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Abstract

An ATAI (or ATAF, respectively) algebra, introduced in [Jiang1] (or in [Fa] respectively) is an inductive limit \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{A_n^i}, \phi_{nm}) \), where each \( A_n^i \) is a simple separable nuclear TAI (or TAF) C*-algebra with UCT property. In [Jiang1], the second author classified all ATAI algebras by an invariant consisting ordered total K-theory and tracial state spaces of cut down algebras under an extra restriction that all elements in \( K_1(A) \) are torsion. In this paper, we remove this restriction, and obtained the classification for all ATAI algebras with the Hausdorffized algebraic \( K_1 \)-group as an addition to the invariant used in [Jiang1]. The theorem is proved by reducing the class to the classification theorem of AHD algebras with ideal property which is done in [GJL1]. Our theorem generalizes the main theorem of [Fa] and [Jiang1] (see corollary 4.3).

1. Introduction

In [Lin2], Lin gave an abstract description of simple AH algebras (with no dimension growth) classified in [EGL1]. He described the decomposition property of simple AH algebras in [G3] as TAI property and proved that all simple separable nuclear TAI algebras with UCT are classifiable and therefore in the class of [EGL1]. For simple AH algebras of real rank zero, the corresponding decomposition property is called TAF by [Lin1], which is partially inspired by Popa’s paper [Popa]. As proved by Lin ([Lin1-2]), a simple separable nuclear C*-algebra \( A \) with UCT property is a TAI (or TAF, respectively) algebra if and only if \( A \) is a simple AH algebra (or simple AH algebra of real rank zero) with no dimension growth, which is classified in [EGL1].

As in [EG2], let \( T_{11,k} \) be the 2-dimensional connected simplicial complex with \( H_1(T_{11,k}) = 0 \) and \( H_2(T_{11,k}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \), and let \( I_k \) be the subalgebra of \( M_k(C[0,1]) = C([0,1], M_k(\mathbb{C})) \) consisting of all functions \( f \) with the properties \( f(0) \in \mathbb{C}1_k \) and \( f(1) \in \mathbb{C}1_k \) (this algebra is called an Elliott dimension drop interval algebra). Denote \( \mathcal{HD} \) the class of algebras consisting of direct sums of building blocks of the forms \( M_k(I_k) \) and \( PM_n(C(X))P \), with \( X \) being one of the spaces \{pt\}, \([0,1]\), \( S^1 \), and \( T_{11,k} \), and with \( P \in M_n(C(X)) \) being a projection. (In [DG], this class is denoted by \( SH(2) \), and in [Jiang1], this class is denoted by \( B \).) A C*-algebra is called an \( AHD \) algebra, if it is an inductive limit of algebras in \( \mathcal{HD} \). In [GJL2], the authors classified all \( AHD \) algebras with the ideal property.

In [Jiang1], Jiang classified ATAI algebras \( A \) under the extra restriction that \( K_1(A) = tor K_1(A) \). In this classification, Jiang used the scaled ordered total \( K \)-group (from [DG]) and the tracial state spaces \( T(pAp) \)
of cut-down algebras \( pAp \), with certain compatibility conditions (from [Stev] and [Ji-Jiang]) as the invariant—we will call it \( Inv^0(A) \). In this paper, we will use the invariant \( Inv^0(A) \) together with the Hausdorffized algebraic \( K_1 \)-group to deal with the torsion-free part of \( K_1(A) \), with certain compatibility conditions—we will call this \( Inv(A) \). We will prove that this invariant reduces to Jiang’s invariant in the case that \( K_1(A) \) is a torsion group, that is, we removed the extra restriction that \( K_1(A) = torK_1(A) \) of Jiang’s classification in [Jiang1] and prove our theorem by reduced to the classification of \( AH \) algebra with ideal property, which is done in [GJL1].

2. Preliminaries And Definitions

2.1. Let \( A \) be a C*-algebra. Two projections in \( A \) are said to be equivalent if they are Murray–von Neumann equivalent. We write \( p \preceq q \) if \( p \) is equivalent to a projection in \( qAq \). We denote by \([p]\) the equivalent class of projections equivalent to \( p \). Let \( a \in A_+ \), we write \( p \preceq a \) if \( p \preceq q \) for some projection \( q \in aAa \).

Definition 2.2. Let \( G \subset A \) be a finite set and \( \delta > 0 \). We shall say that \( \phi \in Map(A, B) \) is \( G-\delta \) multiplicative if \( \|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| < \delta \) for all \( a, b \in G \).

We also use \( Map_{G-\delta}(A, B) \) to denote all \( G-\delta \) multiplicative maps.

If two maps \( \varphi, \phi \in Map(A, B) \) satisfy the condition \( \|\phi(a) - \psi(a)\| < \delta \) for all \( a \in G \), then we will write \( \phi \approx \delta \varphi \) on \( G \).

If \( G, H \subset A \) are two subsets of a C*-algebra \( A \) and for any \( g \in G \), there is a \( b \in H \) with \( \|g - b\| < \delta \), then we denote \( G \subset_{\delta} H \).

Definition 2.3. [Jiang1] We denote by \( \mathcal{I} \) the class of all unital C*-algebras with the form \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B_i \), where each \( B_i \cong M_{k_i} \) or \( B_i \cong M_{k_i}(C[0, 1]) \) for some \( k_i \). Let \( A \in \mathcal{I} \), we have the following:

1. Every C*-algebra in \( \mathcal{I} \) is of stable rank one.
2. Two projections \( p \) and \( q \) in a C*-algebra \( A \in \mathcal{I} \) are equivalent if and only if \( \tau(p) = \tau(q) \) for all \( \tau \in T(A) \), where \( T(A) \) denotes the space of all tracial states.
3. For any \( \epsilon > 0 \) and any finite subset \( F \subset A \), there exist a number \( \delta > 0 \) and a finite subset \( G \subset A \) satisfying the following: If \( L: A \to B \) is a \( G-\delta \) multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where \( B \) is a C*-algebra, then there exists a homomorphism \( h: A \to B \) such that
   \[
   \|h(a) - L(a)\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall a \in F
   \]

Definition 2.4. ([Lin1]) A unital simple C*-algebra in \( A \) is said to be tracially AI (TAI) if for any finite subset \( F \subset A \) containing a nonzero element \( b, \epsilon > 0 \), integer \( n > 0 \) and any full element \( a \in A_+ \), there exist nonzero projection \( p \in A \) and a C*-algebra \( I \subset A \) with \( I \in \mathcal{I} \) and \( 1_I = p \) such that:

1. \( \|xp - px\| < \epsilon \) for all \( x \in F \);
2. \( pxp \in_{\epsilon} I \) for all \( x \in F \);
3. \( n[1-p] \preceq [p] \) and \( 1-p \preceq a \).
**Definition 2.5.** [Jiang1] A C*-algebra $A$ (not necessary unital) is said to be ATAI algebra (approximately TAI algebra) if it is the inductive limit of a sequence of direct sums of simple unital TAI algebras with UCT.

2.6. Let $A$ and $B$ be two C*-algebras. We use $\text{Map}(A, B)$ to denote the space of all completely positive *-contractions from $A$ to $B$. If both $A$ and $B$ are unital, then $\text{Map}(A, B)_1$ will denote the subset of $\text{Map}(A, B)$ consisting of all such unital maps.

2.7. In the notation for an inductive limit system $\lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$, we understand that

$$\phi_{n,m} = \phi_{m-1,n} \circ \phi_{m-2,n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{n+1,1}$$

where all $\phi_{n,m} : A_n \to A_m$ are homomorphisms.

We shall assume that, for any summand $A_i^n$ in the direct sum $A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i^n$, necessarily, $\phi_{n+1}(1_{A_n}) \neq 0$ since, otherwise, we could simply delete $A_i^n$ from $A_n$, without changing the limit algebra.

If $A_n = \bigoplus_i A_i^n$, $A_m = \bigoplus_j A_j^m$, we use $\phi_{i,j}^{n,m}$ to denote the partial map of $\phi_{n,m}$ from the $i$-th block $A_i^n$ of $A_n$ to the $j$-th block $A_j^m$ of $A_m$. Also, we use $\phi_{i,j}^{n,m}$ to denote the partial map of $\phi_{n,m}$ from $A_n$ to $A_j^m$. That is, $\phi_{n,m} = \bigoplus_i \phi_{i,j}^{n,m} = \pi_j \phi_{n,m}$, where $\pi_j : A_m \to A_m^j$ is the canonical projection. We also use $\phi_{i,n,m}$ to denote $\phi_{n,m} |_{A^n} : A^n_i \to A_n$.

2.8. An AH algebra is a C*-algebra which is the inductive limit $A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n p_{n,i} M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i})) p_{n,i}$, where each $X_{n,i}$ is a compact metrizable space, and $p_{n,i} \in M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i}))$ is a projection. Recall in [G1], Gong proved that a simple AH algebra with uniformly bounded dimension of local spectra, i.e. $\sup_n \dim(X_{n,i}) < \infty$ can be re-written as AH inductive limit with spaces being $[0,1], S^1, S^2, T_{I_1}, T_{I_2}, T_{I_3}$, where each $T_{I_1}$ (or $T_{I_2}$) is two-dimensional (or three-dimensional respectively) complex simplicial complexes with $H^2(T_{I_1}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and $H^1(T_{I_1}) = 0$ (or with $H^3(T_{I_2}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and $H^1(T_{I_2}) = 0, H^2(T_{I_2}) = 0$).

For any positive $k$ the dimension drop interval algebra $I_k$ is defined as

$$I_k = \{ f \in M_k(C([0,1])) \mid f(0) = \lambda 1_k, f(1) = \mu 1_k, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \}.$$

2.9. [GJL1] Let $X$ be a compact space and $\psi : C(X) \to PM_k(C(Y))P$ (rank$(P) = k$) be a unital homomorphism. For any point $y \in Y$, there are $k$ mutually orthogonal rank-1 projections $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = P(y)$ and $\{ x_1(y), x_2(y), \cdots, x_k(y) \} \subset X$ (may be repeat) such that $\psi(f)(y) = \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i(y)p_i, \forall f \in C(X)$. We denote the set $\{ x_1(y), x_2(y), \cdots, x_k(y) \}$ (counting multiplicities), by $\text{Sp}_y \psi$. We shall call $\text{Sp}_y \psi$ the spectrum of $\psi$ at the point $y$.

For any $f \in I_k$, let function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C} \bigcup M_k(\mathbb{C})$ (disjoint union) be defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } f(0) = \lambda 1_k \\ \mu, & \text{if } t = 1 \text{ and } f(1) = \mu 1_k \\ f(t), & \text{if } 0 < t < 1 \end{cases}$$
That is, $f(t)$ is the value of irreducible representation of $f$ corresponding to the point $t$. Similarly, for $f \in M_t(I_k)$, we can define $\underline{f} : [0, 1] \rightarrow M_t(\mathbb{C}) \cup M_k(\mathbb{C})$, by

$$
\underline{f}(t) = \begin{cases} 
  a, & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } f(0) = a \otimes 1_k \\
  b, & \text{if } t = 1 \text{ and } f(1) = b \otimes 1_k \\
  f(t), & \text{if } 0 < t < 1 
\end{cases}
$$

Suppose that $\phi : I_k \rightarrow PM_n(C(Y))P$ is a unital homomorphism. Let $r = rank(P)$. For each $y \in Y$, there are $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m \in [0, 1]$ and a unitary $u \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
P(y) = u \begin{pmatrix} 1_{rank(P)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u^* \tag{*}
$$

and

$$
\phi(f)(y) = u \begin{pmatrix} 
  \underline{f}(t_1) \\
  \underline{f}(t_2) \\
  \vdots \\
  \underline{f}(t_m) \\
  0_{n-r} 
\end{pmatrix} u^* \in P(y)M_n(\mathbb{C})P(y) \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})
$$

for all $f \in I_k$.

We define the set $Sp\phi_y$ to be the points $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m$ with possible fraction multiplicity. If $t_i = 0$ or 1, For example if we assume

$$
t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0 < t_4 \leq t_5 \leq \cdots \leq t_{m-2} < 1 = t_{m-1} = t_m,
$$

then $Sp\phi_y = \{0^{\ast \frac{1}{2}}, 0^{\ast \frac{1}{3}}, 0^{\ast \frac{1}{4}}, t_4, t_5, \cdots, t_{m-2}, 1^{\ast \frac{1}{2}}, 1^{\ast \frac{2}{3}}\}$, which can also be written as

$$
Sp\phi_y = \{0^{\ast \frac{1}{2}}, t_4, t_5, \cdots, t_{m-2}, 1^{\ast \frac{2}{3}}\}
$$

Here we emphasize that, for $t \in (0, 1)$, we do not allow the multiplicity of $t$ to be non-integral. Also for 0 or 1, the multiplicity must be multiple of $\frac{1}{k}$ (other fraction numbers are not allowed).

Let $\psi : C[0, 1] \rightarrow PM_n(C(Y))P$ be defined by the following composition

$$
\psi : C[0, 1] \rightarrow I_k \xrightarrow{\phi} PM_n(C(Y))P
$$

where the first map is the canonical inclusion. Then we have $Sp\psi_y = \{Sp\phi_y\}^{\ast k}$—that is, for each element $t \in (0, 1)$, its multiplicity in $Sp\psi_y$ is exactly $k$ times the multiplicity in $\phi_y$.

Recall that for $A = M_t(I_k)$, every point $t \in (0, 1)$ corresponds to an irreducible representation $\pi_t$, defined by $\pi_t(f) = f(t)$. The representations $\pi_0$ and $\pi_1$ defined by

$$
\pi_0 = f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1 = f(1)
$$

are no longer irreducible. We use $\underline{0}$ and $\underline{1}$ to denote the corresponding points for the irreducible representations. That is,

$$
\pi_{\underline{0}}(f) = f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\underline{1}}(f) = f(1).
$$
Or we can also write $f(0) \equiv f(0)$ and $f(1) \equiv f(1)$. Then the equation (*) could be written as

$$
\phi(f)(y) = u \begin{pmatrix}
    f(t_1) \\
    f(t_2) \\
    \ddots \\
    f(t_m) \\
\end{pmatrix} u^* \\
0_{n-r}
$$

where some of $t_i$ may be 0 or 1. In this notation, $f(0)$ is equal to $\text{diag}(\underbrace{f(0), \ldots, f(0)}_k)$ up to unitary equivalence.

Under this notation, we can also write $0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as 0. Then the example of $Sp\phi_y$ can be written as

$$
Sp\phi_y = \{0^{\frac{1}{2}}, 0^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 0^{-\frac{1}{2}}, t_4, t_5, \ldots, t_{m-2}, 1^{\frac{1}{2}}, 1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\} = \{0, 0, 0, t_4, t_5, \ldots, t_{m-2}, 1, 1\}
$$

2.10. We use $\mathcal{H}D$ to denote all $C^*$-algebras $C = \bigoplus C^i$, where each $C^i$ is of the forms $M_1(I_k)$ or $PM_n C(X)P$ with $X$ being one of the spaces $\{pt\}$, $[0, 1]$, $S^1$, $T_{I_{1,k}}$. Each block $C^i$ will be called a basic $\mathcal{H}D$ block or a basic building block.

By $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebra, we mean the inductive limit of

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_{1,2}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_{2,3}} \cdots \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where $A_n \in \mathcal{H}D$ for each $n$.

For an $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit $A = \lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$, we write $A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_n} A^i_n$, where $A^i_n = P_{n,i} M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i})) P_{n,i}$ of $A^i_n = M_{[n,i]}(I_{k_n,i})$. For convenience, even for a block $A^i_n = M_{[n,i]}(I_{k_n,i})$, we still use $X_{n,i}$ for $Sp(A^i_n) = [0, 1]$—that is, $A^i_n$ is regarded as a homogeneous algebra or a sub-homogeneous algebra over $X_{n,i}$.

**Definition 2.11.** ([EG2], [DG]) Let $X$ be a compact connected space and let $P \in M_N(C(X))$ be a projection of rank $n$. The weak variation of a finite set $F \subset PM_N(C(X))P$ is defined by

$$
\omega(F) = \sup_{\pi, \sigma} \inf_{u \in U(n)} \max_{a \in F} \|u \pi(a) u^* - \sigma(a)\|,
$$

where $\pi, \sigma$ run through the set of irreducible representations of $PM_N(C(X))P$ into $M_n(C)$.

For $F \subset M_r(I_k)$, we define $\omega(F) = \omega(i(F))$, where $i : M_r(I_k) \rightarrow M_r(C[0, 1])$ is the canonical embedding and $i(F)$ is regarded as a finite subset of $M_r(C[0, 1])$. Let $A$ be a basic $\mathcal{H}D$ block, a finite set $F \subset A$ is said to be weakly approximately constant to within $\varepsilon$ if $\omega(F) < \varepsilon$.

2.12. Let $\mathcal{K}(A) = K_*(A) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=2}^{\infty} K_*(A, \mathbb{Z}/k)$ be as in [DG]. Let $\Lambda$ be the Bockstein operation between $\mathcal{K}(A)$s (see 4.1 of [DG]). It is well known that

$$K_*(A, \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k) \cong K_0(A \otimes C(T_{I_{1,k}} \times S^1)).$$

As in [DG], let

$$K_*(A, \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k)^+ \cong K_0(A \otimes C(T_{I_{1,k}} \times S^1))^+.$$

and let $\mathcal{K}(A)^+$ to be the semigroup of $\mathcal{K}(A)$ generated by $\{K_*(A, \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k)^+, k = 2, 3, \ldots\}$. 


2.13. Let $\text{Hom}_A(K(A), K(B))$ be the homomorphism between $K(A)$ and $K(B)$ compatible with Bockstein operation $A$. Associativity of Kasparov $KK$-product gives a map 

$$\Gamma : KK(A, B) \to \text{Hom}_A(K(A), K(B))$$

Recall that every element $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$ defines a map $\alpha_* : \text{Hom}_A(K(A), K(B))$. That is, it gives a sequence of homomorphisms

$$\alpha^i : K_i(A) \longrightarrow K_i(B) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \text{ and } \alpha^i : K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \longrightarrow K_i(B, \mathbb{Z}/k) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1,$$

which are compatible with the Bockstein operation $A$.

2.14. Let $K(A)_+$ be defined in 4.6 of [DG]. Notice that, from [DG, 4.7], $K(A)^+ \subset K(A)_+$, where for any unital C*-algebra $B$, let

$$KK(A, B)_+ = \{ \alpha \in KK(A, B), \alpha(K(A)_+) \subseteq K(B)_+ \}, \quad KK(A, B)_{D,+} = \{ \alpha \in KK(A, B)_+, \alpha[1_A] \leq [1_A] \}.$$ 

2.15. Let $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{11, k})P$, where we use $\bullet$ to denote any possible positive integers. From 5.14 of [G3], we know that an element $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$ is completely determined by $\alpha^0 : K_0(C(T_{11, n})) \longrightarrow K_0(B)$ and $\alpha^1_k : K_1(C(T_{11, k}), \mathbb{Z}/k) \longrightarrow K_1(B, \mathbb{Z}/k)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

For any positive integer $k \geq 2$, denote

$$K_{(k)}(A) = K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{(k)}(A)_+ = K(A)_+ \cap K_{(k)}(A)$$

Then for $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{11, k})P$, an element $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$ is in $KK(A, B)_+$ if and only if $\alpha(K_{(k)}(A)_+) \subset K_{(k)}(B)_+$, $k = 0, 1$. Note that $K_{(k)}(A)_+$ is finitely generated.

2.16. Note that, for any C*-algebra $A$, it follows from Künneth Theorem that

$$K_0(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1)) = K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k)$$

where $W_k = T_{11, k}$.

One can choose finite set $\mathcal{P} \subset M_\bullet (A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1))$ of projections such that

$$\{ [P] \in K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) | P \in \mathcal{P}(A) \} \subset K(A)$$

generate $K_{(k)}(A) = K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k)$, where $W_k = T_{11, k}$.

If we choose finite set $G(\mathcal{P}) \subset A$ large enough and $\delta(\mathcal{P}) > 0$ small enough, then every $G(\mathcal{P}) - \delta(\mathcal{P})$ multiplicative contraction $\phi : A \to B$ determines a map $\phi_* : \mathcal{P}K(A) \to K(B)$ which is compatible with the Bockstein operation $A$ (see [GL]). If $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{11, k})P$, then it also defines a $KK$ element $[\phi] \in KK(A, B)$.

Let $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{11, k})P$, recall that for $G \supseteq G(\mathcal{P})$, $\delta \leq \delta(\mathcal{P})$, a $G - \delta$ multiplicative map $\phi : A \to B$ is called a quasi $KK$ homomorphism, if there is a homomorphism $\psi : A \to B$ satisfying $\phi_* = \psi_* : \mathcal{P}K(A) \to K(B)$. If $\phi$ is a quasi $KK$ homomorphism, then $[\phi] \in KK(A, B)^+$. 

Suppose that $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{11, k})P$ and suppose that $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B^i$ is a direct sum of basic $\mathcal{H}D$ bulding blocks. Let $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$, then $\alpha \in KK(A, B)_+$ if and only if for each $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, $\alpha^i \in KK(A, B^i)$ is either
zero or $\alpha^i(1_{C(T_{1,2})}) > 0$ in $K_0(B)$. Recall that in [DG], a $KK$ element $\alpha \in KK(A,B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B^i)$ is called m-large if $\text{rank}(\alpha^i(A)) \geq m \cdot \text{rank}(1_A)$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. By 5.5 and 5.6 of [DG] if $\alpha \in KK(A,B)_+$ is 6-large and $\alpha([1_A]) \leq [1_B]$, then $\alpha$ can be realized by a homomorphism from $A$ to $B$.

2.17. Recall that the scale of $A$, denoted by $\sum A$, is a subset of $K_0(A)$ consisting of $[p]$, where $p \in A$ is a projection. As all the C*-algebras $A$ in this paper have cancellation of projections, if $A$ has unit $1_A$, then

$$\sum A = \{x \in K_0(A); 0 \leq x \leq [1_A]\}.$$ For two C*-algebras $A, B$, by a "homomorphism" $\alpha$ from $(K(A), K(A)^+, \sum A)$ to $(K(B), K(B)^+, \sum B)$, it means a system of maps

$$\alpha_k^i : K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \to K_i(B, \mathbb{Z}/k); \quad i = 0, 1, \quad k = 0, 2, 3, 4, \cdots$$

which are compatible with Bockstein operation and $\alpha = \bigoplus_{k,i} \alpha_k^i$ satisfies $\alpha(K(A)^+) \subseteq K(B)^+$ and finally $\alpha_0^0(\sum A) \subseteq \sum B$.

2.18. For a unital C*-algebra $A$, let $TA$ denote the space of tracial states of $A$, i.e. $\tau \in TA$, if and only if $\tau$ is a positive linear map from $A$ to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, with $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ and $\tau(1_A) = 1$. $AffTA$ is the Banach space of all the continuous affine maps from $TA$ to $\mathbb{C}$. (In most references, $AffTA$ is defined to be the set of all the affine maps from $TA$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Our $AffTA$ is a complexification of the standard $AffTA$. The element $1 \in AffTA$, defined by $1(\tau) = 1$, for all $\tau \in TA$, is called the unit of $AffTA$. $AffTA$, together with the positive cone $AffTA_+$ and the unit element 1 forms a scaled ordered complex Banach space. (Notice that for any element $x \in AffTA$, there are $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in AffTA_+$ such that $x = x_1 - x_2 + ix_3 - ix_4$.)

There is a natural homomorphism $\rho_A : K_0(A) \to AffTA$ defined by $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(p_{il})$ for $\tau \in TA$ and $[p] \in K_0(A)$ represented by projection $p = (p_{ij}) \in M_n(A)$.

Any unital homomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ induces a continuous affine map $T\phi : TB \to TA$. It turns out that $T\phi$ induces a unital positive linear map

$$AffT\phi : AffTA \to AffTB.$$ If $\phi : A \to B$ is not unital, we still have the positive linear map

$$AffT\phi : AffTA \to AffTB.$$ but it will not preserve the unit 1, only has property $AffT\phi(1_{AffTA}) \leq 1_{AffTB}$.

2.19. If $\alpha : (K(A), K(A)^+, \sum A) \to (K(B), K(B)^+, \sum B)$ is a homomorphism as in 2.17, then for each projection $p \in A$, there is a projection $q \in B$ such that $\alpha[p] = [q]$.

Notice that for all the C*-algebras $A$ considered in this paper, the following is true: if $p_1, p_2$ are projections and $[p_1] = [p_2]$ in $K_0(A)$, then there is $u \in A$ with $u p_1 u^* = p_2$. Therefore both $T(pAp)$ and $T(qBq)$ depend only on the class $[p] \in K_0(A)$ and $[q] \in K_0(B)$. For the classes $[p] \in \sum A(\subseteq K_0(A))$, $T(pAp)$ is taken as part of the invariant $Inn^0(A)$. For two classes $[p] \in \sum A, [q] \in \sum B$, with $\alpha([p]) = [q]$, we will consider the system of continuous affine maps $\xi_{p,q} : T(qBq) \to T(pAp)$. Such system of maps is said to be compatible if for any two projections $p_1 \leq p_2$ with $\alpha([p_1]) = [q_1], \alpha([p_2]) = [q_2]$ and $q_1 \leq q_2$, the following diagram is
commutative:
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Aff}T(p_1 A p_1) \xrightarrow{\xi^{p_1,q_1}} \text{Aff}T(q_1 B q_1) \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\text{Aff}T(p_2 A p_2) \xrightarrow{\xi^{p_2,q_2}} \text{Aff}T(q_2 B q_2)
\end{array}
\]
where the horizontal maps are induced by \(\xi^{p_1,q_1}\) and \(\xi^{p_2,q_2}\) respectively, and the vertical maps are induced by the inclusions \(p_1 A p_1 \to p_2 A p_2, q_1 B q_1 \to q_2 B q_2\).

2.20. We denote \((\bar{K}(A), \bar{K}(A)^+, \sum A, \{T(pAp]\}_{p \in \sum A})\) by \(\text{Inv}^0(A)\). By a “map” between the invariants \((\bar{K}(A), \bar{K}(A)^+, \sum A, \{T(pAp]\}_{p \in \sum A})\) and \((\bar{K}(B), \bar{K}(B)^+, \sum B, \{T(qBq]\}_{q \in \sum B})\), we mean a map
\[
\alpha : (\bar{K}(A), \bar{K}(A)^+, \sum A) \to (\bar{K}(B), \bar{K}(B)^+, \sum B)
\]
as in 2.17 and maps \(\xi^{p,q} : T(qBq) \to T(pAp)\) which are compatible as 2.19. We denote this map by
\[
(\alpha, \xi) : (\bar{K}(A), \bar{K}(A)^+, \sum A, \{T(pAp]\}_{p \in \sum A}) \to (\bar{K}(B), \bar{K}(B)^+, \sum B, \{T(qBq]\}_{q \in \sum B})
\]
or simply
\[
(\alpha, \xi) : \text{Inv}^0(A) \to \text{Inv}^0(B)
\]

2.21. Let \(A\) be a unital C*-algebra. Let \(U(A)\) denote the group of unitaries of \(A\) and let \(U_0(A)\) denote the connected component of \(1_A\) in \(U(A)\). Let \(DU(A)\) and \(DU_0(A)\) denote the commutator subgroups of \(U(A)\) and \(U_0(A)\), respectively. (Recall that the commutator subgroup of a group \(G\) is the subgroup generated by all elements of the form \(aba^{-1}b^{-1}\), where \(a, b \in G\).) One introduces the following metric \(D_A\) on \(U(A)/DU(A)\) (see [NT, §3]). For \(u, v \in U(A)/DU(A)\)
\[
D_A(u, v) = \inf\{\|uw^* - c\| : c \in DU(A)\}
\]
where, on the right hand side of the equation, we use \(u, v\) to denote any elements in \(U(A)\), which represent the elements \(u, v \in U(A)/DU(A)\).

Denote the extended commutator group \(DU^+(A)\), which is generated by \(DU(A) \subseteq U(A)\) and the set \(\{e^{2\pi itp} = e^{2\pi it}p + (1 - p) \in U(A) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, p \in A\text{ is a projection}\}\). Let \(\overline{DU(A)}\) denote the closure of \(DU^+(A)\). That is, \(DU(A) = \overline{DU^+(A)}\).

2.22. Let \(A\) be a unital C*-algebra. Let \(\text{Aff}TA\) and \(\rho_A : K_0(A) \to \text{Aff}TA\) be as defined as in 2.18.

For simplicity, we will use \(\rho K_0(A)\) to denote the set \(\rho_A(K_0(A))\). The metric \(d_A\) on \(\text{Aff}TA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}\) is defined as follows (see [NT, §3]).

Let \(d\) denote the quotient metric on \(\text{Aff}TA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}\), i.e., for \(f, g \in \text{Aff}TA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}\),
\[
d(f, g) = \inf\{\|f - g - h\|, \ h \in \overline{\rho K_0(A)}\}.
\]
Define \(d_A\) by
\[
d_A(f, g) = \begin{cases} 
2, & \text{if } d(f, g) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\
|e^{2\pi id(f, g)} - 1|, & \text{if } d(f, g) < \frac{1}{2}.
\end{cases}
\]
Obviously, \(d_A(f, g) \leq 2\pi d(f, g)\).
Let $\rho K_0(A)$ denote the closed real vector space spanned by $\rho K_0(A)$. That is,

$$\rho K_0(A) := \left\{ \sum \lambda_i \phi_i \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \phi_i \in \rho K_0(A) \right\}.$$ 

For any $u, v \in U(A)/\widehat{DU(A)}$, define

$$\overline{D}_A(u, v) = \inf\{ \| uv^* - c \| : c \in \widehat{DU(A)} \}.$$ 

Let $\overline{d}$ denote the quotient metric on $Aff TA/\rho K_0(A)$, that is,

$$\overline{d}(f, g) = \inf\{ \| f - g - h \|, \ h \in \rho K_0(A) \}, \quad f, g \in Aff TA/\rho K_0(A).$$

Define $\overline{d}_A$ by

$$\overline{d}_A(f, g) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \overline{d}(f, g) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\ |e^{2\pi i \overline{d}(f, g)} - 1|, & \text{if } \overline{d}(f, g) < \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

2.23. Let

$$\overline{SU}(A) := \left\{ x \in U(A) \mid x^n \in \widehat{DU(A)} \ for \ some \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$ 

and for simpler, denote $\rho K_0(A) \triangleq \rho K_0(A), \overline{DU(A)} = \overline{D}U(A), \overline{SU}(A) = SU(A)$.

A unital homomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ induces a contractive group homomorphism

$$\phi^\natural : U(A)/\overline{SU}(A) \to U(B)/\overline{SU}(B).$$

If $\phi$ is not unital, then the map $\phi^\natural : U(A)/\overline{SU}(A) \to U(\phi(1_A)B\phi(1_A))/\overline{SU}(\phi(1_A)B\phi(1_A))$ is induced by the corresponding unital homomorphism. In this case, $\phi$ also induces the map $\iota_* \circ \phi^\natural : U(A)/\overline{SU}(A) \to U(B)/\overline{SU}(B)$, which is denoted by $\phi_*$ to avoid confusion.

2.24. In [GJL1] and [GJL2], denote

$$\left( K(A), K(A)^+, \sum A, \left\{ Aff T(pAp) \right\}_{[p]} \in \sum A, \left\{ U(pAp)/\overline{SU}(pAp) \right\}_{[p]} \in \sum A \right)$$

by $Inv(A)$.

By a map from $Inv(A)$ to $Inv(B)$, one means

$$\alpha : \left( K(A), K(A)^+, \sum A \right) \to \left( K(B), K(B)^+, \sum B \right)$$

as in 2.17, and for each pair $([p], [\overline{p}]) \in \sum A \times \sum B$ with $\alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}]$, there are an associate unital positive linear map

$$\xi^{p, \overline{p}} : Aff T(pAp) \to Aff T(\overline{p}B\overline{p})$$

and an associate contractive group homomorphism

$$\chi^{p, \overline{p}} : U(pAp)/\overline{SU}(pAp) \to U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\overline{SU}(\overline{p}B\overline{p}).$$
satisfying the following compatibility conditions:

(a) If \( p < q \), then the diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{c}
AfT(pAp) \xrightarrow{\xi^pA} AfT(pBp) \\
v_T \downarrow \quad \downarrow v_T
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
AfT(qAq) \xrightarrow{\xi^qA} AfT(qBq)
\end{array}
\]

and

\[
\begin{array}{c}
U(pAp)/SU(pAp) \xrightarrow{\chi^pA} U(pAp)/SU(pBp) \\
v_s \downarrow \quad \downarrow v_s
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
U(qAq)/SU(qAq) \xrightarrow{\chi^qA} U(qAq)/SU(qBq)
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where the vertical maps are induced by inclusions.

(b) The following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{c}
K_0(pAp) \xrightarrow{\rho} AfT(pAp) \\
\alpha \downarrow \quad \downarrow \xi^pA
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
K_0(pBp) \xrightarrow{\rho} AfT(pBp)
\end{array}
\]

and therefore \( \xi^pA \) induces a map (still denoted by \( \xi^pA \)):

\[
\xi^pA : AfT(pAp)/\rho K_0(pAp) \rightarrow AfT(pBp)/\rho K_0(pBp)
\]

(The commutativity of (III) follows from the commutativity of (I), by 1.20 of [Ji-Jiang]. So this is not an extra requirement.)

(c) The following diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{c}
AfT(pAp)/\rho K_0(pAp) \rightarrow U(pAp)/SU(pAp) \\
\xi^pA \downarrow \quad \downarrow \chi^pA
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
AfT(pBp)/\rho K_0(pBp) \rightarrow U(pBp)/SU(pBp)
\end{array}
\]

and

\[
\begin{array}{c}
U(pAp)/SU(pAp) \rightarrow K_1(pAp)/torK_1(pAp) \\
\chi^pA \downarrow \quad \downarrow \alpha_1
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
U(pBp)/SU(pBp) \rightarrow K_1(pBp)/torK_1(pBp)
\end{array}
\]

commute, where \( \alpha_1 \) is induced by \( \alpha \).

We will denote the map from \( Inv(A) \) to \( Inv(B) \) by

\[
(\alpha, \xi, \chi) : \left( K(A), K(A)^+, \sum A, \{ AfT(pAp) \}_{[p] \in \sum A}, \{ U(pAp)/SU(pAp) \}_{[p] \in \sum A} \right) \rightarrow \left( K(B), K(B)^+, \sum B, \{ AfT(pBp) \}_{[p] \in \sum B}, \{ U(pBp)/SU(pBp) \}_{[p] \in \sum B} \right)
\]

Note that \( Inv^0 \) is part of \( Inv(A) \).
3. Local approximation lemma

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( A = PM_* C(T_{11,k})P \) or \( M_I(k) \) and \( P \) be as in 2.16. For any finite set \( F \subset A, \varepsilon > 0 \), there exist a finite set \( G \subset A \) (\( G \supset G(\mathcal{P}) \) large enough), a positive number \( \delta > 0 (\delta < \delta(\mathcal{P}) \) small enough) such that the following statement is true:

If \( B \in \mathcal{H}D, \phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(A, B) \) are \( G - \delta \) multiplicative and \( \phi_* = \psi_* : \mathcal{P}K(A) \to K(B) \), then there is a homomorphism \( \nu \in \text{Hom}(A, M_L(B)) \) defined by point evaluations and there is a unitary \( u \in M_{L+1}(B) \) such that \( \| (\phi \oplus \nu)(a) - u(\psi \oplus \nu)(a)u^* \| < \varepsilon \) for all \( a \in F \).

**Proof.** For the special case that \( A = PM_* C(T_{11,k})P \) and \( B \) is homogeneous, this is [G3, Theorem 5.18]. The proof of the general case is completely same (see 2.16 above). Note that, the calculation of \( K_0(\Pi_{n=1}^\infty B_n/\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n) \), \( K_0(\Pi_{n=1}^\infty B_n/\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n, \mathbb{Z}/k) \) and \( K_1(\Pi_{n=1}^\infty B_n/\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n, \mathbb{Z}/k) \) in 5.12 of [G3] works well for the case that some of \( B_n \) being of the form \( M_I(k) \).

\( \square \)

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( A = PM_* (C(X))P \) or \( M_I(k) \). Let \( F \subset A \) be approximately constant to within \( \varepsilon \) (i.e., \( \omega(F) < \varepsilon \)). Then for any two homomorphisms \( \phi, \psi : A \to B \) defined by point evaluations with \( K_0\phi = K_0\psi \), there exists a unitary \( u \in B \) such that \( \| \phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^* \| < 2\varepsilon \forall f \in F \).

**Proof.** The case \( A = PM_* (C(X))P \) is Lemma 3.5 of [GJLP2]. For the case \( A = M_I(k) \), one can also find a homomorphism \( \phi' : M_I(\mathbb{C}) \to B \) such that

\[ \| \phi(f) - \phi'(f(\emptyset)) \| < \varepsilon \quad \forall f \in F, \]

where \( f(\emptyset) \) as in 2.9. Then one follows the same argument as the proof of Lemma 3.5 of [GJLP2] to get the result.

\( \square \)

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( A = PM_* (C(X))P \) or \( M_I(k) \), \( \varepsilon > 0 \), finite set \( F \subset A \) with \( \omega(A) < \varepsilon \). There exist a finite set \( G \subset A \) (\( G \supset G(\mathcal{P}) \)), a number \( \delta > 0 (\delta < \delta(\mathcal{P}) \) and a positive integer \( L \) such that the following statement is true. If \( B \in \mathcal{H}D, \phi, \psi \in \text{Map}(A, B) \) are \( G - \delta \) multiplicative and \( \phi_* = \psi_* : \mathcal{P}K(A) \to K(B) \) and \( \nu : A \to M_\infty(B) \) is a homomorphism defined by point evaluations with \( \nu([1_A]) \geq L \cdot [1_B] \in K_0(B) \), then there is a unitary \( u \in (1_B \oplus \nu([1_A]))M_\infty(B)(1_B \oplus \nu([1_A])) \) such that

\[ \| (\phi \oplus \nu)(f) - u(\psi \oplus \nu)(f)u^* \| < 5\varepsilon \quad \forall f \in F. \]

**Proof.** Let \( L_1 \) be as in Proposition 3.1 and \( L = 2L_1 \). Since \( \nu([1_A]) \geq L \cdot [1_B] = 2L_1 \cdot [1_B] \in K_0(B) \), there is a projection \( Q < \nu([1_A]) \) such that \( Q \) is equivalent to \( 1_{M_{L_1}(B)} \). By Proposition 3.1, there exist a homomorphism \( \nu_1 : A \to QM_\infty(B) \) defined by point evaluation and a unitary \( w \in (1_B \oplus \nu_1([1_A]))M_\infty(B)(1_B \oplus \nu_1([1_A])) \) such that \( \| (\phi \oplus \nu_1)(f) - w(\psi \oplus \nu_1)(f)w^* \| < \varepsilon \quad \forall f \in F. \)

Since \( \nu_1 \) (and \( \nu \) respectively) is homotopic to a homomorphism factoring through \( M_{\text{rank}(P)}(\mathbb{C}) \) (for \( A = PM_* (C(X))P \)) or factoring through \( M_I(\mathbb{C}) \) (for \( A = M_I(k) \)), there is a unital homomorphism \( \nu_2 : A \to (\nu([1_A]) - \nu_1([1_A]))M_\infty(B)(\nu([1_A]) - \nu_1([1_A])) \) such that \( K_0(\nu_1 \oplus \nu_2) = K_0(\nu) \).
By Lemma 3.2, $\nu$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to $\nu_1 \oplus \nu_2$ to within $2\varepsilon$ on $F$. Hence $\phi \oplus \nu$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to $\psi \oplus \nu$ on $F$ to within $2\varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon = 5\varepsilon$.

\[ \Box \]

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $A = PM_* C(T_{II,k})P$, and $P \subset M_* (A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1))$ as in 2.16. And let $B = \lim_{n \to \infty} (B_n, \psi_{nm})$ be a unital simple inductive limit of direct sums of $\mathcal{H}D$ building blocks. Let $\phi : A \to B$ be a unital homomorphism. It follows that for any $G \supset G(\mathcal{P})$ and $\delta < \min(\delta(\mathcal{P}))$, there is a $B_n$ and a unital $G-\delta$ multiplicative contraction $\phi_1 : A \to B_n$ which is a quasi $\mathcal{P}K$-homomorphism such that $\|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \phi_1(g) - \phi(g)\| < \delta$

**Proof.** There is a finite set $G_1$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if a complete positive linear map $\psi : A \to C$ (C is a C*-algebra) and a homomorphism $\phi : A \to C$ satisfy that $\|\phi(g) - \psi(g)\| < \delta_1$ for all $g \in G_1$, then $\psi$ is $G - \frac{\delta}{2}$ multiplicative (see Lemma 4.40 in [G3]). Now if $\phi' : A \to B_1$ satisfies $\|\psi_{l,\infty} \circ \phi'(g) - \phi(g)\| < \delta$ for all $g \in G_1$, then $\psi_{l,\infty} \circ \phi'$ is $G - \frac{\delta}{2}$ multiplicative. Hence for some $n > l$ (large enough), $\phi_1 = \psi_{l,n} \circ \phi' : A \to B_n$ is $G - \delta$ multiplicative. By replacing $G$ by $G \cup G_1$ and $\delta$ by $\min(\delta, \delta_1)$, we only need to construct a unital quasi $\mathcal{P}K$-homomorphism $\phi_1 : A \to B_n$ such that

\[ (*) \quad \|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \phi_1(g) - \phi(g)\| < \delta, \quad \forall g \in G. \]

Furthermore, if $\phi_1$ is a $G - \delta$ multiplicative map satisfying the above condition, then

\[ KK(\phi_1) \times KK(\psi_{n,\infty}) = KK(\phi) \in KK(A, B)_+. \]

Note that $K(k)(A)_+$ is finitely generated, there is an $m > n$ such that

\[ KK(\phi_1) \times KK(\psi_{n,m}) \in KK(A, B_{m_1})_+ . \]

Since $B$ is simple, for certain $m > m_1$, $KK(\psi_{n,m} \circ \phi_1)$ is 6-large and therefore can be realized by a homomorphism. That is, replacing $\phi_1$ by $\psi_{n,m} \circ \phi_1$, we get a quasi $\mathcal{P}K$-homomorphism. Thus the proof of the lemma is reduced to the construction of $\phi_1$ to satisfy $(*).

Since $B = \lim_{n \to \infty} (B_n, \psi_{nm})$, there is a $B_n$ and finite set $F \subset B_n$ such that $G \subset 2 \psi_{n,\infty}(F)$. Since $B_n(\subset B)$ is a nuclear C*-algebra, there are two complete positive contractions $\lambda_1 : B_n \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda_2 : M_N(\mathbb{C}) \to B_n$ such that

\[ \|\lambda_2 \circ \lambda_1(f) - f\| < \frac{\delta}{3}, \quad \forall f \in F. \]

Since $B_n$ is a subalgebra of $B$, by Arveson’s Extension Theorem, one can extend the map $\lambda_1 : B_n \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ to $\beta_1 : B \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\beta_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty} = \lambda_1$.

One can verify that $\phi_1 = \lambda_2 \circ \beta_1 \circ \phi : A \to B_n$ satisfies the condition $(*)$ as below. For $g \in G$, there is an $f \in F$ such that $\|\phi(g) - \psi_{n,\infty}(f)\| < \frac{\delta}{3}$, and therefore

\[ \|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \phi_1(g) - \phi(g)\| = \|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \lambda_2 \circ \beta_1 \circ \phi_1(g) - \phi(g)\| \]

\[ \leq \|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \lambda_2 \circ \beta_1 \circ \phi_1(g) - \psi_{n,\infty}(\lambda_2 \circ \beta_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}(f))\| \]

\[ + \|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \lambda_2 \circ \phi_1(f) - \psi_{n,\infty}(f)\| + \|\psi_{n,\infty}(f) - \phi(g)\| \]

\[ \leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} = \delta . \]
This ends the proof of the lemma. \[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.5.** For any finite set $F \subset PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P \triangleq B$ with $\omega(F) < \varepsilon$, there are a finite set $G \supset G(P)$, a positive number $\delta < \delta(P)$ and a positive integer $L$ (this $L$ will be denoted by $L(F,\varepsilon)$ later), such that if $C$ is a $\mathcal{HD}$ basic building block, $p,q \in C$ are two projections with $p+q = 1_C$, and $\phi_0 : B \to pCp$ and $\varphi_1 : B \to qCq$ are two maps satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\phi_0$ is quasi $\mathcal{PK}$ homomorphism and $G - \delta$ multiplicative, and $\phi_1$ is defined by point evaluations (or equivalently, factoring through a finite dimensional $C^*$-algebra), and

(2) $\text{rank}(q) \geq L \cdot \text{rank}(p)$

then there is a homomorphism $\phi : B \to C$ such that $\|\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1(f) - \phi(f)\| < 5\varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$.

**Proof.** Since $\phi_0$ is a quasi $\mathcal{PK}$-homomorphism and is $G - \delta$ multiplicative, there is a homomorphism $\phi' : B \to pCp$ such that $\phi'_0 = \phi_{0*} : \mathcal{PK}(B) \to \mathcal{K}(C)$. By Lemma 3.3, there is unitary $u \in C$ such that

$$\|\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1(f) - u(\phi'_0 \oplus \phi_1(f))u^*\| < 5\varepsilon \quad \forall f \in F.$$ 

The homomorphism $\phi = Adu^* \circ (\phi'_0 \oplus \phi_1)$ is as desired. \[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \cdots > \varepsilon_n > \cdots$ be a sequence with $\sum \varepsilon_i < +\infty$. Let $A$ be a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth (as in [EGL] and [Li4]). Then $A$ can be written as an $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit

$$A_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_1} A_{i1} \longrightarrow A_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_1} A_{i2} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with the unital subalgebras $B_n(= \bigoplus B_{n,i}) \subset A_n(= \bigoplus A_{n,i})$ (that is, $B_{n,i} \subset A_{n,i}$) and $F_n \subset B_n$ and $G_n \subset A_n$ with $F_n = \bigoplus F_{n,i} \subset G_n = \bigoplus G_{n,i}$ such that the following statements hold:

(1) If $A_{n,j}$ is not of type $T_{II}$, then $B_{n,j} = A_{n,j}$ and $F_{n,j} = F_{n,j}$. If $A_{n,j}$ is of type $T_{II}$, then $B_{n,j} = PA_{n,j}P \oplus D_{n,j} \subset A_{n,j}$ with $F_{n,j} = \pi_0(F_{n,j}) \oplus \pi_1(F_{n,j}) \subset G_{n,j}$ and $\omega(\pi_0(F_{n,j})) < \varepsilon$, where $D_{n,j}$ is a direct sum of the $\mathcal{HD}$ building blocks other than type $T_{II}$, and $\pi_0 : B_{n,j} \to PA_{n,j}P \triangleq B_{n,j}^{0,j}$ and $\pi_1 : B_{n,j} \to D_{n,j}^{0,j}$ are canonical projections;

(2) $G_{n,i}$ generates $A_{n,i}$, $\phi_{n,n+1}(A_n) \subset B_{n+1}$, $\phi_{n,n+1}(G_n) \subset F_{n+1}$, and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\infty}(G_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\infty}(F_n) =$ unit ball of $A$;

(3) Suppose that both $A_{n,i}$ and $A_{n+1,i}$ are of type $T_{II}$ and $\phi \triangleq \pi_0 \circ \phi_{n,n+1} |_{B_{n,j}^{0,i}} : B_{n,j}^{0,i} \to B_{n+1,j}^{0,i}$. Then $\phi(1_{B_{n,j}^{0,i}}) = p_0 \oplus p_1 \in B_{n+1,j}^{0,i}$ and $\phi = \phi_0 \oplus \phi_1$ with $\phi_0 \in \text{Hom}(B_{n,j}^{0,i}, p_0 B_{n+1,j}^{0,i} p_0)$, $\phi_1 \in \text{Hom}(B_{n,j}^{0,i}, p_1 B_{n+1,j}^{0,i} p_1)$ such that $\phi_1$ is defined by point evaluations (or equivalently, $\phi_1(B_{n,j}^{0,i})$ is a finite dimensioned sub-algebra of $p_1 B_{n+1,j}^{0,i} p_1$) and

$$[p_1] \geq L(\pi_0(F_{n,j}), \varepsilon_n) \cdot [p_0],$$

where $L(\pi_0(F_{n,j}), \varepsilon_n)$ is as in Lemma 3.5 (note that $\omega(\pi_0(F_{n,j})) < \varepsilon_n$).

**Proof.** From [Li4], we know that $A$ can be written as an inductive limit of the direct sums of $\mathcal{HD}$ building blocks $A = \lim_{n \to \infty} (A_n, \psi_{nm})$. In our construction, we will choose $A_n = A_{k_n}$ and homomorphisms $\phi_{n,n+1} : A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_n} A_{n,i} \longrightarrow A_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_{n+1}} A_{n+1,i}$ such that $\phi_{n,n+1} = \phi_{n,n+1,0} \circ \phi_{n,n+1,1}$.
\[ \tilde{A}_{k_n} \to A_{n+1} = \tilde{A}_{n+1} \] satisfying \( KK(\phi_{n+1}) = KK(\psi_{k_n,k_{n+1}}) \) and \( AffT\phi_{n+1} \) is close to \( AffT\psi_{k_n,k_{n+1}} \) within any pregiven small number on a pregiven finite set, in such a way that \( \phi_{n+1} \) also satisfies the desired condition as in the lemma with certain choices of subalgebras \( B_n \subset A_n \) and and finite subsets \( F_n \subset B_n \) and \( G_n \subset A_n \).

Suppose that we already have \( A_n = \tilde{A}_{k_n} \) with the unital sub-algebra \( B_n = \oplus_i B_{n_i} = \oplus_i (B_{n_i}^0 \oplus D_{n_i}^i) \subset A_n = \oplus_i A_{n_i}^i \), and two subsets \( F_n \subset B_n, G_n \subset A_n \) as required in the lemma. We will construct, roughly, the next algebra \( A_{n+1} = \tilde{A}_{k_{n+1}} \), the sub-algebra \( B_{n+1} \subset A_{n+1} \), two subsets \( F_{n+1} \subset B_{n+1}, G_{n+1} \subset A_{n+1} \), and the homomorphism \( \phi_{n+1} : A_n \to A_{n+1} \) to satisfy all the requirements in the lemma.

Applying the decomposition theorem-[G3, Theorem 4.37], as in the proof of the main theorem in [Li4], for \( l > k_n \) large enough, and for each block \( A_{n_i}^i = \tilde{A}_{k_n}^i \) of type \( TII \), the homomorphism \( \psi_{k_n,l} \) of \( k_n \) can be decomposed into three parts \( \phi_n^i \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 \), roughly described as below: There are mutually orthogonal projections \( Q_0, Q_1, Q_2 \in A_l^i \) with \( \psi_{k_n,l}(1_{A_{k_n}^i}) = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 \); there are two homomorphisms \( \phi_k \in \text{Hom}(A_{n_i}^i, Q_0 A_l^i Q_0 1) \), \((k = 1, 2)\) and a sufficient multiplicative quasi \( \mathcal{P}_K \) homomorphism \( \phi_k \in \text{Map}(A_{n_i}^i, Q_0 A_l^i Q_0 1) \) possessing the following properties:

(i) \( \phi_0^i \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 \) is close to \( \psi_{k_n,l} \) to within \( \varepsilon_n \) on \( G_n^i \);
(ii) \( \phi_0^i(1_{B_{n_i}^i}) \) is a projection and
\[ [Q_0] \geq L(\pi_0(F_n^i), \varepsilon) \cdot [Q_0] \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1(1_{B_{n_i}^i}) \geq L(\pi_0(F_n^i), \varepsilon) \cdot [\phi_0^i(1_{B_{n_i}^i})]; \]
(iii) \( \phi_1 \) is defined by point evaluation at a dense enough finite subset of \( \text{Sp}(\tilde{A}_{k_n}^i) \) such that for any homomorphism \( \phi_0 \in \text{Hom}(A_{n_i}^i, Q_0 A_l^i Q_0 1) \), we have \( \omega(\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1(G_n^i)) < \varepsilon_{n+1} \);
(iv) \( \phi_2 \) factors through an interval algebra \( D_{l}^i \) as \( \phi_2 = \xi_i^0 \circ \xi_i^1 : A_{n_i}^i \xrightarrow{\xi_i^1} D_{l}^i \xrightarrow{\xi_i^2} A_{n_i}^i \).

Then we can choose \( k_{n+1} = l \) and \( A_{n+1} = \tilde{A}_{k_{n+1}} \). Note that \( \phi_0^i \) is a quasi \( \mathcal{P}_K \) homomorphism, one can choose \( \phi_0 : A_{k_n}^i \to \phi_0^i(1_{A_{k_n}^i}) \) such that \( KK(\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2) = KK(\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2) \) with \( \phi_0^i(1_{B_{n_i}^i}) = \phi_0(1_{B_{n_i}^i}) \). Prune \( \psi_{k_n, l+1} \) by replacing \( \psi_{k_n, l+1} \) by \( \phi_0 \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 \) to define \( \phi_{n+1} : A_n \to A_{n+1} \).

Fix \( j \) with \( A_{n+1}^j = A_{k_{n+1}} \) being of type \( TII \). Let \( I_0 = \{ i \mid A_i^i = A_{k_n}^i \text{ is of type } TII \}, I_1 = \{ i \mid A_i^i = A_{k_n}^i \text{ is not of type } TII \}. \) Let \( P_i = (\phi_0(\phi_1(\phi_0(1_{A_{k_n}^i}) \in A_{n+1}^i, P_i = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} P_i, B_{n+1} = A_{n+1} + P_{n+1} \equiv 0 \).
and let \( D_{n+1}^j = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} D_{n+1}^i \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} \phi_{n+1}(\phi_0(1_{A_{k_n}^i} \subset A_{k_{n+1}} \) which is a direct sum of \( \mathcal{H}D \) building blocks other than type \( TII \). Let \( B_{n+1} = B_{n+1}^0 + D_{n+1} \subset A_{n+1} \) if \( A_{n+1} \) is of type \( TII \); and \( A_{n+1}^j = A_{n+1}^j \) if \( A_{n+1} \) is not of type \( TII \). Choose \( G_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(A_{n+1}^j \supset \phi_{n+1}(G_n)) \) sufficiently large. If \( A_{n+1}^j \) is not of type \( TII \), let \( F_{n+1}^j = G_{n+1} \). If \( A_{n+1}^j \) is of type \( TII \), let \( F_{n+1}^j = \phi_{n+1}(G_{n+1}) \).

Note that \( KK(\psi_{k_n, l+1}) = KK(\phi_{n+1}) \). Since the rank of \( \phi_0^i(1_{A_{k_n}^i}) = \phi_0(1_{A_{k_n}^i}) \) is much smaller than the ranks of \( \phi_1(1_{A_{k_n}^i}) \) and \( \phi_2(1_{A_{k_n}^i}) \), \( AffT\phi_{n+1} \) is very close to \( AffT\psi_{k_n, l+1} \). Hence \( A' = \lim(A_{n+1}, \phi_{n+1}) \) has the same Elliott invariant as \( A = \lim(A_{k_n}, \psi_{k_n, l+1}) \). Hence \( A' \cong A \).
Corollary 3.7. Let $A$ be a simple $AH$ algebra with no dimension growth. And let $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k \in A$ be a set of mutually orthogonal projections. Then one can write $A$ as inductive limit $A = \lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$ with mutually orthogonal projections $P_1^0, P_2^0, \ldots, P_k^0 \in A_1$ such that for each $i$, $\phi_{1,\infty}(P_i^0) = P_i$ and

$$P_i A P_i = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \phi_{1,n}(P_i^0)A_n \phi_{1,n}(P_i^0), \phi_{n,m} \phi_{1,n}(P_i^0)A_n \phi_{1,n}(P_i^0) \right)$$

satisfies the properties of Lemma 3.6.

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 3.6, one can assume that there are $P_1', P_2', \ldots, P_k' \in \widetilde{A}_1$ with $\psi_{1,\infty}(P_i') = P_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Then the construction can be carried out to get our conclusion. Note that, applying Lemma 1.6.8 of [G3], one can strengthen [G3, Theorem 4.37] such that the following is true: For a set of pre-given orthogonal projections $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \in A_n$, one can further require that $\psi_0 \in Map(A_n, Q_0 A_m Q_0)$ satisfies that $\psi_0(p_i)$ are projections and $(\psi_0 \oplus \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2)(p_i) = \phi_{n,m}(p_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

The following lemma is the main technique lemma of this section.

Lemma 3.8. Let $A, A'$ be simple $AH D$ inductive limit algebras with $A_1 \to A_2 \to \ldots \to A$ and $A_1' \to A_2' \to \ldots \to A'$ being described in Lemma 3.6. Let $\Lambda : A \to A'$ be a homomorphism. Let $F \subset A_n$ be a finite set and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is an $A_i$ and a homomorphism $\Lambda : A_m \to A'_i$ such that

$$\| \Lambda \circ \phi_{m,\infty}(f) - \psi_{1,\infty} \circ \Lambda_1(f) \| < \epsilon \quad \forall f \in F.$$

Proof. One can choose $n > m$ large enough such that $\phi_{m,n}(F) \subset \frac{\epsilon}{2} F_n$ and $5\epsilon < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Note that $\phi_{m,n}(A_m) \subset B_n$, where $B_n$ is as in Lemma 3.6. We will construct a homomorphism $\phi : B_n \to A'_i$ such that

$$(*) \quad \| \Lambda \circ \phi_{m,\infty}(f) - \psi_{1,\infty}(\phi(f)) \| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall f \in F_n \subset B_n \subset A_n.$$

Then the homomorphism $\phi \circ \phi_{m,n}$ is as desired.

Let $I_0 = \{ i \mid B_n \text{ is of type } T_{II} \}$ and $I_1 = \{ i \mid B_n \text{ is not of type } T_{II} \}$. Then $\{ 1_{B_n^{(i)}} \}_{i \in I_0} \cup \{ 1_{D_n^{(i)}} \}_{i \in I_0} \cup \{ 1_{B_n^{(i)}} \}_{i \in I_1}$ are mutually orthogonal projections. Hence $\{ \Lambda_0 \phi_{n,\infty}(1_{B_n^{(i)}}) \}_{i \in I_0} \cup \{ \Lambda_0 \phi_{n,\infty}(1_{D_n^{(i)}}) \}_{i \in I_0} \cup \{ \Lambda_0 \phi_{n,\infty}(1_{B_n^{(i)}}) \}_{i \in I_1}$ are mutually orthogonal projections in $A$. One can choose $n_1$ (large enough) and mutually orthogonal projections $\{ P_i \}_{i \in I_0} \cup \{ Q_i \}_{i \in I_0} \cup \{ R_i \}_{i \in I_1} \subset A_{n_1}'$ and a unitary $u \in A'$ such that $\| u - 1 \| < \frac{\epsilon}{8}$ and $\psi_{n_1,\infty}(P_i) = u^* (\Lambda \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(1_{B_n^{(i)}})) u$, $\psi_{n_1,\infty}(Q_i) = u^* (\Lambda \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(1_{D_n^{(i)}})) u$ for $i \in I_0$ and $\psi_{n_1,\infty}(R_i) = u^* (\Lambda \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(1_{B_n^{(i)}})) u$ for $i \in I_1$.

Note that $\| A u - i d \| < \frac{\epsilon}{8}$. Replacing $\Lambda : A \to A'$ by $A' = A u \circ \Lambda : A \to A' \to A'$ to make $(*)$ true, it suffices to construct $\phi : B_n \to A'_i$ for certain $l > n_1$ such that

$$(***) \quad \| \Lambda \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty} \circ \phi(f) \| < \frac{3\epsilon}{8} \quad \forall f \in F_n \subset B_n \subset A_n.$$

Such construction can be carried out for each of the blocks $B_n^{(i)}$ and $D_n^{(i)}$ for $i \in I_0$, $B_n^{(i)}$ for $i \in I_1$. Namely, we need to construct

$$\phi_{B_n^{(i)}} : B_n^{(i)} \to \psi_{n_1,i}(P_i) A'_i \psi_{n_1,i}(P_i) \quad \forall i \in I_0;$$
\[\phi|_{D^n_i} : D^n_i \rightarrow \psi_{n_i,l}(Q_i) A_i^t \psi_{n_i,l}(Q_i) \quad \forall i \in I_0;\]

\[\phi|_{B^n_i} : B^n_i \rightarrow \psi_{n_i,l}(R_i) A_i^t \psi_{n_i,l}(R_i) \quad \forall i \in I_1.\]

separately, to satisfy the condition

\[\|\Lambda' \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty} \circ \phi(f)\| < \frac{3\varepsilon}{8}\]

for all \(f \in \{\pi_0(F^n_i)\}_{i \in I_0} \cup \{\pi_1(F^n_i)\}_{i \in I_0} \cup \{F^n_i\}_{i \in I_1} \).

For the blocks \(\{D^n_i\}_{i \in I_0}\) and \(\{B^n_i\}_{i \in I_1}\), the existence of such homomorphisms follows from the fact that the domain algebras are stably generated. So we only need to construct \(\phi : B^n_{i,l} \rightarrow \psi_{n_i,l}(P_i) A_i^t \psi_{n_i,l}(P_i)\) for \(l\) large enough.

Let \(J_0 = \{ j \mid B^n_{i+1} \text{ is of type } T_{11} \}\) and \(J_1 = \{ j \mid B^n_{i+1} \text{ is not of type } T_{11} \}\). Let \(\vec{F}^{i,j} = \pi_0(\phi^{i,j}_{n,n+1}(1_{B^n_{i+1}})) \in D^n_{i+1}\) for \(j \in J_0\), \(\vec{Q}^{i,j} = \pi_1(\phi^{i,j}_{n,n+1}(1_{B^n_{i+1}})) \in D^n_{i+1}\) for \(j \in J_0\), \(\vec{R}^{i,j} = \pi_1(\phi^{i,j}_{n,n+1}(1_{B^n_{i+1}})) \in D^n_{i+1}\) for \(j \in J_1\). (Here we only consider the case \(i \in I_0\).)

As in Lemma 3.6, we have the decomposition \(\pi_0 \circ \phi^{i,j}_{n,n+1}(1_{B^n_{i+1}}) = p_0 + p_1 \in B^n_{i+1}\) and \(\pi_0 \circ \phi^{i,j}_{n,n+1}(1_{B^n_{i+1}}) = \phi_0 \oplus \phi_1\) with \(\phi_0 \in Hom(B^n_{i+1}, p_0 B^n_{i+1} p_0)\) and \(\phi_1 \in Hom(B^n_{i+1}, p_1 B^n_{i+1} p_1)\). Denote \(p_0, p_1\) by \(p^{i,j}_0, p^{i,j}_1\). Then \(\vec{F}^{i,j} = p^{i,j}_0 \oplus p^{i,j}_1\).

It follows that

\[\{\Lambda' \left(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(p^{i,j}_0)\right)\}_{i \in J_0} \cup \{\Lambda' \left(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(p^{i,j}_1)\right)\}_{i \in J_0} \cup \{\Lambda' \left(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(\vec{Q}^{i,j})\right)\}_{i \in J_1}\]

is a set of mutually orthogonal projections with sum to be \(\Lambda' \left(\phi_{n,\infty}(1_{B^n_{i+1}})\right) = \psi_{n,\infty}(P_i) \in A'.\) For \(n_2 > n_1\) (large enough), there are mutually orthogonal projections

\[\{P^{i,j}_0\}_{j \in J_0} \cup \{P^{i,j}_1\}_{j \in J_0} \cup \{Q^{i,j}\}_{j \in J_0} \cup \{R^{i,j}\}_{j \in J_1} \subset \psi_{n_1,n_2}(P_i) A_i \psi_{n_1,n_2}(P_i)\]

and a unitary \(v \in \psi_{n,\infty}(P_i) A_i \psi_{n,\infty}(P_i)\) such that \(\|v - 1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}\); and

\[\psi_{n_2,\infty}(P^{i,j}_0) = v^* \left(\Lambda' \left(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(p^{i,j}_0)\right)\right) v,\]

\[\psi_{n_2,\infty}(P^{i,j}_1) = v^* \left(\Lambda' \left(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(p^{i,j}_1)\right)\right) v,\]

\[\psi_{n_2,\infty}(Q^{i,j}) = v^* \left(\Lambda' \left(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(\vec{Q}^{i,j})\right)\right) v\]

for all \(j \in J_0\); and

\[\psi_{n_2,\infty}(R^{i,j}) = v^* \left(\Lambda' \left(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(\vec{R}^{i,j})\right)\right) v\]

for all \(j \in J_1\).

Let \(\tilde{A} = \text{Adv} \circ \Lambda'.\) Then the construction of \(\phi|_{B^n_{i+1}}\) satisfying (**) for all \(f \in \pi_0(F^n_0)\) is reduced to the construction of homomorphisms

\[\xi^j_0 : B^{i,j}_0 \rightarrow \psi_{n_2,l}(P^{i,j}_0 \oplus P^{i,j}_1) A_i \psi_{n_2,l}(P^{i,j}_0 \oplus P^{i,j}_1),\]

\[\xi^j_1 : \vec{Q}^{i,j} D_{n+1}^{i,j} \rightarrow \psi_{n_2,l}(Q^{i,j}) A_i \psi_{n_2,l}(Q^{i,j})\]

for all \(j \in J_0\); and

\[\xi^j : \vec{R}^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{i,j} \rightarrow \psi_{n_2,l}(R^{i,j}) A_i \psi_{n_2,l}(R^{i,j})\]
for all $j \in J_1$, such that

\[\|\tilde{\Lambda} \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty} \circ \xi_l^i(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \forall f \in \pi_0(F_n^i) \subset B_{n}^{0,i} \text{ and } j \in J_0.\]

\[\|\tilde{\Lambda} \circ \phi_{n+1,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty} \circ \xi_{l+1}^i(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \forall f \in \pi_1(\xi_{n+1}^{i}B_{n+1}^{0,i}(\pi_0(F_n^i))) \subset \tilde{Q}^{i,j} D_{n+1}^{i} B_{n+1}^{i} \text{ and } j \in J_0.\]

\[\|\tilde{\Lambda} \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty} \circ \xi_1^i(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \forall f \in \phi_{n+1}(\pi_0(F_n^i)) \subset \tilde{R}^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{i} \text{ and } j \in J_0.\]

(Warning: The domain of $\xi_0^j$ is $B_{n+1}^{0,i}$ which is a sub-algebra of $B_n$ but not a sub-algebra of $B_{n+1}$. On the other hand, $\xi_1^j(j \in J_0)$ and $\xi_l^i(j \in J_1)$ are homomorphisms from $\tilde{Q}^{i,j} D_{n+1}^{i} \tilde{Q}^{i,j}(j \in J_0)$ and $\tilde{R}^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{i} \tilde{R}^{i,j}(j \in J_1)$ which are subalgebras of $B_{n+1}$).)

The existence of the homomorphisms $\xi_1^j(j \in J_0)$ and $\xi_l^i(j \in J_1)$ follows from the fact that the corresponding domain algebras $\tilde{Q}^{i,j} D_{n+1}^{i} \tilde{Q}^{i,j}(j \in J_0)$ and $\tilde{R}^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{i} \tilde{R}^{i,j}(j \in J_1)$ are stably generated. Of course, we need to choose $l > n_2$ large enough.

So we only need to construct $\xi_0^j$ to satisfy (****) above. Let $G \subseteq G(\mathcal{P})$ and $\delta < \delta(\mathcal{P})$ be as in Lemma 3.5 for $\pi_0(F_n^i)$ and $\varepsilon_n$ (note that $\omega(\pi_0(F_n^i)) < \varepsilon_n$). Recall that $L(\pi_0(F_n^i), \varepsilon_n)$ is also from Lemma 3.5. Recall that $\phi_0 \in \text{Hom}(B_{n+1}^{0,i}, p_0^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{0,i} B_{n+1}^{j,i})$, $\phi_1 \in \text{Hom}(B_{n+1}^{0,i}, p_1^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{j+1,i} B_{n+1}^{j,i})$, and that $\phi_1(B_{n+1}^{0,i})$ is a finite dimensional algebra. There is a homomorphism $\lambda_1 : \phi_1(B_{n+1}^{0,i}) \to \psi_{n_2,i}(P_{1}^{i,j}) A_{1}^{i} \psi_{n_2,i}(P_{1}^{i,j})$ (for $l$ large enough) such that

\[\|\psi_{l,\infty} \circ \lambda_1(f) - \tilde{\Lambda}(\phi_{n,\infty}(f))\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \quad \forall f \in \phi_1(\pi_0(F_n^i)).\]

Applying Lemma 3.4 to the inductive limit, $\lim \{\psi_{n_2,m}(P_{0}^{i,j}) A_{m}^{i} \psi_{n_2,m}(P_{0}^{i,j}) \psi_{m,i}\}$, the finite set $\phi_0(\pi_0(F_n^i)) \subset p_0^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{0,i} B_{n+1}^{j,i}$ and the homomorphism $\tilde{\Lambda} : \phi_{n+1,\infty} : p_0^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{0,i} B_{n+1}^{j,i} \to \psi_{n_2,\infty}(P_{1}^{i,j}) A_{m}^{i} \psi_{n_2,\infty}(P_{1}^{i,j})$, for $l(> n_2)$ large enough, one can obtain a $\phi_0(G) - \delta$ multiplicative quasi-$\mathcal{P}K$ homomorphism $\lambda_0 : p_0^{i,j} B_{n+1}^{0,i} P_{0}^{i,j} \to \psi_{n_2,i}(P_{1}^{i,j}) A_{m}^{i} \psi_{n_2,i}(P_{1}^{i,j})$ such that

\[\|\psi_{l,\infty} \circ \lambda_0(f) - (\tilde{\Lambda} \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f))\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \quad \forall f \in \phi_0(\pi_0(F_n^i)).\]

Let $\xi_0^j = \lambda_0 \circ \phi_0 \oplus \lambda_1 \circ \phi_1 : B_{n}^{0,i} \to \psi_{n_2,i}(P_{1}^{i,j} \oplus P_{1}^{i,j}) A_{m}^{i} \psi_{n_2,i}(P_{1}^{i,j} \oplus P_{1}^{i,j})$. Then

\[\|\tilde{\Lambda} \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty} \circ \xi_0^j(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \quad \forall f \in \pi_0(F_n^i).\]

On the other hand, since $[P_{1}^{i,j}] \geq L(\pi_0(F_n^i), \varepsilon_n) \cdot [P_{0}^{i,j}]$ in K-theory, and $\lambda_1 \circ \phi_1$ is a homomorphism with finite dimensional image, we know that $\xi_0^j = \lambda_0 \circ \phi_0 \oplus \lambda_1 \circ \phi_1$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.6—note that $\lambda_0$ is $\phi_0(G) - \delta$ multiplicative implies that $\lambda_0 \circ \phi_0$ is $G - \delta$ multiplicative. By Lemma 3.6, there is a homomorphism $\xi_0^j : B_{n}^{0,i} \to \psi_{n_2,i}(P_{1}^{i,j} \oplus P_{1}^{i,j}) A_{m}^{i} \psi_{n_2,i}(P_{1}^{i,j} \oplus P_{1}^{i,j})$ such that $\|\xi_0^j(f) - \xi_0^j(f)\| \leq 5\varepsilon_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. Combining with (****) we know that $\xi_0^j$ satisfies (****) as desired, and therefore the lemma is proved.

**Definition 3.9.** A C*-algebra $A$ is said to have the ideal property if each closed two-sided ideal in $A$ is generated by its projections.

**Remark 3.10.** All simple, unital C*-algebras have the ideal property. The direct sum of TAI algebras have the ideal property. The inductive limit of C*-algebras with the ideal property have the ideal property.
The following results is due to Gong, Jiang, Li.

**Proposition 3.11.** ([GJL1]) Suppose that \( A = \lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m}) \) and \( B = \lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m}) \) are two (not necessarily unital) \( \mathcal{AHD} \) inductive limit algebras with the ideal property. Suppose that there is an isomorphism

\[
\alpha : (K(A), K(A)^+, \sum A) \to (K(B), K(B)^+, \sum B)
\]

which is compatible with Bockstein operations. Suppose that for each projection \( p \in A \) and \( \overline{p} \in B \) with \( \alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}] \), there exist a unital positive linear isomorphism

\[
\xi^p : \text{AffT}(pAp) \to \text{AffT}(\overline{p}B\overline{p})
\]

and an isometric group isomorphism

\[
\gamma^p : U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU}(pAp) \to U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU}(\overline{p}B\overline{p})
\]

satisfying the following compatibility conditions:

1. For each pair of projections \( p < q \leq A \) and \( \overline{p} < \overline{q} \leq B \) with \( \alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}], \alpha([q]) = [\overline{q}] \), the diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{AffT}(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\xi^p} & \text{AffT}(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{AffT}(qAq) & \xrightarrow{\xi^q} & \text{AffT}(\overline{q}B\overline{q})
\end{array}
\]

and

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU}(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^p} & U(\overline{p}A\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU}(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U(qAq)/\widetilde{SU}(qAq) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^q} & U(\overline{q}A\overline{q})/\widetilde{SU}(\overline{q}B\overline{q})
\end{array}
\]

commute, where the vertical maps are induced by the inclusion homomorphisms.

2. The maps \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \xi^{p,\overline{p}} \) are compatible, that is, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_0(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{AffT}(pAp) \\
\downarrow_{\alpha_0} & & \downarrow_{\xi^{p,\overline{p}}} \\
K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{AffT}(\overline{p}B\overline{p})
\end{array}
\]

commutes (this is not an extra requirement, since it follows from the commutativity of the first diagram in (1) above by [Ji-Jiang]), and then we have the map (still denoted by \( \xi^{p,\overline{p}} \)):

\[
\xi^{p,\overline{p}} : \text{AffT}(pAp)/\rho K_0(pAp) \to \text{AffT}(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\rho K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p})
\]

3. The map \( \xi^{p,\overline{p}} \) and \( \gamma^{p,\overline{p}} \) are compatible, that is, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{AffT}(pAp)/\rho K_0(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\lambda}_\Lambda} & U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU}(pAp) \\
\downarrow_{\xi^{p,\overline{p}}} & & \downarrow_{\gamma^{p,\overline{p}}} \\
\text{AffT}(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\rho K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\lambda}_\rho} & U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU}(\overline{p}B\overline{p})
\end{array}
\]

(18)
We will construct a sequence of sub-$C^*$-algebras $B_k$ and homomorphisms $\psi_k$ all to $A$. 

Proof. By remark 3.10, if $A$ has the ideal property, we can assume that all the homomorphisms $\phi_{n,m}$ are injective. We will construct a sequence of sub-$C^*$-algebras $B^i_n \subset A^i_n$ which are direct sums of $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}$ building blocks and homomorphisms $\psi_{n,n+1}: B_n = \bigoplus B^i_n \to B_{n+1} = \bigoplus B^i_{n+1}$ such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,2}} & B_2 \\
\downarrow \phi_{1,2} & & \downarrow \phi_{2,3} \\
A_1 & \to & A_2 \\
\end{array}
\]

is approximately commutative in the sense of Elliott and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\infty}(\iota_n(B_n)) = A$.

Let $\{a_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a dense subset of the unit ball of $A_n$ and $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$. Let $G_1 \subset A_1$ be defined by

\[G_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_1} \{a_1(a_{i1})\} \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_1} A^i_1 = A_1 \text{ where } \pi_1 : A_1 \to A^i_1 \text{ are canonical projections.}
\]

Fix $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, t_1\}$, one can write $A^i_1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} (C_n, \xi_{n,m})$ as in Lemma 3.6 with injective homomorphisms $\xi_{n,m}$.
For $\pi_i(G_1) \subset A^1_i$, we choose $n$ large enough such that $\pi_i(G_1) \subset \xi_{n,\infty}(C_n)$. And let $B^i_1 = C_n$ and $F^i_1$ a finite set with $\pi_i(G_1) \subset i(F^i_1)$, where $i$ is the inclusion homomorphism $\xi_{n,\infty}$. Let $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{i_n} B^i_1 \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{i_n} A^1_i$, and $F_1 = \bigoplus F^i_1$.

We will construct the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
F_1 = \bigoplus F^i_1 & F_2 = \bigoplus F^i_2 & F_n = \bigoplus F^i_n \\
\cap & \cap & \cap \\
B_1 = \bigoplus B^i_1 & B_2 = \bigoplus B^i_2 & \cdots \cdots B_n = \bigoplus B^i_n \\
\downarrow \psi_1,2 & \downarrow \psi_2 & \downarrow \psi_n \\
A_1 = \bigoplus A^i_1 & A_2 = \bigoplus A^i_2 & \cdots \cdots A_n = \bigoplus A^i_n \\
\cup & \cup & \cup \\
G_1 = \bigoplus G^i_1 & G_2 = \bigoplus G^i_2 & G_n = \bigoplus G^i_n \\
\end{array}
$$

such that

1. $G_k \subset \epsilon_k i_k(F_k)$, $G_k \supset \phi_{k-1,k}(G_{k-1} \cup \{a_{k-1,k}\}) \cup \{a_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^k$;

2. $\|i_k \circ \psi_{k-1,k}(f) - \phi_{k-1,k} \circ i_{k-1}(f)\| < \epsilon_{k-1}, \quad \forall f \in F_{k-1}$.

The construction will be carried out by induction. Suppose that we have the diagram until $F_n \subset B_n \hookrightarrow A_n \supset G_n$. We will construct the next piece of the diagram.

Let $P^{i,j} = \phi^{i,j}_{i+1,n+1}(A^i_n) \in A^j_{n+1}$. Then $\{P^{i,j}\}_{i=1}^{i_n}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal projections in $A^j_{n+1}$. Apply Corollary 3.7 for $A^j_{n+1}$ in place of $A$ and $\{P^{i,j}\}_{i=1}^{i_n}$ in places of $\{P_i, P_2, \cdots, P_k\}$, we can write $A^j_{n+1} = \lim(C_k, \lambda_{k,i})$ with $P^{i,j}A^j_{n+1} = \lim(Q^{i,j}_k C_k Q^{i,j}_k, \lambda_{k,i}Q^{i,j}_k C_k Q^{i,j}_k)$ (where $\lambda_{k,i}(Q^{i,j}_k) = Q^{i,j}_k \in C_i$) being $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit algebra as described in Lemma 3.6. (Warning: Do not confuse these $C_k$ with the $C_n$ in the expression $A^i_1 = \lim_{n \to \infty}(C_n, \xi_{n,m})$.)

For each pair $i,j$, we apply Lemma 3.8 to the homomorphism $\psi^{i,j}_{i+1,n+1}: A^i_n \rightarrow P^{i,j}A^j_{n+1}P^{i,j}$ in place of $\Lambda: A \rightarrow A$, and $F^n_i \subset B^n_i$ in place of $F \in A_m$, there is an $l$ (large enough) and a homomorphism $\psi^{i,j}_{i+1,n+1}: B^n_i \rightarrow Q^{i,j}_l C_l Q^{i,j}_l$ such that

$$
\|\psi^{i,j}_{i+1,n+1} \circ \iota_n(f) - \iota_{n+1} \circ \psi^{i,j}_{i,n+1}(f)\| < \epsilon \quad \forall f \in F^n_i,
$$

where $\iota_{n+1}Q^{i,j}_l C_l Q^{i,j}_l = \lambda_{i,l}Q^{i,j}_l C_l Q^{i,j}_l$.

Finally choose $G^n_j \supset \pi_j[(G_n \cup \{a_{n,n+1}\}) \cup \{a_{n+1,j}\}_{j=1}^{n+1} \cup \iota_{n+1}(\bigcup_k \psi^{i,j}_{i,n+1}(F^n_k))]$ and $G_{n+1} = \bigoplus G^n_j$.

By increasing $l$, we can assume that there is an $F^{i,j}_{n+1} \subset C_l$ such that $G^n_j \subset \iota_{n+1} F^{i,j}_{n+1}$. Define $B^n_{n+1}$ to be $C_l$ which is a subalgebra of $A^j_{n+1}$. Let $F_{n+1}^j \subset B^j_{n+1}$ be defined by $F^n_{n+1} = F^{i,j}_{n+1} \cup \bigoplus \phi^{i,j}_{i,n+1}(F^n_i)$ and let $F_{n+1} = \bigoplus F^n_{n+1}$. This ends our inductive construction. By the Elliott intertwining argument, $\lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m}) = \lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$. This ends the proof.
Theorem 4.2. Let \( A = \lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m}) \) and \( B = \lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m}) \) be inductive limit algebras with \( A_n \), \( B_n \) being unital separable nuclear simple TAI algebras with UCT. Suppose that there is an isomorphism
\[
\alpha : \left( K(A), K(A)^+, \sum A \right) \to \left( K(B), K(B)^+, \sum B \right)
\]
which is compatible with Bockstein operations. Suppose that for each projection \( p \in A \) and \( \overline{p} \in B \) with \( \alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}] \), there exist a unital positive linear isomorphism \( \xi_{p, \overline{p}} : \text{Aff}_T(pA_p) \to \text{Aff}_T(pB_p) \) and an isometric group isomorphism \( \gamma_{p, \overline{p}} : U(pA_p)/\widetilde{SU}(pA_p) \to U(pB_p)/\widetilde{SU}(pB_p) \) satisfying the following compatibility conditions:

1. For each pair of projections \( p < q \in A \) and \( \overline{p} < \overline{q} \in B \) with \( \alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}], \alpha([q]) = [\overline{q}] \), the diagrams
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Aff}_T(pA_p) & \xrightarrow{\xi_{p, \overline{p}}} \text{Aff}_T(pB_p) \\
\downarrow & \\
\text{Aff}_T(qA_q) & \xrightarrow{\xi_{q, \overline{q}}} \text{Aff}_T(qB_q)
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
U(pA_p)/\widetilde{SU}(pA_p) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{p, \overline{p}}} U(pB_p)/\widetilde{SU}(pB_p) \\
\downarrow & \\
U(qA_q)/\widetilde{SU}(qA_q) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{q, \overline{q}}} U(qB_q)/\widetilde{SU}(qB_q)
\end{align*}
\]
commute, where the vertical maps are induced by the inclusion homomorphisms.

2. The maps \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \xi_{p, \overline{p}} \) are compatible, that is, the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_0(pA_p) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{Aff}_T(pA_p) \\
\alpha_0 & & \xi_{p, \overline{p}} \\
K_0(pB_p) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{Aff}_T(pB_p)
\end{array}
\]
commutes (this is not an extra requirement, since it follows from the commutativity of the first diagram in (1) above by [Ji-Jiang]), and then we have the map (still denoted by \( \xi_{p, \overline{p}} \)):
\[
\xi_{p, \overline{p}} : \text{Aff}_T(pA_p)/\rho K_0(pA_p) \to \text{Aff}_T(pB_p)/\rho K_0(pB_p)
\]

3. The map \( \xi_{p, \overline{p}} \) and \( \gamma_{p, \overline{p}} \) are compatible, that is, the diagram
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Aff}_T(pA_p)/\rho K_0(pA_p) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} U(pA_p)/\widetilde{SU}(pA_p) \\
\xi_{p, \overline{p}} & \quad \quad \gamma_{p, \overline{p}} \\
\text{Aff}_T(pB_p)/\rho K_0(pB_p) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_B} U(pB_p)/\widetilde{SU}(pB_p)
\end{align*}
\]
commutes.

4. The map \( \alpha_1 : K_1(pA_p)/\text{tor} K_1(pA_p) \to K_1(pB_p)/\text{tor} K_1(pB_p) \) (note that \( \alpha \) keeps the positive cone of \( K(A)^+ \) and therefore takes \( K_1(pA_p) \subset K_1(A) \) to \( K_1(pB_p) \subset K_1(B) \) is compatible with \( \gamma_{p, \overline{p}} \), that is, the
diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U(pAp)/SU(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_{pAp}} & K_1(pAp)/\text{tor}K_1(pAp) \\
\gamma_{pBp} & \downarrow & \\
U(pBp)/SU(pBp) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_{pBp}} & K_1(pBp)/\text{tor}K_1(pBp)
\end{array}
\]
commutes.

Then there is an isomorphism \( \Gamma : A \rightarrow B \) such that
(a) \( K(\Gamma) = \alpha \), and
(b) If \( \Gamma_p : pAp \rightarrow \Gamma(p)B\Gamma(p) \) is the restriction of \( \Gamma \), then \( Aff\Gamma(p)(K) = \xi_{p,q} \) and \( \Gamma_p^q = \gamma_p^q \), where \( [p] = [\Gamma(p)] \).

**Proof.** It follows from the fact of Theorem 4.1 that all ATAI algebras are \( \mathcal{AH}D \) algebras with ideal property and Proposition 3.11 ([GJL1]) that all \( \mathcal{AH}D \) algebras with ideal property are classified by \( Inv(A) \).

\( \square \)

**Corollary 4.3.** (A) ([Jiang1], Theorem 3.11) Let \( A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigoplus A^n_i, \phi_{n,m} \) and \( B = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = \bigoplus B^n_i, \psi_{n,m} \) be inductive limits with \( A_i^n, B_i^n \) being unital separable nuclear simple TAI algebras with UCT and torsion \( K_1 \)-group. Assume that there is an isomorphism \( \alpha \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(K(A), K(B)) \) such that
\[
\alpha(K(A)^+) = K(B)^+, \quad \alpha(\sum A) = \sum B.
\]
and for each pair of projections \( p \in A, q \in B \) with \( \alpha([p]) = [q] \), there is a continuous affine homomorphism
\[
\xi_{p,q} : T(qBq) \rightarrow T(pAp)
\]
which is compatible in the sense of 2.19, then there is an isomorphism \( \Lambda : A \rightarrow B \) which induces \( \alpha \) and \( \xi \) above.

(B) ([Fa]) Let \( A \) and \( B \) be two ATAF algebras. Suppose that is an isomorphism of ordered groups
\[
\alpha : (K(A), K(A)^+, \sum A) \rightarrow (K(B), K(B)^+, \sum B)
\]
which preserves the action of the Bockstein operations. Then there is a \(*\)-isomorphism \( \varphi : A \rightarrow B \) with \( \varphi_* = \alpha \).

**Proof.** (A) It follows from the fact that \( K_1(A) = \text{tor}K_1(A) \), \( K_1(B) = \text{tor}K_1(B) \) and Proposition 3.10 ([GJL2, Proposition 2.38]).

(B) From Theorem 4.1, if \( A \) is an ATAF \( C^*\)-algebra, then \( A \) is an \( \mathcal{AH}D \) algebra of real rank zero which is classified in [DG] (Note that \( \mathcal{AH}D \) algebra in [DG] is denoted by \( \text{ASH} \) algebra).

\( \square \)
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