Quantum interface for noble-gas spins
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Macroscopic quantum systems typically suffer from rapid loss of coherence via coupling to the environment. An ensemble of noble-gas nuclear spins is a unique isolated system that could maintain its coherence for many hours at room temperature and above. However, this isolation is a mixed blessing, impeding the coherent interfacing of noble-gas spins with other quantum systems. Here we show that spin-exchange collisions with alkali-metal atoms provide for such a quantum interface. We formulate the many-body theory of the hybrid system and reveal a collective mechanism that can strongly couple the macroscopic quantum states of the two spin gases. Despite their stochastic and random nature, these weak collisions enable entanglement and reversible exchange of non-classical states between the ensembles in an efficient, controllable, and deterministic manner. We outline feasible experimental parameters for reaching the strong-coupling regime, paving the way towards hour-long quantum memories and entanglement at room-temperature.

Macroscopic systems exhibiting quantum behavior at or above room temperature are of great scientific interest. One such prominent system is a vapor of alkali-metal atoms, such as rubidium or potassium, enclosed in a vacuum cell. With as many as $10^{14}$ hot atoms, undergoing rapid thermal motion and constantly interacting via collisions, the manifestation of quantum effects is staggering. In these systems, the natural quantum observables are formed by collective states, comprising the electronic and nuclear spins, and potentially the electronic orbital excitations of the whole atomic ensemble. The collective electronic spin in the ground-level orbital is the longest-lived, as it is the least sensitive to spontaneous decay and collisions. Consequently, it has been used to demonstrate quantum spin squeezing, control of single excitation quanta, and entanglement at room temperature. The coherence time of the collective spin in these studies was limited to a few milliseconds due to the coupling of the electron spins to the surroundings.

Odd isotopes of noble gases, such as $^3$He, possess a nonzero nuclear spin. This spin is well protected from the external environment by the enclosing, full, electronic shells, and is therefore extremely long-lived. Noble-gas spin ensembles have demonstrated lifetimes $T_1$ exceeding hundreds of hours and coherence times $T_2^*$ of 60 hours at or above room temperature. It is reasonable to assume that the collective nuclear spin in these ensembles, similarly to the collective electronic spin in alkali vapor, can be brought to the quantum limit and utilized for quantum optics and sensing applications. This, however, has never been achieved, as the isolation of the nuclear spins renders them optically inaccessible and hard to coherently manipulate.

Noble-gas spin ensembles have been extensively studied in the mean-field regime. The main tools allowing access to the nuclear spins are atomic collisions, either spin-exchange collisions with alkali-metal atoms or metastable exchange with excited noble-gas atoms. These mechanisms are primarily used to establish nuclear-spin polarization (hyperpolarization) via spin-exchange optical-pumping (SEOP) or metastable-exchange optical-pumping (MEOP). The alkali atoms (in SEOP) or metastable atoms (in MEOP) then act as mediators of angular momentum from light to noble-gas spins. Applications of hyperpolarized noble-gasses include medical imaging of the lungs, precision sensors, neutron-spin filters, and searches for beyond-standard-model physics. These applications, utilizing $10^{17} - 10^{21}$ spins, are all well described by models analyzing the mean-field dynamics of the atoms. As they trace out the multi-particle correlations, these models neither describe the quantum dynamics and statistics of the collective spin operators of the ensemble nor the true stochasticity of the collision process. A singular exception to this is the pioneering theoretical work of Pinard et al., proposing to generate and readout non-classical states of $^3$He atoms via metastable-exchange collisions. These collisions are shown to form an incoherent open-system coupling, potentially allowing for adiabatic quantum-state transfer. Nevertheless, to date, there has been no experimental realization of this proposal nor any other demonstration of non-classical states of noble-gas spins.

In this article, we propose an experimentally realizable, coherent mechanism for coupling an optically-accessible spin ensemble, namely alkali atoms, to optically-inaccessible nuclear spins. We show that weak spin-exchange collisions between thermal alkali and noble-gas atoms can strongly couple the collective quantum spin operators of the two ensembles. As illustrated in Fig. 1, we begin with a stochastic many-body description of the collisions. We demonstrate that, despite their random nature, these collisions lead to a coherent coupling between collective spin modes, akin to a quantum beam-splitter operation, where dissipation and fluctuations play only a minor role. Inspired by studies by Romalis and coworkers on co-magnetometers, we
show that an external magnetic field provides for a real-
time control of the coupling via frequency detuning from 
resonance conditions. We calculate the evolution and
demonstrate the periodic exchange of two representing
non-classical states at these conditions. Finally, we out-
line practical experimental conditions for achieving the
strong-coupling regime.

TOY MODEL

Our approach relies on the coherent exchange of non-
classical spin states, which emerges from random colli-
sions. To understand this exchange mechanism and the
relevant regime, it is insightful to first examine a simpli-
fied toy model. To this end, consider fictitious alkali-like
atoms, comprising a spin-1/2 electronic spin which does
not relax and zero nuclear spin. The ensemble consists
of \( N_a \) such alkali-like atoms and \( N_b \) spin-1/2 noble-gas
atoms. We assume that \( N_b \gg N_a \) and that all spins
point predominantly down.

The spins of the two gases interact via the Fermi-
contact Hamiltonian during short binary collisions [34]
[36]. Let us assume that these collisions occur at fixed
times \( t = n \tau \), where \( n = 1, 2, ..., \) and \( \tau \) is the mean
time between collisions for an alkali atom. When an
alkali spin \( \hat{s}_a \) collides with a noble-gas spin \( \hat{k}_b \), they
precess around each other, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The
\( n \)-th collision of the alkali atom \( a \) is characterized by
two random variables: the precession angle \( \phi_a^{(n)} \)
indicating the collision strength, and the pairing \( q_{ab}^{(n)} \)
with a noble-gas atom \( b \), where \( q_{ab}^{(n)} = 1 \) if \( a \) and \( b \)
collapsed, and \( q_{ab}^{(n)} = 0 \) otherwise. The evolution operator at
\( t = n \tau \) is given by \( U_n = \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{ab} q_{ab}^{(n)} \phi_a^{(n)} V_{ab} \right] \), where
\( V_{ab} = \hat{s}_{a+} \hat{k}_{b-} + \hat{k}_{b+} \hat{s}_{a-} \) is the exchange Hamiltonian in
terms of the ladder spin operators \( \hat{s}_{a\pm} \) and \( \hat{k}_{b\pm} \).

On short time-scales, of a few collisions per alkali atom
as illustrated in Fig. 1(b), the dynamics is completely
random. However if the individual collisions are weak
\( \phi_a^{(n)} \ll 1 \), then the evolution is rendered deterministic on
longer time-scales.

To see this, consider the quintessential case of a sin-
gle spin excitation: The noble-gas spins are initialized
in the state \( |0\rangle_b \) with all spins pointing down, and the
alkali spins are initialized in the nonclassical Fock state
\( |1\rangle_a \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_a}} \sum_n \hat{s}_{a+} |0\rangle_a \), i.e. a symmetric superposition
with one of the spins pointing up. Over some time \( t \),
the system wavefunction \( |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b \) evolves under the operator
\( U_{2\tau} \cdots U_2 U_1 \) into \( |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b = i |0\rangle_a (J t |1\rangle_b + \epsilon |\delta \psi \rangle_b) + \mathcal{O}(\langle \delta^2 \rangle) \). This evolution, with \( Jt, \epsilon \ll 1 \), describes the
onset of transfer of the single spin excitation from the al-
kali to the noble gas via both deterministic and stochas-
tic contributions; The Fock state \( |1\rangle_b \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_b}} \sum_n \hat{k}_{b+} |0\rangle_b \) manifests the deterministic transfer, while the stochastic
wavefunction \( |\delta \psi \rangle_b \) represents the transfer to an incoher-
ent mixture of excited noble-gas spins. To quantify the
corresponding transition amplitudes \( Jt \) and \( \epsilon \), we decom-
pose the random precession angles to their mean value
and fluctuation \( \phi_a^{(n)} = \langle \phi \rangle + \delta \phi_a^{(n)} \), identify \( \langle \phi \rangle \equiv \langle \phi_a^{(n)} \rangle \)
and \( \langle \delta^2 \rangle \equiv \langle [\phi_a^{(n)}]^2 \rangle \), and for now assume that any alkali
is equally likely to collide with any noble-gas atom, such
that \( \langle q_{ab}^{(n)} \rangle = 1/N_b \). We find \( J = \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle \phi \rangle / \tau \rangle \sqrt{N_a/N_b} \) and
\( \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_b \left( \sum_n \frac{1}{\tau} (q_{ab}^{(n)} \phi_a^{(n)} - \langle \phi \rangle / N_b) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \). The random sum in \( \epsilon \) follows the central limit theorem and, after many collisions, \( \epsilon \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\langle \delta^2 \rangle / \tau} \).

Crucially, the mean precession angle \( \langle \phi \rangle \) per collision
is nonzero, as the angles \( \phi_a^{(n)} \) are always positive [34][35][37].
This property is characteristic of the isotropic Fermi-
contact interaction [34] and is key to the constructive ac-
cumulation of the precession angle after many collisions.
Consequently at \( t \gg (\langle \delta^2 \rangle / \langle \delta^2 \rangle)(N_b/N_a) \tau \), the deter-
ministic evolution dominates $JT \gg \epsilon$. At even longer times, this evolution leads to full, periodic, coherent exchange of the collective spin excitation between the two gases at a rate $J$. This simplified toy-model highlights the coherent component of the spin-exchange interaction, originating from the accumulated mean effect of many weak collisions, while the fluctuations add up incoherently.

The above toy-model can be exactly solved using numerical simulations. These stochastic many-body simulations, as detailed in Methods, track the quantum state of many spins that randomly collide. They allow us to witness and visualize the coherent and collective nature of the stochastic spin-exchange interaction. We choose $\langle \phi \rangle = 10^{-5}$, which corresponds to $^3$He-K collisions (see Methods), and initialize the system with either the symmetric excitation $|\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b$ (Fig. 3a) or with a localized excitation $|\psi_0\rangle = \hat{s}_{a=1} |0\rangle_a |0\rangle_b$ (Fig. 3b). The exchange between the two gases emerges as a collective phenomenon: For the symmetric Fock state, we observe multiple, high-contrast, oscillations, whereas for the localized excitation, the oscillations are negligible. This comparison brings out the collective enhancement of the interaction: The transfer amplitude constructively accumulates for an excitation that is symmetrically shared among all spins, maximizing the rate and the fidelity of the coherent exchange.

The dominance of the coherent exchange over the incoherent transfer and dephasing relies on the collisions being very weak $\langle \phi \rangle \ll 1$. To exemplify this, we increase $\langle \phi \rangle$ to $3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ and repeat the simulations. As shown in Figs. 2c and 2d, now the electron spin dephases, with a rate $\Gamma_a \propto \langle \phi^2 \rangle/\tau$, reducing the exchange fidelity. Note that, as a manifestation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, the system wavefunction remains normalized due to population of non-symmetric modes encompassed in the noisy quantum state $|0\rangle_a |0\rangle_b$.

Finally to stir curiosity, we simulate the periodic bunching of two spin excitations in either of the two spin gases. This evolution, which is analogous to the nonclassical Hong-Ou-Mandel phenomenon, is shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 2. Stochastic toy model of the spin-exchange interaction. We solve the unitary evolution of the quantum state of $N_a = 100$ electron spins and $N_b = 10^4$ noble-gas spins (in e, $N_a = 30$, $N_b = 300$), initialized in the state $|\psi_0\rangle$. Each electron spin undergoes a spin-exchange collision of random strength ($\phi \ll 1$) with a randomly chosen noble-gas spin every simulation time-step $\tau = 1$; see Methods for more details. The collisions are either very weak $\langle \phi \rangle = 10^{-5}$ (a,b,e) or more moderate $\langle \phi \rangle = 3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ (c,d). The electron spins can be initialized with all pointing downwards $|0\rangle_a = |\downarrow \ldots \downarrow\rangle_a$ (“vacuum”), or with one arbitrary spin pointing upwards $|\uparrow\downarrow \ldots \downarrow\rangle_a$ (“localized excitation”), or in the symmetric state with a single collective excitation $|1\rangle_a = |\ldots \uparrow \ldownarrow \ldots \rangle_a$ (“collective excitation”). The nuclear spins are initialized in either $|0\rangle_b$ or $|1\rangle_b$. An initial symmetric excitation $|\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b$ is coherently exchanged between the two spin gases. Strikingly, despite the stochasticity of the collision sequence, the exchange fidelities $F_{10}$ and $F_{01}$ oscillate with high contrast and nearly no decay. b. Almost no oscillation is observed for the localized excitation. The number of up spins in the two gases $N_a, N_b$ is nearly constant. c. When the individual collisions are stronger, the coherent exchange is accompanied by dephasing at the rate $\Gamma = \langle \phi^2 \rangle/8$ due to incoherent transfer of the excitation to the large noble-gas ensemble ($N_b \gg N_a$), via the same process underlying SEOP. d. The incoherent transfer is twice as fast ($\Gamma = \langle \phi^2 \rangle/4$) for the localized excitation. e. When $|\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |1\rangle_b$, the two excitations periodically “bunch” in a superposition of either of the spin ensembles $|2\rangle_a |0\rangle_b + |0\rangle_a |2\rangle_b$ manifesting the nonclassical Hong-Ou-Mandel phenomenon and validating the quantum beam-splitter property of the coherent spin-exchange interaction.
INTERFACE BETWEEN ALKALI-METAL AND NOBLE-GAS SPINS

A detailed analytical model, which generalizes the above toy model, is presented in Methods. This model carefully incorporates the nonzero nuclear spin of the alkali-metal atoms, collisional spin relaxation, spatial atomic diffusion, and external magnetic fields. It accounts for spatial inhomogeneity by utilizing coarse-graining, describing the dynamics within small control volumes of radius $l$ (typically a few micrometres), each containing many atoms. As in the toy model, local symmetric spin operators within each control volume dominate the deterministic evolution, owing to constructive accumulation of the mutual precession. Note that in any envisioned experiment, the external fields used to manipulate the system have a spatial resolution much coarser than $l$ and therefore cannot distinguish between the different spins within each control volume. They thus directly excite and probe only the local symmetric modes.

The detailed model reveals a local coherent coupling between the collective spin-states of the two gases, as illustrated in Fig. 1c. The exchange rate is

$$J = \frac{\sigma v \langle \phi \rangle}{2} \sqrt{p_a p_b n_a n_b / \langle I \rangle}$$

Here $n_a$ and $n_b$ are the densities of the alkali and noble-gas atoms, $p_a$, $p_b \leq 1$ are the degrees of spin polarization $(p_a = p_b = 1$ for the ideal preparation of fully-polarized ensembles), $\sigma$ is the hard-sphere collisional cross-section, $v$ is the relative thermal velocity, and $\langle I \rangle = 2I + 1$ for alkali atoms with nonzero nuclear spin $I$. This result agrees with the toy model, given that the mean time between collisions for alkali atoms is $\tau = 1/(n_a v \sigma)$. From the dependency of $J$ on the atomic densities, we again learn that the coupling benefits from collective enhancement. Importantly, the coupling is of the beam-splitter type [2], capable of partially or fully transferring the quantum state between the two gases and therefore locally entangling them.

The stochasticity of the spin-exchange collisions is described by a quantum noise process, which is found negligible for small $\langle \phi^2 \rangle$ (compared to $\langle \phi \rangle \sqrt{n_a/n_b}$). The relaxation of the alkali spins is dominated by spatial diffusion and interaction with the cell walls, by collisional spin-orbit coupling, and by alkali-alkali spin destruction collisions [11] [24]. These mechanisms render the evolution irreversible (with typical spin coherence time of tens of milliseconds). In particular, the diffusion leads to continuous redistribution of spin excitations throughout the cell [23]. To account for this, the model considers the eigenmodes of the diffusion operator, as shown in Fig. 1d.

We focus on polarized ensembles, with most of the spins pointing downward ($-\hat{z}$) [11]. In this regime, the spin projection along $\hat{z}$ can be approximated by its classical expectation value, and the quantum state is represented by collective spin excitations in the transverse plane. Following a Holstein-Primakoff transformation, the transverse spin operators are mapped to bosonic field annihilation and creation operators [2]. These operators flip one alkali or noble-gas spin for each (nonlocal) diffusion mode.

While the exact dynamics is described by a large set of coupled-mode equations (see Methods), it is insightful to first examine an approximate two-mode solution, comprising only the least-decaying modes, with annihilation operators $\hat{a}_0(t), \hat{b}_0(t)$ for the alkali and noble-gas spins respectively. In the two-mode approximation [of Eqs. [23]], we find

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_t \hat{a}_0 &= -iJ\hat{b}_0 + (i\Delta - \Gamma)\hat{a}_0 + \hat{F} \\
\partial_t \hat{b}_0 &= -iJ\hat{a}_0.
\end{align*}$$

These are written in a rotating frame, where $\Delta = \omega_b - \omega_a$ denotes the mismatch of precession frequencies of the two polarized gases in the presence of a magnetic field, $\Gamma$ is the decay of the alkali spin including all collisional mechanisms, and $\hat{F}$ is a vacuum noise operator [11]. We neglect here the decay and noise terms of the noble-gas spins, as we are interested in time scales much shorter than their (hours-long) decoherence time. We thus arrive at a canonical two-mode system, with a global coupling rate $J$ and detuning $\Delta$.

For small $\Delta$, quantum excitations will be exchanged efficiently between the two spin gases at a rate $J$. While $J$ cannot be varied rapidly, $\Delta = \Delta(B)$ can be controlled efficiently by varying the external magnetic field $B$ along the polarization axis. $B$ alters $\Delta$ by predominantly altering $\omega_a$, owing to the (100–1000)-fold difference in the gyromagnetic ratios of the alkali (electron) and noble-gas (nuclear) spins. When the interaction is set off-resonance $\Delta(B) \gg J, \Gamma$, the two collective spins effectively decouple. They independently precess at their rates $\omega_a$ and $\omega_b$, and only the alkali spin experiences fast relaxation. Conversely, when the magnetic field is tuned to the so-called ‘compensation point’ [34], $\Delta(B) = 0$, the interaction becomes resonant and the two spin gases hybridize. The magnetic field thus acts as an external knob, rapidly coupling or decoupling the two spin gases.

On resonance, we identify the condition $J \gg \Gamma$ as strong coupling. At short times $t \ll 1/\Gamma$, the quantum excitations of the strongly-coupled modes obey the beam-splitter Hamiltonian $\hbar J(\hat{a}_0^\dagger \hat{b}_0 + \hat{b}_0^\dagger \hat{a}_0)$ and repeatedly interchange,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{a}_0(t) \\
\hat{b}_0(t)
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos(Jt) & -i\sin(Jt) \\
-i\sin(Jt) & \cos(Jt)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{a}_0(0) \\
\hat{b}_0(0)
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

In particular, maintaining the resonance conditions $\Delta(B) = 0$ for a duration $\tau = \pi/(2J)$ results in a deterministic exchange akin to a $\pi$ pulse. At longer times $t \geq 1/\Gamma$, the excitations in both modes decay exponentially at a rate $\Gamma/2$, accompanied by quantum Stochastic
Figure 3. Exchange of nonclassical states between alkali and noble-gas spin ensembles. The exchange is calculated for the proposed experimental parameters and assuming the initial excitation is spatially uniform. We compare the two-mode approximation [black, Eqs. (1)] with an exact solution (80 modes) [solid blue, Eqs. (23)] in Methods; The calculations are converged when including the 80 least-decaying radial modes. At the compensation point, the two spin gases become entangled, with maximal entanglement obtained at \( t = T_\pi / 2 \), and the state transfer is maximal (solid red, using a two-mode approximation with an exact solution (80 modes)).

The exchange fidelity is tuned to resonance field \( F_\text{exact solution (80 modes)} \), the state transfer is maximal (solid red, using a two-mode approximation with an exact solution (80 modes)).

At the compensation point, the two spin gases become entangled, with maximal entanglement obtained at \( t = T_\pi / 2 \). For example, if the alkali is initialized with a single spin excitation \( (m = 1) \), the evolution \( \sqrt{2} |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b \rightarrow \xi |2\rangle_a |0\rangle_b + i |0\rangle_a |1\rangle_b \) entangles the spin ensembles with fidelity \( F \approx 1 - m \Gamma / (4J) \). Alternatively, consider an alkali vapor initialized in the squeezed-vacuum spin state \( |\xi\rangle_a \equiv \exp[(\xi_0^2 - \xi_0^2 / 2)] |0\rangle_a \) with a squeezing parameter \( \xi \) [2].

Tuning to the compensation point \( \Delta (B) = 0 \) initiates a coherent exchange of the squeezing between the alkali spins and the conjugate component of the noble-gas spins. Maximal transfer \( |\xi\rangle_a |0\rangle_b \rightarrow |\xi\rangle_a |\xi\rangle_b \) at \( t = T_\pi \) retains most of the squeezing \( \xi' = -\xi + \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon = \pi \Gamma e^{2\xi} / (4J) \approx 1 \) for moderate squeezed states. At intermediate times, the two spin gases are entangled in a two-mode squeezed state, reaching maximum entanglement at \( t = T_\pi / 2 \).

These examples show that, given a large enough and fine control over the magnetic field \( B (t) \), spin-exchange collisions can efficiently transfer nonclassical collective excitations between two spin gases, as well as efficiently entangle them.

### EXPERIMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Finally, we consider the relevant experimental parameters for a realization with a \(^{39}\text{K}-^{3}\text{He} \) mixture. To maximize the collective coupling rate \( J \), we consider reasonably high densities of \( n_a = 3.5 \times 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-3} \) (vapor pressure at 220°C), \( n_b = 2 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-3} \) (7.5 atm), and 30 Torr of \( ^{3}\text{He} \) for quenching [31]. The alkali spin polarization can be initialized to \( p_a \geq 0.95 \) using standard optical pumping [42]. The noble-gas spin can be initialized via SEOP to a moderate yet sufficient polarization \( p_b \geq 0.75 \) [15], maintaining minimal uncertainty vacuum noise owing to \(^{3}\text{He} \) being spin-1/2 [43]. With \( |f| = 4 \) and \( \sigma (\phi) = 2 \times 10^{-14} \) cm\(^4\) rad\(^{-1} \) (and \( \langle \phi \rangle^2 \approx \langle \phi^2 \rangle \approx 2 \times 10^{-10} \) rad\(^2 \), see Methods), we reach a coupling rate of \( J = 1000 \pi \). The resonance condition \( \Delta (B) = 0 \) is obtained for a magnetic field \( B = 80 \text{mG} \) yielding \( \omega_a = \omega_B = 270 (2 \pi) \text{ Hz} \), predominantly compensating for the large collisional shift \( \sigma (\phi) p_a n_b / (2 |f|) \) experienced by the \(^{39}\text{K} \).

The high alkali density and polarization and the relatively small \( \omega_a \) put the \(^{39}\text{K} \) spins in the ‘spin-exchange relaxation-free’
(SERF) regime \[44\], rendering their relaxation via spin-exchange collisions negligible. The relaxation rate is governed by spin-rotation interaction with \(^3\)He and \(N_2\) and by spin-destruction collisions with other \(^9\)K atoms, giving \(\Gamma = 17\,\text{s}^{-1} \[11\]. We thus reach the strong-coupling regime with \(J > 60\Gamma\). The spin state of \(^3\)He in this system can endure for \(\gamma_{\text{He}}^{-1} = 100\) hours, providing that magnetic-field gradients and magnetic impurities in the cell are minimized \[20\][23]. The alkali spins can be initialized in a nonclassical state via entanglement-generation schemes \[1\][15] or by mapping of nonclassical light onto the spin orientation moment \[45\]. Calculations of the spin dynamics with these parameters in a 2\(^\circ\)-diameter spherical cell are presented in Fig. 3 for nonclassical Fock state and squeezed state.

In summary, we have formulated the many-body theory of a hybrid system of alkali-metal and noble-gas spins. While this system has been well-studied, we reveal a collective mechanism that couples the macroscopic quantum states of the two spin ensembles, in a controllable manner and potentially with negligible added noise. It is intriguing that weak collisions, despite their stochastic and random nature, could deterministically transfer, \(e.g.,\) a single delocalized excitation from an alkali vapor to a noble gas ensemble, and do so efficiently and reversibly. This mechanism is of particular importance when it comes to noble-gas spins, which are extremely long lived but optically inaccessible. The situation resembles quantum logic operations with nuclear ensembles in solids, where a long-lived nuclear spin is accessible via the hyperfine interaction with an electron spin, which is optically manipulated and interrogated \[40\]. Finally, we propose feasible experimental parameters for reaching the strong-coupling regime.

These results pave the way towards wider applications of noble-gas spins in quantum optics, including long-lived quantum memories and long-distance entanglement at ambient conditions, as well as to fundamental research of the limits of quantum theory for macroscopic entangled objects.

METHODS

A. Stochastic simulation of spin-exchange collisions

Our toy-model solves the Hamiltonian time-evolution of a quantum state of \(N_a\) electron spins (alkali-like atoms with \(S = 1/2\)) and \(N_b\) noble-gas spins (\(K = 1/2\)) when nearly all spins point downwards. In each simulation time-step, we pair each electron spin (labeled \(a\)) with a single random noble-gas spin (labeled \(b\)). The pair selections at time-step \(n\) is encapsulated in the random variable \(\eta^{(n)}_{ab}\), with \(\eta^{(n)}_{ab} = 1\) if spin \(a\) and \(b\) collide and \(\eta^{(n)}_{ab} = 0\) otherwise. We constrain the pairing process by \(\eta^{(n)}_{ab} \eta^{(n)}_{cb} = 0\) for \(a \neq c\), ensuring that each noble-gas spin interacts at most with a single electron spin at each time-step. The collision between spins \(a\) and \(b\) is described by the exchange Hamiltonian \(V_{ab}\). The scattering-matrix per electron spin \(a\) at time-step \(n\) is given by

\[
U^{(n)}_a = \sum_b \eta^{(n)}_{ab} \left[ i \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \phi^{(n)}_{ab}\right) \cdot (|↓↓\rangle \langle ↑↓| + |↑↓\rangle \langle ↓↑|) - 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2} \phi^{(n)}_{ab}\right) \cdot (|↑↑\rangle \langle ↓↑| + |↓↑\rangle \langle ↑↓|) \right] + 1,
\]

where \(|↑↓\rangle \equiv |a\rangle_a |b\rangle_b\), \(|↑↑\rangle \equiv |↑\rangle_a |↑\rangle_b\), and \(I\) is the identity operator. This form describes the dynamics at the compensation point, where the precession of the two gases is synchronized \((\omega_a = \omega_b)\). The collision angle \(\phi^{(n)}_{ab}\) is a random variable, sampled from a uniform distribution in the range \([0, 2\langle \phi \rangle]\), where \(<\phi\rangle \ll 1\) is an input parameter. The wavefunction of the system then evolves by \(|\psi(t + 1)\rangle = U_n |\psi(t)\rangle\), where the time-evolution operator \(U_n\) is given by \(U_n = \Pi_i t^{(n)}_{ii}\). The duration of each time step is the mean time between collisions of an alkali atom with any of the noble-gas atoms \(\tau\). In units of \(\tau\), time is defined as \(t = n\).

For a system initialized with a symmetric excitation \(|\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b\), to first order in \(\phi\) the evolution is given by \(|\psi(t/\tau)\rangle = (I - i \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \sum_{ab} \eta^{(n)}_{ab} \phi^{(n)}_{ab} \delta_a k_b |\psi_0\rangle)/(\delta_a + \delta_b - |\psi_0\rangle)\). The second term is then decomposed into the deterministic and stochastic terms \(-i\epsilon_\delta |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b\) and \(-i\epsilon_\delta |0\rangle_b |\delta \psi_0\rangle\) as outlined in the main text, where \(\epsilon_\delta \psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_a}} \sum_{ab} (\sum_{ij} \eta^{(n)}_{ij} \phi^{(n)}_{ij} - \langle \phi \rangle/N_b) |k_b+0\rangle_b\).

The simulation results presented in Figs. 2a,b are restricted to the Hilbert subspace of a single spin-up excitation, represented by the wavefunctions \(|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum \sum_{ijkl} c_{ijkl} |1\rangle_a |\downarrow\rangle_b \ldots |\downarrow\rangle_{abcd}\) for a set of complex-valued coefficients \(c_{ijkl}\) satisfying \(\sum_i c_{ijkl}^2 = 1\). Note that this subspace is invariant to the exchange operation due to conservation of the total spin. We simulate the system for \(N_a = 100\), \(N_b = 10^4\), \(<\phi\rangle = 10^{-5}\), with either initial symmetric excitations \(|\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b\) (Fig. 2a) or localized excitations \(|\psi_0\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle_a \ldots |\downarrow\rangle_{abcd}\) (Fig. 2b). The contrast in the latter case scales with the small overlap \(|1\rangle_a |\downarrow\rangle_b \ldots |\downarrow\rangle_{abcd}\). The rate of the collective oscillations is found to be \(2J = \sqrt{N_a/N_b} \langle \phi \rangle/\tau\), fully agreeing with the detailed model.

In Figs. 2a and 2b, we set \(\langle \phi \rangle = 3 \cdot 10^{-2}\) and repeat the simulations. We observe the electron spin dephasing and loss at a rate \(\Gamma_a = \langle \phi^2 \rangle/(4\tau)\) (Fig. 2a), which is reduced by a factor of two when the two spin gases are strongly coupled (Fig. 2a and Eqs. 24). Note that the noble-gas spin dephasing \(\Gamma_b = N_a/N_b \cdot \Gamma_a\) is negligible since \(N_a \ll N_b\). In Fig. 2b, we simulate the system evolution in the spin-exchange-invariant subspace comprising two spin excitations \(|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{ij \neq j} c_{ijkl} |\downarrow\rangle_a \ldots |\downarrow\rangle_b \ldots |\downarrow\rangle_{abcd}\) for \(\sum_{ij \neq j} |c_{ijkl}|^2 = 1\). Here we use \(N_a = 30\), \(N_b = 300\),
\( \langle \phi \rangle = 10^{-5} \), and \( |\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |1\rangle_b \). These simulations demonstrate an analogy to the nonclassical Hong-Ou-Mandel phenomenon utilizing a beam-splitter with variable reflectivity. At \( t = \pi m/(2J) \) for any integer \( m \), the two excitations are bunched in either of the two spin gases (analogous to the ports of the beam-splitter), generating an entangled state.

**B. Detailed model of the Many-Body problem**

1. **Spin-exchange interaction**

Consider a gaseous mixture of \( N_a \) alkali-metal atoms and \( N_b \) noble-gas atoms enclosed in a spherical cell above room-temperature. Each alkali atom, labeled by \( a \), has a valence electron with a spin \( S = 1/2 \) operator \( \hat{s}_a \), in addition to its nuclear spin \( I > 0 \) with spin operator \( \hat{i}_a \). Each noble-gas atom, labeled by \( b \), has a nucleus with a \( K = 1/2 \) spin, represented by \( \hat{k}_b \). During collisions, the noble-gas spin interacts only with the valence electron. The Hamiltonian of the two spin gases is given by \( H(t) = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{V}(t) \), comprising the non-interacting and interacting parts, where

\[
\mathcal{H}_0 = \hbar \alpha_{\text{hpf}} \sum_a \hat{i}_a \hat{s}_a + \hbar \tilde{\omega}_a |I\rangle \sum_a \hat{s}_{a,z} + \hbar \tilde{\omega}_b \sum_b \hat{k}_{b,z} \tag{4}
\]

is the non-interacting Hamiltonian of the two spin gases. \( \alpha_{\text{hpf}} \) denotes the hyperfine interaction constant in the ground state of the alkali-metal atom \( |1\rangle \) and \( \tilde{\omega}_a \) and \( \tilde{\omega}_b \) are the Larmor frequencies of the alkali and noble-gas spins induced by an external magnetic field \( B = B \hat{z} \). The microscopic many-body interaction Hamiltonian, governed by the Fermi-contact interaction \( \hbar \mathcal{V} \), is given by

\[
\mathcal{V}(t) = \sum_{a=1}^{N_a} \sum_{b=1}^{N_b} \hbar \alpha_{ab}(t) \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b. \tag{5}
\]

This form conserves the total spin of the colliding pairs. The instantaneous interaction strength \( \alpha_{ab}(t) \) between atoms \( a \) and \( b \) is determined by the specific microscopic trajectory of each atom.

The spatial degrees of freedom of the thermal atoms are classical. Their coordinates \( \mathbf{r}_a(t) \) and \( \mathbf{r}_b(t) \) follow ballistic trajectories, which are independent of the spin state and governed by the classical Langevin equation. The collisions in the gas can be considered as sudden and binary; the mean collision duration \( \tau_c \) is only a few picoseconds \( 10^{-12} \) s, whereas the mean time between collisions for an alkali atom \( \tau \) is a few nanoseconds at ambient pressure. Since collisions are isolated in time \( (\tau_c \ll \tau) \), the interaction strength can be approximated by a train of instantaneous events \( \alpha_{ab}(t) = \sum_i \hbar \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \delta(t - t_{ab}^{(i)}) \). Here \( \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \) denotes the phase \( \phi \) that spins \( a \) and \( b \) accumulate during the \( i \)th collision, and \( t_{ab}^{(i)} \) denotes the time of collision, as determined from the particles trajectories (see Supplementary Note 1). To describe the short-time evolution of the spin gases, we derive the time-evolution operator \( \hat{U}_I \) in the interaction picture. This operator satisfies \( i\hbar \partial_t \hat{U}_I = \mathcal{V}(t) \hat{U}_I \), where \( \mathcal{V}(t) = e^{-i\mathcal{H}_0 t} \mathcal{V}(t) e^{i\mathcal{H}_0 t} \) is the Hamiltonian in the interaction picture. The collisions are sudden \( \mathcal{H}_0 \tau_c \approx 1 \) (except at extremely strong magnetic fields) rendering the evolution by \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) negligible during the short time of collision (typically \( \tau_c \approx 1 \) ps and \( B < 1 \) G, such that \( \tilde{\omega}_a \tau_c \lesssim 10^{-7} \)). As a result, we can assume that \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{V} \) commute, hence \( \mathcal{V}(t) = \mathcal{V}(t) \).

We consider short times \( \tau' \), typically a few tens of picoseconds, such that \( \tau \gg \tau' \gg \tau_c \) but each atom experiences at most a single collision. In other words, we assume that if a collision occurred between an alkali spin \( a \) and a noble-gas spin \( b \), then neither \( a \) nor \( b \) collided with other atoms during \( \tau' \). Consequently, \( \mathcal{V}(t) \) has no more than one appearance of each spin operator and thus commutes with itself, such that the time-evolution operator is simplified to

\[
\hat{U}_I(t + \tau', t) = \exp\left(-i \sum_i \sum_{ab} \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b \right). \tag{6}
\]

Here \( \sum_i \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \) denotes the sum over all collision instances that occur during the short time interval in which \( t_{ab}^{(i)} \in [t, t + \tau'] \). For weak collisions, the mutual precession is small \( \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \ll 1 \), and the exponential term in Eq. (6) can be expanded to leading orders in \( \phi \) as a Dyson series

\[
U_I \approx U_I^{(0)} + U_I^{(1)} + U_I^{(2)} + \ldots \tag{7}
\]

Here the lowest-order terms are

\[
U_I^{(1)}(t + \tau', t) = -i \sum_{ab} \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
U_I^{(2)}(t + \tau', t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{abcd} \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \phi_{cd}^{(j)} (\hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b)(\hat{s}_c \cdot \hat{k}_d). \tag{8}
\]

This simplified form provides for the evolution of any quantum mechanical operator \( \hat{A} \) after time \( \tau' \), \( \Delta \hat{A} = U_I^{(1)}(t + \tau', t) \hat{A}(t) U(t + \tau', t) - \hat{A}(t) \), where \( U = e^{-i\mathcal{H}_0 \tau' \hat{U}_I} \) is in the Heisenberg picture. Up to second order in \( \phi \), the dynamics of \( \hat{A} \) is given by

\[
\frac{1}{\tau} \Delta \hat{A} = -i \frac{\hbar}{\tau}[\hat{A}, \mathcal{H}_0] - i \frac{\hbar}{\tau}[\hat{A}, \mathcal{V}] + \mathcal{L}(\hat{A}). \tag{9}
\]

The first term is the standard Hamiltonian evolution governed by \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) and independent of \( \phi \). The second term describes a unitary evolution during a collision with an effective Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{V} = \sum_{ab} \sum_i \frac{\hbar}{\tau} \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b. \tag{10}
\]
which is first-order in the precession angle $\phi$. The third term $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is proportional to $\phi^2$ and has the structure of a standard Lindblad term

$$
\mathcal{L}(A) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} \sum_{\iota} \phi_{ab}^{(\iota)} \left[ \hat{A}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b, \left[ \hat{A}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b, \hat{A}_b \right] \right].
$$

(10)

We note however that this operator is not associated with a decay but is rather a second-order correction to the unitary evolution.

The evolution of the single-spin operators $\hat{s}_a$ and $\hat{k}_b$ in the time interval $\tau'$ are then derived from Eq. (8), yielding

$$
\Delta \hat{s}_a = \sum_i \sum_{\iota} \phi_{ia}^{(\iota)} [\hat{k}_n \times \hat{s}_a + \phi_{ia}^{(\iota)} (\hat{k}_n - \hat{s}_a)] / 4,
$$

$$
\Delta \hat{k}_b = \sum_i \sum_{\iota} \phi_{ib}^{(\iota)} [\hat{s}_m \times \hat{k}_b + \phi_{ib}^{(\iota)} (\hat{s}_m - \hat{k}_b)] / 4.
$$

(11)

This form conserves the total spin of each colliding pair $a - b$, since $\Delta (\hat{s}_a + \hat{k}_b) = 0$. Equation (11) describes the mutual precession of pairs of spins, as illustrated in Fig. 1. This evolution is unitary to second order in the precession angle $\phi$, while contributions of higher-order terms are neglected in the truncation of Eq. (7).

Between collisions, the nuclear spin of the alkali atoms is altered by the strong hyperfine interaction with the electron. Consequently, the slow dynamics of the alkali atoms should be described in terms of the operator sum $\hat{F}_m = \hat{I}_a - \hat{I}_b$. Here we focus on polarized alkali vapors, for which $\hat{F}_m \approx |\hat{I}| \hat{S}_a$, with $|\hat{I}| = 2I + 1$. The slow evolution of the spins depends on the cumulative effect of multiple collisions among different atoms. At the macroscopic limit, it is formidable to keep track of the kinematic details of all atoms, given a large set of collision times $t_{ab}^{(i)}$ and strengths $\phi_{ab}^{(i)}$. Instead, we represent the exact values of $t_{ab}^{(i)}$ and $\phi_{ab}^{(i)}$ by their equivalent random variables $\sum_{\iota} \phi_{ab}^{(\iota)} \to q_{ab}(t, \tau') \phi_{ab}(t)$ and $\sum_{\iota'} (\phi_{ab}^{(i)})^2 \to q_{ab}(t, \tau') \phi_{ab}^2(t)$. Here $q_{ab}(t, \tau')$ is a Bernoulli process indicating whether a collision between particles $a, b$ has occurred during the short time interval $[t, t + \tau']$, with $\tau' \approx h/\alpha_{hyp}$. $\phi_{ab}(t)$ is a random variable with a mean $\langle \phi \rangle$ and variance $\text{var}(\phi)$, determined by the microscopic properties of the pairwise collision, including the impact parameter and the reduced-velocity distributions as derived in Ref. 23. The operation $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes an average over the microscopic kinematic parameters. The stochastic nature of $\phi_{ab}$ manifests the randomness in the interaction strength, while the stochastic nature of $q_{ab}$ manifests the randomness in pairing the colliding atoms. We derive the statistical properties of $q_{ab}$ as a function of the microscopic kinetic variables in Supplementary Note 1, yielding $\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau') q_{cd}(t, \tau') \rangle = \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \delta(t - t') \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau') \rangle$ and $\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau') \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi \rangle^2$.

To address the local symmetric modes of the spins, we first replace the discrete atomic operators with the continuous operators $\hat{f}(r, t) = \sum_a \hat{f}_a(t) \delta[r - r_a(t)]$ and $\hat{k}(r, t) \equiv \sum_a \hat{k}_a(t) \delta[r - r_a(t)]$ (cf. 20). We then perform the spatial convolutions $\hat{f}(r, t) \to \hat{f}(r, t) \ast w(r)$ and $\hat{k}(r, t) \to \hat{k}(r, t) \ast w(r)$ with a window function $w(r) = \Theta(|l - |r|)/V_l$ representing a control volume $V_l = 4\pi l^3/3$, where $\Theta$ is the Heaviside function. The central-limit theorem is valid for this coarse-graining operation as long as $V_l$ contains a large number of particles $V_l n_a, V_l n_b \gg 1$. Consequently, $\hat{f}(r, t)$ and $\hat{k}(r, t)$ become local symmetric spin operators, and the specific particle labels are superseded by the spatial coordinate $r$.

We now consider the collisional part of the evolution of $\hat{f}(r, t)$ and $\hat{k}(r, t)$ at time intervals much longer than $\tau'$,

$$
\partial_t \hat{f} = g \hat{k} \times \hat{f} - \frac{1}{|\hat{I}|} k_{\text{se}} n_b \hat{f} + k_{\text{se}} n_a \hat{k} + \hat{F}_{\text{ex}},
$$

$$
\partial_t \hat{k} = g \hat{f} \times \hat{k} - k_{\text{se}} n_a \hat{k} + \frac{1}{|\hat{I}|} k_{\text{se}} n_b \hat{f} - \hat{F}_{\text{ex}}.
$$

(12)

The first term in Eqs. (12) represents the average mutual precession of the two symmetric spin operators within the coarse-graining volume, with the local interaction strength given by $g \equiv \langle \sigma \phi \rangle / |I|$. As it describes coherent dynamics, it can be associated with an effective coherent spin-exchange Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{V}_{\text{ex}} = \frac{\hbar g}{2} \int d^3 r_a \int d^3 r_b \delta(r_a - r_b) \hat{f}(r_a, t) \cdot \hat{k}(r_b, t).
$$

(13)

The second and third terms in Eqs. (12) represent incoherent transfer of spin polarization from one specie to the other, while conserving the total spin. Here $n_a = \sum_a w(r - r_a)$ and $n_b = \sum_b w(r - r_b)$ denote the local densities of the two spin gases, and $k_{\text{se}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma \phi^2 \rangle$ is known as the binary spin-exchange rate coefficient [11]. In particular, the term $k_{\text{se}} n_a \hat{f}/|I|$ is responsible for hyperpolarization of the noble-gas by optically pumped alkali-metal spins, underlying the SEOP technique [11, 23]. Notably, incoherent effects are second order in $\phi$, and since $\langle \phi^2 \rangle / \langle \phi \rangle \ll 1$ (typically $10^{-5}$), $g$ is substantially larger than $k_{\text{se}}$.

2. Comparison to mean-field descriptions

Before discussing the quantum fluctuation $\hat{F}_{\text{ex}}$, we compare Eqs. (12) with the existing mean-field theory and associate our model parameters with those obtained from experiments. In the mean-field theory, it is assumed that any quantum-correlation developed between different atoms due to collisions is rapidly lost. The mean-field spin operators are related to our formalism by $\langle f \rangle = \int d^3 r \langle \psi \hat{f}(r, t) \psi \rangle / N_a$ and $\langle k \rangle = \int d^3 r \langle \psi \hat{k}(r, t) \psi \rangle / N_b$, where $\psi$ is the initial wavefunction of the system. Substituting these definitions in Eqs. (12) without $\hat{F}_{\text{ex}}$, we recover the standard Bloch equations of the spin-
exchange interaction \[ \hat{F}_{ex} \] arising from the randomness of the collisional interaction.

Of special interest is the subset of polarized spin ensembles, in the regime of the Holstein-Primakoff approximation \[ H_{s} \]. In this regime, the quantum information resides within the continuous ladder operators \( \hat{f}_{\pm}(r,t) = \hat{f}_{s}(r,t) \pm i\hat{f}_{b}(r,t) \) and \( \hat{k}_{\pm}(r,t) = \hat{k}_{s}(r,t) \pm i\hat{k}_{b}(r,t) \). The corresponding noise correlations have the standard form of a vacuum noise \[ \eta \], satisfying

\[
\langle \hat{F}_{ex,+}(r,t)\hat{F}_{ex,-}(r',t') \rangle = 2n_{e}n_{b}k_{se}\delta(t-t') w(r-r'), \\
\langle \hat{F}_{ex,-}(r,t)\hat{F}_{ex,+}(r',t') \rangle = 0.
\]  

We note that, in practice, the relaxation due to spin-exchange (accompanied by \( \hat{F}_{ex} \)) is small compared to that originating from other sources. For example, the relaxation rate of the alkali electron spin due to spin exchange is \( n_{e}k_{se} \), whereas the relaxation rate due the spin-orbit coupling during collisions is \( n_{b}\sigma_{se}v \), where \( \sigma_{se} \) is the spin-rotation cross-section. The relative importance of these two mechanisms is characterized by the parameter \( \eta = k_{se}/(\sigma_{se}v) \), where \( \eta = 0.28 \) for K\(^{-3}\)He, \( \eta = 0.03 \) for Rb\(^{-3}\)He, and \( \eta < 0.01 \) for Cs\(^{-3}\)He at 220\(^{\circ}\)C \[ 11 \]. The relaxation of the noble-gas spins due to spin exchange with alkali spins is negligible for \( t \ll (n_{e}k_{se})^{-1} < 10 \) hours when operating with \( n_{e} = 3.5 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3} \). We thus conclude that the noise added by \( \hat{F}_{ex} \) due to spin-exchange is small.

4. Dynamics with diffusion and relaxation

To describe a macroscopic ensemble of alkali and noble-gas spins in the presence of relaxation, we write the Heisenberg-Langevin equations for \( \hat{f}(r,t) \) and \( \hat{k}(r,t) \)

\[
\partial_{t}\hat{f} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H},\hat{H}_{0} + \mathcal{V}_{ex}] + D_{a}\nabla^{2}\hat{f} - \gamma_{a}\hat{f} + \hat{F}_{a}, \\
\partial_{t}\hat{k} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H},\hat{H}_{0} + \mathcal{V}_{ex}] + D_{b}\nabla^{2}\hat{k} - \gamma_{b}\hat{k} + \hat{F}_{b}.
\]  

This model can describe the evolution of many-body quantum spin states. For polarized alkali vapor, the interaction-free Hamiltonian from Eq. \[ 4 \] obtains the simple form \( \mathcal{H}_{0} = \hbar \int d^{3}r \omega_{a}\hat{f}_{a}(r,t) + \omega_{b}\hat{k}_{a}(r,t) \), and \( \mathcal{V}_{ex} \) is given in Eq. \[ 13 \]. At standard noble-gas pressures \( (10^{-1} - 10^{4} \) Torr), frequent velocity-changing collisions with the noble-gas atoms render the thermal motion diffusive, which is described by the diffusion terms \( D_{a}\nabla^{2}\hat{f} \) and \( D_{b}\nabla^{2}\hat{k} \) \[ 35 \].

We encapsulate the various spin dissipation mechanisms into the relaxation rates \( \gamma_{a} \) and \( \gamma_{b} \). The relaxation rate of alkali-metal spins is given by

\[
\gamma_{a} = n_{b}(\sigma_{se}v + k_{se}) + n_{a}\sigma_{ad}v_{a}/2,
\]

where \( n_{a} \) is the number density of alkali-metal spins, \( \sigma_{ad} \) is the spin-phonon relaxation coefficient, and \( v_{a} \) is the velocity of the alkali-metal atom.
consisting of collisional spin-orbit coupling, spin-exchange interaction with the noble gas nuclei, and spin-destruction via binary collisions of alkali-metal spins (cross-section $\sigma_{sd}$, mean atomic velocity $v_a$) \[11\]. The relaxation rate of the noble-gas spins is given by

$$\gamma_b = \frac{\gamma_{\text{n}}}{T_b^{-1}} + T_b^{-1},$$

where $T_b^{-1}$ is the coherence time of the noble gas in the absence of alkali atoms, usually limited by inhomogeneity of the magnetic field \[20\]. The incoherent spin-transfer terms [third term in Eqs. \[12\]], which have negligible effect on the coherent dynamics, are omitted for brevity. In the experiments considered in the main text, $\gamma_a^{-1} = 50$ ms and $\gamma_b^{-1} = 1 - 100$ hours, hence $\gamma_b \ll \gamma_a$. The Langevin vacuum-noise operators $\hat{F}_a$ and $\hat{F}_b$ in Eq. \[17\] account for fluctuations and for preserving commutation relations under the relaxations $\gamma_a \hat{a}$ and diffusion \[11\]; they are defined below for polarized ensembles.

We consider highly-polarized ensembles, with most of the spins pointing downward ($\hat{z}$) \[11,3\]. For these states, the operators $\hat{f}_z(r, t)$ and $\hat{k}_z(r, t)$ can be approximated by their classical expectation values $f_z = -p_a n_a [I]/2$ and $k_z = -p_b n_b /2$. The quantum state of the collective spins is then fully captured by the ladder operators $\hat{f}_z = f_z + i \kappa_y$ and $k_z = k_z + \kappa_y$. It is then insightful to apply the Holstein-Primakoff transformation \[21\], representing the collective states as excitations of a bosonic field with annihilation operators $\hat{a}(r, t) = \hat{f}_-(r, t) / \sqrt{|f_z|}$ and $\hat{b}(r, t) = \hat{k}_-(r, t) / \sqrt{|k_z|}$. The state $|0\rangle_a |0\rangle_b$, with zero spins pointing upwards, is identified as the vacuum, and the creation operators $\hat{a}^\dagger(r, t)$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger(r, t)$ flip upwards one alkali or noble-gas spin at position $r$.

When the two gases are polarized, the energy cost of flipping a spin in one specie is the sum of Zeeman shift, due to the external magnetic field, and so-called collisional shift, due to local magnetization of the other specie \[37\]. The altered Larmor frequencies $\omega_{a} = \omega_a - g_a p_a n_a /2$ and $\omega_{b} = \omega_b - g_b p_b n_b /2$ are obtained when rewriting Eqs. \[17\] in terms of $\hat{a}(r, t)$ and $\hat{b}(r, t)$,

$$\partial_t \hat{a} = -i(\omega_a + \gamma_a - D_a \nabla^2) \hat{a} - iJ \hat{b} + \hat{F}_a,$$

$$\partial_t \hat{b} = -i(\omega_b + \gamma_b - D_b \nabla^2) \hat{b} - iJ \hat{a} + \hat{F}_b,$$

(20)

Importantly, here we obtain the coherent spin-exchange rate $J = g \sqrt{|I|} p_a p_b n_a n_b /2$, responsible for the local coupling of the two gases as illustrated in Fig. \[1\].

The vacuum noise terms $\hat{F}_a = (\hat{F}_{a,x} + i \hat{F}_{a,y}) / \sqrt{|f_z|}$ and $\hat{F}_b = (\hat{F}_{b,x} + i \hat{F}_{b,y}) / \sqrt{|k_z|}$ satisfy the standard noise properties $\langle \hat{F}_q \rangle = \langle \hat{F}_q \hat{F}_q^\dagger \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \hat{F}_q(r, t) \hat{F}_q(r', t') \rangle = \langle \hat{F}_q(r, t) \hat{F}_q(r', t') \rangle = |2 \gamma_q |^2 (r - r')^2 + C_q(r, r') \delta(t - t')$ for $q \in \{a, b\}$, including the fluctuations induced by the spin-exchange interaction. The function $C_q(r, r')$ is the diffusion component of the noise correlation function \[43\], independent of the spin-exchange interaction or of the other relaxation mechanisms incorporated in $\gamma_q$.

5. Coupled spatial modes

The irreversibility of the evolution is dominated by alkali-spin relaxation and by spatial atomic diffusion. In principle, absence the diffusion, the dynamics of $\hat{a}(r, t)$ and $\hat{b}(r, t)$ at any location $r$ would be unitary and deterministic for short times $t \ll (\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^{-1}$. That could allow for multi-mode coupling of the two gases, owing to the locality of the collisional interaction. In practice, however, the diffusion term is dominant in the dynamics \[35\]. It is therefore fruitful to consider the spatial modes $\hat{a}_m(t) \equiv \int A_m(r) \hat{a}(r, t) d^3r$ and $\hat{b}_n(t) \equiv \int B_n(r) \hat{b}(r, t) d^3r$, associated with orthonormal and complete sets of eigenmodes $A_m(r)$ and $B_n(r)$ of the respective diffusion-relation operators $D_n \nabla^2 - \gamma_a$ and $D_b \nabla^2 - \gamma_b$. Typically, one could assume partial or full relaxation of alkali spins by the cell walls (Robin boundary conditions) and no relaxation of noble-gas spins at the walls. The corresponding eigenvalues $\gamma_{am}$ and $\gamma_{bn}$ associate a decay rate with each mode.

The dynamics of the spatial modes $\hat{a}_m$ and $\hat{b}_n$, illustrated in Fig. \[11\] is described by coupled-mode equations

$$\partial_t \hat{a}_m = -i(\omega_a + \gamma_{am}) \hat{a}_m - iJ \sum_n c_{mn} \hat{b}_n + \hat{F}_m \tag{21}$$

$$\partial_t \hat{b}_n = -i(\omega_b + \gamma_{bn}) \hat{b}_n - iJ \sum_m c_{mn}^\ast \hat{a}_m + \hat{F}_n$$

with an effective coupling $J_{cmn}$ that is determined by their integrated spatial overlap coefficients $c_{mn} = \int A_m(r) B_n^\ast(r) d^3r$, being elements of a unitary matrix. The noise terms of the $m$-th and $n$-th modes are $\hat{F}_m = \int A_m(r) \hat{F}_a(r) d^3r$ and $\hat{F}_n = \int B_n(r) \hat{F}_b(r) d^3r$.

Eqs. \[21\] describe the coupling of any quantum mode of one gas with the $N_{\text{modes}} \approx V/V_1$ modes of the other gas. In practice however, the majority of modes are barely coupled. It is constructive to differentiate between the set of high-order modes of the diffusion operator, defined by $R \equiv \{ \hat{a}_r, \hat{b}_r | \gamma_{ar}, \gamma_{br} \gg J \}$ ($0 \leq r < N_{\text{modes}}$) and the complementary set of stable modes $S = 1 \backslash R$. The high-order modes $R$ are characterized by rapid relaxation due to the thermal motion of the atoms. These modes experience little coherent interaction and, at long timescales $dt \gg 1/\gamma_{ar}, 1/\gamma_{br}$, are governed by

$$\dot{\hat{a}}_r(t) = \hat{w}_{ar}(t) - \frac{iJ}{\gamma_{ar} + \Delta} \sum_{n \in S} c_{mn} \hat{b}_n(t) \tag{22}$$

$$\dot{\hat{b}}_r(t) = \hat{w}_{br}(t) - \frac{iJ}{\gamma_{br} + \Delta} \sum_{m \in S} c_{mn}^\ast \hat{a}_m(t)$$

The first terms, $\hat{w}_{qr}(t) = \int_0^t dt' e^{-i(\omega_q + \gamma_q) t'} \tilde{F}_{qr}(t - t')$ for $q \in \{a, b\}$, describe the diffusion-induced quantum process. These terms dominate the dynamics, which is Markovian: any dependence on $\hat{a}_r(t_0)$ and $\hat{b}_r(t_0)$ at $t_0 \ll t$ is erased exponentially at rates $\gamma_{ar}$ and $\gamma_{br}$. Consequently, the modes $\hat{a}_r(t)$ and $\hat{b}_r(t)$ can be considered as thermal reservoirs. The second term in Eqs. \[22\] describes the weak coupling to the stable modes $\hat{a}_s, \hat{b}_s \in S$ via the coherent collisional interaction. Substitution of Eqs. \[22\] in Eqs. \[21\] yield a relatively small and close
set of coupled equations for the stable modes, governed by a coherent dynamics

\[
\partial_t \hat{a}_s = -(i\omega_a + \gamma_a) \hat{a}_s - J \sum_{n \in S} (\epsilon_{sn} \hat{b}_n + \epsilon_{sn}^* \hat{a}_n) + \hat{G}_{as},
\]

\[
\partial_t \hat{b}_s = -(i\omega_b + \gamma_b) \hat{b}_s - J \sum_{m \in S} (\epsilon_{ms}^* \hat{a}_m + \epsilon_{sm} \hat{b}_m) + \hat{G}_{bs},
\]

The coefficients \(\epsilon_{sn} = \sum_{r \in R} c_{sr} \epsilon_{sr}^* J/(\gamma_{sr} + i\Delta)\) and \(\epsilon_{sn}^* = \sum_{r \in R} c_{sr}^* \epsilon_{sr}^* J/(\gamma_{sr} - i\Delta)\) describe couplings between different stable modes, and \(\hat{G}_{as} = \hat{F}_{as} - iJ \sum_{r \in R} c_{sr} \hat{w}_{sr}^\dagger\) and \(\hat{G}_{bs} = \hat{F}_{bs} - iJ \sum_{r \in R} c_{sr} \hat{w}_{sr}\) denote the increased quantum noise induced due to coupling with the high-order (reservoir) modes \(\mathcal{R}\).

The effect of \(\hat{G}_{as}\) and \(\hat{G}_{bs}\) on the spin dynamics depends on the number of modes considered in \(S\). For the case of an uncoated spherical cell with radius \(R\), we can bound the contribution of the high-order modes by \(|\epsilon_{sn}^{(m)}| < J/(\pi^2 \gamma_{(s)a} r_0)\). Here \(\gamma_{(s)a} r_0 = D_{(s)a} \pi^2 r_0^2 / R^2\) is the diffusion-induced relaxation of the least decaying mode in the set \(\mathcal{R}\), with a radial mode number \(r_0\). This bound is attained by the asymptotic form of the diffusion-relaxation modes, validated by numerical calculations. Thus if enough modes are considered in Eqs. (23), the contribution of \(\epsilon^{(m)}\), \(\epsilon^{(n)}\) and \(\hat{G}_a - \hat{F}_a, \hat{G}_b - \hat{F}_b\) to the dynamics can be rendered negligible. In general, this formalism can also be applied with a smaller number of stable modes such that \(\gamma_{sn}, \gamma_{bn} \sim J\), on the expense of overestimating the diffusion-induced relaxation.

We numerically solve the stochastic differential Eqs. (23) for two particular cases, using the experimental parameters outlined in the main text. Our calculation uses the first 80 spherically symmetric least-decaying modes. First, the spatially symmetric uniform mode of the alkali-metal spin ensemble is initialized in a Fock state with two spin excitations. The fidelity of transferring the excitations to the uniform mode of the noble-gas spins is presented in Fig.3. Here, by changing \(\Delta\) at \(T = \pi/(2J)\), the excitation transfer is complete, and the noble gas becomes decoupled from the alkali and free from collision-induced relaxation. Second, the uniform mode of the alkali-metal spin ensemble is initialized in a squeezed vacuum state with 7 dB of squeezing. The squeezing is transferred to the noble-gas spins with high fidelity, as presented in Fig.3b. These results are converged, with no significant improvement obtained when increasing the number of calculated modes.

Finally, we present the analytical solution of Eqs. (1) for the simplifying case of the approximate two-mode solution, using a single stable mode \(s = 0\) (with \(\epsilon_{00} = 1\)). In the strong coupling regime \(J \gg \Gamma \equiv \gamma_\alpha\), the full solution in the rotating frame reads

\[
\hat{a}_0(t) = e^{-\Gamma t} \left[ \cos(Jt) \hat{a}_0(0) - i \sin(Jt) \hat{b}_0(0) \right] + \hat{w}_a,
\]

\[
\hat{b}_0(t) = e^{-\Gamma t} \left[ \cos(Jt) \hat{b}_0(0) - i \sin(Jt) \hat{a}_0(0) \right] + \hat{w}_b.
\]

Here the alkali-metal relaxation is shared by both spin gases, accompanied with transfer of quantum fluctuations to the noble-gas spin ensemble. The noise processes are defined by \(\hat{w}_a(t) = \int_0^t ds e^{-t/s^2} \cos(Js) \hat{F}(t-s)\) and \(\hat{w}_b(t) = -i \int_0^t dse^{-t/s^2} \sin(Js) \hat{F}(t-s)\) using standard stochastic integration. For \(t \gg 1/\Gamma\), these processes can be considered as white Wiener operators, while, for shorter times, they are colored by the window functions.
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Supplementary Information

In this supplementary information, we derive the statistics of binary collisions between two specific spins $a - b$. The microscopic parameter $q_{ab}(t, \tau)$ indicates if the pair $a - b$ has collided during the time interval $[t, t + \tau]$ by

$$q_{ab}(t, \tau) = \int_{t}^{t + \tau} \delta(s - t_{ab}^{(i)})ds.$$  

For short $\tau$, we can assume a ballistic motion of the particles, such that the two-body displacement satisfies

$$r_{ab}(t + s) = r_{ab}(t) - v_{ab}(t)s$$

for any $s \in [t, t + \tau]$. A collision of the pair occurs at $t_{ab}^{(i)}$ if $r_{ab}^{(i)}(t) \leq \epsilon$ where $\epsilon$ characterizes the hard-sphere radius of the pair, satisfying $a = \pi v^2$ and $r_{ab} = |r_{ab}|$. The time of a collision is then determined by

$$|r_{ab}(t) - v_{ab} \cdot (t_{ab}^{(i)} - t)| \leq \epsilon.$$  

Solving for $t_{ab}^{(i)}$, we obtain the expression

$$t_{ab}^{(i)} = t + \frac{r_{ab}(t)}{v_{ab}},$$

where $\theta_{ab}^v \in [0, \pi]$ is the relative angle between $r_{ab}$ and $v_{ab}$. Therefore $t_{ab}$ exists only if $\sin^2(\theta_{ab}^v) \leq \epsilon^2/v_{ab}^2 (t)$. Since $\epsilon$ is about a few angstroms, collisions occur only at small angles $\theta_{ab}^v \ll 1$. Neglecting the collision duration $\tau_c \leq 2\epsilon/v_{ab} \ll \tau$, we can approximate the collision time as the average of the two solutions, yielding

$$t_{ab}^{(i)} = t + \frac{r_{ab}(t)}{v_{ab}}$$

if $\theta_{ab}^v \leq \epsilon/r_{ab}(t)$. We can then write the expression of $q_{ab}(t, \tau)$ as

$$q_{ab}(t, \tau) = \Theta(\theta_{ab}^v \leq \epsilon/r_{ab}(t)) \int_{0}^{\tau} \delta(s - r_{ab}(t)/v_{ab}) ds,$$

which determines if a pair has collided given the relative location and velocities.

To derive the statistical properties of $q_{ab}$, we first average over the pairs velocities. We assume a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution for the velocity $v$

$$f(v)dv = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} v^2 v_T e^{-v^2/v_T^2} dv \sin \theta_v d\theta_v d\varphi_v,$$

where $v_T$ stands for the thermal relative velocity of the pair. The velocity-average collision probability is then given by

$$\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v = \int q_{ab}(t, \tau) f(v)dv$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon^2}{\sqrt{\pi} r_{ab}^2 (t) v_T^2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{ds}{s} \delta(s - r_{ab}(t)/v) \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{ds}{s} \delta(v - r_{ab}(t)/s)$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon^2}{\sqrt{\pi} r_{ab}^2 (t) v_T^2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{ds}{s} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dv^3 e^{-v^2/v_T^2}}{v_T^3} \delta(v - r_{ab}(t)/s)$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon^2}{\sqrt{\pi} r_{ab}^2 (t) v_T^2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{ds}{s} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{dv^3 e^{-v^2/v_T^2}}{v_T^3} \delta(v - r_{ab}(t)/s)$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon^2}{\sqrt{\pi} r_{ab}^2 (t) v_T^2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{ds}{s} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{dv^3 e^{-v^2/v_T^2}}{v_T^3} \delta(v - r_{ab}(t)/s) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{r_{ab}^2 (t) \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{r_{ab}/(v_T r)}^{\infty} du u e^{-u^2},$$
where in the last step we changed the integration variable to \( u = r_{ab}(t)/(sv_T) \). The last integral can be approximated using the Heaviside function

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{r_{ab}/(sv_T)}^{\infty} du u^2 e^{-u^2} \approx \frac{1}{4} \Theta(v_T - r_{ab}(t)),
\]

yielding

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v \approx \frac{\sigma}{4\pi v_T^2(t)} \Theta(v_T - r_{ab}(t)).
\]

Such that two particles collide, on average, depending on their relative solid angle \( \sigma/(4\pi v_T^2(t)) \) provided that their spacing is small \( r_{ab} < v_T \). Our model relies on the motion of the particles being ballistic, which is valid for short intervals \( v_T \tau \ll 1/(n_b\sigma) \) for \( n_a \ll n_b \).

We are interested in the spatially coarse-grained dynamics on the length-scale \( l \gg 1/(n_b\sigma) \). Using the radial window function \( w(r) \), we obtain

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v * w(r) = \frac{3}{4\pi l^3} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi' \int_0^\pi \sin \theta' d\theta' \int_0^{\infty} r'^2 dr' \frac{\sigma}{4\pi r'^2} \Theta(v_T - r') \Theta(|r_{ab} - r'| - l)
\]

\[
\approx \frac{3}{4\pi l^3} \sigma v_T \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi' \int_0^\pi \sin \theta' d\theta' \int_0^{\infty} r'^2 dr' \frac{\delta(r')}{{4\pi l}^2} \Theta(|r_{ab} - r'| - l) = \frac{3}{4\pi l^3} \sigma v_T w(r_{ab})
\]

where in the second line we used \( v_T \tau \ll l \). This expression can be used to estimate standard kinematic relations, such as the mean collision times. The probability that two given spins \( a - b \) would collide at time interval \( \tau \) is given by

\[
p_{ab}(t, \tau) = \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v * w(r)
\]

We can now find the mean time that particle \( a \) has collided with any other noble gas atom. This probability is given by

\[
p_a(t, \tau) = \sum_b p_{ab}(t, \tau) = \tau n_b \sigma v
\]

using the relation \( n_b = \sum_b w(r - r_b) \). Since \( \tau_d^{(b)} \equiv 1/(n_b\sigma v) \) is the mean time between collision for a given alkali-metal atom with some other noble spin, the probability is simply \( p_a = \tau / \tau_d^{(b)} \), independent of \( t \). This result corresponds to the Markovian exponential distribution \( p_a(t, \tau) = 1 - \exp(-\tau/\tau_d^{(b)}) \) for \( \tau \ll \tau_d^{(b)} \). A similar result is obtained for \( p_b(t, \tau) \) by interchanging the indices \( a \leftrightarrow b \).

We calculate the second moment of \( q_{ab} \) assuming that different collisions are statistically independent,

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) q_{cd}(t', \tau) \rangle_v = \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \Theta(\tau - (t - t')) \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v
\]

\[
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot \tau \delta(t - t') \langle [P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1) \cdot 1^2] \rangle_v
\]

\[
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot \tau \delta(t - t') \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v,
\]

where in the second line we assumed that the times \( t, t' \) are sampled with intervals \( dt, dt' \gg \tau \) to include multiple collisions.

Spin exchange interactions, experienced during binary collisions as considered so far, lead to phase accumulation of the colliding spins. The spin dynamics are determined by the statistics of spin exchange occurrences and are governed by

\[
q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi_{ab}(t) = \int_t^{t+\tau} \phi_{ab}^{(i)}(s - t_{ab}^{(i)}) ds.
\]
Since \( q_{ab}(t, \tau) \) is a Bernoulli process, whose possible values are only 0 or 1, we get

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi_{ab}(t) \rangle = P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1) \cdot \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \rangle + P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 0) \cdot \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 0 \rangle
\]

\[
= P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1) \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \rangle.
\]

Moreover, \( q_{ab}(t, \tau) \) is defined such that when the spins \( a, b \) do not approach each other closer than the collision distance \( \epsilon \), then \( \phi_{ab}(t) = 0 \), and \( \phi_{ab}(t) \neq 0 \) only when \( q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \). Therefore \( \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \rangle = \langle \phi_{ab}(t) \rangle = \langle \phi \rangle \), where \( \langle \phi \rangle \) is averaged over all impact velocities and impact parameters. The value of \( \langle \phi \rangle \) and the dependence of \( \phi_{ab}(t) \) on the collision trajectory (velocity and impact parameter) were discussed in [S35]. The averaged coupling strength is

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi_{ab}(t) \rangle = \langle \phi \rangle \sigma v_T \tau w(r_{ab}).
\]

Similarly, the averaged dissipation rate is

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi_{ab}^2(t) \rangle = \langle \phi^2 \rangle \sigma v_T \tau w(r_{ab}),
\]

and the fluctuation in second-order is

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi_{ab}(t) q_{cd}(t', \tau) \phi_{cd}(t') \rangle = P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1, q_{cd}(t', \tau) = 1) \langle \phi_{ab}(t) \phi_{cd}(t') | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1, q_{cd}(t', \tau) = 1 \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) q_{cd}(t', \tau) \rangle \langle \phi_{ab}(t) \phi_{cd}(t') \rangle
\]

\[
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot T \langle \phi_{ab}(t) \rangle \langle \phi_{cd}(t') \rangle
\]

\[
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot \tau \delta (t - t') \langle \phi_{ab}(t) \rangle \langle \phi_{cd}(t') \rangle
\]

\[
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot \sigma v_T \tau w(r_{ab}) \langle \phi_{ab}^2(t) \rangle \tau \delta (t - t').
\]