FORMAL LANGUAGE CONVEXITY IN LEFT-ORDERABLE GROUPS

HANG LU SU

Abstract. We propose a criterion for the regularity of a formal language representation when passing to subgroups. We use this criterion to show that the regularity of a positive cone language in a left-orderable group passes to its finite index subgroups, and to show that there exists no left order on a finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group such that the corresponding positive cone is represented by a quasi-geodesic regular language. We also answer one of Navas’ question by giving an example of an infinite family of groups which admit a positive cone that is generated by exactly \( k \) generators, for every \( k \geq 3 \). As a special case of our construction, we obtain a finitely generated positive cone for \( F_2 \times \mathbb{Z} \).

1. Introduction

A language represents a subset of a group if its image under an evaluation map is equal to that subset. The complexity of a language is determined by the minimal complexity class of machines able to solve the membership problem for that language. For example, languages recognized by finite state automata are called regular languages. They are the simplest languages in a classification of formal languages called the Chomsky hierarchy.

In this paper, we devise a criterion which we name language-convexity or \( L \)-convexity for inheriting the regularity of a language representation \( L \) when we pass to subgroups. Roughly speaking, a subgroup is \( L \)-convex if the prefixes of every word in \( L \) represents an element in the subgroup, up to a bounded error. We apply this criterion to positive cones of groups, which are the set of elements greater than the identity under a left-invariant total order.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated group with a regular positive cone. If \( H \) is a finite index subgroup, then \( H \) also admits a regular positive cone.

A particularly simple class of regular languages are the finitely generated semigroups, which can be recognized by automata with only two states. The property of inheriting a regular language representation of a positive cone is optimal in some sense, as refining this property to inheriting finite generation in the positive cone is impossible. Indeed, take the Klein bottle group given by presentation

\[
K_2 = \langle a, b : a^{-1}ba = b^{-1} \rangle.
\]
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It is easy to check that $K_2$ admits the positive cone $P = \langle a, b \rangle^+$. The subgroup given by $\langle a^2, b \rangle$, of index 2, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ since
\[ a^{-2}ba^2 = a^{-1}b^{-1}a = b. \]

It is a basic fact of orderability (see for example [3, Section 2.2]) that a finitely generated positive cone of a group corresponds to an isolated point in the space of left orders of that group. Also well-known (see [3, Section 1.2.1]) is the fact that the space of left orders on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is isomorphic to the Cantor set, and thus cannot have any isolated points. Thus, $\mathbb{Z}^2$ does not admit a finitely generated positive cone, despite being a finite index subgroup of $K_2$.

While finitely generated positive cones are easy to describe, not many examples of them are known. In his 2011 paper, Navas [10] constructed an infinite family of groups given by presentation $\Gamma_n = \langle a, b : ba^n b = a \rangle$ which have positive cones of rank 2. The author then poses the following problem: for every $k \geq 3$, find an infinite family of groups which admit a positive cone of rank $k$. We have solved this problem completely by looking into finite-index subgroups of $\Gamma_n$.

**Theorem 1.2.** For every integer $m \geq 2$, and integer $n \geq 2$ of the form $n = m-1+mt$ for some odd integer $t$, there is a subgroup of index $m$ in $\Gamma_n = \langle a, b : ba^n b = a \rangle$ which admits a positive cone of rank $m+1$.

In 2016, Hermiller and Šunić [7] showed that no finitely generated free product has a regular positive cone. However, this property is not stable under taking a Cartesian product with the integers. Rivas [12] had constructed an example of a regular positive cone for this group. Furthermore, it was known by a 2018 result of Mann and Rivas [9] that $F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ has isolated points in its space of left orders. Since each finitely generated positive cone implies an isolated point in the space of left orders of a group (see for example [3, Section 2.2]), this suggest the question of whether $F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ admits a finitely generated positive cone. A special case of our Theorem 1.2 shows the following.

**Corollary 1.3.** There exists a positive cone for $F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ which is finitely generated as a semigroup.

Moreover, we generalize this same result of Hermiller and Šunić’s to acylindrically hyperbolic groups, which are a generalization on the class of non-elementary hyperbolic groups. Some examples of acylindrically hyperbolic groups are mapping class groups of closed, oriented surfaces, groups of outer automorphism of free groups, and free products. Our result postdates that of Calegari’s [11], who in 2002 showed that no fundamental group of a hyperbolic manifold has a regular geodesic positive cone.

**Theorem 1.4.** A quasi-geodesic positive cone language of a finitely generated acylindrical hyperbolic group cannot be regular.

Since it is known that the lower bound of being 1-counter (the lowest complexity for a context-free language) is attained for some orders on free groups by a 2013 result of Šunić [13], our bound is the best possible within the Chomsky hierarchy. In 2006, Farb posed the question of whether mapping class groups of closed, oriented surfaces of genus greater or equal to two, with either zero or one puncture, have a finite index subgroup which is orderable [5, Problem 6.3]. Our theorem makes partial progress on Farb’s question by finding a lower bound on the formal language complexity for positive cones of acylindrically hyperbolic groups which are represented
by languages containing only quasi-geodesic words. (Note that finite index subgroups of acylindrically hyperbolic groups are acylindrically hyperbolic [11].)

Our paper is structured as follows: we review some background in Section 2 which should be sufficient to understand the starting point of our paper: Hermiller and Šunić’s result. In Section 3 we show how pairs of fellow-travelling words form a regular language. In Section 4 we present the language-convexity criterion for subsets inheriting the property of having a regular language representation, then prove Theorem 1.1. The last two sections can be read independently of one another. In Section 5 we first provide an $(m + 1)$-generated positive cone for certain subgroups of index $m$ of $\Gamma_n = \langle a, b : ba^n b = a \rangle$ for integers $n \geq 2, m \geq 2$. We then use this result to prove Corollary 1.3. Next, we show that $m + 1$ is the minimal number of generators for the provided positive cone of these subgroup of index $m$ of $\Gamma_n$, for an infinite number of values of $n$, proving Theorem 1.2. Finally, in Section 6 we apply our language-convexity criterion to known results in acylindrically hyperbolic groups to show that if there were a regular quasi-geodesic positive cone language for an acylindrically hyperbolic group, it would allow us to construct a regular positive cone for a free group on two elements. This is a contradiction by the result of Hermiller and Šunić [7] (Theorem 2.4).

2. Background

The goal of this section is to present sufficient background to understand the starting point of this paper, the statement of Theorem 2.4 due to Hermiller and Šunić. We end the section by briefly discussing Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. Should it be needed, we suggest additional reference [3] for orderability, and reference [4] for finite state automata.

2.1. Left-orders and induced positive cones. A group $G$ is left-orderable if it admits a strict total order $\prec$ of its elements such that the relation $g \prec h$ holds if and only if the relation $fg \prec fh$ holds for all $g, h, f \in G$. Given an order $\prec$, the associated positive cone $P$ is the set of elements which are greater than the identity,

$$P = \{ g \in G : g \succ 1 \}.$$

$P$ has two defining properties.

(1) $P$ is a semigroup in the sense that $PP \subseteq P$.

(2) $P$ defines a partition for $G$. $G = P \sqcup P^{-1} \sqcup \{1\}$ where the union is disjoint.

Equivalently, given a semigroup $P$ which partitions $G$ as above, we may define a left-invariant order $\prec$ by

$$g \prec h \iff g^{-1}h \in P.$$

The induced positive cone by this left order is exactly $P$. Thus, the notions of left-order and positive cone are equivalent.

It is straightforward to show that positive cones are closed under taking subgroups: if $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $H$ is also orderable with positive cone $P \cap H$.

2.2. Regular languages. A language over a finite set $X$ is a subset of the free monoid $X^* = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} X^n$, the set of arbitrarily long words with characters in $X$.

Definition 2.1. A language is regular if it is accepted by a finite state automaton.
A finite state automaton is quintuple \( A = (S, X, \tau, A, s_0) \) where \( S \) is a finite set called the state set, \( X \) is a finite alphabet for the input words, \( \tau : S \times X \to S \) is a transition function taking one state to another, \( A \subseteq S \) is a set of states called the accept states (or final states), and \( s_0 \in S \) is the initial state. The function \( \tau \) extends recursively to \( \tau : S \times X^* \to S \) by setting \( \tau(s, wx) = \tau(\tau(s, w), x) \) where \( w \in X^*, x \in X \) and \( s \in S \). The language accepted by the automaton is the set of words
\[
\{ w \in X^* : \tau(s_0, w) \in A \}.
\]

**Remark 2.2.** Let \( X \) be a finite alphabet, and set \( P = \langle X \rangle^+ \) to be a semigroup generated by \( X \). We remark that \( P \) is always accepted by an automaton \( A \) with two states. Indeed, set the states to be \( S = \{ s_0, s_1 \} \) and the accept state to \( A = \{ s_1 \} \). Define \( \tau \) such that
\[
\tau(s_0, x_1) = s_1, \quad \tau(s_1, x_1) = s_1, \quad \forall x \in X.
\]
This automaton does not accept the empty word, thus the initial state \( s_0 \) not being an accept state. However, it accepts any non-empty combination of strings in the semigroup, which is represented by always being in the accept state \( s_1 \) after any transition. This shows that the property of being finitely generated as a semigroup is stronger than being a regular language.

Given two alphabets \( X \) and \( Y \) and a function \( f : X \to Y^* \) there is a unique monoid homomorphism \( h : X^* \to Y^* \) extending \( f \). The map sends \( w \in X^*, w = x_1 \ldots x_n \) to \( h(w) = f(x_1) \ldots f(x_n) \), where each \( f(x_i) \) is a word in \( Y^* \). The image of a regular language \( L \subseteq X^* \) under a monoid homomorphism is also regular. For a group \( G = \langle X \rangle \), the evaluation map \( \pi : X^* \to G \) is the monoid homomorphism sending words in \( X \) to the element they represent in \( G \).

The following definition we be useful throughout our paper.

**Definition 2.3** (Regular positive cone). We say that a positive cone \( P \) of a finitely generated group \( G \) is a regular positive cone if there exists a finite generating set \( X \) and a regular language \( L \subseteq X^* \) such that \( \pi(L) = P \), where \( \pi \) is the evaluation map.

**Theorem 2.4** (Hermiller and Šunić [7]). Let \( A, B \) be two non-trivial, finitely generated, left-orderable groups. Let \( G = A \ast B \). Then \( G \) does not admit a regular positive cone.

In particular, this theorem states that \( F_2 \) cannot have a regular positive cone. However, our Corollary 1.3 states that there is a positive cone for \( F_2 \times \mathbb{Z} \) which is finitely generated as a semigroup. In other words, taking the Cartesian product of \( F_2 \) with \( \mathbb{Z} \) allows for finite generatedness, an even stronger property than being regular.

Theorem 1.4 generalizes Theorem 2.4 up to quasi-geodesic positive cones (see Definition 6.1) of a class of groups containing free products, called acylindrically hyperbolic (see Section 6.1). Both proofs will depend on the \( L \)-convexity criterion.

3. Pairs of Fellow-Travelling Words Form A Regular Language

We will prove the section’s title and construct a language \( \tilde{L} \) in Lemma 3.5 which will constitute a key part of our \( L \)-convexity criterion. In this section, fix \( X \) to be a finite alphabet containing its own inverses \( X = X^{-1} \), and \( \$ \) to be a padding symbol. Define \( X^\$ := X \cup \{ \$ \} \). We will take \( G \) to be a group generated by \( X \), and denote by \( \Gamma \) the associated Cayley graph \( \Gamma \) with metric \( d \). Let \( \pi : (X^\$)^* \to G \) be the evaluation map which maps \( x \in X \) to itself and \( \$ \) to the identity.
3.1. Word-induced paths. Every word in \((X^S)^*\) induces a path in \(\Gamma\). Indeed, assume that we have a word \(u \in X^*\). Let \(u = x_1 \ldots x_n\) for \(x_i \in X\) and \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). Set \(u_i = x_1 \ldots x_i\). For convenience, let \(\bar{u}\) stand for \(\pi(u)\). The induced path \(p_u = (\bar{u}_0, \ldots, \bar{u}_{n-1}, \bar{u}_n)\) is a sequence composed of vertices \(\bar{u}_i\) which are the elements of \(G\). The path \(p_u\) can be parametrized in the natural way as a continuous function \(p_u : [0, n] \to \Gamma\) satisfying \(p_u(i) = \bar{u}_i\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n\). On the other hand, if \(u' \in (X^S)^*\), then take \(u'\) as inducing the same sequence of vertices as its unpadded version. We view the path \(p_{u'}\) as unparametrized.

Definition 3.1 (Synchronous and asynchronous fellow-travel). Let \(M\) be a fixed non-negative constant. Let \((u, v) \in (X^S \times X^S)^*\). We note that \((X^S \times X^S)^*\) is a set of pair of words \((u, v)\) where \(u \in X^S\), \(v \in X^S\) and \(u\) and \(v\) have the same length. We say that \(u\) and \(v\) synchronously \(M\)-fellow-travel if \(d(\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i) \leq M\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). We say that \(u\) and \(v\) asynchronously \(M\)-fellow-travel if there exists a synchronously \(M\)-fellow-travelling pair \((u', v') \in (X^S \times X^S)^*\) such that \(u'\) and \(v'\) are obtained from inserting padding symbols \(\$\) between the characters of \(u\) and \(v\). We will refer to \(u'\) and \(v'\) as the padded version of \(u\) and \(v\) respectively.

Proposition 3.2. Let \(M \geq 0\). The language of synchronously \(M\)-fellow-travelling words such that \((u, v)\) spell the same element in \(G\),

\[
\mathcal{L}_M = \{(u, v) \in (X^S \times X^S)^* : \bar{u} = \bar{v} \text{ and } d(\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i) \leq M, i = 1, \ldots, n\}
\]

is a regular language.

We remark that if \((u, v)\) are a pair of words over the unpadded alphabet \((X \times X)^*\), and \((u, v)\) asynchronously \(M\)-fellow-travel and represent the same element in \(G\), then there are padded versions \(u'\) and \(v'\) of \(u\) and \(v\) respectively such that \((u', v') \in \mathcal{L}_M\). Roughly speaking, \(u'\) and \(v'\) are the versions of \(u\) and \(v\) where one word “waits” for the other after each character by virtue of the placement of \(\$\) between two characters of \(X\). In that sense, \(\mathcal{L}_M\) captures the language of asynchronously \(M\)-fellow-travelling words in \((X \times X)^*\).

Sketch of the proof of Proposition 3.2. Let \(A = (S, X^S \times X^S, \tau, A, s_0)\) be a finite state automaton. Let \(B_M \subseteq V(\Gamma)\) be the group elements contained in a ball of radius \(M\) around the identity. Set \(S = B_M \cup \{\rho\}\), where \(\rho\) will denote a fail state. Define the transition function \(\tau : (X^S \times X^S)^* \to S\), as

\[
\tau(g, (x, y)) = \begin{cases} 
\bar{x}^{-1}w\bar{y} & \bar{x}^{-1}s\bar{y} \in B_M \\
\rho & \bar{x}^{-1}s\bar{y} \notin B_M
\end{cases}, \quad \forall g \in B_M
\]

\[
\tau(\rho, (x, y)) = \rho \quad \forall (x, y) \in (X^S \times X^S)^*.
\]

Let the accepting state to be \(A = \{1\}\) and the initial state to also be \(s_0 = 1\). It is now straightforward to check by induction that this automaton accepts exactly the language \(\mathcal{L}_M\). \(\square\)

A proof of the following well-known theorem can be found in [4, Section 1.4] and involve constructing the appropriate automata.

Theorem 3.3 (Predicate calculus). Given regular languages \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) over the same finite alphabet \(Y\), the following languages are also regular:

- \(L_1 \times L_2\) where \(L_1 \times L_2 = \{(u, v) \in (Y \times Y)^* : u \in L_1, v \in L_2\}\).
\[ L_1 \cap L_2. \]

Moreover, if \( L_3 \) is a regular language over a product of finite alphabets \( Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n \) and \( \text{Proj}_i : (Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n)^* \to Y_i^* \) is the projection map on the \( i \)th coordinate, then \( \text{Proj}_i(L_3) \) is a regular language.

We will iterate our use of predicate calculus to prove the next lemma.

**Definition 3.4** (Padded language). Let \( L \) be the regular language accepted by the finite state automaton \( A = (S, X, \tau, A, s_0) \). Fix \( \$ \) as a padding symbol. The **padded language** \( L^\$ \) of \( L \) is the language accepted by the automaton \( A^\$ = (S, X, \tau^\$, A, s_0) \), where \( \tau^\$ \) is a function from \( S \times (X \cup \{\$\}) \) to \( S \) defined as

\[
\tau^\$(s, x) = \begin{cases} 
\tau(s, x) & \text{if } s \in S, x \in X \\
\$ & \text{if } s \in S, x = \$.
\end{cases}
\]

The language \( L^\$ \) consists of all the padded versions of the words in \( L \), and is regular by construction.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( L \subseteq X^* \) be a regular language, let \( M \geq 0 \), and let \( L_M \) be the language of synchronously \( M \)-fellow-travelling pairs of words in \((X^\times X^\$)^* \) such that each pair spell the same element in \( G \), as defined in Proposition 3.3. Then,

\[
\tilde{L} := \{v \in (X^\times X^\$)^* : \exists u \in L^\$ \text{ such that } (u, v) \in L_M\},
\]

is a regular language and \( \pi(\tilde{L}) = \pi(L) \).

Note that \( \tilde{L} \) is a language of words which synchronously \( M \)-fellow-travel with words in the padded language \( L^\$ \) and represent the same elements of \( G \) as the words in \( L \).

**Proof of Lemma 3.5.** We will be using Theorem 3.3 several times. Let

\[
L' := \{(u, v) \in (X^\times X^\$)^* : u \in L^\$ \text{ and } v \in (X^\$)^*\}.
\]

Observe that \( L' = L^\$ \times (X^\$)^* \) so it is regular. Set

\[
L'' := \{(u, v) \in (X^\times X^\$)^* : u \in L^\$ \text{ and } (u, v) \in L_M\}.
\]

Keeping track, we see that \( L'' = L_M \cap L' \), so \( L'' \) is also regular. Now, set

\[
\tilde{L} := \{v \in (X^\times X^\$)^* : \exists u \in L^\$ \text{ such that } (u, v) \in L_M\}
\]

and observe that \( \tilde{L} = \text{Proj}_2(L'') \) so it is regular. Finally, we observe that

\[
\pi(L) = \pi(\text{Proj}_1(L')) = \pi(\text{Proj}_1(L'')) = \pi(\text{Proj}_2(L'')) = \pi(u) = \pi(v) = \pi(\tilde{L}).
\]

\[ \square \]

4. **Language Convex Subgroups**

This section is dedicated to the statement of \( L \)-convexity and the proof of Theorem 1.1. For this section, fix \( G = \langle X \rangle \) to be a group where \( X = X^{-1} \) and \( X \) is finite. Let \( \Gamma \) be the associated Cayley graph with the graph metric. For \( w \in X^* \), \( w = x_1 \ldots x_n \), and for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), we will denote by \( w_i \) the prefix of length \( i \) of \( w \), i.e. \( w_i := x_1 \ldots x_i \). Let \( |w| \) denote the length of \( w \). Set \( \pi : X^* \to G \) as the evaluation map. For convenience, we will denote \( \pi(w) \) by \( \bar{w} \).
**Definition 4.1.** [L-convexity] Let $L$ be a language over $X$. A subset $H \subseteq G$ is $L$-**convex** if there exists an $R \geq 0$ such that for all $w \in L$, the induced path $p_w$ lies within distance $R$ of $H$ in $\Gamma$.

**Proposition 4.2** ([L-convexity criterion].) Let $X$ be a finite set containing its own inverses, $X = X^{-1}$. Set $G = \langle X \rangle$. Let $L$ be a regular language, and let $P = \pi(L)$ where $\pi$ is the evaluation map onto $G$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. If $H$ is $L$-convex, then there exists a regular language $L_H$ such that $\pi(L_H) = H \cap P$.

This definition will be useful for the proof of the above proposition.

**Definition 4.3** (Geodesic words.) A geometric path is a path $p$ connecting two vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $p$ has the shortest length amongst all paths from $v_1$ to $v_2$ in $\Gamma$. Recall from Section 3.4 that words induce paths in the Cayley graph. A word $w \in (X^g)^*$ is geometric if $w \in X^*$ and the induced path $p_w$ is geometric.

**Proof of Proposition 4.2.** Let $L$ and $P$ be as in the statement of Proposition 4.2. Suppose that $H$ is $L$-convex. We want to show that $H \cap P$ can be represented by a regular language.

Let $R$ be, as in Definition 4.1, the convexity parameter for $H$. Set $Y = \{y \in H : |y| \leq 2R + 1\}$. Let $\varphi : Y^* \to X^*$ be the monoid homomorphism sending each element $y \in Y$ to a geometric representative in terms of the finite generating set $X$. Fix $M = 3R + 1$. Let $\bar{L}$ be the regular language given by Lemma 3.5, which consists of the set of padded words in $X^S$ which synchronously $M$-fellow-travel with words in the padded language $L^S$. Recall that $\pi(\bar{L}) = \pi(L)$. Set $L_H = \varphi(Y^*) \cap \bar{L}$.

The regularity of $L_H$ is given by Theorem 3.3. We will argue that $L_H$ is a language representing $H \cap P$.

We start by showing that $\pi(L_H) \supseteq H \cap P$. Let $g \in H \cap P$. Set $u$ to be a representative of $g$ in $L$. Since $\bar{u} \in H$, we have by $L$-convexity that for each prefix $u_i$ of $u$, the evaluation $\bar{u}_i$ is at distance at most $R$ from $H$. Therefore, there exists $h_i \in H$ satisfying $d(\bar{u}_i, h_i) \leq R$ in $\Gamma$. Since $\bar{u}_0 = 1$ and $\bar{u}_n \in H$, we are allowed to set $h_0 = 1$ and $h_n = \bar{u}_n$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $y_i = h_i^{-1} h_i$. Observe that $y_i \in Y$. Indeed,

$$|y_i| = d(h_{i-1}, h_i) \leq d(h_{i-1}, \bar{u}_{i-1}) + d(\bar{u}_{i-1}, \bar{u}_i) + d(\bar{u}_i, h_i) \leq 2R + 1.$$

Let $w = y_1 \ldots y_n$. Let $v = \varphi(w)$. It is clear that $\bar{v} = h_n = g$, and that $v$ belongs to the monoid $\varphi(Y^*)$.

To show that $v \in \bar{L}$, we will to show that it asynchronously $M$-fellow-travels with $u$. First observe that each $\bar{u}_i$ is at distance at most $R$ from each $\bar{v}(w_i)$ by construction of $v$. Recall that the geodesic subpath connecting $\varphi(w_{i-1})$ to $\varphi(w_i)$ is labelled by $\varphi(y_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $w_i$ is the empty word. Therefore, any vertex in such a subpath is at distance at most $2R + 1$ from $\varphi(w_i)$ and hence at most $M = 3R + 1$ from $\bar{u}_i$. Let $u'$ be the padded word for $u$ which has $|y_i| - 1$ padding symbols $\$ added after each $x_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $(u', v)$ synchronously $M$-fellow-travel. This shows that $v \in \bar{L}$, and thus $\pi(L_H) \supseteq H \cap P$.

To conclude, we prove that $\pi(L_H) \subseteq H \cap P$. If $w \in L_H$, then $\bar{w} \in \pi(\varphi(Y^*))$. Since $\pi(\varphi(Y)) \subseteq H$, we have that $\bar{w} \in H$. Moreover, $\bar{w} \in \pi(\bar{L}) = \pi(L) = P$, so we obtain that $\pi(L_H) \subseteq H \cap P$. 

\[\square\]
For a language $L$ over $X^*$, denote by $A(L)$ an automaton accepting the language $L$ such that it has a minimal number of states amongst all automata accepting $L$. Denote by $|A(L)|$ the number of states of $A(L)$. We conclude this section by getting an estimate for $|A(L_H)|$, where $L_H$ is as in Proposition 4.2.

Let $\gamma_H$ denote the growth function of the subgroup $H \leq G$ with respect to generating set $X$, that is

$$\gamma_H(n) = |\{g \in H : |g| \leq n\}|.$$

**Corollary 4.4.** Let $G, X$ and $L$ be as in Proposition 4.2. Let $H$ be $L$-convex with $L$-convexity parameter $R$. There is a regular language $L_H$ representing $H \cap \pi(L)$ such that

$$|A(L_H)| \leq (2R + 1) \cdot |A(L)| \cdot \gamma_H(2R + 1) \cdot (\gamma_G(3R + 1) + 1).$$

**Proof.** Recall from the proof of Proposition 4.2 that we can take $L_H = \varphi(Y^*) \cap \hat{L}$, where $\hat{L}$ is given by Lemma 3.5. Let $Y = \{h \in H : |h| \leq 2R + 1\}$. Recall that for $y \in Y$, $\varphi(y)$ is a geodesic in $X$ representing $y$. It is easy to show that $|A(\varphi(Y^*))| \leq (2R + 1) \cdot \gamma_H(2R + 1)$. The states of an automaton accepting an intersection of two regular languages are given by the product of the states of the two automaton accepting each of the languages. Therefore, we have

$$|A(L_H)| \leq (2R + 1) \cdot \gamma_H(2R + 1) \cdot |A(\hat{L})|.$$

It remains to bound $|A(\hat{L})|$. Set $M = 3R + 1$. Set $L_M$ to be the language in Proposition 3.2. It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.2 that $A(L_M)$ has at most $\gamma_G(3R + 1) + 1$ states. Finally, set $\hat{L}, L', L''$ and $L^8$ to be as in Lemma 3.5. Recall from the proof of Lemma 3.5 that $L' = L^8 \times (X^8)^*$, $L'' = L_M \cap L'$ and $\hat{L} = \text{Proj}_2(L'')$. Since projection doesn’t increase the number of states, $|A(\hat{L})| \leq |A(L'')|$. By the previous remark about intersection, $|A(L'')| \leq |A(L')| \cdot |A(L_M)|$. By Remark 3.2 and Definition 3.2, $|A((X^8)^*)| = 1$ and $|A(L^8)| = |A(L)|$. We conclude that $|A(L')| = |A(L)|$. Putting it all together, the corollary follows. \qed

We will use our $L$-convexity criterion to show that finite index subgroups are $L$-convex. We will then apply our result in the proof of Corollary 4.3 to show that there is a regular positive cone for $F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$, then push the machinery further and construct a positive cone which is finitely generated as a semigroup.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $L$ be a regular language and $H$ an $L$-convex subgroup of a finitely generated group $G$. If $K$ is a finite index subgroup of $H$, then $K$ is also $L$-convex in $G$.

**Proof.** Let $R$ be the $L$-convexity constant of $H$. Assume that $K$ is a finite index subgroup of $H$. Let $C = \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$ be a list of coset representatives of $K$ in $H$. Let $R' = \max_{h \in C} |h_i|$. If $h \in H$, then there exists an $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $h = k h_i$. Then $d(h, k) = |h_i^{-1} k^{-1} k| = |h_i| \leq R'$. This shows that $H \subseteq N_{R'}(K)$.

Then for all $w \in L$ with $\bar{w} \in K$, we have that $p_w \subseteq N_R(H)$ by $L$-convexity of $H$. Moreover, $N_R(H) \subseteq N_R(N_{R'}(K)) = N_{R+R'}(K)$. Therefore $K$ is $L$-convex with $L$-convexity parameter $(R + R')$. \qed

Theorem 1.1 is simply a corollary of this lemma. A particularly nice example is the braid group on three elements which admits a regular positive cone. This group has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to $F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$.
which inherits a regular positive cone. We will review this material in the proof of Corollary 1.3.

5. Constructing an infinite family of groups with $k$-generated positive cones

Consider the group $\Gamma_n = \langle a, b : ba^n b = a \rangle$. Let $\Delta = a^{n+1}$. Note that $\Delta$ is central in $\Gamma_n$. Indeed,

$$b\Delta = ba^{n+1} = (ba^n)a = (ab^{-1})a = a(b^{-1}a) = a(a^{n}b) = \Delta b.$$ 

By a 2011 result of Navas [10], $\Gamma_n$ admits a positive cone $P_n = \langle a, b \rangle^+$ for all integer $n \geq 1$. The following lemma will be useful in the study of positive cones of subgroups of $\Gamma_n$.

Lemma 5.1. For all integer $n \geq 1$, the element $b^{-s}a$ where $s \geq 0$ belongs to $P_n$.

Proof. We will show this by induction on $s$ that $b^{-s}a = a(a^{n-1}b)^s$. If $s = 0$, then $b^0a = a = a(a^{n-1}b)^0$.

As for the $s \Rightarrow s + 1$ case,

$$b^{-(s+1)}a = b^{-s}b^{-1}a = a(a^{n-1}b)^sa^{-1}(b^{-1}a) = a(a^{n-1}b)^sa^{-1}a^nb = a(a^{n-1}b)^sa^{n-1}b = a(a^{n-1}b)^{s+1}.$$ 

Since $P_n = \langle a, b \rangle^+$, the element $b^{-s}a = a(a^{n-1}b)^s$ clearly belongs to $P_n$. \hfill $\square$

We are now going to look at a particular class of finite-index subgroups of $\Gamma_n$. Given integers $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$, we want to create a surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi := \varphi_{n, m} : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{where } \varphi(b) = 1.$$ 

This means that the following relation must be satisfied.

$$\varphi(ba^n b a^{-1}) \equiv 2 + (n-1)\varphi(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$ 

(Note that given a fixed pair $(n, m)$, there are only $m$ possible solutions to check for $\varphi(a)$.)

Proposition 5.2. Let $n, m$ and $\varphi$ be such that $(n-1)\varphi(a) \equiv -2 \pmod{m}$. Let $\eta := \varphi(a)$, let $H := \ker \varphi$ and let $P := P_n = \langle a, b \rangle^+$ be a positive cone for $\Gamma_n$. Then $H \cap P$ admits the finite generating set $Y$, where

$$Y = \{ b^{-s}ab^{s+\pm(m-n)} \}_{s=0}^{\eta-1} \cup \{ b^{-s}ab^{s-\eta} \}_{s=\eta}^{m-1} \cup \{ b^m \}.$$ 

The proof of this proposition will rely on the Reidemeister-Schreier method, which we recall below.

Definition 5.3 (Schreier transversal). Let $F$ be a free group, and $\tilde{H}$ be a subgroup of $F$. A Schreier transversal $T$ of $\tilde{H}$ is a subset of $F$ such that for distinct $t \in T$, the cosets $\tilde{H}t$ are distinct, the union of the $\tilde{H}t$'s is $F$ and such that each initial segment (prefix) of an element of $T$ belongs to $T$. 


Proposition 5.4 (Reidemeister-Schreier method [8]). Let $G = F/N$, where $F$ is free with basis $X$ and $N$ is the normal closure of the relations $R$. Let $\phi$ be the natural map of $F$ onto $G$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ with $\tilde{H}$ as the inverse image of $\phi$, and let $T$ be a Schreier transversal for $H$ in $F$. For $w$ in $F$, we define $\overline{w}$ by the condition that
\[ Hw = H\overline{w}, \quad \overline{w} \in T. \]
For $t \in T$, $x \in X$, we define
\[ \gamma^*(t, x) = tx(\overline{t}x)^{-1}. \]
Then $H$ has presentation $\langle Y \mid S \rangle$ where $Y$ consists of all the elements $\phi(\gamma(t, x))$ where $\gamma(t, x) \neq 1$ where $t \in T$ and $x \in X$.

Let $F'$ be the free group generated with basis $Y$. Define $\tau : F \to F'$ as follows. If $w = y_1 \ldots y_r$, and $\gamma = \phi^*$
\[ \tau(w) = \gamma(1, y_1) \ldots \gamma(y_{j}, \ldots, y_{r-1}, y_1) \ldots \gamma(y_1 \ldots y_{r-1}, y_r). \]
Then $S$ consists of all $\tau(trt^{-1})$ for $t \in T$ and $r \in R$.

Lemma 5.5. Let $\gamma$ be as in Proposition 5.4, let $\eta := \varphi(a)$ and let $H = \ker \varphi$. Then
\[ Y = \{ b^{-s}ab^{s+(-m-\eta)} \}_{s=0}^{m-1} \cup \{ b^{-s}ab^{-\eta} \}_{s=0}^{m-1} \cup \{ b^m \} \]
generates $H$. Moreover, $Y$ is obtained from the Schreier transversal $T = \{ b^0, b^{-1}, \ldots, b^{-(m-1)} \}$, where $Y = \{ \gamma(b^{-s}, a) \cup \gamma(b^{-s}, b) \} \setminus \{ 1 \}$ for $0 \leq s \leq m-1$.

Proof. Let $\phi : F_2 \to \Gamma_n$ be the canonical map from a free group on two elements onto $\Gamma_n$. We claim that $T = \{ b^0, b^{-1}, \ldots, b^{-(m-1)} \}$ is a Schreier transversal for $\tilde{H} := \phi^{-1}(H)$. Indeed, first suppose that for $t, t' \in T$, $t = b^{-i}$, $t' = b^{-j}$ and $i \neq j$. Then if $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}$, $\varphi(\phi(\tilde{h}b^{-i})) = m - i$ and $\varphi(\phi(\tilde{h}b^{-j})) = m - j$ from our construction of $\varphi$. Since $i \neq j$ we have that $m - i \neq m - j$. Therefore, $\tilde{H}t \neq \tilde{H}t'$ for $t, t' \in T$ such that $t \neq t'$. Second, since $H = \ker \varphi$ and $\varphi(b) = 1$, it is clear that $\Gamma_n = \bigcup_{t \in T} H\phi(t)$. Thus, $F = \phi^{-1}(\Gamma_n) = \bigcup_{t \in T} \tilde{H}t$. Finally, it is clear that $T$ is closed under taking prefixes.

We know from Reidemeister-Schreier that a generating set for $H$ is given by $\{ \gamma(t, x) \}$, $t \in T$, $x \in X = \{ a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1} \}$, the alphabet of the presentation of $\Gamma_n$. Now,
\[ \gamma(b^{-s}, a) = \begin{cases} b^{-s}ab^{-s+\eta} & \text{if } 0 \leq \eta \leq s \\ b^{-s}ab^{m+(-s+\eta)} & \text{if } s + 1 \leq \eta \leq m-1 \end{cases} \]
\[ \gamma(b^{-s}, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq s \leq m-1 \\ b^{m} & \text{if } s = 0 \end{cases} \]
Furthermore,
\[ \gamma(b^{-s}, a^{-1}) = \begin{cases} b^{-s}a^{-1}b^{s+\eta} & \text{if } 0 \leq s + \eta \leq m-1 \\ b^{-s}a^{-1}b^{-(s+\gamma-m)} & \text{if } s + \eta \geq m \end{cases} \]
\[ \gamma(b^{-s}, b^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq m-2 \\ b^{-m} & \text{if } s = m-1 \end{cases} \]

By getting rid of the elements which are the identity and choosing the generators of the form $\gamma(b^{-s}, a)$ and $\gamma(b^{-s}, b)$ over their inverses, we may pick $Y$ as in the lemma statement. \qed
Proof of Proposition 5.2. We will first show that $\langle Y \rangle^+ \subseteq H \cap P$. We have already shown in Lemma 5.3 that $Y \subseteq H$. Moreover, it is clear that $b^m \in P$. By Lemma 5.1, $b^{-s}a \in P$ for any $s \geq 0$. Thus $b^{-s}ab^t \in P$ for any $s, t \geq 0$. This shows that all the elements of $Y$ are in $P$. Therefore, $Y \subseteq H \cap P$. Now, suppose $y_1, y_2 \in H \cap P$. Then since $PP = P$ and $HH = H$, $y_1 y_2 \in H \cap P$. This shows that $Y^2 \subseteq H \cap P$. By induction, $(Y)^+ \subseteq H \cap P$.

To show that $H \cap P \subseteq (Y)^+$, we will show that for every word $w \in \langle a, b \rangle^+$ whose image $\bar{w}$ is in $H$, there is a corresponding word $v \in (Y)^+$ such that $\bar{v} = \bar{w}$.

Write $w = x_1 \ldots x_\ell$ and let $w_i = x_1 \ldots x_i$. Recall the map $\tau$ from the Reidemeister-Schreier method (Proposition 5.4). Since $\bar{w} \in H$, $\tau(w)$ is well-defined, and $\bar{\tau}(w) = \bar{w}$ by construction of $\tau$. Furthermore,

$$\tau(w) = \prod_{i=1}^\ell \gamma(\overline{w_{i-1}}, x_i).$$

Since $w \in \langle a, b \rangle^+$, $x_i \in \{a, b\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Thus $\gamma(\overline{w_{i-1}}, x_i) \in Y \cup \{1\}$. Define $v = y_1 \ldots y_\ell$ where

$$y_i = \begin{cases} \gamma(\overline{w_{i-1}}, x_i) & \text{if } \gamma(\overline{w_{i-1}}, x_i) \neq 1 \\ \xi & \text{if } \gamma(\overline{w_{i-1}}, x_i) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then $v \in (Y)^+$ and $\bar{v} = \bar{\tau}(w) = \bar{w}$. This concludes that $H \cap P = (Y)^+$. \hfill $\Box$

Definition 5.6 (Rank). For a finitely generated semigroup $S$, we define the rank to be the minimal cardinality of a finite set $X$ such that $\langle X \rangle^+$ admits a canonical map onto $S$. Similarly, for a finitely generated group $G$, we define its rank to be the minimal cardinality of a generating set $X$ such that there exists a canonical map from $F(X)$, the free group on $X$, onto $G$.

This corollary follows from Proposition 5.2.

Corollary 5.7. Let $n, m$ and $\varphi$ be such that $(n - 1)\varphi(a) \equiv -2 \mod m$. Let $H := \ker \varphi$ and let $P := P_n = \langle a, b \rangle^+$. Then the rank of $H \cap P$ is at most $m + 1$.

Recall that Corollary 1.3 states that $F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ admits a positive cone which is finitely generated as a semigroup. We will show that this fact is a corollary of Proposition 5.2.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Let $n = 2, m = 6$. A possible solution for the equation $2 + (n + 1)\varphi(a) \equiv 0 \mod 6$ is $\varphi(a) = 4$. Let $H = \ker \varphi$. Then by Proposition 5.2, $H$ admits a positive cone generated by $Y = \{ab^2, b^{-1}ab^3, b^{-2}ab^4, b^{-3}ab^5, b^{-4}a, b^{-5}ab, b^6\}$.

A computation in GAP based on the Reduced Reidemeister-Schreier method [6, Chapter 47] and Tietze transformation [6, Chapter 48] reveals that that

$$H \cong F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

Indeed, under the presentation given by $\Gamma_2 = \langle a, b : ba^2b = a \rangle$, $H = \langle ab^2, a^2b^2a^2, a^3 \rangle$. Denoting $F_2 \times \mathbb{Z} = \langle x, y, z : [x, z] = [y, z] = 1 \rangle$, the isomorphism map $\psi$ is given by $\psi(ab^2) = x$, $\psi(a^2b^2a^2) = y$, $\psi(a^3) = z$. 


Making use of this map and the normal form provided in Navas’ paper \cite{10} Section 1, we find that
\[
\psi(Y) = \{x, yxz^{-1}, x^{-1}y, x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}yx, x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}yz^{-1}, x^{-1}y^{-1}xz^{-2}, y^{-1}x^{-1}yxz^{-1}\}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.8.** The group \( \Gamma_2 = \langle a, b : ba^2b = a \rangle \) is isomorphic to the braid group given by \( B_3 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \rangle \) by identifying \( a \mapsto \sigma_1\sigma_2, b \mapsto \sigma_2^{-1} \).

So far, we have shown that for every integer pair \( n \geq 2 \) and \( m \geq 2 \), the homomorphism \( \varphi \) maps \( \Gamma_n \) to a subgroup \( H \) of index \( m \) which admits a positive cone with at most \( m + 1 \) generators. In the sequel, we will show that for every fixed \( m \), it is possible to pick an infinite family of \( \Gamma_n \)'s satisfying a certain criterion on \( n \) such that the positive cone of the subgroup \( H \) in question has a minimal number of generators that is exactly \( m + 1 \). To aid our proof, we will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.9.** Let \( G \) be an orderable group, and let \( P \) be a positive cone of \( G \) generated by \( r \) elements. If the abelization of \( G \) has rank at least \( r \), then \( r \) is the rank of \( P \).

**Proof.** Clearly, the rank of \( P \) is at most \( r \). If \( P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle^+ \), then since \( G = P \cup P^{-1} \cup \{1\} \), we have that \( G = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle \). Therefore, \( k \geq r \) since the rank of \( G \) is at least the rank of its abelization. \( \square \)

In the following proposition, we will denote by \( \prod_{i=1}^{2} x_i \) the the product \( x_1x_2 \) obtained by right multiplication.

**Proposition 5.10.** Let \( n = m - 1 + mt \), where \( t \) is a non-negative integer. Let \( \Gamma_n = \langle a, b \mid ba^rb = a \rangle \). Then \( \varphi : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \) with \( a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 1 \) is a homomorphism of groups since \( \varphi(ba^rb^{-1}) = 2 + (n - 1) \equiv 0 \mod m \).

If \( H = \ker \varphi \), then \( H \) admits a presentation \( H = \langle Y = x_0, \ldots, x_m \mid S \rangle \) where \( x_0 = ab^{m-1}, x_i = b^{-1}ab^{-1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m - 1 \) and \( x_m = b^m \). The set of relations \( S \) is
\[
\left\{ \prod_{i=m}^{1} x_i \left( x_0 \prod_{i=m-1}^{1} x_i \right)^t x_m x_0^{-1} \right\} \cup \left\{ \prod_{i=r}^{1} x_i \left( x_0 \prod_{i=m-1}^{1} x_i \right)^t x_0 \left( \prod_{i=m-1}^{r+1} x_i \right)^{-1} \right\} \right\}^{m-2}.
\]

**Corollary 5.11.** Let \( m, n, t \) and \( H \) be as defined in Proposition \( 5.10 \). If \( t \) is an odd integer, then \( H \) admits an abelianization of rank at least \( m + 1 \).

**Corollary 5.12.** Let \( m, n, t \) and \( H \) be defined as in Proposition \( 5.10 \). If \( t \) is an odd integer, then \( H \) has a positive cone of rank \( m + 1 \)

**Proof.** By Corollary \( 5.11 \) the subgroup \( H \) has an abelianization of rank at least \( m + 1 \). By Proposition \( 5.2 \), \( H \) admits a positive cone \( P \) with \( m + 1 \) generators. By Lemma \( 5.9 \), \( m + 1 \) is the rank of \( P \). \( \square \)

The previous corollary shows that for any \( m + 1 \geq 3 \), the subgroup \( H \leq \Gamma_n \) as defined in Proposition \( 5.10 \) has a positive cone of rank \( m + 1 \) as long as \( n \) is of the form \( n = m - 1 + mt \) with odd integer \( t \geq 1 \). Since there are infinitely many such \( n \)'s, the infinite family
\[
\{H \leq \Gamma_n \mid n = m - 1 + mt, t \geq 1 \text{ and is odd}\}
\]
satisfies the statement of Theorem \( 1.2 \).

We will now prove Proposition \( 5.10 \).
Proof of Proposition \ref{prop:induction}. Recall the maps \( \gamma \) and \( \tau \) from Proposition \ref{prop:maps}. Recall from Lemma \ref{lem:generating} that a generating set for \( H \) obtained from Schreier transversal \( T = \{ b^0, b^{-1}, \ldots, b^{-(m-1)} \} \) when \( \eta = \varphi(a) = 1 \) is
\[
Y = \{ b^{-s} ab^{s-1} \}_{s=1}^{m-1} \cup \{ ab^{m-1}, b^m \} = \{ x_i \}_{i=0}^{m-1}.
\]

To get a presentation for \( H \) using the Reidemeister-Schreier method, it remains to compute the relations. We start by computing the relation derived from the word \( w = ba^n ba^{-1} \). Applying the definition of the map \( \tau \), we observe that
\[
\tau(ba^n ba^{-1}) = \gamma(1, b) \left( \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} \gamma(ba^s, a) \right) \gamma(ba^n, b) \gamma(ba^m, a^{-1}).
\]
Recall that \( n = m - 1 + mt \) where \( t \) is a non-negative integer. Let \( y(s) := \gamma(ba^s, a) \). We claim by induction on \( t \) that
\[
\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} y(s) = \prod_{s=0}^{m-2} y(s) \left( y(m-1) \prod_{s=0}^{m-2} y(s) \right)^t.
\]
The base case \( t = 0, n = m - 1 \) is clear. Observing that \( y(s + m) = \gamma(ba^{s+m}, a) = \gamma(ba^s, a) = y(s) \), we decompose the product and apply our induction hypothesis.
\[
\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} y(s) = \prod_{s=0}^{m-1+m(t-1)-1} y(s) \prod_{s=0}^{m-1+mt-1} y(s) = \prod_{s=0}^{m-2} y(s) \left( y(m-1) \prod_{s=0}^{m-2} y(s) \right)^{t-1} \prod_{s=0}^{m-1+mt} y(s) \prod_{s=0}^{m-2} y(s).
\]
This proves the induction. Finally, we observe that
\[
y(s) = \gamma(ba^s, a) = \begin{cases}
x_{m-(s+1)} = b^{-1}(m-(s+1)) & 0 \leq s \leq m - 2 \\
x_0 = ab^{m-1} & s = m - 1.
\end{cases}
\]
and \( \gamma(ba^n, b) = \gamma(1, b) = b^m = x_m \), \( \gamma(ba^n, a^{-1}) = (ab^{m-1})^{-1} = x_0^{-1} \). Putting it all together,
\[
\tau(ba^n ba^{-1}) = x_m \prod_{i=m-1}^{1} x_i \left( x_0^{1-m} \prod_{i=m-1}^{1} x_i \right)^t x_m x_0^{-1},
\]
as claimed.

We proceed similarly for the \( \tau(tba^n ba^{-1}bt^{-1}), t \in T \) cases. Observe that for \( t \neq 1 \), \( tba^n ba^{-1}bt^{-1} \) is of the form \( b^{-r} a^n ba^{-1} b^{r+1} \) for some \( 0 \leq r \leq m - 2 \). For shorthand, write \( y'(s) = \gamma(b^{-r} a^s, a) \). Start with \( n' = r + m - 1 + m(t-1) = n - 1 - [(m-1) - r] \).
Then by the same argument as above,
\[
\prod_{s=0}^{n'} y'(s) = \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} y'(s) \left( \prod_{s=r}^{r+m-1} y'(s) \right)^t.
\]
We now focus on the full relation \(\tau(b^{-r}a^nba^{-1}b^{r+1})\)
\[
= \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} \gamma(b^{-s}, b^{-1}) \left( \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} y'(s) \right) \gamma(b^{-r}a^n, b) \gamma(b^{-r}a^n b, a^{-1}) \prod_{s=0}^{r} \gamma(b^{-r}a^n ba^{-1}b^{s}, b),
\]
where many of the factors above vanish. Indeed, recall that \(\gamma(b^{-s}, b^{-1}) = 1\) for \(0 \leq s \leq m - 2\). We also observe that
\[
\gamma(b^{-r}a^n, b) = \gamma(b^{-r}a^n ba^{-1}b^s, b) = 1
\]
for \(0 \leq s \leq r\), and
\[
\gamma(b^{-r}a^n b, a^{-1}) = b^{-r}a^{-1}b^{r+1} = x_{r+1}^{-1}.
\]
This gives us that
\[
\tau(b^{-r}a^nba^{-1}b^{r+1}) = \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} y'(s)x_{r+1}^{-1},
\]
where
\[
\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} y'(s) = \prod_{s=0}^{s'=n'} y'(s) \cdot \prod_{s=n'+1}^{n-1} y'(s) = \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} y'(s) \left( \prod_{s=r}^{r+m-1} y'(s) \right)^t \prod_{s=r}^{m-2} y'(s)
\]
by substituting the result we have obtained via induction and using again that \(y'(s + m) = y'(s)\). Finally, we observe that
\[
y'(s) = \begin{cases} 
  x_{r-s} = b^{r+s}ab^{-(r+s+1)} & 1 \leq s \leq r-1 \\
  x_0 = ab^{m-1} & s = r \\
  x_{m-(r+s)} = b^{-(m-(r+s))}ab^{m-(r+s+1)} & 1 + r \leq s \leq r + m - 1.
\end{cases}
\]
Substituting again, we obtain
\[
\tau(b^{-r}a^nba^{-1}b^{r+1}) = \prod_{i=r}^{1} x_i \left( \prod_{i=m-1}^{1} x_i \right)^t x_0 \left( \prod_{i=m-1}^{r+2} x_i \right) x_{r+1}^{-1}
\]
as claimed. \(\square\)

**Proof of Corollary 5.11.** Let \(\langle Y \mid S \rangle\) be the presentation of \(H\) given by Proposition 5.10. Let \(\text{Ab} H\) denote the abelianization of \(H\), and let the generators \(y_i \in \text{Ab} H\) be the natural identification with generators \(x_i \in Y\). Let \(Y' = \{y_0, \ldots, y_m\}\). We observe that \(\text{Ab} H\) admits presentation \(\langle Y' \mid S' \rangle\) where \(S'\) is equal to
\[
\left\{ (t-1)y_0 + (t+1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} y_i + 2y_m \right\} \cup \left\{ (t+1) \sum_{i=1}^{r} y_i + (t-1)y_{r+1} + (t+1) \sum_{i=r+2}^{m-1} y_i \right\}^{m-2}
\]
Let \(\{e_i\}_{i=0}^{m}\) be the standard basis of \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m+1}\). Observe that if \(t\) is odd, then the relations are automatically the zero element under the linear map \(y_i \mapsto e_i\). Thus, that map is a surjective homomorphism \(\text{Ab} H \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m+1}\), where \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m+1}\) clearly has rank \(m + 1\). This means that the rank of \(\text{Ab} H\) is at least \(m + 1\).

Note that \(\text{Ab} H\) is actually isomorphic to \((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \times \mathbb{Z}\). We will show this in Appendix A. \(\square\)
6. No Acylindrically Hyperbolic Group Admits a Quasi-geodesic Regular Positive Cone

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. We will do so by showing that for every acylindrically hyperbolic group \( G \) and for every quasi-geodesic language \( L \) representing a subset \( P \) of \( G \), there is a subgroup isomorphic to the free group on two elements which is \( L \)-convex in \( G \). If \( P \) is a positive cone, this creates a contradiction to Theorem 2.4. Should it be needed, we suggest additional reference [11].

6.1. Acylindrically hyperbolic groups. \( G \) has an acylindrical action on a metric space \( X \) with distance \( d \) if for all \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists non-negative constants \( R \) and \( N \), both depending on \( \epsilon \), such that for every two points \( a, b \in X \) satisfying \( d(a, b) \geq R \), the set of elements \( g \in G \) satisfying \( d(a, ga) \leq \epsilon \) and \( d(b, gb) \leq \epsilon \) is at most \( N \). If a group admits an acylindrical action on a \( \delta \)-hyperbolic space (a space where all geodesic triangles have the property that every two sides is contained in a \( \delta \)-neighbourhood of the third side), then the group is called acylindrically hyperbolic. Some examples include the mapping class groups of closed, or oriented surfaces, groups of outer automorphism of free groups, and free products.

6.2. Quasi-geodesic positive cones. Let \( \lambda \) and \( \epsilon \) be real constants such that \( \lambda \geq 1 \) and \( \epsilon \geq 0 \). Let \( I \) be a connected interval of the real line. Let \( X \) be a metric space with metric \( d \). A \((\lambda, \epsilon)\)-quasi-geodesic is a map \( \gamma : I \to X \) such that for all \( a, b \in I \), we have the inequalities

\[
\frac{|a - b|}{\lambda} - \epsilon \leq d(\gamma(a), \gamma(b)) \leq \lambda|a - b| + \epsilon.
\]

Recall from Section 3.1 that words induce paths in a Cayley graph \( \Gamma \). These paths may be viewed naturally as continuous maps. A \((\lambda, \epsilon)\)-quasi-geodesic word \( w \) is a word which induces a \((\lambda, \epsilon)\)-quasi-geodesic path \( \gamma : [0, n] \to \Gamma \) where \( n \) is the length of \( w \).

**Definition 6.1** (Quasi-geodesic positive cone language). Let \( G = \langle X \rangle \), where \( X \) is finite and \( X = X^{-1} \). Let \( P \) be any positive cone for \( G \). \( L \) is a quasi-geodesic positive cone language if \( L \subset X^* \) and \( L \) satisfies the following two conditions.

1. Under an evaluation map \( \pi : X^* \to G \) we have that \( \pi(L) = P \).
2. There exists some constants \( \lambda \) and \( \epsilon \) with \( \lambda \geq 1 \) and \( \epsilon \geq 0 \) for which every word \( w \in L \) is a \((\lambda, \epsilon)\)-quasi-geodesic word.

Theorem 1.4 says that if \( G \) is a finitely generated, acylindrically hyperbolic group which admits a quasi-geodesic positive cone language \( L \), then \( L \) cannot be accepted by any finite state automaton.

**Lemma 6.2.** If \( G \) is an acylindrically hyperbolic group, then there exists a hyperbolically embedded subgroup \( H \) of \( G \) that is isomorphic to \( F_2 \), the free group of two elements.

**Proof.** Osin proved in [11] Theorem 1.2 that \( G \) being acylindrically hyperbolic is equivalent to containing a proper infinite hyperbolically embedded subgroup. We will not write down the (very long) definition of hyperbolically embedded. All we need for this proof is the result of Dahmani, Guirardel and Osin in [2] Section 6.2] which
uses the existence of a hyperbolically embedded subgroup in \( G \). More specifically, the result states that if \( G \) contains a proper infinite hyperbolically embedded subgroup, then for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists a subgroup \( H \leq G \) such that \( H \) is hyperbolically embedded in \( G \) and \( H \cong F_n \times N \) where \( F_n \) is a free group of rank \( n \) and \( N \) is the maximal finite normal subgroup of \( G \). (We remark that \( N \) is orderable since \( G \) is assumed to be orderable. Since \( N \) is finite, \( N \) must be trivial.)

In particular, there exists a hyperbolically embedded subgroup \( H \leq G \) such that \( H \cong F_2 \).

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 6.3.** If \( H \) is hyperbolically embedded, then \( H \) is \( L \)-convex for every quasi-geodesic language \( L \).

**Definition 6.4** (Morse property). A subspace \( \mathcal{Y} \) of a metric space \( X \) is said to be Morse if for every \( \lambda \geq 1 \) and \( \epsilon \geq 0 \), there exists a non-negative constant \( R \) depending on \( \lambda \) and \( \epsilon \) with the property that all \((\lambda, \epsilon)\)-quasi-geodesics in \( X \) whose endpoints are in \( \mathcal{Y} \) are contained in the neighbourhood of radius \( R \) around \( \mathcal{Y} \).

**Proof of lemma 6.3.** Our lemma is largely a consequence of Sisto’s theorem in [13, Theorem 2]: let \( G \) be a finitely generated group and let \( H \) be a finitely generated subgroup that is hyperbolically embedded. Let \( \Gamma \) be the Cayley graph of \( G \) with respect to a finite generating set. The embedding of \( H \) in \( \Gamma \) has the Morse property.

Thus, there exists an \( R = R(\lambda, \epsilon) \) such that the induced path of every \((\lambda, \epsilon)\)-quasi-geodesic word \( u \) such that \( \bar{u} \in H \) lies within \( R \) of the embedding of \( H \). This shows that \( H \) is \( L \)-convex.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 6.5.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated acylindrically hyperbolic group with positive cone \( P \). If there exists a regular quasi-geodesic positive cone language \( L \) representing \( P \), then there exists a regular positive cone language for \( F_2 \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.2, we may assume there exists a hyperbolically embedded subgroup \( H \) which is isomorphic to \( F_2 \). The subgroup \( H \) is \( L \)-convex by Lemma 6.3 which means by Proposition 4.2 that there \( H \cap P \) is a regular positive cone for \( H \cong F_2 \).

Hermiller and Šunić’s theorem (Theorem 2.34) established that there is no regular language representing a positive cone of \( F_2 \). This proves Theorem 1.4.

**References**

Appendix A.

Proposition A.1. Let $m,n,t$ and $H$ be as defined in Proposition 5.10. Let $\text{Ab}H$ denote the abelianization of $H$. If $t$ is an odd integer, then $\text{Ab}H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \times \mathbb{Z}$. Using the presentation given in Proposition 5.10, recall that the abelianization of $H$ admits the presentation $\langle y_0, \ldots, y_n \mid S' \rangle$ where $S'$ is equal to
\[
\left\{ (t-1)y_0 + (t+1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} y_i + 2y_m \right\} \cup \left\{ (t+1) \sum_{i=0}^{r} y_i + (t-1)y_{r+1} + (t+1) \sum_{i=r+2}^{m-1} y_i \right\}^{m-2}.
\]
Thus, $\text{Ab}H$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}^{m+1}/N$ where, viewing $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{m}$ as the standard basis on $\mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$, $N$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of the relations in $S'$. Consider the $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ matrix $M$ whose rows represent the relations,
\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
t - 1 & t + 1 & t + 1 & \cdots & t + 1 & 2 \\
t + 1 & t - 1 & t + 1 & \cdots & t + 1 & 0 \\
t + 1 & t + 1 & t - 1 & \cdots & t + 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
t + 1 & t + 1 & t + 1 & \cdots & t - 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let $R_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$ denote the rows of a matrix, and $C_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ the columns. Denote by $I_d$ be the identity matrix of dimensions $d \times d$. Let $A$ be a block matrix such that left multiplication by $A$ corresponds to sending $R_j \mapsto R_j - R_{m-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m - 2$,
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
I_{m-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Now define the matrices $B_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$, where multiplying on the right by $B_1$ corresponds to sending $C_0 \mapsto C_0 + C_m$ and $C_{m-1} \mapsto C_{m-1} - C_m$.
\[
B_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
I_{m} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Define $B_2$ such that multiplying on the right by $B_2$ corresponds to sending $C_i \mapsto C_i - C_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$,

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & I_m & \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Define $B_3$ such that multiplying on the right by $B_3$ corresponds to sending $C_{m-1} \mapsto C_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} C_i$,

$$B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Define $B_4$ such that multiplying on the right by $B_4$ corresponds to swapping $C_0$ with $C_m$,

$$B_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & I_{m-1} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Define $B_5$ such that multiplying on the right by $B_5$ corresponds to the operation $C_m \mapsto C_m + \frac{t+1}{2} C_{m-1}$,

$$B_5 = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \frac{t+1}{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Finally, define $B_6$ such that multiplying on the right by $B_6$ corresponds to sending $C_i \mapsto -C_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$B_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & -I_m \\ 0 & & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Note that the entries for $B_5$ are in $\mathbb{Z}$ because $t$ is assumed to be an odd integer. One can verify that $AMB_1B_2B_3B_4B_5B_6$ is equal to the diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 2I_m & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Since we have only used elementary row and column operations without scaling on $M$, the determinant of $A$ and $B_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ must be $\pm 1$. (Alternatively, we can observe that $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_5$ and $B_6$ are triangular matrices with diagonal entries $\pm 1$.
and that $B_6$ is simply the identity with two columns swapped.) This shows that we do not change the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of $N$ by sending $M \mapsto A M B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4 B_5 B_6$. Thus,

$$\text{Ab } H \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}/N = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \times \mathbb{Z},$$

which has rank $m + 1$ as claimed.
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