1} \xi_2} = \xi.$$  \hfill (27)

And, we obtain by (25) and (26) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi'_1 \\ \xi'_2 \end{bmatrix} = B \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{-1} \xi_1 \\ \lambda^{-1} \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } B \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$  \hfill (28)

and

$$\xi' = B(\xi).$$  \hfill (29)

On the other hand, consider $t$ as an integer whose reduction modulo $N$ belongs to $T$. Since $a, a' = \lambda a \subseteq O_K$, we see that $(\lambda - t)a$ is an integral ideal. Moreover, since $\lambda \equiv t^* (\text{mod } n)$ and $a$ is relatively prime to $n$, we get $(\lambda - t)a \subseteq n = NO_K$, and hence

$$(\lambda - t)O_K \subseteq Na^{-1}.$$  

Thus we attain by the facts $O_K = [\tau_K, 1]$ and $a^{-1} = [\xi_1, \xi_2]$ that

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\lambda - t)\tau_K \\ \lambda - t \end{bmatrix} = A'' \begin{bmatrix} N\xi_1 \\ N\xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } A'' \in M_2^+(\mathbb{Z}).$$  \hfill (30)

We then derive that

$$NA'' \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \tau_K \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - t \begin{bmatrix} \tau_K \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{by (30)}$$

$$= \lambda A' \begin{bmatrix} \xi'_1 \\ \xi'_2 \end{bmatrix} - tA \begin{bmatrix} \xi'_1 \\ \xi'_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{by (21) and (26)}$$

$$= A' \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} - tA \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{by (28).}$$
This yields $A'B - tA \equiv O \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ and so

$$A' \equiv tAB^{-1} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z})}. \quad (31)$$

Therefore we establish by Proposition 3.3 that

$$h(\tau)\tilde{A}'|_{\tau=\xi'} = h(\tau)tAB^{-1}|_{\tau=\xi'} \quad \text{by (31)}$$

where $\sim$ means the reduction onto $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/NM_2\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$

$$= h(\tau)\tilde{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{bmatrix}} \tilde{AB^{-1}}|_{\tau=\xi'}$$

$$= h(\tau)\tilde{\begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{bmatrix}} AB^{-1}|_{\tau=\xi'} \quad \text{because } h(\tau) \text{ has rational Fourier coefficients}$$

$$= h(\tau)\tilde{A}|_{\tau=B^{-1}(\xi')}$$

$$= h(\tau)\tilde{A}|_{\tau=\xi} \quad \text{by (29)}.$$

This prove that $h(C)$ depends only on the class $C$. \qed

**Remark 4.5.** If we let $C_0$ be the identity class in $\text{Cl}(P)$, then we have $h(C_0) = h(\tau_K)$.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let $C \in \text{Cl}(P)$ and $h \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, Q}$. If $h(C)$ is finite, then it belongs to $K_P$ and satisfies

$$h(C)^{\sigma(C')} = h(CC') \quad \text{for all } C' \in \text{Cl}(P)$$

where $\sigma : \text{Cl}(P) \to \text{Gal}(K_P/K)$ is the isomorphism induced from the Artin map.

**Proof.** Let $a$ be an integral ideal in $C$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in K^*$ such that

$$a^{-1} = [\xi_1, \xi_2] \quad \text{with } \xi = \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (32)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau_K \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } A \in M_2^+(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (33)$$

Furthermore, let $a'$ be an integral ideal in $C'$ and $\xi_1'', \xi_2'' \in K^*$ such that

$$(aa')^{-1} = [\xi_1'', \xi_2''] \quad \text{with }\xi'' = \frac{\xi_1''}{\xi_2''} \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (34)$$

Since $a^{-1} \subseteq (aa')^{-1}$ and $\xi'' \in \mathbb{H}$, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} = B \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1'' \\ \xi_2'' \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } B \in M_2^+(\mathbb{Z}), \quad (35)$$

and so it follows from (33) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau_K \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = AB \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1'' \\ \xi_2'' \end{bmatrix}. \quad (36)$$
Let \( s = (s_p) \) be an idele in \( \hat{K}^* \) satisfying
\[
\begin{cases}
  s_p = 1 & \text{if } p \mid N, \\
  s_p \mathcal{O}_{K,p} = a'_p & \text{if } p \nmid N
\end{cases}
\]  
(37)
where \( a'_p = a' \otimes Z \mathbb{Z}_p \). Since \( a' \) is relatively prime to \( n = NO_K \), we obtain by (37) that
\[
s_p^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{K,p} = a'_p^{-1} \quad \text{for all } p.
\]  
(38)

Now, we see that
\[
q_{\xi, p}(s_p^{-1}) \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \xi_2 q_{\xi, p}(s_p^{-1}) \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \xi_2 s_p^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = s_p^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]
which shows by (32) and (38) that
\[
q_{\xi, p}(s_p^{-1}) \quad \text{is a } \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-basis for } (aa')^{-1}_p.
\]
Furthermore,
\[
B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{is also a } \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-basis for } (aa')^{-1}_p \quad \text{by (34) and (35). Thus we achieve}
\]
\[
q_{\xi, p}(s_p^{-1}) = \gamma_p B^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \gamma_p \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p).
\]  
(39)

Letting \( \gamma = (\gamma_p) \in \prod_p \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) we get
\[
q_{\xi}(s^{-1}) = \gamma B^{-1}.
\]  
(40)

We then deduce that
\[
h(C)^{[s,K]} = (h(\tau)^{A|_{\tau=\xi}})^{[s,K]} \quad \text{by Definition 4.3}
\]
\[
= (h(\tau)^{A|_{\tau=\xi}})^{\sigma(q(s^{-1}))|_{\tau=\xi}} \quad \text{by Proposition 3.4}
\]
\[
= (h(\tau)^{A|_{\tau=\xi}})^{\sigma(\gamma B^{-1})|_{\tau=\xi}} \quad \text{by (40)}
\]
\[
= h(\tau)^{AG|_{\tau=B^{-1}(\xi)}} \quad \text{where } G \text{ is a matrix in } M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that}
\]
\[
G \equiv \gamma_p \mod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{ for all } p \mid N
\]
\[
= h(\tau)^{A\tilde{B}|_{\tau=\xi'}} \quad \text{by (35) and the fact that for each } p \mid N,
\]
\[
s_p = 1 \text{ and so } \gamma_p B^{-1} = I_2 \text{ owing to (37) and (39)}
\]
\[
= h(CC') \quad \text{by Definition 4.3 and (36)}.
\]

In particular, if we consider the case where \( C' = C^{-1} \), then we derive that
\[
h(C) = h(CC'^{[s^{-1},K]}) = h(C_0)^{[s^{-1},K]} = h(\tau_K)^{[s^{-1},K]}.
\]
This implies that \( h(C) \) belongs to \( K_P \) by Proposition 4.2

For each \( p \nmid N \) and \( p \) lying above \( p \), we have by (37) that \( \text{ord}_p s_p = \text{ord}_p a' \), and hence
\[
[s,K]|_{K_P} = \sigma(C').
\]
Therefore we conclude
\[
h(C)^{\sigma(C')} = h(CC').
\]
Extended form class groups as Galois groups

With \( P, K_P \) and \( \Gamma \) as in \([4]\), we shall prove our main theorem which asserts that \( \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)/\sim_\Gamma \) can be regarded as a group isomorphic to \( \text{Gal}(K_P/K) \) through the isomorphism described in \([9]\).

**Lemma 5.1.** If \( Q \in \mathcal{Q}(d_K) \) and \( \gamma \in I_{\omega Q} \), then \( j(\gamma, \omega Q) \in \mathcal{O}_K^* \).

**Proof.** We obtain from \( Q = Q^\gamma \) that
\[
[\omega_Q, 1] = [\gamma(\omega_Q), 1] = \frac{1}{j(\gamma, \omega_Q)}[\omega_Q, 1].
\]
This claims that \( j(\gamma, \omega_Q) \) is a unit in \( \mathcal{O}_K \).

**Remark 5.2.** This lemma can be also justified by using \([10], [11]\) and the property
\[
j(\alpha\beta, \tau) = j(\alpha, \beta(\tau))j(\beta, \tau) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \tau \in \mathbb{H}) \quad (41)
\]
(\([17, (1.2.4)]\)).

**Proposition 5.3.** For given \( P \), the group \( \Gamma \) satisfies the property \([4]\).

**Proof.** Let \( Q = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K) \) and \( \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) such that \( Q^\gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K) \).
Assume that \( j(\gamma, \omega_Q)\mathcal{O}_K \in P \). Then we have
\[
j(\gamma, \omega_Q)\mathcal{O}_K = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2} \mathcal{O}_K \quad \text{for some } \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{O}_K - \{0\}
\]
satisfying
\[
\nu_1 \equiv t_1, \nu_2 \equiv t_2 \pmod{n} \text{ with } t_1, t_2 \in T \quad (42)
\]
and hence
\[
\zeta j(\gamma, \omega_Q) = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2} \quad \text{for some } \zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K^* \quad (43)
\]
For convenience, let \( j = j(\gamma, \omega_Q) \) and \( Q' = Q^\gamma \). Then we deduce
\[
\gamma(\omega_Q) = \omega_{Q'} \quad (44)
\]
and
\[
[\omega_Q, 1] = j[\gamma(\omega_Q), 1] = j[\omega_{Q'}, 1] = \zeta j[\omega_{Q'}, 1].
\]
So there is \( \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ u & v \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) which yields
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \zeta j \omega_{Q'} \\ \zeta j \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} \omega_Q \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (45)
\]
Here, since \( \zeta j \omega_{Q'}/\zeta j = \omega_{Q'}, \omega_Q \in \mathbb{H} \), we get \( \alpha \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) and
\[
\omega_{Q'} = \alpha(\omega_Q). \quad (46)
\]
Thus we attain $\gamma(\omega_Q) = \omega_{Q'} = \alpha(\omega_Q)$ by (44) and (46), from which we get $\omega_Q = (\alpha^{-1}\gamma)(\omega_Q)$ and so
$$\gamma \in \alpha \cdot I_{\omega_Q}. \quad (47)$$
Now that $a_j \in O_K$, we see from (42), (43) and (45) that
$$a_{\nu^2}(\zeta_j) \equiv a_{\nu^1} \equiv at_1 \pmod{n}, \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\nu^2}(\zeta_j) \equiv a_{\nu^2}(u\omega_Q + v) \equiv ut_2(a\omega_Q) + at_2v \pmod{n}.$$ 
It then follows that
$$at_1 \equiv ut_2(a\omega_Q) + at_2v \pmod{n}$$
and hence
$$ut_2(a\omega_Q) + a(t_2v - t_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$
Since $n = NO_K = N[a\omega_Q, 1]$, we have
$$ut_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \quad \text{and} \quad a(t_2v - t_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}.$$ 
Moreover, since $\gcd(N, t_1) = \gcd(N, t_2) = \gcd(N, a) = 1$, we achieve that
$$u \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \quad \text{and} \quad v \equiv t_1t_2^{-1} \pmod{N}$$
where $t_2^{-1}$ is an integer satisfying $t_2t_2^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. This, together with the facts $\det(\alpha) = 1$ and $T$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$, implies $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ u & v \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Therefore we conclude $\gamma \in \Gamma : I_{\omega_Q}$ by (47), as desired.

Conversely, assume that $\gamma \in \Gamma : I_{\omega_Q}$, and so
$$\gamma = \alpha\beta \quad \text{for some } \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ u & v \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in I_{\omega_Q}.$$ 
Here we observe that
$$u \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \quad \text{and} \quad v \equiv t \pmod{N} \quad \text{for some } t \in T. \quad (48)$$
We then derive that
$$j(\gamma, \omega_Q) = j(\alpha\beta, \omega_Q) = j(\alpha, \beta(\omega_Q))j(\beta, \omega_Q) \quad \text{by (11)}$$
$$= j(\alpha, \omega_Q)\zeta \quad \text{for some } \zeta \in O_K^* \quad \text{by the fact } \beta \in I_{\omega_Q} \quad \text{and Lemma 5.1}.$$ 
Thus we attain
$$\zeta^{-1}j(\gamma, \omega_Q) - v = j(\alpha, \omega_Q) - v = (u\omega_Q + v) - v = \frac{1}{a}\{u(a\omega_Q)\}.$$ 
And, it follows from the fact $\gcd(N, a) = 1$ and (48) that
$$\zeta^{-1}j(\gamma, \omega_Q) \equiv^* v \equiv^* t \pmod{n}.$$ 
This shows that $\zeta^{-1}j(\gamma, \omega_Q)O_K \in P$, and hence $j(\gamma, \omega_Q)O_K \in P$.

Therefore, the group $\Gamma$ satisfies the property (14) for $P$. \qed
Theorem 5.4. We have an isomorphism
\[
\mathcal{Q}_N(d_K) / \sim_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_P / K)
\]
\[
[Q] \mapsto (h(\tau_K) \mapsto h(-\omega_Q) \mid h \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, Q} \text{ is finite at } \tau_K).
\]

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 5.3 one may consider \(\mathcal{Q}_N(d_K) / \sim_{\Gamma}\) as a group isomorphic to \(I_K(n)/P\) via the isomorphism \(\phi_{\Gamma}\) in (50). Let \(C \in \text{Cl}(P)\) and so
\[
C = \phi_{\Gamma}([Q]) = [[\omega_Q, 1]] \quad \text{for some } Q \in \mathcal{Q}_N(d_K) / \sim_{\Gamma}.
\]
Note that \(C\) contains an integral ideal \(a = a^\varphi(N)[\omega_Q, 1]\), where \(\varphi\) is the Euler totient function.

We establish by Lemma 5.2 and the definition (1) that
\[
a^{-1} = \frac{1}{N_K/Q(a)} \overline{a} = \frac{1}{a^\varphi(N)-1}[-\omega_Q, 1]
\]
and
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\tau_K \\
1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
a^\varphi(N) & -a^\varphi(N)-1(b+b_K)/2 \\
0 & a^\varphi(N)-1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\overline{-\omega_Q}/a^\varphi(N)-1 \\
1/a^\varphi(N)-1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
where \(\min(\tau_K, Q) = x^2 + b_Kx + c_K \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\). We then derive by Proposition 5.3 that if \(h \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, Q}\) is finite at \(\tau_K\), then
\[
h(C) = h(\tau)^{\begin{bmatrix}
a^\varphi(N) & -a^\varphi(N)-1(b+b_K)/2 \\
0 & a^\varphi(N)-1
\end{bmatrix}}_{\tau = -\overline{-\omega_Q}} \quad \text{by Definition 4.3}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= h(\tau)^{\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -a^{-1}(b+b_K)/2 \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}}_{\tau = -\overline{-\omega_Q}} \quad \text{since } a^\varphi(N) \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \text{ and } a^{-1} \text{ is an integer such that } aa^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \\
&= h(\tau)^{\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -a^{-1}(b+b_K)/2 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}}_{\tau = -\overline{-\omega_Q}} \quad \text{because } h(\tau) \text{ has rational Fourier coefficients} \\
&= h(\tau)_{\tau = -\overline{-\omega_Q}} \quad \text{since } h(\tau) \text{ is modular for } \Gamma \\
&= h(-\overline{-\omega_Q}).
\end{align*}
\]
Now, the isomorphism \(\phi_{\Gamma}\) followed by the isomorphism
\[
\text{Cl}(P) \to \text{Gal}(K_P / K)
\]
\[
C \mapsto (h(\tau_K) = h(C_0) \mapsto h(C_0)^{\sigma(C)} = h(C) = h(-\omega_Q) \mid h \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, Q} \text{ is finite at } \tau_K)
\]
which is induced from Propositions 4.2, 4.6 and Remark 4.5 yields the isomorphism stated in (49), as desired. \(\square\)

Remark 5.5. In [5] Eum, Koo and Shin considered only the case where \(K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\), \(P = P_{K,1}(n)\) and \(\Gamma = \Gamma_1(N)\). As for the group operation of \(\mathcal{Q}_N(d_K)/ \sim_{\Gamma_1(N)}\) one can refer to [5] Remark 2.10. They established an isomorphism
\[
\mathcal{Q}_N(d_K) / \sim_{\Gamma_1(N)} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_n / K)
\]
\[
[Q] = [ax^2 + bxy + cy^2] \mapsto \left(h(\tau_K) \mapsto h(\tau)^{\begin{bmatrix}
a & (b-b_K)/2 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}}_{\tau = \omega_Q} \mid h(\tau) \in \mathcal{F}_N \text{ is finite at } \tau_K\right).
\]
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The difference between the isomorphisms described in \([10]\) and \([51]\) arises from the Definition \([13]\) of \(h(C)\). The invariant \(h_n(C)\) appeared in \([5]\) Definition 3.3 coincides with \(h(C^{-1})\).

6 Finding representatives of extended form classes

In this last section, by improving the proof of Proposition \([2.3]\) further, we shall explain how to find all quadratic forms which represent distinct classes in \(Q_{N,d_k}(d_k)\/\sim_T\).

For a given \(Q = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in Q_{N,d_k}\) we define an equivalence relation \(\equiv_{Q}\) on \(M_{1,2}(\mathbb{Z})\) as follows: Let \([r \ s], [u \ v] \in M_{1,2}(\mathbb{Z})\). Then, \([r \ s] \equiv_{Q} [u \ v]\) if and only if

\[
[r \ s] \equiv t [u \ v] \pmod{NM_{1,2}(\mathbb{Z})}\ 
\text{for some } t \in T \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma_Q
\]

where

\[
\Gamma_Q = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\{ \pm I_2 \} & \text{if } d_k \neq -4, -3,
\{ \pm I_2, \pm \begin{bmatrix} -b/2 & -a^{-1}(b^2 + 4)/4 \\ a & b/2 \end{bmatrix} \} & \text{if } d_k = -4,
\{ \pm I_2, \pm \begin{bmatrix} -(b+1)/2 & -a^{-1}(b^2 + 3)/4 \\ a & -(b+1)/2 \end{bmatrix} \} & \text{if } d_k = -3.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Here, \(a^{-1}\) is an integer satisfying \(aa^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}\).

**Lemma 6.1.** Let \(Q = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in Q_{N,d_k}\) and \([r \ s], [u \ v] \in M_{1,2}(\mathbb{Z})\) such that \(\gcd(N, Q(s, -r)) = \gcd(N, Q(v, -u)) = 1\). Then,

\[
[(r\omega_Q + s)O_K] = [(u\omega_Q + v)O_K] \text{ in } P_K(n)/P \iff [r \ s] \equiv_{Q} [u \ v].
\]

**Proof.** Note that by Lemma \([2.2]\)(i) the fractional ideals \((r\omega_Q + s)O_K\) and \((u\omega_Q + v)O_K\) belong to \(P_K(n)\). Furthermore, we know that

\[
O^*_K = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\{ \pm 1 \} & \text{if } K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}),
\{ \pm 1, \pm \tau_K \} & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}),
\{ \pm 1, \pm \tau_K, \pm \tau_K^2 \} & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})
\end{array} \right.
\]

(\([3]\) Exercise 5.9)) and so

\[
U_K = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid m\tau_K + n \in O^*_K\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\{ (0, 1) \} & \text{if } K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}),
\{ (0, 1), (1, 0) \} & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}),
\{ (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1) \} & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}).
\end{array} \right.
\]

Then we achieve that

\[
[(r\omega_Q + s)O_K] = [(u\omega_Q + v)O_K] \text{ in } P_K(n)/P \iff \frac{r\omega_Q + s}{u\omega_Q + v} \in O_K \text{ in } P
\]

\[
\iff \frac{r\omega_Q + s}{u\omega_Q + v} \equiv t \pmod{n} \text{ for some } \zeta \in O^*_K \text{ and } t \in T.
\]
\[ \iff a(r\omega_Q + s) \equiv \zeta a(u\omega_Q + v) \pmod{n} \quad \text{since } a(u\omega_Q + v)Q \text{ is relatively prime to } n \quad \text{and } a\omega_Q \in O_K \]
\[ \iff r \left(\tau_K + \frac{b_K - b}{2}\right) + as \equiv (m\tau_K + n)t \left\{ u \left(\tau_K + \frac{b_K - b}{2}\right) + av \right\} \pmod{n} \quad \text{for some } (m, n) \in U_K \]
\[ \iff r\tau_K + \left(\frac{r(b_K - b)}{2} + as\right) \equiv t(-mu_K + mk + nu)\tau_K + t(-mu_K + nk) \pmod{n} \]
\[ \iff r \equiv t \left\{ -\left(\frac{b_K + b}{2}\right)m + n \right\} u + tmav \pmod{N} \quad \text{and} \]
\[ s \equiv ta^{-1}\left(\frac{b_K^2 - b^2}{4} - c_K\right)mu + t\left\{ -\left(\frac{b_K - b}{2}\right)m + n \right\} v \pmod{N} \]
\[ \iff \begin{bmatrix} r & s \end{bmatrix} \equiv_Q \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{by } [52] \quad \text{and the definition of } \equiv_Q. \]

\[ \square \]

For each \( Q \in Q_N(d_K) \), let \( M_Q = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \in M_{1,2}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gcd(N, Q(v, -u)) = 1 \right\} \).

**Proposition 6.2.** One can explicitly find quadratic forms representing all distinct classes in \( Q_N(d_K) \)/\( \sim \Gamma \).

**Proof.** We adopt the idea in the proof of Proposition [23]. Let \( Q'_1, Q'_2, \ldots, Q'_h \) be quadratic forms in \( Q_N(d_K) \) which represent all distinct classes in \( C(d_K) = Q(d_K)/\sim \). Then we get by Lemma [6.1] that for each \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, h \)

\[ P_K(n)/P = \left\{ [(u\omega_{Q'_i} + v)O_K] \mid [u \ v] \in M_{Q'_i}/\equiv_{Q'_i} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u\omega_{Q'_i} + v & O_K \end{bmatrix} \mid [u \ v] \in M_{Q'_i}/\equiv_{Q'_i} \right\}. \]

Thus we obtain by [12] that

\[ I_K(n)/P = (P_K(n)/P) \cdot \{ [[\omega_{Q'_i}, 1]] \in I_K(n)/P \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, h \} \]
\[ = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u\omega_{Q'_i} + v & O_K \end{bmatrix} \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, h \quad \text{and} \quad [u \ v] \in M_{Q'_i}/\equiv_{Q'_i} \right\} \]
\[ = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ \frac{u}{v} & \omega_{Q'_i} \end{bmatrix} \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, h \quad \text{and} \quad [u \ v] \in M_{Q'_i}/\equiv_{Q'_i} \right\} \]

where \( \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ \frac{u}{v} & \omega_{Q'_i} \end{bmatrix} \) is a matrix in \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) such that \( \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ \tilde{u} & \tilde{v} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ \frac{u}{v} & \omega_{Q'_i} \end{bmatrix} \pmod{NM_2(\mathbb{Z})} \). Therefore we conclude

\[ Q_N(d_K)/\sim_{\Gamma} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Q'_i[I_{\frac{u}{v}}^{-1}] \end{bmatrix} \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, h \quad \text{and} \quad [u \ v] \in M_{Q'_i}/\equiv_{Q'_i} \right\}. \]

\[ \square \]
Example 6.3. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$, $N = 12$ and $T = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then we get $P = P_K, \mathbb{Z}(n)$ and $K_P = H_O$, where $n = NO_K$ and $O$ is the order of conductor $N$ in $K$. There are two reduced forms of discriminant $d_K = -20$, namely

$$Q_1 = x^2 + 5y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2 = 2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2.$$  

Set

$$Q_1' = Q_1 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2' = Q_2^{[1,1]} = 7x^2 + 22xy + 18y^2$$

which belong to $Q_N(d_K)$. We then see that

$$M_{Q_1'}/\equiv Q_1' = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

with corresponding matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$M_{Q_2'}/\equiv Q_2' = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 11 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

with corresponding matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 11 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Hence there are 16 quadratic forms

$$x^2 + 5y^2, \quad 5x^2 + y^2, \quad 41x^2 + 12xy + y^2, \quad 29x^2 - 26xy + 6y^2, \quad 49x^2 + 34xy + 6y^2, \quad 61x^2 - 38xy + 6y^2, \quad 89x^2 + 46xy + 6y^2, \quad 181x^2 - 60xy + 5y^2, \quad 7x^2 + 22xy + 18y^2, \quad 83x^2 + 48xy + 7y^2, \quad 623x^2 + 132xy + 7y^2, \quad 35x^2 + 20xy + 3y^2, \quad 103x^2 - 86xy + 18y^2, \quad 43x^2 - 18xy + 2y^2, \quad 23x^2 - 16xy + 3y^2, \quad 523x^2 - 194xy + 18y^2$$

which represent all distinct classes in $Q_N(d_K)/\sim_\Gamma = Q_{12}(-20)/\sim_{\Gamma_0(12)}$.

On the other hand, for $\begin{bmatrix} r_1 & r_2 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{1,2}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus M_{1,2}(\mathbb{Z})$ the *Siegel function* $g(r_1, r_2)(\tau)$ is given by the infinite product

$$g(r_1, r_2)(\tau) = -e^{\pi i r_2(r_1 - 1)} q^{(1/2)(r_1^2 - r_1 + 1)/6} (1 - q^{r_1} e^{2 \pi i r_2}) \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{n+r_1} e^{2 \pi i r_2}) (1 - q^{n-r_1} e^{-2 \pi i r_2}) \quad (\tau \in \mathbb{H})$$

which generalizes the Dedekind eta-function $q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$. Then the function

$$g(1/2, 0)(12\tau)^{12} = \left( \frac{\eta(6\tau)}{\eta(12\tau)} \right)^{24}$$
belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_0(12), \mathbb{Q}}$ (Theorem 1.64) or [8], and the Galois conjugates of $g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12\tau_K)^{12}$ over $K$ are

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12\sqrt{-5})^{12}, \\
g_2 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12\sqrt{-5}/5)^{12}, \\
g_3 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}((12(6 + \sqrt{-5}))/41)^{12}, \\
g_4 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12(-13 + \sqrt{-5})/29)^{12}, \\
g_5 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}((12(17 + \sqrt{-5}))/49)^{12}, \\
g_6 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12(-19 + \sqrt{-5})/61)^{12}, \\
g_7 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}((12(23 + \sqrt{-5}))/89)^{12}, \\
g_8 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12(-30 + \sqrt{-5})/181)^{12}, \\
g_9 &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}((12(11 + \sqrt{-5}))/7)^{12}, \\
g_{10} &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12(24 + \sqrt{-5})/83)^{12}, \\
g_{11} &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}((12(66 + \sqrt{-5}))/623)^{12}, \\
g_{12} &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12(10 + \sqrt{-5})/35)^{12}, \\
g_{13} &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}((12(-43 + \sqrt{-5}))/103)^{12}, \\
g_{14} &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12(-9 + \sqrt{-5})/43)^{12}, \\
g_{15} &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}((12(-8 + \sqrt{-5}))/23)^{12}, \\
g_{16} &= g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12(-97 + \sqrt{-5})/523)^{12}
\end{align*}
\]

possibly with some multiplicity. Now, we evaluate

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{16} (x - g_i) = x^{16} + 1251968x^{15} - 14929949056x^{14} + 1684515904384x^{13} - 61912544374756x^{12} + 36233829428160x^{11} + 32778846351721632x^{10} - 845856631699319872x^9 + 4605865492693542918x^8 + 91164259067285621248x^7 - 124917935291699694528x^6 + 18092085564131280640x^5 - 3000295144057714916x^4 + 8871452719720384x^3 + 458008762175904x^2 - 1597177179712x + 1
\]

with nonzero discriminant. Thus $g_{[1/2 \, 0]}(12\tau_K)^{12}$ generates $K_P = H_O$ over $K$.

**Remark 6.4.** In [16] Schertz deals with various constructive problems on the theory of complex multiplication in terms of the Dedekind eta-function and Siegel function. See also [8] and [14].
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