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Abstract

This paper presents the unsteady Darcy’s equations coupled with two nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations, namely this system describes the mass concentration and heat transfer in porous media. The existence and uniqueness of the solution are established for both the variational formulation problem and for its discrete one obtained using spectral discretization. Optimal a priori estimates are given using the Brezzi-Rappaz-Raviart theorem. We conclude by some numerical tests which are in agreement with our theoretical results.
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1. Introduction

Mass transfer is used here in a specialized sense, namely the transport of a substance which is involved as a component (constituent, species) in a fluid mixture, an example is the transport of salt in saline water. As we shall see below, convective mass transfer is analogous to convective heat transfer.

The heat transfer coupling and ground by natural convection in a porous medium saturating fluid, has received much attention in recent years due to the importance of this process that occurs in many geophysical phenomena and engineering, storage of thermal energy and recoverable systems and oil reservoirs. Several studies on this subject are performed by Nield et al [17], Ingham et al [13, 18], Vafai [22], Vádasz [21] and Moorthy et al [15].

In the most commonly occurring circumstances, the transport of heat and mass are not directly coupled, and both mass concentration and heat equations hold without change. Instead, in double-diffusive convection the coupling takes place because the density of the fluid depends on both temperature $T$ and concentration $C$. In this case Darcy’s law is written under Boussinesq approximation in unsteady case as

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_t u + \alpha u + \nabla p &= F(T, C), \\
\nabla \cdot u &= 0.
\end{align*}$$

(1)

Indeed, for sufficiently small isobaric changes in temperature and concentration, the mixture density $\rho$ depends linearly on both $T$ and $C$, and we have approximately

$$F(T, C) = \rho(T, C) g = \rho_0 \left(1 - \beta(T - T_0) + \beta_C(C - C_0)\right) g,$$

(2)

where the subscript zero refers to a reference state, $\beta$ is the volumetric thermal expansion coefficient, $\beta_C$ is the volumetric concentration expansion coefficient, $g$ is the gravitational acceleration and $\rho_0 > 0$ is the initial fluid density.
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In some circumstances, there is a direct coupling. For example, when cross-diffusion (Soret and Dufour effects) is not negligible. Recall that the Soret effect refers to mass flux produced by a temperature gradient, and the Dufour effect refers to heat flux produced by a concentration gradient:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t T + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) T - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{11} \nabla T) - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{12} \nabla C) &= h_1, \\
\partial_t C + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) C - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{22} \nabla C) - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{21} \nabla T) &= h_2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{22}\) are respectively, the thermal and mass diffusivity and \(\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{21}\) are the Dufour and Soret coefficients of the porous medium (see for example \[2, 4, 11, 16, 19\]). The variation of density with temperature and concentration leads to a combined buoyancy force. The fact that the coefficients of the equation \(3\) are different to those of the equation \(1\) leads to interesting effects, such as the oscillating flow over time in the presence of conditions for stable limits.

The paper is organized as follows:

- Section 2 presents the problem setting and its analysis.
- Section 3 is devoted to the description of the discrete problem using a spectral method.
- In Section 4, we perform the a priori analysis of the discretization and prove optimal error estimates.
- Finally, we describe some numerical tests in Section 5. These preliminary tests were realized with FreeFEM3D and are in agreement with our theoretical results.

2. Mathematical preliminaries

2.1. Notations and definitions

We will use Spectral methods to approximate the coupled equations \(1\)-\(3\)-\(4\). These methods are based on the weak formulation of the partial differential equations. In this section, we summarize the notations and definitions needed in our analysis. Let \(\Omega\) be a bounded open set in \(\mathbb{R}^d, d = 2\) or 3, with a Lipschitz-continuous boundary \(\partial \Omega\) divided in two parts \(\Gamma_D\) and \(\Gamma_N = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_D\) such that

**Hypothesis 1.**

(i) the intersection \(\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N\) is a Lipschitz-continuous submanifold of \(\partial \Omega\);

(ii) \(\Gamma_D\) has a positive \((d-1)\)-measure in \(\partial \Omega\).

The inner product and the norm on \(L^2(\Omega)\) or \(L^2(\Omega)^d\) are denoted by \((\cdot, \cdot)\) and \(\| \cdot \|\) respectively. As usual, \(H^s(\Omega), s \in \mathbb{R}\), denotes the real Sobolev space equipped with the norm \(\| \cdot \|_{H^s(\Omega)}\) and semi-norm \(\| \cdot \|_{H^s(\Omega)}\) (see for instance \[1\] Chap. III and VII). For a fixed positive real number \(T_f\) (which is the final time) and a separable Banach space \(E\) equipped with the norm \(\| \cdot \|_E\), we denote by \(C^0([0, T_f]; E)\) the space of continuous functions from \([0, T_f]\) with values in \(E\). For a nonnegative integer \(s\), we also introduce the space \(H^s(0, T_f; E)\) in the following way: It is the space of measurable functions on \([0, T_f]\) with values in \(E\) such that the mappings: \(v \mapsto \| \partial_t^\ell v \|_E, 0 \leq \ell \leq s\), are square-integrable on \([0, T_f]\). We introduce the dual space \(H^s(0, T_f; E)'\) of \(H^s(0, T_f; E)\) (see \[14\] Chap.1, §11 for the definition of this space), and denote by \((\cdot, \cdot)_{\Gamma_N}\) the duality pairing between them. Of course the coupled equations \(1\)-\(3\)-\(4\) are to be complemented with boundary and initial conditions.

2.2. Boundary and initial conditions

In this section we will describe the boundary and initial conditions for the coupled equations \(1\)-\(3\)-\(4\) which we shall consider here. We impose the homogeneous sliding boundary conditions on whole boundary \(\partial \Omega\) on the velocity \(\mathbf{u}\), while Dirichlet–Neumann (mixed boundary conditions) on both temperature and mass \(T, C\) are prescribed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times [0, T_f], \\
T &= T_D \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D \times [0, T_f], \quad \partial_n T = \partial_\mathbf{n} \mathbf{u} = \partial_\mathbf{n} \mathbf{C} = \Psi, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_N \times [0, T_f], \\
C &= C_D \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D \times [0, T_f], \quad \partial_n C = \Psi, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_N \times [0, T_f],
\end{align*}
\]
and initial conditions
\[ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0, \quad T(\cdot, 0) = T_0 \quad \text{and} \quad C(\cdot, 0) = C_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \quad (8) \]

Where \( n \) denotes the outer normal to \( \partial \Omega \) and the data \( T_D, n_1, C_D, \Psi_1, u_0, T_0 \) and \( C_0 \) will be specified later.

In view of equations (1)–(4) we need to impose additional assumptions on the Soret and Dufour coefficients \((\lambda_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}\) and of the function \( F \) in order to avoid unnecessary technicalities: for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \)

(a) The functions \( \lambda_{ij} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are nonnegative, continuous on \( \Omega \) and bounded from below away from 0 and above, i.e. \( \exists \) two constants \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) such that
\[ \forall x \in \Omega, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_{ij}(x) \leq \lambda_2 < +\infty. \quad (9) \]

(b) The following coercivity property holds
\[ \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad z \Lambda z^t \geq \beta |z|^2, \quad (10) \]
where \( \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} \\ \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} \end{pmatrix} \) and \( |\cdot| \) is the euclidean norm in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \).

(c) Due to the Boussinesq assumptions, equation (2) is not essential to our analysis, in the sense that, we will consider more general function \( F \), but under the following hypotheses: \( F \) is continuously differentiable on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with bounded derivatives and there exists a couple of real numbers \((T_b, C_b)\) where \( F \) vanishes and we set \( \gamma = \sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla F(x,y)| \).

So, we proceed to the change of variable by setting \( \vartheta = T - T_b, \quad \Psi = C - C_b \) and we introduce \( f(\vartheta, \Psi) = \frac{1}{\gamma} F(T, C) \). This function vanishes at \((0,0)\), is continuously differentiable on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and the norm of its gradient is less than 1. Using the mean value Theorem, we obtain
\[ |f(x,y)| \leq (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}, \quad \forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (11) \]

Thanks to this change, the coupled problem equations (1)-(3)-(4) complemented with its boundary and initial conditions can be rewritten as:
\[ \begin{cases} 
\partial_t u + \alpha u + \nabla p = \gamma f(\vartheta, \Psi) \\
\nabla \cdot u = 0 \\
\partial_t \vartheta + (u \cdot \nabla) \vartheta - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{11} \nabla \vartheta) - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{12} \nabla \Psi) = h_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times ]0, T_f[ \\
\partial_t \Psi + (u \cdot \nabla) \Psi - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{21} \nabla \Psi) - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{22} \nabla \vartheta) = h_2 \\
\end{cases} \quad (12) \]
\[ \begin{cases} 
u \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \times ]0, T_f[ \\
\vartheta = \vartheta_D = T_D - T_b \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi = \Psi_D = C_D - C_b \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_D \times ]0, T_f[ \\
\partial_n \vartheta = \vartheta_\# \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_n \Psi = \Psi_\# \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_N \times ]0, T_f[ \\
\end{cases} \quad (13) \]
\[ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0, \quad \vartheta(\cdot, 0) = \vartheta_0 = T_0 - T_b \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\cdot, 0) = \Psi_0 = C_0 - C_b \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \quad (14) \]

From now on, we prefer to deal with this system.
2.3. Weak formulation

In this section, we discuss the weak formulation of the coupled equations \([12]\). To do so, all source functions, initial and boundary data are assumed satisfying the following regularity assumptions

\[
\begin{align*}
    h_1, h_2 &\in L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)), \quad \psi_1 \in L^2(0, T_f; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_N)), \\
    \vartheta, \psi_D &\in L^2(0, T_f; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D)), \quad u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)^d, \quad \vartheta_0, \psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega).
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

Since \(\vartheta\) and \(\psi_D\) belong to \(L^2(0, T_f; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D))\), there exist liftings denoted by \(R(\vartheta)\) and \(R(\psi_D)\) respectively, which belong to \(L^2(0, T_f; H^2(\Omega))\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
    \|R(\vartheta)\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^2(\Omega))} &\leq c_0\|\vartheta\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D))} \\
    \|R(\psi_D)\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^2(\Omega))} &\leq c_0\|\psi_D\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D))}.
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

where the constant \(c_0 > 0\) depends only on \(\Omega\). Also, we refer to Hopf lemma (see \([12, \text{Chap. IV, lem. 2.3}]\) for the following result: for any \(\varepsilon > 0\) and for all \(t \in [0, T_f]\),

\[
\begin{align*}
    \|R(\vartheta)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq \varepsilon\|\vartheta(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D)} \\
    \|R(\psi_D)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq \varepsilon\|\psi_D(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D)}.
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

We next consider the following space

\[
H^1_0(\Omega) = \{ \eta \in H^1(\Omega), \eta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D \}
\]

(18)

and we introduce the pressure space

\[
H^1_0(\Omega) = H^1(\Omega) \cap L^2_0(\Omega) = \{ q \in H^1(\Omega), \int_\Omega q \, dx = 0 \}
\]

where \(L^2_0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}\) the space of \(L^2\) functions with vanishing mean.

Based on all that we have introduced above, the time dependent variational formulation of \([12]\) complemented with its boundary and initial conditions is given as follow: Find \((u, p, \vartheta, \Psi)\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &\in H^1(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T_f; L^3(\Omega)^d) \\
    p &\in L^2(0, T_f; H^1_0(\Omega)) \\
    \vartheta, \Psi &\in H^1(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega)), \\
    \vartheta &\equiv \vartheta_D \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi = \Psi_D \text{ on } \Gamma_D \times [0, T_f]
\end{align*}
\]

and for a.e \(0 \leq t \leq T_f\) and for all \((v, q) \in L^2(\Omega)^d \times H^1_0(\Omega),\)

\[
\begin{align*}
    \int_\Omega \partial_t u \cdot v \, dx + \alpha \int_\Omega u \cdot v \, dx + \int_\Omega v \cdot \nabla p \, dx = \gamma \int_\Omega f(\vartheta, \Psi) \cdot v \, dx, \\
    \int_\Omega v \cdot \nabla q \, dx = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

(20)

For all \((\eta, \Phi) \in (H^1_0(\Omega))^2,\)

\[
\begin{align*}
    \int_\Omega \partial_t \vartheta \, \eta \, dx + \int_\Omega (u \cdot \nabla) \vartheta \, \eta \, dx + \int_\Omega \lambda_{11} \nabla \vartheta \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx \\
    + \int_\Omega \lambda_{12} \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx = \int_\Omega h_1 \, \eta \, dx + \langle (\lambda_{11} \vartheta_2 + \lambda_{12} \psi_D), \eta \rangle_{\Gamma_N}, \\
    \int_\Omega \partial_t \psi_D \, dx + \int_\Omega (u \cdot \nabla) \psi_D \, dx + \int_\Omega \lambda_{21} \nabla \vartheta \cdot \nabla \psi_D \, dx \\
    + \int_\Omega \lambda_{22} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \psi_D \, dx = \int_\Omega h_2 \, \psi_D \, dx + \langle (\lambda_{22} \psi_2 + \lambda_{21} \vartheta_2), \psi_D \rangle_{\Gamma_N}.
\end{align*}
\]

(21)

(22)
We summarize the essential ingredients for this time dependent weak formulation to be well-posed. We first start by the inf-sup condition which is obviously to check:

\[ \forall q \in H^1(\Omega), \quad \sup_{v \in L^2(\Omega)^d} \frac{\int_{\Omega} v \cdot \nabla q \, dx}{\|v\|} = \|\nabla q\|. \] (23)

Next, we prove the following stability result.

**Proposition 1.** For all data such that \( \|f\| \leq M \) holds, any weak solution \((u, \vartheta, \Psi)\) of problem \((19)-(22)\) satisfies the following stability estimates for all \( t \in [0, T_f] \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|u\|^2_{L^2(0,t;L^2(\Omega)^d)} & \leq \|u_0\|^2 + 2\gamma^2 \left( \|\vartheta\|^2_{L^2(0,t;L^2(\Omega)^d)} + \|\Psi\|^2_{L^2(0,t;L^2(\Omega))} \right), \\
\|\vartheta(t)\|^2 + \|\Psi(t)\|^2 + \beta \left( \|\vartheta\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))} + \|\Psi\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Omega))} \right) & \leq \left( \|\vartheta_0\|^2 + \|\Psi_0\|^2 \right) + h_1 \|\vartheta\|^2_{L^2(0,t;L^2(\Omega))} + h_2 \|\Psi\|^2_{L^2(0,t;L^2(\Omega))} \\
& \quad + 2\lambda_2 \left( \|\vartheta\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Gamma_N))} + \|\Psi\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Gamma_N))} \right) \\
& \quad + (\lambda_2 + c_0) \left( \|\vartheta\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Gamma_N))} + \|\Psi\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^1(\Gamma_N))} \right).
\end{align*}
\] (24)

**Proof.** To obtain the first estimate \((24)\), we take \( v = u \) in \((19)\), we use successively \((20), (11)\) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we find for all \( t \in [0, T_f] \)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|u\|^2 \leq \gamma \left( \|\vartheta\|^2 + \|\Psi\|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u\|.
\]

Integrating on time and using Young’s inequality yield \((24)\). Next, taking test functions \( \eta = \tilde{\vartheta} - \vartheta - R(\vartheta_D) \) and \( \Phi = \Psi - R(\Psi_D) \) in \((21)-(22)\), adding up the two obtained equations, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\tilde{\vartheta}|^2 + |\tilde{\Psi}|^2) \, dx \right) + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{11} |\nabla \tilde{\vartheta}|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{22} |\nabla \tilde{\Psi}|^2 \, dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{12} \nabla \tilde{\Psi} \cdot \nabla \vartheta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{21} \nabla \tilde{\vartheta} \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dx \\
& = \langle H_1, \tilde{\vartheta} \rangle + \langle H_2, \tilde{\Psi} \rangle
\end{align*}
\]

where \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) are defined as follow

\[
\langle H_1, \eta \rangle = \int_{\Omega} h_1 \eta \, dx + \langle \lambda_{11} \vartheta + \lambda_{12} \Psi, \eta \rangle_{\Gamma_N} \\
- \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_D R(\vartheta_D) \eta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla) R(\vartheta_D) \eta \, dx \\
- \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{11} \nabla R(\vartheta_D) \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{12} \nabla R(\Psi_D) \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx,
\]

\[
\langle H_2, \Phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} h_2 \Phi \, dx + \langle \lambda_{22} \Psi + \lambda_{21} \vartheta, \Phi \rangle_{\Gamma_N} \\
- \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_D R(\vartheta_D) \Phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla) R(\vartheta_D) \Phi \, dx \\
- \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{22} \nabla R(\Psi_D) \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{12} \nabla R(\vartheta_D) \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dx.
\]
Hence, integrating on time $t$, using properties (11)-(14), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality repeatedly and applying Young’s inequality combining with lifting estimates (16) and (17) with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)^d}}$, we deduce the desired estimate.

Let us point out some remarks concerning Proposition (1) and its proof.

**Remark 1.** (1) *In the velocity stability* (24), the dependence of unknowns on $\vartheta$ and $\Psi$ can be expressed by combining both estimates (24) and (25).

(2) *The dependence of the velocity in the heat and mass stability estimate* (25) *does not appear explicitly. This comes from the choice of $\varepsilon$ equal to $\frac{1}{\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)^d}}$ to bound the lifting terms.*

(3) *in [3] Bernardi et al. analyzed problem (19) - (20) provided by Dirichlet condition on the pressure. They prove the existence and uniqueness of its solution $(u, p)$ in $H^1(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^2_s(\Omega))$, for all data $\vartheta$ and $\Psi$ in $L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega))$ and $u_0$ in $L^2(\Omega)^d$. Moreover, this solution satisfies the following stability estimate
\[
\|u\|_{H^1(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d)} + \|p\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))} \leq c(\|u_0\| + \|\vartheta\|_{L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega))} + \|\Psi\|_{L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega))}).
\]

Furthermore, the authors prove the following regularity result, see [3, Prop. 2.1]*

**Proposition 2.** Let $s$ be a real number equal to 1 if $\Omega$ is convex, to $\frac{3}{2}$ otherwise. We assume that

(i) $\vartheta$ and $\Psi$ belong to $L^2(0, T_f; H^s(\Omega))$ and such that $\nabla \cdot f(\vartheta, \Psi)$ belongs to $L^2([0, T_f]\times\Omega),$ 
(ii) the initial velocity $u_0$ belongs to $H^s(\Omega)^d$.

Then, the solution $(u, p)$ of problem (19) - (20) belongs to $H^1(0, T_f; H^s(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^{s+1}(\Omega))$.

The following theorem give us the existence result.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that the domain $\Omega$ is a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^2$, or a convex or a polyhedron of $\mathbb{R}^3$. For all data $h_1, h_2, \vartheta_D, \Psi_D, \vartheta, \Psi$ and $\vartheta_0, \Psi_0$ satisfy (15) problems (19) - (22) has a solution.

**Proof.** First, from Remark (1) we establish the existence of $(u, p)$. Next, considering the application $F$ which associates any $(\vartheta, \Psi)$ the solution $u$ of (19) - (20), then, the application
\[
(\vartheta, \Psi) \mapsto \left( h_1 = (F(\vartheta, \Psi) \cdot \nabla)\vartheta + \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{11} \nabla \vartheta) + \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{12} \nabla \Psi), \quad h_2 = (F(\vartheta, \Psi) \cdot \nabla)\Psi + \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{22} \nabla \Psi) + \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{21} \nabla \vartheta) \right)
\]
is Lipschitz-continuous on $H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$. Owing to density of $D(\Omega)$ in $H^1(\Omega)$, there exists an increasing sequence $(\Psi_n)_n$ of finite dimensional subspaces of $H^1(\Omega)$, such that $\cup_n \mathbb{W}_n$ is dense in $H^1(\Omega)$. Therefore it follows from the Cauchy–Lipschitz theorem that (24) - (22) has a unique solution $((\vartheta_n, \Psi_n))_n$ in $C^0(0, T_f; \mathbb{W}_n)^2$. Since $((\vartheta_n, \Psi_n))_n$ is bounded using (25), there exists a subsequence $((\vartheta_n, \Psi_n))_n$ which converges weakly to $(\vartheta, \Psi)$ in $H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$. Thanks to Proposition 2 the mapping $F$ is continuous from $H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ into $H^s(\Omega)^d$. Combining with compactness embedding of $H^s(\Omega)$ in $L^3(\Omega)$ (see Amrouche et al. [3], prop. 3.7)), there exists a subsequence still denoted by $((\vartheta_n, \Psi_n))_n$ which converges weakly to $(\vartheta, \Psi)$ in $H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ and such that the sequence $(F(\vartheta_n, \Psi_n))_n$ converges strongly to $F(\vartheta, \Psi)$ in $L^2(\Omega)^d$. Then, the sequences $(F(\vartheta_n, \Psi_n) \cdot \nabla \vartheta_n)_n$ and $(F(\vartheta_n, \Psi_n) \cdot \nabla \Psi_n)_n$ respectively converge to $F(\vartheta, \Psi) \cdot \nabla \vartheta$ and $F(\vartheta, \Psi) \cdot \nabla \Psi$. Finally we deduce that $(\vartheta, \Psi)$ belongs to $C^0(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega)) \times C^0(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))$ and is solution of problem (19) - (22).

The uniqueness result requires additional assumptions.
Proposition 3. Assume that problem \((19)–(22)\) has a solution \((u, p, \vartheta, \Psi)\) such that \((\vartheta, \Psi)\) belongs to \(L^\infty(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)\) and there exists a nonnegative constant \(\mu\) such that

\[
\|\vartheta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \mu. \tag{26}
\]

Then, this solution is unique.

Proof. Let \((u_1, p_1, \vartheta_1, \Psi_1)\) et \((u_2, p_2, \vartheta_2, \Psi_2)\) be two solutions of problem \((19)–(22)\) such that \((\vartheta_1, \Psi_1)\) belongs to \(L^\infty(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)\) and satisfies \((26)\). We set

\[
u = u_1 - u_2, \quad p = p_1 - p_2, \quad \vartheta = \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2, \quad \Psi = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2.
\]

we proceed in two steps.

1) Taking \(\eta = \vartheta\) and \(\Phi = \Psi\) in \((21)\) and \((22)\), this yields

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt}(\|\vartheta\|^2 + \|\Psi\|^2) +\beta(\|\nabla \vartheta\|^2 + \|\nabla \Psi\|^2) \leq \|u\|(\|\vartheta_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\nabla \vartheta\| + \|\Psi_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\nabla \Psi\|).
\]

By using Young’s inequality, we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt}(\|\vartheta\|^2 + \|\Psi\|^2) \leq \frac{1}{2\beta}\|u\|^2(\|\vartheta_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Psi_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2),
\]

since \(\vartheta_1\) et \(\Psi_1\) satisfy \((26)\).

\[
\frac{d}{dt}(\|\vartheta\|^2 + \|\Psi\|^2) \leq \frac{\mu^2}{\beta}\|u\|^2.
\]

Integrating between 0 and \(t\), we deduce that

\[
\|\vartheta(\cdot, t)\|^2 + \|\Psi(\cdot, t)\|^2 \leq \frac{\mu^2}{\beta}\|u\|_{L^2(0, t; L^2(\Omega)^d)}^2. \tag{27}
\]

2) Next we take \(v = u\) in \((19)\), this yields

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2 + \alpha\|u\|^2 \leq \gamma(\|\vartheta\| + \|\Psi\|)\|u\|.
\]

Once again using Young’s inequality combining with \((22)\) give us

\[
\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2 \leq \frac{\mu^2}{\alpha\beta\gamma}\|u\|_{L^2(0, t; L^2(\Omega)^d)}^2.
\]

It follows from Gronwall’s lemma that \(u\) is equal to 0. So, we derive from \((27)\) that \(\vartheta = \Psi = 0\). This concludes the proof.

3. The time semi-discrete problem

Now, we consider the time discretization of the coupled problem \((19)–(22)\) introduced in the last section. In order to make its analysis, we first introduce a partition of the interval \([0, T_f]\) into subintervals \([t_{m-1}, t_m]\), \(1 \leq m \leq M\), such that \(0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M = T_f\). We denote by \(\tau_m\) the time step \(t_m - t_{m-1}\), by \(\tau\) the \(M\)-tuple \((\tau_1, ..., \tau_M)\) and by \(|\tau|\) the maximum of the \(\tau_m\), \(1 \leq m \leq M\). We assume that

\[
\max_m \frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}} \leq \sigma_\tau, \quad \text{where \(\sigma_\tau\) depends on the discretization.}
\]

We denote by \(u^m, p^m, \vartheta^m\) and \(\Psi^m\) the approximate solutions at time \(t_m\), and we assume that all data are continuous in time, see later.

The implicit backward Euler’s scheme applied to \((19)–(21)\) results in:
• Find \( (u^m, p^m, \vartheta^m, \Psi^m) \in L^3(\Omega)^d \times H^1_0(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \), such that

\[
\vartheta^m = \vartheta_D^m, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^m = \Psi_D^m \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_D
\]

• Initialization: \( u^0 = u_0, \ p^0 = p_0, \ \vartheta^0 = \vartheta_0 \) and \( \Psi^0 = \Psi_0 \) in \( \Omega \)

• Darcy Step: for all \( (v, q) \in L^2(\Omega)^d \times H^1_0(\Omega) \)

\[
\int \Omega \frac{u^m - u^{m-1}}{\tau_m} \cdot v dx + \alpha \int \Omega u^m \cdot v dx + \int \Omega v \cdot \nabla p^m dx = \gamma \int \Omega f(\vartheta^m, \Psi^m) \cdot v dx,
\]

\[
\int \Omega u^m \cdot \nabla q dx = 0.
\]

• Heat Step: \( \forall \eta \in H^1_0(\Omega) \)

\[
\int \Omega \frac{\vartheta^m - \vartheta^{m-1}}{\tau_m} \eta dx + \int \Omega (u^m \cdot \nabla) \vartheta^m \eta dx + \int \Omega \lambda_{11} \nabla \vartheta^m \cdot \nabla \eta dx + \int \Omega \lambda_{12} \nabla \Psi^m \cdot \nabla \eta dx = \int \Omega h^m_1 \eta dx + (\lambda_{11} \vartheta^m_1 + \lambda_{12} \Psi^m_1, \eta)_{\Gamma_N}.
\]

• Concentration Step: \( \forall \Phi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \)

\[
\int \Omega \frac{\Psi^m - \Psi^{m-1}}{\tau_m} \Phi dx + \int \Omega (u^m \cdot \nabla) \Psi^m \Phi dx + \int \Omega \lambda_{21} \nabla \Psi^m \cdot \nabla \Phi dx = \int \Omega h^m_2 \Phi dx + (\lambda_{22} \Psi^m_2 + \lambda_{21} \Psi^m_1, \Phi)_{\Gamma_N}.
\]

**Remark 2.**

(a) In this scheme, it is commonly accepted that the initial pressure \( p^0 \) can be taken equal to the atmospheric pressure which is in \( H^1(\Omega) \),

(b) It can be noted that this problem makes sense since all \( h_1, h_2, \vartheta_D, \vartheta_1, \Psi_D \) and \( \Psi_1 \) are continuous on time.

Proving its well-posedness relies on rather different arguments as previously.

**Proposition 4.** For all continuous data functions

\[
h_1, h_2 \in C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)), \quad \vartheta_D, \Psi_D \in C^0(0, T_f; H^1(\Gamma_D)),
\]

\[
\vartheta_2, \Psi_2 \in C^0(0, T_f; H^1_0(\Gamma_N)), \quad u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)^d \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta_0, \Psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega),
\]

scheme \( [23] \) - \( [24] \) and \( [30] \) complemented with initialization step has a solution \( (u^m, p^m, \vartheta^m, \Psi^m) \) in \( L^3(\Omega)^d \times H^1_0(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \). Moreover, this solution satisfies the following estimates for all \( m, 0 \leq m \leq M \)

\[
\|u^m\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\nabla p^j\|^2 \leq c \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta^j\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\Psi^j\|^2 \right) + \|u_0\|^2,
\]

(31)
and

\[ \|\vartheta^m\|^2 + \|\Psi^m\|^2 + \beta \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\Psi_j\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right) \]

\[ \leq \|\vartheta_0\|^2 + \|\Psi_0\|^2 + c \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|h_j\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|h_j\|^2 \right) \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_N)}^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j - \vartheta_j - 1\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j - \vartheta_j - 1\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\Psi_j\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_N)}^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j - \vartheta_j - 1\|_{H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \]

(32)

**Proof.** We skip the proof of existence of \((u^m_p, p^m, \vartheta^m, \Psi^m)\) which is rather standard and simpler than in Section 2 and we prove only the estimates (31) and (32). To prove the first one, we take \(v = u^m\) in the first step (28). Standard arguments give

\[ \|u^m\|^2 \leq c \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\Psi_j\|^2 \right) + \|u_0\|^2. \]

In the second step (28), we take \(v = \nabla p^m\), this yields

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\nabla p_j\|^2 \leq c \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\vartheta_j\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j \|\Psi_j\|^2 \right) + \|u_0\|^2. \]

To establish (32), we perform the following change of variable in (29) -(30)

\[ \tilde{\vartheta}^m = \vartheta^m - \mathcal{R}(\vartheta_D^m) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Psi}^m = \Psi^m - \mathcal{R}(\Psi_D^m) \]
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Then, joins together the obtained equations and taking \((\eta, \Phi) = \left(\tilde{\vartheta}^m, \tilde{\Psi}^m\right)\), we have
\[
\frac{1}{2\tau_m} \left(\|\tilde{\vartheta}^m\|^2 - \|\tilde{\vartheta}^{m-1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{\vartheta}^m - \tilde{\vartheta}^{m-1}\|^2 \right.
+ \|\tilde{\Psi}^m\|^2 - \|\tilde{\Psi}^{m-1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{\Psi}^m - \tilde{\Psi}^{m-1}\|^2 \bigg)
+ \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1 (\nabla \tilde{\vartheta}^m)^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_2 (\nabla \tilde{\Psi}^m)^2 \, dx
\]
\[
+ \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1 (\nabla \tilde{\vartheta}^m) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\vartheta}^m \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_2 (\nabla \tilde{\Psi}^m) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\Psi}^m \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\Omega} h_1 (\tilde{\vartheta}^m) \, dx + \langle (\lambda_1 \tilde{\vartheta}^m + \lambda_2 \tilde{\Psi}^m), \tilde{\vartheta}^m \rangle_{\Gamma_N}
+ \int_{\Omega} h_2 (\tilde{\Psi}^m) \, dx + \langle (\lambda_2 \tilde{\Psi}^m + \lambda_2 \tilde{\vartheta}^m), \tilde{\Psi}^m \rangle_{\Gamma_N}
\]
\[
- \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathcal{R}(\tilde{\vartheta}^{m-1}) - \mathcal{R}(\vartheta_d)}{\tau_m} \tilde{\vartheta}^m \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (u^m \cdot \nabla) \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\vartheta}^m) \, dx
\]
\[
- \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1 (\nabla \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\vartheta}^m)) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\vartheta}^m \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_2 (\nabla \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\Psi}^m)) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\Psi}^m \, dx
\]
\[
- \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathcal{R}(\tilde{\Psi}^{m-1}) - \mathcal{R}(\Psi_d)}{\tau_m} \tilde{\Psi}^m \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (u^m \cdot \nabla) \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\Psi}^m) \, dx
\]
\[
- \int_{\Omega} \lambda_2 (\nabla \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\Psi}^m)) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\Psi}^m \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1 (\nabla \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\vartheta}^m)) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\vartheta}^m \, dx.
\]

Next we use the coercivity property \((10)\) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2\tau_m} \left(\|\tilde{\vartheta}^m\|^2 - \|\tilde{\vartheta}^{m-1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{\vartheta}^m - \tilde{\vartheta}^{m-1}\|^2 \right.
+ \|\tilde{\Psi}^m\|^2 - \|\tilde{\Psi}^{m-1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{\Psi}^m - \tilde{\Psi}^{m-1}\|^2 \bigg)
+ \beta(\|\nabla \tilde{\vartheta}^m\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{\Psi}^m\|^2)
\]
\[
\leq \left[\|h_1^m\| + \lambda_2 \left(\|\vartheta_d\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_N)} + \|\Psi_d\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_N)}\right)\right]
\]
\[
+ \left[\|h_2^m\| + \lambda_2 \left(\|\vartheta_d\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_N)} + \|\Psi_d\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_N)}\right)\right]
\]
\[
+ \left(\|u^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)^d} \|\mathcal{R}(\vartheta_d)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda_2 \|\nabla \mathcal{R}(\vartheta_d)\|\right) \|\nabla \tilde{\vartheta}^m\|
\]
\[
+ \left(\|u^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)^d} \|\mathcal{R}(\Psi_d)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda_2 \|\nabla \mathcal{R}(\Psi_d)\|\right) \|\nabla \tilde{\Psi}^m\|.
\]

First, using Poincaré and Young’s inequalities combining with \((17)\). Next, multiplying by \(\tau_m\) and summing over \(m\) give the desired estimate.

4. The fully discrete problem

In order to apply the spectral method to our problem, we first assume that the domain \(\Omega\) is the square or the cube \([-1,1]^d\), \(d = 2\) or \(3\), and that all data \(h_1, h_2, \vartheta_d, \vartheta_N, \xi_D\) and \(\xi_N\) are continuous on \(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_f]\)
and on \(\partial \Omega \times [0, T_f]\). We next introduce, for each nonnegative \(n\), the space \(\mathbb{P}_n(\Omega)\) of restrictions to \(\Omega\) of polynomials with \(d\) variables and degree with respect to each variable lower or equal to \(n\). Concerning the discrete spaces, let us first denote by \(N\) a fixed positive integer. Then, we define the following standard working discrete spaces:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{X}_N &= \mathbb{P}_N(\Omega)^d, & \mathbb{Y}_N &= \mathbb{P}_N(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{Y}^*_N &= \mathbb{P}_N(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega), & \mathbb{Y}^*_N &= \mathbb{P}_N(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega).
\end{align*}
\]  

(33)

We recall that there exist a unique set of \(N + 1\) nodes \((\xi_j)_{0 \leq j \leq N}\), with \((\xi_0, \xi_N) = (-1, 1)\) and a unique set of \(N + 1\) weights \((\rho_j)_{0 \leq j \leq N}\), such that the following equality holds

\[
\forall \phi \in \mathbb{P}_{2N-1}(-1, 1), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} \phi(\zeta) \, d\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \phi(\xi_i) \rho_i.
\]  

(34)

We also recall the following property, which is useful throughout this paper, see for instance Bernardi et al.

\[
\forall \phi_N \in \mathbb{P}_N(-1, 1), \quad \|\phi_N\|_{L^2(-1, 1)}^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \phi_N^2(\xi_i) \rho_i \leq 3 \|\phi_N\|_{L^2(-1, 1)}^2.
\]  

(35)

As standard in spectral methods, we introduce the grid \(\Xi\) defined by:

\[
\Xi = \begin{cases} 
\{(\xi_i, \xi_j): & 0 \leq i, j \leq N \\
\{\xi, \xi_j, \xi_k): & 0 \leq i, j, k \leq N \}
\end{cases} \quad \text{in the case } d = 2,
\]  

(36)

in the case \(d = 3\).

We denote by \(\mathcal{I}_N\) the Lagrange interpolation operator at the nodes of the grid \(\Xi\) with values in \(\mathbb{P}_N(\Omega)\), and by \(\mathcal{I}_D^N\) the Lagrange interpolation operator at the nodes of \(\Xi \cap \Gamma_D\) with values in the space of traces of functions in \(\mathbb{P}_N(\Omega)\) on \(\Gamma_D\).

Finally, we introduce the discrete product which is a scalar product on \(\mathbb{P}_N(\Omega)\) from (35), defined for all continuous functions \(u\) and \(v\) on \(\Omega\) by

\[
(u, v)_N = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} u(\xi_i, \xi_j) v(\xi_i, \xi_j) \rho_i \rho_j & \text{if } d = 2 \\
\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} u(\xi_i, \xi_j, \xi_k) v(\xi_i, \xi_j, \xi_k) \rho_i \rho_j \rho_k & \text{if } d = 3.
\end{cases}
\]  

(37)

Also, on each edge or face \(\Gamma_\ell\) of \(\Omega\), we define a discrete product (for example if \(\Gamma_\ell = \{-1\} \times [-1, 1]^{d-1}\))

\[
(u, v)_{N, \Gamma_\ell} = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{j=0}^{N} u(\xi_0, \xi_j) v(\xi_0, \xi_j) \rho_j & \text{if } d = 2 \\
\sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} u(\xi_0, \xi_j, \xi_k) v(\xi_0, \xi_j, \xi_k) \rho_j \rho_k & \text{if } d = 3.
\end{cases}
\]  

(38)

A global product on \(\Gamma_N\) is then defined by adding all ones

\[
(u, v)_{\Gamma_N} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} (u, v)_{N, \Gamma_\ell}
\]

where \(\mathcal{L}\) stands for the set of indices \(\ell\) such that \(\Gamma_\ell\) is contained in \(\Gamma_N\). Then, for all continuous data functions \(h_1, h_2\) on \(\Omega \times [0, T_f]\) and \(\vartheta_N, \Psi_N\) on \(\Gamma_N \times [0, T_f]\), the discrete problem is obtained from (28–30) and its initialization step:

- **Find:** \((u^0_N, p^0_N, \vartheta^0_N, \Psi^0_N) \in \mathbb{X}_N \times \mathbb{Y}_N \times \mathbb{Y}_N \times \mathbb{Y}_N\), such that
• Initialization: $u^0_N = I_N u_0$, $\vartheta^0_N = I_N \vartheta_0$ and $\Psi^0_N = I_N \Psi_0$ in $\Omega$

• Darcy Step: for all $v_N \in X_N$ and for all $q_N \in Y_N$

$$
\left( u^N_m - u^{m-1}_N, v_N \right)_N + \alpha \left( u^N_m, v_N \right)_N + \left( v_N, \nabla p^N_m \right)_N = \gamma \left( f(\vartheta_N^m, \Psi^m_N), v_N \right)_N
$$

\begin{equation}
(u^N_m, \nabla q)_N = 0.
\end{equation}

• Heat Step: for all $\eta_N \in Y^*_N$

$$
\vartheta^m_N = T^D_N \vartheta^m_D \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D
$$

$$
\left( \vartheta^m_N - \vartheta^{m-1}_N, \eta_N \right)_N + \left( (u^m_N \cdot \nabla) \vartheta_N^m, \eta_N \right)_N + \left( \lambda_{12} \nabla \Psi^m_N, \nabla \eta_N \right)_N
$$

\begin{equation}
+ \left( \lambda_{12} \nabla \Psi^m_N, \nabla \eta_N \right)_N = \left( h^m_1, \eta_N \right)_N + \left( \left( \lambda_{12} \vartheta^m_N + \lambda_{12} \Psi^m_N, \eta_N \right)_N \right)^{\Gamma_N}.
\end{equation}

• Concentration Step: for all $\Phi_N \in Y^*_N$

$$
\Psi^m_N = T^D_N \Psi^m_D \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D
$$

$$
\left( \Psi^m_N - \Psi^{m-1}_N, \Phi_N \right)_N + \left( (u^m_N \cdot \nabla) \Psi_N^m, \Phi_N \right)_N + \left( \lambda_{22} \nabla \Psi^m_N, \nabla \Phi_N \right)_N
$$

\begin{equation}
+ \left( \lambda_{21} \nabla \vartheta^m_N, \nabla \Phi_N \right)_N = \left( h^m_2, \Phi_N \right)_N + \left( \left( \lambda_{22} \Psi^m_N + \lambda_{21} \vartheta^m_N, \Phi_N \right)_N \right)^{\Gamma_N}.
\end{equation}

As in Section 2 similar arguments can be used to prove the existence result of the fully discrete scheme $(39)$–$(41)$: (we skip its proof)

**Proposition 5.** For all continuous functions $h_1, h_2$ on $\Omega \times [0, T_f]$, $\vartheta_D, \Psi_D$ on $\Gamma_D \times [0, T_f]$ and $\vartheta_2, \Psi_2$ on $\Gamma_N \times [0, T_f]$, the discrete scheme $(39)$–$(40)$–$(41)$ complemented with the initialization step has a solution.

### 5. A priori error estimate

In this section, we derive a priori estimates for the velocity, pressure, heat and mass unknowns which make consistent. The remainder of our analysis is somewhat technical. In fact, following the prior works by Brezzi-Rappaz-Raviart [1], we here develop a thorough theory of a priori error estimates of spectral solutions of $(39)$–$(41)$. We first define the linear operator $T$, which associates with any data $(f, u_0)$ in $L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(\Omega)^d$, the solution $U = (u, p)$ of the following problem: $u|_{t=0} = u_0$ in $\Omega$ and for all $(v, q) \in L^2(\Omega)^d \times H^1_0(\Omega)$

\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega} \partial_t u \cdot v \ dx + \int_{\Omega} u \cdot v \ dx + \int_{\Omega} v \cdot \nabla p \ dx = \gamma \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \ dx,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla q \ dx = 0.
\end{equation}

We introduce the linear operator $L$ which associates with any data $h_i, \omega_{ij}, \omega_i$ and $\omega_{0}$, $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$ the solution $\omega_i$ of the following problem

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
\partial_t \omega_i - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_i \nabla \omega_i) - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{ij} \nabla \omega_j) = h_i & \text{in } \Omega \times ]0, T_f[ \\
\omega_i = \omega_{ij} & \text{on } \Gamma_D \text{ and } \partial_n \omega_i = \omega_{ij} & \text{on } \Gamma_N \times ]0, T_f[ \\
\omega_i(\cdot, 0) = \omega_{0i} & \text{in } \Omega \times ]0, T_f[.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
To simplify, we set the following space (global space)

\[ \mathcal{X} = (H^1(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \cap L^2(0, T_f; L^3(\Omega)^d)) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega)) \times (H^1(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))) \]

and denote by \( E \) the space of endomorphisms of \( \mathcal{X} \). Setting \( U = (u, p, \vartheta, \Psi) \). We observe that \((\omega_1, \omega_2)\) coincides with \((\vartheta, \Psi)\) such that problem \([19]-[22]\) can equivalently be written as:

\[
\mathcal{F}(U) = U - \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}^1(U) \\ \mathcal{G}^2(U) \end{pmatrix} = 0
\]

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}^1(U) \\ \mathcal{G}^2(U) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ (h_i - (u \cdot \nabla) \omega_i, \omega_i, \omega_i, \omega_i, \omega_i) \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

5.1. About the time discretization

With each family of values \((v^m)_0 \leq m \leq M\), we associate the function \(v_r\) which is affine on each interval \([t_{m-1}, t_m]\), \(1 \leq m \leq M\), and equal to \(v^m\) at \(t = t_m\), \(1 \leq m \leq M\). For each continuous function \(v\) on \([0, T_f]\), we also introduce the function \(\pi^+v\) which is constant, equal to \(v(t_m)\) on each interval \([t_{m-1}, t_m]\), \(1 \leq m \leq M\). We first consider the semi-discrete operator \(T\), which associated with any data \(f\) in \(C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d)\) and \(u_0\) in \(L^2(\Omega)^d\), \(T(f, u_0)\) is equal to \((u_r, p_r)\) associated with \((u^m, p^m)\) solutions of the following semi-discrete problem

Find \((u^m, p^m)_{0 \leq m \leq M}\) in \((L^2(\Omega)^d)^{M+1} \times H^1(\Omega)^M\) such that for all \(v \in L^2(\Omega)^d\)

\[
u^0 = u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad \text{and for a.e.} \quad m, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M,
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^m - u^{m-1}}{\tau_m} \cdot v \, dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} u^m \cdot v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} v \cdot \nabla p^m \, dx = \gamma \int_{\Omega} f^m \cdot v \, dx. \tag{45}
\]

Next, let \(L_r\) be the semi-discrete operator defined as follow: For any data

\[
(h_i, \omega_i, \omega_i, \omega_i, \omega_i) \quad \text{in} \quad C^0 \left( 0, T_f; L^2(\Omega) \right) \times C^0 \left( 0, T_f; H^1(\Gamma_D) \right) \times C^0 \left( 0, T_f; H^1(\Gamma_N) \right) \times L^2(\Omega), \quad i = 1, 2
\]

\[L_r(h_i, \omega_i, \omega_i, \omega_i, \omega_i)\] is equal to \(\omega_\tau\) associated with \(\omega^m\) solution of

\[
\begin{cases}
\omega^0_i = \omega_i \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad \omega^m_i = \omega^m_i \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_D \\
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\omega^m_i - \omega^{m-1}_i}{\tau_m} \eta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i \nabla \omega^m_i \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \lambda_i \nabla \omega^m_j \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \eta^m \, dx + \int_{\Gamma} \lambda_i \omega^m_i \cdot \eta \, ds, \quad i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j.
\end{cases} \tag{46}
\]

With these definitions, the basic properties of the semi-discrete operators \(T_r\) and \(L_r\) are given in the next proposition.

**Proposition 6.** The operators \(T_r\) and \(L_r\) satisfy the following three properties

(i) **Stability:** \(\forall (f, h_1, h_2) \in L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega))^2\), we have

\[
\|T_r(f, 0)\|_{C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))} \leq c \|\pi^+_r f\|_{L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d)},
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^2 \|L_r(h_i, 0, 0, 0)\|_{C^0(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\pi^+_r h_i\|_{L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega))}.
\]
(ii) A priori error estimate: We assume that the solution \( u \) belongs to \( H^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \) and that \( \omega_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \), belong to \( H^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega)) \), then

\[
\|(T - T_\tau)(f, u_0)\|_{C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))} \leq c|\tau|\|u\|_{H^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d)},
\]

for all \( \tau > 0 \).

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \| (L - L_\tau)(h_i, \omega_i, \omega_i) \|_{C^0(0, T_f, H^1(\Omega))} \leq c|\tau| \sum_{i=1}^{2} \|\omega_i\|_{H^2(0, T_f, H^1(\Omega))}.
\]

(iii) Convergence:

\[
\forall (f, h_1, h_2) \in L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d),
\]

\[
\lim_{|\tau| \to 0} \frac{1}{|\tau|} \left( \|(T - T_\tau)(f, 0)\|_{C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))} + \|(L - L_\tau)(h_1, 0, 0)\|_{C^0(0, T_f, H^1(\Omega))} \right) = 0.
\]

Proof. The stability property is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.2 in the linear case. So, we only prove the property of a priori error estimate. First, we estimate the error on the velocity between the semi-discrete problem (45) and the continuous one (42). The error equation is obtained by subtracting (45) from (42) at time \( t_m \). for a. e. \( m \), \( 1 \leq m \leq M \), \( \forall (v, q) \in L^2(\Omega)^d \times H_0^1(\Omega) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_\Omega \varepsilon_{\text{m}}^m \cdot \tau_m \ v \ dx + \alpha \int_\Omega \varepsilon_{\text{u}}^m \cdot \ v \ dx + \int_\Omega \ v \cdot \nabla (p, t_m) - p^m \ dx &= \gamma \int_\Omega \varepsilon_{\text{u}}^m \cdot \ v \ dx, \\
\int_\Omega \varepsilon_{\text{u}}^m \cdot \nabla q \ dx &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where the sequence \((\varepsilon_{\text{u}}^m)\) is defined by \( \varepsilon_{\text{u}}^m = u(\cdot, t_m) - u^m \) and satisfied \( \varepsilon_{\text{u}}^0 = 0 \) and the consistence error \( \varepsilon_{\text{u}}^m \) is given by

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{u}}^m = \frac{u(\cdot, t_m) - u(\cdot, t_{m-1})}{\tau_m} = \partial_t u(\cdot, t_m).
\]

As the same arguments in [5, Prop. 3.2, Cor. 3.1] we obtain (47) and (48). □

5.2. About the space discretization

Henceforth, we denote \( v_{N, r} \) the function which is affine on each interval \([t_{m-1}, t_m]\) and equal to \( v_N \) at each time \( t_m \), \( 0 \leq m \leq M \). We also define the discrete operator \( T_{N, r} \) as follow:

For any data \( f \) in \( C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \) and \( u_0 \) in \( L^2(\Omega)^d \), \( T_{N, r}(f, u_0) \) is equal to \( (u_{N, r}, p_{N, r}) \) which interpolates \((u_N^0, p_N^0)\) solutions of:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\begin{aligned}
u_N^0 &= T_N u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \\
u_N^0 &= \frac{u_N^0 - u_{m-1}^0}{\tau_m}, v_N^0 + \alpha (u_N^m, v_N^m) \right)_{\text{N}} + (v_N, \nabla p_N^0)_{\text{N}} = \gamma (f^m, v_N),
\end{aligned}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, we denote by \( L_{N, r} \) the operator which associates with any data

\[
(h_i, \omega_{iD}, \omega_{iB}, \omega_{i0}) \in \quad C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^0(0, T_f; H^1(\Gamma_D)) \\
\times C^0(0, T_f; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_N)) \times L^2(\Omega), \quad i = 1, 2
\]


the function $\omega_{iN}\tau$ interpolates $\omega_{iN}^m$ solutions of the following problem:

$$\omega_{iN}^m = \mathcal{T}_N\omega_{i0} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad \omega_{iN}^m = i_N^{D} \omega_{i}^m \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_D \quad \text{and for all} \quad \eta_N \in \mathbb{Y}_N$$

$$\left( \omega_{iN}^m - \omega_{iN}^{m-1} \right) \frac{\tau_m}{\tau} + (\lambda_{ij} \nabla \omega_{iN}^m, \nabla \eta_N) + (\lambda_{ij} \omega_{iN}^m, \nabla \eta_N) = \int_{\Omega} h_i^m \eta_N \, dx + (\lambda_{ij} \omega_{iN}^m + \lambda_{ij} \omega_{jN}^m, \eta_N)_{\Gamma_N}, \quad i \neq j. \quad (50)$$

If we set $\tilde{U}_{N\tau}$ the couple $(u_{N\tau}, p_{N\tau})$ and $U_{N\tau}$ the triplet $(\tilde{U}_{N\tau}, \partial_{N\tau}, \Psi_{N\tau})$, problem (40)-(50) can equivalently be written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{N\tau}(U_{N\tau}) = U_{N\tau} - \begin{pmatrix} T_{N\tau} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{N\tau} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{N\tau}(U_{N\tau}) \\ \mathcal{G}_{N\tau}^\triangledown(U_{N\tau}, \omega_{iD}, \tilde{\omega}_{i\tau}, \omega_{i0}) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (51)$$

such that for all $v_N \in X_N$ and for all $\eta_N \in \mathbb{Y}_N$

$$\langle \mathcal{G}_{N\tau}(U_{N\tau}), v_N \rangle = \langle f(\partial_{N\tau}, \Psi_{N\tau}), v_N \rangle_N, \quad \langle \mathcal{G}_{N\tau}^\triangledown(U_{N\tau}), \eta_N \rangle = (h_i, \eta_N) - ((u_{N\tau}, \nabla)\omega_{iN\tau}, \eta_N) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tilde{\omega}_{i\tau}, \eta_N \rangle = (\lambda_{ij} \omega_{i\tau} + \lambda_{ij} \omega_{j\tau}, \eta_N)_{\Gamma_N}, \quad i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j.$$

The next statement makes the error estimates between discrete and semi-discrete operators, which can be obtained by standard arguments in spectral methods (for instance, see [8]). We skip the proof and easily conclude

**Proposition 7.** For each $N \geq 2$, when the solution $(u^m, p^m)$ of problem (15) belongs to $H^s(\Omega)^d \times H^{s+1}(\Omega)$, for $s \geq 1$, the following error estimate holds

$$\| (\mathcal{T}_\tau - T_{N\tau})(f, u_0) \|_{c^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^s(\Omega))} \leq cN^{-s} \| \mathcal{T}_\tau(f, g; p_0, u_0) \|_{H^1(0, T_f; H^{s}(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^{s+1}(\Omega))}. \quad (52)$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{L}_\tau(h_i, \omega_{iD}, \omega_{i\tau}, \omega_{i0})$ belongs to $H^{s+1}(\Omega)$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \| (\mathcal{L}_\tau - \mathcal{L}_{N\tau})(h_i, \omega_{iD}, \omega_{i\tau}, \omega_{i0}) \|_{c^0(0, T_f; H^s(\Omega))} \leq cN^{-s} \sum_{i=1}^2 \| \mathcal{L}_\tau(h_i, \omega_{iD}, \omega_{i\tau}, \omega_{i0}) \|_{H^1(0, T_f; H^s(\Omega))}. \quad (53)$$

Finally, we are in position to give a main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that the data functions $h_1, h_2$ in $L^2(0, T_f; H^s(\Omega))$, $\partial_D, \Psi_D$ in $L^2(0, T_f; H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D))$ and $\partial_N, \Psi_N$ in $C^0(0, T_f; H^{s}(\Gamma_N))$, for $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and that $f$ is of class $C^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with bounded derivatives.

If the solution $(u, p, \partial, \Psi)$ of (19)-(22) belongs to

$$H^2(0, T_f; H^s(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^{s+1}(\Omega)) \times H^2(0, T_f; H^{s+1}(\Omega)) \times H^2(0, T_f; H^{s+1}(\Omega))$$

for $s > \frac{d}{6}$

then there exist a neighborhood of $(u, p, \partial, \Psi)$, a positive real number $\tau_0$ and a positive integer $N_0$ such that for each $\tau$, $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$ and $N \geq N_0$, problem (51) admits a unique solution $(u_{N\tau}, p_{N\tau}, \partial_{N\tau}, \Psi_{N\tau})$ in this neighborhood.
Moreover, there exists a nonnegative constant $c$ such that this solution satisfies

\[
\|u - u_{N,\tau}\|_{C^0(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^d)} + \|p - p_{N,\tau}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \\
+ \|\partial_t - \partial_{N,\tau}\|_{C^0(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} + \|\Psi - \Psi_{N,\tau}\|_{C^0(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \\
\leq c (|\tau| + N^{-\sigma}) \|u\|_{H^1(0, T; H^1(\Omega)^d)} + c N^{-\sigma} \|p\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{\sigma+1}(\Omega))} \\
+ (|\tau| + N^{-\sigma}) \|\partial_t\|_{H^{\sigma+1}(\Omega)} + \|\Psi\|_{H^2(0, T; H^{\sigma+1}(\Omega))} \\
+ c N^{-\sigma} (h_1 \|L^2(0, T; H^s(\Omega)) + h_2 \|L^2(0, T; H^s(\Omega)) + \|\partial_{\tau}\|_{C^0(0, T; H^s(\Gamma_N))} \\
+ \|\Psi\|_{C^0(0, T; H^s(\Gamma_N))} + \|\partial_{\tau}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{s+1}(\Gamma_D))} + \|\Psi\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{s+1}(\Gamma_D))}).
\]

The proof of this theorem is based on the Brezzi-Rappaz-Raviart theorem. So, it suffices to prove that the assumptions of the theorem of Brezzi-Rappaz-Raviart are satisfied. We will show all of them in Lemmas \[1\] - \[3\]

We first introduce the approximation $U_{N,\tau} = (u_{N,\tau}, p_{N,\tau}, \partial_{N,\tau}, \Psi_{N,\tau})$ of $U$ in the following discrete space

\[
X_{N,\tau} = C^0(0, T; \mathbb{Y}_N) \times L^2(0, T; \mathbb{Y}_N) \times C^0(0, T; \mathbb{Y}_N) \times C^0(0, T; \mathbb{Y}_N),
\]

which satisfies the following estimates:

for each integer numbers $\ell, s$, $0 \leq \ell \leq s$, and for each $t$, $0 \leq t \leq T_f$

\[
\|u(\cdot, t) - u_{N,\tau}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{\ell}(\Omega)^d} \leq c N^{\ell-s} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)^d},
\]

\[
\|p(\cdot, t) - p_{N,\tau}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{\ell+1}(\Omega)} \leq c N^{\ell-s} \|p(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{s+1}(\Omega)},
\]

\[
\|\partial_t(\cdot, t) - \partial_{N,\tau}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{\ell+1}(\Omega)} \leq c N^{\ell-s} \|\partial_t(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{s+1}(\Omega)},
\]

\[
\|\Psi(\cdot, t) - \Psi_{N,\tau}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{\ell+1}(\Omega)} \leq c N^{\ell-s} \|\Psi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{s+1}(\Omega)}.
\]

**Hypothesis 2.** We next assume that the solution $(u, p, \partial, \Psi)$ of \[1\] - \[22\]

(i) belongs for $s > \frac{3}{2}$ to

\[
H^2(0, T_f; H^s(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^{s+1}(\Omega)) \\
\times H^2(0, T_f; H^{s+1}(\Omega)) \times H^2(0, T_f; H^{s+1}(\Omega)),
\]

(ii) and such that $DF(U)$ is an isomorphism of $X$.

**Lemma 1.** If $f$ is of class $C^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with bounded derivatives, there exist nonnegative integer $N_0$, and nonnegative real $\tau_0$ such that, for each $N \geq N_0$ and $\tau \leq \tau_0$, the operator $DF_{N,\tau}(U_{N,\tau})$ is an isomorphism of $X_{N,\tau}$.

Moreover, the norm of its inverse is bounded independently of $N$.

**Proof.** We start by writing the following expansion

\[
DF_{N,\tau}(U_{N,\tau}) = DF(U) + \begin{pmatrix}
T - T_{N,\tau} & 0 \\
0 & L - L_{N,\tau}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
DG^1(U) \\
DG^2(U)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
+ \begin{pmatrix}
T_{N,\tau} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
DG^1(U) - DG^1(U_{N,\tau}) \\
DG^2(U) - DG^2(U_{N,\tau})
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
+ \begin{pmatrix}
T_{N,\tau} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
DG^1(U_{N,\tau}) - DG^1(U_{N,\tau}) \\
DG^2(U_{N,\tau}) - DG^2(U_{N,\tau})
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Owing to assumption \[2\] (ii), we have to prove that the last three terms in the right hand side of \[59\] tend to 0 when $|\tau|, N$ goes to $(0, \infty)$.

Let $W_{N,\tau} = (w_{N,\tau}, q_{N,\tau}, \xi_{N,\tau}, \zeta_{N,\tau})$ be an element of unit sphere of $X_{N,\tau}$. So, we observe that

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
DG^1(U) \cdot W_{N,\tau} \\
DG^2(U) \cdot W_{N,\tau}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
(\partial_{\partial\partial} f(\partial, \Psi)\xi_{N,\tau} + \partial_{\partial\partial} f(\partial, \Psi)\zeta_{N,\tau}, 0) \\
(\partial_{\partial\partial} f(\partial, \Psi)\xi_{N,\tau} + \partial_{\partial\partial} f(\partial, \Psi)\zeta_{N,\tau}, 0, 0, 0)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
First, since $DG^1(U)$ and $DG^2(U)$ are bounded and owing to the expansion $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_N = (\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_\tau) + (\mathcal{T}_\tau - \mathcal{T}_N)$ and $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_N = (\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_\tau) + (\mathcal{L}_\tau - \mathcal{L}_N)$, the convergence of the first term is a consequence of (47), (48), (52) and (53).

$$\lim_{N \to 0} \lim_{|\tau| \to 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_N & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_N \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} DG^1(U) \\ DG^2(U) \end{array} \right) = 0.$$ 

Next, we will prove the convergence of three terms:

$$\mathcal{D}_N^1 \psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial} (\partial \mathcal{T}_N - \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mathcal{D}_N^1 \psi + (\partial \mathcal{T}_N - \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mathcal{D}_N^1 \psi,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_N^2 \psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial} (\partial \mathcal{T}_N - \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mathcal{D}_N^2 \psi + (\partial \mathcal{T}_N - \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mathcal{D}_N^2 \psi,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_N^3 \psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial} (\partial \mathcal{T}_N - \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mathcal{D}_N^3 \psi + (\partial \mathcal{T}_N - \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mathcal{D}_N^3 \psi.$$ 

Due to (55), (57) and (58) combining with stability of linear discrete operators $\mathcal{T}_N$ and $\mathcal{L}_N$, we claim

$$\lim_{N \to 0} \lim_{|\tau| \to 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{T}_N & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_N \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} DG^1(U) - DG^1(U_N) \\ DG^2(U) - DG^2(U_N) \end{array} \right) = 0.$$ 

Finally, Owing to the definition of $DG^1, DG^2, DG^3_N$ and $DG^2_N$, we check that the three following terms converge to zero, for all $\psi_N$ in $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and $\eta_N$ in $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N - \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \right) \cdot \mathcal{V}_N \, dx - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \mathcal{V}_N \right) \eta_N \, dx$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N - \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \right) \cdot \mathcal{V}_N \, dx - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \mathcal{V}_N \right) \eta_N \, dx$$

As we use the same arguments to evaluate all these terms, we only consider the first one. To do so, we choose $N^*$ equal to the integer part of $\frac{N^*}{2}$ and we introduce the approximations $f_N$ of $\frac{\partial}{\partial} f_N \psi_N$ in $\mathcal{P}_N(\Omega)$ and $\psi_N$ of $\psi_N$ in $\mathcal{P}(\Omega).$ We point out the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}_N \mathcal{V}_N \, dx = \left( f_N, \psi_N \right) _N.$$ 

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N - \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \right) \cdot \mathcal{V}_N \, dx - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \mathcal{V}_N \right) \eta_N \, dx$$

$$\leq \left( \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N - \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \mathcal{V}_N \right\| \eta_N \right)$$ 

Triangular inequality and stability property of $\mathcal{I}_N$ (see [1], Rem. 13.5)) give us

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N - \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \right) \cdot \mathcal{V}_N \, dx - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \mathcal{V}_N \right) \eta_N \, dx$$

$$\leq \left( \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N - \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathcal{T}_N \psi_N \mathcal{V}_N \right\| \eta_N \right)$$ 
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Let us introduce the orthogonal projection operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ (or $L^2(\Omega)^d$) on $P_{N^*}(\Omega)$ (or $P_{N^*}(\Omega)^2$) (see for instance see [8], Ch.III). Using the Lipschitz property of $\partial_0 f$ and taking

$$ f_{N^*} = \Pi_{N^*} \partial_0 f(\vartheta, \Psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{N^*} = \Pi_{N^*} \zeta_{N^*} \zeta_{N^*} $$

Then, thanks to [8], Chap. III, Thm. 2.4] combining with stability of $T_{N^*}$ and $L_{N^*}$, we deduce that

$$ \lim_{N \to 0} \lim_{|\tau| \to 0} \left( T_{N^*} \bigg| \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ \mathcal{L}_{N^*} \end{array} \bigg| \begin{array}{c} \tau \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}^1 f_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) - \mathcal{D}_f^1 f_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) \\ \mathcal{D}^2 f_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) - \mathcal{D}_f^2 f_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) \end{pmatrix} = 0. $$

This concludes the proof.

**Lemma 2.** Under the same assumptions in the previous lemma, there exist a neighborhood of $U_{N^*}^0$ in $X_{N^*}$ and a positive constant $c$ such that the operator $DF_{N^*}$ satisfies Lipschitz property, for any $Z_N$ in this neighborhood,

$$ \|DF_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) - DF_{N^*}(Z_N)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq c \|U_{N^*}^0 - Z_N\|_{X}, \quad (60) $$

**Proof.** When setting $Z_N = (z_N, q_N, \sigma_N, \zeta_N)$ and writing

$$ DF_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) - DF_{N^*}(Z_N) = (U_{N^*}^0 - Z_N) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{N^*} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}^1 f_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) - \mathcal{D}_f^1 f_{N^*}(Z_N) \\ \mathcal{D}^2 f_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) - \mathcal{D}_f^2 f_{N^*}(Z_N) \end{pmatrix}. $$

We can use similar arguments in [8], Lemma 4.5] to obtain the result.

**Lemma 3.** For any $h_1, h_2$ in $L^2(0, T_f; H^\sigma(\Omega))$, $\vartheta, \Psi_2$ in $L^2(0, T_f; H^\sigma(\Gamma_D))$ and $\vartheta_D, \Psi_D$ in $L^2(0, T_f; H^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D))$. If $f$ is of class $C^2$ with bounded derivatives and if the Assumption 2 holds, then the following estimate is satisfied

$$ \|F_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0)\|_{X} \leq c \left( N^{\frac{d}{2}} + \|u\|_{H^2(0, T_f; H^\sigma(\Omega)^d)} \right) + \|\omega_i\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^\sigma(\Omega)^d)} + \left( \|\omega_i\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D))} \right) $$

**Proof.** Since $F(U) = 0$, introducing $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N^*} = (\mathcal{G}_{N^*}^2, \omega_D, \omega_{\Gamma_D}, \omega_{\Gamma_D})$, we write

$$ F_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0) = -(U - U_{N^*}^0) + \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{N^*} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}(U) - \mathcal{G}(U_{N^*}^0) \\ \mathcal{G}(U) - \mathcal{G}(U_{N^*}^0) \end{pmatrix}. $$

Thanks to [55], [56], [57] and [58], we bound the first term. Concerning the second term, we have

$$ \|(T - T_{N^*})G^1(U)\|_{C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))} \leq \|TF_{N^*}(U_{N^*}^0)\|_{C^0(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d) \times L^2(0, T_f; H^1(\Omega))} $$

$$ \leq (\|T\|_{L^\infty(0, T_f; L^2(\Omega)^d)} \|\omega_i\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^\sigma(\Omega)^d)} + c N^{\frac{d}{2}} \|u\|_{H^1(0, T_f; H^\sigma(\Omega)^d)} + N^{\frac{d}{2}} \|\omega_i\|_{L^2(0, T_f; H^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_D))} \right) $$
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Similarly,
\[
\left\| (\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{N_T})(\mathcal{G}^2(U)) \right\|_{C^0(0,T_T;H^1(\Omega))} \\
\leq \left\| (\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{r})(\mathcal{G}^2(U)) \right\|_{C^0(0,T_T;H^1(\Omega))} + \left\| (\mathcal{L}_r - \mathcal{L}_{N_T})(\mathcal{G}^2(U)) \right\|_{C^0(0,T_T;H^1(\Omega))} \\
\leq c \left( |r| + N^{-s} \right) \left( \|\partial_t\|_{H^2(0,T,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} + \|\Psi\|_{H^2(0,T,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \right).
\]

Evaluating the third term follows from the stability property of operators \(T_{N_T}\) and \(\mathcal{L}_{N_T}\) combining with (55), (57) and (58):
\[
\left\| \left( \begin{array}{cc} T_{N_T} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_{N_T} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{G}^1(U) - \mathcal{G}^1(U_{N_{T}}^m) \\ \mathcal{G}^2(U) - \mathcal{G}^2(U_{N_{T}}^m) \end{array} \right) \right\|_X \\
\leq c(N^{\frac{1}{\epsilon} - s}\|u\|_{C^0(0,T_T;H^1(\Omega))} + N^{-s}(\|\partial_t\|_{C^0(0,T,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} + \|\Psi\|_{C^0(0,T,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))})).
\]

Finally, proving the estimate for the fourth term is obtained from stability of \(T_{N_T}\) and \(\mathcal{L}_{N_T}\) and by using the standard arguments of numerical integration error.

**Remark 3.** (1) All assumptions of the Brezzi-Rappaz-Raviart theorem are obtained in Lemmas 7, 8 and 9.
(2) the error behaves \(|r| + N^{\frac{1}{\epsilon} - s}\) optimal in time and nearly optimal in space.

6. Numerical results

We report in this section several numerical tests, the aim being to evaluate the performance of the spectral discretization in two and three space dimensions. All the computations have been performed on the code FreeFEM3D–spectral version, see [10] and [23]. However, before we do it, we wish to explain how to solve the coupled problem. Indeed, it is clear that when using the implicit Euler’s scheme in time and spectral method in space, the obtained discrete problem is still coupled and nonlinear. To solve it numerically, we propose the following linear iterative process:

At each time step \(t_m\): knowing the solution \((u^{m-1}, p^{m-1}, \varphi^{m-1}, \Psi^{m-1})\) at time \(t_{m-1}\). For a given tolerance \(\varepsilon > 0\), compute:

1. **Step 1:** Initialization:
\[
(u_0^m, p_0^m, \varphi_0^m, \Psi_0^m) = (u^{m-1}, p^{m-1}, \varphi^{m-1}, \Psi^{m-1}).
\]

2. **Step 2:** Darcy Eq.:
For a nonnegative integer \(k \geq 0\), knowing \((\varphi_k^m, \Psi_k^m)\), we compute \((u_k^m, p_k^m)\) solution of
\[
\frac{u_{k+1}^m - u_k^m}{\tau_m} + \alpha u_k^m + \nabla p_k^m = f(\varphi_k^m, \Psi_k^m),
\]
\[
\nabla \cdot u_k^m = 0.
\]

3. **Step 3:** Heat Eq.:
Find \(\varphi_{k+1}^m\) solution of
\[
\frac{\varphi_{k+1}^m - \varphi_k^m}{\tau_m} + (u_k^m \cdot \nabla)\varphi_{k+1}^m - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{11} \nabla \varphi_{k+1}^m) - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{12} \nabla \Psi_k^m) = h_{k+1}^m.
\]

4. **Step 4:** Concentration Eq.:
Finally, we compute \(\Psi_{k+1}^m\) solution of
\[
\frac{\Psi_{k+1}^m - \Psi_k^m}{\tau_m} + (u_k^m \cdot \nabla)\Psi_{k+1}^m - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{22} \nabla \Psi_{k+1}^m) - \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{21} \nabla \varphi_{k+1}^m) = h_{2}^m.
\]

5. **Step 5:** Goto Step 2 until:
\[
\left\| u_{k+1}^m - u_k^m \right\|^2 + \left\| p_{k+1}^m - p_k^m \right\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \left\| T_{k+1}^m - T_k^m \right\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \left\| C_{k+1} - C_k^m \right\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Note that, the obtained linear systems are solved using a preconditioned GMRES, see Saad [20].
6.1. Time accuracy

To confirm our theoretical results, we are interested to calculate the error due to the time discretization. In order to do so, we construct the three-dimensional problem where the exact solution is given in the unite cube $[0, 1]^3$ by

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_1 &= \cos(t)y - \sin(\pi t)z^2, \\
  u_2 &= \sin(t)(x - 1) + \cos(\pi t), \\
  u_3 &= -2tx, \\
  p &= \sin(t)x + \cos(t)(y + z^2), \\
  T &= \cos(t)(x^2 + 2y^2 - z), \\
  C &= \sin(t)(-x + y^3).
\end{align*}
\]

We choose the coefficients $\alpha$ and $\lambda_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2$ as follow

\[
\begin{align*}
  \alpha &= T^2 + C^2 + 2, \\
  \lambda_{11} &= T + C + 10, \\
  \lambda_{12} &= 0, \\
  \lambda_{22} &= T^2 + C^2 + 2, \\
  \lambda_{21} &= T + C.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, the suitable forcing functions $f, h_1, h_2$ and boundary conditions are obtained using these exact solutions in our system. We recall that all parameters $\alpha$ and $(\lambda_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ are replaced by their Lagrange interpolates.

We perform several simulations by dividing successively the time step $\delta t$ (starting by $\delta t = 0.1$) by 2 and taking a fixed polynomial degree $N = 5$. Note that, at each time, the exact solution is polynomial function with degree less than 3. Consequently, the space error is exactly equal to 0 and then, only the temporal error will be observed.

\[
E_{\delta t} = \left( \|u - u_N\|^2 + \|p - p_N\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|T - T_N\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|C - C_N\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Finally, we calculate $O_{\frac{\delta t}{2}} = \frac{\log(E_{\delta t})}{\log(2)}$ which is the desired convergence rate.

In Table 1 we plot separately the $L^2$-error of the velocity, the $H^1$-error of the temperature, concentration and pressure and the total error $E_{\delta t}$ between the numerical solution and the exact solution at final time $T_f = 1$. As we can see, the experimental convergence rate is close to 1, which is in concordance with a priori error estimate obtained above, when the backward Euler time differentiation is used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta t$</th>
<th>$|u - u_N|_{L^2}$</th>
<th>$|p - p_N|_{H^1}$</th>
<th>$|T - T_N|_{H^1}$</th>
<th>$|C - C_N|_{H^1}$</th>
<th>$E_{\delta t}$</th>
<th>$O_{\frac{\delta t}{2}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{10}$</td>
<td>0.0857</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.0867</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{20}$</td>
<td>0.0439</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0443</td>
<td>0.9655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{40}$</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0224</td>
<td>0.9822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{80}$</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>0.9855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{160}$</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.9787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2. Space accuracy

In this test, the rate of convergence with respect to polynomial degree $N$ for $(u, p, \vartheta, \Psi)$ in the $L^2$-norm and $H^1$-norm have been tested numerically on the square $[-1,1]^2$. We choose two-dimensional analytic solutions (with the appropriate source terms) which are affine functions with respect to $t$. So that the error in time is zero:

$$
u_1 = -t \sin(\pi x) \cos(\pi y) + t + 1, \quad \nu_2 = t \cos(\pi x) \sin(\pi y) + 2t + 1,$$

$$p = \frac{-1}{\pi} \sin(\pi x) \cos(\pi y),$$

$$T = t(2 \cos(\pi x) \sin(\pi y) + 1), \quad C = t \sin(\pi x) \cos(\pi y) \sin(\pi (x + y)) + t - 1.$$  \hfill (62)

The conductivity and permeability coefficients are given as follows

$$\alpha(T, C) = \frac{1}{T^2 + C^2 + 1}, \quad \lambda_{ii} = \lambda_{21} = T^2 + C^2 + 2, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{12} = 0.$$

We fixed the time step $\delta t$ equal to 0.1. We illustrate the behavior of the error between the exact solution and the discrete solution versus the polynomial degree $N$ which is varying between $N = 5$ and $N = 25$. The spectral convergence can be easily observed in Figure 1 where we present the $L^2$-error of velocity and $H^1$-error of others in semi-logarithmic scales.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have analyzed a model for the coupling of the heat and mass equations with Darcy’s equations for an incompressible fluid, where all diffusion parameters (thermal and mass diffusivity and Dufour and Soret coefficients) are variables. We have proved that this problem admits at least a solution in suitable spaces. To approximate its solution, we have used the high polynomial approximation, namely Spectral method and we have proved its well-posedness. Thanks to the Brezzi-Rappaz-Raviart theorem, the a priori analysis is proved and the optimal errors are obtained. Finally numerical tests confirm these theoretical findings.
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