REMARKS ON WDC SETS

DUŠAN POKORNÝ AND LUDĚK ZAJÍČEK

Abstract. We study WDC sets, which form a substantial generalization of sets with positive reach and still admit the definition of curvature measures. Main results concern WDC sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We prove that, for such $A$, the distance function $d_A = \text{dist}(\cdot, A)$ is a “DC aura” for $A$, which implies that each locally WDC set in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a WDC set. Another consequence is that compact WDC subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ form a Borel subset of the space of all compact sets.

1. Introduction

In [10] (cf. also [8], [7] and [11]), the authors introduced the class of WDC sets which form a substantial generalization of sets with positive reach and still admit the definition of curvature measures. The following question naturally arises (see [8, Question 2, p. 829] and [7, 10.4.3]).

Question. Is the distance function $d_A = \text{dist}(\cdot, A)$ of each WDC set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ a DC aura for $F$ (see Definition 2.3)?

We answer this question positively in the case $d = 2$ (Theorem 3.3 below); it remains open for $d \geq 3$. The proof is based on a characterization (proved in [11]) of locally WDC sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the main result of [12] which asserts that

\begin{equation}
(1.1) \quad d_A \text{ a DC function if } A \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is a graph of a DC function } g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}.
\end{equation}

Recall that a function is called DC, if it is the difference of two convex functions and note that each set $A$ as in (1.1) is a WDC set.

Theorem 3.3 easily implies that each locally WDC set in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is WDC. Further, we use Theorem 3.3 to prove that compact WDC subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ form a Borel subset of the space of all compact sets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ (Theorem 4.1 (i)). The importance of this result is the fact that it suggests that (at least in $\mathbb{R}^2$) a theory of point processes on the space of WDC sets (analogous to the concept of point processes on the space of sets with positive reach introduced in [17]) can be build.

Concerning the compact WDC subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $d > 2$, we are able to prove only a weaker fact that they form an analytic set (Theorem 4.1 (ii)) which is not probably sufficient for the above mentioned application.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Basic definitions. The symbol $\mathbb{Q}$ denotes the set of all rational numbers. In any vector space $V$, we use the symbol $0$ for the zero element. We denote by $B(x, r)$ ($U(x, r)$) the closed (open) ball with centre $x$ and radius $r$. The boundary and the interior of a set $M$ are denoted by $\partial M$ and $\text{int} M$, respectively. A mapping is called $K$-Lipschitz if it is Lipschitz with a (not necessarily minimal) constant $K \geq 0$.

The metric space of all real-valued continuous functions on a compact $K$ (equipped with the usual supremum metric $\rho_{\text{sup}}$) will be denoted $C(K)$.
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In the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, the norm is denoted by $| \cdot |$ and the scalar product by $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$. By $S^{d-1}$ we denote the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The distance function from a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is $d_A := \text{dist}(\cdot , A)$ and the metric projection of $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to $A$ is $\Pi_A(z) := \{ a \in A : \text{dist}(z, A) = |z - a| \}$.

### 2.2. DC functions

Let $f$ be a real function defined on an open convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then we say that $f$ is a DC function, if it is the difference of two convex functions. Special DC functions are semiconvex and semiconcave functions. Namely, $f$ is a semiconvex (resp. semiconcave) function, if there exist $a > 0$ and a convex function $g$ on $C$ such that

$$f(x) = g(x) - a| x |^2 \quad \text{(resp. } f(x) = a| x |^2 - g(x)),$$

$x \in C$.

We will use the following well-known properties of DC functions.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $C$ be an open convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then the following assertions hold.

(i) If $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : C \to \mathbb{R}$ are DC, then (for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$) the functions $[f]$, $af + bg$, and $\min\{f, g\}$ are DC.

(ii) Each locally DC function $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is DC.

(iii) Each DC function $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz on each compact convex set $Z \subset C$.

(iv) Let $f_i : C \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, be DC functions. Let $f : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $f(x) \in \{ f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x) \}$ for each $x \in C$. Then $f$ is DC on $C$.

**Proof.** Property (i) follows easily from definitions, see e.g. [15, p. 84]. Property (ii) was proved in [9]. Property (iii) easily follows from the local Lipschitzness of convex functions. Assertion (iv) is a special case of [16, Lemma 4.8.] ("Mixing lemma"). \hfill \[ \Box \]

It is well-known (cf. [12]) that if $\emptyset \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is closed, then $d_A$ need not be DC; however (see, e.g., [2, Proposition 2.2.2]),

$$d_A \text{ is locally semiconcave (and so locally DC) on } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A.$$  

### 2.3. Clarke generalized gradient

If $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open set, $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz and $x \in U$, we denote by $\partial_C f(x)$ the generalized gradient of $f$ at $x$, which can be defined as the closed convex hull of all limits $\lim_{i \to \infty} f'(x_i)$ such that $x_i \to x$ and $f'(x_i)$ exists for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [3, S1.1.2]: $\partial_C f(x)$ is also called Clarke subdifferential of $f$ at $x$ in the literature). Since we identify $(\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ with $\mathbb{R}^d$ in the standard way, we sometimes consider $\partial_C f(x)$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will repeatedly use the fact that the mapping $x \mapsto \partial_C f(x)$ is upper semicontinuous and, hence (see [3, Theorem 2.1.5]),

$$v \in \partial_C f(x) \quad \text{whenever } x_i \to x, \; v_i \in \partial_C f(x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad v_i \to v.$$

We also use that $|u| \leq K$ whenever $u \in \partial_C f(x)$ and $f$ is $K$-Lipschitz on a neighbourhood of $x$. Obviously,

$$\partial_C(af)(x) = a \partial_C f(x).$$

Recall that

$$f^0(x, v) := \limsup_{y \to x, t \to 0+} \frac{f(y + tv) - f(y)}{t}$$

and (see [3])

$$f^0(x, v) = \sup\{ \langle v, \nu \rangle : \nu \in \partial_C f(x) \}.$$

We will need the following simple lemma.
Lemma 2.2. Let \( f \) be a Lipschitz function on an open set \( G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, x \in G \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

(i) If \( \text{dist}(0, \partial_c f(x)) \geq 2\varepsilon \), then

\[
\exists v \in S^{d-1}, \rho > 0 \forall y \in U(x, \rho), 0 < \alpha < \rho : \frac{f(y + \alpha v) - f(y)}{\alpha} \leq -\varepsilon.
\]

(ii) If (2.6) holds, then \( \text{dist}(0, \partial_c f(x)) \geq \varepsilon \).

\[
\text{dist}(0, \partial_c f(x)) = -\sup\{v \in \partial_c f(x) : \nu \in \partial_c f(x)\}.
\]

So, by (2.6), \( f^0(x, v) \leq -2\varepsilon \) and thus (2.6) implies (2.6).

(i) If (2.6) holds, choose corresponding \( v \in S^{d-1} \) and \( \rho > 0 \). Then \( f^0(x, v) \leq -\varepsilon \) by (2.6). Consequently, by (2.5), \( -|\nu| \leq \langle v, \nu \rangle \leq -\varepsilon \) for each \( \nu \in \partial_c f(x) \) and so \( \text{dist}(0, \partial_c f(x)) \geq \varepsilon \).

2.4. WDC sets. WDC sets (see the definition below) which provide a natural generalization of sets with positive reach were defined in [10] using Fu’s notion of an “aura” of a set (see, e.g., [7] for more information). Note that the notion of a DC aura were defined in [10] and [8] by a formally different but equivalent way (cf. [11], Remark 2.12 (vi)).

Definition 2.3 (cf. [11], Definitions 2.8, 2.10). Let \( U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be open and \( f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be locally Lipschitz. A number \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) is called a weakly regular value of \( f \) if whenever \( x_i \rightarrow x \), \( f(x_i) > c = f(x) \) and \( u_i \in \partial f(x_i) \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) then \( \liminf_i |u_i| > 0 \).

A set \( A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is called WDC if there exists a DC function \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) such that \( A = f^{-1}(0) \) and \( 0 \) is a weakly regular value of \( f \). In such a case, we call \( f \) a DC aura (for \( A \)).

A set \( A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is called locally WDC if for any point \( a \in A \) there exists a WDC set \( A^* \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) that agrees with \( A \) on an open neighbourhood of \( a \).

(Note that a weakly regular value of \( f \) need not be in the range of \( f \), and so \( \emptyset \) is clearly a WDC set by our definition.)

Note that a set \( A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) has a positive reach at each point if and only there exists a DC aura for \( A \) which is even semiconvex ([11]).

3. Distance function of a WDC set in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) is an aura

First we present (slightly formally rewritten) [11] Definition 7.9.

Definition 3.1. (i) A set \( S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) will be called a basic open DC sector (of radius \( r \)) if \( S = U(0, r) \cap \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u \in (-\omega, \omega), v > f(u)\} \), where \( 0 < r < \omega \) and \( f \) is a DC function on \( (-\omega, \omega) \) such that \( f(0) = 0 \) and \( R(u) := \sqrt{u^2 + f^2(u)} \) is strictly increasing on \([0, \omega)\) and strictly decreasing on \((-\omega, 0]\).

By an open DC sector (of radius \( r \)) we mean an image \( \gamma(S) \) of a basic open DC sector \( S \) (of radius \( r \)) under a rotation around the origin \( \gamma \).

(ii) A set of the form \( \gamma(\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u \in [0, \omega], g(u) \leq v \leq f(u)\}) \cap U(0, r) \), where \( \gamma \) is a rotation around the origin, \( 0 < r < \omega \) and \( f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are DC functions such that \( g \leq f \) on \([0, \omega)\), \( f(0) = g(0) = f_0(0) = g_0(0) = 0 \) and the functions \( R_f(u) := \sqrt{u^2 + f^2(u)} \), \( R_g(u) := \sqrt{u^2 + g^2(u)} \) are strictly increasing on \([0, \omega)\), will be called a degenerated closed DC sector (of radius \( r \)).

We will use the following complete characterization of locally WDC sets in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) ([11], Theorem 8.14]).
Theorem PRZ. Let $M$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then $M$ is a locally WDC set if and only if for each $x \in \partial M$ there is $\rho > 0$ such that one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $M \cap U(x, \rho) = \{x\}$,

(ii) there is a degenerated closed DC sector $C$ of radius $\rho$ such that $M \cap U(x, \rho) = x + C$,

(iii) there are pairwise disjoint open DC sectors $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ of radius $\rho$ such that

\[ U(x, \rho) \setminus M = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (x + C_i). \]

Lemma 3.2. Let $f$ be an $L$-Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}$. Denote $d := \text{dist}(\cdot, \text{graph } f)$. Then $|\xi_2| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + L^2}}$ whenever $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \partial_{\text{cd}}(x)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \text{graph } f$.

Proof. Pick $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \text{graph } f$. Without any loss of generality we can assume that $x = 0$. We will assume that $f(0) < 0$; the case $f(0) > 0$ is quite analogous. Denote $r := d(0)$ and $P := \text{graph } f(0)$. Set $g(u) := -\sqrt{r^2 - u^2}$, $u \in [-r, r]$. Clearly $f \leq g$ on $[-r, r]$ and $(u, v) \in P$ if and only if $f(u) = g(u) = v$. We will show that

\[ |u| \leq \frac{Lr}{\sqrt{1 + L^2}} \text{ whenever } (u, v) \in P. \]

To this end, suppose $(u, v) \in P$. If $u > 0$, then

\[ L \geq \frac{f(t) - f(u)}{t - u} \geq \frac{g(t) - g(u)}{t - u} \text{ for each } 0 < t < u, \]

and consequently $L \geq g'(u)$. Therefore $u < r$ and $L \geq u(r^2 - u^2)^{-1/2}$. Analogously considering $g'_+(u)$, we obtain for $u < 0$ that $u > -r$ and $u(r^2 - u^2)^{-1/2} \geq -L$. In both cases we have $L \geq |u|(r^2 - u^2)^{-1/2}$ and an elementary computation gives (3.2).

Using (3.2) we obtain that if $(u, v) \in P$ then

\[ v = g(u) \leq -\sqrt{r^2 - \left(\frac{Lr}{\sqrt{1 + L^2}}\right)^2} = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{1 + L^2}}. \]

By [6] Lemma 4.2] and (3.3) we obtain

\[ \partial_{\text{cd}}(0) = \text{conv} \left\{ \frac{1}{r}(-u, -v) : (u, v) \in P \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^2 + 1}}, \infty \right) \]

and the assertion of the lemma follows. \hfill \Box

Theorem 3.3. Let $M \neq \emptyset$ be a locally WDC set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then the distance function $d_M$ is a DC aura for $M$. In particular, $M$ is a WDC set.

Proof. Denote $d := d_M$. For each $x \in \partial M$ choose $\rho = \rho(x)$ by Theorem PRZ. We will prove that

(a) $d$ is DC on $U \left( x, \frac{\rho}{4} \right)$,

(b) there is $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x) > 0$ such that $|\xi| \geq \varepsilon$ whenever $y \in U \left( 0, \frac{\rho}{4} \right) \setminus M$ and $\xi \in \partial_{\text{cd}}(y)$.

Without any loss of generality we can assume that $x = 0$.

If case (i) from Theorem PRZ holds, then $d(y) = |y|$, $y \in U \left( 0, \frac{\rho}{4} \right)$, and so $d$ is convex and therefore DC on $U \left( 0, \frac{\rho}{4} \right)$. Similarly, condition (b) holds as well, since if $y \in U \left( 0, \frac{\rho}{4} \right) \setminus M$ and $\xi \in \partial_{\text{cd}}(y)$ then $\xi = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{r^2 + \rho^2}}$ and so $|\xi| = 1$.

If case (ii) from Theorem PRZ holds, we know that $M \cap U(0, \rho)$ is a degenerated closed DC sector $C$ of radius $\rho$. Let $\gamma$, $f$, $g$ and $\omega$ be as in Definition 3.1. Without any loss of generality we may assume that $\gamma$ is the identity map.
By Lemma 2.1 (iii) we can choose $L > 0$ such that both $f$ and $g$ are $L$-Lipschitz on $[0, \rho]$ and define

$$\tilde{f}(u) := \begin{cases} f(u) & \text{if } 0 \leq u \leq \rho, \\ f(\rho) & \text{if } \rho < u, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}(u) := \begin{cases} g(u) & \text{if } 0 \leq u \leq \rho, \\ g(\rho) & \text{if } \rho < u, \end{cases}$$

with corresponding $\tilde{M}$.

It is easy to see that both $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are $2L$-Lipschitz and they are DC by Lemma 2.1 (iv).

Put

$$M_0 := \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u \geq 0, \ \tilde{g}(u) \leq v \leq \tilde{f}(u)\},$$

$$M_1 := \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u \geq 0, \ \tilde{f}(u) < v \} \cup \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u < 0, -\frac{u}{2L} < v\},$$

$$M_2 := \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u \geq 0, \ \tilde{g}(u) > v \} \cup \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u < 0, \frac{u}{2L} > v\}$$

and

$$M_3 := \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{u}{L} < v < -\frac{u}{L}\}.$$

Clearly $\mathbb{R}^2 = M_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$ and $M_1, M_2, M_3$ are open.

Set $\tilde{d} := \text{dist} \circ (\tilde{g}, M_0)$ and, for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define

$$d_0(y) = 0, \quad d_1(y) := \text{dist} (y, \text{graph} \tilde{f}), \quad d_2(y) := \text{dist} (y, \text{graph} \tilde{g}), \quad d_3(y) := |y|.$$

Functions $d_1$ and $d_2$ are DC on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by (1.1), $d_0$ and $d_3$ are convex and therefore DC on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Using (for $K = 1/L, -1/L, 1/(2L), -1/(2L)$) the facts that the lines with the slopes $K$ and $-1/K$ are orthogonal and $M_0 \subset \{(u, v) : u \geq 0, -Lu \leq v \leq Lu\}$, easy geometrical observations show that

$$\tilde{d}(y) = d_i(y) \quad \text{if } y \in M_i, \ 0 \leq i \leq 3,$$

and so Lemma 2.1 (iv) implies that $\tilde{d}$ is DC.

Now pick an arbitrary $y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus M_0 = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$ and choose $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \partial_C \tilde{d}(y)$. Using (3.4), we obtain that if $y \in M_3$ then $\xi = \frac{\rho}{|\rho|} \xi_1$ and so $|\xi| = 1$. Using Lemma 3.2 we obtain that if $y \in M_1 \cup M_2$, then $|\xi| \geq |\xi_1| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1}}$.

Now, since $d = \tilde{d}$ on $U \left(0, \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1}}\right)$ both (a) and (b) follow.

It remains to prove (a) and (b) if case (iii) from Theorem PRZ holds. Let $C_i, i = 1, \ldots, k$, be the open DC sectors as in (iii). Denote $A_i := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_i$ and define $\delta_i := \text{dist} (\cdot, A_i), i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Note that, for $y \in U \left(0, \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1}}\right)$, one has

$$d(y) = \begin{cases} \delta_i(y) & \text{if } y \in C_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in M, \end{cases}$$

Therefore (by Lemma 2.1 (iv)) it is enough to prove that (a) and (b) hold with $d$ and $M$ being replaced by $\delta_i$ and $A_i$, respectively ($i = 1, \ldots, k$). Fix some $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Without any loss of generality we can assume that $C_i$ is an basic open DC sector of radius $\rho$ with corresponding $f_i$ and $\omega_i$. Now define

$$\tilde{f}_i(u) := \begin{cases} f_i(u) & \text{if } u \in [-\rho, \rho], \\ f_i(-\rho) & \text{if } u < -\rho, \\ f_i(\rho) & \text{if } u > \rho. \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{f}_i$ is Lipschitz and DC on $\mathbb{R}$. Put $\tilde{d}_i(y) := \text{dist} (y, \text{graph} \tilde{f}_i)$. Then $\tilde{d}_i$ is DC by (1.1) and 0 is a weakly regular value of $\tilde{d}_i$ by Lemma 3.2. And since $d_i = \tilde{d}_i$ on $U \left(0, \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{4L^2 + 1}}\right)$ we are done.
Since \( d \) is locally DC on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus M \) by (2.1) and on the interior of \( M \) (trivially), (a) implies that \( d \) is locally DC and so DC by Lemma 2.1 (ii). Further, (b) immediately implies that 0 is a weakly regular value of \( d \) and thus \( d = d_M \) is an aura for \( M \). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.4.** By Theorem 3.3 in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) locally WDC sets and WDC sets coincide. This gives a partial answer to the part of [10] Problem 10.2 which asks whether the same is true in each \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

4. Complexity of the system of WDC sets

In the following, we will work in each moment in an \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with a fixed \( d \), and so for simplicity we will use the notation, in which the dependence on \( d \) is usually omitted.

The space of all nonempty compact subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) equipped with the usual Hausdorff metric \( \rho_M \) is denoted by \( K \). It is well-known (see, e.g., [14] Proposition 2.4.15 and Corollary 2.4.16) that \( K \) is a separable complete metric space. For a closed set \( M \subset \mathbb{R}^d \), we set \( K(M) := \{ K \in K : K \subset M \} \), which is clearly a closed subspace of \( K \). The set of all nonempty compact WDC subsets of \( M \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) will be denoted by WDC\((M)\).

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** (i) WDC\((\mathbb{R}^2)\) is an \( F_{\sigma\delta\sigma} \) subset of \( K(\mathbb{R}^2) \).

(ii) WDC\((\mathbb{R}^d)\) is an analytic subset of \( K(\mathbb{R}^d) \) for each \( d \in \mathbb{N} \).

Before the proof of this theorem, we introduce some spaces, make a number of observations, and prove a technical lemma.

First observe that WDC\((\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{WDC}(B(0,n)) \) and so, to prove Theorem 4.1 it is sufficient to prove that, for each \( r > 0 \),

\[
\text{for } d = 2 \text{ (resp. } d \in \mathbb{N} \text{), } \text{WDC}(B(0,r)) \\
\text{(4.1)} \text{ is an } F_{\sigma\delta\sigma} \text{ (resp. analytic) subset of } K(B(0,r)).
\]

Further observe that it is sufficient to prove (4.1) for \( r = 1 \). Indeed, denoting \( H(x) := x/r, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), it is obvious that \( H^* : K \rightarrow H(K) \) gives a homeomorphism of \( K(B(0,r)) \) onto \( K(B(0,1)) \) and \( H^*(\text{WDC}(B(0,r))) = \text{WDC}(B(0,1)) \) (clearly \( f \) is an aura for \( K \) if and only if \( f \circ H^{-1} \) is an aura for \( H^*(K) \)).

To prove (4.1) for \( r = 1 \), we will consider the space \( X \) of all 1-Lipschitz functions \( f : B(0,4) \rightarrow [0, 4] \) such that \( f \geq 1 \) on \( B(0,4) \setminus U(0,3) \), equipped with the supremum metric \( \rho_{\text{sup}} \). Obviously, \( X \) is a closed subspace of \( C(B(0,4)) \) and so it is a separable complete metric space.

The motivation for introducing \( X \) is the fact that

\[
\text{(4.2)} \text{ if } K \in K(B(0,1)), \text{ then } f_K \restriction_{B(0,4)} \in X.
\]

Since we are interested in \( K \in \text{WDC}(B(0,1)) \), we define also two subspaces of \( X \):

\[
A := \{ f \in X : 0 \text{ is a weakly regular value of } f \mid_{U(0,4)} \},
\]

\[
D := \{ f \in X : f = g - h \text{ for some convex Lipschitz functions } g, h \text{ on } B(0,4) \}.
\]

Their complexity is closely related to the complexity of \( \text{WDC}(B(0,1)) \), as the following lemma indicate.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( \emptyset \neq K \subset B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be compact. Then:

(i) \( K \) is WDC if and only if there is a function \( g \in D \cap A \) such that \( K = g^{-1}(0) \).

(ii) If \( d = 2 \), then \( K \) is WDC if and only if \( f_K := d_K \restriction_{B(0,4)} \in D \cap A \).
Proof. (i) Suppose first that $K$ is WDC and $f$ is an aura for $K$. Using Lemma 2.1(iii), we can choose $\alpha > 0$ so small that the function $\alpha f$ is 1-Lipschitz on $B(0, 4)$ and $0 \leq \alpha f(x) \leq 4$ for $x \in B(0, 4)$. Set

$$h(x) := \max(|x| - 2, \alpha f(x)), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ and } g := f \mid_{B(0, 4)}.$$ 

Then clearly $K = g^{-1}(0)$. Since $h$ is DC on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by Lemma 2.1(i), we obtain $g \in D$ by Lemma 2.1(iii). Finally, $g \in A$, since $g = \alpha f$ on $U(0, 2)$.

Conversely, suppose that $K = g^{-1}(0)$ for some $g \in A \cap D$ and set

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \min(g(x), 1), & \text{if } x \in U(0, 4), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$ 

Since $f$ is DC on $U(0, 4)$ by Lemma 2.1(i) and $f = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, 3)$, we see that $f$ is locally DC and so DC by Lemma 2.1(ii). Since $0$ is clearly a weakly regular value of $f$, we obtain that $f$ is an aura for $K$.

(ii) If $K$ is WDC, first note that $f_K \in X$ (see (4.2)). Since $d_K$ is an aura for $K$ by Theorem 3.3, we obtain immediately that $f_K \in A$, and also $f_K \in D$ by Lemma 2.1(iii).

If $f_K \in A \cap D$, then $K$ is WDC by (i). \qed

For the application of Lemma 4.2(ii) we need the simple fact that

$$\Psi : K \mapsto f_K, \ K \in \mathcal{K}(B(0, 1)), \text{ is a continuous mapping into } X.$$

Indeed, if $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(B(0, 1))$ with $\rho_H(K_1, K_2) < \varepsilon$ and $x \in B(0, 4)$, then clearly $d_{K_1}(x) < d_{K_2}(x) + \varepsilon, d_{K_2}(x) < d_{K_1}(x) + \varepsilon$, and consequently $\rho_{\text{map}}(f_{K_1}, f_{K_2}) \leq \varepsilon$.

Further observe that

$$D \text{ is an } F_\sigma \text{ subset of } X.$$ 

To prove it, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$C_n := \{g \in C(B(0, 4)) : g \text{ is convex } n\text{-Lipschitz and } |g(x)| \leq 4n + 4, \ x \in B(0, 4)\}.$$ 

Now observe that if $f \in D$ then we can choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and convex $n$-Lipschitz functions $g, h$ such that $f = g - h$, $g(0) = 0$ and consequently $\|g\| \leq 4n, \|h\| \leq 4n + 4$, and so $g, h \in C_n$. Consequently, $D = X \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}(C_n - C_n)$. Each $C_n$ is clearly closed in $C(B(0, 4))$ and so it is compact in $C(B(0, 4))$ by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. Consequently also $C_n - C_n = \sigma(C_n \cap C_n)$, where $\sigma$ is the continuous mapping $\sigma : (g, h) \mapsto g - h$, is compact, and (4.4) follows.

The most technical part of the proof of Theorem 4.1 is to show that $A$ is an $F_{\sigma \delta \sigma}$ subset of $X$. To prove it, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. Let $f \in X$. Then $f \in A$ if and only

$$\exists 0 < \varepsilon \ \forall x \in f^{-1}(0, \varepsilon), \ \nu \in \partial_C f(x) : |\nu| \geq \varepsilon.$$ 

Proof. If (4.5) holds, then we easily obtain $f \in A$ directly from the definition of a weakly regular value.

To prove the opposite implication, suppose that $f \in A$ and (4.5) does not hold. Then there exist points $x_n \in f^{-1}(0, 1/n), \ n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\nu_n \in \partial_C f(x_n)$ such that $|\nu_n| < 1/n$. Choose a subsequence $x_{n_k} \to x \in B(0, 4)$. Since $0 \leq f(x_{n_k}) < 1/n_k$, we have $f(x_{n_k}) \to f(x) = 0$, and consequently $x \in U(0, 4)$. Since $\nu_n \to 0$, we obtain that $0$ is not a weakly regular value of $f \mid_{U(0, 4)}$, which contradicts $f \in A$. \qed

Denote $\mathbb{Q}^* := \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ and for every $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ pick a finite set $S^d \subset S^{d-1}$ such that for every $\nu \in S^{d-1}$ there is some $\nu \in S^d$ satisfying $|\nu - \nu| < \varepsilon$. 

REMARKS ON WDC SETS 7
Lemma 4.4. Let \( f \) be a function from \( X \). Then \( f \in A \) if and only if
\[
\exists \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^* \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Q}^*, 0 < p < q < \varepsilon \exists \rho \in \mathbb{Q}^* \forall x \in U(0, 4): \\
(f(x) \notin (p, q) \lor \exists \nu \in \mathbb{Q}_x^* \forall y \in U(x, \rho), 0 < \alpha < \rho: f(y + \alpha \nu) - f(y) \leq -\varepsilon \alpha).
\]

Proof. First suppose that (4.6) holds and choose \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^* \) by (4.6). We will show that
\[
\forall x \in f^{-1}(0, \varepsilon), \nu \in \partial_c f(x): |\nu| \geq \varepsilon.
\]
To this end, consider an arbitrary \( x \in f^{-1}(0, \varepsilon) \) and choose \( p, q \in \mathbb{Q}^* \) such that \( 0 < p < q < \varepsilon \) and \( f(x) \in (p, q) \). Choose \( \rho \in \mathbb{Q}^* \) which exists for \( p, q \) by (4.6). So there exists \( \nu \in \mathbb{Q}_x^* \) such that
\[
\forall y \in U(x, \rho), 0 < \alpha < \rho: f(y + \alpha \nu) - f(y) \leq -\varepsilon \alpha.
\]
Therefore Lemma 2.2 (ii) gives that \( |\nu| \geq \varepsilon \) for each \( \nu \in \partial_c f(x) \). Thus (4.7) holds and so \( f \in A \) by Lemma 1.3.

Now suppose \( f \in A \). Using (4.5), we can choose \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^* \) such that
\[
\forall x \in f^{-1}(0, \varepsilon), \nu \in \partial_c f(x): |\nu| \geq 4\varepsilon.
\]
To prove (4.6), consider arbitrary \( p, q \in \mathbb{Q}^* \), \( 0 < p < q < \varepsilon \). Using Lemma 2.2 (i), we easily obtain that for each \( z \in K := f^{-1}([p, q]) \) there exist \( \rho(z) > 0 \) and \( \nu(z) \in S_{\rho(z)}^d \) such that
\[
\forall y \in U(z, \rho(z)), 0 < \alpha < \rho(z): f(y + \alpha \nu(z)) - f(y) \leq -2\varepsilon \alpha.
\]
Choose \( \rho \in \mathbb{Q}^* \) as a Lebesgue number (see [5]) of the open covering \( \{U(z, \rho(z))\}_{z \in K} \) of the compact \( K \). For an arbitrary \( x \in U(0, 4), \) either \( f(x) \notin (p, q) \) or \( x \in K \). In the second case, by the definition of Lebesgue number, there exists \( z \in K \) such that \( U(x, \rho) \subset U(z, \rho(z)) \). Then clearly \( \rho < \rho(z) \) and so (4.6) implies
\[
\forall y \in U(x, \rho), 0 < \alpha < \rho: f(y + \alpha \nu(z)) - f(y) \leq -2\varepsilon \alpha.
\]
By the choice of \( S_{\rho(z)}^d \), there is some \( \nu \in S_{\rho(z)}^d \) such that \( |\nu(z) - \nu| < \varepsilon \). By (4.10), for each \( y \in U(x, \rho) \) and \( 0 < \alpha < \rho, \)
\[
f(y + \alpha \nu(z)) - f(y) \leq -2\varepsilon \alpha.
\]
Consequently, using 1-Lipschitzness of \( f \in X \), we obtain
\[
f(y + \alpha \nu) - f(y) \leq f(y + \alpha \nu(z)) - f(y) + \alpha |f(y + \alpha \nu) - f(y + \alpha \nu(z))| \leq f(y + \alpha \nu(z)) - f(y) + |\nu - \nu(z)| \alpha \leq -2\varepsilon \alpha + \varepsilon \alpha = -\varepsilon \alpha,
\]
and so (4.6) holds.

\[\square\]

Corollary 4.5. The set \( A \) is an \( F_{\alpha \beta \varepsilon} \) subset of \( X \).

Proof. For each quadruple \( y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \nu \in S_{\alpha \beta \varepsilon}^d, f > 0, \varepsilon > 0 \) we set
\[
C(y, \nu, \alpha, \varepsilon) := \{f \in X : f(y + \alpha \nu) - f(y) \leq -\varepsilon \alpha\}.
\]
(Now we have \( C(y, \nu, \alpha, \varepsilon) = \emptyset \) if \( y \notin U(0, 4) \) or \( y + \alpha \nu \notin U(0, 4) \).) Further, for each triple \( x \in U(0, 4), 0 < p < q, \) we set
\[
D(x, p, q) := \{f \in X : f(x) \notin (p, q)\}.
\]
It is easy to see that both \( C(y, \nu, \alpha, \varepsilon) \) and \( D(x, p, q) \) are always closed subsets of \( X \). It is easy to see that Lemma 4.4 is equivalent to
\[
A = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \bigcap_{0 < p < q < \varepsilon} \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \bigcap_{x \in U(0, 4)} \left( D(x, p, q) \cup \bigcup_{\nu \in S_{\alpha \beta \varepsilon}^d \cap U(z, \rho)} \bigcup_{0 < \alpha < \rho} C(y, \nu, \alpha, \varepsilon), \right).
\]
Therefore, since $Q^*$ is countable and each $S^d$ is finite, we obtain that $A$ is an $F_{\sigma d\sigma}$ subset of $X$.

\[\square\]

The proof of Theorem 4.1 We know that it is sufficient to prove (4.1) for $r = 1$.

Suppose $d = 2$. Then Lemma 4.2(ii) gives that $WDC(B(0,1)) = \psi^{-1}(A \cap D)$, where $\psi : K(B(0,4)) \to X$ is the continuous mapping from (4.3). Since $A \cap D$ is an $F_{\sigma d\sigma}$ subset of $X$ by Corollary 4.3 and (4.4), we obtain (4.1) for $r = 1$ and $d = 2$, and thus also assertion (i) of Theorem 4.1.

To prove assertion (ii) of Theorem 4.1, it is sufficient to prove that (in each $\mathbb{R}^d$) $WDC(B(0,1))$ is an analytic subset of $K(B(0,1))$. To this end, consider the following subset $S$ of $K(B(0,1)) \times X$:

$$S = \{(K, f) \in K(B(0,1)) \times X : f^{-1}(0) = K, f \in A \cap D \}.$$ 

By Lemma 4.2(i), $WDC(B(0,1)) = \pi_1(S)$ (where $\pi_1(K, f) := K$) and so it is sufficient to prove that $S$ is Borel. Denoting

$$Z := \{(K, f) \in K(B(0,1)) \times X : K = f^{-1}(0), f \in X \},$$

we have $S = Z \cap (K(B(0,1)) \times (A \cap D))$. So, since $A \cap D$ is Borel by Corollary 4.3 and (4.4), to prove that $S$ is Borel, it is sufficient to show that $Z$ is Borel in $K(B(0,1)) \times X$. To this end, denote for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$P_n := \{(K, f) \in K(B(0,1)) \times X : \exists x \in K : f(x) \geq 1/n \},$$

$$Q_n := \{(K, f) \in K(B(0,1)) \times X : \exists x \in B(0,4) : \text{dist}(x,K) \geq 1/n, f(x) = 0 \}.$$

Since clearly

$$Z = (K(B(0,1)) \times X) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n,$$

it is sufficient to prove that all $P_n$ and $Q_n$ are closed.

So suppose that $(K_i, f_i) \in K(B(0,1)) \times X$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, (K, f) \in K(B(0,1)) \times X$, $\rho_H(K_i, K) \to 0$ and $\rho_{sup}(f_i, f) \to 0$.

First suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $(K_i, f_i) \in P_n$. Choose $x_i \in K_i$ with $f_i(x_i) \geq 1/n$. Choose a convergent subsequence $x_{i_j} \to x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It is easy to see that $x \in K$. Since $|f(x_{i_j}) - f(x_j)| \to 0$ and $f(x_{i_j}) \to f(x)$, we obtain $f(x_{i_j}) \to f(x)$, and consequently $f(x) \geq 1/n$. Thus $(K, f) \in P_n$ and therefore $P_n$ is closed.

Second, suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $(K_i, f_i) \in P_n$. Choose $x_i \in B(0,4)$ such that $\text{dist}(x_i, K_i) \geq 1/n$ and $f_i(x_i) = 0$. Choose a convergent subsequence $x_{i_j} \to x \in B(0,4)$. Since $|f_{i_j}(x_{i_j}) - f(x_{i_j})| \to 0$ and $f(x_{i_j}) \to f(x)$, we obtain $f(x) = 0$. Now consider an arbitrary $y \in K$ and choose a sequence $y_j \in K_i$, with $y_j \to y$. Since $|x_{i_j} - y_j| \geq 1/n$ and $x_{i_j} \to x$, we obtain that $|y - x| \geq 1/n$ and consequently $\text{dist}(x, K) \geq 1/n$. Thus $(K, f) \in Q_n$ and therefore $Q_n$ is closed.
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