Simultaneous Eulerian-Lagrangian velocity measurements of particulate pipe flow in transitional regime
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Abstract We present simultaneous particle tracking and flow velocity measurements in a dilute, neutrally buoyant particulate pipe flow in regimes of transition to turbulence. The flow consists of solid glass spheres for the disperse phase, and a density-matching fluid for the carrier phase. The measurements are conducted using a bespoke, combined 2D PIV/PTV technique. The technique takes advantage of a phase discrimination approach that involves separating the disperse and carrier phases based on their respective image characteristics. Our results show that the technique can be employed to study transitional particulate pipe flows provided the challenges associated with the measurement systems are addressed sufficiently.
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1 Introduction

Adding particles, even in moderate amounts to an otherwise Newtonian flow can drastically alter its global properties. For instance, they are commonly added into pipes to reduce drag in applications ranging from firefighting to industrial processes [13]. While in some cases, particulate flows seem to transition to turbulence at higher Reynolds numbers than their single phase counterpart, the underlying mechanisms are not understood. One of the reasons is the lack of experiments targeting the transitional regimes, where both fluid and particles can be tracked simultaneously and separately. The purpose of this work is precisely to address this gap, in the canonical case of particulate pipe flows and neutrally buoyant particles.

Transition in particulate pipe flow is much more complex than in single phase flow in that it is not only determined by the flow rate but also the particle size and concentration. Despite wide applications in industries such as oil and gas or chemical and food processing, it has not been studied extensively. Early experiments on the transition to turbulence in a pipe highlighted a critical volume fraction of particles below which they favoured the transition at a lower Reynolds number. At higher volume fractions, by contrast, this effect was reversed [25]. Recent numerical simulations based on accurate modelling of individual solid particles recovered this phenomenology for pipe [43] and channel flows [39]. Between very small particles that passively trace the flow and large ones which ignore it, there is an intermediate optimal size of particles which have the greatest effect on the flow. Here, minimal additional physics needs to be included into the problem to greatly alter the linear growth of modal and non-modal perturbations [12, 26, 24, 21] to the point of making pipe flows linearly unstable [13].

Several experiments [8, 32, 29] have provided a more quantitative description of the dynamics of transition in single phase pipe flow, and its flow structures during the transitional phase [15, 42, 41]. A more detailed review of some of the experiments in pipe flows can be found here [28]. The main feature of the pipe flow problem is the sub-critical nature of its transition to turbulence that makes it highly sensitive to external disturbances. As far as experiments are concerned, extreme care is needed to keep out unwanted perturbations and introduce only controlled ones, so as to precisely identify the conditions of the transition. In regards to experiments in particulate pipe flow, the only available experiment is by [25] where they quantified transition by pressure drop measurements. However, no flow velocity measurements are available for neutrally buoyant, particulate pipe flow in the transitional regimes. The regimes considered by [13] that are...
suggested of possible instability are also not explored. Measuring the velocities of both the fluid and the particles by optical means require two distinct techniques. Using Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV), the instantaneous whole field velocity (Eulerian) of single phase can be measured. In particle-laden flow, by contrast, a low image density technique such as Particle Tracking Velocimetry (PTV) typically provides the Lagrangian velocity of the disperse phase velocity. However, these techniques become quite challenging when implemented simultaneously. A moderate concentration of discrete particles leads to an overload of the optical images that incurs restrictions, imposed by concentration of discrete particles. The difficulty in distinguishing the signals from each phase often causes false positive cross correlation between them, also known as “cross-talk” [23].

To circumvent this issue, we propose to take advantage of a phase discrimination method to separate the phases by dynamic masking. In this method, image thresholding is used to identify the disperse phase which subsequently generates the disperse phase velocities. For the fluid phase velocity, the identified disperse phase undergoes dynamic masking before the PIV processing techniques. The details of this method are elaborated further in Sect. 3.3. The main challenge in this approach is to accurately discriminate between the dispersed phase and the carrier phase. In the past, this has been tackled in several ways: [33] used fluorescent particle tagging to optically separate the signal between the fluorescent tracer and particles; [17, 18], and [21] separated the phases by image processing based on the relative sizes and optical scattering of the tracers and particles; [6] expanded on previous work of [17] by implementing versatile image filters; [12] used combination of both the methods to study turbulent particle-laden flow, whereas [10] applied ensemble correlation algorithm. Instead, we propose to distinguish particles and carrier phase through their respective brightness, by a careful choice of PIV tracers and particles, thus eliminating the need for additional tagging.

The algorithms themselves raise a number of difficulties: [31, 16] and [7] detect particles by brightness threshold which often results in errors due to out-of-plane motion loss [1]. Additionally, generating individual logical masks (dynamic masking) over each frames pose difficulties when the particles and tracers have small contrast, and if the uneven illuminations cause inhomogenous background which cannot be removed with background subtraction [5]. Such issues can be mitigated by evenly illuminating the plane with a thin light sheet and using a shallow depth of field. Failing that, one can resort to recent advanced techniques [2, 24]. However, in our experiment we know the particle shape a priori, hence an iterative process is sufficient to mask the particles without implementing costly algorithm.

In the case of particle-laden pipe flow, previous experimental works used a combination of PIV/PTV methods: [23] performed Lagrangian measurements to investigate turbulence modification due to large particles in high Reynolds number regimes. They used morphological image processing (erosion and dilation) to remove the solid particles before processing the PIV and PTV using the Digiflow software [8]. As an alternative [38], measured Eulerian particle-fluid velocities in a vertical pipe in a recirculating slurry loop by applying a circular mask created in MATLAB to separate phases before performing PIV & PTV. This technique is useful if the shape of the particles cannot be identified directly from the image, an issue that does not arise in our case. In addition to the 2D PIV/PTV techniques discussed earlier, recent advances in 3D PTV provided access to Lagrangian velocity and acceleration statistics of fluid and inertial particles in pipe flow at Re= 10,300 [40]. However, all the particle laden pipe flow studies discussed above investigated turbulent flows of industrial nature. To the best of our knowledge, velocity measurements for the transitional regime in particle laden flow are not available. Experiments of this nature with non-linear response to finite perturbations require a carefully controlled rig design with minimal experimental and ambient perturbation.

In this context, we focus on Eulerian and Lagrangian velocity measurements conducted in a neutrally buoyant, particulate flow in a circular, horizontal pipe where both the experimental constraints imposed by transitional flows and those of two-phase velocity mapping are met. The measurements are obtained by separating the dispersed and carrier phases using image brightness, and applying a combined 2D particle image/tracking velocimetry (PIV/PTV) to map the flow fields. The paper begins with a description of the two-phase facility, highlighting the design elements adopted from previous experiments for a precise control of experimental parameters (section 2), followed by presentation of the PIV/PTV measurement technique, including the steps involved in image processing and calibration to obtain the velocity fields (section 2.4). Finally, velocity measurements for both the solid and fluid phases are presented in Sect. 3.2.

2 The two phase pipe facility

2.1 Description

The rig consists of an assembly of glass pipes through which fluid and particles flow at a constant mass flux. The reason for this choice is two-fold: First, this arrangement is capable of setting the flow velocity in a more controlled manner compared to pressure-driven systems [9]. Second, it avoids the sort of pressure fluctuations that prevents the accurate setting of flow rate [25]. This point is crucial as we are interested in transitional flows, which are likely to be sensitive
to finite amplitude perturbations. This constraint imposes a very controlled, and precise design such that the disturbances introduced from the experimental rig are kept to a minimum. For these reasons, the rig functions as an open loop: the pipe is fed by a header tank and both fluid and particles are pulled through it by a piston whose motion is controlled by a linear motor. We shall describe the details of its design, manufacture and performance. The key features of the facility are listed in Table 1.

Pipe section and its assembly. The glass pipe has been assembled in 10 sections of cylindrical borosilicate glass tubes (GPE Scientific Ltd.). Each section is 1.2 m (±0.01 mm) in length with an inner bore diameter \( D = 20.0 \pm 0.01 \text{ mm} \), and a wall thickness of 3.1 \( \pm 0.03 \text{ mm} \). This configuration provides a ratio of the effective length of the pipe \( L \) to diameter \( D \), \( L/D = 6.15 \). Prior to their assembly, variation in concentricity of the pipe diameters were measured within a range of \( \pm 10-30 \mu \text{m} \) by means of a 3-axis coordinate measuring machine, by mapping the maxima and minima of the inner and outer diameters of each pipe sections. This was taken as a tolerance limit for the manufacture of machined-to-fit Aluminium male/ female flanges that served as unions between the pipe sections. The flanges were attached by pouring epoxy rubber to the pipe ends after carefully positioning them in a vertical jig on a rigid table. The jig consisted of a mandrel which ensured that both the pipe end, and the flanges were concentric, whereas the pourable rubber served to compensate for any expansion/contraction arising from temperature variations. The flange design and the assembly method were inspired from the Very Large Scale Pipe Flow (VLSPF) facility at the University of Liverpool [1].

Using selective assembly these pipe sections with unions attached were joined in a tight fit ranging from 10-30 \( \mu \text{m} \). Male and female unions are separated by a rubber O-ring and PTFE tape to prevent any potential leak. To maintain the overall end-to-end straightness and horizontality of the pipe assembly, a target tool and a self-leveling LASER (Bosch) were used. The precision of the assembly is such that the LASER fired at one end of the long pipe assembly hits a target dot of 3 mm diameter within \( \pm 0.5 \text{ mm} \) at the other end. The entire assembly rests on Aluminium profiles, with each unions held by rubber reinforced clamps supported on adjustable threaded screws. This arrangement ensures that the overall horizontality of the entire rig is not affected by any slope in the floor, in addition to providing an isolation from vibrations emanating from the ground. Moreover, a gutter system is in place all along the rig to contain any potential spillage of the working fluid. The pipe is fed by a rectangular header tank made of perspex with an inner volume of 62 l. A custom-made bell-mouth shaped inlet is attached to a shorter pipe section of 0.30 m in length. This inlet is placed at the centre of the header tank (0.25 m×0.25 m×1 m) so as to minimise any perturbations from the inlet walls, and joined to the rest of pipe sections through a flange on the tank wall.

Working fluid and solid/liquid phases. The liquid and solid phases are a critical element of the rig that must satisfy combined optical and mechanical constraints: First, the liquid must be transparent to allow for optical measurement techniques and the particles must be sufficiently reflective not only to be detectable, but also distinguishable from the tracers used to measure the fluid velocity measurements. Second, fluid and particles must have matched density to cancel any buoyancy force. To satisfy both conditions, we chose an aqueous solution of Sodium Polytungstate (TC-Tungsten Compounds GmbH), obtained by diluting crystals in deionised water. The main advantage of this choice is that the fluid’s density can be adjusted to match the density of the solid phase with an accuracy of \( 1 \text{ kg/m}^3 \), simply by changing the dilution.

For the purpose of our experiment, the solution has the following properties: density, \( \rho_f = 2500 \text{ Kg/m}^3 \); dynamic viscosity, \( \eta = 11-14 \pm 0.001 \text{ mPas} \) (at room temperature, \( T_r = 17^{\circ}-21^{\circ} \)), and refractive index, \( n = 1.52 \). The fluid is Newtonian with sufficient optical transparency for PIV techniques.

However, the fluid undergoes a reduction reaction when in contact with any base metals (exception: Stainless steel, and some grade of Aluminium), resulting in discoloration which prevents optical measurements. To avoid this issue, extreme care has been taken in choosing the materials in such a way that the fluid only comes in direct contact with glass, perspex, rubber material and anodised Aluminium parts.

The density of the fluid has been adjusted to match that of standard spherical, monodisperse glass beads used for the solid phase (density \( \rho_p = 2500 \text{ Kg/m}^3 \), \( n = 1.50 \), Boud Minerals Ltd.), to achieve neutral buoyancy. The glass beads are graded using ISO standard sieves into required sizes (diameter, \( d_p =150-400 \mu \text{m} \pm 10 \mu \text{m} \)) and introduced in the fluid at a controlled volume fraction \( C \) ranging between \( 10^{-6} \) and \( 10^{-3} \). This simple methodology makes available to us monodisperse particles with a precisely controlled diameter in large enough quantities to fill the entire volume of the rig (62 l), at a reasonable cost. Furthermore, the close match between the refractive index of the fluid and glass beads could potentially allow measurements at higher volume fraction otherwise inaccessible to typical PIV measurements [40].

Finally, for the purpose of optical measurements, the liquid is also seeded with smaller sized (\( d_t = 32-38 \mu \text{m} \)), silver-coated hollow glass microspheres of nearly the same density, \( \rho_m = 2580 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) as working fluid. These act as tracers passively following the fluid phase. The low settling velocity of the tracers ensures that they remain in suspension for hours.
Constant mass flux system. To ensure a constant mass flux, the fluid is drawn in by a system that consists of a modified pneumatic cylinder (Camozzi), 1 m in length and 0.20 m in inner diameter. The stainless steel piston of this cylinder is driven by an actuation system that is made of a lead-screw (IGUS, stroke length: 1.250 m, pitch: 5 mm), coupled to a DC motor (Oriental Motor, 200 W, Max. rated speed: 3000 RPM, Gear ratio: 20) with a dedicated speed controller (Oriental Motor, BLE2 series). The actuation system precisely sets the flowrate (±1 RPM), which is also independently monitored by means of an Electromagnetic flowmeter (OMEGA, 5 to 250 l/min ± (1.5% of reading + 0.3% of range)). In addition, the rotation of the leadscrew is monitored using an encoder to ensure that the variations in linear velocity are well below 1%.

Perturbation system. To study the effects of finite amplitude perturbations on the stability of the flow, a perturbation generator has been devised that injects a small volume of fluid (~1% of total mass flow) to the baseflow \[\frac{\Delta \rho v^3}{\rho v^2} \leq 1\%\]. The system consists of an inlet (30 mm long, borosilicate glass inlet tube of inner bore of 2.2 mm) seamlessly attached on top mid-section of one of the pipe sections. The perturbation is a jet of fluid which is injected by a syringe coupled to a lead screw that is driven by a stepper motor. The fluid volume to be injected is extracted from the pipe during the syringe’s retraction, and replenished in-between experiment runs. This is to ensure that the particle and tracer concentration remain constant. The jet is introduced at a distance of 225D downstream from the inlet. In all experiments, the flow is well established at that point.

Table 1 Summary of the physical features of the rig.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>20 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td></td>
<td>Borosilicate glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>Fluid phase</td>
<td>Sodium Polytungstate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid phase</td>
<td></td>
<td>Solid Glass beads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Silver-coated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2540 Kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>32-38 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Control parameters

The flow is determined by three non-dimensional control parameters: The Reynolds number \(Re = \frac{U_p D}{\nu}\), represents the ratio of inertia to viscous forces at the pipe scale in the fluid phase. Here, the velocity \(U_p\) is taken as the bulk fluid velocity in the pipe. The other two numbers characterise the particles and their interaction with the fluid phase: the Stokes number, \(St = \frac{\tau_p}{\tau_c}\), measures the ratio of the particle’s relaxation time under the effect of drag, \(\tau_p = \frac{\rho_p d_p^2}{18\eta}\), and fluid’s advection time \(\tau_c = \frac{D}{U_p}\). Lastly, the ratio of the total particle volume in the pipe to the working volume of the fluid yields the volume fraction of the particles \(C\). These parameters are independently controlled in the experiment, by controlling the total flowrate (Re), particle diameter (St) and the amount of particles seeded in the flow (C). The range of accessible Reynolds numbers is determined by the minimum and maximum velocity of the piston and the maximum acquisition frequency of the optical system. In theory, the Stokes number can be adjusted to any value between micro-metric particles to spheres as large as the pipe allows. The concentration, on the other hand is limited by the reduction in optical visibility that occurs at high concentrations. The effect is mitigated by the near-identical refractive indices of the fluid and the glass beads. However, our combined PIV-PTV system does not operate at concentrations greater than 0.1%. A summary of the range of physical and non-dimensional parameters is provided in Table 2.

Table 2 Range of Physical and non-dimensional control parameters currently accessible in the rig.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re</th>
<th>800-5060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td>0.001-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>10⁻⁶-10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U_p) (m/s)</td>
<td>0.21-1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_p) (μm)</td>
<td>150-500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Measurement system: PIV/PTV

3.1 Optical system

As discussed in the introduction (see Sect[1]), simultaneous measurement of fluid/solid velocities in particle-laden flow is challenging due to presence of two sources of optical signals: tracers (used for the measurement of fluid velocity) and particles made of glass beads. Accurately separating the phases and measuring their respective velocities require a specific design of the optical measurement system. Consequently, a bespoke system has been devised to map the Eulerian and Lagrangian velocities of the carrier fluid and the solid particles. Eulerian velocities are obtained by PIV while Lagrangian velocities are obtained by PTV. For the measurement technique, a continuous wave (CW) LASER (OdicForce, 1 W) is used to generate a thin LASER light sheet (Thickness= 1 mm) with combination of a concave, a convex, and a cylindrical lens). Both phases are illuminated in a longitudinal and a transversal planes, as shown in Fig 2. Successive images of the longitudinal plane are recorded by a camera (Photron Mini AX100) that can operate at 4000

\[\text{Stokes number, } St = \frac{\tau_p}{\tau_c}\]
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Fig. 1 A schematic diagram (front view) of the rig. (1) Reservoir, (2) Bell-mouth shaped inlet, (3) Flange, (4) Pipe sections held by unions on supports, (5) Flexible tube with a venturi, (6) Piston-Cylinder arrangement, (7) Lead screw, (8) Motor, (9) Adjustable Aluminium profile resting on concrete floor. All dimensions are in m. Drawing not to scale.

Hz at a full resolution of $1,024 \times 1,024$ pixel, coupled with that of a macro lens (Tokina) with a shallow depth of field ($f$–number: 4). Transversal plane measurements (after perspective correction) are planned to study preferential concentration [26] solely. For velocity measurements in that orientation, a stereoscopic PIV system would be required. Some of the salient parameters of the PIV system are listed in Table 3.

For an accurate measurement, the distortion of the images due to the curved walls of the pipe; hidden regions, multiple images and spurious displacement [15] has to be avoided. To mitigate this, the measurement pipe section is immersed in a rectangular tank of 0.2 m length, 0.040 m height and 0.060 m depth filled with the working fluid. The density of the fluid in the visualisation box is accurately matched to that inside the pipe. This minimises any refractive distortion coming from the interface.

Table 3 Some important parameters of the PIV system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light sheet LASER type</td>
<td>CW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>1 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>532 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera Type</td>
<td>CMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>$1,024 \times 1,024$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel size</td>
<td>$20 \mu m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame rate</td>
<td>2000–4000 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens focal length</td>
<td>100 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging $f$–number</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing area</td>
<td>$0.027 \times 0.027$ m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Magnification (PMAG)</td>
<td>0.40X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIV analysis Interogation area (IA)</td>
<td>$32 \times 32$ px</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap IA</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (approx.)</td>
<td>$0.84 \times 0.84$ mm$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Calibration.

Accurate calibration is required to translate distances measured in pixel in the image into actual physical distances. For this, a calibration tool with the same width as the diameter of the pipe consisting of a lattice of 110 dots (diameter 1 mm) is used for calibration. This tool is inserted from the inlet end using flexible plastic rods, and accurately aligned with
3.3 Image Processing

Due to the differences in sizes and optical properties between the particles and the tracers [18], a phase discrimination method is first applied to detect and separate the two phases using a tracking algorithm adapted from the work by [16]. Following the separation, the PIV & PTV techniques can be applied. The image processing involves the following steps as shown in the flowchart (Fig.5):

1. Removal of image distortions arising from background noise, uneven illumination and specular reflections; the steady background images are removed by subtracting the global pixel mean of all images;

2. Detection of solid particles (initial estimate) by setting a brightness threshold i.e., a local high intensity maxima in the restored images, only the brightest “blobs” that differ from the background contrast by a prescribed brightness threshold are retained. In addition, the location of the centres of particles is determined by fitting two 1D Gaussian Estimator to the adjacent pixels, one for the horizontal position, the other for the vertical po-

3. The solid particles detected in step (1) are accepted for tracking only if they are within the maximum displacement threshold i.e., a search window within which a detected particle is allowed to move in the subsequent image. This generates an estimate for the measured particle position in \( x \) and \( y \) in each images. The tracks of detected particles are matched using a predictive three-frame best-estimate algorithm. This algorithm initially takes two image pairs and uses nearest neighbour search to initiate linking of tracks. The tracks in subsequent frames are approximated using three image frames. Assuming least change in velocity in subsequent frames as the optimal solution, particles that remain within the specified search window are accepted as valid Lagrangian data. The Lagrangian data is then filtered using a high-pass filter, and differentiated in time to generate time series of positions and velocities of tracked solid particles. The algorithm computes the time derivatives by convolution with a Gaussian smoothing and differentiating kernel using the method proposed by [27]. In practice, this process is iterated to improve accuracy. The tracked particle images are overlayed to interactively determine the particle detection as shown in Fig.4. Depending on the number of particle detection per frame and their mean track length, the parameters are varied for a good data yield. This algorithm handles overlapping particles by ending tracks at point of overlap, and starting new tracks. This increases the data yield compared to an algorithm which cancels conflicting tracks. More algorithmic details of the tracking code can be found here [31].

4. Finally, the liquid phase is separated from the solid phase by dynamic masking. Logical masks using the \( x \) and \( y \) co-ordinates of the particles detected in step (3) in each frames are set to the background image pixel, hence keeping the images with tracers only. The particles are masked by circles of the same size as the particles, chosen iteratively. These circles are generated using a MATLAB function, and have same grey pixel as the background. The masked images are then processed using standard PIV data analysis software (DaVis) to obtain the fluid velocity field. During the DaVis processing, the images are removed of any specular reflections by background subtraction. This step is followed by PIV processing wherein the images are cross-correlated in 4 passes starting with 128×128 px interrogation window with 0% overlap, and ending in a final pass of a 32×32 px window with an overlap of 75%. The vectors with a dispersion of more than 2.5 standard deviation are iteratively removed and replaced. Additionally, a 1×1 me-
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... filter is used to remove noise. Using the methodology proposed by [37], DaVis computes the PIV uncertainty quantification of statistical quantities. For the time-averaged fluid velocity measurements, the disparity from perfect cross-correlation was found to be within a range of 5-8% (based on mean velocities). To perform advance statistics, the PIVMat Toolbox is used.

An example of the process is shown in Fig. 6, for $Re = 1144, C = 10^{-5}$ and $St = 0.003$. At this low concentration, only a few bright blobs representing the solid phases are visible as seen in Fig.6(1). The velocity fields obtained for the fluid and the dispersed phase are shown in Fig6(2) and (3) respectively. The combined snapshot of PIV/PTV results can be seen in Fig.6(4).

3.4 Experimental procedure

The fluid is mixed with tracers and glass beads, and stirred within the header tank. The piston is activated in alternative directions few times so as to homogeneously mix the suspension. Both particles and tracers remain in suspension for hours due to their practically zero settling velocity. There is an idling time of about 20 min before every experimental run to allow the fluid to become quiescent. This process is determined empirically, the idling time is longer after runs at high Re.

For our measurements, the Reynolds number was in the range $Re = 800-5060$ and the solid particle concentration was set to $C = 10^{-5}$. The LASER sheet was oriented in longitudinal plane and the viewing area was approximately $0.027 \times 0.027$ m$^2$. The PIV images were taken during the pull motion of the piston. The image acquisition was triggered typically after 20 s of experimental run, and lasted for 5-10 s. The images are saved in a hard disk drive (HDD) in full depth, Raw image format which is later exported as 8-bit TIFF files for image processing. A typical acquisition generates a data of 39 GB, consisting of 21,841 images.

3.5 Validation of the processing technique on synthetic images

To estimate the PTV tracking error, synthetic images were used. DaVis was used to generate 4096 synthetic images of $1024 \times 1042$ px with randomly distributed particles of 2 px in sizes. The particles had a Gaussian intensity profile, and the seeding density was 0.001 particles per pixel (ppp). In addition, an additive Gaussian noise (25%) was added to the images, and the particles were given a background laminar flow (shift of 5-10 px) for which a reference velocity field was generated. A sample synthetic image is shown in Fig.7.

The PTV tracking algorithm was run on these synthetic images. Taking the reference velocity field as the ideal case, the discrepancies in velocity field generated by the PTV was computed. The L2 norm of the error is plotted in Fig. 8, along with the reference streamwise velocity profile, and the streamwise velocity profile using PTV algorithm respectively. The velocities are normalised by the respective centreline velocity, $U_0$. The tracking algorithm follows the reference velocity profile with a maximum error of 0.34 pixel. The slight non-smoothness of the PTV profile is characteristic of Lagrangian data when they are averaged over smaller bins. This is typically improved by averaging over wider bins, or by gathering more data points. In real experiments, the PTV algorithm is run on 21k images; suggesting that the error is further minimised.

4 Profiles of fluid and particles velocities

4.1 Single phase flow

The pipe flow was first characterised by a single phase experiment with the heavy fluid seeded with tracers only. PIV measurements were performed in the longitudinal plane, for values of Reynolds spanning $Re = 1204-5060$, so as to cover both laminar and turbulent regimes. No perturbation was applied. The mean streamwise velocity measurement obtained is shown in Fig.10. For $Re \leq 2800$, the time-averaged streamwise component of the fluid velocity, $U$, shows a typical Hagen-Poiseuille parabolic flow profile, in good agreement with the expected theoretical profile. The profiles with $Re = 3726$ and $Re = 5060$ show a flatter profile, closer to what is expected from a turbulent flow. Inspection of the time-dependent flow-field reveals that intermittent burst of turbu-
Fig. 5 Schematic representation of the procedure to measure both the Lagrangian velocity of particles and the Eulerian fluid velocities from the same set of images. The axes of the figures are in pixel [px].

4.2 Two-phase flow

Next, we investigated a two phase flow at low concentration $C = 10^{-5}$, $St = 0.01-0.001$ for a range of Reynolds numbers spanning $Re = 800-3800$. The average velocity profiles of both the fluid, and the solid phases are shown in Fig. 11. Both are very close to the laminar Poiseuille profile. This result is expected since at the current low particle load factor, the influence of the solid particles on the flow is negligible. Hence, the fluid and the particles are only coupled one-way, with the solid particles acting as tracers [25, 35]. A snapshot of the velocity norm, $v$ (scaled by $U$) of the particulate flow at $Re = 3575$ is shown in Fig. 12, with an accompanying video (Online Resource 2). Both the fluid and the particles follow the streamline suggesting that the flow is laminar at $Re = 3575$, unlike the single phase at the same Reynolds number.
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Fig. 6 From top to bottom. (1) A cropped image (450 × 450 px) of a typical PIV/PTV image. The tracked solid particles are shown in red circles, (2) Vector map for the separated fluid phase, (3) Vector map for the corresponding solid phase and (4) Full field map of particle and fluid velocities. The axes are scaled by pipe radius $R$.

Fig. 7 A sample of cropped synthetic image (450 × 450 px) generated by DaVis is shown.

Fig. 8 Profiles of streamwise velocity, $U$ scaled by $U_0$, against radial distance $r$ scaled by pipe radius $R$, generated using the reference velocity field from DaVis, and by the PTV algorithm respectively. The L2 norm of the error is shown as well.

4.3 Statistical convergence

We also performed a convergence test by analysing the mean and RMS quantities of fluid velocity field of the most representative experiment ($Re=3000$). This test gives an indication of relative error and how well the data has converged. The relative error typically shows a rapid drop, reaching a relative error of the order of $10^{-4}$. An example of convergence plot is shown in Fig. 13.

5 Summary and conclusions

We have presented a unique pipe flow facility with a novel optical measurement system, built in the express purpose...
of studying the transition to turbulence in particulate pipe flows. To this end, we have presented the first-ever simultaneous Eulerian-Lagrangian fluid-particle velocity measurements for very dilute ($C \approx 10^{-5}$), particulate pipe flow undergoing transition to turbulence within a range of $Re \in [800 \text{ – } 3575]$. In addition, we have also demonstrated how image separation technique, and an existing PTV tracking algorithm can be adapted to form a novel combined 2D PIV/PTV technique. The masking and phase discrimination technique we implemented is capable of successfully separating the phases. Moreover, the PTV algorithm performs well with negligible tracking error. The experimental facility was shown to retain an undisturbed Poiseuille flow for $Re$ up to 3000. On the other hand, the PIV/PTV measurement system effectively captures known particle dynamics at low $C$.

These observations provide a validation of the experimental facility and the measurement system respectively for the future characterisation of transitional particulate pipe flows. The present technique nevertheless has certain limitations: it does not work with high particle concentrations when particles obscure each other such that the linking of PTV tracks become erroneous (particle concentration of the order of $10^{-2}$). Additionally, trajectories linking is possible only when single time step displacements of particles are reasonably small. This can be well mitigated by means of a high-speed camera. Similarly, for the PIV, images with more masked regions will render false velocity correlations. In principle, in planar PIV measurements these challenges can only be addressed to a certain extent by careful refractive index matching, or by adopting combinations of other existing algorithms.
Fig. 13 A plot of the relative error of mean and RMS velocity quantities, $\delta x$ against the data points $N_s$ is shown.

For higher concentration measurements, 3D PIV/PTV or advanced techniques such as positron emission particle tracking have to be employed. However, since our research does not aim to look at the effects of higher particle concentration; the present experimental facility with its unique measurement system is well suited to address the question of how a dilute-to-moderate concentration of particles affects the transition in pipe flows.
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