Quantum interface for noble-gas spins
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An ensemble of noble-gas nuclear spins is a unique quantum system that could maintain coherence for many hours at room temperature and above, owing to exceptional isolation from the environment. This isolation, however, is a mixed blessing, limiting the ability of these ensembles to coherently interface with other quantum systems. Here we show that spin-exchange collisions with alkali-metal atoms render a quantum interface for noble-gas spins without impeding their long coherence times. We formulate the many-body theory of the hybrid system and reveal a collective mechanism that strongly couples the macroscopic quantum states of the two spin ensembles. Despite their stochastic and random nature, weak collisions enable entanglement and reversible exchange of nonclassical excitations in an efficient, controllable, and deterministic process. We outline feasible parameters for reaching the strong-coupling regime, paving the way towards an experimental realization of hour-long quantum memories and entanglement at room-temperature.

INTRODUCTION

Macroscopic systems exhibiting quantum behavior at or above room temperature are of great scientific interest. One such prominent system is a hot vapor of alkali-metal atoms, such as rubidium or potassium, enclosed in a vacuum cell. The collective spin state of these ensembles, consisting of as many as \(10^{14}\) atoms, has been used to demonstrate quantum spin squeezing, storage and control of single excitation quanta, and entanglement at room temperature [1–18]. Despite the rapid thermal motion and frequent atomic collisions, the coherence time of the collective spin in these studies reached a few milliseconds. It was essentially limited by the coupling of the electron spins to their surroundings.

Odd isotopes of noble gases, such as \(^3\)He, possess a nonzero nuclear spin. This spin is optically-inaccessible and well protected from the external environment by the enclosing, full, electronic shells and is therefore extremely long-lived. Noble-gas spin ensembles have demonstrated lifetimes \(T_1\) exceeding hundreds of hours and coherence times \(T_2\) of 60 hours at or above room temperature [19–21]. It is to be expected that the collective nuclear spin in these ensembles, similarly to the collective electronic spin in alkali vapor, can be brought to the quantum limit and utilized for quantum optics and sensing applications. A singular proposal along this route was given by Pinard et al. [22–23], for generating and reading out nonclassical states of \(^3\)He spins via exchange with excited, optically-accessible, metastable \(^3\)He atoms. This exchange is shown to form an incoherent open-system coupling, potentially allowing for adiabatic quantum-state transfer. Nevertheless to date, there has been no experimental realization of this proposal or any other demonstration of a nonclassical state of noble-gas spins. Their excellent isolation, responsible to their long lifetime, is also the one restricting their possibilities for a coherent interface.

Here we describe an efficient mechanism for interfacing with the collective quantum state of noble-gas spins. We show that weak spin-exchange collisions between thermal alkali and noble-gas atoms can coherently couple the collective quantum spin state of the two ensembles. We account for the spatial diffusion of the thermal atoms and for the quantum noise associated with the stochastic collisional process. We derive the conditions where the coherent exchange dominates over the relaxation and identify them as the strong coupling regime. We further attest to the quantum nature of the interface using many-body simulations and a detailed many-body model, demonstrating a controllable periodic exchange of nonclassical states between the spin ensembles. Finally, we outline practical experimental conditions for achieving strong coupling.

Noble-gas spins can be accessed via spin-exchange collisions with alkali vapor atoms. Consider a gaseous mixture of \(N_b\) noble-gas atoms with nuclear spin-1/2 and \(N_a\) alkali-metal atoms, all enclosed in a heated spherical cell of volume \(V\) and undergoing frequent collisions. A collision between noble-gas atom \(b\) and alkali atom \(a\) is governed by the Fermi-contact interaction and described by the evolution operator \(\exp(-i\phi \hat{k}_b \cdot \hat{s}_a)\). \(\hat{k}_b\) is the noble-gas nuclear spin operator and \(\hat{s}_a\) is the alkali electronic spin operator of the colliding atoms. \(\phi\) is the mutual precession angle, see Figs. [1] and [11, 24, 25]. While \(\phi\) varies between collisions depending on the random trajectories of the atoms, its value is always positive [27–28]. This is an important property of the isotropic Fermi-contact interaction, leading to a nonzero mean precession \(\langle \phi \rangle\) during collisions.

Between collisions, the electron and nuclear spins of the alkali atoms are altered by their strong hyperfine coupling. Consequently, the slow dynamics of alkali atoms having nuclear spin \(I > 0\) is determined by the operator sum \(\hat{I}_n = \hat{s}_n + \hat{I}_n\), where \(\hat{I}_n\) is the nuclear spin operator of alkali atom \(a\).

The accepted formalism for describing the dynamics of the spin ensembles employs mean-field Bloch equations...
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\[ \hat{K}_z = \sum_{n_a=1}^{N_a} \hat{K}_a \] is the collective noble-gas spin operator, and \[ \hat{K} = \sum_{n_b=1}^{N_b} \hat{K}_b \] is the collective noble-gas spin operator. Here we focus on the particular regime of highly-polarized ensembles, with most of the spins pointing downward \((-\hat{z})\) \[14\]. In this regime, the operators \(\hat{F}_x\) and \(\hat{K}_z\) can be approximated by their classical expectation values \(F_x = -p_a N_a I / 2\) and \(K_z = -p_b N_b / 2\), where \(p_a, p_b \leq 1\) are the degrees of spin polarization \((p_a = p_b = 1\) for the ideal preparation of fully-polarized ensembles). The quantum state of the collective spins is then fully captured by the ladder operators \(\hat{F}_x = F_x \pm i F_y\) and \(\hat{K}_z = K_z \pm i K_y\). Pictorially, for polarized ensembles, these operators describe a small tilt of the macroscopic spin vector, accompanied by spin uncertainty that scales as \(\sqrt{N_a}\) for the alkali ensemble and \(\sqrt{N_b}\) for the noble gas.

To see how quantum spin excitations are associated with these operators, we apply the Holstein-Primakoff transformation \[14\], which describes the collective states in terms of excitations of bosonic fields. We define the annihilation operators of the two ensembles as \(\hat{a} = \hat{F}_x / \sqrt{|F_z|}\) and \(\hat{b} = \hat{K}_z / \sqrt{|K_z|}\). The state \(|0\rangle_a |0\rangle_b\) with zero spins pointing upwards, is identified as the vacuum, and the creation operators \(\hat{a}^\dagger\) and \(\hat{b}^\dagger\) flip upwards one alkali or noble-gas spin.

We model the dynamics of the collective spin ensembles following the steps illustrated in Fig. \[1\]. We derive the dynamics of the collective alkali and noble-gas spin operators from the microscopic picture of a stochastic sequence of random collisions, keeping the correlations developed between different atoms during collisions (see Methods). With the addition of a magnetic field \(B\hat{z}\) and decoherence for the alkali spins at a rate \(\Gamma\), we find that the dynamics of the coupled quantum systems is well approximated by

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \hat{a} &= -iJ\hat{b} + (i\Delta - \Gamma)\hat{a} + \hat{F}_a \\
\partial_t \hat{b} &= -iJ\hat{a}.
\end{align*}
\]

These equations are written in a rotating frame of the noble-gas spins, where \(\Delta = (\gamma_a - \gamma_b)B + \Delta_e\) denotes

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \hat{a} &= -iJ\hat{b} + (i\Delta - \Gamma)\hat{a} + \hat{F}_a \\
\partial_t \hat{b} &= -iJ\hat{a}.
\end{align*}
\]
the mismatch of precession frequencies of the two polarized gases, with $\gamma_a$ and $\gamma_b$ being the gyromagnetic ratios of the alkali and noble-gas spins. At zero magnetic field, the detuning is biased by the mean collisional shift $\Delta_c = g(p_a n_a |I| - p_b n_b)/2$ due to the difference in magnetization of the two spin ensembles [28]. $F_a$ is a quantum noise operator [29]. The decay of the noble-gas spins is omitted here, as we are interested in time scales much shorter than their (hours-long) decoherence time.

A key result of our formalism is the identification of the coupling rate $J = (g/2)\sqrt{|I|p_a p_b n_a n_b}$, which represents the frequency at which the states of the two ensembles are exchanged. From the dependency of $J$ on the atomic densities, we learn that the coupling benefits from collective enhancement. For the special case of coherent spin states, one can reduce the quantum model [Eqs. (2)] to the mean-field model [Eqs. (1)], by employing the transformations $\langle \hat{a} \rangle = \sqrt{2 N_a / (|I| p_a)} \langle \hat{I}^- \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{b} \rangle = \sqrt{2 N_b / p_b} \langle \hat{b}^- \rangle$, which associate the collective displacements with the mean spin of the ensembles.

**Coupling regimes.** With the coupling rate $J$, detuning $\Delta$, and relaxation $\Gamma$, Eqs. (2) has the canonical form of a coupled two-mode system [2]. While $J$ cannot be varied rapidly, $\Delta(\Gamma)$ can be controlled efficiently by varying the external magnetic field $B$ along the polarization axis. $B$ alters $\Delta$ by predominantly altering the precession frequency of the alkali spins, owing to the $(100 - 1000)$-fold difference in the gyromagnetic ratios $\gamma_a$ and $\gamma_b$. When the interaction is set off-resonance $|\Delta(B)| \gg J, \Gamma$, the two collective spins effectively decouple. This decoupling is often used in sensing applications and for searches of new physics, to diminish the effect of the alkali on the noble-gas dynamics [33][34]. In this regime, the alkali and noble-gas spins precess independently; the alkali spin experiences fast relaxation at a rate $\Gamma$, while the noble-gas spin maintains its long coherence time. We simulate this dynamics first for coherent spin states, as shown in Fig. 2a.

Conversely, when the magnetic field is tuned to the so-called ‘compensation point’ $\Delta(B) = 0$ [33], the interaction becomes resonant, and the two spin ensembles hybridize. The magnetic field thus acts as a controllable switch, rapidly coupling or decoupling the two spin ensembles. The alkali-noble-gas hybridization has been demonstrated by Romalis and coworkers in the overdamped regime $J \approx \Gamma \gg |\Delta| [33]$. In this regime, the noble-gas spins inherit a large fraction of the decoherence rate of the alkali spins and thus thermalize before the transfer of the excitations is complete, as shown in Fig. 2b. The overdamped regime features large enhancement in the sensitivity to various external fields and enables the operation as a magnetometer sensor [33][34].

Here we focus on the regime $J \gg \Gamma$, which we identify as **strong coupling**. In this regime, the evolution is governed by the beam-splitter Hamiltonian $hJ(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{a})$, which leads to the exchange of quantum states between the spin ensembles. This is illustrated in Fig. 2b for coherent states, demonstrating a coherent transfer of spin excitations. The exchange can be dynamically tuned by varying the magnetic field strength. In particular, maintaining the resonance conditions $\Delta(B) = 0$ for a duration $t = \pi/(2J)$ and subsequently ramping $B$ up to $\Delta \gg J$ yields a deterministic state transfer between the two ensembles akin to a $\pi$ pulse.

It is crucial to examine also the **incoherent** contribution of the spin-exchange collisions in the strong coupling
Figure 3. Stochastic simulation of the collisional interface. We solve the unitary evolution of the quantum state of $N_a = 100$ electron spins and $N_b = 10^2$ noble-gas spins (in e, $N_a = 30$, $N_b = 300$), initialized in the state $|\psi_0\rangle$. Each electron spin undergoes a spin-exchange collision of random strength ($\phi \ll 1$) with a randomly chosen noble-gas spin every simulation time-step $\tau = 1$; see SI for more details. The collisions are either very weak $\langle \phi \rangle = 10^{-5}$ (a,b,e) or more moderate $\langle \phi \rangle = 3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ (c,d). The electron spins can be initialized with all pointing downwards $|0_a\rangle = |\downarrow \ldots \downarrow\rangle_a$ (“vacuum”), or with one arbitrary spin pointing upwards $|\psi_0\rangle = |\uparrow \downarrow \ldots \downarrow\rangle_a|0_b\rangle$ (“localized excitation”), or in the symmetric state with a single collective excitation $|1_a\rangle = N_a^{-1/2} \sum_a |a\rangle_a|0\rangle_b$ (“collective excitation”). The nuclear spins are initialized in either $|0_b\rangle$ or $|1_b\rangle$. An initial symmetric excitation $|\psi_0\rangle = |1_a\rangle|0_b\rangle$ is coherently exchanged between the two spin ensembles. Strikingly, despite the stochasticity of the collision sequence, the exchange fidelities $F_{10}$ and $F_{01}$ oscillate with high contrast and nearly no decay. $\mathbf{b}$, Almost no oscillation is observed for the localized excitation. The number of up spins in the two ensembles $N_a, N_b$ is nearly constant. $\mathbf{c}$, When the individual collisions are stronger, the coherent exchange is accompanied by dephasing at the rate $\Gamma = \langle \phi^2 \rangle/8$ due to incoherent transfer of the excitation to the large noble-gas ensemble ($N_b \gg N_a$), via the same process underlying SEOP. $\mathbf{d}$, The incoherent transfer is twice as fast ($\Gamma = \langle \phi^2 \rangle/4$) for the localized excitation. $\mathbf{e}$, When $|\psi_0\rangle = |1_a\rangle|1_b\rangle$, the two excitations periodically “bunch” in a superposition of either of the spin ensembles $|2_a\rangle|0_b\rangle + |0_a\rangle|2_b\rangle$, manifesting the nonclassical Hong-Ou-Mandel phenomenon and validating the quantum beam-splitter property of the interface.

Stochastic numerical simulations. To attest to the quantum nature of the interface, we have developed a stochastic many-body simulation, which tracks the quantum state of many spins that randomly collide in the strong-coupling regime (see SI). The simulation allows us to witness and visualize the coherent and collective outcome of the stochastic spin-exchange dynamics. For the sake of simplicity, we assume in the simulations $I = 0$, i.e. $\hat{I}_a \equiv \hat{s}_a$. We choose $\langle \phi \rangle = 10^{-5}$ (which corresponds to realistic $^3$He-K collisions) and initialize the system with either the symmetric excitation $|1_a\rangle|0_b\rangle = N_a^{-1/2} \sum_a |a\rangle_a|0\rangle_b$ (Fig. 3b) or a localized excitation $\hat{\rho}_{10} = |1_a\rangle|0_b\rangle$ (Fig. 3b). The exchange between the two ensembles emerges as a collective phenomenon: For the symmetric Fock state, we observe multiple, high-contrast, oscillations of the fidelity, whereas for the localized excitation, the oscillations are negligible. The transfer amplitude accumulates constructively only for the excitation that is symmetrically shared among all spins, maximizing the rate and fidelity of the periodic exchange. This comparison emphasizes the collective nature of the coupling, which is taken for granted in the mean-field description.

As noted above, the dominance of the coherent exchange over the incoherent transfer and dephasing relies on the collisions being very weak. To exemplify this, we repeat the simulations with the same number of particles but increased $\langle \phi \rangle$ to $3 \cdot 10^{-2}$. As shown in Figs. 3c and 3d, now the electron spin dephases, with a rate $\Gamma \propto k_{se} n_b$, reducing the exchange fidelity. Finally, we simulate the periodic bunching of two spin excitations in either of the two spin ensembles. This evolution, analogous to the...
nonclassical Hong-Ou-Mandel phenomenon, is shown in Fig. 3b. The simulation results demonstrate that the collisional interface supports the reversible, high fidelity, full exchange of nonclassical states between the two spin ensembles.

To elucidate the physical mechanism that renders a deterministic exchange out of random collisions, we describe analytically the quintessential case of an exchange of a single spin excitation. The noble-gas spins are initialized in the state $|0\rangle_b$ with all spins pointing down, and the alkali spins are initialized in the nonclassical Fock state $|1\rangle_a \equiv N_a^{-1/2} \sum_i \hat{k}_a^i |0\rangle_a$, i.e., a symmetric superposition with one of the spins pointing up. After a short time $t$, the system wavefunction $|1\rangle_a|0\rangle_b$ evolves into

$$|1\rangle_a|0\rangle_b - i|0\rangle_a (Jt|1\rangle_b + \epsilon \delta \psi|_b) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2).$$

This evolution, with $Jt, \epsilon \ll 1$, is the onset of transfer of the single spin excitation from the alkali to the noble gas via both deterministic and stochastic contributions; The Fock state $|1\rangle_b \equiv N_b^{-1/2} \sum_i \hat{k}_b^i |0\rangle_b$ manifests the deterministic transfer, while the stochastic wavefunction $|\delta \psi|_b$ represents the transfer to an incoherent mixture of excited noble-gas spins (see SI). To quantify the corresponding transition amplitudes $Jt$ and $\epsilon$, we assume that any alkali is equally likely to collide with any noble-gas atom at a mean time between collisions $\tau = 1/(n_b \sigma v)$. We then find that $Jt = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \phi | \tau \rangle \sqrt{N_a/N_b}$ and that, after many collisions, the stochastic variable $\epsilon$ follows the central limit theorem $\epsilon \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\langle \phi^2 \rangle \tau}$. These results show that at longer times the transition amplitude of the deterministic term in Eq. (3) dominates the transition amplitude of the stochastic term, since $Jt \gg \epsilon$. It is the accumulated mean effect of many weak collisions that leads to deterministic transfer scaling linearly with $t/\tau$, and to fluctuations that only add up incoherently as $\sqrt{t/\tau}$.

**Outline for an experimental demonstration.** We now identify relevant experimental parameters for realizing strong coupling in a $^{39}$K-$^3$He mixture. To maximize the collective coupling rate $J$, we consider reasonably high densities of $n_a = 3.5 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ (vapor pressure at 220°C), $n_b = 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ (7.5 atm), and 30 Torr of $N_2$ for quenching $^{39}$K. The alkali spin polarization can be initialized to $p_a \geq 0.95$ using standard optical pumping $^{2, 3}$. The noble-gas spin can be initialized via SEOP to a moderate yet sufficient polarization $p_b \geq 0.75$ $^{19}$.

A coupling rate of $J = 1000 s^{-1}$ is reached for $|I| = 4$ and $\sigma \phi = 2 \times 10^{-13}$ cm s$^{-1}$ (corresponding to $\langle \phi | \tau \rangle \approx \langle \phi^2 \rangle \approx 2 \times 10^{-10}$ rad$^2$). The resonance condition $\Delta = (\gamma_a - \gamma_b)B + \Delta_c = 0$ is obtained for a magnetic field $B = 80$ mG, predominantly compensating for the large collisional shift $\sigma \phi p_a n_b / (2I)$ experienced by the $^{39}$K, and yielding $\omega = \gamma_a B = \gamma_a B^2 + \Delta_c = 270(2\pi)$ Hz. The high alkali density and polarization and the relatively small $\omega$ put the $^{39}$K spins in the ‘spin-exchange relaxation-free’ (SERF) regime $^{30, 33}$, rendering their relaxation via spin-exchange collisions negligible. The relaxation rate is governed by spin-rotation interaction with $^3$He and $N_2$ and by spin-destruction collisions with other $^{39}$K atoms, giving $\Gamma = 17$ s$^{-1}$ $^{12}$. We thus reach the strong-coupling regime with $J > 60\Gamma$.

The spin state of $^3$He in this system can endure for 100 hours, providing that magnetic-field gradients and magnetic impurities in the cell are minimized $^{21, 24}$. The alkali spins can be initialized in a nonclassical state via entanglement-generation schemes $^{11, 16}$ or by mapping of nonclassical light onto the spin orientation moment $^{99}$. Calculations of the spin dynamics with these parameters in a 2-mm-diameter spherical cell are presented in Fig. 4 for nonclassical Fock state and squeezed state.

**DISCUSSION**

We presented a quantum-mechanical model for the hybrid system of alkali-metal and noble-gas spins. We revealed a collective mechanism that couples the macroscopic quantum states of the two spin ensembles and identified the strong coupling regime, where the quantum spin state of the ensembles can be efficiently exchanged. Finally, we proposed feasible experimental parameters for
reaching the strong-coupling regime.

It is intriguing that weak collisions, despite their stochastic and random nature, could allow for efficient, reversible and controllable exchange of excitations. It is particularly counter-intuitive that this exchange preserves the unique quantum statistics of nonclassical states. Equations (2) manifest such a genuine quantum interface, as they describe the exchange between the operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$, which in turn encapsulate the full quantum statistics of the collective spins states. The effect of the randomness of collisions on the quantum statistics is then incorporated in the noise operator $\hat{F}_a$.

In stochastic systems, the variance of the quantum noise satisfies $\langle \hat{F}_a \hat{F}_a^\dagger \rangle \geq \Gamma$ for any relaxation rate $\Gamma$ and state of the reservoir [29]. Minimal input noise is achieved only if the reservoir is in a vacuum state, satisfying $\langle \hat{F}_a \hat{F}_a^\dagger \rangle = \Gamma$. For high spin polarization, we find that the spin-exchange noise becomes a vacuum noise operator (see Methods). Thus the added quantum noise in the interface due to spin-exchange is the minimal possible noise for an open quantum system. These results are also apparent in the exchange of a single spin excitation, where we indeed realize the minimal noise variance $\langle \hat{F}_a \hat{F}_a^\dagger \rangle = \epsilon^2 / t = \Gamma$.

It is also important to discuss the general effect of imperfect spin polarization $p_a, p_b < 1$ on the dynamics. Imperfect polarization of the noble-gas is manifested only in a small reduction of $J$ and a small increase in the spin-exchange noise, which is well tolerable experimentally. $^3$He being spin-$1/2$ could be treated as a mixture of $p_b N_b$ polarized atoms and $(1 - p_b) N_b$ unpolarized atoms, thus maintaining a minimal uncertainty vacuum noise even at a low spin polarization [40]. Imperfect alkali polarization, on the other hand, could increase the noise variance of the collective states for $I > 0$ [2, 8, 41]. However, high alkali polarization is experimentally attainable, maintaining a minimal uncertainty vacuum noise of the alkali spins.

The proposed mechanism allows for a controllable state exchange with potentially minimal added noise. Such interface is of particular importance when it comes to noble-gas spins, which are extremely long-lived but optically inaccessible. The situation resembles quantum-logic operations with nuclear ensembles in solids, where a long-lived nuclear spin is accessible via the hyperfine interaction with an electron spin, which is optically manipulated and interrogated [42]. Therefore, this work paves the way towards wider applications of noble-gas spins in quantum optics, including long-lived quantum memories and long-distance entanglement at ambient conditions, as well as to fundamental research of the limits of quantum theory for macroscopic entangled objects.
Methods

DETAILED MODEL OF THE MANY-BODY PROBLEM

Spin-exchange interaction. Consider a gaseous mixture of $N_a$ alkali-metal atoms and $N_b$ noble-gas atoms enclosed in a spherical cell. Each alkali atom, labeled by $a$, has a valence electron with a spin $S = 1/2$ operator $\hat{s}_a$, in addition to its nuclear spin $I > 0$ with spin operator $\hat{I}_a$. Each noble-gas atom, labeled by $b$, has a nucleus with a $K = 1/2$ spin, represented by $\hat{K}_b$. During collisions, the noble-gas spin interacts only with the valence electron. The Hamiltonian of the two spin ensembles is given by $H(t) = H_0 + \mathcal{V}(t)$, where

$$H_0 = h a_{\text{hpf}} \sum_a \hat{s}_a + h \omega_a [I] \sum_a \hat{s}_{a,z} + h \omega_b \sum_b \hat{k}_{b,z} \tag{4}$$

is the non-interacting Hamiltonian of the two spin ensembles. $a_{\text{hpf}}$ denotes the hyperfine coupling constant in the ground state of the alkali atom [12], and $\omega_a$ and $\omega_b$ are the Larmor frequencies of the alkali and noble-gas spins induced by an external magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = B \hat{z}$. The microscopic many-body Hamiltonian, governed by the Fermi-contact interaction [26, 27], is given by

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \sum_{a=1}^{N_a} \sum_{b=1}^{N_b} \hbar a_{\alpha(b)}(t) \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b. \tag{5}$$

This form conserves the total spin of the colliding pairs. The instantaneous interaction strength $a_{\alpha(b)}(t)$ between atoms $a$ and $b$ is determined by the specific microscopic trajectory of each atom.

The spatial degrees of freedom of the thermal atoms are classical. Their coordinates $r_a(t)$ and $r_b(t)$ follow ballistic trajectories, which are independent of the spin state and governed by the classical Langevin equation. The collisions in the gas can be considered as sudden and binary; the mean collision duration $\tau$ is only a few picoseconds [12], whereas the mean time between collisions for an alkali atom $\tau$ is a few nanoseconds at ambient pressure. Since collisions are isolated in time ($\tau_c \ll \tau$), the interaction strength can be approximated by a train of instantaneous events $a_{\alpha(b)}(t) = \sum_i \hbar a_{\alpha(b)} \delta(t - t_{i}^{(j)}(i))$. Here $\phi_{ab}^{(i)}$ denotes the phase $\phi$ that spins $a$ and $b$ accumulate during the $i$th collision, and $t_{i}^{(j)}(i)$ denotes the time of collision, as determined from the particles trajectories (see SI).

We consider short times $\tau'$, typically a few tens of picoseconds, such that $\tau \gg \tau' \gg \tau_c$ but each atom experiences at most a single collision. In other words, we assume that if a collision occurred between an alkali spin $a$ and a noble-gas spin $b$, then neither $a$ nor $b$ collided with other atoms during $\tau'$. Consequently, $\mathcal{V}(t)$ has no more than one appearance of each spin operator and thus commutes with itself, such that the time-evolution operator is simplified to

$$U_I(t + \tau', t) = \exp \left( -i \sum_{ab} \sum_{t} \alpha_{ab}^{(i)} \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b \right). \tag{6}$$

Here $\sum_{t}$ denotes the sum over all collision instances that occur during the short time interval in which $t_{i}^{(j)}(i) \in [t, t + \tau']$. For weak collisions, the mutual precession is small $\phi_{ab}^{(i)} \ll 1$, and the exponential term in Eq. (6) can be expanded to leading orders in $\phi$ as a Dyson series

$$U_I \approx U_I^{(0)} + U_I^{(1)} + U_I^{(2)} + \ldots \tag{7}$$

Here the lowest-order terms are $U_I^{(0)}(t + \tau', t) = \mathbb{1}$,$U_I^{(1)}(t + \tau', t) = -i \sum_{ab} \sum_{t} \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b$, and $U_I^{(2)}(t + \tau', t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{abcd} \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \phi_{cd}^{(j)} \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b(\hat{s}_c \cdot \hat{k}_d)$.

This simplified form provides for the evolution of any quantum mechanical operator $\hat{A}$ after time $\tau'$, $\Delta \hat{A} = U_I^{(0)} U_I^{(1)} U_I^{(2)} U_I^{(3)} \ldots A(t) U_I^{(3)} U_I^{(2)} U_I^{(1)} U_I^{(0)} A(t)$, where $U = e^{-i\mathcal{H}_0 \tau'} U_I$ is in the Heisenberg picture. Up to second order in $\phi$, the dynamics of $\hat{A}$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{\tau'} \Delta \hat{A} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{A}, \mathcal{H}_0] - \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{A}, \mathcal{V}] + \mathcal{L}(\hat{A}). \tag{8}$$

The first term is the standard Hamiltonian evolution governed by $\mathcal{H}_0$ and independent of $\phi$. The second term describes a unitary evolution during a collision with an effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{V} = \sum_{ab} \sum_{t} \frac{\hbar}{\tau'} \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b, \tag{9}$$

which is first-order in the precession angle $\phi$. The third term $\mathcal{L}(\hat{A})$ is proportional to $\phi^2$ and has the structure of a standard Lindblad term

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{A}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} \sum_{t} \phi_{ab}^{(i)} [\hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b, \hat{s}_a \cdot \hat{k}_b]. \tag{10}$$

We note however that this operator is not associated with a decay but is rather a second-order correction to the unitary evolution.

To describe the short-time evolution of the spin ensembles, we derive the time-evolution operator $U_I$ in the interaction picture. This operator satisfies $i\hbar \partial_t U_I = \mathcal{V}_I U_I$, where $\mathcal{V}_I(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{V}(t) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}_0}$ is the Hamiltonian in the interaction picture. The collisions are sudden $\mathcal{H}_0 \tau_c \ll 1$ (except at extremely strong magnetic fields), rendering the evolution by $\mathcal{H}_0$ negligible during the short
time of collision (typically $\tau_c \approx 1$ psec and $B < 1$ G, such that $\omega_c \tau_c \lesssim 10^{-7}$). As a result, we can assume that $H_0$ and $V$ commute, hence $\Psi(t) = V(t)$. The evolution of the single-spin operators $\hat{s}_a$ and $\hat{k}_b$ in the time interval $\tau'$ are then derived from Eq. (5), yielding

$$
\Delta \hat{s}_a = \sum_a \sum_i \phi_{i}^{(a)} [\hat{k}_n \times \hat{s}_a + \phi_{i}^{(a)} [\hat{k}_n - \hat{s}_a)] / 4,
$$
$$
\Delta \hat{k}_b = \sum_m \sum_i \phi_{i}^{(b)} [\hat{s}_m \times \hat{k}_b + \phi_{i}^{(b)} [\hat{s}_m - \hat{k}_b)] / 4.
$$
(11)

This form conserves the total spin of each colliding pair $a - b$, since $\Delta (\hat{s}_a + \hat{k}_b) = 0$. Equation (11) describes the precession of pairs of spins, as illustrated in Fig. 1a. This evolution is unitary to second order in the precession angle $\phi$, while contributions of higher-order terms are neglected in the truncation of Eq. (7).

Between collisions, the nuclear spin of the alkali atoms is altered by the strong hyperfine interaction with the electron. Consequently, the slow dynamics of the alkali atoms should be described in terms of the operator sum $\hat{f}_a = \hat{s}_a + \hat{k}_b$. Here we focus on polarized alkali vapors, for which $\hat{f}_a \approx |\vec{S}_a|$, with $|\vec{S}_a| = 2I + 1$.

The slow evolution of the spins depends on the cumulative effect of multiple collisions among different atoms. At the macroscopic limit, it is formidable to keep track of the kinematic details of all atoms, given a large set of collision times $t^{(i)}_{ab}$ and strengths $\phi^{(i)}_{ab}$. Instead, we represent the exact values of $t^{(i)}_{ab}$ and $\phi^{(i)}_{ab}$ by their equivalent random variables $\sum t^{(i)}_{ab} \to q_{ab}(t, \tau') \phi_{ab}(t)$ and $\sum \phi_{ab}^{(i)} \to q_{ab}(\tau', \tau') \phi_{ab}(t)$. Here $q_{ab}(t, \tau')$ is a Bernoulli process indicating whether a collision between particles $a$ and $b$ has occurred during the short time interval $[t, t + \tau']$, with $\tau' \gg h/\omega_{\text{hf}}$. $\phi_{ab}(t)$ is a random variable with a mean $\langle \phi \rangle$ and variance $\text{var} \langle \phi \rangle$, determined by the microscopic properties of the pairwise collision, including the impact parameter and the reduced-velocity distributions as derived in Ref. [25]. The operation $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes an average over the microscopic kinematic parameters. The stochastic nature of $\phi_{ab}$ manifests the randomness in the interaction strength, while the stochastic nature of $q_{ab}$ manifests the randomness in pairing the colliding atoms. We derive the statistical properties of $q_{ab}$ as a function of the microscopic kinematic variables in SI, yielding

$$
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau') \rangle = \delta_{ab} \delta_{\tau \tau'} \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau') \rangle = \text{var} \langle \tau' \rangle \langle \phi_{ab} \rangle
$$

We are now set to perform spatial coarse-graining. First, we replace the discrete atomic operators with the continuous operators $\hat{f}(r, t) \equiv \sum_a \hat{f}_a(t) \delta(|r - r_a(t)|)$ and $\hat{k}(r, t) \equiv \sum_b \hat{k}_b(t) \delta(|r - r_b(t)|)$ (cf. [2, 5]). We then perform the spatial convolutions $\hat{f}(r, t) \to \hat{f}(r, t) * w(r)$ and $\hat{k}(r, t) \to \hat{k}(r, t) * w(r)$ with a window function $w(r) = \Theta(|r| / l) / V_l$ representing a control volume $V_l = 4 \pi l^3 / 3$, where $\Theta$ is the Heaviside function. The central-limit theorem is valid for this coarse-graining operation as long as $V_l$ contains a large number of particles $V_l n_a, V_l n_b \gg 1$. Consequently, $\hat{f}(r, t)$ and $\hat{k}(r, t)$ become local symmetric spin operators, and the specific particle labels are superseded by the spatial coordinate $r$.

Next we consider the collisional part of the evolution of $\hat{f}(r, t)$ and $\hat{k}(r, t)$ at time intervals much longer than $\tau'$,

$$
\partial \hat{f}(r, t) = g \hat{k} \times \hat{f} - \frac{1}{\tau} k_{\text{se}} n_b \hat{f} + k_{\text{se}} n_a \hat{k} + \hat{F}_{\text{ex}},
$$
$$
\partial \hat{k}(r, t) = g \hat{f} \times \hat{k} - \frac{1}{\tau} k_{\text{se}} n_b \hat{k} + \frac{1}{\tau} k_{\text{se}} n_a \hat{f} - \hat{F}_{\text{ex}}.
$$
(12)

The first term in Eqs. (12) represents the average mutual precession of the two symmetric spin operators within the coarse-graining volume, with the local interaction strength given by $g \equiv \langle \sigma \tau \phi \rangle / |\vec{I}|$. As it describes coherent dynamics, it can be associated with an effective coherent spin-exchange Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{V}_{\text{ex}} = \hbar g \int d^3 r_a \int d^3 r_b \delta(|r_a - r_b|) \hat{f}(r_a, t) \cdot \hat{k}(r_b, t).
$$
(13)

The second and third terms in Eqs. (12) represent incoherent transfer of spin polarization from one species to the other, while conserving the total spin. Here $n_a = \sum_b w(r - r_a)$ and $n_b = \sum_a w(r - r_b)$ denote the local densities of the two spin ensembles, and $k_{\text{se}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma \tau \sigma \phi \rangle$ is known as the binary spin-exchange rate coefficient [12]. In particular, the term $k_{\text{se}} n_a \hat{f}(r, t)$ is responsible for hyper-polarization of the noble-gas by optically pumped alkali-metal spins, underlying the SEOP technique [12, 24]. Notably, incoherent effects are second order in $\phi$, and since $\langle \sigma \tau \phi \rangle / |\vec{I}| \ll 1$ (typically $10^{-5}$), $g$ is substantially larger than $k_{\text{se}}$. The fluctuation vector-operator $\hat{F}_{\text{ex}}$ is defined in Eq. (18).

**Comparison to mean-field model.** We compare Eqs. (12) with the existing mean-field theory and associate our model parameters with those obtained from experiments. The mean-field spin operators are related to our formalism by $\langle \hat{f} \rangle \equiv \int d^3 r \langle \hat{f}(r, t) \rangle / N_a$ and $\langle \hat{k} \rangle \equiv \int d^3 r \langle \hat{k}(r, t) \rangle / N_b$, where $\langle \psi \rangle$ is the initial wavefunction of the system. Substituting these definitions in Eqs. (12) and using $\langle \hat{F}_{\text{ex}} \rangle = 0$ recover the standard Bloch equations [Eqs. (1)].

In terms of experimentally measured parameters, the interaction strength $g$ is given by $g = 8 \pi n_a g_s g_m \mu_B \mu_0 / (3 |\vec{I}| \hbar)$, where $g_e = 2$ is the electron g-factor, $g_m$ is the g-factor of the noble-gas nucleus, $\mu_B$ is Bohr magneton, $\mu_0$ is the magnetic moment of noble-gas spin, and $\mu_0$ is the enhancement factor over the classical magnetic field due to the attraction of the alkali-metal electron to the noble-gas nucleus during a collision [12, 28]. For K$^\text{3He}$ at $T = 220^\circ$C, $g = 4.9 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^3$/s$^{-1}$ and $k_{\text{se}} = 5.5 \times 10^{-20}$ cm$^3$/s$^{-1}$ [12, 28]. Roughly estimating $\sigma \approx 8 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ from the K$^\text{3He}$ inter-atomic potential [44] and using a typical centrifugal potential with an angular momentum $40 \hbar$ yield an estimate of the
precession angles $\langle \phi \rangle \approx g [I] / \sigma v = 1.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{rad}$ and $\langle \phi^2 \rangle \approx 4 \sigma_{ee}/\sigma v = 1.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{rad}^2$.

**Dynamics with diffusion and relaxation.** To describe the spatial dynamics of a macroscopic ensemble of alkali and noble-gas spins in the presence of relaxation, we write the Heisenberg-Langevin equations for $\hat{f}(r,t)$ and $\hat{k}(r,t)$

$$\partial_t \hat{f} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{f}, \hat{H_0} + V_{\text{ex}} \right] + D_a \nabla^2 \hat{f} - \gamma_a \hat{f} + \hat{F}_a,$$

$$\partial_t \hat{k} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{k}, \hat{H_0} + V_{\text{ex}} \right] + D_b \nabla^2 \hat{k} - \gamma_b \hat{k} + \hat{F}_b. \tag{14}$$

Here we assume standard noble-gas pressures ($10^{-1} - 10^4$ Torr), of which frequent collisions with the noble-gas atoms render the thermal motion diffusive, as described by the diffusion terms $D_a \nabla^2 \hat{f}$ and $D_b \nabla^2 \hat{k} \cite{15}$. For polarized alkali vapor, the interaction-free Hamiltonian from Eq. (14) obtains the simple form $\hat{H_0} = \hat{f} \int d^3 r [\hat{\omega}_a \hat{f}(r,t) + \hat{\omega}_b \hat{k}(r,t)]$, and $V_{\text{ex}}$ is given in Eq. (13). We emphasize that this model can describe the evolution of many-body quantum spin states.

Equations (14) encapsulate the various spin dissipation mechanisms into the relaxation rates $\gamma_a$ and $\gamma_b$. The relaxation rate of alkali-metal spins is given by

$$\gamma_a = n_b (\sigma_{st} v + k_{se}) + n_a \sigma_{sd} v_a / 2, \tag{15}$$

consisting of collisional spin-orbit coupling, spin-exchange interaction with the noble gas nuclei, and spin-destruction via binary collisions of alkali-metal spins (cross-section $\sigma_{sd}$, mean atomic velocity $v_a$) \cite{12}. The relaxation rate $\Gamma$ in the main text can then be approximated by $\Gamma = \gamma_a + D_a \pi^2 / R^2$, including the leading order of the diffusion relaxation. The relaxation rate of the noble-gas spins is given by

$$\gamma_b = k_{se} n_a + T_b^{-1}, \tag{16}$$

where $T_b^{-1}$ is the coherence time of the noble gas in the absence of alkali atoms, usually limited by inhomogeneity of the magnetic field \cite{21}. The incoherent spin-transfer terms [third term in Eqs. (12)], which have negligible effect on the coherent dynamics, are omitted for brevity. In the experiments considered in the main text, $\gamma_a^{-1} = 50 \text{ms}$ and $\gamma_b^{-1} = 1 - 100 \text{ hours}$, hence $\gamma_b \ll \gamma_a$. The Langevin vacuum-noise operators $\hat{F}_a$ and $\hat{F}_b$ in Eq. (14) account for fluctuations and for preserving commutation relations under the relaxations $\gamma_a \gamma_b$ and diffusion \cite{28}; their manifestation as scalar operators $\hat{F}_a$ and $\hat{F}_b$ after the Holstein-Primakoff approximation is given below.

As described in the main text, we consider highly-polarized ensembles with most spins pointing downward ($-\hat{z}$) \cite{112}, approximate $f_z = -n_a n_b [I] / 2$ and $k_z = -p_b n_b / 2$, and apply the Holstein-Primakoff transformation \cite{2}, to represent the collective states as excitations of a bosonic field with local annihilation operators $\hat{a}(r,t) = \hat{f}(r,t) / \sqrt{\left| \kappa_1 r_1 \right|}$ and $\hat{b}(r,t) = \hat{k}(r,t) / \sqrt{\left| \kappa_2 r_2 \right|}$. The creation operators $\hat{a}^\dagger(r,t)$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger(r,t)$ flip upwards one alkali or noble-gas spin at position $r$.

When the two gases are polarized, the energy cost of flipping a spin in one specie is the sum of Zeeman shift, due to the external magnetic field, and so-called collisional shift, due to local magnetization of the other specie \cite{28}. The altered Larmor frequencies $\omega_a = \hat{\omega}_a - gp_n n_b / 2$ and $\omega_b = \hat{\omega}_b - gp_a n_a [I] / 2$ are obtained when rewriting Eqs. (14) in terms of $\hat{a}(r,t)$ and $\hat{b}(r,t)$,

$$\partial_t \hat{a} = -(i\omega_a + D_a \nabla^2) \hat{a} - iJ \hat{b} + \hat{F}_a,$$

$$\partial_t \hat{b} = -(i\omega_b + D_b \nabla^2) \hat{b} - iJ \hat{a} + \hat{F}_b. \tag{17}$$

Importantly, here we obtain the coherent spin-exchange rate $J = g \sqrt{\left| I \right|} p_a p_b n_a n_b / 2$, responsible for the local coupling of the two gases as illustrated in Fig. 2.

We numerically solve the differential Eqs. (17) for two particular cases, using the experimental parameters outlined in the main text. The analytical solution of Eqs. (17) utilizes a decomposition to coupled spatial (diffusion) modes, given in SI. Our calculation uses the first 80 spherically symmetric least-decaying modes. First, the spatially symmetric uniform mode of the alkali-metal spin ensemble is initialized in a Fock state with two spin excitations. The fidelity of transferring the excitations to the uniform mode of the noble-gas spins is presented in Fig. 4. Here, by changing $\Delta$ at $t = \pi / (2J)$, the excitation transfer is complete, and the noble gas becomes decoupled from the alkali and free from collision-induced relaxation. Second, the uniform mode of the alkali-metal spin ensemble is initialized in a squeezed vacuum state with 7 dB of squeezing. The squeezing is transferred to the noble-gas spins with high fidelity, as presented in Fig. 5b. The calculations are converged, with no significant improvement obtained when increasing the number of calculated modes.

**NOISE ASSOCIATED WITH SPIN-EXCHANGE COLLISIONS**

The fluctuation vector-operator $\vec{\hat{F}}_{\text{ex}}$ in Eqs. (12) can be defined by (cf. Ref. \cite{16})

$$\vec{\hat{F}}_{\text{ex}}(r,t) dt = -g \hat{k}(r,t) \times \vec{\hat{f}}(r,t) dt \tag{18}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\tau} \int_t^{t+dt} ds \sum_{ab} q_{ab}(s, \tau') \varphi_a(s) w(r_b - r_a) \hat{K}_b \times \hat{s}_a,$$

accounting for the stochastic fine-grained dynamics [of Eq. (11)] within the coarse-grained description [of Eq. (12)]. Similarly to what we observe in the toy-model simulation (Fig. 3 and SI), the operator $\vec{\hat{F}}_{\text{ex}}$ describes fluctuations of order $\langle \phi^2 \rangle$ in the coherent mutual-precession process, manifesting a stochastic superposition of non-symmetric local spin-operators. Fluctuation
in the incoherent terms are of order $\langle \phi^4 \rangle$ and thus negligible.

We now examine the statistical properties of the fluctuation operator $\hat{F}_{\text{ex}}$. It is zero on average $\langle \hat{F}_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = 0$, and its correlations satisfy

$$\langle \hat{F}_{\text{ex},i}(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{F}_{\text{ex},j}(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = \frac{1}{2} n_b \kappa_{\text{ex}} \delta (t - t') w(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \bar{L}_{ij},$$

where $\bar{L}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - 2 \{ \hat{k}_i, \hat{s}_j \}/(n_b \kappa_{\text{ex}} + \epsilon_{ijm}(\kappa_m/n_b + \bar{s}_m/n_a)$. Here $i, j, m \in \{x, y, z\}$ and the symbol $\epsilon_{ijm}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor. We interpret $\hat{F}_{\text{ex}}$ as temporally and spatially white, since its correlations are proportional to $\delta (t - t')$ and to the coarse-grained delta function $w(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. Furthermore, the coarse-grained commutation relations $[\hat{s}_i(\mathbf{r}, t), \hat{s}_j(\mathbf{r}', t)] = iw(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \epsilon_{ijm} \bar{s}_m(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $[\hat{k}_i(\mathbf{r}, t), \hat{k}_j(\mathbf{r}', t)] = iw(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \epsilon_{ijm} \kappa_m(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are preserved. Indeed, the relaxation of the commutation relations after $dt$ due to the loss terms in Eqs. (12) is exactly balanced by the fluctuations $\hat{F}_{\text{ex},i}(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{F}_{\text{ex},j}(\mathbf{r}', t') dt^2$. We therefore formally identify $\hat{F}_{\text{ex}}$ as a quantum white noise operator [29] originating from the randomness of the collisional interaction.

For polarized spin ensembles, the corresponding noise correlations are found to have the standard form of a vacuum noise [29], satisfying

$$\langle \hat{F}_{\text{ex}}^+(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{F}_{\text{ex}}^+(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 2 n_b \kappa_{\text{ex}} \delta (t - t') w(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'),$$
$$\langle \hat{F}_{\text{ex}}^-(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{F}_{\text{ex}}^-(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 0.$$

We thus conclude that for polarized states, the noise added by $\hat{F}_{\text{ex}}$ due to spin-exchange is the minimal possible noise. For imperfect spin polarization close to unity, the first order correction to the noise variance is given by $\langle \hat{F}_{\text{ex}}^2 \rangle = (1 - 2 \gamma q) \delta (t - t') w(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. We also note that, in practice, the relaxation due to spin-exchange (accompanied by $\hat{F}_{\text{ex}}$) is small compared to that originating from other sources. For example, the relaxation rate of the alkali electron spin due to spin exchange is $n_b \kappa_{\text{ex}}$, whereas the relaxation rate due the spin-orbit coupling during collisions is $n_b \kappa_{\text{se}} v$, where $\sigma_{\text{se}}$ is the spin-rotation cross-section. The relative importance of these two mechanisms is characterized by the parameter $\eta = \kappa_{\text{se}}/(\sigma_{\text{se}} v)$, where $\eta = 0.28$ for K$^3$He, $\eta = 0.03$ for Rb$^3$He, and $\eta < 0.01$ for Cs$^3$He at 220$^\circ$C [12]. The relaxation of the noble-gas spins due to spin exchange with alkali spins is negligible for $t \ll (n_b \kappa_{\text{se}})^{-1} < 10$ hours when operating with $n_b = 3.5 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$. It is probable that the other relaxation mechanism of the alkali spins also satisfy the vacuum property. Then, the noise terms $\hat{F}_a = (\hat{F}_{a,x} + i \hat{F}_{a,y})/\sqrt{\kappa_a}$ and $\hat{F}_b = (\hat{F}_{b,x} + i \hat{F}_{b,y})/\sqrt{\kappa_b}$ satisfy $\hat{F}_a = (\hat{F}^\dagger a \hat{F}_a) = 0 \text{ and } \hat{F}_b = (\hat{F}^\dagger b \hat{F}_b)/\sqrt{\kappa_b}/(\kappa_a/n_b + \bar{s}_b/n_a).$

The spin-exchange interaction. The function $C_q(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is the diffusion component of the noise correlation function [17], independent of the spin-exchange interaction or of the other relaxation mechanisms incorporated in $\gamma_q$.
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1. **Stochastic simulation of spin-exchange collisions**

Here we detail the mathematical formulation of the stochastic numerical simulations used in Fig. 3 in the main text, as well as the derivation of the properties of a single spin excitation in Eq. 3 in the main text. Our simulations solve the Hamiltonian time-evolution of a quantum state of $N_a$ electron spins (alkali-like atoms with $S = 1/2$) and $N_b$ noble-gas spins ($K = 1/2$) when nearly all spins point downwards. In each simulation time-step, we pair each electron spin (labeled $a$) with a single random noble-gas spin (labeled $b$). The pair selections at time-step $n$ is encapsulated in the random variable $q_{ab}^{(n)}$, with $q_{ab}^{(n)} = 1$ if spin $a$ and $b$ collide and $q_{ab}^{(n)} = 0$ otherwise. We constrain the pairing process by $q_{ab}^{(n)} q_{ba}^{(n)} = 0$ for $a \neq c$, ensuring that each noble-gas spin interacts with at most a single electron spin at each time-step. The collision between spins $a$ and $b$ is described by the exchange Hamiltonian $V_{ab} = \hat{s}_a + \hat{k}_b - \hat{k}_a + \hat{s}_b$, where $\hat{s}_{a\pm}$ and $\hat{k}_{b\pm}$ are ladder spin operators. The scattering-matrix per electron spin $a$ at time-step $n$ is given by

$$U_n^{(a)} = \sum_b q_{ab}^{(n)} \left[ i \sin \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi_a^{(n)} \right) \cdot (|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow\uparrow\rangle) - 2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \phi_a^{(n)} \right) \cdot (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow\uparrow\rangle) \right] + 1,$$

(S1)

where $|\downarrow\rangle \equiv |\psi_0\rangle_0 |\rangle_1 b$, $|\uparrow\rangle \equiv |\psi_0\rangle_0 |\rangle_1 a$, and $1$ is the identity operator. This form describes the dynamics at the compensation point, where the precession of the two gases is synchronized ($\omega_a = \omega_b$). The collision angle $\phi_a^{(n)}$ is a random variable, sampled from a uniform distribution in the range $[0, 2\pi]$, where $\langle \phi \rangle \ll 1$ is an input parameter. The wavefunction of the system then evolves by $|\psi(n + 1)\rangle = U_n|\psi(n)\rangle$, where the time-evolution operator $U_n$ is given by $U_n = \Pi_r U_n^{(a)}$. The duration of each time step is the mean time between collisions of an alkali atom with any of the noble-gas atoms $\tau$. In units of $\tau$, time is defined as $t = n$.

The simulation results presented in Figs. 3(a,b) are restricted to the Hilbert subspace of a single spin-up excitation, represented by the wavefunctions $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_a+N_b} c_i(t) |\downarrow\ldots\downarrow\rangle_{a\ldots b}$ for a set of complex-valued coefficients $c_i(t)$ satisfying $\sum_i |c_i(t)|^2 = 1$. Note that this subspace is invariant to the exchange operation due to conservation of the total spin. We simulate the system for $N_a = 100$, $N_b = 10^4$, $\langle \phi \rangle = 10^{-5}$, with either initial symmetric excitations $|\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b$ (Fig. 3a) or localized excitations $|\psi_0\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\ldots\downarrow\rangle_a |\downarrow\rangle_b$ (Fig. 3b). The contrast in the latter case scales with the small overlap $|\langle 1\rangle_a |\uparrow\downarrow\ldots\downarrow\rangle_a |\rangle_b$. The rate of the collective oscillations is found to be $2J = \sqrt{N_a/N_b} \langle \phi \rangle / \tau$, fully agreeing with the mean field analysis.

In Figs. 3c and 3d, we set $\langle \phi \rangle = 3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ and repeat the simulations. We observe the electron spin dephasing and loss at a rate $\Gamma_a = \langle \phi^2 \rangle / (4\tau)$ (Fig. 3d), which is reduced by a factor of two when the two spin ensembles are strongly coupled (Fig. 3c). Note that the noble-gas spin dephasing $\Gamma_b = N_a/N_b \cdot \Gamma_a$ is negligible since $N_a \ll N_b$. In Fig. 3e, we simulate the system evolution in the spin-exchange-invariant subspace comprising two spin excitations $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{i\neq j}^{N_a+N_b} c_{ij}(t) |\downarrow\ldots\downarrow\rangle_{a\ldots b}$ for $\sum_{i\neq j} |c_{ij}(t)|^2 = 1$. Here we use $N_a = 30$, $N_b = 300$, $\langle \phi \rangle = 10^{-5}$, and $|\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |1\rangle_b$. These simulations demonstrate an analogy to the nonclassical Hong-Ou-Mandel phenomenon utilizing a beam-splitter with variable reflectivity. At $t \approx \pi m / (2J)$ for any integer $m$, the two excitations are bunched in either of the two spin ensembles (analogous to the ports of the beam-splitter), generating an entangled state.

For a system initialized with a symmetric excitation $|\psi_0\rangle = |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b$, to first order in $\phi$ the evolution is given by $|\psi(t/\tau)\rangle = (1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{t/\tau} \sum_{ab} q_{ab}^{(n)} \phi_a^{(n)} (\hat{s}_{a-b}) |\psi_0\rangle)$ using $\hat{s}_{a-b} - |\psi_0\rangle = 0$. The second term is then decomposed into the deterministic and stochastic terms $-iJ |1\rangle_a |0\rangle_b$ and $-i\epsilon |1\rangle_a |\psi\rangle_b$ as outlined in the main text. Here we identify the stochastic transition amplitude $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b} \left( \sum_{a} \sum_{n} \phi_a^{(n)} (\hat{\delta})_{ab}^{(n)} / N_a \right)^{1/2}$ and the stochastic wavefunction $\epsilon |\psi\rangle_b = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{N_a}} \sum_{b} \left( \sum_{a} \phi_a^{(n)} (\hat{\delta})_{ab}^{(n)} \right) |\psi_b\rangle = |\tilde{\phi}_b^{(n)}\rangle$, both depending on the fluctuation of the spins precession $\delta_{ab}^{(n)} = q_{ab}^{(n)} \phi_a^{(n)} - \langle \phi \rangle / N_b$, and $\langle \phi \rangle \equiv \langle \phi_a^{(n)} \rangle$. Following the central limit we find the result in the main text $\epsilon \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\langle \phi^2 \rangle t / \tau}$ where $\langle \phi^2 \rangle \equiv \langle |\phi_a^{(n)}|^2 \rangle$.

2. **Coupled spatial modes**

Here we present a numerical solution for Eq. 17 in the methods section in the main text, using a set of mode-function basis. This decomposition is illustratively shown in Fig 1d, and was used in the numerical calculation in Fig. 4.

The irreversibility of the evolution in Eq. 17 is dominated by alkali-spin relaxation and by spatial atomic diffusion. In principle, absence the diffusion, the dynamics of $\hat{a} (r, t)$ and $\hat{b} (r, t)$ at any location $r$ would be unitary and deterministic.
for short times $t \ll (\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^{-1}$. That could allow for local (multi-mode) coupling of the two gases, owing to the locality of the collisional interaction. In practice, however, the diffusion term is dominant in the dynamics. It is therefore fruitful to consider the spatial modes of the collisional interaction. In practice, however, the diffusion term is dominant in the dynamics. 

The dynamics of the spatial modes $\hat{a}_m(t)$ and $\hat{b}_n(t)$, illustrated in Fig. 1d, is described by coupled-mode equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \hat{a}_m &= -(i\omega_a + \gamma_a)\hat{a}_m - iJ \sum_n c_{mn} \hat{b}_n + F_{am} \\
\partial_t \hat{b}_n &= -(i\omega_b + \gamma_b)\hat{b}_n - iJ \sum_m c_{mn} \hat{a}_m + F_{bn}
\end{align*}
\] (S2)

with an effective coupling $J c_{mn}$ that is determined by their integrated spatial overlap coefficients $c_{mn} = \int A_m(r) B_n^*(r) d^3r$, being elements of a unitary matrix. The noise terms of the $m$-th and $n$-th modes are $F_{am} = \int A_m(r) \hat{F}_a(r) d^3r$ and $F_{bn} = \int B_n(r) \hat{F}_b(r) d^3r$. 

Eqs. (S2) describe the coupling of any quantum mode of one gas with the $N_{\text{modes}} \approx V/V_i$ modes of the other gas. In practice however, the majority of modes are barely coupled. It is constructive to differentiate between the set of high-order modes of the diffusion operator, defined by $R = \{ \hat{a}_m, \hat{b}_m | \gamma_{ar}, \gamma_{br} > J \}$ ($0 \leq r < N_{\text{modes}}$) and the complementary set of stable modes $S = 1 \setminus R$. The high-order modes $R$ are characterized by rapid relaxation due to the thermal motion of the atoms. These modes experience little coherent interaction and, at long timescales $dt \gg 1/\gamma_{ar}, 1/\gamma_{br}$, are governed by

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}_r(t) &= \hat{w}_{ar}(t) - \frac{iJ}{\gamma_{ar} - i\Delta} \sum_{n \in S} c_{rn} \hat{b}_n(t) \\
\hat{b}_r(t) &= \hat{w}_{br}(t) - \frac{iJ}{\gamma_{br} + i\Delta} \sum_{m \in S} c_{mr} \hat{a}_m(t)
\end{align*}
\] (S3)

The first terms, $\hat{w}_{qr}(t) = \int_0^t d\tau' e^{-(i\omega_q + \gamma_q)\tau'} \hat{F}_{qr}(t - \tau')$ for $q \in \{a, b\}$, describe the diffusion-induced quantum process. This process dominates the dynamics, which is Markovian: any dependence on $\hat{a}_r(t_0)$ and $\hat{b}_r(t_0)$ at $t_0 < t$ is erased exponentially at rates $\gamma_{ar}$ and $\gamma_{br}$. Consequently, the modes $\hat{a}_r(t)$ and $\hat{b}_r(t)$ can be considered as thermal reservoirs.

The second term in Eqs. (S3) describes the weak coupling to the stable modes $\hat{a}_s, \hat{b}_s \in S$ via the coherent collisional interaction. Substitution of Eqs. (S3) in Eqs. (S2) yields a relatively small and close set of coupled equations for the stable modes, governed by a coherent dynamics

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \hat{a}_s &= -(i\omega_a + \gamma_{as})\hat{a}_s - J \sum_{n \in S} (i c_{sn} \hat{b}_n + |a_s| \hat{a}_n) + \hat{G}_as, \\
\partial_t \hat{b}_s &= -(i\omega_b + \gamma_{bs})\hat{b}_s - J \sum_{m \in S} (i c_{sm} \hat{a}_m + |b_s|^* \hat{b}_m) + \hat{G}_bs,
\end{align*}
\] (S4)

The coefficients $\epsilon_{sn} = \sum_{r \in R} c_{sr} c_{rn} J/\gamma_{br} + i\Delta$ and $\epsilon_{sm} = \sum_{r \in R} c_{sr} c_{rn} J/\gamma_{ar} - i\Delta$ describe couplings between different stable modes, and $\hat{G}_{as} = \hat{F}_{as} - iJ \sum_{r \in R} c_{sr} \hat{w}_{br}$, and $\hat{G}_{bs} = \hat{F}_{bs} - iJ \sum_{r \in R} c_{rs} \hat{w}_{ar}$ denote the increased quantum noise induced due to coupling with the high-order (reservoir) modes $R$.

The effect of $\hat{G}_{as}$ and $\hat{G}_{bs}$ on the spin dynamics depends on the number of modes considered in $S$. For the case of an uncoated spherical cell with radius $R$, we can bound the contribution of the high-order modes by $|\epsilon_{sn}| < J/2R^2$, where $\gamma_{(a,b)} r_0 = D_{(a,b)} \pi^2 r_0^2 / R^2$ is the diffusion-induced relaxation of the least decaying mode in the set $R$, with a radial mode number $r_0$. This bound is attained by the asymptotic form of the diffusion-relaxation modes, validated by numerical calculations. Thus if enough modes are considered in Eqs. (S4), the contribution of $\epsilon_{sn}$ to the dynamics can be rendered negligible. In general, this formalism can also be applied with a smaller number of stable modes such that $\gamma_{as}, \gamma_{bs} \sim J$, on the expense of overestimating the diffusion-induced relaxation.

Finally, we consider the analytical solution of Eqs. (2) in the main text corresponding to the simplifying case of an approximated two-mode solution, using a single stable mode $s = 0$ (with $\omega_0 = 1$). In the strong coupling regime $J \gg \Gamma \equiv \gamma_a 0$, the full solution in the rotating frame reads

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}(t) &= e^{-\Gamma t} [\cos(Jt)\hat{a}(0) - i \sin(Jt)\hat{b}(0)] + \hat{w}_a, \\
\hat{b}(t) &= e^{-\Gamma t} [\cos(Jt)\hat{b}(0) - i \sin(Jt)\hat{a}(0)] + \hat{w}_b.
\end{align*}
\] (S5)
Here the alkali-metal relaxation is shared by both spin gases, accompanied with transfer of quantum fluctuations to the noble-gas spin ensemble. The noise processes are defined by \( \hat{w}_a(t) = \int_0^t ds e^{-Ts/2} \cos Js \hat{F}_a(t-s) \) and \( \hat{w}_b(t) = -i \int_0^t ds e^{-Ts/2} \sin Js \hat{F}_b(t-s) \) using standard stochastic integration. For \( t \gg 2/\Gamma \), these processes can be considered as white Wiener operators, while, for shorter times, they are colored by the window functions.

3. Collisions statistics

Here we present details about the collisions statistics of alkali and noble-gas atoms, used in derivation of Eq. 12 from Eq. 11 in the main text, as well as for characterization of the spin-exchange noise in Eq. 18.

The microscopic parameter \( q_{ab}(t, \tau) \) indicates if the pair \( a - b \) has collided during the time interval \([t, t + \tau]\) by

\[
q_{ab}(t, \tau) = \int_t^{t+\tau} \delta(s - t_{ab}^{(i)}) ds.
\]  

(S6)

For short \( \tau \), we can assume a ballistic motion of the particles, such that the two-body displacement satisfies

\[
r_{ab}(t + s) = r_{ab}(t) - v_{ab}(t)s
\]  

(S7)

for any \( s \in [t, t + \tau] \). A collision of the pair occurs at \( t_{ab}^{(i)} \) if \( r_{ab}(t_{ab}^{(i)}) \leq \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon \) characterizes the hard-sphere radius of the pair, satisfying \( \sigma = \pi \epsilon^2 \) and \( r_{ab} = |r_{ab}| \). The time of a collision is then determined by

\[
|r_{ab}(t) - v_{ab} \cdot (t_{ab}^{(i)} - t)| \leq \epsilon.
\]

Solving for \( t_{ab}^{(i)} \), we obtain the expression

\[
t_{ab}^{(i)} = t + \frac{r_{ab}(t)}{v_{ab}} \left[ \cos(\theta_{ab}^v) \pm \sqrt{\epsilon^2/r_{ab}^2(t) - \sin^2(\theta_{ab}^{\theta})} \right],
\]

where \( \theta_{ab}^v \in [0, \pi] \) is the relative angle between \( \mathbf{r}_{ab} \) and \( \mathbf{v}_{ab} \). Therefore \( t_{ab} \) exists only if \( \sin^2(\theta_{ab}^v) \leq \epsilon^2/r_{ab}^2(t) \).

Since \( \epsilon \) is about a few angstroms, collisions occur only at small angles \( \theta_{ab}^v \ll 1 \). Neglecting the collision duration \( \tau_c \leq 2\epsilon/v_{ab} \ll \tau \), we can approximate the collision time as the average of the two solutions, yielding \( t_{ab}^{(i)} = t + r_{ab}(t)/v_{ab} \), if \( \theta_{ab}^v \leq \epsilon/r_{ab}(t) \). We can then write the expression of \( q_{ab}(t, \tau) \) as

\[
q_{ab}(t, \tau) = \Theta(\theta_{ab}^v \leq \epsilon/r_{ab}(t)) \int_0^\tau \delta(s - r_{ab}(t)/v_{ab}) ds,
\]  

(S8)

which determines if a pair has collided given the relative location and velocities.

To derive the statistical properties of \( q_{ab} \), we first average over the pairs velocities. We assume a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution for the velocity \( \mathbf{v} \)

\[
f(\mathbf{v}) d^3\mathbf{v} = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{v^2}{v_T^3} e^{-v^2/v_T^2} d\mathbf{v} \sin \theta_v d\theta_v d\varphi_v,
\]  

(S9)

where \( v_T \) stands for the thermal relative velocity of the pair. The velocity-average collision probability is then given by

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v \equiv \int q_{ab}(t, \tau) f(\mathbf{v}) d^3\mathbf{v}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{v_T^3} \right) \int_0^\infty v^2 e^{-v^2/v_T^2} dv \sin \theta_v \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi_v \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_v \int_0^\tau ds \delta(s - r_{ab}(t)/v) ds
\]

\[
= \frac{\epsilon^2}{\sqrt{\pi} v_{ab}^3(t) v_T^3} \int_0^\tau ds \int_0^\infty dv u^2 e^{-v^2/v_T^2} \delta(v - r_{ab}(t)/s) ds
\]

\[
= \frac{\epsilon^2}{\sqrt{\pi} v_{ab}^3(t) v_T^3} \int_0^\tau ds \int_0^\infty du u^2 e^{-u^2/v_T^2} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{r_{ab}^3(t) \sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty du u^2 e^{-u^2/v_T^2}.
\]
where in the last step we changed the integration variable to \( u = r_{ab}(t) / (sv_T) \). The last integral can be approximated using the Heaviside function

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{r_{ab}(t)/sv_T}^{\infty} du u^2 e^{-u^2} \approx \frac{1}{4} \Theta (sv_T - r_{ab}(t)),
\]

yielding

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v \approx \frac{\sigma}{4 \pi \tau_{ab}^2(t)} \Theta (sv_T - r_{ab}(t)),
\]

such that two particles collide, on average, depending on their relative solid angle \( \sigma / (4 \pi r_{ab}^2(t)) \), provided that their spatial separation is small \( r_{ab} < \tau v_T \). Our model relies on the motion of the particles being ballistic, which is valid for short intervals \( v_T \tau \ll 1/(n_b \sigma) \).

We are interested in the spatially coarse-grained dynamics on the length-scale \( l \gg 1/(n_b \sigma) \). Using the radial window function \( w(r) \), we obtain

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v * w(r) = \frac{3}{4 \pi l^3} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi' \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta' d\theta' \int_0^{\infty} r'^2 dr' \frac{\sigma}{4 \pi l^2} \Theta (sv_T - r') \Theta (|r_{ab} - r'| - l) \nonumber
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{4 \pi l^3 \sigma v_T} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi' \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta' d\theta' \int_0^{\infty} r'^2 dr' \frac{\delta(r')}{4 \pi l^2} \Theta (|r_{ab} - r'| - l) \nonumber
\]

\[
= \sigma v_T w(r_{ab}),
\]

where in the second line we used \( v_T \tau \ll l \). This expression can be used to estimate standard kinematic relations, such as the mean collision times. The probability that two spins \( a \rightarrow b \) would collide in time interval \( \tau \) is given by \( p_{ab}(t, \tau) = \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v * w(r) \). We can now find the mean time that particle \( a \) has collided with any other noble gas atom. This probability is given by

\[
p_a(t, \tau) = \sum_b p_{ab}(t, \tau) = \tau n_b \sigma v
\]

using the relation \( n_b = \sum_b w(r-r_b) \). Since \( \tau_{d(b)} = 1/(n_b \sigma v) \) is the mean time between collision for a given alkali-metal atom with some other noble spin, the probability is simply \( p_a = \tau / \tau_{d(b)} \), independent of \( t \). This result corresponds to the Markovian exponential distribution \( p_a(t, \tau) = 1 - \exp(-\tau / \tau_{d(b)}) \) for \( \tau \ll \tau_{d(b)} \). A similar result is obtained for \( p_b(t, \tau) \) by interchanging the indices \( a \leftrightarrow b \).

We calculate the second moment of \( q_{ab} \) assuming that different collisions are statistically independent,

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) q_{ab}(t', \tau) \rangle_v = \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} (\tau - (t - t')) \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v \nonumber
\]

\[
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot \tau \delta (t - t') \langle [P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1) \cdot 1^2] \rangle_v \nonumber
\]

\[
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot \tau \delta (t - t') \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle_v \nonumber
\]

where in the second line we assumed that the times \( t, t' \) are sampled with intervals \( dt, dt' \gg \tau \) to include multiple collisions.

Spin exchange interactions, experienced during binary collisions as considered so far, lead to phase accumulation of the colliding spins. The spin dynamics are determined by the statistics of the collisions and are governed by

\[
q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi_{ab}(t) = \int_t^{t+\tau} \phi_{ab}^{(i)}(s - t_{ab}^{(i)}) ds.
\]

Since \( q_{ab}(t, \tau) \) is a Bernoulli process, whose possible values are only 0 or 1, we get

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi_{ab}(t) \rangle = P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1) \cdot 1 \cdot \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \rangle + P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 0) \cdot 0 \cdot \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 0 \rangle
\]

\[
= P(q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1) \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \rangle.
\]
Moreover, \( q_{ab}(t, \tau) \) is defined such that when the spins \( a, b \) do not approach each other closer then the collision distance \( \epsilon \), then \( \phi_{ab}(t) = 0 \), and \( \phi_{ab}(t) \neq 0 \) only when \( q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \). Therefore \( \langle \phi_{ab}(t) | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1 \rangle = \langle \phi_{ab}(t) \rangle = \langle \phi \rangle \), where \( \langle \phi \rangle \) is averaged over all impact velocities and impact parameters. The value of \( \langle \phi \rangle \) and the dependence of \( \phi_{ab}(t) \) on the collision trajectory (velocity and impact parameter) were discussed in [S27]. The averaged coupling strength is

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi_{ab}(t) \rangle = \langle \phi \rangle \sigma v_T w(r_{ab}).
\]  
(S15)

Similarly, the averaged dissipation rate is

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \phi^2_{ab}(t) \rangle = \langle \phi^2 \rangle \sigma v_T w(r_{ab}),
\]  
(S16)

and the fluctuation in second-order is

\[
\langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) q_{cd}(t', \tau) \phi_{cd}(t') \rangle = P \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1, q_{cd}(t', \tau) = 1 \rangle \langle \phi_{ab}(t) \phi_{cd}(t') | q_{ab}(t, \tau) = 1, q_{cd}(t', \tau) = 1 \rangle
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot \tau \delta(t - t') \langle q_{ab}(t, \tau) \rangle \langle \phi_{ab}(t) \phi_{cd}(t') \rangle
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \tau \delta(t - t') \cdot \sigma v_T w(r_{ab}) \cdot \langle \phi^2_{ab}(t) \rangle
= \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \cdot \sigma v_T w(r_{ab}) \langle \phi^2 \rangle \tau^2 \delta(t - t').
\]

Eqs. S12 and S15 are used to derive the properties of \( g \) in Eq. 12 in the methods section, and in the identity of the spin-exchange noise \( \langle \hat{F}_{ex}(r, t) \rangle = 0 \). Eqs. S13 and S16 are used in deriving the properties of the incoherent exchange terms in Eq. 12 in the methods section and in the derivation of the variance identities of the spin-exchange noise in Eq. 18.