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Abstract. Let $F$ be a $p$-adic field and choose an algebraic closure $\overline{F}_\ell$, with $\ell$ different from $p$. We define “nilpotent lifts” of irreducible generic $\overline{F}_\ell$-representations of $GL_n(F)$, which take coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell$-$\mathbb{Z}_\ell$. We show that an irreducible generic $\ell$-modular representation $\pi$ of $GL_n(F)$ is uniquely determined by its collection of Rankin-Selberg gamma factors $\gamma(\pi \times \overline{\tau}, X, \psi)$ as $\overline{\tau}$ varies over nilpotent lifts of irreducible generic $\overline{F}_\ell$-representations $\tau$ of $GL_t(F)$ for $t = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{\ell} \rfloor$. This gives a characterization of the mod-$\ell$ local Langlands correspondence in terms of gamma factors, assuming it can be extended to a surjective local Langlands correspondence on nilpotent lifts.

1. Introduction

1.1. Notation. Let $F$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with finite residue field of order $q$, let $G := G_n := GL_n(F)$, let $R$ be a commutative ring with unit, and let $\text{Rep}_R(G_n)$ be the category of smooth $R[G_n]$-modules (the stabilizer of any element is open). Let $\ell$ be a prime different from $p$, let $k := \mathbb{F}_\ell$, and let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors (it is the $\ell$-adic completion of the ring of integers in the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$). Let $\mathcal{K} = \text{Frac}(W(k)) = W(k)[\frac{1}{\ell}]$, let $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ be an algebraic closure, and let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$. After fixing a field isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{K}} \cong \mathbb{C}$ we may translate most properties of $\text{Rep}_\mathbb{C}(G_n)$ to $\text{Rep}_\mathcal{O}(G_n)$.

Fix a nontrivial additive character $\psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. For any $W(k)$-algebra $W(k) \to R$ we denote by $\psi_R$ the extension $F \to W(k)^\times \to R^\times$. Extend $\psi_R$ to the subgroup $U$ of upper triangular matrices by $\psi(u) = \psi(u_{1,2} + \cdots + u_{n-1,n})$. Given a $W(k)$-algebra $R$, we say $\pi \in \text{Rep}_R(G_n)$ is generic if there exists a nontrivial homomorphism

$$\pi \to \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_R := \{ W : G \to R \text{ smooth} : W(ug) = \psi_R(u)W(g) , \ u \in U , \ g \in G \} .$$

If $R$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from $p$, $\mathcal{A}_R^{\text{gen}}(n)$ will denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible generic objects in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$. Given $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_R^{\text{gen}}(n)$, the map $\pi \to \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_R$ is unique up to scaling ($[\text{Vig96}, \text{III.1.11}]$); its image is denoted $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ and called the Whittaker model of $\pi$, and $\pi \cong \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ by irreducibility.

1.2. On the mod-$\ell$ converse theorem. Given integers $t, n \geq 1$, and $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{K}^{\text{gen}}(n)$, $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{K}^{\text{gen}}(t)$, Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika in [JPSS79] construct gamma factors of pairs $\gamma(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi) \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ satisfying a certain functional equation. If $\pi_1, \pi_2$ are isomorphic, then $\gamma(\pi_1 \times \tau, X, \psi) = \gamma(\pi_2 \times \tau, X, \psi)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{K}^{\text{gen}}(t)$, for all $t \geq 1$. A “local converse theorem” identifies a collection of representations...
such that the converse statement holds, i.e. such that the collection of \( \gamma(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi) \) uniquely determines \( \pi \in A^\gen_k(n) \). The first converse theorems appear in [JL70, JPSS83] for \( n = 2 \) and \( 3 \), respectively; in both cases \( \tau \) runs over characters of \( G_1 \). In [Hen93] Henniart proves a converse theorem for arbitrary \( n \), where \( \tau \) runs over irreducible generic objects in \( \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{K}}(G_1) \) for \( t = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \).

In [Hen93], Henniart applies the converse theorem to prove that the local Langlands correspondence over \( \mathcal{K} \) is uniquely characterized by the property that it equates the Deligne–Langlands gamma factors ([Del73]) with the Jacquet–Piatetski-Shapiro–Shalika gamma factors ([JPSS83]). Vignéras proves in [Vig01] the existence of a local Langlands correspondence over \( k \). It is uniquely characterized not by gamma factors but by its compatibility with the \( \mathcal{K} \)-correspondence under reduction mod-\( \ell \) (see § 1.3 below for more details).

The goal of this article is to prove a mod-\( \ell \) converse theorem and use it to uniquely characterize the mod-\( \ell \) local Langlands correspondence intrinsically by mod-\( \ell \) gamma factors, without referring to the \( \mathcal{K} \)-setting. We will now describe our mod-\( \ell \) converse theorem, and postpone until § 1.3 the question of characterizing the mod-\( \ell \) local Langlands correspondence.

The construction of gamma factors associated to objects in \( A^\gen_k(n) \) is already established in the literature. When \( R = k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \), gamma factors of pairs are developed by Kurinczuk–Matringe in [KM17], and shown to be compatible with the reduction mod-\( \ell \) of the gamma factors of [JPSS83]. At first, one might hope for a mod-\( \ell \) converse theorem that parallels the situation over \( \mathcal{K} \): that the gamma factors \( \gamma(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi) \) uniquely characterize \( \pi \in A^\gen_k(n) \) as \( \tau \) ranges over \( A^\gen_k(t) \) for \( t = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). However, we give a counterexample in Section 2 when \( n = 2 \) showing this hope is false. Thus a new framework is needed to achieve a mod-\( \ell \) converse theorem.

When examining the counterexample of Section 2, one finds that the lack of nontrivial \( \ell \)-power roots of unity in \( k \) leads to a lack of tamely ramified \( k \)-valued characters, which leads to an excess of congruences mod \( \ell \) between gamma factors \( \gamma(\pi \times \chi, X, \psi) \) as \( \chi \) varies. The same problem arises when trying to adapt the traditional method of proving converse theorems over \( C \), where a crucial ingredient is the completeness of Whittaker models with respect to the \( L^2 \)-inner product. We give an example in Section 3 showing that completeness of Whittaker models fails over \( k \), again due to the lack of nontrivial \( \ell \)-power roots of unity in \( k \). Their appearance in the mod-\( \ell \) Bernstein center (c.f. Example 3.1) is another example of the importance of \( \ell \)-power roots of unity to the mod-\( \ell \) representation theory.

To recover the converse theorem, we pass from \( k \) to a larger \( k \)-algebra that has an ample supply of \( \ell \)-power roots of unity: namely the ring \( \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell \), where \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) is the ring of integers in \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \). This ring has only a single prime ideal—its nilradical—the residue field is \( k \), and the composition \( k \to \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to k \) is the identity map. The same is true of any \( k \)-subalgebra of \( \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell \). We also note that \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell \cong \mathbb{O}/\ell \mathbb{O} \).

**Definition 1.1.** A nilpotent lift of an object \( \tau \in A^\gen_k(t) \) is a \( (\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell)[G_1] \)-submodule \( \bar{\tau} \) of \( \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell} \) for which there exists a Noetherian \( k \)-subalgebra \( S \subset \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) and an admissible \( S[G_1] \)-submodule \( \bar{\tau}_0 \subset \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_{S} \) such that

1. \( \bar{\tau}_0 \otimes S (\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \bar{\tau} \),
2. \( \bar{\tau}_0 \otimes k = \mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi) \) (or equivalently, \( \bar{\tau} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell k = \mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi) \))
Let $A_k^{\text{gen}}(t)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of nilpotent lifts of objects in $A_k^{\text{gen}}(t)$.

Given $\tau \in A_k^{\text{gen}}(t)$, the isomorphism $\tau \cong \mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi)$ allows us to identify $\tau$ with a nilpotent lift of itself (taking $S = k$), since the composition $k \to \mathbb{Z}_{(t)}/t\mathbb{Z}_{(t)} \to k$ is the identity. Thus we have an “inclusion” $A_k^{\text{gen}}(t) \subset A_k^{\text{gen}}(t)$. An extension of the theory of gamma factors that encompasses nilpotent lifts is carried out in the author’s thesis ([Mos16b, Mos16a]). We refer to §3 and §6 for a summary of these gamma factors. Our main result is the following converse theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $\pi_1, \pi_2$ be in $A_k^{\text{gen}}(n)$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose
\[
\gamma(\pi_1 \times \tilde{\tau}, X, \psi) = \gamma(\pi_2 \times \tilde{\tau}, X, \psi)
\]
for all nilpotent lifts $\tilde{\tau} \in A_k^{\text{gen}}(t)$, for all $t = 1, 2, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. Then $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$.

In fact, the theorem is still true if $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ are in $A_k^{\text{gen}}_{\text{ss}}(n)$ (see Theorem 1.1).

Theorem 1.2 addresses a conjecture of Vignéras from 2000. In [Vig00], Vignéras defines modified gamma factors for cuspidal objects in $A_k^{\text{gen}}(2)$ and proves they satisfy a mod-$\ell$ converse theorem. In the same article, she conjectures that an $\ell$-modular converse theorem holds for all $n > 2$, using some suitably modified version of the gamma factor. This is discussed in more detail in Section 1.3.

When $n > 2$ there is another aspect of the converse theorem, which is obtaining the minimal range of $t$ such that the collection $\gamma(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi)$ determines $\tau$ as $\tau$ ranges over $A_k^{\text{gen}}(n)$ (for all $t$ within the range $1 \leq t \leq n - 1$). When $n = 3$, it is shown in [JPSS83] that $t = 1$ suffices, and Jacquet conjectured in 1999 that $1 \leq t \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ should suffice for arbitrary $n$. Jacquet’s conjecture was proven in [Cha19] and [IL16], independently, for all $n$. We thus present Theorem 1.2 as a mod-$\ell$ analogue of Jacquet’s conjecture. It is shown in [ALST18] that the bound $t \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ cannot be improved in the $\mathcal{K}$-setting.

### 1.3. Characterizing the mod-$\ell$ Langlands correspondence

Suppose $R$ is any algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell$ different from $p$. An irreducible representation in $\text{Rep}_R(G_n)$ is supercuspidal (respectively, cuspidal) if it is not isomorphic to a subquotient (respectively, quotient) of any parabolic induction from a proper Levi subgroup (the two notions are equivalent when the characteristic of $R$ is zero). Let $A^\text{ss}_R(n)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible supercuspidal representations in $\text{Rep}_R(G_n)$. Given $\pi \in \text{Rep}_R(G_n)$ irreducible, the supercuspidal support of $\pi$, denoted $\text{scs}(\pi)$, is the unique multiset $\{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k\}$ such that $\pi$ is a subquotient of the normalized parabolic induction $\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_k$. If $A_{R}^{\text{gen}}(n)$ denotes the set of irreducible generic representations in $\text{Rep}_R(G_n)$, and $A_{R}^{\text{scs}}(n)$ denotes the set of supercuspidal supports of irreducible representations in $\text{Rep}_R(G_n)$, the map $\tau \mapsto \text{scs}(\tau)$ defines a bijection $A_{R}^{\text{gen}}(n) \rightarrow A_{R}^{\text{ss}}(n)$ ([Vig96, III.1.11]).

Choose an algebraic closure of $F$, let $W_F$ be the absolute Weil group, let $G_{F}^{\text{ss}}(n)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of $n$-dimensional irreducible smooth $R$-representations of $W_F$. A local Langlands correspondence over $R$ starts with a sequence of bijections $L_{R,n}^{\text{ss}} : G_{F}^{\text{ss}}(n) \rightarrow A_{R}^{\text{ss}}(n)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. If $G_{F}^{\text{ss}}(n)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of semisimple $n$-dimensional $R$-representations of $W_F$, a semisimple local Langlands correspondence over $R$ is a sequence $(L_{R,n}^{\text{ss}})_{n \geq 1}$ of bijections.
Langlands correspondence

It then follows immediately from (ii) and the converse theorem of [Hen 93] that there exists a sequence \( L_{R,n}^0 : G^0_R(n) \rightarrow A^0_R(n) \) according to the relation

\[
L_{R,n}^0(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k) = \{ L_{R,n}^0(\rho_1), \ldots, L_{R,n}^0(\rho_k) \}.
\]

Composing with the inverse of the bijection \( A^0_R(n) \rightarrow A_{Rss}^s(n) \) gives a generic local Langlands correspondence

\[
L_{R,n}^{gen} : G^0_R(n) \rightarrow A_{R,R,n}^{gen}(n).
\]

In [HT01] (and [LRS93] for positive characteristic \( F \) ), it is shown for \( R = \mathbb{K} \) that there exists a sequence \( L_{\mathbb{K},n}^0 : G^0_{\mathbb{K}}(n) \rightarrow A^0_{\mathbb{K}}(n) \) satisfying:

(i) \( L_{\mathbb{K},1}^0 \) is given by local class field theory,

(ii) \( L_{\mathbb{K},n}^0(\rho') = L_{\mathbb{K},n}^0(\rho) \), and

(iii) for all pairs of integers \( n > t \geq 1 \), \( \rho \in G^0_{\mathbb{K}}(n) \), \( \rho' \in G^0_{\mathbb{K}}(t) \),

\[
\gamma(L_{\mathbb{K},n}^0(\rho) \times L_{\mathbb{K},t}^0(\rho'), s, \psi) = \gamma(\rho \times \rho', s, \psi).
\]

where \( \gamma(\rho \otimes \rho', s, \psi) \) denotes the Deligne–Langlands local factor of [Del73]. Since the Deligne–Langlands gamma factor is multiplicative in direct sums, and the Jacquet–Piatetski–Shapiro–Shalika gamma factor is multiplicative in normalized parabolic inductions, the generic Langlands correspondence \( L_{\mathbb{K},n}^{gen} : G^0_{\mathbb{K}}(n) \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{K},n}^{gen}(n) \) induced by \( (L_{\mathbb{K},n}^0)_{n \geq 1} \) satisfies:

(i) \( L_{\mathbb{K},1}^{gen} \) is given by local class field theory on \( G^0_{\mathbb{K}}(1) \) \( (= G^0_{\mathbb{K}}(1)) \),

(ii) for all pairs \( n > t \geq 1 \), \( \rho \in G^0_{\mathbb{K}}(n) \), \( \rho' \in G^0_{\mathbb{K}}(t) \),

\[
\gamma(L_{\mathbb{K},n}^{gen}(\rho) \times L_{\mathbb{K},t}^{gen}(\rho'), s, \psi) = \gamma(\rho \times \rho', s, \psi).
\]

It then follows immediately from (ii) and the converse theorem of [Hen93] that there can be at most one sequence \( (L_{\mathbb{K},n}^{gen})_{n \geq 1} \) with these properties.

For \( \ell > 0 \) a prime, \( \ell \neq p \), Vignéra proves in [Vig01] the existence of a sequence of bijections \( L_{k,n}^0 : G^0_k(n) \rightarrow A^0_k(n) \), for all \( n \geq 1 \), which is uniquely characterized by the property that its induced semisimple correspondence \( (L_{k,n}^{ss})_{n \geq 1} \) is compatible with \( (L_{\mathbb{K},n}^{ss})_{n \geq 1} \) under reduction mod-\( \ell \). More precisely, a finite length object \( \pi \) in \( \operatorname{Rep}_k(G_n) \) (or \( \operatorname{Rep}_k(W_n) \)) is integral if it admits a model \( L \) over the ring of integers \( \mathcal{O}_E \) in a finite extension \( E/\mathbb{Q}_\ell \) that is free over \( \mathcal{O}_E \) and finite over \( \mathcal{O}_E[G] \); write \( r_\ell(\pi) \) to denote the semisimplified mod-\( \ell \) reduction \( (L \otimes \mathcal{O}_E k)^{ss} \). The reduction \( r_\ell(\pi) \) of \( \pi \in A^0_k(G_n) \) remains irreducible and cuspidal but may no longer be supercuspidal, and the reduction \( r_\ell(\rho) \) of \( \rho \in G^0_k(n) \) may no longer be irreducible. Compatibility with \( (L_{\mathbb{K},n}^{ss})_{n \geq 1} \) under reduction mod-\( \ell \) means that, for \( \rho, \rho' \in G^0_k(1) \) integral,

- \( L_{\mathbb{K},n}^0(\rho) \) is integral
- \( r_\ell(L_{\mathbb{K},n}^0(\rho)) = r_\ell(L_{\mathbb{K},n}^0(\rho')) \iff r_\ell(\rho) = r_\ell(\rho') \), and
- \( L_{ss,k,n}^0(\rho) = \left( L_{ss,k,n}^0(\rho(\pi)).
\]

The uniqueness of the mod-\( \ell \) correspondence \( (L_{k,n}^{ss})_{n \geq 1} \) (and hence \( (L_{\mathbb{K},n}^{gen})_{n \geq 1} \) then follows from the uniqueness of \( (L_{\mathbb{K},n}^{ss})_{n \geq 1} \).

Our goal is to use Theorem 1.2 to characterize \( (L_{k,n}^{gen})_{n \geq 1} \) directly, in analogy with the characterization of \( (L_{\mathbb{K},n}^{gen})_{n \geq 1} \). To accomplish this, we define nilpotent lifts of objects in \( G^0_k \):
Definition 1.3. Given $\rho \in G_k^{ss}(n)$, a nilpotent lift of $\rho$ is a smooth $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module $\tilde{\rho}$, free of rank $n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, such that there exists an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\rho} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell} k \cong \rho.$$ 

We denote the set of isomorphism classes of nilpotent lifts by $G_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell}(n)$.

Since the composition $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow k$ equals the identity, we may identify $G_k^{ss}(n)$ with a subset of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell}(n)$. We note that any nilpotent lift is in fact defined over a Noetherian subring of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

The theory of gamma factors of objects in $G_k^{ss}(n)$ has long been established by work of Deligne [Del73] and Langlands, but the classical theory does not accommodate nilpotent lifts. Fortunately, by previous work of the author and Helm ([HM15]), the Deligne–Langlands gamma factor generalizes to arbitrary Noetherian $W(k)$-algebras (see Theorem 8.1 for a summary).

Our second main result characterizes the sequence $(L_{gen,k,n}^{gen})_{n \geq 1}$ by gamma factors, so far as it can be extended to a correspondence on nilpotent lifts.

Theorem 1.4. There exists at most one sequence of maps

$$L_{gen,k,n}^{gen} : G_k^{ss}(n) \rightarrow A_k^{gen}(n), \ n \geq 1$$

that admits an extension to a sequence of surjections

$$L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell,n}^{gen} : G_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell}(n) \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell}^{gen}(n), \ n \geq 1$$

satisfying

1. $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell,1}^{gen}$ is given by local class field theory,
2. For all $n \geq t$, $\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell}(n)$, $\rho' \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell}(t)$, we have the following equality in $(\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell)[[X]][X^{-1}]:$

$$\gamma(\rho \otimes \rho', X, \psi) = \gamma(L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell,n}^{gen}(\rho) \times L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell,t}^{gen}(\rho'), X, \psi).$$

The extension $(L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell,n}^{gen})_{n \geq 1}$ is also unique if it exists (Corollary 9.1).

There remains the question of whether the sequence of bijections $(L_{k,n}^{gen})_{n \geq 1}$ of Vignéras actually admits a surjective extension $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell,n}^{gen}$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.4. The existence of an extension satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.4 would follow from the machinery of the local Langlands correspondence “in families,” as it is stated in [HM18], however its surjectivity would not. This will be addressed in future work.

1.4. Relation to other work and further questions. Recently, the proof of Jacquet’s conjecture was extended to the setting of reduced $\ell$-torsion free $W(k)$-algebras in the preprint [LM17] of Liu and the author. As the counterexample in Section 2 of the present article shows, the converse theorem in the $\ell$-torsion setting requires a different framework than [LM17].

In Section 3 we show that the point of failure in the traditional converse theorem method is the completeness of Whittaker models over $k$. We recover completeness of Whittaker models by passing to $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and using the geometry of the integral Bernstein variety, as developed in [He16a, He16b]. The method for proving completeness of Whittaker models over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ follows patterns similar to those in [Mos16a].
The gamma factors used in Theorem 1.2 are different from the “new” gamma factors proposed by Vignéras in [Vig00]. Vignéras’ new gamma factors take coefficients in $k$, and to each cuspidal $\tau$ in $A_k^{\text{gen}}(2)$, $\chi$ in $A_k^{\text{gen}}(1)$, there is attached a collection of factors $\varepsilon(\tau \otimes \chi, y)$ which determine $\tau$ as $\chi$ and $y$ are allowed to vary ($y$ is an element of $O_F^\times$ of $\ell$-power order).

Given $\pi \in A_k^{\text{gen}}(n)$, $\tau \in A_k^{\text{gen}}(t)$, we speculate that there might be a way to construct a collection of gamma factors $\gamma_1(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi), \gamma_2(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi), \ldots \in k(X)$ for which the mod-$\ell$ converse theorem holds, for which there is an analogous collection for $G_0^{\text{gen}}(n)$, and for which there is an equality of sets

$$\{\gamma_1(L_{k,n}^{\text{gen}}(\rho) \times L_{k,t}^{\text{gen}}(\rho'), X, \psi), \gamma_2(L_{k,n}^{\text{gen}}(\rho) \times L_{k,t}^{\text{gen}}(\rho'), X, \psi), \ldots\}$$

$$= \{\gamma_1(\rho \otimes \rho', X, \psi), \gamma_2(\rho \otimes \rho', X, \psi), \ldots\}.$$ 

This would more closely resemble Vignéras’ construction of “new” gamma factors for $G_2$ in [Vig00], and would eliminate the need for nilpotent lifts in Theorem 1.4.

It seems plausible that the set $\gamma_1(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi), \gamma_2(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi), \ldots \in k(X)$ could be formed by taking appropriate linear combinations of the collection of $\gamma(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi)$ as $\tau$ varies over nilpotent lifts of $\tau$, and mapping them to $k(X)$ in a clever way.

In the recent preprint [KM18], Kurinczuk and Matringe demonstrate the failure of preservation of $L$-factors of pairs in $L_{k,n}^{\text{gen}}$. We remark that the putative correspondence $L_{\text{st}/\text{st}}^{\text{gen}}(\pi, \tau, X, \psi)$ appearing in Theorem 1.4 would preserve gamma factors of pairs (and hence so would $L_{k,n}^{\text{gen}}$), where the gamma factors are those of [HML15].
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2. A counterexample to the naive mod-$\ell$ converse theorem

In this section we give an example of two irreducible generic $k$-representations of $G_2 := GL_2(F)$ with distinct mod-$\ell$ supercuspidal supports (in fact, in different $\ell$-blocks), having the same gamma factors for all twists by characters.

When writing [Vig00], Vignéras was no doubt aware of an example similar to the one presented below, but it does not appear in the literature. We also note that our counterexample is different from that in [M12], where Mínguez gives two distinct irreducible $k$-representations with the same Godement–Jacquet gamma factors, but one is nongeneric and they have the same supercuspidal support.

In this section, we may use the gamma factors as constructed in [KM17]. Given $\pi, \tau$ in $A_k^{\text{gen}}(n)$, choose $\pi, \tau$ subrepresentations of $\text{Ind}_{\pi}^{\text{gen}}(\psi \otimes \chi)$ lifting the Whittaker models of $\pi, \tau$, respectively. The classical gamma factor of [JPSS83] $\gamma(\pi \otimes \chi, X, \psi)$ defines a formal Laurent series with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$. Then $\gamma(\pi \times \tau, X, \psi)$ is defined as the reduction of $\gamma(\pi \otimes \chi, X, \psi)$ modulo the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. It is uniquely determined by a functional equation (c.f. [KM17 Cor 3.11]). Later, we will require gamma factors in a broader context, see §4

Let $q = p = 5$, $\ell = 2$, and $n = 2$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ be the character that sends a primitive $24$’th root of unity to $\zeta_5$, a primitive $3$rd root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_5$. Since $\theta^r \neq \theta$, this is a regular character and so defines an irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ over $\mathbb{K}$. The method of [Vig96 III.3.3] associates to $\theta$ an
irreducible cuspidal representation $\pi_\theta$ of $G_2$ over $\mathcal{O}$ which is integral, with trivial central character. Since $\theta^q \neq \theta \mod \ell$, its mod-$\ell$ reduction $\pi_\theta^\ell$ is supercuspidal. See also the summary in [KM17] Thm [2.7]. In our computation, we will need the following property of this construction: if $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is a tamely ramified character, then $\chi \pi_\theta = \chi \pi_\theta^\ell \pi_\ell \theta$ where $\chi E : F_{25}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is the character given by $\chi E(y) = \chi(N_{F_{25}/F}^\times(y)) = \chi(y^{q+1})$.

**Lemma 2.1.** If $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is an unramified or a tamely ramified character, then $\gamma(\pi_\theta, X, \psi) \equiv 1 \mod \ell$. If level$(\chi) \geq 1$, then $\gamma(\chi \pi_\theta, X, \psi) = \gamma(\chi \circ \text{det}, X, \psi)$.

**Proof.** If $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is a character lifting $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow k^\times$, $\chi \pi_\theta$ is a lift of $\chi \pi_\theta^\ell \pi_\ell \theta$. Then $\gamma(\chi \pi_\theta, X, \psi)$ is the reduction mod-$\ell$ of $\gamma(\chi \pi_\theta, X, \psi)$ [KM17] Thm 3.13(2)]. Since $\pi_\theta$ is cuspidal, $\gamma(\chi \pi_\theta, X, \psi) = \varepsilon(\chi \pi_\theta, X, \psi)$.

Since $\pi_\theta$ has level zero, $\varepsilon(\chi \pi_\theta, X, \psi)$ is $-q \tau(\theta, \psi)$, as per [BH06] Section 25.4, where $\tilde{\psi}(x) := \psi(x + x^q)$, $x \in F_{25}^\times$, and $\tau(\theta, \psi)$ is the Gauss sum $\sum_{x \in F_{25}^\times} \theta(x)\psi(x + x^q)$. Since $x \mapsto x^q$ is a field automorphism, we have

$$\tau(\theta, \tilde{\psi}) = \sum_{x \in F_{25}^\times} \theta(x^q)\psi(x^q + (x^q)^q)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in F_{25}^\times} \theta(x^q)\psi(x + x^q)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in F_{25}^\times} \theta(x)^2\psi(x + x^q)$$

$$= \tau(\theta^{-1}, \tilde{\psi}).$$

We may then compute

$$\tau(\theta, \tilde{\psi})^2 = \tau(\theta, \tilde{\psi})\tau(\theta^{-1}, \tilde{\psi})$$

$$= \sum_{x, y \in F_{25}^\times} \theta(xy^{-1})\psi(x + y)$$

$$= \sum_{u \in F_{25}^\times} \theta(u) \sum_{y \in F_{25}^\times} \tilde{\psi}(y(u + 1))$$

$$= \theta(-1)(25 - 1) + \sum_{u \neq -1} \theta(u) \sum_{y \in F_{25}^\times} \tilde{\psi}(y(u + 1))$$

$$= \theta(-1)(25 - 1) - \sum_{u \neq -1} -\theta(u)$$

$$= \theta(-1)(25) - \sum_{u \in F_{25}^\times} \theta(u)$$

$$= \theta(-1)(25).$$

It follows that $\gamma(\pi_\theta, X, \psi)$ is congruent to 1 mod $\ell$.

If $\chi$ is a tamely ramified character of $F$, then $\tilde{\chi}$ becomes unramified mod $\ell$ since $q - 1$ is a power of $\ell$. It follows that $\gamma(\chi \pi_\theta, X, \psi) = \gamma(\pi_\ell \theta, X, \psi) \equiv 1 \mod \ell$.

Since the central character of $\pi_\theta$ is trivial, the result for ramified characters of level $\geq 1$ follows immediately from stability of gamma factors. For example, we can
use the explicit formulation in [BH06] 25.7, after observing that $\varepsilon(\chi \circ \det, X, \psi) = \gamma(\chi \circ \det, X, \psi)$ for characters of level $\geq 1$ (c.f. [BH06] 26.6 Prop).

Let $B$ denote the subgroup of upper triangular matrices of $G_2$, and $1 : B \to k^\times$ the trivial character. The “special” representation $Sp_2$ is the cuspidal $k$-representation of $G_2$ that occurs as a subquotient of $i_B^G(1)$ (note that normalized and non-normalized parabolic induction coincide since $q \equiv 1 \mod \ell$). As $Sp_2$ is a subquotient of the induction of an unramified character of the torus, its supercuspidal support is distinct (in fact, inertially inequivalent) from $\pi^\gamma$.

**Lemma 2.2.** If $\bar{\chi} : F^\times \to k^\times$ is an unramified or tamely ramified character, $\gamma(\bar{\chi}Sp_2, X, \psi) \equiv 1 \mod \ell$. If level($\bar{\chi}$) $\geq 1$, then $\gamma(\bar{\chi}Sp_2, X, \psi) = \gamma(\bar{\chi} \circ \det, X, \psi)$.

**Proof.** By the multiplicativity of gamma factors, 

$$
\gamma(\bar{\chi}Sp_2, X, \psi) = \gamma(\bar{\chi} \circ \det, X, \psi) \\
= \gamma(i_B^G\bar{\chi}, X, \psi) \\
= \gamma(\bar{\chi}, X, \psi)^2.
$$

If $\bar{\chi}$ is unramified (which is equivalent to tamely ramified since $q - 1$ is a power of $\ell$), we may choose an unramified lift $\chi : F^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{K}}^\times$ and compute

$$
\gamma(\chi, X, \psi) = \varepsilon(\chi, X, \psi) \frac{L(\chi^{-1}, 1/(q^{1/2}X))}{L(\chi, q^{-1/2}X)} \\
= \chi(\varpi)^{-1}X^{-1} - q^{-1/2}X^{-1} - \chi(\varpi)^{-1}X^{-1} \quad \frac{1}{1 - \chi(\varpi)} \\
= \chi(\varpi)^{-1}X^{-1} - q^{-1/2}X^{-1} - \chi(\varpi)^{-1}X^{-1} \quad \frac{1}{1 - \chi(\varpi)},
$$

which is equivalent to $-1 \mod \ell$, since $q \equiv 1 \mod \ell$.

It remains to show that $\gamma(\bar{\chi} \circ \det, X, \psi) = \varepsilon(\bar{\chi} \circ \det, X, \psi)$ for all $\chi$ of level $\geq 1$.

This is shown in [M12] §6.

**Corollary 2.3.** For every character $\bar{\chi} : F^\times \to k^\times$, $\gamma(\bar{\chi} \pi^\gamma, X, \psi) = \gamma(\bar{\chi}Sp_2, X, \psi)$, but $\pi^\gamma$ and $Sp_2$ have distinct supercuspidal supports (in fact, inertially distinct).

**Remark 2.4.** There are analogues of the local converse theorem for representations of $GL_n(F_q)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ ([Nie14]). Granted the extension of the theory of $GL_n(F_q)$ gamma factors to $k$-representations, the Gauss sum calculations in this example could be adapted to illustrate the failure of the naive mod-$\ell$ converse theorem over finite fields.

**Remark 2.5.** Finding similar examples when $\ell \neq 2$ appears to be nontrivial. It could be interesting to describe the “gamma-factor $\ell$-blocks,” i.e. the sets of inertial supercuspidal supports whose twisted gamma factors become equivalent upon reduction modulo $\ell$.

3. Co-Whittaker modules and the integral Bernstein center

To recover the converse theorem in characteristic $\ell$, we will pass to $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-coefficients. For this we need the theory of co-Whittaker $A[G_n]$-modules, where $A$ is a $W(k)$-algebra.
If \( V \) is a smooth \( A[G_n] \)-module, define \( V^{(n)} \) to be the \( \psi \)-coinvariants \( V/\psi(V(U_n, \psi)) \), where \( V(U_n, \psi) \) is the submodule generated by \( \{ \psi(u)v - uv : u \in U_n, v \in V \} \). This functor is exact and, for any \( A \)-module \( M \) there is a natural isomorphism
\[
(V \otimes_A M)^{(n)} \cong V^{(n)} \otimes_A M.
\]
If \( V^{(n)} \) is nonzero, Frobenius reciprocity produces a canonical nonzero map
\[
(2) \quad V \to \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_{V^{(n)}} : v \mapsto W_v,
\]
where \( \psi_{V^{(n)}} := \psi \otimes k(n) V^{(n)} \). The quotient map \( V \to V^{(n)} \) is equivalent to \( v \mapsto W_v(1) \).

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( A \) be a Noetherian \( W(k) \)-algebra. A smooth \( A[G_n] \)-module \( V \) is co-Whittaker if the following conditions hold

1. \( V \) is admissible as an \( A[G_n] \)-module,
2. \( V^{(n)} \) is a free \( A \)-module of rank one,
3. if \( Q \) is a quotient of \( V \) such that \( Q^{(n)} = 0 \), then \( Q = 0 \).

If \( A \) is not Noetherian, we say \( V \) is co-Whittaker if there exists a Noetherian subring \( A_0 \subset A \) and a co-Whittaker \( A[G_n] \)-module \( V_0 \), such that \( V \cong V_0 \otimes_{A_0} A \).

For example, when \( A = \mathbb{C}, n = 2, B \) is the Borel subgroup, and \( \chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \) is a character of the torus \( T \), the normalized parabolic induction \( i_B^G(\chi) \) is co-Whittaker so long as \( \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} \neq | \cdot | \).

If \( V \) and \( V' \) are co-Whittaker, any nonzero \( G \)-equivariant map \( V \to V' \) is surjective, as otherwise the cokernel would be a nongeneric quotient. In this case \( V \) is said to dominate \( V' \). We say \( V \) and \( V' \) are equivalent if there exists a co-Whittaker \( A[G_n] \)-module \( V'' \) dominating both \( V \) and \( V' \). This is an equivalence relation on isomorphism classes of co-Whittaker modules.

By definition a co-Whittaker module admits an isomorphism \( V^{(n)} \cong A \), which induces an isomorphism \( \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_{V^{(n)}} \cong \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_A \). The image of \( V \) in the composition
\[
V \to \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_{V^{(n)}} \cong \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_A
\]
will be denoted by \( \mathcal{W}(V(\psi)) \) and called the \((A\text{-valued}) \) Whittaker model of \( V \) with respect to \( \psi \). It is independent of the choice of isomorphism \( V^{(n)} \cong A \).

**Lemma 3.2.** If \( V \) is a co-Whittaker \( A[G_n] \)-module, its Whittaker model \( \mathcal{W}(V(\psi)) \) is an equivalent co-Whittaker \( A[G_n] \)-module.

**Proof.** Since \( \mathcal{W}(V(\psi)) \) is a quotient of \( V \), we need only show \( \mathcal{W}(V(\psi))^{(n)} \) is free of rank one. Let \( W \) be the image of \( V \) in the map (2). Choosing an isomorphism \( V^{(n)} \cong A \) induces an isomorphism \( W \cong \mathcal{W}(V(\psi)) \), so it suffices to prove \( \mathcal{W}(V^{(n)} \cong V^{(n)}) \). But the map \( V \to V^{(n)} \) factors as \( V \to W \to V^{(n)} \), the second map being evaluation at \( 1 \). This induces a map \( \mathcal{W}(V^{(n)}) \to V^{(n)} \), which is surjective. On the other hand, the natural surjection \( V \to W \) induces a surjection \( V^{(n)} \to \mathcal{W}(V^{(n)}) \) which is its inverse. □

In [He16b], Helm constructs a co-Whittaker module which is “universal” up to this notion of equivalence. The key tool is the integral Bernstein center of \( G_n \), i.e. the center of the category \( \text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n) \).

The center of an abelian category is the endomorphism ring of the identity functor, in other words the ring of natural transformations from the identity functor...
to itself. It acts on every object in the category in a way compatible with all morphisms. We denote by $Z_n$ the center of $\text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n)$.

Schur’s lemma holds for any co-Whittaker $A[G_n]$-module $V$, meaning the natural map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{A[G_n]}(V)$ is an isomorphism (c.f. [Hel16b, Prop 6.2]), and thus there exists a map $f_V : Z_n \to A$, which we call the \textit{supercuspidal support} of $V$. Note that $V$ also admits a central character $\omega_V : F^\times \to A^\times$. If $A$ is a field and $V, V'$ are objects in $A^{\text{pr}}_G(n)$, then $f_V = f_{V'}$ if and only $\text{scs}(V) = \text{scs}(V')$ in the traditional sense ([Hel16a 12.12]).

A primitive idempotent $e$ of $Z_n$ gives rise to a direct factor category $e \text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n)$, which is the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n)$ on which $e$ acts as the identity. As described in [Hel16a], the primitive idempotents in $Z_n$ are in bijection with inertial equivalence classes of pairs $(L, \pi)$, where $L$ is a Levi subgroup of $G_n$ and $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal $k$-representation of $L$.

If $V$ is a simple object in $\text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n)$ that is killed by $\ell$, the mod-$\ell$ inertial supercuspidal support is defined to be the usual inertial supercuspidal support of $V$ in $\text{Rep}_k(G_n)$. If $V$ is an integral simple object in $\text{Rep}_{\text{pr}}(G_n)$, its mod-$\ell$ reduction may no longer be simple. The mod-$\ell$ inertial supercuspidal support of $V$ is defined by combining the inertial supercuspidal supports of the constituents of its mod-$\ell$ reduction (c.f. [Hel16a 4.12.4.13]).

If $e$ is the idempotent corresponding to the pair $(L, \pi)$, then a representation $V$ in $\text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n)$ lies in $e \text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n)$ if and only if every simple subquotient of $V$ has mod-$\ell$ inertial supercuspidal support $[L, \pi]$.

If $[M', \pi']$ is an inertial cuspidal support in $\text{Rep}_{\text{pr}}(G_n)$, let $Z_{M', \pi'}$ denote the center of the subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\text{pr}}(G_n)$ corresponding to $[M', \pi']$.

\textbf{Theorem 3.3 (Hel16a, Thm 10.8, 12.1).} Let $e$ be any primitive idempotent of $Z_n$, corresponding to a mod-$\ell$ inertial supercuspidal support $[L, \pi]$.

1. The ring $eZ_n$ is a finitely generated, reduced, $\ell$-torsion free $W(k)$-algebra.
2. There is an isomorphism

\[ eZ \otimes \overline{K} \cong \prod_{M', \pi'} Z_{M', \pi'}, \]

where $(M', \pi')$ varies over pairs consisting of a Levi $M' \supset M$ and $\pi'$ a cuspidal integral object in $\text{Rep}_{\text{pr}}(M')$ with mod-$\ell$ inertial supercuspidal support equivalent to $[L, \pi]$.

The following example indicates that, in characteristic $\ell$, valuable information is lost by restricting one’s attention only to the set of $k$-points $eZ_n \to k$ rather than, say, the set of $\overline{Z_\ell}/\ell\overline{Z_\ell}$-points $eZ_n \to \overline{Z_\ell}/\ell\overline{Z_\ell}$.

\textbf{Example 3.4.} Let $n = 2$, $\ell = 3$, $q = 5$, and let $e$ be the primitive idempotent corresponding to the mod-$\ell$ inertial supercuspidal support $[T, 1]$, where $T$ is the maximal torus and $1$ is its trivial character. If $\zeta$ is a nontrivial cube root of unity in $\overline{K}$, then $\zeta + \zeta^{-1} = -1$, and it follows from [Hel16a 13.11], combined with [Pai14 Thm 4.11] that

\[ eZ_2 \cong W(k)[\Theta_1, \Theta_2^{\pm 1}, Y]/((Y - 2)\Theta_1, (Y - 2)(Y + 1)) \, . \]

In particular, its spectrum possesses two irreducible components: $\{Y = 2\}$ and $\{\Theta_1 = 0\}$, which become the principal block and a cuspidal block, respectively, over $\overline{K}$ in
the sense of Theorem 3.3. On the other hand, since \(-1 \equiv 2 \mod \ell\), the special fiber 
\(eZ_2/(\ell)\) contains the nontrivial cube-root of unity \(Y - 1\).

Now let \(A\) be a \(W(k)\)-algebra, and let \(V\) be a co-Whittaker \(A[G_n]\)-module. Suppose further that \(V\) lies in \(e\text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n)\) for some primitive idempotent \(e\) (so the supercuspidal support map \(f_v\) factors through the projection \(Z_n \to eZ_n\)). Let \(\mathfrak{M}_n\) be the smooth \(W(k)[G_n]\)-module \(e\text{Ind}_{U_n}^{G_n} \psi\). For any primitive idempotent \(e\) of \(Z_n\), we have an action of \(eZ_n\) on \(e\mathfrak{M}_n\).

**Theorem 3.5** ([He16b], Theorem 6.3). Let \(e\) be any primitive idempotent of \(Z_n\). The smooth \(eZ_n[G_n]\)-module \(e\mathfrak{M}_n\) is a co-Whittaker \(eZ_n[G_n]\)-module. If \(A\) is Noetherian and has an \(eZ_n\)-algebra structure, the module \(e\mathfrak{M}_n \otimes eZ_n A\) is a co-Whittaker \(A[G_n]\)-module. Conversely, \(V\) is dominated by \(e\mathfrak{M}_n \otimes eZ_n A\).

We thus say that, up to the equivalence relation induced by dominance, \(e\mathfrak{M}_n\) is the universal co-Whittaker module in \(e\text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G_n)\).

**Lemma 3.6** ([LM17] Lemma 2.4). Let \(A\) be a Noetherian ring and suppose \(V_1\) and \(V_2\) are two co-Whittaker \(A[G_n]\)-modules. The following are equivalent:

1. There exists \(W\) in \(\mathcal{W}(V_1, \psi) \cap \mathcal{W}(V_2, \psi)\) such that \(W(g) \in A^\times\) for some \(g \in G\).
2. \(\mathcal{W}(V_1, \psi) = \mathcal{W}(V_2, \psi)\).
3. \(f_{V_1} = f_{V_2}\).
4. \(V_1\) and \(V_2\) are equivalent.

**Corollary 3.7.** There is a bijection from the set of equivalence classes of co-Whittaker \(\mathcal{W}(\ell Z/\ell Z)[G_n]\)-modules and the set \(A_{\text{gen}}^{\text{gen}}(n)\), sending an equivalence class to the Whittaker model of any representative.

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.3, each equivalence class has a unique Whittaker model \(W \subset \text{Ind}_{U}^{G} \psi_{Z/\ell Z} k\). The Whittaker model of \(W \otimes k\) consists of the compositions of functions in \(\mathcal{W}(V, \psi)\) with the map \(Z/\ell Z \to k\). Hence \(\mathcal{W} \otimes k\) is (the Whittaker model of) the unique irreducible generic quotient in the equivalence class over \(k\). Conversely, if \(\mathfrak{W} \subset \text{Ind}_{U}^{G} \psi_{Z/\ell Z} k\) is a nilpotent lift, descending to \(\mathfrak{W}_0\) over a Noetherian subring \(S\), then we must show \(\mathfrak{W}_0\) is co-Whittaker. It is admissible by definition. We get an inclusion \(\mathfrak{W}_0(S) \to S\) by exactness. Being a lift of some \(\tau \in A_{\text{gen}}^{\text{gen}}(n)\), \(\mathfrak{W}\) must contain an element \(W\) such that \(W(1)\) maps to \(k^\times\) under \(S \to k\); hence the inclusion \(\mathfrak{W}_0(S) \to S\) is a surjection. Since \(\mathfrak{W}_0\) is admissible, the property that \(\mathfrak{W}_0\) possesses no nontrivial quotients \(Q\) such that \(Q(n) = 0\) follows from the same property for \(\mathfrak{W}_0 \otimes_S (S/m)\) where \(m\) is the maximal ideal of \(S\) (c.f. [El12a], Cor 3.1.10).

There is a duality operation on co-Whittaker modules which interpolates the contragredient across a co-Whittaker family ([HMT18, Prop 2.6]). If \(V\) is a smooth \(W(k)[G_n]\)-module, let \(V^*\) denote the \(W(k)[G_n]\)-module with the same underlying \(W(k)\)-module structure, and for which the \(G_n\) action, which we will denote by \(g \cdot v\), is given by \(g \cdot v = g'v\), where \(g' = g^{-1}\). This duality has a very concrete interpretation in terms of Whittaker functions. Let

\[
\omega_{n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-m} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_m \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( \omega_m \) is the longest Weyl element of \( G_m \). For any function \( W \) on \( G_n \), let \( \tilde{W}(g) = W(\omega_m g^t) \). If \( W \) is in \( \mathcal{W}(V, \psi) \), then \( \tilde{W} \) is in \( \mathcal{W}(V^t, \psi^{-1}) \).

4. Failure of completeness of Whittaker models over \( \mathbb{F}_\ell \)

Over \( \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R} \), if \( H \) is in \( \text{c-Ind}^{G_\ell}_{U_\ell} \psi_\ell \psi_{k, 1} \) and \( \int_{U \setminus G} H(x)W(x)dx = 0 \) for all Whittaker functions \( W \) in \( \mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi^{-1}) \) for \( \tau \in \mathcal{A}^\text{gen}(t) \), then \( H = 0 \). In other words, the Whittaker models of \( \mathcal{A}^\text{gen}(t) \) are complete with respect to this integral pairing.

In all known proofs of converse theorems, completeness of Whittaker models is used in an essential way.

**Question 4.1.** Let \( H \) be an element of \( \text{c-Ind}^{G_\ell}_{U_\ell} \psi_\ell \). Suppose that \( \int_{U \setminus G_\ell} H(x)W(x)dx = 0 \) for all \( W \in \mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi_{k, 1}) \) for all \( \tau \in \mathcal{A}^\text{gen}(t) \). Must \( H \) be zero?

The answer to **Question 4.1** is “no,” as the following example shows already when \( t = 1 \). Suppose that \( q \equiv 1 \mod \ell \) and \( q-1 = \ell^m \) where \( m \) is relatively prime to \( \ell \). Decompose \( \mathcal{O}_F^\times = X \times Y \) where \( X \) is subgroup of \( \mathcal{O}_F^\times \) whose pro-order is prime-to-\( \ell \) and \( Y \) is a cyclic group of order \( \ell^a \). Since \( q \) is invertible in \( k \), there exists a \( k \)-valued Haar measure \( \mu \) on \( F^\times \), which we may normalize so that \( \mu(X) = 1 \) (or any unit). We remark that \( \mu(\mathcal{O}_F^\times) = 0 \). Let \( n = 1 \), so that \( U = \{1\} \) and \( \psi \) is trivial, and every smooth character \( \chi: F^\times \to k^\times \) is irreducible generic with Whittaker space \( c \cdot \psi \) for constants \( c \in k \). Take \( H \) in \( \text{c-Ind}^{G_\ell}_{U_\ell} \psi = C_c^\infty(F^\times, W(k)) \) to be the characteristic function of \( \mathcal{O}_F^\times \). Given a character \( \chi \), we have

\[
\langle H, \chi \rangle = \int_{F^\times} H(x)\chi(x)dx = \int_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times} \chi(x)dx.
\]

Since each \( y \in Y \) has \( \ell \)-power order, so does \( \chi(y) \in k \), and thus \( \chi(y) = 1 \). Let \( K \) be the largest finite index subgroup of \( X \) such that \( K \subset \ker(\chi) \). Then for any \( \chi \) we have

\[
\langle H, \chi \rangle = \mu(K) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times/K} \chi(x) = \ell^m [X : K]^{-1} \sum_{x \in X/K} \chi(x) = 0.
\]

However, if we expand the coefficient ring of \( \tau \) enough, the answer becomes “yes”, as we will now describe.

**Proposition 4.2 ([HMS] Prop 3.5).** There is a map of \( W(k) \)-modules \( \theta_c : cZ_n \to W(k) \) which induces an isomorphism of \( W(k) \)-modules

\[
e_{M_n} \sim \mathcal{W}(eM_n, \psi^{-1}) \frac{f \mapsto W_f}{\theta_c \circ W \leftarrow W}
\]

The following Corollary is essentially a duality statement:

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \( A \) be a \( W(k) \)-algebra and suppose \( H \) is a nonzero element of \( \text{c-Ind}_A \psi_A \). Then there is a primitive idempotent \( e \) of \( Z \) and a Whittaker function \( W \) in \( \mathcal{W}(eM_n, \psi^{-1}) \) such that \( \langle H, W \rangle \) is nonzero in \( A \otimes_{W(k)} cZ \).

**Proof.** As a function on \( G \) we have \( H(g) \neq 0 \) in \( A \) for some \( g \in G \). Now choose some compact open subgroup \( K \) such that \( H \) is invariant under \( K \) (note: we must take \( K \) to be small enough that its Haar measure is nonzero). We build the function \( \phi \) in \( \text{c-Ind}_G^G \psi^{-1} \) as follows. \( \phi \) will be supported on the double coset \( UgK \) and will
5. Completeness of Whittaker Models over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$

In the previous section we showed that completeness of Whittaker models is recovered after allowing representations with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. The main result of this paper is that it suffices to allow coefficients in finite-dimensional $k$-subalgebras of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $H$ be an element of $c\text{-Ind}_U^G \psi_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. Then the following implication holds: if $\langle H, W \rangle = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, for all $W \in \mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi)$, for all $\tau \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{gen}}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(t)$, then $H = 0$.

We state the following special case of Theorem 5.1, where $H$ takes values in $k$.

**Corollary 5.2.** Let $H$ be an element of $c\text{-Ind}_U^G \psi_k$. Then the following implication holds: if $\langle H, W \rangle = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, for all $W \in \mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi)$, for all $\tau \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{gen}}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(t)$, then $H = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 occupies the rest of this section. It uses a geometric argument on the mod-$\ell$ Bernstein center, with a subtlety that we now discuss in the special case where $H$ takes values in $k$. To prove Theorem 5.1 we will prove the contrapositive. If $H \neq 0$, consider the Whittaker function $W$ guaranteed by Lemma 4.3 so that $\langle H, W \rangle$ is nonzero in $e\mathcal{Z} \otimes k$. If we knew that $\langle H, W \rangle$ were non-nilpotent, the principal open set $D(\langle H, W \rangle)$ would be nonempty, and would necessarily intersect the dense set of closed points $e\mathcal{Z} \otimes k \to k$. But $e\mathcal{Z} \otimes k$ has many nilpotents in general, and $\langle H, W \rangle$ may be among them, so we must instead look for a map $e\mathcal{Z} \otimes k \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ in which the image of $\langle H, W \rangle$ is nonzero.

Recall that $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

**Lemma 5.3.** The set of prime ideals $p$ in $e\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{O}$ of the form $p = \ker(f)$ for some $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphism $f : e\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$ is dense in the generic fiber $\text{Spec}(e\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{\mathcal{O}})$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 4.3 we have an explicit description of $\mathbb{Z} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ in terms of the Bernstein centers of blocks over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, which take the form $(\overline{\mathcal{O}}[X_{1}^{\pm 1},\ldots,X_{r_i}^{\pm 1}])^H$ for some finite group $(\mathbf{BD84})$. Thus we have a surjection

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{Spec}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}[X_{1}^{\pm 1},\ldots,X_{r_i}^{\pm 1}]) \to \text{Spec}(e\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{\mathcal{O}}).$$

Now Lemma 6.13 in [Mos16a] implies the set of $\mathcal{O}$-valued points is dense in the generic fiber of $e\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{O}$. Moreover, each such point is a map of $\mathcal{O}$-algebras. □

To save notation, let $e\mathcal{Z}$ denote $e\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{O}$.
Lemma 5.4. Suppose $\alpha$ is an element of $e\mathcal{Z}$ that is not divisible by $\ell$. Then there exists a map of $O$-algebras $e\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}/\ell \mathcal{Z}$ in which $\alpha$ does not map to zero.

Proof. We will show that, if the image of $\alpha$ in every map $e\mathcal{Z} \to O$ is divisible by $\ell$, then $\alpha$ is also divisible by $\ell$. The result then follows because $O/\ell O \cong \mathcal{Z}/\ell \mathcal{Z}$.

Let $S$ be the set of $O$-points $p = \ker(e\mathcal{Z} \to O)$. By Lemma 5.3, $S$ is dense in $\text{Spec}(t\mathcal{Z}/\ell t)$). Since $e\mathcal{Z}$ and $O$ are both reduced $\ell$-torsion free $W(k)$-algebras, so is $e\mathcal{Z}$. Hence the generic fiber $e\mathcal{Z}/\ell$ is also reduced, so the principal open set $D(x) = \{q \in \text{Spec}(e\mathcal{Z}/\ell) : q \neq x\}$ is nonempty provided $x \neq 0$. Since $e\mathcal{Z}$ is $\ell$-torsion free, any nonzero $x \in e\mathcal{Z}$ remains nonzero in $e\mathcal{Z}/\ell$, so $D(x) \cap S$ is nonempty if $x \neq 0$. We conclude that

$$\bigcap_{p \in S} p = 0.$$

Now if the image of $\alpha$ in every map $e\mathcal{Z} \to O$ is divisible by $\ell$, we have

$$\alpha \in \bigcap_{p \in S} (p + (\ell)) \subset \left( \bigcap_{p \in S} p \right) + (\ell) = (\ell).$$

\hfill \Box

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Consider the Whittaker function $W$ guaranteed by Lemma 4.3 (taking $A = \mathbb{Z}/(\ell) = O/\ell O$), so that $\langle H, W \rangle$ is nonzero in $e\mathcal{Z} \otimes O/\ell O$. Let $\alpha$ be a lift of $\langle H, W \rangle$ to $e\mathcal{Z} := e\mathcal{Z} \otimes O$. If $\alpha$ were divisible by $\ell$, then $\langle H, W \rangle$ would be zero, contrary to our assumption. Thus Lemma 5.4 tells us there is map of $O$-algebras $e\mathcal{Z} \to O/\ell O$ that does not kill $\alpha$. But since a map factors as

$$e\mathcal{Z} \to (e\mathcal{Z})/\ell (e\mathcal{Z}) \to O/\ell O,$$

and $(e\mathcal{Z})/\ell (e\mathcal{Z}) = e\mathcal{Z} \otimes W(k) O/\ell O$, hence the second map $g : e\mathcal{Z} \otimes (O/\ell O) \to O/\ell O$ cannot kill $\langle H, W \rangle$. Since $g$ is a map of $O$-algebras, we have

$$g(\langle H, W \rangle) = \langle H, g \circ W \rangle \neq 0,$$

and $g \circ W$ is a Whittaker function in the Whittaker space of $\mathcal{W}(\tau, \psi^{-1})$, where $\tau$ is the equivalence class of the nilpotent lift $\mathfrak{W} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z},g} (O/\ell O)$. \hfill \Box

6. Rankin–Selberg theory and gamma factors

This section closely follows [Mos16a], and the reader should refer there for more details. Let $A$ and $B$ be Noetherian $W(k)$-algebras and let $R = A \otimes W(k) B$. Let $V$ and $V'$ be co-Whittaker $A[G_n]$- and $B[G_t]$-modules respectively, where $t < n$, with central characters $\omega_V$, $\omega_{V'}$. For $W \in \mathcal{W}(V, \psi)$ and $W' \in \mathcal{W}(V', \psi^{-1})$, and for $0 \leq j \leq n - t - 1$, we define the formal series in the variable $X$ with coefficients in $R$:

$$\Psi(W, W', X; j) := \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{M_{j,t}(F)} \int_{U_{L_n}} \chi_{\text{det} g}(r) \left( W \left( g \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & 1_n \ \ 1_{n-t-j} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \right) X^r \, dg \, dx.$$

It is straightforward to show that there are finitely many negative-power terms, so $\Psi(W, W', X)$ defines an element of $R[[X]][X^{-1}]$.

Let $S$ be the multiplicative system in $R[X, X^{-1}]$ consisting of all polynomials whose leading and trailing coefficients are units.
Theorem 6.1 ([Mos16a]). (1) Both $\Psi(W, W', X)$ and $\Psi(W, W', X; j)$ are elements in $S^{-1}(R[X, X^{-1}])$.
(2) There exists a unique element $\gamma(V \times V', X, \psi) \in S^{-1}(R[X, X^{-1}])$ such that
\[
\Psi(W, W', X; j)\gamma(V \times V', X, \psi)\omega_{V'}(-1)^{n-1} = \Psi(\omega_{n, \ell}W, \tilde{W'}, \frac{q^{n-t-1}}{X}; n-t-1-j),
\]
for any $W \in W(V, \psi)$, $W' \in W(V', \psi)$ and for any $0 \leq j \leq n-t-1$.

Proposition 6.2 ([LM17] Prop 3.3). Let $A$ be a Noetherian $W(k)$-algebra, let $\pi_1, \pi_2$ be co-Whittaker $A[\text{Gen}]$-modules with $n \geq 2$. Assume that
\[
\gamma(\pi_1 \times X, \psi) = \gamma(\pi_2 \times \chi, \psi),
\]
for any character $\chi : F^\times \to W(k)^\times$. Then $\omega_{\pi_1} = \omega_{\pi_2}$.

7. The mod-$\ell$ converse theorem

In this section we deduce the following mod-$\ell$ converse theorem.

Theorem 7.1. Let $n \geq 2$, let $\tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2$ be in $A_{k, \text{gen}}^\gen(z_t/\mathbb{Z}_t)(n)$. If
\[
\gamma(\tilde{\pi}_1 \times \tilde{\tau}, X, \psi) = \gamma(\tilde{\pi}_2 \times \tilde{\tau}, X, \psi) \text{ in } (z_t/\mathbb{Z}_t)[[X]][X^{-1}],
\]
for all nilpotent lifts $\tilde{\tau} \in A_{k, \text{gen}}^\gen(z_t/\mathbb{Z}_t)(t)$, for all $1 \leq t \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$, then $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \tilde{\pi}_2$.

Given $\pi_1, \pi_2$ in $A_{k, \text{gen}}^\gen(n)$, we can identify them with their trivial nilpotent lifts (i.e. their Whittaker models), which gives Theorem 7.1 from the introduction.

Note that Proposition 6.2 removes the need (when $n \geq 2$) for the hypothesis that $\tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2$ have the same central character.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. The only part of the proof in [LM17] that requires reducedness and $\ell$-torsion free-ness is the completeness of Whittaker models statement [LM17 Thm 4.1]. Replacing Theorem 4.1 in [LM17] with Theorem 4.1 of the present paper, we are able to specialize to the case $A = k$ in loc. cit. After applying the argument of [LM17] §4-6 mutatis mutandis, we obtain Theorem 7.1. □

8. Deligne–Langlands gamma factors of nilpotent lifts

If $\kappa$ is a field of characteristic zero containing $W(k)$, and $\rho : W_F \to GL_n(\kappa)$ is a Weil group representation, then there is a rational function $\gamma(\rho, X, \psi)$ in $k(X)$, called the Deligne–Langlands $\gamma$-factor of $\rho$. The main result of [HM15] extends this construction to families of Weil group representations. In particular, one has:

Theorem 8.1 ([HM15], Theorem 1.1). Let $R$ be a Noetherian $W(k)$-algebra and let $\rho : W_F \to GL_n(R)$ be a representation that is $\ell$-adically continuous in the sense of [HM15] §2. Then there exists an element $\gamma_R(\rho, X, \psi)$ of $S^{-1}R[X, X^{-1}]$ with the following properties:

(1) If $f : R \to R'$ is a homomorphism of Noetherian $W(k)$-algebras, then one has:
\[
f(\gamma_R(\rho, X, \psi)) = \gamma_{R'}(\rho \otimes_R R', X, \psi),
\]
where we have extended $f$ to a map $S^{-1}R[X, X^{-1}] \to (S')^{-1}R'[X, X^{-1}]$ in the obvious way.
(2) If $R$ is a field of characteristic zero, then $\gamma_R(\rho, X, \psi)$ coincides with the Deligne-Langlands gamma factor $\gamma(\rho, X, \psi)$.

Note that if $R$ is reduced and $\ell$-torsion free then the second property characterizes $\gamma_R(\rho, X, \psi)$ uniquely. But any $\rho$ arises by base change from some finite collection of “universal” representations $\rho_0$ over reduced $\ell$-torsion free rings $R_0$—see [HM15] for more details. Thus the two properties of Theorem 8.1 uniquely characterize the association $\rho \mapsto \gamma(\rho, X, \psi)$.

Given a nilpotent lift $\rho \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^\mathrm{ss}(n)$, there is a Noetherian subring $R \subset \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and a smooth $R[\mathbf{W}_F]$-module $\rho_0$ such that $\rho = \rho_0 \otimes_R \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$. Since $\rho$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, $\rho_0$ is $\ell$-adically continuous over $R$ in the sense of [HM15], and we define $\gamma(\rho, X, \psi) := \gamma(\rho_0, X, \psi)$. It does not depend on the choice of $R$ and $\rho_0$ by property (1) of Theorem 8.1.

9. Characterizing the mod-$\ell$ local Langlands correspondence with nilpotent gamma factors

Corollary 9.1. There exists at most one sequence of surjections

\[
L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, n}^\ast : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^n(n) \to A_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^\mathrm{gen}(n), \ n \geq 1,
\]

such that

1. $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, 1}^\ast$ is given by local class field theory,

2. For all $n > t$, $\rho \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^n(n)$, $\rho' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(t)$, we have the following equality in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell[[X]][X^{-1}]$:

\[
\gamma(\rho \otimes \rho', X, \psi) = \gamma(L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, n}^\ast(\rho) \times L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, t}^\ast(\rho'), X, \psi)
\]

Proof. Suppose $(L_{n}^\ast)_{n \geq 1}$ were another sequence of surjections satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. We will prove by induction that $L_{n}^\ast = L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, n}^\ast$ for all $n$. When $n = 1$, $L_1^\ast = L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, 1}^\ast$, by condition (1). Suppose it is true for all $k \leq n$. Then

\[
\gamma(L_k^\ast(\rho) \times L_1^\ast(\rho'), X, \psi) = \gamma(\rho \otimes \rho', X, \psi) = \gamma(L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, n}^\ast(\rho) \times L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, t}^\ast(\rho'), X, \psi)
\]

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^n(n)$, $\rho' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(t)$, $n < t$. By the induction hypothesis, $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, t}^\ast(\rho') = L_1^\ast(\rho')$. Since $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, t}^\ast$ is surjective, $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, t}^\ast(\rho')$ runs over all of $A_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^\mathrm{gen}(t)$ as $\rho'$ runs over $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(t)$. By Theorem 8.1 we conclude that $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^\ast(\rho) = L_{n}^\ast(\rho)$. \hfill \qed

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Suppose $L_{k, n}^\ast : \mathcal{G}_k^{ss}(n) \to A_k^{\mathrm{gen}}(n)$ were another sequence of maps admitting an extension to a sequence of surjections $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, n}^\ast : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^n(n) \to A_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^\mathrm{gen}(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$, with $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, n}^\ast$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2). Then by Corollary 9.1 $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell, n}^\ast$ is equivalent to the extension $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^\ast$ for all $n$. In particular, the restriction of $L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^\ast$ to $\mathcal{G}_k^{ss}(n)$ (which is $L_{k, n}^\ast$) is equivalent to $L_{k, n}^\ast$. \hfill \qed
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