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Recently an optical amplification process called the plasmon injection scheme was introduced as an effective solution to overcoming losses in metamaterials. Implementations with near-field imaging applications have indicated substantial performance enhancements even in the presence of noise. This powerful and versatile compensation technique, which has since been renamed to a more generalized active convolved illumination, offers new possibilities of improving the performance of many previously conceived metamaterial-based devices and conventional imaging systems. In this work, we present the first comprehensive mathematical breakdown of active convolved illumination for coherent imaging. Our analysis highlights the distinctive features of active convolved illumination, such as selective spectral amplification and correlations, and provides a rigorous understanding of the loss compensation process. Beyond enhanced superresolution imaging, the theory can be potentially generalized to the compensation of information or photon loss in a wide variety of coherent and incoherent linear systems including those, for example, in atmospheric imaging, time-domain spectroscopy, PT symmetric non-Hermitian photonics, and even quantum computing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Metamaterials (MMs), which are artificial inhomogeneous structures usually designed with subwavelength metal/dielectric or all-dielectric building blocks, rose to prominence nearly two decades ago as an appealing direction for designing materials with unprecedented electromagnetic properties previously considered difficult, if not impossible, to realize. Invisibility cloaks [1], ultrahigh-resolution imaging [2–4] and photolithography [5], enhanced photovoltaics [6, 7], miniaturized antennas [8], ultrafast optical modulation [9], and metasurfaces [10, 11] are few of the multitude of applications which have been envisioned. Supported by parallel efforts in micro and nanofabrication, MMs are anticipated to have broad impact on many technologies employing electromagnetic radiation. However, despite enormous theoretical and experimental progress, numerous lingering problems [12] require diligent consideration. Optical losses continue to be one of the greatest threats to the viability of many of the MM-based devices proposed to date. Mitigation of losses remains a challenging problem for the MM community. Gain medium was initially proposed [13–16] as a potential solution. However, later studies showed that stability and gain saturation issues as a result of stimulated emission near the field enhancement regions leads to intense noise generation [17–19]. Due to these concerns and other associated complexities such as pump requirement, progress towards the development of a robust loss compensation scheme has been somewhat sluggish even after nearly two decades of efforts. Dielectric metasurfaces have also been proposed to alleviate some of these concerns [10, 11].

A recent theoretical study [20] investigated a unique and alternative solution to manage the losses in MMs. The compensation process, designated plasmon injection (PI or Π) scheme, employs an additional source to modify the field incident on a lossy MM. This auxiliary source is designed to adequately amplify an arbitrary field thereby enhancing its transmission through the MM. Subsequent theoretical studies with previous MM or near-field imaging systems employing negative index materials (NIMs) [21], superlenses [22], and hyperlenses [23, 24] produced promising results. Implementing the Π scheme with the above systems resulted in performance improvements. The distinguishing feature of the Π scheme is the auxiliary source. The earlier variants of the Π scheme were shown to emulate linear deconvolution [21].

The physical generation of the auxiliary source requires some considerations. It was shown [25] that the auxiliary source can be generated through a convolution process with the original object field incident at the detector while selectively providing amplification to a controllable band of spatial frequencies. As a result of this process, the auxiliary source becomes correlated with the original object field [25, 26]. A near-field spatial filter designed with hyperbolic metamaterials (HMMs) was proposed to physically generate the auxiliary source [27] with the above properties. The filter was integrated with a 50μm silver film to illustrate the overall loss compensation process. This was the first potential application of the spatial filtering properties [28, 29] of HMMs in the context of loss compensation. Later studies with coherent [30] and incoherent [31] illumination produced favourable results. An improvement in the resolution limit of a near-field silver superlens elevated the viability of the Π scheme as an effective alternative to previously conceived loss mitigation approaches [7, 13–16, 35, 36] including dielectric metasurfaces [10, 11]. Even though the techniques presented in [33, 34] possess similar properties to the original concept of the Π scheme in [20], the scheme was generalized to a more encompassing term active convolved illumination (ACI) in [34] (for a detailed description of
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ACI in the context of near-field imaging see Supplemental Material [57], since it is essentially the physical convolution operation which is key to the process. Also, the II scheme narrows down the process to only plasmons.

In this paper, we construct a theoretical framework to provide the first comprehensive mathematical exposition of the fundamental concept of ACI for coherent illumination. Pendry’s classic setup [2] of a silver superlens operating at a wavelength of 365nm is adopted since it is the simplest configuration which broadly exemplifies the rudimentary impact of optical losses such as in not only MM systems, but also different conventional and advanced linear systems. We consider the silver superlens only as a canonical example to accentuate how the ACI permits recovery of information carried by attenuated signal with minimal noise amplification. The greater scope of this paper is to develop a noise-resistant imaging theory that can be potentially generalized to a wide range of problems in various contexts related to noisy linear systems. Specific attention is drawn towards the required mechanisms, such as selective spectral amplification, physical convolusion, and correlations. This study strengthens, from a mathematical perspective, the previous results and associated assertions [33, 34] made with numerical simulations. We conjecture that the theory of ACI can be potentially generalized to a wide variety of noisy and lossy linear systems including, for example, those in atmospheric imaging [38–41], time-domain spectroscopy [42–43], optical communications [44–47], $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric non-Hermitian photonics [48–49], and even quantum computing [41, 50, 51].

II. NOISE VARIANCE IN THE FOURIER DOMAIN

To start with, let the image plane has a length $L$ along the y-axis [see Fig. 1(a)]. A continuous signal $i(y)$, along the image planes is measured by a detector which can be an array of pixels or a scanning near-field probe. An arbitrary spatial field distribution is decomposed into $M$ discrete samples at intervals of $\Delta y$ where $M$ is an even integer. The above spatial decomposition is represented by the segmented line in Fig. 1(a) where each segment is defined as a pixel. A dimensionless integer $p$ satisfying $-\frac{M}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{M}{2} - 1$ uniquely identifies each pixel centered at $y(p) = p\Delta y \equiv \xi$. The signal sampled by the $p^{th}$ pixel is denoted by $i(\xi)$. In subsequent calculations we will set $L = 80\lambda$ with $\lambda = 365nm$ and $M = 5840$. Therefore, the sampling interval is $\Delta y = 5nm$ which is slightly larger than previously demonstrated apertureless probes which can achieve resolutions down to $1nm$ [52]. The resulting noisy image at each pixel is described with a popular signal-modulated noise model [53–57]. The image plane is thought of as an array of statistically independent random variables (RV) and the subsequent noisy image at the $p^{th}$ pixel is denoted by

\[
i_n(\xi) = \left[|i(\xi)| + n_{sd}(\xi) + n_{si}(\xi)\right]e^{i\theta(\xi)}. \tag{1}\]

$i(\xi)$ is the noiseless image and is corrupted by two statistically independent signal-dependent (SD) and signal-independent (SI) noise processes $n_{sd}(\xi)$ and $n_{si}(\xi)$, respectively. The RVs $n_{sd}(\xi)$ and $n_{si}(\xi)$ have zero mean, Gaussian probability density functions and standard deviations $f(|i(\xi)|)^2/\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$, respectively. $\theta(\xi)$ is the phase of the noiseless coherent field at the $p^{th}$ pixel (i.e., $\xi \equiv p\Delta y$). $f(|i(\xi)|)$ is a function of the ideal image amplitude and is referred to as the modulation function [54] acting on the RV $n_{sd}(\xi)$. $\gamma$ is a parameter satisfying $\gamma \leq 1$ [53]. The overall variance of noise at each pixel is the sum of the variances of the SD and SI noise terms,

\[
\sigma^2_{\xi p} = f(|i(\xi)|)^2\gamma \sigma^2_1 + \sigma^2_2. \tag{2}\]

The modulation function and the value of $\gamma$ are selected to best mimic the behavior of SD noise which affects the system. In the upcoming calculations we drop the contribution of SI noise [54–57].

![Fig. 1. Illustration of an image measurement process in a detector system. (a) The continuous field $i(y)$ along the image plane of length $L$ is decomposed into $M$ samples at intervals of $\Delta y$. Each sample is identified by an integer $p$. During the detection process, noise degrades the ideal image and the standard deviation of the overall noise at each sample is $\sigma_{\xi p}[i_n(\xi)]$ is the magnitude of the recorded image at the $p^{th}$ pixel or $y = p\Delta y \equiv \xi$ spatial coordinate. (b) The Fourier spectrum of $i(\xi)$ is similarly a decomposition into $M$ spatial frequencies and $\sigma_{\xi i}$ is the standard deviation of noise at the $q^{th}$ frequency.](image)

The above detection process results in a similar decomposition of the continuous Fourier spectrum of $i(y)$ into $M$ discrete spatial frequencies as illustrated by the segmented line in Fig. 1(b). Two adjacent frequencies are separated by $\Delta k_y$ and the individual spatial frequencies are referenced by $k_{\xi}(q) = q\Delta k_y \equiv \zeta$, where $-\frac{M}{2} \leq q \leq \frac{M}{2} - 1$. The Fourier transform of the discretized noise-free image, $i(\xi)$, is denoted by $I(\zeta)$, and the standard deviation of noise at the $q^{th}$ spatial frequency is $\sigma_{\zeta q}$. Knowledge of $\sigma_{\zeta q}$ is particularly useful
in determining the maximum achievable limiting resolution for optical systems where transmission progressively worsens for high spatial frequencies. For example, the Fourier components with transmitted amplitudes comparable to, or less than \( \sigma_{qy} \), will be indiscernible from random noise fluctuations within the measured signal. Therefore, \( \sigma_{qy} \) allows us to identify the spatial frequencies whose Fourier domain information is effectively lost due to noise effects. Additionally, the effectiveness of a loss compensation technique can also be evaluated by monitoring its effect on \( \sigma_{qy} \). Thus, a formulation of \( \sigma_{qy} \) is important for our understanding of the underlying mechanism of ACI and its capacity at compensating losses while minimizing noise amplification.

A general expression for the variance of SD noise at the \( q^{th} \) spatial frequency can be calculated from the Fourier transform relation written as a Riemann sum [58]

\[
\sigma_{qy}^2 = \left( \frac{M}{2} - 1 \right) \Delta y \sum_{\xi = -\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} |i(\xi)|^2 \sigma_{2}^2 \Delta y. \tag{3}
\]

The derivation of Eq. S11 is given in the Supplemental Material [37]. The summation enclosed inside brackets, is proportional to the optical power on the image plane. Therefore, we can employ the energy conservation theorem by using Parseval’s relation and rewrite \( \sigma_{qy}^2 \) in Eq. S11 as

\[
\sigma_{qy}^2 = \left( \frac{M}{2} - 1 \right) \Delta k_y \sum_{\xi = -\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} |I(\xi)|^2 \sigma_{2}^2 \Delta k_y \Delta y

= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\zeta = -\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} |I(\xi)|^2 \sigma_{2}^2 \Delta k_y \Delta y

= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\zeta = -\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} |I(\xi)|^2 \sigma_{2}^2 \Delta k_y \Delta y

\tag{4}
\]

Eqs. S11 and S12 state, for a fixed number of pixels \( M \) (for the effect of discretization on the noise variance see Supplemental Material [37]), that the variance of SD noise spectrum \( \sigma_{2}^2 \) is constant and proportional to the total power contained in the signal. Similar results have been reported for incoherent light in [59, 60]. This is a remarkable result, which can be potentially generalized to a wide variety of problems in noisy and lossy linear systems, either classical or quantum. Below, in the context of superresolution imaging, we demonstrate how this result leads to enhanced spectral signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) with the incorporation of selective spectral amplification and correlations.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Selective spectral amplification and correlations

In the following, an example object with a Gaussian spectrum (for the definition see Supplemental Material [37]) is employed to solidify the discussions. The imaging systems are illuminated with a transverse magnetic polarized source from the object plane (see Fig. S1 in the Supplemental Material [37]). The spatially coherent discretized complex magnetic field distribution along the object plane is denoted as \( o(\xi) \). The Fourier transforms of the noiseless image for the passive (i.e., without ACI) and active (i.e., with ACI) imaging systems are (for details see Supplemental Material [37])

\[
I_P(\xi) = O(\xi)T(\xi), \tag{5a}
\]

\[
I_A(\xi) = O(\xi)T(\xi)[1 + A_0 G(\xi)], \tag{5b}
\]

respectively, where \( O(\xi) = F\{o(\xi)\} \) and \( F \) is the Fourier transform operator. The subscripts “\( P \)” and “\( A \)” refer to the passive and active imaging systems, respectively. \( T(\xi) \) is the passive transfer function of the imaging system (see Figs. S1(a) and S3 in the Supplemental Material [37]). \( G(\xi) \) is a complex band-limited function describing the pass-band of the passive filter and \( A_0 \) is the amplification factor. We point out that \( O(\xi)A_0 G(\xi) \) in Eq. S12 is the selectively amplified portion of the incident field and is defined as the auxiliary source [25, 26, 27, 33] (see Fig. S2 in the Supplemental Material [37]). Therefore, \( O(\xi)T(\xi)A_0 G(\xi) \) is the residual auxiliary source which survived the lossy transmission process through the lens. It is important to note that the auxiliary source is correlated with the object field [25, 26]

The standard deviations of SD noise at the \( q^{th} \) Fourier component corresponding to \( I_P(\xi) \) and \( I_A(\xi) \) in Eq. S12 are denoted by \( \sigma_{qy,P} \) and \( \sigma_{qy,A} \), respectively. Their expressions are determined by substituting \( |I_P(\xi)| \) in Eq. S12 with \( |I_P(\xi)| \) and \( |I_A(\xi)| \), respectively (for details see Supplemental Material [37]). The variance \( \sigma_{qy,A}^2 \) can be split into its contributing parts as \( \sigma_{qy,A}^2 = \sigma_{qy,P}^2 + \sigma_{qy,aux}^2 \), where \( \sigma_{qy,aux}^2 \) describes the contribution to the SD noise from the residual auxiliary source. Then, the ratio \( R_o \) of \( \sigma_{qy,aux}^2 \) to \( \sigma_{qy,P}^2 \) is written as

\[
R_o = \frac{A_0^2 P_{P,W}}{P_{P}}, \tag{6}
\]

where \( P_{P,W} \) is the portion of the total power contained by \( I_P(\xi) \) distributed over bandwidth \( W k_0 \) of \( G(\xi) \) assumed to be a unit magnitude rectangular pass-band function \( G_R(\xi) \) centered at \( \zeta_c \). \( P_{P} \) is the total power contained by \( I_P(\xi) \). The spatial frequencies over which \( P_{P,W} \) is distributed are the ones being amplified with ACI by a factor \( A_0 \). The derivation of Eq. S24 is given in the Supplemental Material [37]. Eq. S24 is important since it emphasizes the significance of the selective amplification. Consider, for example, the case where the Fourier components within \( 6k_0 \leq \zeta \leq 8k_0 \) are selected for amplification, where \( k_0 \) is free space wavenumber. We set \( A_0 = 10^4 \) to guarantee the recovery of this entire band (see Fig. S3 in the Supplemental Material [37]). This overcompensates the smaller Fourier components within the bandwidth. From Eq. S24 the ratio \( R_o \) evaluates to about
The effective resolution limit of the passive imaging system is approximately $\zeta = 7k_0$. In contrast, the SNR of the active imaging systems incorporating ACI is increased within the selected bands of each filter. Slightly reduced SNR outside selected bands, which results in an impressive enhancement provided to each Fourier component inside the selected bands as expected, since the additional noise from $\sigma_{c,Aux}$ affects the entire spectrum (see Eq. S12). This contribution increases with $W$ as is evident from Fig. 3. Nevertheless, the additional increment in noise variance is significantly smaller than the amplification provided to each Fourier component inside the selected bands, which results in an impressive enhancement in SNR. The purple line is particularly interesting since it encapsulates the remarkable power of ACI. The rectangle function $|G_R(\zeta)|$, in this case, spans a fairly broad $6k_0$ bandwidth and has essentially extended the resolution limit of the system close to double compared to the passive system.

Based on Eqs. 5 and 7, the SNR of the passive and active imaging systems $SNR_P(\zeta)$ and $SNR_A(\zeta)$, respectively, are written as

$$SNR_P(\zeta) = \frac{|O(\zeta)|}{\sigma_{c,P}},$$

and

$$SNR_A(\zeta) = \frac{|O(\zeta)|}{\sigma_{c,A}},$$

respectively. $SNR_P(\zeta)$ is plotted by the black line in Fig. 3 and $SNR_A(\zeta)$ for filters with $W = 1, 3, 4$, and 6 by the pink, green, blue and purple lines, respectively. Note that $\zeta$ is kept constant at $10k_0$ and the dashed yellow line marks $SNR = 0dB$. The intersection of $SNR_P(\zeta)$ with the dashed line marks the resolution limit of the passive system since larger Fourier components will be indistinguishable from noise in the detected signal. However, $SNR_A(\zeta)$ shows a remarkable improvement especially within the regions where compensation is provided.

**B. Improving SNR and resolution limits**

The above inhibition of noise amplification during the compensation process results in substantial improvement in system performance [25] [33] [34]. This is investigated by comparing between the spectral SNR of the passive and active systems. A general expression for the spectral SNR is

$$SNR(\zeta) = \frac{|I(\zeta)|}{\sigma_{c,P}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Substituting the constant $A_0$ with a functional form $A_0(\zeta) = |T(\zeta)|^{-1}$ (see Eq. S20 in the Supplemental Material [37]) allows optimal amplification within $Wk_0$ bandwidth and is adopted below to emphasize the relative importance of the selective amplification rather than the exact functional form. Alternatively, a Gaussian or log-normal form of $|G_R(\zeta)|$ can also be used to better describe the previously considered MM spatial filters [27] [33]. Additionally, for the remainder of this work we will use $\sigma_1 = 10^{-3}$ in the signal-modulated noise model in Eq. 4 for consistency with our previous works [25] [27] [33] [34], where an experimental imaging system detector [02] is considered.

**FIG. 2.** The power spectral densities of the object ($|O(\zeta)|^2$) and the passive image ($|I_P(\zeta)|^2$) showing how the total power contained within the Gaussian object and image is distributed throughout the Fourier spectrum.

**FIG. 3.** SNRs of the passive (black line) and active imaging systems (pink, green, blue and purple lines) with different $W$. The effective resolution limit of the passive imaging system is approximately $\zeta = 7k_0$. In contrast, the SNR of the active imaging systems incorporating ACI is increased within the selected bands of each filter. Slightly reduced SNR outside the selected bands indicate the noise contribution from the auxiliary source.
C. Arbitrary objects

In general, the theory of ACI can be expanded to arbitrary objects. This is illustrated with Fig. 4 where the Fourier spectrum of an arbitrary object is plotted by the black line. The corresponding noise-free passive image spectrum is calculated from Eq. 5a and corrupted with noise in the spatial domain according to the signal-modulated noise model in Eq. 1. The noisy image is then Fourier transformed to obtain \( I_{nP}(\zeta) \). The magnitudes of \( I_{nP}(\zeta) \) and \( I_{nP}(\zeta) \) are shown in Fig. 4 by pink and light green lines, respectively. The standard deviation of the SD noise \( \sigma_{nP} \) (see Supplemental Material [37]), which has degraded the passive image spectrum is shown by the dashed dark green line. We can see how \( |I_P(\zeta)| \) progressively worsens with increasing \( \zeta \). Eventually, \( \sigma_{nP} \) becomes comparable to \( |I_P(\zeta)| \) at approximately \( \zeta = 7k_0 \) after which \( |I_{nP}(\zeta)| \) is overwhelmed by noise, similar to the simpler Gaussian object in Fig. 3 (see black line).

The noise-free active image spectrum is calculated from Eq. 5b taking \( \zeta_c = 10k_0 \), \( W = 4 \), and substituting \( A_0G(\zeta) \) with \( A_0(-G_R(\zeta)) \), where \( A_0(\zeta) = |\mathcal{T}(\zeta)|^{-1} \). This active image is then also corrupted with noise and Fourier transformed to obtain \( I_{n,A}(\zeta) \), magnitude of which is shown by the light blue line in Fig. 4. The standard deviation of the SD noise for the active system \( \sigma_{n,A} \) (see Supplemental Material 37) is shown by the dashed dark blue line. Fig. 4 clearly manifests the noise-resistant effect of the selective amplification. Note that the missing nodes on the object spectrum are accurately recovered inside the band \( 8k_0 \leq \zeta \leq 12k_0 \) where the selective amplification is provided. The inhibition of noise amplification with ACI’s selective spectral amplification is therefore applicable for arbitrary objects. Additionally, we point out that prior studies [25, 53, 54] with HMM spatial filters produced similar results and were shown to enhance the resolution limit of a silver superlens.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The ACI is more than a loss compensation in MMs or plasmonics. The ACI concept, the then-called II scheme, was first numerically demonstrated as a loss compensation method in a plasmonic NIM [20], but later rapidly evolved into a scheme for the mitigation of information loss in noisy and lossy linear systems. The ACI has, since, turned into a scheme for spectrum manipulation using selective amplification and correlations [25, 53, 54].

In this work, we have presented a mathematical analysis of the conceptual framework of ACI. We showed that selective amplification of a controllable band of spatial frequencies with an auxiliary source can provide sufficient amplification to previously attenuated spatial frequencies with minimal amplification of noise. It is important to emphasize that the amplification process in the theory of ACI described here is fundamentally different than the traditional optical gain media and does not require a quantum optical model. The ACI is more feasible than optical gain or nonlinear media, which are more complex and cumbersome due to pumping, gain saturation, or amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) [63, 64]. In the coherent model of ACI, the amplification of the spatial frequencies within the selected band (see Figs. 3 and 4) is achieved by the coherent superposition of the original object field with an external auxiliary source, which is correlated with the object field (see Eq. 5). Possible physical generations of the auxiliary source relying on the HMMs and injection of plasmons have been studied in detail in our previous works [20, 27, 33]. The implementations for far-field imaging can be made possible with, for example, structured-light illumination [59] and spatial filtering [60, 65]. Thus, the ACI does not suffer from the severe adverse effect of ASE on the SNR associated with the amplification of weak signals using optical gain media [63, 64]. Also, the imaging system here employs amplification prior to the lossy transmission and is operated with stronger signals. Moreover, the classical correlations play an important role in ACI [25, 26]. In practice, the ACI may not necessarily need increased input power or a separate auxiliary source, but may only need to locally (selectively) amplify the signal spectrum by redistributing the spatial frequency content [60, 65].

We provided a detailed analytical explanation of the role and importance of the various aspects of ACI for greater insights into the previous results [25, 53]. The same mathematical framework can be further expanded to include incoherent illumination [54, 59, 60, 65] using the Wiener-Khinchin theorem. We believe that this work can also theoretically explain the other numerical and experimental results presented in independent works including pattern uniformity in lithography [51], high-resolution Bessel beam generation [39], hyperbolic dark-
field lens [60], and acoustic real-time subwavelength edge detection [67], and fosters further explanation of recent simulation and experimental results in far-field imaging [59, 60].

Revealed from the simple mathematical result in Eq. S12, we conjecture that the theoretical concepts of ACI can be potentially generalized to numerous scenarios in noisy and lossy linear systems (e.g., atmospheric imaging [38, 41], bioimaging [68], deep-learning based imaging [69], structured-light illumination [69], tomography [40], time-domain spectroscopy [42, 43], free space optical communications [44–47], $PT$ symmetric non-Hermitian photonics [48, 49], and quantum computing [41, 50, 51, etc.) at different frequencies. Since ACI operates down at the physical layer, all of these scenarios should benefit from ACI for improved performance.
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Supporting Information: Theory of coherent active convolved illumination for superresolution enhancement

NEAR-FIELD IMAGING SYSTEM WITH ACTIVE CONVOLVED ILLUMINATION

As Pendry pointed out [S1], the properties of a negative index metamaterial necessary for superresolution imaging far beyond the diffraction limit, can be attained for transverse magnetic (TM) polarized light at a wavelength \( \lambda = 365 \text{nm} \) by a thin silver film embedded inside a dielectric. Under such conditions, resonant excitation of surface plasmons at the silver interface provides satisfactory amplification to high spatial frequencies which can then be focused assuming that the thickness of the silver film, object and image plane distances are much smaller than the incident wavelength. The configuration of such an imaging system is shown in Fig. S1(a), where the silver film with thickness \( d \) is embedded inside a dielectric and positioned symmetrically between the object and image planes indicated by solid and dashed black lines, respectively. A TM field distribution on the object plane is detected from the image plane after propagating though the silver film. During this propagation process, material losses progressively degrade the transmission of high spatial frequencies with increasing transversal wavenumber \( k_y \). Therefore, the ultimate performance of the system is limited to the highest spatial frequency whose attenuated amplitude is sufficiently strong enough to be accurately detected from the image plane amid noise. An ideal loss compensation scheme should extend this limit by intelligently providing adequate amounts of power to these previously undetectable spatial frequencies to allow them to survive the lossy transmission process by ensuring minimal noise amplification.

![Schematic of a typical silver lens imaging system](image)

FIG. S1. Schematic of a typical silver lens imaging system (a) without active convolved illumination (ACI) and (b) the modified form with the integrated spatial filter for an implementation of ACI. The TM-polarized field distribution on the object plane propagates through each system and is recorded from the image plane. The purple, green, and red regions are the silver lens, background dielectric and the integrated spatial filter, respectively.

In ACI, loss compensation can be performed by introducing an additional material between the object plane and the lens as shown in Fig. S1(b). This material should behave as a tunable active band-pass spatial filter [S2, S3]. We write the transfer function of the spatial filter as [S4]

\[
a(k_y) = b + G(k_y), \tag{S1}
\]

where we set \( b \) as a real constant corresponding to a uniform low background transmission. \( G(k_y) = |G(k_y)|e^{i\phi(k_y)} \) is a complex band-limited function with phase \( \phi(k_y) \) and describes the pass-band of the passive filter. If the amplitude of the wave illuminating the system is increased by a factor \( A_0 = b^{-1} \), the resulting transmitted spectrum is

\[
A(k_y) = 1 + A_0 G(k_y). \tag{S2}
\]

Eq. S2 is defined as the transfer function of the active spatial filter [S4]. The term “active spatial filter” simply refers to the process of physically providing increased energy to the passive filter with the transfer function in Eq. S1. In other words, linear transmission through passive materials is considered. The word active also distinguishes ACI from purely deconvolution based methods [S5–S8] where no additional energy is provided to the system.

The response of the active spatial filter should be shift invariant along the object plane and integrating the filter with the lens should allow the entire system to be described with an active transfer function [S2, S3] written as

\[
T_A(k_y) = T(k_y)[1 + A_0G(k_y)], \tag{S3}
\]

where \( T(k_y) \) is the passive transfer function of the silver lens.

The Eqs. S2 and S3 are central to the ACI loss compensation process. The physical picture is best illustrated with the aid of the schematic shown in Fig. S2. An arbitrary field incident on the system, shown in Fig. S2(a) can be described as a linear, weighted superposition of harmonics or spatial frequencies shown in Fig. S2(b). The active spatial filter in Fig. S2(c) is inserted between the lossy metamaterial in Fig. S2(e) and the incident field. The transfer function of the active filter has the form of Eq. S2 and the amplitude \( |A(k_y)| \) is depicted in the inset in Fig. S2(c). The transmission amplitude of a lossy metamaterial, which deteriorates for increasing spatial frequencies, is illustrated by the inset in Fig. S2(e). For example, assume that the spatial frequencies \( k_7 \) and \( k_8 \) will be compensated. ACI achieves this by tuning the center frequency, \( k_c \) of the active spatial filter such that \( |A(k_y)| > 1 \) over the identified spatial frequencies. This is illustrated by the inset in Fig. S2(c). After the harmonics of the incident field propagate though the active spatial filter, the amplitudes of the identified spatial
DERIVATION OF NOISE VARIANCE IN THE FOURIER DOMAIN

A general expression for the standard deviation of signal-dependent (SD) noise at the \( q^{th} \) spatial frequency can be calculated from the Fourier transform relation written as a Riemann sum [S12]. The Fourier transform of the noisy image \( i_n(\xi) \) in Eq. 1 is written as

\[
I_n(\xi) = \sum_{\xi=-\frac{M}{2} \Delta y}^{\frac{M}{2} \Delta y} |i_n(\xi)| e^{i\phi(\xi)} e^{-i2\pi \xi \zeta} \Delta y
\]

with real and imaginary parts \( I'_n(\xi) \) and \( I''_n(\xi) \), respectively. Note that the number of samples \( M \), is related to \( \Delta y \) and \( \Delta k_y \) by \( M = 1/\Delta y\Delta k_y \) [S12]. We can substitute \( |i_n(\xi)| \) from Eq. 1 into Eq. S4 to express the real and imaginary parts of \( I_n(\xi) \) as

\[
I'_n(\xi) = \sum_{\xi=-\frac{M}{2} \Delta y}^{\frac{M}{2} \Delta y} \left\{ |i(\xi)| + n_{sd}(\xi) \right\} \cos[\phi(\xi,\zeta)] \Delta y, \quad (S5)
\]

and

\[
I''_n(\xi) = \sum_{\xi=-\frac{M}{2} \Delta y}^{\frac{M}{2} \Delta y} \left\{ |i(\xi)| + n_{sd}(\xi) \right\} \sin[\phi(\xi,\zeta)] \Delta y, \quad (S6)
\]

respectively, and \( \phi(\xi,\zeta) = 2\pi \xi \zeta - \theta(\xi) \). Note that the contribution of signal-independent noise has been discarded by setting \( \sigma^2 = 0 \).

Based on Eq. S4 we can write \( I_n(\xi) \) as

\[
I_n(\xi) = I(\xi) + N_{sd}(\xi), \quad (S7)
\]

where \( I(\xi) \) and \( N_{sd}(\xi) \) are the Fourier transforms of \( i(\xi) \) and \( n_{sd}(\xi) \) in Eq. 1, respectively. The real and imaginary parts of \( I(\xi) \) and \( N_{sd}(\xi) \) can also be expressed in terms of the sums of cosines and sines similar to \( I_n(\xi) \) (see Eqs. S5 and S6). \( N_{sd}(\xi) \) in Eq. S7 has a standard deviation \( \sigma_{sd} \), describing SD noise at the \( q^{th} \) Fourier component. The variance of the real and imaginary parts of \( N_{sd}(\xi) \) are denoted by \( \sigma^2_{sd} = \sigma^2_{sd} + \sigma^2_{sd} \), respectively. According to Eqs. S4 and S7 \( N_{sd}(\xi) \) is a weighted superposition of all the random variables (RVs) in the spatial domain. Each RV involved in the summation is statistically independent with a Gaussian probability density function.
Therefore, we can apply Bienaymé’s identity, to express \( \sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 \) and \( \sigma_{\zeta_y}^{2,i} \) as

\[
\sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 = \sum_{\xi=-\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} \sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 \cos^2[\phi(\xi, \zeta)](\Delta y)^2, \tag{S8}
\]

and

\[
\sigma_{\zeta_y}^{2,i} = \sum_{\xi=-\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} \sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 \sin^2[\phi(\xi, \zeta)](\Delta y)^2, \tag{S9}
\]

respectively, and \( \sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 = f[|i(\xi)|] \gamma \sigma_i^2 \). The overall variance of SD noise at each spatial frequency is simply the sum of the variances of the real and imaginary parts in Eqs. S8 and S9 that is

\[
\sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 = \sum_{\xi=-\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} f[|i(\xi)|] \gamma \sigma_i^2 (\Delta y)^2. \tag{S10}
\]

Without loss of generality, the subsequent calculations can be simplified and provide more physical insight by assuming the modulation function in Eq. S10 is a linear function of \(|i(\xi)|\) with \( \gamma = 1 \). Similar effects are obtained, such as in practical detectors with the Poisson distribution of photon noise [S11, S13, S14]. Substituting \( f[|i(\xi)|] = |i(\xi)| \) and \( \gamma = 1 \) we can rewrite Eq. S10 as

\[
\sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 = \left[ \sum_{\xi=-\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} |i(\xi)|^2 \sigma_i^2 \Delta y \right] \Delta y. \tag{S11}
\]

The summation enclosed inside brackets, is proportional to the optical power on the image plane. Therefore, we can employ the energy conservation theorem by using Parseval’s relation and rewrite \( \sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 \) in Eq. S11 as

\[
\sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 = \left[ \sum_{\xi=-\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} |I(\xi)|^2 \sigma_i^2 \Delta k_y \right] \Delta y
= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\zeta=-\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} |I(\xi)|^2 \sigma_i^2. \tag{S12}
\]

**EFFECT OF DISCRETIZATION ON NOISE VARIANCE**

The presence of an extra \( \Delta y \) clearly makes \( \sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 \) dependent on the spatial discretization. Rescaling \( \Delta y \) in

Eq. S12 would result in effects of upsampling or down-sampling of continuous signals. Therefore, Eq. S12 cannot be readily generalized for an arbitrary detector system without considering the physical mechanism through which information is extracted. The variance of detected noise may not necessarily reduce with pixel miniaturization and multiple factors must also be considered when determining the overall effect on noise. The number of detected photons are also intimately related to the pixel active area, quantum efficiency, the pixel optical path, integration time, and sensitivity [S15, S18]. Additionally, it may be necessary to incorporate crosstalk effects between adjacent pixels to accurately model the effect of pixel scaling on \( \sigma_{\zeta_y}^2 \). However, the effects of pixel miniaturization on the detected noise are considered independent from ACI, which only deals with compensation of signal losses for a fixed number of pixels.

---

**FIG. S3.** Magnitude of the analytical transfer function for a 50\( \text{nm} \) thick silver lens embedded inside a dielectric and symmetrically placed between the object and image planes as shown in Fig. S1(a). The required compensation at each Fourier component should ideally be the inverse of the transfer function and is shown by the green line.

**SUPERLENS**

An analytical equation [S19] is used for the transfer function of the silver lens imaging system, which is configured similar to an experimental silver lens [S10] with \( d = 50\text{nm} \) and embedded inside a background dielectric of relative permittivity \( \epsilon_d = 2.5 \) [S3, S4, S34] (see Figs. S1(a) and S3). The relative permittivity of silver at \( \lambda = 365\text{nm} \) is \( \epsilon_{Ag} = -1.88 + 0.60i \), calculated from the Drude-Lorentz model [S20]. The corresponding estimated compensation necessary for each spatial frequency is simply the inverse of the corresponding magnitude of transmission (see Fig. S3). In the figures only positive spatial frequencies are shown, although the full spectrum is considered in all the calculations.
GAUSSIAN OBJECT

An example object with a Gaussian spectrum is employed in Figs. 2 and 3 in the main text, defined as

$$|O(\zeta)| = \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\alpha^2}\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (S13)

where $\alpha$ describes the full width at half maximum of $|O(\zeta)|$ and is defined as

$$\alpha = \frac{0.25M\Delta k_y}{2\sqrt{2\ln 2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S14)

The above form ensures that $|O(\zeta)|$ is negligibly small as $q \to M$. This avoids aliasing effects in the discretized Fourier spectrum and ensures that the sampling theorem is satisfied.

EFFECT OF ACI ON SD NOISE

The expressions for the standard deviations $\sigma_{q_{\zeta},P}$ and $\sigma_{q_{\zeta},A}$ are determined from Eq. S12 by substituting $|I_P(\zeta)|$ with $|I_A(\zeta)|$, respectively. That is

$$\sigma_{q_{\zeta},P}^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\zeta=-\frac{M}{2}\Delta k_y}^{\frac{M}{2}-1}\Delta k_y |O(\zeta)\tilde{T}(\zeta)|^2 \sigma_I^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S15)

and

$$\sigma_{q_{\zeta},A}^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\zeta=-\frac{M}{2}\Delta k_y}^{\frac{M}{2}-1}\Delta k_y |O(\zeta)\tilde{T}(\zeta)|^2 |1 + A_0G(\zeta)|^2$$
$$= \sigma_{q_{\zeta},P}^2 + \sigma_{q_{\zeta},Aux}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S16)

Note that $\sigma_{q_{\zeta},A}^2$ can be split into its contributing parts. $\sigma_{q_{\zeta},Aux}^2$ describes the contribution to the SD noise from the residual auxiliary source and is given by

$$\sigma_{q_{\zeta},Aux}^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\zeta=-\frac{M}{2}\Delta k_y}^{\frac{M}{2}-1}\Delta k_y |O(\zeta)\tilde{T}(\zeta)|^2 A_0^2 \sigma_I^2$$
$$\times \left[\frac{2G'(\zeta)}{A_0} + |G(\zeta)|^2\right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (S17)

where $G'(\zeta)$ is the real part. Eqs. S15 and S16 say that integrating the active spatial filter with the imaging system produces an additional source of SD noise whose standard deviation $\sigma_{q_{\zeta},Aux}$ is dependent on the filter parameters. Eq. S17 shows how the active filter parameters, such as $A_0$, the center frequency $q_c$, and the width of $G(\zeta)$ contribute to the noise at each Fourier component.

Before proceeding further, we reduce Eq. S17 to

$$\sigma_{q_{\zeta},Aux}^2 \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\zeta=-\frac{M}{2}\Delta k_y}^{\frac{M}{2}-1}\Delta k_y |O(\zeta)\tilde{T}(\zeta)|^2 A_0^2 \sigma_I^2 |G(\zeta)|^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S18)

since the summation of the first term inside the brackets in Eq. S17 can be generally dropped. For example, consider compensating the spatial frequencies $10k_0 \leq \zeta \leq 12k_0$. According to the green line in Fig. S3 the estimated value for $A_0$ is approximately within the order $10^6 \sim 10^8$.

The ratio $R_\zeta$ of $\sigma_{q_{\zeta},Aux}^2$ in Eq. S18 to $\sigma_{q_{\zeta},P}^2$ in Eq. S15 is written as

$$\frac{\sigma_{q_{\zeta},Aux}^2}{\sigma_{q_{\zeta},P}^2} = \frac{\sum_{\zeta=-\frac{M}{2}\Delta k_y}^{\frac{M}{2}-1}\Delta k_y |O(\zeta)\tilde{T}(\zeta)|^2 A_0^2 |G(\zeta)|^2}{\sum_{\zeta=-\frac{M}{2}\Delta k_y}^{\frac{M}{2}-1}\Delta k_y |O(\zeta)\tilde{T}(\zeta)|^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S19)

Large values of $R_\zeta$ indicate substantial SD noise addition from the auxiliary source. For simplicity, we rewrite the transfer function of the active spatial filter in Eq. S2 as

$$A_R(\zeta) = 1 + A_0G_R(\zeta),$$  \hspace{1cm} (S20)

where $G_R(\zeta) = |G_R(\zeta)|e^{i\phi_R(\zeta)}$ is a unit magnitude rectangular function of width $Wk_0$ and centered at $\zeta_c$. That is

$$|G_R(\zeta)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \frac{|\zeta - \zeta_c|}{Wk_0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S21)

This redefinition conveniently emphasizes the effect of selective spectral amplification without loss of generality. We substitute $|G(\zeta)|^2$ in Eq. S19 with $|G_R(\zeta)|^2$ and rewrite the equation as

$$R_\zeta = A_0^2 \frac{\sum_{\zeta_c - \zeta_c}^{\zeta_c + \zeta_c} |O(\zeta)\tilde{T}(\zeta)|^2}{\sum_{\zeta=-\frac{M}{2}\Delta k_y}^{\frac{M}{2}-1}\Delta k_y |O(\zeta)\tilde{T}(\zeta)|^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S22)

Note that the summation in the numerator is now confined within the lower and upper bounds of the rectangle function in Eq. S21 which are denoted as $\zeta_L$ and $\zeta_U$, respectively, and are given as

$$\zeta_L = \zeta_c - \frac{Wk_0}{2}, \hspace{1cm} \zeta_U = \zeta_c + \frac{Wk_0}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S23a)
Eq. S22 is important since it emphasizes the significance of the selective amplification. Eq. S22 can be interpreted by first noting that $|I_P(\zeta)|^2 = |O(\zeta)T(\zeta)|^2$ is the power spectral density (PSD) of the passive image. The summation of $|O(\zeta)T(\zeta)|^2$ over all $\zeta$ is proportional to the total power contained within the passive image. The PSD plots for $|O(\zeta)|^2$ and the corresponding $|I_P(\zeta)|^2$ are shown by black and red lines, respectively, in Fig. 2 in the main text. Since $|T(\zeta)|$ decays with increasing $q$ (see black line in Fig. S3), the PSD of $I_P(\zeta)$ clearly follows a similar trend. Most of the power contained within the image is distributed over a small portion of the Fourier spectrum. Eq. S22 can be rewritten as

$$R_\sigma = A_0 \frac{P_{I_P,W}}{P_{I_P}},$$

(S24)

where $P_{I_P,W}$ is the portion of the total power contained by $I_P(\zeta)$ distributed over bandwidth $Wk_0$ and centered at $\zeta_c$, and $P_{I_P}$ is the total power contained by $I_P(\zeta)$.

---


