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Abstract

A $\mathcal{F}$-foliated Lie system is a first-order system of ordinary differential equations whose particular solutions are contained in the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and all particular solutions within any leaf can be written as a certain function, a so-called foliated superposition rule, of a family of particular solutions of the system within the same leaf and several parameters. We analyse the properties of such systems and we illustrate our results by studying Lax pairs and a class of $t$-dependent Hamiltonian systems. We finally study foliated Lie systems through Poisson structures and $r$-matrices.
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1 Introduction

A Lie system is a first-order system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) whose general general solution can be written as a function, the so-called superposition rule, of a family of particular solutions and some constants to be related to initial conditions [11, 12, 14, 32, 53]. The Lie–Scheffers theorem [11, 12, 32, 53] states that a Lie system amounts to a $t$-dependent vector field taking values in a finite-dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields, a so-called Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra [14]. By applying the Lie–Scheffers theorem, Lie proved that all differential equation on the real line are locally diffeomorphic, at a generic point, to a differential equation of the form

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = a_0(t) + a_1(t)x + a_2(t)x^2,$$

where $a_0(t), a_1(t), a_2(t)$ are arbitrary $t$-dependent functions [32]. Moreover, all Lie systems on the plane are, at generic points, diffeomorphic to one of 28 classes of Lie systems [25, 32], which is again a rather small subset of differential equations on $\mathbb{R}^2$. These facts illustrate that most differential equations are not Lie systems [8, 14].

Despite being the exception rather than the rule, Lie systems have a plethora of geometric properties and relevant applications [11, 12, 14, 34, 53], e.g. all types of matrix
Riccati equations, which appear in the study of Bäcklund transformations, and many other physical applications are Lie systems [36, 37, 53].

Many methods have been developed so as to extend the techniques devised for Lie systems to much larger families of differentiable equations. As a first example, the fundamentals of the theory of the so-called PDE Lie systems appeared in [12, 35, 40]. PDE Lie systems were applied to studying conditional symmetries in [33] and many other examples appear in [10]. Meanwhile, the theory of quasi-Lie schemes and quasi-Lie systems was also developed in [8, 9, 13]. This theory has applications to the analysis of integrability conditions for ODES and PDEs, e.g. dissipate Milne-Piney equations and nonlinear oscillators [8, 10]. Superposition rules for discrete differential equations were studied by Winternitz and collaborators [39, 41, 48]. Super-superposition rules were meanwhile analysed in [4, 5]. Other generalisations of Lie systems and superposition rules can be found in [11, 14].

In this work we focus on a generalisation of Lie systems that has remained so far almost untouched: the foliated Lie systems [11]. In this work, a foliated Lie system is a first-order system of ODEs describing the integral curves of a $t$-dependent vector field on a manifold $N$ of the form

$$X(t, x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} g_{\alpha}(t, x)X_{\alpha}(x),$$

where the vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ on $N$ span an $r$-dimensional Lie algebra $V$ of vector fields, $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times N)$ are common $t$-dependent constants of motion of $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ (namely $X_\alpha g_\beta = 0$ for $\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, r$), and the vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ span a regular distribution $\mathcal{D}^V$ on $N$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the foliation (cf. [19]) of integral manifolds of $\mathcal{D}^V$, we call $X$ an $\mathcal{F}$-foliated Lie system, while $V$ is the so-called Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of $X$.

Our definition of foliated Lie system slightly differs from the one in [11]. One the one hand, the definition in [11] assumes that $g_{\alpha}(t, x) = b_{\alpha}(t)f_{\alpha}(x)$ for every $\alpha = 1, \ldots, r$ and certain $t$-dependent functions $b_1(t), \ldots, b_r(t)$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in C^\infty(N)$. On the other hand, the definition in [11] does not impose $\mathcal{D}^V$ to be a regular distribution. This causes $\mathcal{D}^V$ to be an integrable generalised distribution whose integral submanifolds form a stratification of $N$, namely a partition of $N$ into submanifolds which may have different dimensions.

The work [11] provided some examples of foliated Lie systems, but they were almost always unrelated to physical applications. After [11], as far as we know, no new result on foliated Lie systems has been found. Meanwhile, this work provides many new physical examples and theoretical results concerning foliated Lie systems.

Taking into account our definition of foliated Lie system, a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated Lie system is a system of ODEs described by a $t$-dependent vector field $X$ tangent to the leaves of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ in such a way that the restrictions of $X$ to them are Lie systems sharing a common Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra.

We prove that foliated Lie systems appear naturally while transforming a $t$-dependent Hamiltonian system onto a new one related to a simpler Hamiltonian through a canonical transformation [50]. It is also proved that such foliated Lie systems admit a Lax pair formulation, providing a non-autonomous generalisation of the results given by Babelon and Viallet in [3].

Then, we define a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated superposition rule for a first-order system of ODEs on $N$ as a function $\Psi : N^m \times \mathcal{O} \to N$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{F}^m_k \times \mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{F}_k$ for every leaf $\mathcal{F}_k$ of $\mathcal{F}$, and $\Psi$ allows us to describe the particular solutions of the system passing through
any leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ in terms of a generic family of $m$ particular solutions passing through the same leaf and a parameter in the manifold $\mathcal{O}$ related to the initial conditions of each particular solution. As an application, we provide foliated superposition rules for certain Lax pairs (understood as a system if differential equations in the natural way) and a class of $t$-dependent Hamiltonian systems.

As a main result in the paper, it is shown that a first-order system of ODEs admits a foliated superposition rule if and only if it is a foliated Lie system. This result, the so-called foliated Lie–Scheffers theorem, constitutes a new class of generalised Lie–Scheffers theorem (see [9, 11, 35] for other types of generalisations). As a byproduct, the proof of our generalised Lie–Scheffers theorem provides a method to obtain foliated superposition rules by means of a modification of the method to obtain superposition rules for Lie systems.

Next, we prove that solving a foliated Lie system reduces to integrating a type of foliated Lie systems on trivial principal bundles, the hereafter called automorphic foliated Lie systems. In turn, given an automorphic foliated Lie system on the total space $P$ of a trivial principle bundle $\pi : P = G \times M \to M$ related to a Lie group action $\vartheta : (g; (h, x)) \in G \times P \mapsto (gh, x) \in P$, a Lie group action $\varphi : G \times N \to N$ allows one to construct a foliated Lie system on $N$ whose general solution can be determined by knowing a particular solution on each fiber of the automorphic foliated Lie system on $P$. These results constitute the generalisation to the realm of foliated Lie systems of the relations between standard Lie systems and automorphic Lie systems appearing in [11, 14, 51, 52].

Finally, foliated Lie systems are employed to provide a new generalisation of Ermakov systems admitting a Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant [42, 43, 44]. We also prove that a class of Lax pairs and their associated $t$-dependent Hamiltonian systems are related to the same automorphic foliated Lie systems. As a last application, it is shown how $r$-matrices and several associated Poisson brackets on Lie algebras can be applied to study foliated Lie systems related to Lax pairs and automorphic foliated Lie systems. This allows us to define the so-called foliated Lie–Hamilton systems, which generalise standard Lie–Hamilton systems [17].

The structure of the paper goes as follows. In Section 2 we survey the theory of Lie systems. Section 3 introduces the theory of foliated Lie systems by providing several new examples. Section 4 introduces foliated superposition rules. Section 5 gives a characterisation of first-order systems of differential equations admitting a foliated superposition rule. We explain how a foliated Lie system can be solved by using an automorphic foliated Lie system in Section 6. Section 7 develops several applications of our methods. In Section 8 we summarise our achievements and describe some further work in progress.

# 2 Fundamentals on Lie systems

Let us survey the basic theory of Lie systems needed to understand the results of our paper. To simplify our presentation and to stress our main results, we will assume that all objects are smooth and globally defined (see [11, 14] for further details).

A $t$-dependent vector field on $N$ is a mapping $X : (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times N \mapsto X(t, x) \in TN$ such that $\tau_N \circ X = \pi_2$, where $\pi_2 : (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times N \mapsto x \in N$, and $\tau_N : TN \to N$ is the
tangent bundle projection. An integral curve of $X$ is a mapping $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to N$ such that
\[
\frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) = X(t, \gamma(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\] (2.1)

Consequently, $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (t, \gamma(t))$ is an integral curve of the autonomization (or suspension) $\tilde{X}$ of $X$, i.e. the vector field on $\mathbb{R} \times N$ given by $\tilde{X} = \partial_t + X$ [11, 14]. The other way around, if $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \times N$ is an integral curve of $\tilde{X}$ and a section of the bundle $\pi_1 : (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times N \mapsto t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\pi_0 \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ is a solution to (2.1). This one-to-one correspondence allows us to identify a non-autonomous system (2.1) and its associated $t$-dependent vector field $X$. In turn, this enables us to simplify the notation.

Every $t$-dependent vector field $X$ on $N$ gives rise to a family of standard vector fields on $N$ of the form $\{X_t : x \in N \mapsto X(t, x) \in TN\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. The smallest Lie algebra, $V^X$, of $X$ is the smallest Lie algebra (in the sense of inclusion) of vector fields including $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. If $V$ is a Lie algebra of vector fields, we write $D^V$ for the generalised distribution on $N$ spanned by the vector fields of $V$. It can be proved that every generalised distribution $D^V$ is regular in the connected components of an open and dense subset of $N$ [19]. To simplify the terminology, we hereafter call generalised distributions simply distributions.

A superposition rule [12, 14, 53] for a system $X$ on a manifold $N$ is a map $\Psi : N^m \times N \to N$ satisfying that the general solution, $x(t)$, to $X$ can be written as

\[
x(t) = \Psi(x(1)(t), \ldots, x(m)(t), k),
\]

for a generic family of particular solutions $x(1)(t), \ldots, x(m)(t)$ to $X$ and a parameter $k \in N$ to be related to the initial condition of $x(t)$. We call Lie system a system of ODEs admitting a superposition rule.

**Theorem 2.1. (The Lie–Scheffers theorem [11, 12, 32, 53])** A system $X$ admits a superposition rule if and only if $X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r b_\alpha(t)X_\alpha$ for a certain family $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ of vector fields spanning a finite-dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields, a so-called Vessiot-Guldberg Lie algebra of $X$, and a family $b_1(t), \ldots, b_r(t)$ of $t$-dependent functions.

The simplest non-trivial example of Lie system is given by the Riccati equation [32]. Every Riccati equation is related to a $t$-dependent vector field of the form

\[
X^R = (a_0(t) + a_1(t)x + a_2(t)x^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x},
\]

for certain $t$-dependent functions $a_0(t), a_1(t),$ and $a_2(t)$. This $t$-dependent vector field can be written as

\[
X^R = a_0(t)X_0 + a_1(t)X_1 + a_2(t)X_2,
\]

where the vector fields $X_0, X_1, X_2$ have commutation relations

\[
[X_0, X_1] = X_0, \quad [X_0, X_2] = 2X_1, \quad [X_1, X_2] = X_2
\]

and therefore span a finite-dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields. According to the Lie–Scheffers theorem, Riccati equations must admit a superposition rule. Indeed, it is known [11, 30, 32] that the general solution to a Riccati equation, $x(t)$, can be written in terms of a function $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ in the form

\[
x(t) = \Psi(x(1)(t), x(2)(t), x(3)(t), k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R},
\]
where \( x_{(1)}(t), x_{(2)}(t), x_{(3)}(t) \) are three different particular solutions to \( X \), and

\[
\Psi(u_1, u_2, u_3; k) = \frac{u_1(u_3 - u_2) + k u_2(u_3 - u_1)}{(u_3 - u_2) + k(u_3 - u_1)}.
\]

Another relevant example of Lie system (see [11, 14]) is given by a differential equation on a Lie group \( G \) given by

\[
X^G(t, g) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} b_\alpha(t) X^R_\alpha(g), \quad g \in G,
\]

where \( X^1_R, \ldots, X^r_R \) stand for a basis of right-invariant vector fields on \( G \) and \( b_1(t), \ldots, b_r(t) \) are arbitrary \( t \)-dependent functions. Indeed, \( X^1_R, \ldots, X^r_R \) span an \( r \)-dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields on \( G \). Consequently, the Lie–Scheffers theorem states that (2.2) admits a superposition rule and it becomes a Lie system. More specifically, the right-invariance of (2.2) relative to the action of \( G \) on the right on itself yields that if \( g_0(t) \) is a particular solution to (2.2), then \( R_h g_0(t) \) is another particular solution to (2.2) for every \( h \in G \). As initial conditions determine univocally the particular solutions of (2.2), the general solution to (2.2) can be brought into the form

\[
g(t) = R_h g_0(t),
\]

where \( g_0(t) \) is a particular solution to (2.2) and \( h \in G \). Then, \( X^R \) admits a superposition rule \( \Psi: (g, h) \in G \times G \mapsto R_h g \in G \).

Lie systems of the form (2.2) are called automorphic Lie systems [14]. Their special role on the theory of Lie systems is explained by the following theorem, which states that the general solution to every Lie system can be obtained by knowing a particular solution of a related automorphic Lie system [11, 14, 26, 51, 52].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( X \) be a Lie system on \( N \) of the form \( X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} b_\alpha(t) X_\alpha \) for certain \( t \)-dependent functions \( b_1(t), \ldots, b_r(t) \) and an \( r \)-dimensional Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra \( V = \langle X_1, \ldots, X_r \rangle \). Let \( G \) be the unique connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra isomorphic to \( V \). Let \( \varphi : G \times N \to N \) be the local Lie group action whose fundamental vector fields\(^1\) are spanned by \( X_1, \ldots, X_r \). Then, the general solution, \( x(t) \), to \( X \) can be written as \( x(t) = \varphi(g(t), x_0) \), where \( x_0 \in N \) and \( g(t) \) is any particular solution to the automorphic Lie system on \( G \) of the form \( X^G(t, g) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} b_\alpha(t) X^R_\alpha(g) \).

### 3 On the definition of foliated Lie systems

Let us introduce our foliated Lie system notion and illustrate its usefulness with several examples of physical and mathematical interest. Our definition adds a technical condition not assumed in the original definition of foliated Lie system in [11]. As shown next, our extra condition is very mild, which allows us to study relevant problems while simplifying significantly the proofs of our work.

\(^1\)We hereafter define the fundamental vector fields of a Lie group action \( \varphi : G \times N \to N \) by \( X_v(x) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \varphi(\exp(-tv), x) \) for every \( v \in \mathfrak{g} \) and \( x \in N \).
Definition 3.1. We call a foliated Lie system on a manifold $N$ a $t$-dependent vector field on $N$ of the form

$$X(t, x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} g_{\alpha}(t, x)X_{\alpha}(x),$$

(3.1)

where the vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ span an $r$-dimensional Lie algebra $V$ of vector fields whose $D^V$ is regular and the $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ are common $t$-dependent constants of the motion of the elements of $V$. We call (3.1) and $V$ a decomposition and a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of the foliated Lie system $X$, respectively.

In virtue of the Fröbenius theorem [38], the vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ span an integrable distribution $D$ giving rise to a foliation $\mathcal{F}$, whose tangent space coincides with $D$. We call $\mathcal{F}$-foliated Lie system a foliated Lie system with a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V$ such that $D^V$ coincides with the tangent space to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let us assume that we do not assume $D^V$ to be a regular distribution in our definition of foliated Lie system (as done in [11]). Any finite-dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields on $N$ span a generalised distribution whose rank is an upper continuous function, which allows us to ensure that its rank is locally constant on an open dense subset of $N$ (see [49]). Every foliated Lie system in the sense given in [11] is a foliated Lie system in our sense around a generic point.

Note finally that if the functions $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ are just $t$-dependent function, then the $t$-dependent vector field (3.1) becomes a standard Lie system.

Let us provide several examples of foliated Lie systems with relevant physical applications. Consider a $t$-dependent Hamiltonian system $(h, \omega, T^\ast Q)$ given by a $t$-dependent Hamiltonian function $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times T^*Q)$, where $T^*Q$ is equipped with its canonical symplectic form and $Q$ is an $n$-dimensional manifold [49]. Assume that there exists a $t$-dependent canonical transformation mapping $h(t, q, p)$ onto a new $t$-dependent Hamiltonian $H(t, P)$ that it does no depend on the new configuration coordinates $\{Q^i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$, e.g. we know a solution $S$ of the modified Hamilton-Jacobi equation (see [50] for details)

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + h\left(t, q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right) = H(t, P).$$

In this case, the Hamilton equations for $H(t, P)$ in the new canonical variables given by $\{Q^i, P^i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ read

$$\frac{dq^i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial P^i}(t, P), \quad \frac{dp^i}{dt} = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

(3.2)

This system of differential equators is associated with the $t$-dependent vector field on $T^*Q$ given by

$$X^{HJ}(t, Q, P) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial P^i}(t, P)\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^i}.$$

The vector fields $\{X^{HJ}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ span an abelian Lie algebra $V X^{HJ}$ of vector fields. If $V X^{HJ}$ is finite-dimensional, then $X$ is a Lie system. Nevertheless, this not need be the case. For instance, if $H(t, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos(tp_i)$, then

$$X^{HJ}(t, Q, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin(tp_i)t \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_i}. \quad (3.3)$$
and \( V^{X_{HJ}} \) is the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields spanned by \( Y_\lambda = \sin(\lambda P_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_i} \), for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). Therefore, \( X_{HJ} \) is not a Lie system in this case.

Independently of the explicit form of \( H(t, P) \), the manifold \( T^*Q \) admits a foliation \( F^{HJ} \) with leaves

\[
\mathcal{F}_{k}^{HJ} = \{(Q, P) \in T^*Q : P^1 = k_1, \ldots, P^n = k_n\}
\]

parametrised by the elements \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \). In such a case, system \([3.2] \) reduces on each \( \mathcal{F}_{k}^{HJ} \) to

\[
\frac{dQ^i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial P^i}(t, k), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Hence, one obtains for each \( k \in \mathbb{R}^n \) a family of vector fields on \( T^*Q \) given by

\[
V_k = \left\{ \frac{-\partial}{\partial P^i}(t, k) \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_i} \right\}_{i=1,\ldots,n} \subset \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^n} \right\}.
\]

Then, the restriction of \([3.3] \) to each leaf \( \mathcal{F}_{k}^{HJ} \) gives rise to a system of differential equations associated with a \( t \)-dependent vector field \( X_{k}^{HJ} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial P^i}(t, k) \frac{\partial}{\partial P^i} \).

The vector fields \( \{(X_{k}^{HJ})_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \), for a fixed \( k \), span a Lie algebra \( V_k \) of vector fields contained in the finite-dimensional Lie algebra

\[
V^{HJ} = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^n} \right\}.
\]

Hence, \( V_k \) is finite-dimensional and each \( X_k \) is a Lie system for every particular \( k \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Moreover, the vector fields in \( V^{HJ} \) span an integrable regular distribution on \( T^*Q \), whose leaves are given by \([3.4] \). Summarising, \([3.2] \) becomes a \( F^{HJ} \)-foliated Lie system and \( V^{HJ} \) becomes a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of the foliated Lie system.

It is remarkable that every \( X_k \) is related to a Lie system with a smallest Lie algebra contained in \( V^{HJ} \). Consequently, although the Lie systems \( X_k \) can be different, they are all somehow related to a Lie system on \( T^*Q \) with a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra \( V^{HJ} \). This relation will be studied more in detail in forthcoming sections.

Let us consider a \( t \)-dependent generalisation of a Lax pair studied in [3] to study integrable systems. Let \( \mathfrak{g}^{LP} = \mathbb{R}^n \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n \) be a Lie algebra with a basis \( \{e_i, h_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n} \) such that \( \mathbb{R}^n \simeq \langle e_1, \ldots, e_n \rangle, \mathbb{R}^n \simeq \langle h_1, \ldots, h_n \rangle \) and the non-vanishing commutation relations between elements of the chosen basis read

\[
[h_i, e_j] = 2\delta^i_j e_j, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n,
\]

where \( \delta^i_j \) is the Kronecker delta. Let \( v^1, \ldots, v^{2n} \) be the set of linear coordinates on \( \mathfrak{g}^{LP} \) dual to the basis \( \{v_1 = e_1, \ldots, v_n = e_n, v_{n+1} = h_1, \ldots, v_{2n} = h_n\} \). Define a family of \( t \)-dependent functions \( f_\alpha(t, v) = f_\alpha(t, v^{n+1}, \ldots, v^{2n}) \) for \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( f_\alpha = 0 \) for \( \alpha = n + 1, \ldots, 2n \). This allows us to define a system of differential equations

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} f_\alpha(t, v) \text{ad}_{v_\alpha}(v), \quad v \in \mathfrak{g}.
\]

(3.6)

If \( \mathfrak{g}^{LP} \) is a matrix Lie algebra, then the Lie bracket of \( \mathfrak{g}^{LP} \) is the matrix commutator. Then, (3.6) can be rewritten in the more common manner as a Lax pair

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = [v, m], \quad m(t, v) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} f_\alpha(t, v)v_\alpha.
\]

(3.7)
If \( g^{LP} \) is a general Lie algebra (not necessarily a matrix Lie algebra), one can alternatively extend by \( C^\infty(g^{LP}) \)-linearity the Lie bracket in \( g^{LP} \) to the space \( C^\infty(g^{LP}) \otimes g^{LP} \) of \( g^{LP} \)-valued functions. This allows us to use the same expression (3.7) to describe every system (3.6).

Consider the unique connected and simply connected Lie group \( G^{LP} \) with Lie algebra \( g^{LP} \). Then, \( G \) acts on \( g^{LP} \) via the adjoint action \( \text{Ad} : (g, v) \in G \times g^{LP} \mapsto \text{Ad}_g v \in g^{LP} \). The fundamental vector fields of the adjoint action can be defined by

\[
X_w(v) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{\exp(-tw)} v = -\text{ad}_w v, \quad \forall v, w \in g^{LP}.
\] (3.8)

This enables us to bring (3.6) into the form

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} f_{\alpha}(t, v) X_{\alpha}^{\text{ad}}(v).
\] (3.9)

The fundamental vector fields for the adjoint action for the Lie algebra \( g^{LP} \) take the form

\[
X_{\alpha}^{\text{ad}} = 2v^{\alpha+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}}, \quad X_{h_{\alpha}}^{\text{ad}}(v) = -2v^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Hence, \( X_{\alpha} f_{\beta} = 0 \), for \( \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, 2n \). In particular, (3.9) takes the form

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = 2f_{\alpha}(t, v)v^{\alpha+n}, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Consider the Lie algebra \( V^{g^{LP}} = \langle X_1^{g^{LP}} = 2\partial/\partial v^1, \ldots, X_n^{g^{LP}} = 2\partial/\partial v^n \rangle \). The previous system can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} g_{\alpha}(t, v) X_{\alpha}^{g}(v), \quad g_{\beta}(t, v) = f_{\beta}(t, v)v^{\beta+n}, \quad \beta = 1, \ldots, n.
\] (3.10)

The elements of \( V^{g^{LP}} \) span a distribution \( D^{V^{g^{LP}}} \) of rank \( n \) on \( g \). The leaves of the foliation, \( \mathcal{F}^{V^{g^{LP}}} \), associated with \( D^{V^{g^{LP}}} \) on \( g^{LP} \) take the form

\[
\mathcal{F}_k = \{(v^1, \ldots, v^{2n})|v^{n+1} = k_{n+1}, \ldots, v^{2n} = k_{2n}\}, \quad \forall k = (k_{n+1}, \ldots, k_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\] (3.11)

Therefore, (3.10) is a \( \mathcal{F}^{g^{LP}} \)-foliated Lie system.

The \( t \)-independent Lax pair studied in [3] can be considered as a \( t \)-independent foliated Lie system of the form (3.10) with \( f_{\alpha} = 2\partial h_{\alpha}/\partial v^{\alpha} \), with \( \alpha = n + 1, \ldots, 2n \) and \( h = h(v^{n+1}, \ldots, v^{2n}) \).

One sees that not every system (3.10) need to be a Lie system, e.g. when a \( t \)-dependent function \( g_{\alpha} \) span an infinite-dimensional (over \( \mathbb{R} \)) space of functions on \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \).

4 On foliated superposition rules

Let us now study how one can obtain the solutions of a leaf of the foliation associated with a foliated Lie systems in terms of other particular solutions of the same leaf. This will allow us to introduce the notion of a foliated superposition rule.
Consider again the foliated Lie system (3.2) on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Recall that this system was associated with a foliation $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}$ whose leaves $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}_k$, with $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, were given by (3.4). It is immediate that particular solutions to (3.2) have always the form

$$(Q(1)(t), P^1 = P^1_0, \ldots, P^n = P^n_0)$$

for some $(P^1_0, \ldots, P^n_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a curve $Q(1)(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that is a particular solution of

$$\frac{dQ^{(1)}_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial P^i}(t, P^1_0, \ldots, P^n_0), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

Moreover, $(Q(1)(t) + \hat{Q}, P^1_0, \ldots, P^n_0)$, for any $\hat{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is another particular solution of (3.2) within $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}_k$. Moreover, every solution to (3.2) within $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}_k$ can be written as

$$(Q(1)(t), P^1_0, \ldots, P^n_0) = (Q(1)(t) + \hat{Q}, P^1_0, \ldots, P^n_0),$$

(4.1)

for a unique value $\hat{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This enables us to define a mapping $\Psi^{HJ} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of the form

$$\Psi^{HJ}(Q(1), P(1); k) = (Q(1) + k, P(1))$$

such that every solution $x(t)$ to (3.2) within $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}_k$ can be brought into the form

$$x(t) = \Psi^{HJ}(x(1)(t), k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where there exists a one-to-one relation between the initial conditions of the $x(t)$ in $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}_k$ and the values of $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is worth stressing that $k$ belongs to a manifold whose dimension is equal to the dimension of the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}$.

One can see that the foliated Lie system related to the Lax pair (3.7) shares similar properties. This motivates to introduce the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** A $\mathcal{F}$-foliated superposition rule for a system $X$ on a manifold $N$ relative to a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $N$ is a function $\Psi : N^m \times \mathcal{O} \to N$ such that:

1. $\Psi(\mathcal{F}^m_k \times \mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{F}_k$, for every leaf $\mathcal{F}_k$ of $\mathcal{F}$,

2. Every particular solution, $x(t)$, of $X$ passing through a leaf $\mathcal{F}_k$ of $\mathcal{F}$ takes the form

$$x(t) = \Psi(x(1)(t), \ldots, x(m)(t), k')$$

in terms of a particular set $x(1)(t), \ldots, x(m)(t)$ of generic particular solutions of $X$ passing through $\mathcal{F}_k$ in terms of a unique $k' \in \mathcal{O}$.

It follows from the previous definition that if $X$ admits a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated superposition rule, then the solutions of $X$ are always contained in a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. If the foliation of a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated superposition rule is known from context or its specific form is irrelevant to our considerations, we will refer to the $\mathcal{F}$-foliated superposition rule simply as a foliated superposition rule.

In view of Definition 4.1, one gets that the foliated Lie system (3.2) admits a $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}$-foliated superposition rule $\Psi^{HJ} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ where the leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{HJ}$ are of the form (3.4).
Let us stress an interesting fact on the foliated superposition rule for (3.2). The foliated Lie system (3.2) admits a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V_{HJ} = \langle X_1 = \partial/\partial Q_1, \ldots, X_n = \partial/\partial Q^n \rangle$. This Lie algebra is a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of the Lie systems of the form
\[
\frac{dP_i}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dQ^i}{dt} = d_\alpha(t), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,
\]
for arbitrary $t$-dependent functions $d_1(t), \ldots, d_n(t)$. These Lie systems admit a superposition rule (cf. [12]) given by
\[
\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n} (Q(1), P(1); \hat{Q}, \hat{P})) \mapsto (Q(1) + \hat{Q}, P(1)).
\]
Then, the $F^{HJ}$-foliated superposition rule for (3.2), i.e. the mapping $\Psi^{HJ}$, can be retrieved out of $\tilde{\Psi}$ as follows
\[
\Psi^{HJ}(Q(1), P(1); k) = \tilde{\Psi}(Q(1), P(1); k, P)
\]
for any $P \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In other words, the foliated Lie system (3.2) is such that its foliated superposition rule can be retrieved by means of a superposition rule for the Lie systems related to the Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of the foliated Lie system. An explanation of this fact will appear naturally in the next section.

It is worth noting it is only the restrictions of a foliated superposition rule $\Psi : N^m \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow N$ to subsets $F^k \times \mathcal{O}$ what really matters to study solutions of first-order systems of differential equations. Despite that, foliated superposition rules take the form $\Psi : N^m \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow N$ in applications (as in previous examples) and this latter form can be easily obtained in next sections from the relation between foliated superposition rules with standard superposition rules. This is the reason why we decided to define foliated superposition rules as done in Definition 4.1.

## 5 The foliated Lie–Scheffers theorem

Let us now characterise first-order systems of differential equations admitting a foliated superposition rule. Our results can be considered as a generalisation of the standard geometric Lie–Scheffers theorem [12, 32, 53]. As a byproduct, our characterization explains how to obtain foliated superposition rules and the relation between superposition rules of Lie systems with a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V$ and the foliated superposition rules for foliated Lie systems with the same Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra.

Let us first recall a standard definition and lemma in the previous literature on Lie systems (see [12, 14]).

**Definition 5.1.** If $X$ is a vector field on $N$, let us say $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i(x)\partial/\partial x^i$, then its diagonal prolongation to $N^m$ is the vector field on $N^m$ given by
\[
X^{[m]} = \sum_{a=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n X^i(x(a))\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i(a)}.
\]

**Lemma 5.2.** (see [12, 14]) Let $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ be vector fields on $N$ whose diagonal prolongations to $N^m$ are linearly independent at a generic point of $N^m$. If $b_1, \ldots, b_r \in C^\infty(N^{m+1})$, then $X = \sum_{a=1}^r b_a X_\alpha^{[m+1]}$ is the diagonal prolongation to $N^{m+1}$ of a vector field $Y$ on $N$ if and only if $b_1, \ldots, b_r$ are constant.
Theorem 5.3. (The foliated Lie–Scheffers theorem) A system $X$ admits a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated superposition rule $\Psi : N^m \times \mathcal{O} \to N$ if and only if $X$ admits a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V$ whose distribution $\mathcal{D}^V$ is equal to the tangent space to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and $m \dim \mathcal{O} \geq \dim V$, where $\dim \mathcal{O}$ is equal to the dimension of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Assume that $X$ is a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated Lie system admitting a decomposition (3.1) whose Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V$ is such that the associated distribution $\mathcal{D}^V$ is the tangent space to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Let us construct a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated superposition rule.

The $\mathcal{F}$-foliated Lie system $X$ coincides on each leaf $\mathcal{F}_k$ of $\mathcal{F}$ with a Lie system $X_k$ with a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V$. All Lie systems $X_k$ admit a common superposition rule $\Psi : N^m \times N \to N$, but, in general, there is no submanifold $\mathcal{O} \subset N$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{F}_k^m \times \mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{F}_k$. Hence, the $\Psi(x(1), \ldots, x(m), k)$, with $x(i) \in \mathcal{F}_k$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, is not in general a solution of $X_k$ and, therefore, is not a particular solution to $X$. Let us provide a method to obtain a superposition rule for all the $X_k$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{F}_k^m \times \mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{F}_k$. This will give a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated superposition rule for $X$.

On a neighbourhood of any generic point of $N$, there exists a local coordinate system \{\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^s, I^{s+1}, \ldots, I^n\} whose first $s$ coordinates form a coordinate system on each leaf $\mathcal{F}_k$ and the last $n - s$ coordinates are constants of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Then, one can write in coordinates

$$X_j = \sum_{i=1}^s X_j^i(\theta, I) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, r, \quad I = (I^{s+1}, \ldots, I^n),$$

for certain functions $X_j^i(\theta, I)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $j = 1, \ldots, r$. Let us restrict ourselves to a generic leaf of $\mathcal{F}$, e.g.

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \{(\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^s, I^{s+1}, \ldots, I^n) \in N : I^{s+1} = k_{s+1}, \ldots, I^n = k_n\}, \quad k = (k_{s+1}, \ldots, k_n).$$

The vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ are tangent to $\mathcal{F}_k$. Hence, their restrictions to $\mathcal{F}_k$ can be considered as vector fields on the leaf. For $m$ large enough, the diagonal prolongations $X_1^{[m]}, \ldots, X_r^{[m]}$ on $N^m$ become linearly independent at a generic point (see [14] for a proof).

As the vector fields $X_1^{[m]}, \ldots, X_r^{[m]}$ are tangent to $\mathcal{F}_k^m$ and $\dim \mathcal{O}$ is by assumption the dimension of a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$, one obtains

$$m \dim \mathcal{O} \geq \dim V. \quad (5.1)$$

To obtain the foliated superposition rule for $X$, consider the diagonal prolongations $X_1^{[m+1]}, \ldots, X_r^{[m+1]}$ on $N^{m+1}$. Consider the local coordinate system on $N^{m+1}$ given by $\theta^{(a)}, \ldots, \theta^{(s)}, I^{(a)}_{s+1}, \ldots, I^{(a)}_n$ with $a = 0, \ldots, m$. The vector fields $X_1^{[m+1]}, \ldots, X_r^{[m+1]}$ admit the common first-integrals $\Psi_+ = I^{(1)}_{s+1}, \ldots, \Psi_n = I^{(n)}_{n+1}$. Since $X_1^{[m+1]}, \ldots, X_r^{[m+1]}$ span a distribution of rank $\dim V \leq m \dim N$, one can find, at least, new functionally independent first-integrals $\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_s$ common to $X_1^{[m+1]}, \ldots, X_r^{[m+1]}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial (\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_n)}{\partial (\theta^{(0)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(0)}_s, I^{(1)}_{s+1}, \ldots, I^{(n)}_n)} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial (\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_s)}{\partial (\theta^{(0)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(0)}_s)} \neq 0.$$

This gives rise to a mapping $\widetilde{\Psi} : N^m \times N \to N$ of the form $\widetilde{\Psi}(I^{(0)}_0, \theta^{(0)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(m)}_m, I^{(m)}_m) = (\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_n)$. Then, one can use then Implicit Function theorem to find the only mapping $\Phi : N^m \times N \to N$ such that

$$x(0) = \Phi(x(1), \ldots, x(m), k) \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{\Psi}(x(0), \ldots, x(m)) = k.$$
In particular, \( \Phi(x_{(1)}, \ldots, x_{(m)}, k) \in \mathcal{F}_k \) for \( k = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s, \bar{k}) \) where \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \) are arbitrary and \( \bar{k} = (I_{(0)}^{r+1}, \ldots, I_{(0)}^r) \). In this way, given \( m \) particular solutions \( x_{(1)}(t), \ldots, x_{(m)}(t) \) to \( X_{\bar{k}} \) belonging to the same leaf \( \mathcal{F}_k \), the mapping

\[
\Phi(x_{(1)}(t), \ldots, x_{(m)}(t), k_1, \ldots, k_s, k_{s+1}, \ldots, k_n) = x(t)
\]

gives us every solution \( x(t) \) to \( X_{\bar{k}} \) for every \( k_1, \ldots, k_s \). Then, \( x(t) \) is a solution of \( X \) and the mapping \( \Phi \) allows us to obtain all solutions to \( X \) within \( \mathcal{F}_k \) out of particular solutions to \( X \) in the same leaf and \( s \) constants. Moreover, if we fix \( \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^s \), then the expression \( \Psi : N^m \times \mathcal{O} \to N \) of the form

\[
\Psi(x_{(0)}, \ldots, x_{(m)}, k_1, \ldots, k_s) = \Phi(x_{(1)}(t), \ldots, x_{(m)}(t), k_1, \ldots, k_s, k_{s+1}, \ldots, k_n)
\]
gives us the general solution to \( X \) within the leaf \( \mathcal{F}_k \) in terms of solutions of \( X \) within the same leaf.

Let us now prove the direct part. Consider that we have a \( \mathcal{F} \)-foliated superposition rule. Let us fix \( m \) particular solutions within a leaf of the foliation. We can then use the Implicit Function theorem to obtain a function \( \Phi : \mathcal{F}_k^{m+1} \to \mathbb{R}^s \) which is constant on particular solutions of \( X \) belonging to the same leaf of the foliation. Therefore,

\[
0 = \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(x_{(0)}(t), \ldots, x_{(m)}(t)) = X^{[m+1]}_t \Phi = 0.
\]

Consequently, the vector fields \( X_t \) belong to the tangent space to \( \mathcal{F}_k^{m+1} \). Consider a finite family of elements \( X_1^{[m+1]}, \ldots, X_p^{[m+1]} \) tangent to such a distribution. We can span such a distribution with their elements and their successive Lie brackets to obtain a distribution regular contained in it. As Lie brackets of diagonal prolongations are diagonal prolongations, the previous procedure gives rise to a family of vector fields \( X_1^{[m+1]}, \ldots, X_p^{[m+1]} \) spanning an integrable distribution \( \mathcal{D} \). Since the projections of such vector fields onto \( \mathcal{F}_k^m \) are linearly independent, their Lie brackets must be a linear combination with constant coefficients of such vector fields by Lemma 5.2. Hence, they span a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Previous procedure can be repeated on each leaf of \( \mathcal{F} \) giving rise to an algebra of vector fields on \( N^{m+1} \). The \( X_t^{m+1} \) must be on each leaf a linear combination of the \( X_1^{[m+1]}, \ldots, X_p^{[m+1]} \) with coefficients given by \( t \)-dependent functions on \( N^{m+1} \). Hence,

\[
X_t^{[m+1]}(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r g_{\alpha}(t, \xi) X^{[m+1]}_{\alpha}(\xi),
\]

for certain functions \( g_{\alpha}(t, \xi) \). Let us restricting the above expression to an arbitrary leaf \( \mathcal{F}_k^{m+1} \). Since the vector fields \( X_1^{[m]}, \ldots, X_p^{[m]} \) are linearly independent at a generic point on \( N^m \), we can assume that they will be linearly independent on \( \mathcal{F}_k^m \). Using Lemma 5.2 one obtains that

\[
X_t(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r g_{\alpha}(t, x) X_{\alpha}(x),
\]

on \( \mathcal{F}_k \) and \( g_{\alpha}(t, x) \) must be a function on \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{F}_k \) depending only on time. As the previous reasoning is independent of the leaf \( \mathcal{F}_k \), we get that \( X \) is a \( \mathcal{F} \)-foliated Lie system.

Observe that the direct part of the proof of the foliated Lie–Scheffers theorem gives a recipe to construct a foliated superposition rule. This will be detailed and illustrated with examples in Section 7.2.
6 Automorphic foliated Lie systems

We showed in Section 2 that the evolution of a Lie system can be reduced to studying the evolution of an automorphic Lie system. More generally, we now prove that the evolution of a foliated Lie system can be reduced to the integration of a foliated Lie system on a principal bundle of a particular type.

**Definition 6.1.** Consider a trivial principal bundle \( \pi : G \times M \to M \) with structural Lie group \( G \) acting on \( G \times M \) by \( \varphi : (g; (h, x)) \in G \times (G \times M) \mapsto (gh, k) \in G \times M \) and a \( t \)-dependent vector field on \( G \times M \) given by

\[
X^R_\pi(t, g, k) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} f_\alpha(t, k)X^R_\alpha(g), \quad g \in G, k \in M, \tag{6.1}
\]

where \( X^R_1, \ldots, X^R_r \) form a basis of right-invariant vector fields on \( G \), and \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times M) \) are \( t \)-dependent common first-integrals of \( X^R_1, \ldots, X^R_r \). We call (6.1) an automorphic foliated Lie system.

Since the vector fields \( X^R_1, \ldots, X^R_r \) are right-invariant vector fields on \( G \) and they can be considered univocally as vertical vector fields on \( G \), one obtains that they span a finite-dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields on \( G \times M \) and a regular \( r \)-dimensional distribution. In fact, \( X^R_1, \ldots, X^R_r \) span the vertical bundle of \( \pi : G \times M \to M \). The functions \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \) can also be considered as \( t \)-dependent basic functions \( \pi^*f_1, \ldots, \pi^*f_r \) on \( G \times M \). Hence, they can be considered as \( t \)-dependent constants of the motion for all the vector fields \( X^R_1, \ldots, X^R_r \) (when all structures are considered, in the natural manner, as defined on \( G \times M \)). Consequently, (6.1) is a foliated Lie system.

When \( M \) is a point, \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \) become jest \( t \)-dependent functions and (6.1) turns into a standard automorphic Lie system [14]. More generally, every trivial principal bundle \( \pi : P \to M \) with a structural group \( G \) and fundamental vector fields spanned by \( X_1, \ldots, X_r \) gives rise to a \( t \)-dependent vector field \( X \) on \( P \) of the form

\[
X(t, x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} (\pi^*f_\alpha)(x)X_\alpha(x),
\]

where \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times M) \) are arbitrary \( t \)-dependent functions on \( M \). The trivialization of the principal bundle \( \pi : P \to M \) maps diffeomorphically \( X \) onto \( X^R_\pi \). Consequently, \( X \) is, up to a bundle diffeomorphism, an automorphic foliated Lie system.

Let us prove that, in analogy with Lie systems, every \( \mathcal{F} \)-foliated Lie system gives rise to an automorphic foliated Lie system, whose solutions allow us to obtain the general solution of the foliated Lie system.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \( X \) be a foliated Lie system on \( N \) admitting a decomposition \( X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} f_\alpha X_\alpha \) associated with a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra \( V \). Consider an effective (local) Lie group action \( \phi : (g, x) \in G \times N \mapsto \phi(g)(x) \in N \) whose fundamental vector fields are given by \( V \). Assume that \( M \) is the space of leaves of \( \mathcal{D}^V \) and consider the automorphic foliated Lie system on the total space of the principal bundle \( \pi : G \times M \to M \) given by

\[
X^R(t, g, k) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} f_\alpha(t, k)X^R_\alpha(g), \quad k \in M, \quad g \in G, \tag{6.2}
\]
where $k$ stands for a leaf $F_k$ in $M$, the vector fields $X^R_\alpha$, with $\alpha = 1, \ldots, r$, are right-invariant vector fields on $G$ and $T_e\phi_x(X^R_\alpha(e)) = -X^R_\alpha(x)$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, r$ and $x \in N$. Then, each particular solution $x(t)$ of $X$ contained in the leaf $k \in M$ can be written as

$$x(t) = \phi(g(t), x(0)), \quad (6.3)$$

where $(g(t), k)$ is an integral curve of $X^R(t, g, k)$ with $g(0) = e$.

**Proof.** Let us prove that $x(t)$ given by (6.3) is a particular solution for $X$ for every $x(0)$. Using (6.3), we have that

$$\frac{dx}{dt}(t_0) = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=t_0} \phi(g(t)g^{-1}(t_0), \phi(g(t_0), x(0))) = T_e\phi(g(t_0), x(0)) \left( \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=t_0} g(t)g^{-1}(t_0) \right). \quad (6.4)$$

Since $g(t)$ is a solution to (6.2) and $X^R(g) = R_g^*X^R(e)$ for every $g \in G$, one has that

$$\frac{dg}{dt}(t_0) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} f_\alpha(t_0, k)R_{g(t_0)}^*X^R_\alpha(e) \Rightarrow R_{g(t_0)}^{-1} \left( \frac{dg}{dt}(t_0) \right) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} f_\alpha(t_0, k)X^R_\alpha(e).$$

Substituting this relation in (6.4) and since the functions $f_\alpha(t, x)$ are just $t$-dependent on the orbits of $\phi$, we obtain

$$\frac{dx}{dt}(t_0) = -T_e\phi_x(t_0) \left[ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} f_\alpha(t, k)X^R_\alpha(e) \right] = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} f_\alpha(t, x(t_0))X_\alpha(x(t_0)).$$

Therefore, $\phi(g(t), x(0))$ is a solution of $X$ for every $x(0) \in N$ and the theorem follows. \qed

## 7 Applications

Let us use our results to study several physical problems. First, we focus on a generalisation of the generalised Ermakov system [31]. Next, we study a Lax pairs for $t$-dependent Hamiltonian systems, which extends and explains certain results in [3]. In this case, we obtain the automorphic foliated Lie system associated with it. We also analyse the existence of related Hamiltonian foliated structures, which extends, in a geometric fashion, some of the results given in [3].

### 7.1 A new class of generalised Ermakov systems

There exists an extensive literature on the so-called Ermakov systems and their generalisations (see [24, 31, 42, 43, 44] and references therein). We propose here a class of generalised Ermakov systems that cannot be described through Lie systems but they admit a description in terms of foliated ones.

Ray and Reid introduced the so-called *generalised Ermakov systems* [43] of the form

$$\ddot{x} = -\omega^2(t)x + \frac{g(y/x)}{yx^2}, \quad \ddot{y} = -\omega^2(t)y + \frac{f(x/y)}{xy^2}, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (7.1)$$
where \( f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and the dots over the variables \( x, y \) stand for the derivations on time. This generalisation admits a constant of motion, the so-called \emph{generalised Lewis invariant}, of the form
\[
I = \frac{1}{2} (x\dot{y} - y\dot{x})^2 + \int^{x/y} [f(u) - u^{-2}g(1/u)]du.
\]

It was noted by Ray, Reid, and Goedert [24, 42, 44], that the term \( \omega^2(t) \) can be replaced by much more general expressions (see [31] and references therein). For instance, there exist generalisations of (7.1) where \( \omega(t) \) depends on the time-derivatives of \( x \) and \( v \) [44]. As a new generalisation, we propose the second-order system of differential equations on \( \mathbb{R}^2_0 = \{(x, y) : xy \neq 0\} \) given by
\[
\dot{x} = -\omega^2(t, I)x + \frac{g(I, y/x)}{x^2y}, \quad \dot{y} = -\omega^2(t, I)y + \frac{f(I, x/y)}{xy^2}, \quad (y, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2_0, \tag{7.2}
\]
where \( f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are any non-vanishing functions. In the case where \( f \) and \( g \) are constants, one recovers the generalized Ermakov system studied in [31]. Consider the system of first-order differential equations on \( T\mathbb{R}^2_0 \) of the form
\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = -\omega^2(t, I)x + \frac{g(I, y/x)}{x^2y}, \quad \frac{dv_y}{dt} = -\omega^2(t, I)y + \frac{f(I, x/y)}{y^2x},
\]
\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = v_x, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = v_y,
\]
obtained by adding the variables \( v_x = \dot{x} \) and \( v_y = \dot{y} \) to (7.2). Previous system is associated with the \( t \)-dependent vector field \( X = \omega^2(t, I)X_3 + X_1 \) on \( T\mathbb{R}^2_0 \), where
\[
X_1 = \frac{f(I, x/y)}{xy^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_y} + v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{g(I, y/x)}{x^2y} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x} + v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x},
\]
\[
X_3 = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial v_y} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x}, \quad X_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial v_y} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial v_x} \right],
\]
have the commutation relations
\[
[X_1, X_2] = X_1, \quad [X_1, X_3] = 2X_2, \quad [X_2, X_3] = X_3
\]
and therefore span a Lie algebra of vector fields \( V^{gES} \) isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \). Since a straightforward calculation shows that \( I \) is a common first-integral to \( X_1, X_2, X_3 \) and \( X_1 \land X_2 \land X_3 \neq 0 \) on an open dense subset \( \mathcal{O} \subset T\mathbb{R}^2_0 \). Then, \( X \) becomes a foliated Lie system on an open dense subset \( \mathcal{O} \) of \( T\mathbb{R}^2_0 \).

Let us show that (7.2) admits a Lie algebra of Lie symmetries isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \). Note that the restriction of \( X \) to every leaf of the foliation determined by the integral leaves of the distribution with \( \mathcal{D}^{V^{gES}} \). Since \( X_1 \land X_2 \land X_3 \neq 0 \) on these leaves, one obtains a so-called quasi-automorphic Lie system on each leaf (see [26]). It was proved in that work that every such a Lie system admits a Lie algebra of Lie symmetries isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \). Gluing together these vector fields on each leaf, we obtain a Lie algebra of Lie symmetries of \( X \) on \( N \) isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \). This is a feature common to many other generalisations of Ermakov systems [31].
7.2 How to obtain foliated superposition rules

The proof of the foliated Lie–Scheffers theorem [5,3] shows implicitly how to obtain a foliated superposition rule for a foliated Lie system. This section aims to describe in detail and to illustrate this method. A careful lecture of the proof of the above-mentioned theorem shows that the steps of the method go as follows:

- Consider a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V_F$ of a $\mathcal{F}$-foliated Lie system $X$ on a manifold $N$.
- Find the smallest $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that the diagonal prolongations of the vector fields of $V_F$ span a distribution of rank $\dim V_F$ at a generic point of $N^m$. This states the number of particular solutions of the foliated superposition rule, namely $m$.
- Consider a coordinate system $\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^s, I^{s+1}, \ldots, I^n$ adapted to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ around $p \in N$, i.e. the first $s$ coordinates form a local coordinate system on each leaf $\mathcal{F}_k$ of $\mathcal{F}$, while the last $n-s$ coordinates are constant on the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Define the same coordinate system $\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^s, I^{s+1}, \ldots, I^n$ on each copy $N$ within $N^{m+1}$. This gives rise to a coordinate system on $N^{m+1}$ of the form $\theta^{(a)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(a)}_s, I^{(a)+1}_s, \ldots, I^{(a)}_n$ for $a = 0, \ldots, m$.
- Define $\Psi^{s+1} = I^{s+1}_0, \ldots, \Psi^n = I^n_0$ and obtain $s$ common first-integrals $\Psi^1, \ldots, \Psi^s$ for the diagonal prolongations of the elements of $V_F$ to $N^{m+1}$ satisfying that

$$\frac{\partial(\Psi^1, \ldots, \Psi^n)}{\partial(\theta^1_0, \ldots, \theta^s_0, I^{s+1}_0, \ldots, I^n_0)} \neq 0 \iff \frac{\partial(\Psi^1, \ldots, \Psi^s)}{\partial(\theta^1_0, \ldots, \theta^s_0)} \neq 0.$$

- Assume $\Psi^1 = k_1, \ldots, \Psi^n = k_n$ and obtain $\theta^1_0, \ldots, \theta^s_0, I^{s+1}_0, \ldots, I^n_0$ as a function of $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ and $\theta^{(a)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(a)}_s, I^{(a)+1}_s, \ldots, I^{(a)}_n$ for $a = 1, \ldots, m$, i.e.

$$\theta^j_0 = F^j(\theta^{(1)}_1, I^{(1)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(m)}_m, I^{(m)}_m, k_1, \ldots, k_n), \quad I^{(a)}_j = k^j.$$

for certain functions $F^i : N^m \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $j = s+1, \ldots, n$. This gives rise to a superposition rule $\Phi : N^m \times N \rightarrow N$ for every Lie system with a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V_F$ of the form (see [14, 12] for details)

$$\Phi(\theta^{(1)}_1, I^{(1)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(m)}_m, I^{(m)}_m, k_1, \ldots, k_n) = (F^1, \ldots, F^n).$$

Assume in these expressions $k_{s+1} = I^{s+1}_1, \ldots, k_n = I^n_1$. This allows us to define a map $\Psi : N^m \times \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow N$ of the form

$$\Psi(\theta^{(1)}_1, I^{(1)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(m)}_m, I^{(m)}_m, k_1, \ldots, k_s) = \Phi(\theta^{(1)}_1, I^{(1)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(m)}_m, I^{(m)}_m, k_1, \ldots, k_s, I^{(1)}_1).$$

The map $\Psi : N^m \times \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow N$ becomes a foliated superposition rule for $X$ at a generic point.

Let us illustrate our method by applying it to the Lax pair (3.10). We recall that this foliated Lie system was related to the Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V^g$. The vector fields of a basis of $V^g$ are linearly independent at a generic point of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Hence,
we can obtain a foliated superposition rule depending on one particular solution. The coordinates \( \theta^1 = v^1, \ldots, \theta^n = v^n, I^1 = v^{n+1}, \ldots, I^n = v^{2n} \) are adapted to the foliation \( \mathcal{F}^g \) of the system under study. Consider the coordinate system on \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) of the form \( \theta^{(a)}, \ldots, \theta^{n(a)}, I^{1(a)}, \ldots, I^{n(a)} \) with \( a = 0, 1 \). Take the diagonal prolongations of the elements of \( V^{g_{LP}} \) to \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \). To obtain \( 2n \) functionally independent constants of the motion for such diagonal prolongations choose \( \Psi^{s+1} = I^{1(0)}, \ldots, \Psi^s = I^{n(0)} \) and \( \Psi^i = \theta^i(0) - \theta^i(1) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Then,

\[
\frac{\partial(\Psi^1, \ldots, \Psi^n)}{\partial(\theta^1(0), \ldots, \theta^n(0), I^{1(0)}, \ldots, I^{n-1(0)})} \neq 0.
\]

By fixing \( \Psi^1 = k_1, \ldots, \Psi^{2n} = k_{2n} \), a superposition rule \( \Phi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) for every Lie system with a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra \( V^{g_{LP}} \) reads

\[
\Phi(\theta(1), I(1), k) = (\theta^1(1) + k_1, \ldots, \theta^n(1) + k_n, k_{s+1}, \ldots, k_{2n}).
\]

Restricting oneself to the case \( k_{s+1} = I^{1(1)}, \ldots, k_{2n} = I^{n(1)} \), one gets a foliated superposition \( \Psi^g : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) of the form

\[
\Psi(\theta(1), I(1), k_1, \ldots, k_n) = (\theta^1(1) + k_1, \ldots, \theta^n(1) + k_n, I^{1(1)}, \ldots, I^{n(1)}).
\]

### 7.3 Lax pairs and automorphic Lie systems

Let us prove that the foliated Lie systems (3.2) and (3.10) are related to the same automorphic foliated Lie system.

The foliated Lie system (3.2) is related to a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra \( V^{HJ} \) of the form (3.5), which is isomorphic to the Lie algebra \( (\mathbb{R}^n, +) \). We assume \( \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\} \) to be the dual basis to the canonical basis \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The Lie group action obtained by integrating the vector fields of \( V^{HJ} \) reads

\[
\varphi_I : \mathbb{R}^n \times T^*Q \to T^*Q, \quad (\lambda, Q, P) \mapsto (Q - \lambda, P).
\]

Observe that the Lie group action has been chosen so that the fundamental vector fields of the elements of the basis \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \) will be \( \{\partial/\partial Q^1, \ldots, \partial/\partial Q^n\} \), respectively. The space of leaves of the distribution spanned by the elements of \( V^{HJ} \) is diffeomorphic to the manifold \( M = \mathbb{R}^n \). In fact, the variables \( P^1, \ldots, P^n \) on \( T^*Q \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) can be considered as a global coordinate system on \( M \), which parametrised the leaves of the foliation \( \mathcal{F}^{HJ} \).

The automorphic foliated Lie system related to (3.2) is, in virtue of Theorem 6.2, defined on the \( (\mathbb{R}^n, +) \)-principal bundle \( \pi : (\lambda, P) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times M \mapsto P \in M \) and it reads

\[
X(t, P, \lambda) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial P^\alpha}(t, P) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^\alpha}.
\]

Consider now the Lax pair given by (3.7) with

\[
m(t, v) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial P^\alpha} e_\alpha.
\]

This particular value of \( m(t, v) \) was studied in [3] for \( h \) being \( t \)-independent and it was shown to lead to a Lax pair for (3.2).
The Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra $V^g$ is spanned by the vector fields $\partial/2\partial v^1, \ldots, \partial/\partial v^n$ given in (3.3). Such vector fields span an abelian Lie algebra isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$. The distribution spanned by the vector fields of $V^{ad}$ gives rise to a family of leaves of the form (3.11). Therefore, the variables $v^{n+1}, \ldots, v^{2n}$ can be considered as a coordinate system on the space of leaves, $M^{ad}$, which becomes a manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The vector fields $X_1^{ad}, \ldots, X_2^{ad}$ can be integrated to obtain a Lie group action
\[
\varphi_g : \mathbb{R}^n \times g \quad \longrightarrow \quad g,
\]
\[
(\lambda; v^1, \ldots, v^{2n}) \quad \mapsto \quad (v^1 - 2\lambda_1, \ldots, v^n - 2\lambda_n, v^{n+1}, \ldots, v^{2n}),
\]
such that the canonical basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is such that the fundamental vector field of each $e_i$ is $X_i^{ad}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The automorphic foliated Lie system associated to this foliated Lie system reduces to the form of a t-dependent vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form
\[
X(t, \lambda, v) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial H}{\partial v^{\alpha+n}}(t, v^{n+1}, \ldots, v^{2n}) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}.
\]
Consequently, one gets that the solution to the Lax pair (3.7) for the particular value of $m(t, v)$ given in (7.3) reduces to the same automorphic Lie system as the foliated Lie system (3.2). Moreover, one see that there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi : T^*Q \rightarrow g$ mapping (3.2) onto (3.7). It is easy to see that when two foliated Lie systems are diffeomorphic, they share the same foliated automorphic Lie system. It is also immediate that foliated Lie systems related to the same foliated automorphic Lie system do not need to be diffeomorphic.

### 7.4 Foliated Lie–Hamilton systems and r-matrices

Let us study the use of Poisson structures to investigate foliated Lie systems by means of a couple of relevant examples. This will neatly illustrate how to generalize the theory of Lie-Hamilton systems in [17] to the realm of foliated Lie systems. Moreover, several results on the use of $r$-matrices will be provided generalising results in [3].

Assume that the Lie algebra $g$ admits a basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ and a dual basis $\{v^1, \ldots, v^r\}$. Consider the system on $g$ given by
\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r f_\alpha(t, v) X_{e_\alpha}^{ad}(v), \quad (7.4)
\]
where the $X_{e_\alpha}^{ad}$ are the fundamental vector fields of the adjoint action of $G$ on $g$ and the functions $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are $t$-dependent functions that are constants on the leaves of the adjoint action for each fixed time $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We restrict ourselves (7.4) to the manifold $g^r \subset g$ where $X_{e_1}^{ad}, \ldots, X_{e_r}^{ad}$ span a regular distribution. It is immediate that (7.4) is a foliated Lie system on $g^r$. Let us endow $g^r$ with a Poisson structure so as to study such a system.

Let $g$ be a $g$-invariant non-degenerate constant metric, let us say $g = \sum_{\alpha, \mu=1}^r g_{\alpha\mu} dv^\alpha \otimes dv^\mu$ where $g_{\alpha\mu}$ are constants. The metric $g$ gives rise to an isomorphism $g^g : v \in Tg \mapsto g(v, \cdot) \in Tg^*$. Since $g$ is non-degenerate, we can define also an inverse $g^b : T^*g \rightarrow Tg$. Let $E$ be the Euler vector field on $g$, namely $E = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r v^\alpha \partial/\partial v^\alpha$. This enables us to define a Poisson bracket on $g$, the Kirillov bracket [3], given by
\[
\{f_\alpha, f_\beta\}_K = g([g^b df_\alpha, g^b df_\beta], E). \quad (7.5)
\]
Since \( g \) is an isomorphism, the functions \( f_\alpha(v) = g_\alpha(c, v) \) with \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, r \), give rise to a coordinate system on \( g \). Then, one then obtains that (7.3) gives rise to a bivector

\[
\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^r \Lambda(df_\alpha, df_\beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial f_\alpha} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial f_\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^r c_{\alpha\beta} \gamma f_\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial f_\alpha} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial f_\beta}.
\]

It is therefore immediate that \( \Lambda \) is a Poisson bracket.

Using the fact that \( g \) is \( g \)-invariant, one gets that the vector fields \( X_\alpha^{ad} \) are Hamiltonian relative to this Poisson bracket. In fact, if \( [e_\alpha, e_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma=1}^r c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma e_\gamma \), then the \( g \)-invariance of \( g \) gives that \( \sum_{\delta=1}^r c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma g_{\delta\gamma} = -\sum_{\delta=1}^r c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma g_{\delta\alpha} \). Since \( v^\mu = \sum_{\gamma=1}^r g^{\mu\gamma} f_\gamma \), one gets

\[
\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^r c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma g_{\gamma\mu} v^\mu g^{\beta\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\sigma} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^r g^{\beta\sigma} v^\mu c_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\sigma}.
\]

A short calculation shows that \( \iota_{df^\alpha} \Lambda = g^{\beta\sigma} X_\alpha^{ad} \) and then \( X_\alpha^{ad} = \sum_{\xi=1}^r \iota_{g^{\beta\sigma}v^\mu} \Lambda \). This shows that the Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of (7.4) is Hamiltonian. One sees that the Lax pair \( (3.2) \) is not in general a Hamiltonian system relative to this Kirillov Poisson bracket on \( g \): it depends on the form of the functions \( f_t(t, v), \ldots, f_t(t, v) \). Nevertheless, the restriction of such a system relative to the leaf is a Hamiltonian system relative to \( \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_K \). In other words, \( X^{HS} \) admits a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields relative to a Poisson bracket. As a consequence, the restriction of (7.4) to each leaf of \( D^{Vad} \) gives rise to a Hamiltonian system and, therefore, the Poisson structure can be used to study the restriction of (7.4) on each leaf. This shows that it is interesting to consider foliated Lie systems admitting a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields relative to a Poisson bracket.

Let us consider now an automorphic foliated Lie system (6.1) on the connected and simply connected Lie group \( G^{LP} \) with a Lie algebra \( g^{LP} \). The analysis of this system will again support our idea about how one can endow foliated Lie systems with a compatible Poisson structure. Let \( r \) be an \( r \)-matrix of \( g \). Let us define

\[
\Lambda_r = \sum_{ij} r_{ij} X_i^R \wedge X_j^R,
\]

which gives rise a Poisson bracket on \( G \) due to the fact that \( r \) is an \( r \)-matrix. Consider the particular case \( r = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \wedge h_{i+n} \) for \( g^{LP} \). This gives rise to a Poisson structure \( \Lambda_r^{LP} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n X_\alpha^R \wedge X_{\alpha+n}^R \) for the corresponding foliated automorphic Lie system on the Lie group \( G^{LP} \).

Take the basis of right-invariant forms \( \{ \eta_1^R, \ldots, \eta_{2n}^R \} \) dual to the basis \( \{ X_1^R, \ldots, X_n^R \} \) where \( X_\alpha^R(e) = e_\alpha \) for \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( X_\alpha^R = h_\alpha \) for \( \alpha = n+1, \ldots, 2n \). It turns out that

\[
d\eta_\alpha^R(X_\beta^R, X_\gamma^R) = -\eta_\alpha([X_\beta^R, X_\gamma^R]), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \ldots, 2n.
\]

In particular \( d\eta_\alpha^R = 0 \) for \( \alpha = n+1, \ldots, 2n \). This means that \( \eta_\alpha^R = df_\alpha \) for \( \alpha = n+1, \ldots, 2n \). Consequently,

\[
X_\alpha^R = \iota_{df_\alpha} \Lambda_r^{LP}, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n,
\]

are Hamiltonian vector fields relative to \( \Lambda_r^{LP} \).
The $t$-dependent vector field given by (3.9) is related via Theorem 6.2 to the automorphic foliated Lie system

$$X^{G^{LP}}_{\pi} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} f_\alpha(t, v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{2n})X^{G^{LP}}$$

on the principal bundle $\pi^{PL} : G^{PL} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$. This automorphic foliated Lie system becomes Hamiltonian on each fiber of $\pi : G^{LP} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Again, one obtains that it is interesting to consider foliated Lie systems whose Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebras are Hamiltonian relative to some Poisson bivector.

Previous examples justify the following definition.

**Definition 7.1.** A **foliated Lie–Hamilton system** is a foliated Lie system $X$ admitting a Vessiot–Guldberg Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields relative to a Poisson structure.

8 Conclusions and Outlook

We have provided new applications of foliated Lie systems, which significantly extend the academic examples given in [11]. We have introduced foliated superposition rules and first-order systems of ODEs admitting one have been characterized by our foliated Lie–Scheffers theorem. We have defined automorphic foliated Lie systems and their relations to foliated Lie systems have been studied. As applications, we have studied a generalisation of Ermakov systems, we have illustrated our method to obtain foliated superposition rules, we have studied automorphic Lie systems related to Lax pairs and certain Hamiltonian systems, and the theory of Lie–Hamilton systems has been extended to foliated Lie-Hamilton systems.

Our results can be extended to the so-called PDE Lie systems [12, 14, 35, 40]. This can be accomplished by using the same fundamental ideas here depicted, but the development is technically much more complicated due to the nature of PDEs. We are studying the possible applications of such a theory in physical models, which may justify their study.

Finally, a natural generalisation of our techniques leads to analysing systems of ODEs given by a $t$-dependent vector field $X$ on a manifold $N$ tangent a submanifold $S \subset N$ where $X$ becomes a Lie system. This could lead to analyse a far more general class of differential equations.
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