

IHARA ZETA FUNCTION, COEFFICIENTS OF MACLAURIN SERIES, AND RAMANUJAN GRAPHS

HAU-WEN HUANG

ABSTRACT. Let X denote a connected $(q+1)$ -regular undirected graph of finite order n . The graph X is called Ramanujan whenever

$$|\lambda| \leq 2q^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all nontrivial eigenvalues λ of X . We consider the variant $\Xi(u)$ of the Ihara zeta function $Z(u)$ of X defined by

$$\Xi(u)^{-1} = \begin{cases} (1-u)(1-qu)(1-q^{\frac{1}{2}}u)^{2n-2}(1-u^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)}{2}}Z(u) & \text{if } X \text{ is nonbipartite,} \\ (1-q^2u^2)(1-q^{\frac{1}{2}}u)^{2n-4}(1-u^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)}{2}+1}Z(u) & \text{if } X \text{ is bipartite.} \end{cases}$$

The function $\Xi(u)$ satisfies the functional equation $\Xi(q^{-1}u^{-1}) = \Xi(u)$. Let $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ denote the number sequence given by

$$\frac{d}{du} \ln \Xi(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k+1}u^k.$$

In this paper we establish the equivalence of the following statements: (i) X is Ramanujan; (ii) $h_k \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$; (iii) $h_k \geq 0$ for infinitely many even $k \geq 2$. Furthermore we derive the Hasse–Weil bound for the Ramanujan graphs.

Keywords: Hasse–Weil bound, Ihara zeta function, Li’s criterion, Ramanujan graphs.

MSC2020: 05C50, 11M26.

1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation of this paper originates from developing a graph theoretical counterpart of the following sufficient and necessary condition for the Riemann hypothesis. Recall that the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is the analytic continuation of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

The negative even integers are trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and the Riemann hypothesis asserts that the real part of every nontrivial zero of $\zeta(s)$ is $\frac{1}{2}$. The Riemann xi function $\xi(s)$ is a variation of $\zeta(s)$ defined by

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s)$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Gamma function. The function $\xi(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\xi(1-s) = \xi(s).$$

Let $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ denote the number sequence given by

$$\frac{d}{dz} \ln \xi\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{k+1}z^k.$$

Li’s criterion states that the Riemann hypothesis holds if and only if $\lambda_k \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ [4].

Let X denote an undirected graph of finite order allowing loops and multiple edges. We endow two opposite orientations on all edges of X called the *oriented edges* of X . Given a vertex x of X the *valency* of x is the number of oriented edges with the initial vertex x . If the valency of x is

equal to a constant k for all vertices x of X then X is said to be k -regular. The *adjacency matrix* A of X is a square matrix indexed by the vertices of X whose (x, y) -entry is defined as the number of oriented edges from x to y for all vertices x, y of X . Since A is symmetric A is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues. The eigenvalues of A are also called the *eigenvalues* of X . A *walk* is a nonempty finite sequence of oriented edges which joins vertices. The *length* of a walk is the number of oriented edges in the walk. The graph X is said to be *connected* if there exists a walk from x to y for any two distinct vertices x, y of X . A *cycle* is meant to be a walk from a vertex to itself. If all cycles on X have even length then X is called *bipartite*. A walk is said to have *backtracking* if an oriented edge is immediately followed by its opposite orientation in the walk. A cycle is said to be *geodesic* if all shifted cycles are backtrackless. For all $k \geq 1$ let N_k denote the number of geodesic cycles on X of length k . The *Ihara zeta function* $Z(u)$ of X is the analytic continuation of

$$(1) \quad \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k}{k} u^k \right).$$

For the rest of this paper, we always assume that X is a connected $(q+1)$ -regular undirected graph of finite order n with $q \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$. In this case, the eigenvalues of X with absolute value $q+1$ are called *trivial* eigenvalues and the poles of $Z(u)$ with values ± 1 and $\pm q^{-1}$ are called *trivial* poles. The graph X is said to be *Ramanujan* whenever

$$|\lambda| \leq 2q^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all nontrivial eigenvalues λ of X [5]. The graph X is Ramanujan if and only if all nontrivial poles of $Z(u)$ have the same absolute value $q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which is similar to the Riemann hypothesis by writing $u = q^{-s}$ [3, 8]. We define the function $\Xi(u)$ by

$$(2) \quad \Xi(u)^{-1} = \begin{cases} (1-u)(1-qu)(1-q^{\frac{1}{2}}u)^{2n-2}(1-u^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)}{2}}Z(u) & \text{if } X \text{ is nonbipartite,} \\ (1-q^2u^2)(1-q^{\frac{1}{2}}u)^{2n-4}(1-u^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)}{2}+1}Z(u) & \text{if } X \text{ is bipartite.} \end{cases}$$

The function $\Xi(u)$ is considered as the *Ihara xi function* which satisfies the functional equation

$$\Xi(q^{-1}u^{-1}) = \Xi(u).$$

If we set $u = q^{-s}$, then this becomes a functional equation relating $1-s$ and s similar to the Riemann xi function.

Definition 1.1. Let $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ denote the number sequence given by

$$\frac{d}{du} \ln \Xi(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k+1} u^k.$$

The main results of this paper are as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *If there is a positive even integer k with $h_k \geq 0$ then*

$$|\lambda| \leq \begin{cases} (1 + \sqrt[k]{4n-7})q^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } X \text{ is nonbipartite,} \\ (1 + \sqrt[k]{2n-7})q^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } X \text{ is bipartite} \end{cases}$$

for all nontrivial eigenvalues λ of X .

Theorem 1.3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is Ramanujan.
- (ii) $h_k \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.
- (iii) $h_k \geq 0$ for infinitely many even $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.4. (i) *If X is nonbipartite, then X is Ramanujan if and only if*

$$|N_k - q^k - 1| \leq 2(n-1)q^{\frac{k}{2}} \quad \text{for all odd } k;$$

$$|N_k - n(q-1) - q^k - 1| \leq 2(n-1)q^{\frac{k}{2}} \quad \text{for all even } k.$$

(ii) *If X is bipartite, then X is Ramanujan if and only if*

$$|N_k - n(q-1) - 2q^k - 2| \leq 2(n-2)q^{\frac{k}{2}} \quad \text{for all even } k.$$

Theorem 1.2 is an improvement of the implication from Theorem 1.3(iii) to Theorem 1.3(i). The equivalence of Theorem 1.3(i), (ii) is an analogue of Li's criterion. In [7, §1.3] it was shown that if X is nonbipartite Ramanujan then $N_k = q^k + O(nq^{\frac{k}{2}})$; if X is bipartite Ramanujan then $N_k = 2q^k + O(nq^{\frac{k}{2}})$ for even k . Theorem 1.4 strengthens the above necessary conditions for X as Ramanujan. Furthermore, Theorem 1.4 is an analogue of the Hasse–Weil bound [9].

The paper is organized as follows: In §2 we give some preliminaries on $Z(u)$ and $\Xi(u)$. In §3 we derive three formulae for $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. In §4 we prove Theorems 1.2–1.4. In §5 we discuss the behavior of $\{h_{2k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ when X is not Ramanujan.

2. THE IHARA ZETA AND XI FUNCTIONS

Let $\text{Spec}(X)$ denote the *spectrum* of X ; that is the multiset of all eigenvalues of X with geometric multiplicities. Since X is a connected $(q+1)$ -regular undirected graph, the value $q+1 \in \text{Spec}(X)$ with multiplicity one. Ihara's theorem [2] states that $Z(u)$ is a rational function of the form

$$(3) \quad Z(u)^{-1} = (1-u^2)^{\frac{n(q-1)}{2}} \prod_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(X)} 1 - \lambda u + qu^2.$$

Substituting (3) into (2) yields that

$$(4) \quad \Xi^{-1}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-u)(1-qu)(1-q^{\frac{1}{2}}u)^{2n-2}}{\prod_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(X)} 1 - \lambda u + qu^2} & \text{if } X \text{ is nonbipartite,} \\ \frac{(1-u^2)(1-q^2u^2)(1-q^{\frac{1}{2}}u)^{2n-4}}{\prod_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(X)} 1 - \lambda u + qu^2} & \text{if } X \text{ is bipartite.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\text{Spec}^*(X)$ denote the multiset of all nontrivial eigenvalues of X with geometric multiplicities. Recall that $\text{Spec}(X)$ is symmetric with respect to 0 when X is bipartite. Hence

$$(5) \quad \text{Spec}^*(X) = \begin{cases} \text{Spec}(X) \setminus \{q+1\} & \text{if } X \text{ is nonbipartite,} \\ \text{Spec}(X) \setminus \{\pm(q+1)\} & \text{if } X \text{ is bipartite.} \end{cases}$$

Combined with (4) we obtain that

$$(6) \quad \Xi(u) = \prod_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}^*(X)} \frac{1 - \lambda u + qu^2}{(1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}u)^2}.$$

Proposition 2.1. $\Xi(u)$ satisfies the functional equation $\Xi(q^{-1}u^{-1}) = \Xi(u)$.

Proof. It is routine to verify the proposition by using (6). □

3. FORMULAE FOR h_k

Recall the sequence $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ from Definition 1.1. In this section we give two combinatorial formulae for $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and a formula for $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in terms of the Chebyshev polynomials.

Proposition 3.1. (i) *If X is nonbipartite then*

$$h_k = \begin{cases} 2(n-1) + q^{\frac{k}{2}} + q^{-\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}} N_k & \text{for all odd } k, \\ 2(n-1) + q^{\frac{k}{2}} + q^{-\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}} (N_k - n(q-1)) & \text{for all even } k. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If X is bipartite then*

$$h_k = \begin{cases} 2(n-2) & \text{for all odd } k, \\ 2(n-2 + q^{\frac{k}{2}} + q^{-\frac{k}{2}}) - q^{-\frac{k}{2}} (N_k - n(q-1)) & \text{for all even } k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Taking logarithm on (1) yields that

$$(7) \quad \ln Z(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k}{k} u^k.$$

Evaluate h_k by using (2) and (7) directly. □

Let $\{T_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ denote the polynomials defined by

$$xT_k(x) = T_{k+1}(x) + T_{k-1}(x) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1$$

with $T_0(x) = 2$ and $T_1(x) = x$ [1, §2.3]. Note that $\frac{1}{2}T_k(2x)$ is the k th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind for all $k \geq 0$ [6].

Lemma 3.2 ([1, 6]). $T_k(x + x^{-1}) = x^k + x^{-k}$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Given a multiset S of numbers and a constant c , we let cS denote the multiset consisting of cs for all $s \in S$.

Proposition 3.3. *For all $k \geq 1$ the following equation holds:*

$$h_k = 2|\text{Spec}^*(X)| - \sum_{s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)} T_k(s).$$

Proof. Let $S = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\text{Spec}^*(X)$. Applying (6) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \Xi(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u) &= \sum_{s \in S} \ln(1 - su + u^2) - 2|S| \ln(1 - u) \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} \ln(1 - su + u^2) + 2|S| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^k}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s \in S$ be given. Write $s = \alpha + \alpha^{-1}$ for some nonzero complex number α . Then

$$\ln(1 - su + u^2) = \ln(1 - \alpha u) + \ln(1 - \alpha^{-1}u) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^k + \alpha^{-k}}{k} u^k.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $T_k(s) = \alpha^k + \alpha^{-k}$. By the above comments we have

$$\ln \Xi(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2|S| - \sum_{s \in S} T_k(s)}{k} u^k.$$

Now the proposition follows by taking differential on both sides of the above equation. □

For convenience we define $\binom{-1}{-1} = 1$ and $\binom{k}{-1} = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.4 ([1, 6]). $T_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i \left(\binom{k-i}{i} + \binom{k-i-1}{i-1} \right) x^{k-2i}$ for all $k \geq 0$.

For all $k \geq 1$ let C_k denote the number of the cycles on X of length k and define $C_0 = n$.

Lemma 3.5. $\sum_{s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}(X)} T_k(s) = q^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-q)^i \left(\binom{k-i}{i} + \binom{k-i-1}{i-1} \right) C_{k-2i}$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof. Evaluate the left-hand side by using Lemma 3.4 along with the fact $C_k = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(X)} \lambda^k$ for all $k \geq 0$. \square

Combining Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 yields that

$$(8) \quad N_k = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}(X)} T_k(s) & \text{for all odd } k, \\ n(q-1) + q^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}(X)} T_k(s) & \text{for all even } k. \end{cases}$$

As far as we know, the formula (8) was first given in [7, Lemma 4] and it was given a proof of [7, Lemma 4] without using (3). By Lemma 3.5 and (8) we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *The following equation holds:*

$$N_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (-q)^i \left(\binom{k-i}{i} + \binom{k-i-1}{i-1} \right) C_{k-2i} & \text{for all odd } k, \\ n(q-1) + \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} (-q)^i \left(\binom{k-i}{i} + \binom{k-i-1}{i-1} \right) C_{k-2i} & \text{for all even } k. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.7. (i) *If X is nonbipartite then*

$$h_k = 2(n-1) + q^{\frac{k}{2}} + q^{-\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-q)^i \left(\binom{k-i}{i} + \binom{k-i-1}{i-1} \right) C_{k-2i} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1.$$

(ii) *If X is bipartite then*

$$h_k = \begin{cases} 2(n-2) & \text{for all odd } k, \\ 2(n-2 + q^{\frac{k}{2}} + q^{-\frac{k}{2}}) - q^{-\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} (-q)^i \left(\binom{k-i}{i} + \binom{k-i-1}{i-1} \right) C_{k-2i} & \text{for all even } k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Combine Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.6. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we show Theorems 1.2–1.4.

Lemma 4.1. *For all $k \geq 1$ the coefficient $h_k \geq 0$ if and only if*

$$\frac{1}{2|\text{Spec}^*(X)|} \sum_{s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)} T_k(s) \leq 1.$$

Proof. Immediate from Proposition 3.3. \square

Lemma 4.2 ([6]). *$T_k(2 \cos \theta) = 2 \cos k\theta$ for all $k \geq 0$ and all real numbers θ .*

Lemma 4.3. *If s is a real number with $|s| \leq 2$ then $|T_k(s)| \leq 2$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 4.2. \square

Lemma 4.4. *If X is Ramanujan then $|T_k(s)| \leq 2$ for all $k \geq 0$ and all $s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)$.*

Proof. Since X is Ramanujan if and only if $|s| \leq 2$ for all $s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)$, the lemma is immediate from Lemma 4.3. \square

Lemma 4.5 ([6]). $T_k(x) = 2 \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^k \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{k}{2i} \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2}\right)^i$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Lemma 4.6. *If s is a real number with $|s| > 2$ then $T_k(s) > 0$ for all even $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 4.5. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let S denote a nonempty finite multiset consisting of real numbers. If there is a positive even integer k with*

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{2|S|} \sum_{s \in S} T_k(s) \leq 1$$

then $|s| \leq 1 + \sqrt[k]{4|S| - 3}$ for all $s \in S$.

Proof. For convenience let

$$(10) \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\sqrt[k]{4|S| - 3} - 1}{\sqrt[k]{4|S| - 3} + 1}.$$

Suppose on the contrary that there is a real number $t \in S$ with

$$(11) \quad |t| > 1 + \sqrt[k]{4|S| - 3} = \frac{2}{1 - \varepsilon}.$$

Using (11) yields that $1 - \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-2} > 1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^2 = 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2$. Since $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we have $\varepsilon^2 < 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2$. Combined with Lemma 4.5 this implies

$$\frac{1}{2} T_k(t) > \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^k \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{k}{2i} \varepsilon^{2i} = \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^k \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^k + (1 - \varepsilon)^k}{2}.$$

By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.6 we have $T_k(s) \geq -2$ for all $s \in S \setminus \{t\}$.

Combining (9) with the above comments yields that

$$|S| \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} T_k(s) = \frac{1}{2} T_k(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S \setminus \{t\}} T_k(s) > \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^k \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^k + (1 - \varepsilon)^k}{2} - (|S| - 1).$$

It leads to

$$(12) \quad \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^k < \frac{4|S| - 2}{(1 + \varepsilon)^k + (1 - \varepsilon)^k}.$$

Since k is even the inequality (11) implies that

$$(13) \quad \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^k > \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)^k}.$$

Combining (12) and (13) we see that

$$(14) \quad \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)^k} < \frac{4|S| - 2}{(1 + \varepsilon)^k + (1 - \varepsilon)^k}.$$

Using the setting (10) it is routine to verify that both sides of (14) are equal, a contradiction. The proposition follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 4.1, when X is nonbipartite the result follows by applying Proposition 4.7 with S replaced by $q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)$.

Note that the number of zeros in $\text{Spec}^*(X)$ is even if the regular graph X is bipartite. Since k is even it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $T_k(x)$ is an even function. Combined with Lemma 4.1, when X is bipartite the result follows by applying Proposition 4.7 with S chosen as the multiset of all positive numbers and a half number of zeros in $q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Combine Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): It is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Immediate from Theorem 1.2. \square

Lemma 4.8. *If X is Ramanujan then*

$$h_k \leq \begin{cases} 4(n-1) & \text{if } X \text{ is nonbipartite,} \\ 4(n-2) & \text{if } X \text{ is bipartite} \end{cases}$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 4.4 the coefficient $h_k \leq 4|\text{Spec}^*(X)|$ for all $k \geq 1$. Hence the lemma follows by (5). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Combine Theorem 1.3(i), (ii) and Proposition 3.1 along with Lemma 4.8. \square

5. BEHAVIOR OF h_{2k}

We end this paper with a remark on $\{h_{2k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ under the assumption that X is not Ramanujan. Let S denote the set of all nonzero complex numbers α with $\alpha + \alpha^{-1} \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)$. For those $s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)$ with $|s| \leq 2$ the corresponding numbers $\alpha \in S$ have the same absolute value 1. By the assumption that X is not Ramanujan there exists an $s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)$ with $|s| > 2$ and the corresponding numbers $\alpha \in S$ are real and $\alpha \neq \pm 1$. Let

$$\mu = \max_{\alpha \in S} |\alpha| > 1.$$

Since the function $f(x) = x + x^{-1}$ is strictly increasing on $(1, \infty)$, it follows that

$$\mu + \mu^{-1} = \max_{\alpha \in S} |\alpha| + |\alpha|^{-1} = \max_{s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)} |s|.$$

Using Lemma 3.2 yields that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{2k}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})}{\mu^{2k}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu > |\alpha| \text{ and } \mu > |\alpha|^{-1}, \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

for all $\alpha \in S$. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that h_{2k} is asymptotic to $-m\mu^{2k}$ as k approaches to ∞ , where m is the number of $s \in q^{-1/2}\text{Spec}^*(X)$ with $|s| = \mu + \mu^{-1}$. Therefore the following result holds:

Theorem 5.1. *If X is not Ramanujan then*

$$q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max |\lambda| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{h_{2k+2}}{h_{2k}}} + \sqrt{\frac{h_{2k}}{h_{2k+2}}}$$

where max is over all nontrivial eigenvalues λ of X .

Acknowledgements. The research is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan under the project MOST 106-2628-M-008-001-MY4.

REFERENCES

1. H.-W. Huang, *Center of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra at roots of unity*, Nuclear Physics B **909** (2016), 260–296.
2. Y. Ihara, *On discrete subgroups of the two by two projective linear group over \mathfrak{p} -adic fields*, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan **18** (1966), 219–235.
3. W.-C. W. Li, *Zeta and L -functions in number theory and combinatorics*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 129, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019.
4. X.-J. Li, *The positivity of a sequence of numbers and the Riemann hypothesis*, Journal of Number Theory **65** (1997), 325–333.
5. A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak, *Ramanujan graphs*, Combinatorica **8** (1988), 261–277.
6. J. C. Mason and D. C. Handscomb, *Chebyshev polynomials*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York, 2003.
7. B. Rangarajan, *A combinatorial proof of Ihara–Bass’s formula for the zeta function of regular graphs*, In 37th IARCS Annual Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science (FSTTCS 2017). (Germany), Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, 2018.
8. A. A. Terras, *Zeta functions of graphs: A stroll through the garden*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 128, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; New York, 2010.
9. A. Weil, *Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **55** (1949), 497–508.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY, CHUNG-LI 32001 TAIWAN
E-mail address: hauwenh@math.ncu.edu.tw