

# Solidification of Icosahedral Quasicrystals: Viewpoint Based on a Quaternion Orientational Order Parameter and the Formation of the $E8$ Lattice

Caroline S. Gorham<sup>1, a)</sup> and David E. Laughlin<sup>1, b)</sup>

*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA*

In this article, the solidification phase transition between isotropic liquids and icosahedral quasicrystalline structures is classified by the application of a quaternion orientational order parameter. We suggest that the formation of the  $E8$  lattice in 8-dimensions, from which three-dimensional icosahedral quasicrystals derive by projection, occurs without dimensionally restricting the degrees of freedom of the quaternion orientational order parameter to the quaternion plane. This is different from the development of regular crystalline solids in three-dimensions, which occurs in “restricted dimensions” and requires topological-ordering, as previously discussed by the authors. In other words, herein, the formation of lattices in eight-dimensions is considered as a higher-dimensional analogy to the formation of “bulk” superfluidity. It follows that, the solidification of lattices in 8-dimensions (and derivative icosahedral quasicrystalline solids) should occur without the necessity of topological defects.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Space-filling solid states of matter that form from isotropic liquids have one of three types of structures: crystalline, glass, and quasicrystalline. Quasicrystalline structures, which express long-range icosahedral orientational order but no long-range translational order, were discovered in the 1980s by Shechtman and Cahn<sup>1</sup>. In addition to quasicrystals, many other solid states can also be thought of as forming with some degree of icosahedral (five-fold) short-range order that derives from an energetic preference for icosahedral atomic clustering in undercooled atomic fluids<sup>2</sup> (e.g., Frank-Kasper structures<sup>3,4</sup> and metallic glass).

In this article, we suggest a basis for which quasicrystalline solids are topologically distinct from crystalline solids. Our viewpoint is based on notions of a “bulk” dimension and “restricted” dimensions (Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem<sup>5-7</sup>) for orientational ordering, and the application of a quaternion orientational order parameter. In quaternion  $n$ -vector ordered systems ( $n = 4$ ), the available topological defects<sup>8</sup> belong to the third homotopy group; third homotopy group elements are points in 4D/3D quaternion ordered systems, and take on a higher-dimensional nature in dimensions larger than four<sup>9</sup>.

Spontaneous symmetry breaking is prohibited at finite temperatures in “restricted dimensions,” because point defects that prohibit spontaneous symmetry breaking are spontaneously generated just below the melting temperature<sup>10,11</sup>. Solidifying fluids in 4D/3D are considered to exist in “restricted dimensions,” generalizing the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem<sup>5-7</sup> to quaternion ordered systems, and these topological point defects must become ordered (via a Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition<sup>12,13</sup>) in order to form crystalline solids<sup>11,14</sup> in physical space.

On the other hand, icosahedral quasicrystals in physical space are known to derive from  $E8$  lattice in eight-dimensions<sup>15,16</sup>, which is the closest-packing of hard spheres in eight-dimensions<sup>17</sup>. This is typically accomplished by using one of two projection algorithms: a discrete quaternion Hopf fibration<sup>16</sup> (Sadoc and Mosseri) or the Elser and

Sloane method<sup>15</sup>. These projection methods are similar to other algorithms that make use of higher-dimensional lattices to generate quasi-periodic structures<sup>18</sup>: 1D Fibonacci chains from 2D lattices, and 2D Penrose tilings<sup>19</sup> from systems of five orthonormal vectors spanning a five-dimensional space<sup>20</sup>.

By moving from a consideration of crystallization in “restricted dimensions” to “bulk” dimensions, to consider the formation of eight-dimensional lattices, this work is related to our recent theoretical work on the solidification of geometrically-frustrated topologically close-packed (TCP) crystalline solids in 4D/3D. Ultimately, it follows that the formation of lattices in eight-dimensions (and derivative icosahedral quasicrystals) is not topologically-driven. Our approach to the formation of 8-dimensional lattices, making use of a quaternion orientational order parameter, is elaborated in Section II. Mathematical methods for the generation of icosahedral quasicrystals by projection from the  $E8$  lattice are reviewed in Section III. Section IV summarizes the use of a quaternion orientational order parameter in the formation of quasicrystalline and crystalline solid states, which distinguishes quasicrystals within a class separate from crystals which are topologically-ordered.

## II. SOLIDIFICATION OF THE $E8$ LATTICE: CONVENTIONAL FIRST-ORDER PHASE TRANSITION

Isotropic liquid to crystalline solid phase transitions may be understood by considering a quaternion order parameter to describe orientational correlations<sup>21,22</sup> on solidification. Therefore, the development of orientational order upon crystallization may be viewed as a higher-dimensional analogue to the development of phase-coherence in superfluids which are characterized by a complex order parameter. In our previous work, we have compared the development of three-dimensional crystalline solids with the development of superfluidity in “restricted dimensions.” In this section, we consider the formation of the  $E8$  lattice in 8-dimensions in analogue to the development of “bulk” superfluidity.

We suggest the “bulk” and “restricted” dimensions, for complex and quaternion orientational ordered systems, can

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: caroling@cmu.edu

<sup>b)</sup>Electronic mail: laughlin@cmu.edu




Figure 1. Complex (2-vector,  $U(1) \cong S^1 \cong O(2)$ ) and quaternion (4-vector,  $SU(2) \cong S^3 \cong O(4)$ ) Hopf fibrations.

be inferred by considering the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Hopf fibrations<sup>a</sup> (Figure 1). In complex ordered systems, “bulk” phase-coherent superfluids form in three-dimensions by spontaneous symmetry breaking. On the other hand, 2D/1D are considered to be “restricted” dimensions for complex orientational ordering and topological-ordering is required for the formation of superfluid ground states<sup>23</sup>. In “restricted dimensions,” frustration is possible in the vicinity of a quantum phase transition that belongs to the  $O(2)$  quantum rotor model<sup>24,25</sup> (superfluid-to-Mott insulator transition<sup>23</sup>).

Similarly, the influence of system dimensionality on the character of quaternion orientational ordering that develops upon crystallization may be understood by considering the 2<sup>nd</sup> Hopf fibration. The formation of lattices with nearest-neighbor shells on  $S^7$  in eight-dimensions should be considered to occur in “bulk” dimensions, while crystalline solids that form in 4D/3D should be considered to form in “restricted dimensions.” In “restricted dimensions,” in addition to traditional space-filling crystalline solids, geometrical frustration becomes possible in the vicinity of quantum phase transition that belongs to the  $O(4)$  quantum rotor model. Figure 2 summarizes the various ordered phases that can be realized in “bulk” and “restricted” dimensions, in cases of complex and quaternion ordering.

Upon crystallization, a quaternion number is adopted as the relevant orientational order parameter:  $\psi = |\psi|e^{\hat{n}\theta}$ , where  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  is a rotation angle and  $\hat{n}$  is a unit-length vector quaternion (i.e.,  $\hat{n}^2 = -1$ ) that acts as the axis of rotation. Overall, this orientational order parameter depends on three scalar phase angles ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_1 \in [0, \pi]$  and  $\theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ ) and a single amplitude ( $|\psi|$ ) degree of freedom. Unlike isotropic liquid to superfluid transitions, which are continuous (higher-order) transitions, any transition between continuous and discrete symmetry groups (e.g., crystallization) is necessarily discontinuous (1<sup>st</sup> order, in the Ehrenfest sense). In the crystalline solid state, the quaternion orientational order parameter has a non-zero amplitude ( $\rho_0$ ) and there are an infinite number of possible ground states – for any ( $\theta$ ,  $\theta_1 \in [0, \pi]$  and  $\theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ ) a constant order parameter field  $\psi = \rho_0 e^{\hat{n}\theta}$  is a ground state.

<sup>a</sup> There are three possible Hopf fibrations with compact Lie groups as fibers: complex ( $S^1$ ), quaternion ( $S^3$ ) and octonion ( $S^7$ ). The complex (1<sup>st</sup>) Hopf fibration:  $S^3 \rightarrow S^1 \hookrightarrow S^2$ , describes the bundle  $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$  with the fiber  $S^1$ ; the quaternion (2<sup>nd</sup>) Hopf fibration:  $S^7 \rightarrow S^3 \hookrightarrow S^4$ , describes the bundle  $S^7 \rightarrow S^4$  with the fiber  $S^3$ .




Figure 2. Low-temperature states of matter that form in “bulk” and “restricted dimensions,” for Bose-Einstein condensates characterized by complex and quaternion order parameters.

Crystallization of eight-dimensional lattices, with first coordination shells on  $S^7$ , is considered to occur in the “bulk” dimension for quaternion orientational order (Figure 1). Therefore, a set of three scalar phase angle parameters are adopted (ground state) on spontaneous symmetry breaking at the melting temperature that apply macroscopically. Thus, the formation of eight-dimensional lattices is considered to be analogous to the formation of bulk superfluidity which does not rely on topological defects<sup>26</sup>. This is in contrast to isotropic liquid to crystalline solid phase transitions<sup>14</sup> which, occur in “restricted dimensions” 4D/3D (Figure 1) and, are topological-driven.

Icosahedral quasicrystalline solid states in physical space derive by *projection* from the  $E8$  lattices in eight-dimensions, and thereby are considered to belong to a class of solid states that is unique from topologically-ordered crystalline solids in three-dimensions. It should be noted that geometrically frustrated crystalline solid states are only possible in cases of quaternion orientational ordering taking place in “restricted dimensions.” Projection methods to generate icosahedral quasicrystalline solids in physical space from the  $E8$  lattice are reviewed in Section III.

### III. FORMATION OF ICOSAHEDRAL QUASICRYSTALS: PROJECTION FROM THE $E8$ LATTICE

The  $E8$  lattice is mathematically related to the structure of icosahedral quasicrystalline solid states in physical space, through its representation using quaternion numbers. In particular, Sadoc and Mosseri<sup>16</sup> have employed the discrete quaternion Hopf fibration of the  $E8$  lattice to generate three-dimensional icosahedral quasicrystals. This discrete quaternion Hopf fibration enables one to visualize the first-nearest neighbor coordination shell of eight-dimensional lattices, that are inscribed on the surface of a sphere in eight-dimensions ( $S^7$ ), in terms of lower-dimensional spheres. Just as the complex Hopf fibration is a kind of projection from the three-sphere (total) to the two-sphere (base), the quaternion Hopf fibration is a projection from the seven-

sphere (total) to the four-sphere (base). The fibers in a fibration are the parts that project to a single point; they are circles ( $S^1$ ) in the complex Hopf fibration, and hyperspheres ( $S^3$ ) in the quaternion Hopf fibration.

The discrete quaternion Hopf fibration is used<sup>16</sup> to gather families of  $E8$  shell sites, within the first coordination shell that is the 240-vertex Gosset<sup>27</sup> polytope ( $4_{21}$ ) on  $S^7$ , into  $S^3$  fibers. Each fiber contains 24 sites, symmetrically disposed in  $S^3$ , forming the  $\{3, 4, 3\}$  polytope<sup>16</sup>. The group of fibers can be separated into sets of five, where each set of five  $\{3, 4, 3\}$  polytope forms a  $\{3, 3, 5\}$  icosahedral polytope; the map of the Gosset polytope onto the four-dimensional ( $S^3$ ) space therefore leads to two concentric  $\{3, 3, 5\}$  polytope. Each of these  $\{3, 4, 3\} \in S^3$  fibers generates<sup>16</sup> a 4-dimensional  $\{3, 3, 4, 3\}$  quasicrystalline sublattice of  $E8$ , of which there are ten non-intersecting identical sublattices passing through the origin<sup>16</sup>. Three-dimensional icosahedral quasicrystals are obtained by taking a section of a 4D sublattice on a 3-dimensional plane of high symmetry<sup>16</sup>.

As an alternative to the discrete quaternion Hopf fibration method introduced above<sup>16</sup>, Elser and Sloane<sup>15</sup> have generated four-dimensional quasicrystals (with three-dimensional icosahedral quasicrystals as a cross-section<sup>15</sup>) from the  $E8$  lattice by a cut-and-projection method. The Elser and Sloane projection method<sup>15</sup> relies on the definition of the  $E8$  lattice in terms of the *icosian* subgroup of quaternions, which are a specific set of 120 Hamiltonian quaternions that are equipped with the *quaternionic norm*<sup>b</sup> and that form the vertices of the regular  $\{3, 3, 5\}$  polytope. *Icosians* lie in the Golden field<sup>17</sup>, and can be written as:

$$q = (a + b\sqrt{5}) + (c + d\sqrt{5})\hat{i} + (e + f\sqrt{5})\hat{j} + (g + h\sqrt{5})\hat{k} \quad (1)$$

where the eight variables are rational numbers ( $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h$ ).  $q$  is only an *icosian* if the vector is a point on a lattice that is isomorphic to the  $E8$  lattice<sup>15</sup>, requiring that the *Euclidean norm*<sup>c</sup> of  $q$  is 1. There are 240 *icosians* of Euclidean norm 1, forming<sup>15</sup> the first coordination shell of an  $E8$  lattice (i.e., the Gosset polytope). These vertices are the 120 *icosians*, and the *icosians* times the Golden ratio ( $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$ ).

Mathematical methods for generating icosahedral quasicrystals in physical space, by projection from quaternionic representations<sup>15,16</sup> of the  $E8$  lattice, have been reviewed in this section. This shows how lattices in eight-dimensions, which have been described as a manifestation of quaternion orientational ordering in a “bulk” dimension (Section II), can be realized in physical space. Thus, quasicrystalline structures are not topologically-ordered states of matter like crystalline solids<sup>11,14</sup>.

#### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have suggested that the degree of orientational-order that develops upon crystallization in

eight-dimensions may be characterized using a quaternion order parameter. By considering the quaternion Hopf fibration, we have concluded that crystallization in eight-dimensions (of nearest-neighbor shells on  $S^7$ ) occurs in the “bulk” dimension for quaternion orientational order. The formation of lattices in eight-dimensions should thus occur by spontaneous symmetry breaking, and is therefore not topologically-driven, as a higher-dimensional analogy to the formation of bulk superfluidity by the development of a complex order parameter that applies macroscopically.

Projection from the eight-dimensional  $E8$  lattice into physical space is known to generate icosahedral quasicrystalline solid structures and, thus, this work shines a new light on the nature of the orientational ground state of quasicrystalline structures. This ordering field theory approach to crystallization, employing a quaternion orientational order parameter, differentiates the formation of quasicrystalline solid states from the solidification of crystalline solids that has previously been shown by the authors<sup>11,14</sup> to occur in “restricted dimensions.”

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge support from the ALCOA Chair in Physical Metallurgy. C.S.G. is also grateful for support from NASA’s Office of Graduate Research through the Space Technology and Research Fellowship (NSTRF).

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup>D. Shechtman, I. Blech, D. Gratias, and J. W. Cahn, “Metallic phase with long-range orientational order and no translational symmetry,” *Physical review letters*, vol. 53, no. 20, p. 1951, 1984.
- <sup>2</sup>F. C. Frank, “Supercooling of Liquids,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A*, vol. 215, 1952.
- <sup>3</sup>F. C. Frank and J. S. Kasper, “Complex alloy structures regarded as sphere packings. I. Definitions and basic principles,” *Acta Crystallographica*, vol. 11, no. 3, 1958.
- <sup>4</sup>F. C. Frank and J. S. Kasper, “Complex alloy structures regarded as sphere packings. II. Analysis and classification of representative structures,” *Acta Crystallographica*, vol. 12, no. 7, 1959.
- <sup>5</sup>N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, “Absence of Ferromagnetism or Antiferromagnetism in One- or Two-Dimensional Isotropic Heisenberg Models,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 17, no. 22, pp. 1133–1136, 1966.
- <sup>6</sup>P. C. Hohenberg, “Existence of long-range order in one and two dimensions,” *Physical Review*, vol. 158, no. 2, p. 383, 1967.
- <sup>7</sup>B. I. Halperin, “On the HohenbergMerminWagner Theorem and Its Limitations,” *Journal of Statistical Physics*, Dec. 2018.
- <sup>8</sup>G. Toulouse and M. Klmán, “Principles of a classification of defects in ordered media,” *Journal de Physique Lettres*, vol. 37, no. 6, 1976.
- <sup>9</sup>J. C. Teo and C. L. Kane, “Topological defects and gapless modes in insulators and superconductors,” *Physical Review B*, vol. 82, no. 11, 2010.
- <sup>10</sup>C. S. Gorham and D. E. Laughlin, “SU(2) orientational ordering in restricted dimensions: evidence for a Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition of topological point defects in four dimensions,” *Journal of Physics Communications*, vol. 2, no. 7, 2018.
- <sup>11</sup>C. S. Gorham and D. E. Laughlin, “Crystallization in three dimensions: Defect-driven topological ordering and the role of geometrical frustration,” *Physical Review B*, vol. 99, p. 144106, Apr. 2019.
- <sup>12</sup>V. L. Berezinskii, “Destruction of long-range order in one-dimensional and two-dimensional systems having a continuous symmetry group I. classical systems,” *Sov. Phys. JETP*, vol. 32, no. 3, 1971.

<sup>b</sup> The quaternionic norm of  $q = a + b\hat{i} + c\hat{j} + d\hat{k}$  is  $Q(q) = q\bar{q} = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ , where  $\bar{q} = a - b\hat{i} - c\hat{j} - d\hat{k}$  is the quaternion conjugate.

The quaternion norm is a real number of the form  $u + v\sqrt{5}$ , where  $u, v$  are rational.

<sup>c</sup> The Euclidean norm of  $q$  is defined as  $u + v$ .

- <sup>13</sup>J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, "Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems," *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, vol. 6, no. 7, 1973.
- <sup>14</sup>C. S. Gorham and D. E. Laughlin, "Topological description of the solidification of undercooled fluids and the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity of crystalline and glassy solids above approximately 50 K," *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, vol. 31, p. 105701, Jan. 2019.
- <sup>15</sup>V. Elser and N. J. A. Sloane, "A highly symmetric four-dimensional quasicrystal," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, vol. 20, pp. 6161–6167, Dec. 1987.
- <sup>16</sup>J. F. Sadoc and R. Mosseri, "The E8 lattice and quasicrystals: geometry, number theory and quasicrystals," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, vol. 26, pp. 1789–1809, Apr. 1993.
- <sup>17</sup>J. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, New York: Springer-Verlag, 3 ed., 1999.
- <sup>18</sup>M. De Graef and M. E. McHenry, *Structure of materials: an introduction to crystallography, diffraction and symmetry*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- <sup>19</sup>R. Penrose, "Pentaplexity a class of non-periodic tilings of the plane," *The mathematical intelligencer*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 32–37, 1979.
- <sup>20</sup>J. H. Conway and K. M. Knowles, "Quasiperiodic tiling in two and three dimensions," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, vol. 19, pp. 3645–3653, Dec. 1986.
- <sup>21</sup>N. D. Mermin, "The homotopy groups of condensed matter physics," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 19, no. 6, 1978.
- <sup>22</sup>N. D. Mermin, "The topological theory of defects in ordered media," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 51, no. 3, 1979.
- <sup>23</sup>M. Endres, T. Fukuhara, D. Pekker, M. Cheneau, P. Schauß, C. Gross, E. Demler, S. Kuhr, and I. Bloch, "The Higgs amplitude mode at the two-dimensional superfluid/Mott insulator transition," *Nature*, vol. 487, pp. 454–458, July 2012.
- <sup>24</sup>S. Sachdev, *Quantum phase transitions*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2011.
- <sup>25</sup>T. Vojta and R. Sknepnek, "Quantum phase transitions of the diluted O(3) rotor model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 74, p. 094415, Sept. 2006.
- <sup>26</sup>B. I. Halperin and D. R. Nelson, "Resistive transition in superconducting films," *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, vol. 36, no. 5-6, pp. 599–616, 1979.
- <sup>27</sup>H. S. M. Coxeter, *Regular Polytopes*. Courier Corporation, 1973.