NONCOMMUTATIVE KNÖRRER’S PERIODICITY THEOREM AND NONCOMMUTATIVE QUADRATIC HYPERSURFACES

IZURU MORI AND KENTA UEYAMA

ABSTRACT. Noncommutative hypersurfaces, in particular, noncommutative quadratic hypersurfaces are major objects of study in noncommutative algebraic geometry. In the commutative case, Knörrer’s periodicity theorem is a powerful tool to study Cohen-Macaulay representation theory for hypersurfaces, so, in this paper, we show a noncommutative version of graded Knörrer periodicity theorem. Moreover, under high rank property defined in this paper, we show that computing the stable category of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a noncommutative smooth quadratic hypersurface up to 6 variables can be reduced to one or two variables cases. We also give a complete classification of the stable category of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a smooth quadratic hypersurface in a skew $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ up to 6 variables without high rank property using graphical methods.

1. Introduction

Throughout this section, we fix an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic not equal to 2. Let $S = k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ be the formal power series ring of $n$ variables, and $f \in (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2 \subset S$ a nonzero element. A matrix factorization of $f$ is a pair $(\Phi, \Psi)$ of $r \times r$ square matrices whose entries are elements in $S$ such that $\Phi \Psi = \Psi \Phi = f E_r$.

In [2], Eisenbud showed the factor category $\text{MF}_S(f) := \text{MF}_S(f)/\text{add}\{(1, f), (f, 1)\}$ of the category $\text{MF}_S(f)$ of matrix factorizations of $f$ is equivalent to the stable category $\text{CM}(S/(f))$ of maximal Cohen-Macaulay $S/(f)$-modules ([2, Section 6], see also [15, Theorem 7.4]). By this equivalence, we can apply the theory of (reduced) matrix factorizations to the representation theory of Cohen-Macaulay modules (with no free summand) over hypersurfaces. In [4], Knörrer proved the following famous theorem, which is now called Knörrer’s periodicity theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** ([4, Theorem 3.1], see also [15, Theorem 12.10]). Let $S = k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ and $0 \neq f \in (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$. Then

$$\text{CM}(S/(f)) \cong \text{MF}_S(f) \cong \text{MF}_{S[[u,v]]}(f + u^2 + v^2) \cong \text{CM}(S[[u,v]]/((f + u^2 + v^2))).$$

Knörrer’s periodicity theorem plays an essential role in representation theory of Cohen-Macaulay modules over Gorenstein rings. For example, using Knörrer’s periodicity theorem, we can show that arbitrary dimensional simple singularities are of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type. Furthermore, matrix factorizations are related to several areas of mathematics, including singularity category, Calabi-Yau category, Khovanov-Rozansky homology, and homological mirror symmetry.

In this paper, to study noncommutative hypersurfaces, which are important objects in noncommutative algebraic geometry, we present some noncommutative graded versions of Knörrer’s periodicity theorem. The notion of noncommutative (graded) matrix factorizations was introduced
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Theorem 1.3 (Knörrer’s periodicity theorem). Let $S$ be a noetherian AS-regular algebra and $f \in S$ a regular normal homogeneous element. Then the category $\text{NMF}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S(f))$ is equivalent to the category $\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f))$ of maximal graded Cohen-Macaulay $S/(f)$-modules.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we collect some definitions and preliminary results needed in this paper.

In Section 3, we prove the following result, which is a natural noncommutative graded analogue of Knörrer’s periodicity theorem:

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.6). Let $S$ be a noetherian AS-regular algebra and $f \in S$ a regular normal homogeneous element of even degree. If there exists a graded algebra automorphism $\sigma$ of $S$ such that $\sigma(f) = f$ and $\sigma^2 = \nu_f$ where $\nu_f$ is a graded algebra automorphism of $S$ defined by $a f = f \nu_f(a)$ for $a \in S$ (e.g. if $f$ is central and $\sigma = \text{id}$), then

$$\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f)) \cong \text{NMF}_{\mathbb{Z}}(f) \cong \text{NMF}_{\mathbb{Z}[u;\sigma][v;\sigma]}(f + u^2 + v^2) \cong \text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S[u;\sigma][v;\sigma]/(f + u^2 + v^2))$$

where $\deg u = \deg v = \frac{1}{2} \deg f$.

A special case of the above theorem was recently proved in [3, Theorem 8.2].

Since $f$ is regular normal, the category of noncommutative matrix factorizations of $f$ is equivalent to the category of twisted matrix factorizations of $f$ by [8, Proposition 4.7], so the above theorem gives a categorical statement of [1, Theorem 1.7].

In Section 4, we focus on Knörrer periodicity for noncommutative smooth quadric hypersurfaces. A homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is defined by $A = S/(f)$ where $S$ is an $n$-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra and $f \in S_2$ is a regular normal element [11]. It is well-known that $A$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of a (commutative) smooth quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ if and only if $A \cong k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)$. Applying the graded Knörrer periodicity theorem to the commutative case, we have

$$\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \begin{cases} 
\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(k[x_1]/(x_1^2)) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}, \\
\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) & \text{if } n \text{ is even}.
\end{cases}$$

In Section 4, we prove a noncommutative analogue of this result up to 6 variables under high rank property defined in this paper, which is an extension of the notion of irreducibility of $f$.

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 4.22). Let $A = S/(f)$ be a homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth high rank quadric hypersurface in a quantum $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

1. If $n = 1, 3, 5$, then $\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(k[x_1]/(x_1^2))$.
2. If $n = 2, 4, 6$, then $\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2))$.

In Section 5, we will show that the above theorem fails without “high rank property” by considering a simple example $A = A_{\epsilon} := S_{\epsilon}/(f_{\epsilon})$ where $S_{\epsilon} = k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)/(x_i x_j - \epsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)$ is a skew polynomial algebra and $f_{\epsilon} = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \in S_{\epsilon}$. We use graphical methods. To do this, we associate to each skew polynomial algebra $S_{\epsilon}$ a certain graph $G_{\epsilon}$. We introduce in this paper the operations, called mutation, relative mutation, Knörrer reduction, and two points reduction for $G_{\epsilon}$, and show that they are very powerful in computing $\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{\epsilon})$ as in the following lemma (Knörrer reduction is a consequence of Theorem 1.3):

Lemma 1.5. Let $G_{\epsilon}, G_{\epsilon'}$ be graphs associated to skew polynomial algebras $S_{\epsilon}, S_{\epsilon'}$.

1. (Lemma 5.5) If $G_{\epsilon'}$ is obtained from $G_{\epsilon}$ by mutation, then $\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{\epsilon}) \cong \text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{\epsilon'})$.
2. (Lemma 5.7) If $G_{\epsilon'}$ is obtained from $G_{\epsilon}$ by relative mutation, then $\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{\epsilon}) \cong \text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{\epsilon'})$.
3. (Lemma 5.19) If $G_{\epsilon'}$ is obtained from $G_{\epsilon}$ by Knörrer reduction, then $\text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{\epsilon}) \cong \text{CM}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_{\epsilon'})$. 

in [8]. In terms of noncommutative graded matrix factorizations, the category $\text{NMF}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S)$ can be defined, and a noncommutative graded analogue of Eisenbud’s result was obtained as follows:
(See Lemma 5.20 for the statement of two points reduction.) By using these four graphical methods, we will give a complete classification of $CM^Z(A_ε)$ up to $n = 6$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Terminology and Notation. Throughout this paper, we fix a field $k$. Unless otherwise stated, an algebra means an algebra over $k$, and a graded ring means an $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring.

For a ring $R$, we denote by $\text{Mod} R$ the category of right $R$-modules, and by $\text{mod} R$ the full subcategory consisting of finitely generated modules. We denote by $R^o$ the opposite ring of $R$.

For a graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i$, we denote by $\text{GrMod} R$ the category of graded right $R$-modules, and by $\text{grmod} R$ the full subcategory consisting of finitely generated modules. Morphisms in $\text{GrMod} R$ are right $R$-module homomorphisms preserving degrees. For $M \in \text{GrMod} R$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the truncation $M_{\geq n} := \bigoplus_{i \geq n} M_i$ and the shift $M(n)_i = M_{n+i}$.

Let $C$ be an additive category and $\mathcal{P}$ a set of objects of $C$ closed under direct sums. Then the factor category $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{P}$ has $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{P}) = \text{Obj}(C)$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{P}}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_C(M, N)/\mathcal{P}(M, N)$ for $M, N \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{P}) = \text{Obj}(C)$, where $\mathcal{P}(M, N)$ is the subgroup consisting of all morphisms from $M$ to $N$ that factor through objects in $\mathcal{P}$. Note that $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{P}$ is also an additive category.

For a ring $R$, the stable category of $\text{mod} R$ is defined by $\text{mod} R := \text{mod} R/\mathcal{P}$ where $\mathcal{P} := \{P \in \text{mod} A \mid P \text{ is free}\}$. The set of homomorphisms in $\text{mod} R$ is denoted by $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ for $M, N \in \text{mod} R$. (For a graded ring $R$, the stable category of $\text{grmod} R$ is denoted by $\text{grmod} R := \text{grmod} R/\mathcal{P}$ where $\mathcal{P} := \{P \in \text{grmod} R \mid P \text{ is free}\}$.)

Let $R$ be a (graded) ring. A (graded) right $R$-module $M$ is called totally reflexive if

1. $\text{Ext}^i_R(M, R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$,
2. $\text{Ext}^i_{R^o}(\text{Hom}_A(M, R), R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, and
3. the natural biduality map $M \to \text{Hom}_{R^o}(\text{Hom}_A(M, R), R)$ is an isomorphism.

The full subcategory of $\text{mod} R$ consisting of totally reflexive modules is denoted by $\text{TR}(R)$. (The full subcategory of $\text{grmod} R$ consisting of graded totally reflexive modules is denoted by $\text{TR}^Z(R)$.) The stable category of $\text{TR}(R)$ is defined by $\text{TR}(R) := \text{TR}(R)/\mathcal{P}$. (The stable category of $\text{TR}^Z(R)$ is defined by $\text{TR}^Z(R) := \text{TR}^Z(R)/\mathcal{P}$.

For a graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$, we say that $A$ is connected graded if $A_i = 0$ for all $i < 0$ and $A_0 = k$, and we say that $A$ is locally finite if $\dim_k A_i < \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\text{GrAut} A$ the group of graded $k$-algebra automorphisms of $A$. If $A$ is a locally finite graded algebra and $M \in \text{grmod} A$, then we define the Hilbert series of $M$ by $H_M(t) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim_k M_i) t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$.

We recall a nice operation for graded algebras, called twisting system, introduced by Zhang [16]. Let $A$ be a graded algebra. A twisting system on $A$ is a sequence $\theta = \{\theta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of graded $k$-linear automorphisms of $A$ such that $\theta_i(a\theta_j(b)) = \theta_i(a)\theta_{i+j}(b)$ for every $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $a \in A_j, b \in A$. The twisted graded algebra of $A$ by a twisting system $\theta$ is a graded algebra $A^\theta$ where $A^\theta = A$ as a graded $k$-vector space with the new multiplication $a^\theta b^\theta = (a\theta_i(b))^\theta$ for $a^\theta \in A_1^\theta, b^\theta \in A^\theta$. Here we write $a^\theta \in S^\theta$ for $a \in S$ when viewed as an element of $A^\theta$ and the product $a\theta_i(b)$ is computed in $A$. If $\theta \in \text{GrAut} A$, then $\{\theta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a twisting system of $A$. In this case, we simply write $A^\theta := A^{\{\theta\}}$.}

**Lemma 2.1** ([16]). If $A$ is a graded algebra and $\theta$ is a twisting system on $A$, then $\text{GrMod} A^\theta \cong \text{GrMod} A$.

The following classes of algebras are main objects of study in noncommutative algebraic geometry.

**Definition 2.2.** A connected graded algebra $A$ is called an AS-regular (resp. AS-Gorenstein) algebra of dimension $n$ if

1. $\text{gldim} A = n < \infty$ (resp. $\text{injdim}_A A = \text{injdim}_{A^\theta} A = n < \infty$), and
2. $\text{Ext}^i_A(k, A) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{A^\theta}(k, A) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq n, \\ k(\ell) \text{ for some } \ell \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$
A quantum polynomial algebra of dimension $n$ is a noetherian AS-regular algebra $A$ of dimension $n$ with $H_A(t) = (1 - t)^{-n}$.

A quantum polynomial algebra of dimension $n$ is a noncommutative analogue of the commutative polynomial algebra in $A$, quantum polynomial algebra.

Let $A$ be a noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension $n$. We define the $i$-th local cohomology of $M \in \text{grmod } A$ by $H^i_m(M) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Ext}^i_A(A/A_{\geq n}, M)$. Then one can show that $H^i_m(A) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$. A graded module $M \in \text{grmod } A$ is called maximal Cohen-Macaulay if $H^i_m(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$. It is known that $M \in \text{grmod } A$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is totally reflexive, so in this setting, we also use notation $\text{CM}^Z(A)$ and $\overline{\text{CM}}^Z(A)$ for $\text{TR}^Z(A)$ and $\text{TR}^Z(A)$, respectively.

2.2. Noncommutative Matrix Factorizations. In this subsection, we recall some background results on noncommutative matrix factorizations obtained in [8].

Definition 2.3 ([8, Definition 2.1]). Let $S$ be a ring and $f \in S$ an element. A noncommutative right matrix factorization of $f$ over $S$ is a sequence of right $S$-module homomorphisms $\{ \phi^i : F^{i+1} \to F^i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where $F^i$ are free right $S$-modules of rank $r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^{i+2} & \xrightarrow{\phi^i} & F^i \\
\cong & & \cong \\
S^r & \xrightarrow{f} & S^r
\end{array}
$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A morphism $\mu : \{ \phi^i : F^{i+1} \to F^i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \to \{ \psi^i : G^{i+1} \to G^i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of noncommutative right matrix factorizations is a sequence of right $S$-module homomorphisms $\{ \mu^i : F^i \to G^i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\phi^i} & F^i \\
\downarrow{\mu^{i+1}} & & \downarrow{\rho^i} \\
G^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi^i} & G^i
\end{array}
$$

commutes for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\text{NMF}_S(f)$ the category of noncommutative right matrix factorizations.

Let $S$ be a graded ring and $f \in S_d$ a homogeneous element. A noncommutative graded right matrix factorization of $f$ over $S$ is a sequence of graded right $S$-module homomorphisms $\{ \phi^i : F^{i+1} \to F^i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where $F^i$ are graded free right $S$-modules of rank $r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^{i+2} & \xrightarrow{\phi^i \phi^{i+1}} & F^i \\
\cong & & \cong \\
\bigoplus_{s=1}^r S(-m_{i+2,s}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \bigoplus_{s=1}^r S(-m_{is} - d) \\
\end{array}
$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can similarly define the category of noncommutative graded right matrix factorizations $\text{NMF}^Z_S(f)$.

Remark 2.4. Let $S$ be a (graded) ring and $f \in S$ a (homogeneous) element.
(1) Let \( \{ \phi^i : F^{i+1} \to F^i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) be a noncommutative right matrix factorization of \( f \) over \( S \) of rank \( r \). We often identify \( F^n = S^n \). In this case, every \( \phi^i \) is the left multiplication of a matrix \( \Phi^i \) whose entries are elements in \( S \), so that \( \Phi^i \Phi^{i+1} = fE_r \) where \( E_r \) is the identity matrix of size \( r \).

(2) Let \( \{ \phi^i : F^{i+1} \to F^i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) be a noncommutative graded right matrix factorization of \( f \) over \( S \) of rank \( r \) such that \( F^i = \bigoplus_{s=1}^r S(-m_{is}) \). In this case, we may write \( \phi^i = (\phi^i_{st}) \) where \( \phi^i_{st} : S(-m_{i-1,t}) \to S(-m_{is}) \) is the left multiplication of an element in \( S_{m_{i-1,t} - m_{is}} \), so \( \phi^i \) is the left multiplication of a matrix \( \Phi^i \) whose entries are homogeneous elements in \( S \), so that \( \Phi^i \Phi^{i+1} = fE_r \) where \( E_r \) is the identity matrix of size \( r \).

The following lemma is immediate.

**Lemma 2.5.** If \( \varphi : S \to S' \) is an isomorphism of rings and \( f \in S \), then \( \text{NMF}_S(f) \cong \text{NMF}_{S'}(\varphi(f)) \).

For an algebra \( S \) and an element \( f \in S \), we define \( \text{Aut}(S; f) := \{ \sigma \in \text{Aut} S | \sigma(f) = \lambda f, \exists \lambda \in k \} \), and \( \text{Aut}_0(S; f) := \{ \sigma \in \text{Aut} S | \sigma(f) = f \} \leq \text{Aut}(S; f) \). For a graded algebra \( S \) and a homogeneous element \( f \in S \), we define \( \text{GrAut}(S; f) \) and \( \text{GrAuto}_0(S; f) \) similarly.

**Remark 2.6.** There exists a canonical map \( \text{Aut}(S; f) \to \text{Aut}(S/(f)) \), which is not injective nor surjective in general. For example, \( \text{Aut}(k[x]/x) \to \text{Aut}(k[x]/(x)) \) is not injective, and \( \text{Aut}(k[x,y]/(x^2,y^2)) \to \text{Aut}(k[x,y]/(x^2,y^2)) \) is not surjective.

**Lemma 2.7** ([8, Theorem 3.7]). Let \( S \) be a graded algebra and \( f \in S \) a homogeneous element. For \( \theta \in \text{GrAut}(S; f) \), we have \( \text{NMF}^S_{\theta}(f) \cong \text{NMF}^S_{\theta^0}(f^0) \).

Let \( S \) be a (graded) ring and \( f \in S \) a (homogeneous) regular normal element. Then there exists a unique (graded) ring automorphism \( \nu_f \) of \( S \) such that \( af = f\nu_f(a) \) for \( a \in S \). We call \( \nu_f \) the normalizing automorphism of \( f \).

Let \( \sigma \) be a (graded) ring automorphism of \( S \). If \( \Phi = (a_{st}) \) is a matrix whose entries are (homogeneous) elements in \( S \), then we write \( \sigma(\Phi) = (\sigma(a_{st})) \). If \( \phi \) is a (graded) right \( S \)-module homomorphism given by the left multiplication of \( \Phi \), then we write \( \sigma(\phi) \) for the (graded) right \( S \)-module homomorphism given by the left multiplication of \( \sigma(\Phi) \).

**Theorem 2.8** ([8, Theorem 4.4 (1), (3)]). Let \( S \) be a (graded) ring and \( f \in S \) a (homogeneous) regular normal element (of degree \( d \)).

1. If \( \phi \) is a noncommutative (graded) right matrix factorization of \( f \) over \( S \), then \( \phi^{i+2} = \nu_f(\phi^i)(\phi^{i+2}) \) for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). It follows that \( \phi \) is uniquely determined by \( \phi^0 \) and \( \phi^1 \).

2. If \( \mu : \phi \to \psi \) is a morphism of noncommutative (graded) right matrix factorizations of \( f \) over \( S \), then \( \mu^{i+2} = \nu_f(\mu^i)(\mu^{i+2} = \nu_f(\mu^i) \) for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). It follows that \( \mu \) is uniquely determined by \( \mu^0 \) and \( \mu^1 \).

Let \( S \) be a (graded) ring, \( f \in S \) a (homogeneous) regular normal element, and \( A = S/(f) \). For \( a \in S \), write \( \bar{a} \in A \), and for \( \phi : F \to G \) where \( F,G \) are (graded) free \( S \)-modules, write \( \overline{\phi} : \overline{F} \to \overline{G} \). For a noncommutative (graded) right matrix factorization \( \phi \) of \( f \) over \( S \), we define the complex \( C(\phi) \) of (graded) right \( A \)-modules by

\[
\cdots \overset{\overline{\phi}^2}{\longrightarrow} \overline{F}^2 \overset{\overline{\phi}^1}{\longrightarrow} \overline{F}^1 \overset{\overline{\phi}^0}{\longrightarrow} \overline{F}^0 \longrightarrow \overline{F}^{-1} \overset{\overline{\phi}^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{F}^{-2} \longrightarrow \cdots
\]

Moreover we define \( \text{Coker} \phi := \text{Coker} \phi^0 \in \text{mod} A \) (grmod \( A \)).

**Definition 2.9** ([8, Definition 6.3]). Let \( S \) be a ring and \( f \in S \). For a free right \( S \)-module \( F \), we define \( \phi_F, \overline{\phi}^0 \in \text{NMF}_S(f) \) by

\[
\overline{\phi}^{2i} = \text{id}_F : F \to F, \quad \phi^{2i+1}_F = f \cdot : F \to F, \\
\overline{\phi}^{-2i} = f : F \to F, \quad \phi^{2i+1} = \text{id}_F : F \to F.
\]
We define \( \mathcal{F} := \{ \phi_F | F \in \text{mod} \ S \) is free}, \( \mathcal{G} := \{ \phi_F \oplus G \phi | F, G \in \text{mod} \ S \) are free\) and \( \text{NMF}_S(f) := \text{NMF}_S(f)/\mathcal{G} \).

Let \( S \) be a graded ring and \( f \in S_d \). For a graded free right \( S \)-module \( F \), we define \( \phi_{f, \phi} \in \text{NMF}_S^2(f) \) by
\[
\phi_{f, \phi}^2 = \text{id}_F : F \to F, \quad \phi_{f, \phi}^{2i+1} = f : F(-d) \to F, \quad \phi_{f, \phi}^{2i+1} = \text{id}_F : F \to F.
\]
We define \( \mathcal{F} := \{ \phi_F | F \in \text{grmod} \ S \) is free}, \( \mathcal{G} := \{ \phi_F \oplus G \phi | F, G \in \text{grmod} \ S \) are free\) and \( \text{NMF}_S^2(f) := \text{NMF}_S^2(f)/\mathcal{G} \).

**Proposition 2.10** ([8, Lemma 5.9, Proposition 5.10, Proposition 6.4]). If \( S \) is a noetherian ring, \( f \in S \) is a regular normal element, and \( A = S/(f) \), then \( \text{Coker} : \text{NMF}_S(f) \to \text{TR}(A) \) is a functor, which induces a fully faithful functor \( \text{NMF}_S(f)/\mathcal{G} \to \text{TR}(A) \). A graded version of the above statement also holds.

**Theorem 2.11** ([8, Theorem 6.6]). If \( S \) is a noetherian AS-regular algebra, \( f \in S_d \) is a regular normal element, and \( A = S/(f) \), then the functor \( \text{Coker} : \text{NMF}_S^2(f) \to \text{TR}^2(A) = \text{CM}^2(A) \) induces an equivalence functor \( \text{Coker} : \text{NMF}_S^2(f) \to \text{TR}^2(A) = \text{CM}^2(A) \).

### 2.3. Ore Extensions

Let \( S \) be a ring and \( \sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut} S \). An Ore extension \( S[u; \sigma] \) of \( S \) by \( \sigma \) is \( S[u; \sigma] := S[u] / (auu - u \sigma(u) = a \) for \( a \in S \). It is easy to see that \( u \in S[u; \sigma] \) is a regular normal element with the normalizing automorphism \( v_u \in \text{Aut}(S[u; \sigma]) \) uniquely determined by \( v_u|_S = \sigma \) and \( v_u(u) = u \). Note that if \( S \) is a graded ring and \( \sigma \in \text{GrAut} S \), then \( S[u; \sigma] \) is a naturally graded ring.

**Lemma 2.12.** Let \( S \) be a ring and \( \sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut} S \). Then there exists \( \bar{\tau} \in \text{Aut} S[u; \sigma] \) such that \( \bar{\tau}|_S = \tau \) and \( \bar{\tau}(u) = u \) if and only if \( \sigma \tau = \tau \sigma \).

**Proof.** Since \( u \) is a regular element, \( \bar{\tau}(au - u \sigma(a)) = \tau(a)u - u \tau(\sigma(a)) = u(\sigma(\tau(a)) - \sigma(\tau(a))) = 0 \) for every \( a \in S \) if and only if \( \sigma \tau = \tau \sigma \).

By abuse of notation, we write \( \bar{\tau} = \tau \) in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.13.** Let \( f \in S \) be a regular normal element, \( \sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_0(S; f) \). If \( \sigma \tau = \tau \sigma = \nu_f \), then \( f + uv \in S[u; \sigma][v; \tau] \) is a regular normal element.

**Proof.** If \( \sigma \tau = \nu_f \), then \( a(f + uv) = f \nu_f(a) + uv \tau(a) = (f + uv) \nu_f(a) \) for every \( a \in S \), \( u(f + uv) = \sigma^{-1}(f)u + uv = (f + uv)u \), and \( v(f + uv) = \tau^{-1}(f)v + uv = (f + uv)v \), so \( f + uv \in S[u; \sigma][v; \tau] \) is a normal element.

Define the degree on \( S[u; \sigma][v; \tau] \) by deg(\( S) = 0 \) and deg \( u = \deg v = 1 \). For \( 0 \neq a = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i \in S[u; \sigma][v; \tau] \) where deg \( a_i = i \) such that \( a_d \neq 0 \), if \( a(f + uv) = 0 \), then \( a_d uv = 0 \). Since \( uv \) is a regular element in \( S[u; \sigma][v; \tau] \), we have \( a_d = 0 \), which is a contradiction, so \( f + uv \) is regular.

**Example 2.14.** Let \( S \) be a ring and \( f \in S \) a regular normal element. The following pair \( (\sigma, \tau) \) of automorphisms of \( S \) satisfies the conditions \( \sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_0(S; f) \) and \( \sigma \tau = \tau \sigma = \nu_f \).

1. \( (\sigma, \tau) = (\sqrt{f}, \sqrt{f}) \) if \( \sqrt{f} \in \text{Aut}_0(S; f) \) exists (see [1]).
2. \( (\sigma, \tau) = (\nu_f, \text{id}), (\text{id}, \nu_f) \), which always exist.

**Remark 2.15.** Let \( f \in S \) be a regular normal element, \( \sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_0(S; f) \) such that \( \sigma \tau = \tau \sigma = \nu_f \). By the proof of Lemma 2.13, \( \nu_u, \nu_v, \nu_{f + uv} \in \text{Aut}(S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]) \) are uniquely determined by
\[
\nu_u|_S = \sigma, \quad \nu_u(u) = u, \quad \nu_u(v) = v,
\]
\[
\nu_v|_S = \tau, \quad \nu_v(u) = u, \quad \nu_v(v) = v,
\]
\[
\nu_{f + uv}|_S = \nu_f, \quad \nu_{f + uv}(u) = u, \quad \nu_{f + uv}(v) = v.
\]
3. Noncommutative Knörrer’s Periodicity Theorem

In this section, we prove a version of a noncommutative Knörrer’s periodicity theorem following the methods in [15].

Lemma 3.1. Let \( f \in S \) be a regular normal element, and \( \sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_0(S; f) \). If \( \sigma \tau = \tau \sigma = \nu_f \), then \( \mathcal{H} : \text{NMF}_S(f) \rightarrow \text{NMF}_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(f + uv) \) defined by

\[
\mathcal{H}(\{\phi^i : S^r \rightarrow S^r\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\phi^i) & 0 \\ v. & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mu^i & 0 \\ u. & \mu^i + 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}},
\]

is a functor, which induces a functor \( \mathcal{H} : \text{NMF}_S(f) \rightarrow \text{NMF}_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(f + uv) \).

Proof. For \( \phi \in \text{NMF}_S(f) \), since \( \phi^{i+2} = \nu_f(\phi^i) \) for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) by Theorem 2.8 (1),

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \tau(\phi^i) & 0 \\ v. & \mu^i + 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\phi^{i+1}) & 0 \\ v. & \mu^i + 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\phi^i) & 0 \\ v. & \mu^i + 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), so \( \mathcal{H}(\phi) \in \text{NMF}_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(f + uv) \). For \( \mu \in \text{Hom}_S(f, \psi) \), since \( \mu^{i+2} = \nu_f(\mu^i) \) for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) by Theorem 2.8 (2),

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \tau(\mu^i) & 0 \\ v. & \mu^i + 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\mu^{i+1}) & 0 \\ v. & \mu^i + 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\mu^i) & 0 \\ v. & \mu^i + 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), so we have \( \mathcal{H}(\phi) \in \text{NMF}_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(f + uv) \). Hence \( \mathcal{H} : \text{NMF}_S(f) \rightarrow \text{NMF}_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(f + uv) \) is a functor.

Since

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ v & -f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & v + u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f & f + uv \end{pmatrix},
\]

we have \( \mathcal{H}(\phi^{S_r}) \cong \phi(S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]) = \mathcal{H}(S_r \phi) \), so \( \mathcal{H} \) induces a functor \( \mathcal{H} : \text{NMF}_S(f) \rightarrow \text{NMF}_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(f + uv) \).

Let \( A \) be a ring and

\[
\begin{array}{c}
F^2 \xrightarrow{\phi^1} F^1 \xrightarrow{\phi^0} F^0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \\
G^2 \xrightarrow{\psi^1} G^1 \xrightarrow{\psi^0} G^0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

the start of projective resolutions of \( M, N \in \text{Mod} \ A \) so that

\[
G^3 \xrightarrow{\psi^2} G^2 \xrightarrow{\psi^1} G^1 \longrightarrow \Omega N \longrightarrow 0
\]
is the start of a projective resolution of $\Omega N$. For $h \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$, there exists a lift $\mu^i : F^i \to G^i$ such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
F^2 & \xrightarrow{\phi^1} & F^1 & \xrightarrow{\phi^0} F^0 \to M \to 0 \\
\downarrow \mu^2 & & \downarrow \mu^1 & \downarrow \mu^0 \\
G^2 & \xrightarrow{\psi^1} & G^1 & \xrightarrow{\psi^0} G^0 \to N \to 0
\end{array}
$$

commutes. Since we have a commutative diagram with exact vertical rows

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{0} 0 & \xrightarrow{0} 0 \\
\downarrow \psi^2 & & \downarrow \psi^1 & \downarrow \psi^0 & \downarrow \psi^1 \\
G^3 & \xrightarrow{(1) 0} & G^2 & \xrightarrow{(1) 0} G^1 & \xrightarrow{(1) 0} \Omega N \to 0 \\
\downarrow (0 1) & & \downarrow (0 1) & \downarrow (0 1) & \downarrow (0 1) \\
G^3 \oplus F^2 & \xrightarrow{0 1} & G^2 \oplus F^1 & \xrightarrow{0 1} G^1 \oplus F^0 & \xrightarrow{0 1} L \to 0 \\
\downarrow \phi^1 & & \downarrow \phi^0 & \downarrow \phi^0 & \downarrow \phi^0 \\
F^2 & \xrightarrow{\phi^1} & F^1 & \xrightarrow{\phi^0} F^0 \to M \to 0,
\end{array}
$$

it follows that $\{0 \to \Omega N \to L \to M \to 0\} \in \text{Ext}^1_A(M, \Omega N)$ where $L = \text{Coker} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 & \mu^1 \\ 0 & \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$.

**Lemma 3.2.** If $M$ is totally reflexive, then the map $\delta : \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \to \text{Ext}^1_A(M, \Omega N)$ defined by $\delta(h) = \{0 \to \Omega N \to L \to M \to 0\}$ where $L = \text{Coker} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 & \mu^1 \\ 0 & \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ induces a bijection $\delta : \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \to \text{Ext}^1_A(M, \Omega N)$.

Let $S$ be a ring, $f \in S$ a regular normal element, and $A = S/(f)$. For a matrix $\Phi = (a_{ij})$ whose entries are in $S$, define $\overline{\Phi} = (a_{ij})$. Let $\Phi, \Psi$ be matrices whose entries are in $S$. We write $\overline{\Phi} \sim \overline{\Psi}$ if there exist invertible matrices $P, Q$ whose entries are in $A$ such that $\overline{\Psi} = P \overline{\Phi} Q$. Note that $\overline{\Phi} \sim \overline{\Psi}$ if and only if $\text{Coker} \overline{\Phi} \sim \text{Coker} \overline{\Psi}$ as $A$-modules.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $S$ be a noetherian ring, $f \in S$ a regular normal element, and $A = S/(f)$.

1. $\text{Coker} : \text{NMF}_S(f) \to \text{TR}(A)$ induces a fully faithful functor $\text{Coker} : \text{NMF}_S(f) \to \text{TR}(A)$.
2. For $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{\text{NMF}_S(f)}(\phi, \psi)$, we have $e_S(\mu) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{i+1} & (-1)^i \mu^{i+1} \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \text{NMF}_S(f)$.
3. The map $\rho_S : \text{Hom}_{\text{NMF}_S(f)}(\phi, \psi) \to \text{Ext}^1_A(\text{Coker } \phi, \Omega \text{Coker } \psi)$ defined by

$$\rho_S(\mu) := \{0 \to \Omega \text{Coker } \psi \to \text{Coker } e_S(\mu) \to \text{Coker } \phi \to 0\}$$

induces a bijection $\rho_S : \text{Hom}_{\text{NMF}_S(f)}(\phi, \psi) \to \text{Ext}^1_A(\text{Coker } \phi, \Omega \text{Coker } \psi)$.
4. For $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{\text{NMF}_S(f)}(\phi, \psi)$, $\rho_S(\mu) = 0$ if and only if $e_S(\mu)^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 & \mu^1 \\ 0 & \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^0 \end{pmatrix}$.
Proof. \( (1) \) By Proposition 2.10, we have a fully faithful functor \( \text{NMF}_S(f)/\mathcal{F} \to \text{TR}(A) \) induced by \( \text{Coker} \). The result follows by the ungraded version of the proof of [8, Theorem 6.6].

\( (2) \) Since \( \mu^i \phi^i = \psi^i \mu^{i+1} \) for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \),
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi^i+1 & \psi^{i+2} & \psi^{i+2} \\
\phi^i & \phi^{i+1} & \phi^{i+1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\psi^i+1 & \psi^{i+2} & \psi^{i+2} \\
\phi^i & \phi^{i+1} & \phi^{i+1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\
0 & f
\end{pmatrix}
\]
for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), so \( e_S(\mu) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \psi^i+1 & \psi^{i+2} & \psi^{i+2} \\
\phi^i & \phi^{i+1} & \phi^{i+1}
\end{pmatrix} \right\} \in \text{NMF}_S(f) \).

\( (3) \) Since \( \{ \phi^i : F^{i+1} \to F^i \}_{i \geq 0} \) and \( \{ \psi^i : G^{i+1} \to G^i \}_{i \geq 0} \) are free resolutions of \( \text{Coker} \phi \) and \( \text{Coker} \psi \),
\[
\delta(\text{Coker}(\mu)) = \{ 0 \to \Omega \text{Coker} \psi \to \text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 & 0 \\
0 & \phi^0
\end{pmatrix} \} = \text{Coker} e_S(\mu) \to \text{Coker} \phi \to 0,
\]
so \( \rho_S : \text{Hom}_{\text{NMF}_S(f)}(\phi, \psi) \to \text{Ext}_A(\text{Coker} \phi, \Omega \text{Coker} \psi) \) is given by the composition of maps
\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{NMF}_S(f)}(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{\text{Coker}} \text{Hom}_A(\text{Coker} \phi, \text{Coker} \psi) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_A(\text{Coker} \phi, \Omega \text{Coker} \psi).\]
By \( (1) \), \( \text{Coker} \) is bijective. Since \( \text{Coker} \phi \in \text{TR}(A) \), \( \delta \) is bijective by Lemma 3.2, so we have the result.

\( (4) \) For \( \mu \in \text{Hom}_{\text{NMF}_S(f)}(\phi, \psi) \), \( \rho_S(\mu) = 0 \) if and only if
\[
\text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 & 0 \\
0 & \phi^0
\end{pmatrix} = \text{Coker} e_S(\mu) \cong \Omega \text{Coker} \psi \oplus \text{Coker} \phi \cong \text{Coker} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 & 0 \\
0 & \phi^0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
if and only if \( e_S(\mu) = 0 \).

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tau(\psi^1) & u & \alpha^1 \\
0 & \psi^2 & \tau(\phi^0) \\
0 & 0 & \tau(\phi^0)
\end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix}
\tau(\psi^1) & u & 0 \\
0 & \psi^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tau(\phi^0)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
by Lemma 3.3 \( (4) \). If \( \alpha^1|_{u=v=0} = 0 \) in \( S \), then \( \alpha^1 = ur + sv \) for some \( r, s \), so we may assume that \( \alpha^1 = 0 \) by a (noncommutative) elementary transformation of matrices. Since \( \alpha^{2i+1} = \nu^i(\alpha^1) = 0 \), we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha^2 & \beta^{2i} \\
\gamma^{2i} & \delta^{2i}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\tau(\phi^2) & u \\
-\psi^2 & \tau(\phi^0)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\tau(\psi^2) & u \\
-\psi^2 & \tau(\phi^0)
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha^{2i+1} & \beta^{2i+1} \\
\gamma^{2i+1} & \delta^{2i+1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
In particular,
\[
\beta^1 v = \tau(\psi^1) \alpha^2 + u \gamma^2 \tag{3.1}
\]
\[
u^3 = \alpha^2 \tau(\phi^2) + \beta^2 v \tag{3.2}
\]
\[
\tau(\psi^0) \beta^1 + u \delta^1 = \alpha^0 u - \beta^0 \phi^1. \tag{3.3}
\]
Since \( f \alpha^2 = \tau(f) \alpha^2 = \tau(\psi^0) \tau(\psi^1) \alpha^2 = \tau(\psi^0)(\beta^1 v - u \gamma^2) \) by (3.1), it follows that \( f \alpha^2|_{u=v=0} = 0 \) in \( S \), so we have \( \alpha^2|_{u=v=0} = 0 \) in \( S \). Thus
\[
\alpha^2 = up + vq \quad \text{for some } p, q. \tag{3.4}
\]

By (3.1) and (3.4), \( \beta^1 v = \tau(\psi^1)(up + vq) + u \gamma^2 \), so it follows that \( v(\tau(\beta^1) - \tau^2(\psi^1))q = u(\psi^3 p + \gamma^2) \), and hence we see
\[
\tau(\beta^1) - \tau^2(\psi^1)q = up', \quad \psi^3 p + \gamma^2 = vp' \quad \text{for some } p'. \tag{3.5}
\]

By (3.2) and (3.4), \( u \gamma^3 = \alpha^2 \tau(\phi^2) + \beta^2 v = (up + vq) \tau(\phi^2) + \beta^2 v \), so it follows that \( u(\gamma^3 - p \tau(\phi^2)) = v(q \tau(\phi^2) + \tau(\beta^2)) \), and hence we see
\[
\gamma^3 - p \tau(\phi^2) = vq', \quad q \tau(\phi^2) + \tau(\beta^2) = uq' \quad \text{for some } q'. \tag{3.6}
\]

Since
\[
- \tau(\psi^1) r^{-1}(q) - u r^{-1}(p') + \beta^1 = r^{-1}(- \tau^2(\psi^1) q - up' + \tau(\beta^1)) = 0 \quad \text{by (3.5)},
\]
\[
\gamma^1 - \nu^{-1}(p) r(\phi^0) - \nu^{-1} r^{-1}(q') v = \nu^{-1}(\gamma^3 - p r(\phi^2) - v') = 0 \quad \text{by (3.6)},
\]

and
\[
u(-u r^{-1}(q) + \psi^2 r^{-1}(p') + \delta^1 - \nu^{-1}(p) u + \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') \phi^1)
\]
\[
= -u v r^{-1}(q) - u^2 r^{-1}(p) + u \phi^1 + \sigma^{-1}(\psi^2) u r^{-1}(p') + u r^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') \phi^1
\]
\[
= u r^{-1}(\alpha^2) + u \phi^1 + \tau(\psi^0) r^{-1}(u p') + \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(u q') \phi^1 \quad \text{by (3.4)}
\]
\[
= -u a(\alpha^0) + u \phi^1 + \tau(\psi^0)(\beta^1 - \tau(\psi^1) r^{-1}(q)) + (\tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q) \phi^0 + \beta^0) \phi^1 \quad \text{by (3.5), (3.6)}
\]
\[
= -u^0 u + u \phi^1 + \tau(\psi^0) \beta^1 - f^{-1}(q) + \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q) f + \beta^0 \phi^1
\]
\[
= -f^{-1}(q) + \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q) f = 0 \quad \text{by (3.3)},
\]

we obtain
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\nu^{-1}(p) & -\tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tau(\psi^1) \\
v \\
-\psi^2 \\
\tau(\phi^0)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u \\
-\psi^2 \\
\tau(\phi^0) \\
-\phi^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\beta^1 \\
\delta^1 \\
\gamma^1 \\
\phi^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & -\tau^{-1}(q) \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -\tau^{-1}(p') \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
\tau(\psi^1) \\
v \\
-\psi^2 \\
\tau(\phi^0)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\gamma^1 - \nu^{-1}(p) r(\phi^0) - \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') v \\
\delta^1 - \nu^{-1}(p) u + \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') \phi^1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\beta^1 \\
\delta^1 \\
\gamma^1 \\
\phi^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & -\tau^{-1}(q) \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -\tau^{-1}(p') \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
\tau(\psi^1) \\
v \\
-\psi^2 \\
\tau(\phi^0)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\gamma^1 - \nu^{-1}(p) r(\phi^0) - \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') v \\
\delta^1 - \nu^{-1}(p) u + \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') \phi^1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\beta^1 \\
\delta^1 \\
\gamma^1 \\
\phi^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & -\tau^{-1}(q) \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -\tau^{-1}(p') \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
\tau(\psi^1) \\
v \\
-\psi^2 \\
\tau(\phi^0)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\gamma^1 - \nu^{-1}(p) r(\phi^0) - \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') v \\
\delta^1 - \nu^{-1}(p) u + \tau^{-1} \nu^{-1}(q') \phi^1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\beta^1 \\
\delta^1 \\
\gamma^1 \\
\phi^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & -\tau^{-1}(q) \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -\tau^{-1}(p') \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Hence the assertion follows. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.5.** If \( S \) is a noetherian ring, \( f \in S \) is a regular normal element, and \( \sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_0(S; f) \) such that \( \sigma \tau = \tau \sigma = \nu f \), then \( H : \text{NMFS}(f) \to \text{NMFS}_{\nu; \sigma}[u, \tau](f + uv) \) is a fully faithful functor.
Proof. For \( \mu \in \text{Hom}_{NMF}(f, \phi, \psi) \), if \( H(\mu) = H(\mu) = 0 \) in \( NMF_{[u; \sigma]}(f + uv) \), then \( \rho_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(H(\mu)) = 0 \) by Lemma 3.3 (3), so
\[
\varepsilon_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(H(\mu))' = \begin{pmatrix} H(\psi) & 0 \\ H(\phi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & H(\phi) \end{pmatrix}
\]
over \( S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]/(f + uv) \) by Lemma 3.3 (4). Thus we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \tau(\psi) & \tau(\mu) & 0 \\ v & -\psi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\phi^4 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\psi) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau(\phi) & 0 \\ 0 & -\psi^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
over \( S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]/(f + uv) \). By switching the second row and the third row, and the second column and the third column, and substituting \( v = \psi = 0 \),
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \tau(\psi) & \tau(\mu) & 0 \\ v & -\psi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\phi^4 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\psi) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau(\phi) & 0 \\ 0 & -\psi^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
over \( S/(f) \). It follows that \( \varepsilon_{S}(\mu)' = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \mu \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \mu \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix} \)
over \( S/(f) \), hence \( \rho_{S}(\mu) = 0 \) by Lemma 3.3 (4) again. By Lemma 3.3 (3) again, \( \mu = 0 \), so \( H \) is faithful.

For \( \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \) \( \in \text{Hom}_{NMF}(S[f + uv], \phi, \psi) \), we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^i & \beta^i \\ \gamma^i & \delta^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\phi') & u. \\ v. & -\phi^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^i & \beta^i \\ \gamma^i & \delta^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\psi) & u. \\ v. & -\psi^2 \end{pmatrix}
\]
so \( \tau^{-1}(\alpha)|_{u=v=0} \phi^i = \psi^i \tau^{-1}(\alpha^i+1)|_{u=v=0} \), hence we have \( \tau^{-1}(\alpha)|_{u=v=0} \in \text{Hom}_{NMF}(S,f,\psi) \). Since
\[
\mu - H(\tau^{-1}(\alpha)|_{u=v=0}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^i - \alpha^i |_{u=v=0} \\ -\delta^i + \tau^{-1}(\alpha^i+1)|_{u=v=0} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \in \mathbb{Z},
\]
where \( (\alpha^i - \alpha^i |_{u=v=0}) |_{u=v=0} = 0 \) in \( S \), it follows that \( \rho_{S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]}(\mu - H(\tau^{-1}(\alpha)|_{u=v=0})) = 0 \) by Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 3.3 (3), \( \mu = H(\tau^{-1}(\alpha)|_{u=v=0}) \), so \( H \) is full. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.6** (Noncommutative Knörrer’s periodicity theorem). Suppose that \( k \) is an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2. Let \( S \) be a noetherian AS-regular algebra and \( f \in S \) a regular normal homogeneous element of even degree. If there exists \( \sigma \in \text{GrAut}_0(S; f) \) such that \( \sigma^2 = \nu_f \) (eg. if \( f \) is central and \( \sigma = \text{id} \)), then \( H : NMF^Z(S)[f] \to NMF^Z(S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]), f + uv \) is an equivalence functor where \( \deg u = \deg v = \frac{1}{2} \deg f \). Moreover, we have \( CM^Z(S/(f)) = \text{CM}^Z(S[u; \sigma][v; \sigma]/(f + u^2 + v^2)) \).

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.5, \( H : NMF^Z(S)[f] \to NMF^Z(S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]), f + uv \) is fully faithful. By [1, Theorem 5.11] and [8, Proposition 4.7], \( H : NMF^Z(S)[f] \to NMF^Z(S[u; \sigma][v; \tau]), f + uv \) is dense. Since \( k \) is an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2, there exists \( \theta \in \text{GrAut}_0(S[u; \sigma][v; \sigma]) \) defined by
\[ \theta|_S = \text{id}_S, \theta(u) = u + \sqrt{-1}v, \theta(v) = u - \sqrt{-1}v \] such that \( \theta(f + uv) = f + u^2 + v^2 \), so

\[ \text{CM}^Z(S/(f)) \cong \text{NMF}^Z_S(f) \cong \text{NMF}^Z_{S[u;\sigma]}(f + uv) \]
\[ \cong \text{NMF}^Z_{S[u;\sigma]}(f + u^2 + v^2) \cong \text{CM}^Z(S[u;\sigma][v;\sigma]/(f + u^2 + v^2)) \]

by Theorem 2.11 and Lemma 2.5. \( \square \)

4. Noncommutative Quadric Hypersurfaces

From now on, we assume that \( k \) is an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2. Recall that \( A \) is the homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth quadric hypersurface in \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) if and only if \( A \cong k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2) \). Applying the graded Knörrer’s periodicity theorem, we have

\[ \text{CM}^Z(A) \cong \begin{cases} 
\text{CM}^Z(k[x_1]/(x_1^2)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod} k) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\
\text{CM}^Z(k[x_1,x_2]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod}(k \times k)) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.}
\end{cases} \]

In this section, we prove a noncommutative analogue of this result up to \( n = 6 \) under the high rank property. In the next section, we will see that the high rank property is needed.

4.1. Noncommutative Quadric Hypersurfaces.

**Definition 4.1.** A graded algebra \( A \) is called a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) if \( A = S/(f) \) where

- \( S \) is a quantum polynomial algebra of dimension \( n \), and
- \( f \in S_2 \) is a regular normal element.

In the above definition, we do not assume that \( f \) is central as in [11]. In this subsection, we will show some of the results in [11] without the condition that \( f \) is central to confirm the readers (and ourselves!).

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( S \) be a connected graded algebra and \( f \in S_d \) a regular normal element of positive degree. Then \( S \) is a (noetherian) AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension \( n \) if and only if \( S/(f) \) is a (noetherian) AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension \( n - 1 \).

**Proof.** This follows by Rees’ Lemma (eg. [5, Proposition 3.4(b)]). \( \square \)

By the above lemma, if \( A \) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \), then \( A \) is a noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension \( n - 1 \).

Let \( A \) be a connected graded algebra. We say that \( M \in \text{GrMod} A \) has a linear resolution if \( M \) has a free resolution \( \cdots \to F^2 \to F^1 \to F^0 \to M \to 0 \) such that each \( F^i \) is generated in degree \( i \). The full subcategory of \( \text{grmod} A \) consisting of modules having linear resolutions is denoted by \( \text{lin} A \). We say that \( A \) is Koszul if \( k := A/A_{\geq 1} \in \text{lin} A \). Note that if \( A \) is a Koszul algebra, then \( A^1 := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}^i_A(k,k) \) is also a Koszul algebra, called the Koszul dual algebra.

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose that \( A \) and \( A^1 \) are both noetherian Koszul AS-Gorenstein algebras.

1. The Koszul duality \( E : \text{lin} A \to \text{lin} A^1 \) defined by \( E(M) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}^i_A(M,k) \) extends to a duality \( \overline{E} : \mathcal{D}^b(\text{grmod} A) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\text{grmod} A^1) \) such that \( \overline{E}(M[p](q)) = \overline{E}(M)[-p-q](q) \).
2. \( \overline{E} \) induces a duality \( B : \text{CM}^Z(A) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails} A^1) \), which induces a duality \( \text{CM}^Z(A) \cap \text{lin} A \to \text{tails} A^1 \).

**Proof.** (1) This follows from [6, Proposition 4.5].

(2) This follows from [7, Theorem 5.3] and [11, Theorem 3.2]. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \( A = S/(f) \) be a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \). Then

1. \( S \) and \( A \) are Koszul.
(2) There exists a unique regular normal element \( w \in A_d^1 \) up to scalar such that \( A^1/(w) = S^d \).

**Proof.** (1) This follows from [10, Theorem 5.11] and [9, Theorem 1.2].

(2) This follows from [9, Corollary 1.4]. □

If \( A = S/(f) \) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \), and \( w \in A_d^1 \) such that \( A^1/(w) = S^d \) as above, then we define
\[
C(A) := A^1[w^{-1}]_0.
\]

Since \( A^1 \) is locally finite and generated in degree 1 over \( k \), some properties of \( C(A) \) can be derived from the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \( A \) be a locally finite graded algebra generated in degree 1 over \( k \), \( w \in A_d \) a homogeneous regular normal element of positive degree \( d \), and \( S = A/(w) \). If \( \dim_k S < \infty \), then \( \dim_k A[w^{-1}]_0 = \dim_k S^{(d)} \).

**Definition 4.6.** A graded algebra \( A \) is called strongly graded if \( A_iA_j = A_{i+j} \) for all \( i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Lemma 4.7.** If \( A \) is a strongly graded algebra, then \( (-)_{0} : \text{grmod} A \to \text{mod} A_0 : - \otimes_{A_0} A \) are equivalence functors inverse to each other.

**Lemma 4.8.** If \( A \) is a graded algebra generated in degree 1 over \( k \), and \( w \in A_d \) is a homogeneous regular normal element of positive degree, then \( A[w^{-1}] \) is a strongly graded algebra.

For a noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra \( A \), we define
\[
\text{CM}^0(A) := \{ M \in \text{CM}^Z(A) \mid M \text{ is generated in degree } 0 \}.
\]

The following lemma is a slight generalization of [11, Lemma 5.1 (3), Lemma 5.1 (4), Proposition 5.2].

**Lemma 4.9.** Let \( A = S/(f) \) be a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \). Then

(1) \( \dim_k C(A) = 2^{n-1} \).

(2) \( F : \text{tails } A^1 \to \text{mod } C(A) \) defined by \( F(\pi M) = M[w^{-1}]_0 \) is an equivalence functor.

(3) \( G := \overline{F} \circ B : \text{CM}^Z(A) \to D^b(\text{mod } C(A)) \) is a duality where \( \overline{F} : D^b(\text{tails } A^1) \to D^b(\text{mod } C(A)) \)

is an equivalence functor induces by \( F \).

(4) \( G \) restricts to a duality functor \( \text{CM}^0(A) \to \text{mod } C(A) \).

**Proof.** (1) Since \( H_{S^1}(t) = (1 + t)^n \), \( \dim_k C(A) = \dim_k (S^1)^{(2)} = 2^{n-1} \) by Lemma 4.5.

(2) Since \( A^1 \) is finitely generated in degree 1 over \( k \), \( A^1[w^{-1}] \) is a strongly graded algebra by Lemma 4.8, so the result follows from Lemma 4.7.

(3) By Lemma 4.2, \( A \) is a noetherian AS-Gorenstein Koszul algebra. Since \( S^1 \) is a graded Frobenius algebra, \( A^1 \) is a noetherian Koszul AS-Gorenstein algebra by Lemma 4.2 again, so the result follows from Lemma 4.3 and (2).

(4) By [8, Proposition 7.8 (1)], \( \text{CM}^0(A) = \text{CM}^Z(A) \cap \text{lin } A \), so the result follows from Lemma 4.3. □

4.2. Smoothness.

**Definition 4.10** (Smoothness). A graded algebra \( A \) is called a homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth (resp. singular) quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) if

- \( A = S/(f) \) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \), and

- \( \text{gldim}(\text{tails } A) < \infty \) (resp. \( \text{gldim}(\text{tails } A) = \infty \))
We will give a characterization of the smoothness below. We prepare the following two lemmas. For a Krull-Schmidt additive category $C$, we denote by $\text{Ind} C$ a complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects of $C$.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let $A = S/(f)$ be a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. If $C(A)$ is semisimple, then, for every $M \in \text{CM}^\mathbb{Z}(A)$, there exists $M_i \in \text{Ind CM}^0(A)$, $\ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i(\ell_i)$. 

**Proof.** For $M \in \text{Ind CM}^\mathbb{Z}(A)$, we have $G(M) \in \text{Ind D}(\text{mod } C(A))$. Since $C(A)$ is semisimple, there exists a simple module $N \in \text{mod } C(A)$ such that $G(M) = N[\ell]$. Since $G(\Omega^{-\ell}M) = G(M[\ell]) = G(M)[-\ell] = N \in \text{mod } C(A)$, it follows that $\Omega^{-\ell}M \in \text{CM}^0(A)$ by Lemma 4.9 (4), so we have $M(\ell) \cong \Omega^{\ell}(\Omega^{-\ell}M)(\ell) \in \text{Ind CM}^0(A)$, hence the result. 

**Lemma 4.12.** Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a $k$-linear additive category. If $\mathcal{T}$ has a Serre functor, then so does $\mathcal{T}^0$. 

**Theorem 4.13.** Let $A = S/(f)$ be a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $A$ is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth quadric hypersurface in a quantum $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.
2. $A$ has finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type (i.e., there exist only finitely many indecomposable graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules up to isomorphisms and degree shifts).
3. $(A)$ is semisimple.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Since $\text{gldim}(\text{tails } A)$ is finite, $\text{CM}^\mathbb{Z}(A)$ has the Serre functor by [12, Corollary 4.5], so $\text{D}(\text{tails } A')$ has the Serre functor by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.12, hence $\text{gldim}(\text{tails } A') < \infty$. Since $S^i$ is AS-Gorenstein of dimension 0, $A'$ is AS-Gorenstein of dimension 1 by Lemma 4.2, so $\text{gldim}(\text{tails } A') = 0$. Since $\text{tails } A' \cong \text{mod } C(A)$ by Lemma 4.9 (2), we have $\text{gldim } C(A) = \text{gldim( mod } C(A)) = 0$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Since $C(A)$ is semisimple, $|\text{Ind } \text{CM}^0(A)| = |\text{Ind mod } C(A)| < \infty$, so the result follows from Lemma 4.11.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): This follows from [13, Theorem 3.4].

### 4.3. High Rank Property

Let $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $0 \neq f \in S_2$. If $\text{Proj } S/(f)$ is smooth, then $f$ is irreducible. However, this implication is not true in the noncommutative case. In fact, for every $n$, there exists a homogeneous coordinate ring $S/(f)$ of a smooth quadric hypersurface in a quantum $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ such that $f$ is reducible (see Example 5.10), so we will introduce the high rank property below.

**Definition 4.14.** Let $S$ be a graded algebra and let $0 \neq f \in S_2$. The rank of $f$ is defined by

$$\text{rank } f := \min \{r \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid f = \sum_{i=1}^ru_iv_i \text{ where } u_i, v_i \in S_1\}.$$ 

For $0 \neq f \in S_2$, we see that $f$ is irreducible if and only if $\text{rank } f \geq 2$, so in this sense, $\text{rank } f$ can be regarded as a generalization of irreducibility. The following lemma is immediate.

**Lemma 4.15.** Let $S$ be a graded algebra and $0 \neq f \in S_2$.

1. If $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ is an isomorphism of graded algebras, then $\text{rank } f = \text{rank } \phi(f)$.
2. If $\theta \in \text{Aut}(S; f)$, then $\text{rank } f = \text{rank } f^\theta$.

Let $S$ be a connected graded algebra and $f \in S$ a homogeneous regular normal element. We say that $\phi \in \text{NMF}^\mathbb{Z}(f)$ is reduced if every entry in $\Phi^\phi$ is in $S_{\geq 1}$. Note that $\phi \in \text{NMF}^\mathbb{Z}(f)$ is reduced if and only if $C(\phi)^{\geq 0}$ is the minimal free resolution of $\text{Coker } \phi \in \text{grmod } S/(f)$.

**Lemma 4.16.** Let $S$ be a connected graded algebra and $f \in S_2$ a homogeneous element. For every reduced $\phi \in \text{NMF}^\mathbb{Z}(f)$, we have $\text{rank } \phi \geq \text{rank } f$. 


Lemma 4.19. Let \( k \) be a field. Since \( f \in S_2 \) and \( \phi \in \text{NMF}_S^2(f) \) is reduced, every entry of \( \Phi^i \) is in \( S_1 \). Since \( \Phi^i \Phi^{i+1} = fE_r \), the result follows.

\[ \text{Definition 4.17 (High rank property).} \] A graded algebra \( A \) is called a homogeneous coordinate ring of a high rank quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) if

- \( A = S/(f) \) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \), and
- \( f \in S_2 \) is a regular normal element of rank \( f \geq \begin{cases} \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \)

Example 4.18. Let \( X \) be a quadric hypersurface in \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \). If \( X \) is smooth, then \( X \cong \mathcal{V}(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2) \) and

\[ \text{rank}(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \]

so \( X \) is of high rank. The converse holds for \( n \) odd, but not for \( n \) even. For example, if \( X = \mathcal{V}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \) in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), then \( \text{rank}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) = 2 \), so \( X \) is of high rank, but it is singular.

The following lemma is a slight generalization of [11, Proposition 5.3 (2)].

Lemma 4.19. Let \( A = S/(f) \) be a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \). Then \( C(A) \) has no modules of dimension less than rank \( f \).

Proof. For \( N \in \text{Ind mod } C(A) \) such that \( \text{dim}_k N = r \), there exists an indecomposable non-projective graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay module \( M \) generated in degree 0 such that \( G(M) = N \) by Lemma 4.9 (4). Since \( M \in \text{lin A} \) by [11, Theorem 3.2], we have \( E(M)[w^{-1}]_0 = N \). We see that \( \text{dim}_k E(M)_2 = r \) for \( i \gg 0 \), so the \( 2i \)-th term of the minimal free resolution of \( M \) is \( A(-2i)^r \) for \( i \gg 0 \). By [8, Proposition 6.2], this resolution is obtained from a reduced matrix factorization \( \phi \in \text{NMF}_S^2(f) \) of rank \( r \). By Lemma 4.16, \( \text{dim}_k N = r = \text{rank } \phi \geq \text{rank } f \).

Since we work over an algebraically closed field \( k \), the following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 4.20. Let \( \Lambda \) be a semisimple algebra such that \( \text{dim}_k \Lambda = 2^{n-1} \). If \( \text{dim}_k M > \begin{cases} 2^{(n-3)/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2^{(n-4)/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \)

for every \( 0 \neq M \in \text{mod } \Lambda \), then

\[ \Lambda \cong \begin{cases} M_{2^{(n-1)/2}}(k) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ M_{2^{(n-2)/2}}(k) \times M_{2^{(n-2)/2}}(k) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \]

Lemma 4.21. Let \( A = S/(f) \) be a homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth high rank quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \).

1. If \( n = 1 \), then \( C(A) \cong k \).
2. If \( n = 2 \), then \( C(A) \cong k \times k \).
3. If \( n = 3 \), then \( C(A) \cong M_2(k) \).
4. If \( n = 4 \), then \( C(A) \cong M_2(k) \times M_2(k) \).
5. If \( n = 5 \), then \( C(A) \cong M_4(k) \).
6. If \( n = 6 \), then \( C(A) \cong M_4(k) \times M_4(k) \).
Proof. Since
\[
\text{rank } f \geq \begin{cases} 
\frac{n+1}{2} = 1 > 1/2 = 2^{(n-3)/2} & \text{if } n = 1 \\
\frac{n}{2} = 1 > 1/2 = 2^{(n-4)/2} & \text{if } n = 2 \\
n+1 = 2 > 1 = 2^{(n-3)/2} & \text{if } n = 3 \\
\frac{n}{2} = 2 > 1 = 2^{(n-4)/2} & \text{if } n = 4 \\
n+3 = 3 > 2 = 2^{(n-3)/2} & \text{if } n = 5 \\
\frac{n}{2} = 3 > 2 = 2^{(n-4)/2} & \text{if } n = 6 
\end{cases}
\]
the result follows from Lemma 4.9 (1) and Lemma 4.20. \qed

The following result can be considered as Knörrer’s periodicity theorem for homogeneous coordinate rings of a smooth high rank quadric hypersurface in a quantum \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\) with \(n \leq 6\).

**Theorem 4.22.** Let \(A = S/(f)\) be a homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth high rank quadric hypersurface in a quantum \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\).

1. If \(n = 1, 3, 5\), then
\[
\text{CM}^Z(A) \cong D^h(\text{mod } k) \cong \text{CM}^Z(k[x_1]/(x_1^2)).
\]
2. If \(n = 2, 4, 6\), then
\[
\text{CM}^Z(A) \cong D^h(\text{mod } k \times k) \cong \text{CM}^Z(k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2)).
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.9 (3) and Lemma 4.21, if \(n = 1, 3, 5\), then
\[
\text{CM}^Z(A) \cong D^h(\text{mod } C(A)) \cong D^h(\text{mod } k) \cong \text{CM}^Z(k[x_1]/(x_1^2)),
\]
and if \(n = 2, 4, 6\), then
\[
\text{CM}^Z(A) \cong D^h(\text{mod } C(A)) \cong D^h(\text{mod } k \times k) \cong \text{CM}^Z(k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2)).
\]

**Remark 4.23.** In the case that \(A = S/(f)\) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth high rank quadric hypersurface in a quantum \(\mathbb{P}^6\) (i.e., \(n = 7\), rank \(f \geq \frac{n+1}{2} = 4 = 2^{(n-3)/2}\), so we can only conclude that \(C(A) \cong M_8(k)\) or \(C(A) \cong M_4(k) \times M_4(k) \times M_4(k) \times M_4(k)\) by the above method.

5. \((\pm 1)\)-Skew Polynomial algebras

In this section, we continue to assume that \(k\) is an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2. Recall that \(A\) is the homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth quadric hypersurface in \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\) if and only if \(A \cong k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)\). In this section, we study a noncommutative analogue \(A = S/(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)\) where \(S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_ix_j - \varepsilon_{ij}x_jx_i), \varepsilon_{ij} \in k, i \neq j\) such that \(\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ji} = 1\), is a skew polynomial algebra, a typical example of a quantum polynomial algebra of dimension \(n\). Since \(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2\) is a regular normal element in \(S\) if and only if \(\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji} = \pm 1\), we assume that \(\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji} = \pm 1\) in this section. In this case, \(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2\) is a regular central element in \(S\) and \(A\) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\). We will classify \(\text{CM}^Z(A)\) for such \(A\) up to \(n = 6\). By the classification and Theorem 4.13, we will see that \(A\) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a smooth quadric hypersurface in a quantum \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\) if \(n \leq 6\). The main method of computing \(\text{CM}^Z(A)\) is to use the graph associated to \(S\).

A graph \(G\) consists of a set \(V(G)\) of vertices and a set \(E(G)\) of edges between two vertices. In this section, we assume that every graph has no loop and there is at most one edge between two distinct vertices. An edge between two vertices \(v, w \in V(E)\) is written by \((v, w) \in E(G)\).

**Definition 5.1.** For \(\varepsilon := \{\varepsilon_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j}\) where \(\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji} = \pm 1\), we fix the following notations:

1. the graded algebra \(S_{\varepsilon} := k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_ix_j - \varepsilon_{ij}x_jx_i)\), called a \((\pm 1)\)-skew polynomial algebra in \(n\) variables,
(2) the point scheme $E_{\varepsilon}$ of $S_{\varepsilon}$,
(3) the central element $f_{\varepsilon} = x_{1}^{2} + \cdots + x_{n}^{2} \in S_{\varepsilon}$,
(4) the homogeneous coordinate ring $A_{\varepsilon} = S_{\varepsilon}/(f_{\varepsilon})$ of a quadric hypersurface in a quantum \(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\), and
(5) the graph $G_{\varepsilon}$ where $V(G_{\varepsilon}) = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $E(G_{\varepsilon}) = \{(i, j) \mid \varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji} = 1\}$.

If $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$ for all $i, j$, then $S_{\varepsilon} = k[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}]$. If $\varepsilon_{ij} = -1$ for all $i, j$, then we write $S_{\varepsilon} = k_{-1}[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}]$.

We use the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let the notation be as above.

(1) (cf. [14, Theorem 2.3]) $E_{\varepsilon} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji} = -1} V(x_{i}x_{j}x_{k}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.
(2) ([14, Lemma 3.1]) $C(A_{\varepsilon}) \cong k(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1})/(t_{i}t_{j} + \varepsilon_{ni}\varepsilon_{nj}t_{t}t_{j}t_{i}^{2} - 1)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, i \neq j}$

5.1. **Mutations.** We introduce the notion of mutations, which preserve the stable category of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules.

**Definition 5.3** (Mutation). Let $G$ be a graph and $v \in V(G)$. The mutation $\mu_{v}(G)$ of $G$ at $v$ is a graph $\mu_{v}(G)$ where $V(\mu_{v}(G)) = V(G)$ and

$$E(\mu_{v}(G)) = \{(v, u) \mid (v, u) \notin E(G), u \neq v\} \cup \{(u, u') \mid (u, u') \in E(G), u, u' \neq v\}.$$

We say that the graphs $G$ and $G'$ are mutation equivalent if $G'$ is obtained from $G$ by applying mutations finite number of times.

**Lemma 5.4.** For a subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists $\theta_{I} \in \text{GrAut}(S_{\varepsilon}; f_{\varepsilon})$ defined by $\theta_{I}(x_{i}) = \begin{cases} -x_{i} & \text{if } i \in I, \\ x_{i} & \text{if } i \notin I. \end{cases}$ Moreover, $(S_{\varepsilon})^{\theta_{I}} = S_{\varepsilon}^{I}$ for some $\varepsilon'$, and $\text{NMF}_{S_{\varepsilon}}^{I}(f_{\varepsilon}) \cong \text{NMF}_{S_{\varepsilon}}^{I}(f_{\varepsilon}')$.

**Proof.** It is clear that $\theta_{I} \in \text{GrAut}(S_{\varepsilon}; f_{\varepsilon})$ and $(S_{\varepsilon})^{\theta_{I}} = S_{\varepsilon}^{I}$ for some $\varepsilon'$. Since there exists $\sqrt{\theta_{I}} \in \text{GrAut} S_{\varepsilon}^{I}$ defined by $\sqrt{\theta_{I}}(x_{i}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-x_{i}} & \text{if } i \in I, \\ x_{i} & \text{if } i \notin I \end{cases}$ such that $\sqrt{\theta_{I}}((f_{\varepsilon})^{\theta_{I}}) = f_{\varepsilon}'$, $\text{NMF}_{S_{\varepsilon}}^{I}(f_{\varepsilon}) \cong \text{NMF}_{(S_{\varepsilon})^{\theta_{I}}}^{I}((f_{\varepsilon})^{\theta_{I}}) \cong \text{NMF}_{S_{\varepsilon}}^{I}(f_{\varepsilon}')$

by Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 5.5** (Mutation Lemma). If $G_{\varepsilon}$ and $G_{\varepsilon}'$ are mutation equivalent, then the following hold:

(1) $\text{GrMod} S_{\varepsilon} \cong \text{GrMod} S_{\varepsilon}'$.
(2) $\text{NMF}_{S_{\varepsilon}}^{I}(f_{\varepsilon}) \cong \text{NMF}_{S_{\varepsilon}^{I}}(f_{\varepsilon}')$.
(3) $E_{\varepsilon} \cong E_{\varepsilon}'$.
(4) $C(A_{\varepsilon}) \cong C(A_{\varepsilon}')$.
(5) $\text{CM}_{\varepsilon}^{I}(A_{\varepsilon}) \cong \text{CM}_{\varepsilon}^{I}(A_{\varepsilon}')$.
(6) $\text{rank} f_{\varepsilon} = \text{rank} f_{\varepsilon}'$.

**Proof.** By reordering the vertices and the iteration, it is enough to show the case $\mu_{n}(G_{\varepsilon}) = G_{\varepsilon}'$.

(1) and (2) If $I = \{n\}$ and $\theta = \theta_{I} \in \text{Aut}(S; f)$ as defined in Lemma 5.4, then $(S_{\varepsilon})^{\theta} \cong S_{\varepsilon}'$, so $\text{GrMod} S_{\varepsilon} \cong \text{GrMod} S_{\varepsilon}'$ by Lemma 2.1, and $\text{NMF}_{S_{\varepsilon}}^{I}(f_{\varepsilon}) \cong \text{NMF}_{S_{\varepsilon}^{I}}(f_{\varepsilon}')$ by Lemma 5.4.

(3) and (4) Since $\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki}$ for every $i < j < k$, they follow from Lemma 5.2 (1) and (2), respectively.

(5) This follows from (4) and Lemma 4.9 (3).

(6) This follows from the proof of Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 4.15.

**Definition 5.6** (Relative Mutation). Let $v, w \in V(G)$ be distinct vertices. Then the relative mutation $\mu_{v \to w}(G)$ of $G$ at $v$ by $w$ is a graph $\mu_{v \to w}(G)$ where $V(\mu_{v \to w}(G)) = V(G)$ and $E(\mu_{v \to w}(G))$ is given by the following rules:
(1) For distinct vertices $u, u' \neq v$, we define that $(u, u') \in E(\mu_{v \rightarrow w}(G)) \iff (u, u') \in E(G)$.

(2) For a vertex $u \neq v, w$, we define that

$$(v, u) \in E(\mu_{v \rightarrow w}(G)) \iff \begin{cases} (v, u) \in E(G) \text{ and } (w, u) \notin E(G), & \text{or} \\ (v, u) \notin E(G) \text{ and } (w, u) \in E(G). \end{cases}$$

(3) We define that $(v, w) \in E(\mu_{v \rightarrow w}(G)) \iff (v, w) \in E(G)$.

**Lemma 5.7** (Relative Mutation Lemma). Suppose that $n \in V(G_\varepsilon)$ is an isolated vertex. If $G_{\varepsilon'} = \mu_{n-1 \leftarrow n-2}(G_\varepsilon)$, then $C(A_\varepsilon) \cong C(A_{\varepsilon'})$ and hence $\text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong \text{CM}^Z(A_{\varepsilon'})$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.2 (2), $C(A_\varepsilon) \cong k\langle t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}\rangle/(t_i t_j + \varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} t_j t_i, t_i^2 - 1)$.

Let $\Lambda$ be the algebra generated by $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ with defining relations

$$
s_i s_j + \varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} s_j s_i \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i \neq j)
$$

$$
s_{n-1}s_j - \varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,j} \varepsilon_{jn} \varepsilon_{n,n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,j} \varepsilon_{jn} s_j s_{n-1} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-3)
$$

$$
s_{n-1}s_{n-2} + \varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,j} \varepsilon_{jn} \varepsilon_{n-2,n} \varepsilon_{n-2,n} s_{n-1}
$$

$$s_i^2 - 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2)
$$

$$s_{n-1}^2 + \varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,n}.
$$

Since

$$
(t_{n-1} t_{n-2}) t_j = \varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,j} \varepsilon_{jn} \varepsilon_{n,n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,j} \varepsilon_{jn} t_j (t_{n-1} t_{n-2}) \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-3)
$$

$$
(t_{n-1} t_{n-2}) t_{n-2} = -\varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,n} t_{n-2} (t_{n-1} t_{n-2}),
$$

$$
(t_{n-1} t_{n-2})^2 = -\varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,n},
$$

the map

$$
\Lambda \rightarrow k\langle t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}\rangle/(t_i t_j + \varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} t_j t_i, t_i^2 - 1); \quad s_i \mapsto t_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2), \quad s_{n-1} \mapsto t_{n-1} t_{n-2}
$$

is an isomorphism of algebras. By definition of $G_{\varepsilon'} = \mu_{n-1 \leftarrow n-2}(G_\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} = \varepsilon'_{ni} \varepsilon'_{ij} \varepsilon'_{jn}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i \neq j$. Moreover, since $\varepsilon_{in} = -1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have

$$-\varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,j} \varepsilon_{jn} \varepsilon_{n,n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,j} \varepsilon_{jn} = (\varepsilon_{jn} \varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n,n-2}) (\varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,j} \varepsilon_{jn} - \varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,j} \varepsilon_{jn}) + \varepsilon'_{n,n-1} \varepsilon'_{n-1,j} \varepsilon'_{jn}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n-3$, and

$$\varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1,n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,n} = (\varepsilon_{n,n-1} \varepsilon_{n,n-2}) \varepsilon_{n-1,n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,n} = \varepsilon'_{n,n-1} \varepsilon'_{n-1,n-2} \varepsilon'_{n-2,n}.
$$

Hence we see that $\Lambda$ is isomorphic to $C(A_{\varepsilon'})$.

**Example 5.8.** By Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.5, $\text{CM}^Z(A)$ is preserved by the following operations on the graph:

(1)

$$G = \begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 4 \\
\text{1} & 5 \\
\end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu_{1 \leftarrow 2}(G) = \begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 4 \\
\text{1} & 5 \\
\end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu_{3}(\mu_{1 \leftarrow 2}(G)) = \begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 4 \\
\text{1} & 5 \\
\end{array}.$$
Since \( \text{NMF} \leq \text{rank free graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over} \), By [14, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 3.3], we see (1)

\[
\text{Proof.} \quad \text{Rank.}
\]

(3)

\[
G = \begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 6 \\
3 & 4 & 5
\end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu_{1 \leftarrow 2}(G) = \begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 6 \\
3 & 4 & 5
\end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu_3(\mu_{1 \leftarrow 2}(G)) = \begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 6 \\
3 & 4 & 5
\end{array}.
\]

5.2. Rank.

**Lemma 5.9.** For \( \varepsilon := \{\varepsilon_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n,i \neq j} \) where \( \varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji} = \pm 1 \), the following are equivalent:

1. \( \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = -1 \) for every \( 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \).
2. \( \dim \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon = 1 \) (i.e., \( \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon \) has the lowest dimension).
3. \( C(A_\varepsilon) \cong k^{2n-1} \).
4. \( \text{NMF}^Z_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon) \cong \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong D^b(\text{mod} \ k^{2n-1}) \).
5. \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon = 1 \) (i.e., \( f_\varepsilon \) has the lowest rank).

\textbf{Proof.} By [14, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 3.3], we see (1) \( \iff \) (2) \( \iff \) (3) \( \iff \) (4).

(3) \( \Rightarrow \) (5): If \( C(A_\varepsilon) \cong k^{2n-1} \), then there exists \( N \in \text{mod} C(A) \) such that \( \dim_k N = 1 \). As in the proof of Lemma 4.19, there exists a reduced matrix factorization \( \phi \in \text{NMF}^Z_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon) \) such that \( \text{rank } \phi = 1 \). Since \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon \leq \text{rank } \phi \) by Lemma 4.16, we have \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon = 1 \).

(5) \( \Rightarrow \) (1): If \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon = 1 \), then

\[
f_\varepsilon = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = (\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n)(\beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_n x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i x_i^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i \beta_j x_i x_j + \alpha_j \beta_i x_j x_i).
\]

It follows that \( \alpha_i \beta_i = 1 \) for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( \varepsilon_{ij} = -\alpha_j \beta_i/\alpha_i \beta_j \) for every \( 1 \leq i,j \leq n \), so

\[
\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = (-\alpha_j \beta_i/\alpha_i \beta_j)(-\alpha_k \beta_j/\alpha_j \beta_k)(-\alpha_i \beta_k/\alpha_k \beta_i) = -1
\]

for every \( 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \).

**Example 5.10.** If \( S = k_{-1}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] := k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_i x_j + x_j x_i) \) and \( f = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \in S \), then \( f = (x_1 + \varepsilon_2 x_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n x_n)^2 \) for \( \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \). It follows that \( f \) can be factorized in \( 2^{n-1} \) different ways.

Since \( \text{NMF}^Z_\varepsilon(f) \cong \text{CM}^Z(S/\langle f \rangle) \cong D^b(\text{mod} \ k^{2n-1}) \), all isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-free graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over \( A = S/\langle f \rangle \) up to shifts are listed as \( \text{Coker}(x_1 + \varepsilon_2 x_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n x_n) \cdot \) for \( \varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 2, \ldots, n \).

**Definition 5.11.** Let \( G \) be a graph. A graph \( G' \) is a full subgraph of \( G \) if \( V(G') \subset V(G) \) and \( E(G') = \{(v,w) \in E(G) \mid v,w \in V(G')\} \). For a subset \( I \subset V(G) \), we denote by \( G \setminus I \) the full subgraph of \( G \) such that \( V(G \setminus I) = V(G) \setminus I \). For a full subgraph \( G' \) of \( G \), we define the complement graph of \( G' \) in \( G \) by \( G \setminus G' := G \setminus V(G') \).

**Lemma 5.12.** If \( n \) is even, then \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon \leq \frac{n}{2} \). If \( n \) is odd, then \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon \leq \frac{n+1}{2} \).
Proposition 5.13. If \( \dim E_\varepsilon = n - 1 \) (i.e., \( E_\varepsilon = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) has the highest dimension), then \( A_\varepsilon \) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a high rank quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) (i.e., \( f_\varepsilon \) has the highest rank). If \( n \) is odd, then the converse also holds.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 (1), \( E_\varepsilon = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) if and only if \( \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = 1 \) for every \( i, j, k \in V(G_\varepsilon) \).

Suppose that \( E_\varepsilon = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \). By mutating at all the vertices of a proper connected component of \( G_\varepsilon \) if exists, we may assume that \( G_\varepsilon \) is a connected graph by Lemma 5.5 (see Example 5.14). The condition \( \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = 1 \) for every \( i, j, k \in V(G_\varepsilon) \) means if \( (i, j, k) \in E(G_\varepsilon) \), then \( (i, k) \in E(G_\varepsilon) \). By induction, if \( (i_1, i_2, i_3), \ldots, (i_{m-1}, i_m) \in E(G_\varepsilon) \), then \( (i_1, i_m) \in E(G_\varepsilon) \). Since \( G_\varepsilon \) is connected, \( (i, j) \in E(G_\varepsilon) \) for every \( i, j \in V(G_\varepsilon) \), so \( \varepsilon_{ij} = 1 \) for every \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \). It follows that \( S_\varepsilon = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \), hence \( A_\varepsilon \) is a homogeneous coordinate ring of a high rank quadric hypersurface in a quantum \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \) (see Example 4.18).

Conversely, suppose that \( n \) is odd. If \( E_\varepsilon \neq \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \), then there exist \( 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \) such that \( \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = -1 \). By Lemma 5.5, we may assume that \( \varepsilon_{n-2,n-1} = \varepsilon_{n-1,n} = \varepsilon_{n,n-2} = -1 \) by mutation and reordering so that there exists a full subgraph \( G' \) of \( G \) consisting of three isolated points \( n-2, n-1, n \). If \( G_\varepsilon = G \setminus G' \), then \( f_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon' + (x_{n-2} + x_{n-1} + x_n)^2 \), so \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon \leq \text{rank } f_\varepsilon' + 1 \leq \frac{n-3}{2} + 1 = \frac{n-1}{2} \) by Lemma 5.12, hence \( A_\varepsilon \) does not satisfy the high rank property. \( \square \)

Example 5.14. We can always transform \( G_\varepsilon \) to be a connected graph by mutations. For example, if \( G_\varepsilon \) is as follows, then the vertices 1, 2 determine a proper connected component of \( G_\varepsilon \), and \( \mu_2\mu_1(G_\varepsilon) \) is a connected graph:

\[
G_\varepsilon = \begin{array}{c}
2 \\
\downarrow \\
3 \\
\downarrow \\
4 \\
\downarrow \\
5 \\
\downarrow \\
6 \\
\end{array}
\quad \mu_2\mu_1(G_\varepsilon) = \begin{array}{c}
2 \\
\downarrow \\
3 \\
\downarrow \\
4 \\
\downarrow \\
5 \\
\downarrow \\
6 \\
\end{array}
\]

Example 5.15. If \( n = 3 \), then we have the following equivalences:

1. \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon = 2 \iff E_\varepsilon = \mathbb{P}^2 \iff \text{CM}^Z(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k) \).
2. \( \text{rank } f_\varepsilon = 1 \iff E_\varepsilon \subseteq \mathcal{V}(xyz) \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \iff \text{CM}^Z(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k^4) \).

In fact, let \( S = k[x, y, z]/(yz - \alpha xy, zx - \beta xz, xy - \gamma yx) \) be a \((\pm^{1})\)-skew polynomial algebra and \( f = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in S \). Note that there exists \( \theta \in \text{Aut}_0(S; f) \) defined by \( \theta(x) = x, \theta(y) = y, \theta(z) = -z \). Since

\[
k[x, y, z]^{\theta} \cong k[x, y, z]/(yz - zy, zx + xz, xy + yx),
\]

\[
k_{-1}[x, y, z]^{\theta} \cong k[x, y, z]/(yz + zy, zx - xz, xy - yx) \cong (k_{-1}[y, z])[x],
\]
either

1. GrMod \( S/(f) \) is equivalent to GrMod \( k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \) so that rank \( f = 2 \), the point scheme of \( S \) is \( E = \mathbb{P}^2 \), and \( \text{CM}^Z(S/(f)) \cong \text{CM}^Z(k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k) \), or
2. GrMod \( S/(f) \) is equivalent to GrMod \( k_{-1}[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \) so that rank \( f = 1 \), the point scheme of \( S \) is \( E = \mathcal{V}(xyz) \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \), and \( \text{CM}^Z(S/(f)) \cong \text{CM}^Z(k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k^4) \).

If \( S = k[x, y, z] \), then

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
x \\
y - \sqrt{-1}z \\
\end{array} \right)^2 = \left( \begin{array}{c}
f \\
0 \\
f \\
\end{array} \right)
\]
so all isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-free maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over \( A = S/(f) \) up to shifts are listed as

\[
\text{Coker} \left( \frac{x}{y - \sqrt{-1}z} \right),
\]

whose corresponding noncommutative graded right matrix factorizations are of rank 2.

- If \( S = k_{-1}[x, y, z] \), then
  \[
  (x + y + z)^2 = (x - y + z)^2 = (x + y - z)^2 = (x - y - z)^2 = f,
  \]
  so all isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-free graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over \( A = S/(f) \) up to shifts are listed as

\[
\text{Coker}(x + y + z), \text{Coker}(x - y + z), \text{Coker}(x + y - z), \text{Coker}(x - y - z),
\]

whose corresponding noncommutative graded right matrix factorizations are of rank 1.

### 5.3. Reductions

We will give two ways of reducing the number of variables in computing \( \text{CM}^Z(A) \). One is coming from the noncommutative Knörrer’s periodicity theorem (Theorem 3.6).

**Theorem 5.16.** If \( S \) is a \((\pm 1)\)-skew polynomial algebra in \( n \) variables and \( f = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \in S \), then \( \text{CM}^Z(S,u,v)/(f + u^2 + v^2) \cong \text{CM}^Z(S/(f)) \).

**Proof.** This is a special case of Theorem 3.6.

**Example 5.17.** If \( S = (k_{-1}[x, y, z])[x_4, x_5] \), then \( f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 \in S \) is irreducible. However, by Theorem 5.16, \( \text{NMF}^Z_{S}(f) \cong \text{NMF}^Z_{k_{-1}[x, y, z]}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \cong D^h(\text{mod} \ k^4) \neq D^h(\text{mod} \ k) \).

Note that since \( f = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2 + (x_4 + \sqrt{-1}x_5)(x_4 - \sqrt{-1}x_5) \), rank \( f = 2 < 3 \), so \( S/(f) \) does not satisfy the high rank property.

**Definition 5.18.** An isolated segment \([v, w]\) of a graph \( G \) consists of distinct vertices \( v, w \in V(G) \) with an edge \((v, w) \in E(G)\) between them such that neither \( v \) nor \( w \) are connected by an edge to any other vertex.

**Lemma 5.19** (Knörrer’s Reduction). Suppose that \([n - 1, n]\) is an isolated segment in \( G_ε \). If \( G_ε' = G_ε \setminus \{n - 1, n\} \), then \( \text{CM}^Z(A_ε) \cong \text{CM}^Z(A_ε') \).

**Proof.** If \( G_ε'' = μ_{n-1}μ_n(G_ε) \), then \( x_{n-1}, x_n \) are central elements in \( S_ε'' \), so we can apply Theorem 5.16 to delete \( x_{n-1}, x_n \) from \( S_ε'' \). By Lemma 5.5,

\[
\text{CM}^Z(A_ε) \cong \text{CM}^Z(A_ε'') \cong \text{CM}^Z(A_ε').
\]

Recall that \( \text{CM}^Z(A_ε) \) can be calculated by \( C(A_ε) \) by Lemma 4.9, so the following lemma also reduces the number of variables in computing \( \text{CM}^Z(A_ε) \).

**Lemma 5.20** (Two Points Reduction). Suppose that \( n - 1, n \in V(G_ε) \) are two distinct isolated vertices. If \( G_ε' = G_ε \setminus \{n\} \), then \( C(A_ε) \cong C(A_ε')^{x^2} \).

**Proof.** Since \( ε_{ni} \equiv ε_{i-1, i} \equiv ε_{n-1, n} = -1 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n - 2 \), we have \( ε_{n-1,n} – ε_{n-1, i} ε_{in} = -1 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n - 2 \). By Lemma 5.2 (2), \( C(A_ε) \cong k(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1})/(t_it_j + ε_nε_mε_{jn}t_jt_i, t_i^2 - 1) \), so it follows that \( t_{n-1} \) commutes with \( t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1} \) in \( C(A_ε) \). Thus we have

\[
C(A_ε) \cong k(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-2})/(t_it_j + ε_nε_{ij}ε_{jn}t_jt_i, t_i^2 - 1) \otimes_k k[t_{n-1}]/(t_{n-1}^2 - 1)
\]

\[
\cong k(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-2})/(t_it_j + ε_n, iε_{ij}ε_{in}t_jt_i, t_i^2 - 1) \otimes_k k^2
\]

\[
\cong C(A_ε') \otimes_k k^2
\]

\[
\cong C(A_ε')^{x^2}
\]

as desired.
5.4. The Classification up to \( n = 6 \). We now classify \( G_\varepsilon \), \( E_\varepsilon \), \( \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \) for \( n = 4, 5, 6 \) by the following steps (see Example 5.15 for the case \( n = 3 \)):

(I) Classify \( G_\varepsilon \) up to mutations. (The representative of the mutation equivalence class in the list below is given so that the number of edges is minimal.)

(II) Compute \( E_\varepsilon \) from each graph \( G_\varepsilon \).

(III) Compute \( \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \) from each graph \( G_\varepsilon \) by using Two Points Reduction (Lemma 5.20), Knörrer's Reduction (Lemma 5.19) and Relative Mutation Lemma (Lemma 5.7).

5.4.1. The case \( n = 4 \). (I) There are exactly three graphs

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
(1) & 2 & 4 & (2) \& 2 & 4 & (3) \leq 2 & 4 \\
\end{array}
\]

up to mutations.

(II) There are exactly three point schemes

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \mathcal{V}(x_i, x_j) \quad [\ell = 6] \\
(2) & \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_4) \quad [\ell = 1] \\
(3) & \mathbb{P}^3 \quad [\ell = 0]
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \ell \) is the number of irreducible components that are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \).

(III) In the case (1), we can apply Lemma 5.20 twice to obtain \( C(A_\varepsilon) \cong C(k_{-1}[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) \times 4 \cong k^8 \) so we have \( \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k^8) \). In the case (2), we can apply Lemma 5.19 to obtain \( \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong \text{CM}^Z(k_{-1}[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k^2) \). In the case (3), we can apply Lemma 5.19 to obtain \( \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong \text{CM}^Z(k[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k^2) \).

5.4.2. The case \( n = 5 \). (I) There are exactly seven graphs

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
(1) & 2 & 5 & (2) \& 2 & 5 \\
& 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 \\
(3) & 5 & 2 & (4) \& 2 & 5 \\
& 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 \\
(5) & 2 & 5 & (6) \& 2 & 5 \\
& 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 \\
(7) & 2 & 5 & (8) \& 2 & 5 \\
& 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 \\
\end{array}
\]

up to mutations.

(II) There are exactly seven point schemes

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 5} \mathcal{V}(x_i, x_j, x_k) \quad [\ell = 10] \\
(2) & \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_5) \quad [\ell = 2] \\
(3) & \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_4, x_1) \quad [\ell = 0] \\
(4) & \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5) \quad [\ell = 3] \\
(5) & \mathcal{V}(x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \quad [\ell = 0] \\
(6) & \mathcal{V}(x_1) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_5) \quad [\ell = 1] \\
(7) & \mathbb{P}^4 \quad [\ell = 0]
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \ell \) is the number of irreducible components that are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \).

(III) To compute \( \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \) in the case \( n = 5 \), the graphs (1), (2) contain two distinct isolated vertices, so we can apply Lemma 5.20. On the other hand, the graphs (4), (5), (6), (7) contain isolated segments, so we can apply Lemma 5.19. For the graph (3), we can apply Lemma 5.7 as Example 5.8 (1). Consequently \( \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \) is classified as follows:
5.4.3. The case $n = 6$. (I) There are exactly sixteen graphs

up to mutation.

(II) There are exactly sixteen point schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ell$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{D}^0(\text{mod } k^{16})$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{D}^0(\text{mod } k^4)$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{D}^0(\text{mod } k)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$\bigcup_{1 \leq i &lt; j &lt; k &lt; l \leq 6} \mathcal{V}(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_6)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5, x_6)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_4, x_5, x_6)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5, x_6)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_6)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_4, x_5, x_6, x_6)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_6)$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_6, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_6, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_6, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_3, x_5, x_6, x_6, x_6) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_6, x_6)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.
where $\ell$ is the number of irreducible components that are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

(III) To compute $\text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon)$ in the case $n = 6$, the graphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) contain two distinct isolated vertices, so we can apply Lemma 5.20. On the other hand, the graphs (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16) contain isolated segments, so we can apply Lemma 5.19. By mutating at the vertex 3 in the graphs (8), (9), [1, 2] become isolated segments, so we can apply Lemma 5.19. For the graphs (6), (7), we can apply Lemma 5.7 as Example 5.8 (2), (3). Consequently $\text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon)$ is classified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>$D^b(\text{mod } k^{16})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2), (3), (5), (10), (16)</td>
<td>$D^b(\text{mod } k^8)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4), (6), (7), (8), (9), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15)</td>
<td>$D^b(\text{mod } k^2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By the above classification, we obtain the following two results.

**Proposition 5.21.** If $n \leq 6$, then the following are equivalent:

1. $G_\varepsilon$ and $G_{\varepsilon'}$ are mutation equivalent.
2. $\text{GrMod } S_\varepsilon \cong \text{GrMod } S_{\varepsilon'}$.
3. $E_\varepsilon \cong E_{\varepsilon'}$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.5, (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), and it is well-known that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) in general. On the other hand, for each $n \leq 6$, the number of mutation equivalence classes of the graphs is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of the point schemes by the above classification, so the result follows. \qed

**Theorem 5.22.** Let $\ell$ be the number of irreducible components of $E_\varepsilon$ which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Assume that $n \leq 6$.

1. If $n$ is odd, then $\ell \leq 10$ and

   $\ell = 0 \iff \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k)$,

   $0 < \ell \leq 3 \iff \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^4)$,

   $3 < \ell \leq 10 \iff \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^{16})$.

2. If $n$ is even, then $\ell \leq 15$ and

   $0 \leq \ell \leq 1 \iff \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^2)$,

   $1 < \ell \leq 6 \iff \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^8)$,

   $6 < \ell \leq 15 \iff \text{CM}^Z(A_\varepsilon) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^{32})$.

In particular, [14, Conjecture 1.3] holds true for $n \leq 6$.

**Proof.** This follows directly by the classification (see also [14, Theorem 1.4]). \qed
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