Abstract. In this note we draw some interesting consequences of the recent results on squarefree Gröbner degenerations obtained by Conca and the second author.

1. Introduction

Let \( R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) be a positively graded polynomial ring over a field \( K \), where \( x_i \) is homogeneous of degree \( g_i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \), and \( m = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) denotes its homogeneous maximal ideal. Also denote the canonical module of \( R \) by \( \omega_R = \mathcal{R}(-|g|) \), where \( |g| = g_1 + \ldots + g_n \).

Definition 1.1. A graded finitely generated \( R \)-module \( M \) is called canonical Cohen-Macaulay (CCM for short) if \( \text{Ext}^{n - \dim R} R(\mathcal{M}, \omega_R) \) is Cohen-Macaulay.

This notion was introduced by Schenzel in [10], who proved in the same paper the following result that contributes to make it interesting: given a homogeneous prime ideal \( I \subset R \), the ring \( R/I \) is CCM if and only if it admits a birational Macaulayfication (that is a birational extension \( R/I \subset A \subset \mathcal{Q}(R/I) \) such that \( A \) is a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay \( R/I \)-module, where \( \mathcal{Q}(R/I) \) is the fraction field of \( R/I \)). In this case, furthermore, \( A \) is the endomorphism ring of \( \text{Ext}^{n - \dim R} R(\mathcal{R}/I, \omega_R) \).

In this note, we will derive by the recent result obtained by Conca and the second author in [4] the following: if a homogeneous ideal \( I \subset R \) has a radical initial ideal \( \text{in}_{\prec}(I) \) for some monomial order \( \prec \), then \( R/I \) is CCM whenever \( R/\text{in}_{\prec}(I) \) is CCM. In fact we prove something more general, from which we can also infer that, in positive characteristic, under the same assumptions the Lyubeznik numbers of \( R/I \) are bounded above from those of \( R/\text{in}_{\prec}(I) \). As a consequence of the latter result, we can infer that, also in characteristic 0 by reduction to positive characteristic, if \( \text{in}_{\prec}(I) \) is a radical monomial ideal the following are equivalent:

1. The dual graph (a.k.a. Hochster-Huneke graph) of \( R/I \) is connected.
2. The dual graph of \( R/\text{in}_{\prec}(I) \) is connected.
Motivated by these results, in the last section we study the CCM property for Stanley-Reisner rings \( K[\Delta] \). We show that \( K[\Delta] \) is CCM whenever \( \Delta \) is a simply connected 2-dimensional simplicial complex.

2. CCM, Lyubeznik numbers and Gröbner deformations

Throughout this section, let us fix a monomial order \( \prec \) on \( R \). We start with the following crucial lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( I \) be a homogeneous ideal of \( R \) such that \( \text{in}_\omega(I) \) is radical. Then, for all \( i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z} \), we have:

\[
\dim K \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, \omega_R), \omega_R)_k \leq \dim K \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\text{in}_\omega(I), \omega_R), \omega_R)_k
\]

**Proof.** Let \( w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \) be a weight such that \( \text{in}_w(I) = \text{in}_\omega(I) \). Let \( t \) be a new indeterminate over \( R \). Set \( P = R[t] \) and \( S = P/\text{hom}_w(I) \). By providing \( P \) with the graded structure given by \( \deg(x_i) = g_i \) and \( \deg(t) = 0 \), \( \text{hom}_w(I) \) is homogeneous. If \( x \in \{t, t-1\} \), apply the functor \( \text{Ext}_P^i(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), -) \) to the short exact sequence

\[
0 \to P \xrightarrow{x} P \to P/xP \to 0
\]

getting the short exact sequences

\[
0 \to \text{Coker} \mu_{x}^{-1,j} \to \text{Ext}_P^i(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), P/xP) \to \text{Ker} \mu_{x}^{i,j} \to 0.
\]

where \( \mu_{x}^{i,j} \) is the multiplication by \( x \) on \( \text{Ext}_P^i(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), P) \). So, for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have exact sequences of \( K \)-vector spaces:

\[
0 \to [\text{Coker} \mu_{x}^{i-1,j}]_k \to \text{Ext}_P^i(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), P/xP)_k \to [\text{Ker} \mu_{x}^{i,j}]_k \to 0.
\]

Since \( E_k^{i,j} = \text{Ext}_P^i(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), P)_k \) is a finitely generated graded (w.r.t. the standard grading) \( K[t] \)-module, we can write \( E_k^{i,j} = F_k^{i,j} + T_k^{i,j} \) where \( F_k^{i,j} = K[t] f_k^{i,j} \) and \( T_k^{i,j} = \bigoplus_{r=1}^{d_r} K[t]/(t^{d_r}) \) with \( d_r \geq 1 \). Therefore we have:

\[
\dim_K [\text{Coker} \mu_{x}^{i-1,j}]_k = f_k^{i-1,j} \leq g_k^{i-1,j} + g_k^{i-1,j} = \dim_K [\text{Coker} \mu_{x}^{i-1,j}]_k
\]

and

\[
\dim_K [\text{Ker} \mu_{x}^{i,j}]_k = 0 \leq g_k^{i,j} = \dim_K [\text{Coker} \mu_{x}^{i-1,j}]_k.
\]

So \( \dim_K \text{Ext}_P^i(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), P/(t-1)P)_k \leq \dim_K \text{Ext}_P^i(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), P/tP)_k \).

Note that, by using [3] Proposition 1.1.5 one can infer the following: if \( A \) is a ring, \( M \) and \( N \) are \( A \)-modules, and \( a \in \text{Ann}(N) \) is \( A \)-regular and \( M \)-regular, then

\[
\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{A/aA}^i(M/aM, N) \quad \forall \ i \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Since by [3] Proposition 2.4 \( \text{Ext}_P^j(S, P) \) is a flat \( K[t] \)-module, the multiplication by \( x \) on it is injective: that is, \( x \) is \( \text{Ext}_P^j(S, P) \)-regular. Therefore we have:

\[
\text{Ext}_P^i(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), P/xP) \cong \text{Ext}_P^{i/j} P/(\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P)/x\text{Ext}_P^j(S, P), P/xP).
\]
Again because the multiplication by \( x \) is injective on \( \operatorname{Ext}^j_P(S, P) \) and by the property mentioned above, we have
\[
\operatorname{Ext}^j_P(S, P)/x\operatorname{Ext}^j_P(S, P) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^j_{P/xP}(S/xS, P/xP).
\]
Putting all together we get:
\[
\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_{P/(t-1)P}(\operatorname{Ext}^j_{P/(t-1)P}(S/(t-1)S, P/(t-1)P), P/(t-1)P)_k \leq \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_{P/tP}(\operatorname{Ext}^j_{P/tP}(S/tS, P/tP), P/tP)_k,
\]
that, because \( \omega_R \cong R(-|g|) \), is what we wanted:
\[
\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Ext}^j_R(R/I, R), R)_k \leq \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Ext}^j_R(R/in(I), R), R)_k.
\]

\[\square\]

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \( I \) be a homogeneous ideal of \( R \) such that \( \text{in}\prec(I) \) is radical. Then, \( R/I \) is canonical Cohen-Macaulay whenever \( R/\text{in}\prec(I) \) is so.

**Proof.** For a homogeneous ideal \( J \subset R \), \( R/J \) is CCM if and only if
\[
\operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^{n-\dim R/J}_R(R/J, \omega_R), \omega_R) = 0 \quad \forall \ i < \dim R/J,
\]
so the result follows from Lemma 2.1. \[\square\]

**Remark 2.3.** Corollary 2.2 fails without assuming that \( \text{in}\prec(I) \) is radical. In fact, if \( \prec \) is a degrevlex monomial order and \( I \) is in generic coordinates, by [8, Theorem 2.2] \( R/\text{in}\prec(I) \) is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, thus CCM (for example see [8, Theorem 1.4]). However, it is plenty of homogeneous ideals \( I \) such that \( R/I \) is not CCM.

We do not know whether the implication of Corollary 2.2 can be reversed. Without assuming that \( \text{in}\prec(I) \) is radical, we already noticed that Corollary 2.2 fails in Remark 2.3. The following example shows that in general \( R/I \) CCM but \( R/\text{in}\prec(I) \) not CCM can also happen:

**Example 2.4.** Let \( R = K[x_1, ..., x_9] \) and
\[
I = (x_1^3 + x_2^3, x_3^2x_9 + x_4^2x_8, x_5^3x_7 + x_6^3x_9, x_7^2x_1 + x_8^2x_5, x_3x_9 - x_4x_8).
\]
Since \( I \) is a complete intersection, \( R/I \) is CCM. However one can check that, if \( \prec \) is the lexicographic order extending \( x_1 > \ldots > x_9 \), \( R/\text{in}\prec(I) \) is not CCM.
2.1. Lyubeznik numbers and connectedness. Let \( I \subset R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \). In \([9]\) Lyubeznik introduced the following invariants of \( A = R/I \):

\[
\lambda_{i,j}(A) = \dim_K \Ext_R^i(K, H^{n-j}_I(R)) \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

It turns out that these numbers, later named Lyubeznik numbers, depend only on \( A, i \) and \( j \), in the sense that if \( A \cong S/J \) where \( J \subset S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_m] \),

\[
\lambda_{i,j}(A) = \dim_K \Ext_S^i(K, H^{m-j}_J(S)) \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Also, \( \lambda_{i,j}(A) = 0 \) whenever \( i > j \) or \( j > \dim A \), and \( \lambda_d, d(A) \) is the number of connected components of the dual graph (also known as the Hochster-Huneke graph) of \( A \otimes_K \overline{K} \), \([17]\). (We recall that the dual graph of a Noetherian ring \( A \) of dimension \( d \) is the graph with the minimal primes of \( A \) as vertices and such that \( \{p, q\} \) is an edge if and only if \( \dim A/(p + q) = d - 1 \). We will refer to the upper triangular matrix \( \Lambda(A) = (\lambda_{i,j}(A)) \) of size \((\dim A + 1) \times (\dim A + 1)\) as the Lyubeznik table of \( A \). By trivial Lyubeznik table we mean that \( \lambda_{\dim A, \dim A}(A) = 1 \) and \( \lambda_{i,j}(A) = 0 \) otherwise.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let \( I \) be a homogeneous ideal of \( R \) such that \( \in_{\prec}(I) \) is radical. If \( K \) has positive characteristic,

\[
\lambda_{i,j}(R/I) \leq \lambda_{i,j}(R/\in_{\prec}(I)) \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

**Proof.** By \([18]\) Theorem 1.2, if \( J \subset R \) is a homogeneous ideal,

\[
\lambda_{i,j}(R/J) = \dim_K (\Ext_R^{n-i}(\Ext_R^{n-j}(R/J, \omega_R), \omega_R)_0)_s,
\]

where the subscript \((-)_s\) stands for the stable part under the natural Frobenius action. In particular

\[
\lambda_{i,j}(R/J) \leq \dim_K (\Ext_R^{n-i}(\Ext_R^{n-j}(R/J, \omega_R), \omega_R))_0.
\]

On the other hand, if \( J \subset R \) is a radical monomial ideal, Yanagawa proved in \([16]\) Corollary 3.10 (independently of the characteristic of \( K \)) that:

\[
\lambda_{i,j}(R/J) = \dim_K (\Ext_R^{n-i}(\Ext_R^{n-j}(R/J, \omega_R), \omega_R))_0.
\]

So the result follows from Lemma \([21]\). \(\square\)

The following two examples show that Corollary 2.5 is false without assuming both that \( \in_{\prec}(I) \) is radical and that \( K \) has positive characteristic:

**Example 2.6.** \([5]\) Example 4.11 Let \( R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_6] \) and \( \text{char}(K) = 5 \). Let

\[
I = (x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3, x_4^2x_1 + x_5^2x_2 + x_6^2x_3, x_1^2x_4 + x_2^2x_5 + x_3^2x_6,
\]

\[
x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3, x_5x_3 - x_6x_2, x_6x_1 - x_4x_3, x_4x_2 - x_5x_1).
\]
Then

\[
\Lambda(R/I) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & & & \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

If \(\prec\) is the degree reverse lexicographic term order extending \(x_1 > \ldots > x_6\) one has:

\[
in_{\prec}(I) = (x_3x_5, x_3x_4, x_2x_4, x_4^3, x_1x_4^2, x_1^2x_4, x_1^3).
\]

One can check that \(R/\in_{\prec}(I)\) has a trivial Lyubeznik table.

**Example 2.7.** Let \(K\) be a field of characteristic 0 and \(R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_6]\). Let \(I = (x_1x_5 - x_2x_4, x_1x_6 - x_3x_4, x_2x_6 - x_3x_5)\). By [1, Example 2.2], Lyubeznik table of \(R/I\) is

\[
\Lambda(R/I) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & & & & \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

If \(\prec\) is the degree reverse lexicographic term order extending \(x_1 > \ldots > x_6\) we have

\[
in_{\prec}(I) = (x_2x_4, x_3x_4, x_3x_5).
\]

So in \(\prec\)(\(I\)) is a radical monomial ideal, however \(\Lambda(R/\in_{\prec}(I))\) is trivial.

In Corollary 2.5 we have an equality when \(R/I\) is generalized Cohen-Macaulay:

**Corollary 2.8.** Let \(I\) be a homogeneous ideal of \(R\) such that \(\in_{\prec}(I)\) is radical. If \(K\) has positive characteristic and \(R/I\) is generalized Cohen-Macaulay,

\[
\lambda_{i,j}(R/I) = \lambda_{i,j}(R/\in_{\prec}(I)) \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

**Proof.** Since \(R/I\) is generalized Cohen-Macaulay so is \(R/\in_{\prec}(I)\) by [1 Corollary 2.11]. Therefore it is enough to show that \(\lambda_{0,j}(R/I) = \lambda_{0,j}(R/\in_{\prec}(I))\) for all \(j\) (see [1 Subsection 4.3]). By [4 Proposition 3.3], both \(R/\in_{\prec}(I)\) and \(R/I\) are cohomologically full. So from [6 Proposition 4.11]:

\[
\lambda_{0,j}(R/I) = \dim_K[H^j_m(R/I)]_0,
\]

\[
\lambda_{0,j}(R/\in_{\prec}(I)) = \dim_K[H^j_m(R/\in_{\prec}(I))]_0.
\]

Now by [4 Theorem 1.3] we get the result.

\[\square\]
Remark 2.9. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $\text{in}_<(I)$ is generated by monomials $u_1, \ldots, u_r$. Suppose that $K$ has characteristic 0. Since $I$ is finitely generated, there exists a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $A \subset K$ such that $I$ is defined over $A$, i.e. $I' = I \cap A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Given a prime number $p$ and a prime ideal $p \in \text{Spec} A$ minimal over $(p)$, let $Q(p)$ denote the field of fractions of $A/p$ (note that $Q(p)$ has characteristic $p$), $R(p) = Q(p)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $I(p) = I'R(p)$. We call the objects $R(p), I(p), R(p)/I(p)$ reductions mod $p$ of $R, I, R/I$, and by abusing notation we denote them by $R_p, I_p, R_p/I_p$.

Seccia proved in [11] that $\text{in}_<(I_p) = \text{in}_<(I)_p$ for any reduction mod $p$ if $p$ is a large enough prime number, i.e. $\text{in}_<(I_p)$ is generated by $u_1, \ldots, u_r$.

Remark 2.10. Let $A$ be a Noetherian ring of dimension $d$. The ring $A$ is said to be connected in codimension 1 if $\text{Spec } A \setminus V(a)$ is connected whenever $\dim A/a < d-1$ (here $V(a)$ denotes the set of primes containing $a$). A result of Hartshorne [7, Proposition 1.1] implies that the dual graph of $A$ is connected if and only if $A$ is connected in codimension 1.

Proposition 2.11. Let $I$ be a homogeneous ideal of $R$ such that $\text{in}_<(I)$ is radical. Then:

1. $\text{Proj } R/I$ is connected if and only if $\text{Proj } R/\text{in}_<(I)$ is connected.
2. The dual graph of $R/I$ is connected if and only if the dual graph of $R/\text{in}_<(I)$ is connected.

Proof. The “only if” parts hold without the assumption that $\text{in}_<(I)$ is radical and they have been proved in [14]. So we will concentrate on the “if” parts.

Since computing initial ideal, as well as the connectedness properties concerning $R/\text{in}_<(I)$, are not affected extending the field, while the connectedness properties concerning $R/I$ follow from the corresponding connectedness properties of $R/I \otimes_K \overline{K}$, it is harmless to assume that $K$ is algebraically closed. Under this assumption, if $J \subset R$ is a homogeneous radical ideal, we have that:

1. $\text{Proj } R/J$ is connected if and only if $H^1_m(R/J)_0 = 0$.
2. The dual graph of $R/J$ is connected if and only if $\lambda_{\dim R/J, \dim R/J}(R/J) = 1$ by the main theorem of [17].

Under our hypothesis $I$ is radical, so (1) follows at once from (a) and the fact that the Hilbert function of the local cohomology modules of $R/I$ is bounded above by that of the ones of $R/\text{in}_<(I)$ (in this case we even have equality by [11]). Concerning the “if-part” of (2), since $\lambda_{\dim R/I, \dim R/I}(R/I) \neq 0$ in any case, if $K$ has
positive characteristic it follows from (b) and Corollary 2.5. So, assume that \( K \) has characteristic 0. If, by contradiction, \( R/I \) were not connected in codimension 1, there would be two ideals \( H \supseteq I \) and \( J \supseteq I \) such that \( H \cap J = I \) and \( \dim R/(H + J) < \dim R/I - 1 \) (see [2, Lemma 19.1.15]). By Remark 2.9 it is not difficult to check that we can choose a prime number \( p \gg 0 \) such that \( H_p \supseteq I_p \) and \( J_p \supseteq I_p \), \( H_p \cap J_p = I_p \), \( \dim R/(H_p + J_p) < \dim R/I_p - 1 \) and \( \operatorname{in}_<(I_p) = \operatorname{in}_<(I)_p \) (for instance, to compute the intersection of two ideals amounts to perform a Gröbner basis calculation). Clearly the dual graph of a Stanley-Reisner ring does not depend on the characteristic of the base field. So the dual graph of \( R/I \) would not be connected but that of \( R_p/I_p \) would be not, and this contradicts the fact that we already proved the result in positive characteristic.

\[ \square \]

3. CCM Simplicial Complexes

Let \( \Delta \) be a simplicial complex on the vertex set \( [n] = \{1, ..., n\} \). We denote the Stanley-Reisner ring \( R/I_\Delta \) by \( K[\Delta] \). See [12] for generalities on these objects. The aim of this section is to examine the CCM property for the Stanley-Reisner rings \( K[\Delta] \), especially when \( \Delta \) has dimension 2.

Recall that a \( \mathbb{N}^n \)-graded \( R \)-module \( M \) is squarefree if, for all \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \), the multiplication by \( x_j \) from \( M_\alpha \) to \( M_{\alpha + e_j} \) is bijective whenever \( \alpha_j \neq 0 \). It turns out that \( K[\Delta], I_\Delta \) and \( \operatorname{Ext}_R^n(K[\Delta], \omega_R) \) are squarefree modules by [15].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( M \) be a nonzero squarefree module. If \( M_0 = 0 \), then \( \operatorname{depth} M > 0 \).

**Proof.** Assume, by way of contradiction, that \( \operatorname{depth} M = 0 \). Then \( \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Ass} M \). So there exist \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \) and \( 0 \neq u \in M_\alpha \) such that \( \mathfrak{m} = \operatorname{Ann}(u) \). So for \( j = 1, ..., n \), \( x_j \cdot u = 0 \). It follows that the multiplication map on \( M_\alpha \) by \( x_j \) is not injective for all \( j \). So, because \( M \) is a squarefree module, \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( u \in M_0 = 0 \), a contradiction. Hence \( \operatorname{depth} M > 0 \).

**Lemma 3.2.** For any homogeneous ideal \( I \subset R \), for all \( i < 3 \) the \( R \)-module \( \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n-i}(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n-\dim R/I}(R/I, R), R) \) has finite length.

**Proof.** If \( \bigcap_{i=1}^r q_i \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^s q'_j \) is an irredundant primary decomposition of \( I \) with \( \dim R/q_i = \dim R/I \) and \( \dim R/q'_j > \dim R/I \), one has

\[
\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n-\dim R/I}(R/I, R) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n-\dim R/I}(R/\bigcap_{i=1}^r q_i, R).
\]

So we can assume that \( \dim R/p = \dim R/I \) for all \( p \in \operatorname{Ass} R/I \).

Let \( p \neq \mathfrak{m} \) be a homogeneous prime ideal of \( R \) containing \( I \), and set \( M_i = \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n-i}(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n-\dim R/I}(R/I, R), R) \). We have:

\[
(M_i)_p = \operatorname{Ext}_{R_p}^{ht(p)-(i+ht(p))}(\operatorname{Ext}_{R_p}^{ht(p)-\dim R_p/I_R}, R_p) \cap (\operatorname{Ext}_{R_p}^{ht(p)-\dim R_p/I_R} R_p, R_p).
\]
Corollary 3.3. Let $\Delta$ be a 2-dimensional simplicial complex. Then $K[\Delta]$ is CCM if and only if $\lambda_{2,3}(K[\Delta]) = 0$.

Proof. Since $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n-3}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R})$ satisfy Serre’s condition $(S_{2})$ by [10] Proposition 2.3, it is enough to show that $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R}) = 0$. By Lemma 3.2, $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R})$ has finite length; so, since it is a squarefree module, $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R}) = 0 \iff \text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R})_{0} = 0$.

We conclude because $\lambda_{2,3}(K[\Delta]) = \text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R})_{0}$ by [10] Corollary 3.10.

Remark 3.4. If $\Delta$ is a $(d - 1)$-dimensional simplicial complex, it is still true that if $K[\Delta]$ is CCM, then $\lambda_{j,d}(K[\Delta]) = 0$ for all $j < d$. The converse, however, is not true as soon as $\dim(\Delta) > 2$.

Let $R = K[x_1, ..., x_6]$ and $I$ be the monomial ideal of $R$ generated by

$$x_1x_2x_3x_4, x_1x_3x_4x_5, x_1x_2x_3x_6, x_1x_2x_5x_6, x_1x_4x_5x_6 \text{ and } x_3x_4x_5x_6.$$  

The ring $R/I$ has a trivial Lyubeznik table but it is not CCM. Here $I$ is the Stanley-Reisner ring of a 3-dimensional simplicial complex.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\Delta$ be a 2-dimensional simplicial complex such that $H_{1}(\Delta; K)$ vanishes. Then $K[\Delta]$ is CCM.

Proof. Since $H_{1}(\Delta; K) = 0$, by Hochster formula we get $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R})_{0} = 0$. If $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R}) \neq 0$, since it is a squarefree module it has positive depth by Lemma 3.1.

So, in any case, $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n}(\text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R}), \omega_{R}) = 0$, and hence $\lambda_{0,2}(K[\Delta]) = \text{Ext}_{R}^{n}(\text{Ext}_{R}^{n-2}(K[\Delta], \omega_{R}))_{0} = 0$.

By [1] Remark 2.3, $\lambda_{2,3}(K[\Delta]) = \lambda_{0,2}(K[\Delta]) = 0$. Now by Corollary 3.3, $K[\Delta]$ is CCM.

The converse of this corollary does not hold in general:

Example 3.6. Let $\Delta$ be the simplicial complex on 6 vertices with facets $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 4, 5\}$ and $\{3, 4, 6\}$. Then $K[\Delta]$ is CCM but $H_{1}(\Delta; K) \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\Delta$ be a $(d - 1)$-dimensional Buchsbaum simplicial complex. The ring $K[\Delta]$ is CCM if and only if $H_{i}(\Delta; K) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < d - 1$. 

Since $i - n + \text{ht}(p) \leq 1$ by the assumptions and $\text{Ext}^1_{R_p}(p; IR_p) (R_p/IR_p, R_p)$ has depth at least 2 by [10] Proposition 2.3 we have $(M_i)_p = 0$. □
Proof. Let $K[\Delta]$ be CCM and fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, d - 2\}$. Since $\Delta$ is Buchsbaum, $K[\Delta]$ behaves cohomologically like an isolated singularity, hence:

$$\lambda_{0,i+1}(K[\Delta]) = \lambda_{d-i,d}(K[\Delta])$$

(see [1 Subsection 4.3]). On the other hand, since the canonical module of $K[\Delta]$ is a $d$-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay module, $\lambda_{d-i,d}(K[\Delta]) = 0$ by [16, Corollary 3.10]. So

$$\lambda_{0,i+1}(K[\Delta]) = \dim_K \Ext^n_R(\Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R), \omega_R)_0 = 0.$$ 

By local duality $H^0_m(\Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R))_0 = 0$. Since $\Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R)$ is of finite length

$$H^0_m(\Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R))_0 = \Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R)_0 = 0.$$

Therefore Hochster formula tells us that $H_i(\Delta; K) = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $H_i(\Delta; K) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < d - 1$. Then we have that $\Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R)_0 = 0$ by Hochster formula. As $\Delta$ is Buchsbaum, $\Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R)$ is of finite length, so

$$\Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R) = \Ext^{n-i-1}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R)_0 = 0 \ \forall \ 1 \leq i < d - 1.$$ 

Now [13 Theorem 4.9] and local duality follow that for $1 \leq i < d - 1$,

$$H^{i+1}_m(\Ext^{n-d}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R) \cong \Ext^{n-d+i}_R(K[\Delta], \omega_R) = 0.$$ 

Thus $K[\Delta]$ is CCM.

Example 3.8. Propositions 3.5 and 3.7 provide the following situation concerning CCM 2-dimensional simplicial complexes:

(i) $H_1(\Delta; K) = 0 \implies K[\Delta]$ is CCM.

(ii) If $\Delta$ is Buchsbaum, $H_1(\Delta; K) = 0 \iff K[\Delta]$ is CCM.

Item (ii) above yields many examples of Buchsbaum 2-dimensional nonCCM simplicial complexes. We conclude this note with an example of a 2-dimensional simplicial complex which is neither Buchsbaum nor CCM:

Let $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_8]$ and $\Delta$ be the simplicial complex with facets \{x_1, x_2, x_6\}, \{x_2, x_6, x_4\}, \{x_2, x_4, x_5\}, \{x_2, x_3, x_5\}, \{x_3, x_5, x_6\}, \{x_1, x_3, x_6\}, \{x_1, x_7, x_8\}$. One can check that $\Delta$ is not Buchsbaum and $K[\Delta]$ is not CCM. Accordingly with Proposition 3.5, $H_1(\Delta; K) \neq 0$.
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