Analytical Expressions For Three-center Nuclear Attraction Integrals
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Abstract. In any ab initio molecular orbital (MO) calculations, the major task involves the computation of the so-called molecular multi-center integrals. Multi-center integral calculations is a very challenging mathematical problem in nature. Quantum mechanics only determines which integrals we evaluate, but the techniques employed for their evaluations are entirely mathematical. The three-center nuclear attraction integrals occur in a very large number even for small molecules and are among of the most difficult molecular integrals to compute efficiently to a high pre-determined accuracy.

In the present contribution, we report analytical expressions for the three-center nuclear attraction integrals over exponential type functions. We describe how to compute the formula to obtain an efficient evaluation in double precision arithmetic. This requires the rational minimax approximants that minimize the maximum error on the interval of evaluation. The numerical tests show a substantial gain in efficiency over the state-of-the-art.
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1 Introduction

Molecular electronic structure theory is a highly interdisciplinary research topic whose progress depends crucially on the progress in numerical analysis, mainly numerical integration, and it is unfortunate that only a very few mathematicians were interested in this challenging mathematical problem as well as other interesting mathematical problems occurring in this context. In this regards, Sack has played a prominent role with his work in the 1960s on addition theorems \[1–5\]. Hellmann’s book \[6\] has a section dealing with the evaluation of these extremely difficult integrals. The pursuit of accurate and efficient algorithms for the numerical evaluation of molecular integrals for the purpose of electronic structure calculations has led to a substantial body of work. While molecular integrals with Gaussian type functions (GTFs) \[7,8\] as a basis have been for a long time the most easily calculated, their theoretical deficiency both in the long and short ranges \[9,10\] has led to a renewed interest in an exponential type function (ETF) basis. ETFs are better suited than GTFs to represent electron wave functions near the nucleus and at long range, provided that multi-center integrals using such functions could be computed efficiently. Examples of such a basis include the Slater type functions (STFs) \[11\], the Laguerre type functions, and the \(B\) functions \[12–14\]. We note that many researchers hope that the next generation of ab initio programs will be based on the usage of ETFs. Indeed much effort is being made to develop efficient molecular algorithms for integrals over conventional ETFs (STFs or \(B\) functions) \[15–20\] and references therein.

The \(B\) function basis has been at the forefront of recent developments \[21–26\], as their simple Fourier transform leads to compact analytical expressions for the integrals. Unfortunately, through the expansions, some of the resulting semi-infinite integrals have integrands composed of the Bessel functions. As the most complicated part of the analytical expressions, the semi-infinite integrals have become the bottleneck of the calculations in the \(B\) function approach. Since the identification of this computational problem, a large body of work is devoted to dealing with this bottleneck. The most common element to most algorithms \[27–34\] involves an intense integration-then-extrapolation approach, which is usually characterized by subdividing the integral between the oscillatory Bessel function’s zeros, by integrating by a quadrature, and using the resulting sequence to estimate the remainder of the integral. Of course, many improvements to the general extrapolation procedure are documented and indeed some of the most recent of these improvements are considered state-of-the-art for multi-center integrals. While these methods and their refinements are generally highly accurate and efficient, there are some ranges of parameters where either failure is inevitable or the computation becomes extremely heavy.

In the comparative study \[35\] of the most popular extrapolation methods and sequence transformations for computing semi-infinite integrals, the authors conclude that having asymptotic series representations for integrals and applying sequence transformations to accelerate their convergence or to sum their divergence leads to the most efficient algorithms for computing the integrals. However, when such asymptotic series representations do not exist, refinements to either the numerical steepest descent method \[36–41\] or the extrapolation methods \[42–46\] must be made to obtain a desirable outcome. This conclusion does not particularly challenge any preconceived notions. However, it does emphasize that the ultimate goal in computing semi-infinite integrals is to find analytical expressions. Several examples of this approach have been documented in the literature on molecular integrals in the \(B\) function basis \[23,47\]. The expressions that are obtained have greatly simplified the calculation of one- and two-center integrals. The pursuit of such analytical expressions stopped in the 1990s at the three-center integrals because of the increased complexity of the integrands.
In this work, we report a significant breakthrough for the three-center nuclear attraction integrals. This breakthrough takes the form of an analytical expression for the semi-infinite integrals. In section 2, we introduce the general definitions and properties required for the discussion. In section 3, we prove our main result. In section 4, we hold a numerical discussion on its performance and compare it to the state-of-the-art. Our comparison leads us to believe that the analytical expression is useful in a numerical setting and performs extremely well. Indeed, the decrease in calculation time is substantial compared with the state-of-the-art.

2 General definitions and properties

The functions $B_{n,l}^m (\zeta, \vec{r})$ are defined by [13,14]:

$$B_{n,l}^m (\zeta, \vec{r}) = \frac{(\zeta r)^l}{2^{n+l}(n+l)!} \hat{k}_{n-l/2} (\zeta r) Y_l^m (\theta, \varphi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $n, l,$ and $m$ are the quantum numbers such that $n = 1, 2, \ldots, l = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1,$ and $m = -l, -l + 1, \ldots, l - 1, l$ and where $Y_l^m (\theta, \varphi)$ denotes the surface spherical harmonic, and is defined explicitly using the Condon-Shortley phase convention as [48]:

$$Y_l^m (\theta, \varphi) = i^{|m|} \sqrt{(2l + 1)(l - |m|)!} P_l^{|m|} (\cos \theta) e^{im\varphi},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $P_l^m (x)$ is the associated Legendre polynomial of $l$th degree and $m$th order:

$$P_l^m (x) = (1 - x^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^{l+m}}{dx^{l+m}} \left( \frac{(x^2 - 1)^l}{2^l l!} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Reduced Bessel functions are given by the reduced Bessel functions $\hat{k}_{n-l/2} (\zeta r)$. For half-integral orders, the reduced Bessel functions can be represented by an exponential multiplied by a terminating confluent hypergeometric function [13,14]:

$$\hat{k}_{n-l/2} (z) = 2^n (1/2)_n e^{-z} 1_F ( -n; -2n; 2z ),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $(x)_n$ stands for the Pochhammer symbol, which may be defined in terms of the Gamma function $\Gamma (z)$ as [49]:

$$(x)_n = \frac{\Gamma (x + n)}{\Gamma (x)}. \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Reduced Bessel functions satisfy the three-term recurrence relation [49]:

$$\hat{k}_{\nu+1} (z) = 2\nu \hat{k}_\nu (z) + z^2 \hat{k}_{\nu-1} (z), \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

and are related to the modified Bessel functions of the second kind $K_\nu (z)$ by [50]:

$$\hat{k}_\nu (z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} z^\nu K_\nu (z). \hspace{1cm} (7)$$
The modified Bessel functions satisfy the following properties for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ [49]:

\[
\frac{K_\nu(xz)}{z^\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{x}{2}(t + \frac{z^2}{t})} t^{\nu+1} \, dt
\]

\[
K_{\nu+1}(z) = \frac{2\nu}{z}K_{\nu}(z) + K_{\nu-1}(z)
\]

\[
\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m (z^{\nu}K_{\nu}(z)) = (-1)^m z^{\nu-m} K_{\nu+m}(z).
\] (8)

The normalized STFs are defined by [11]:

\[
\chi_{n,l}^m(\zeta, \vec{r}) = e^{-\zeta r} Y_l^m(\theta_r, \phi_r),
\] (9)

where $N(\zeta, n)$ stands for the normalization factor and it is given by:

\[
N(\zeta, n) = \sqrt{\frac{(2\zeta)^{2n+1}}{(2n)!}}.
\] (10)

STFs can be expressed as finite linear combinations of B functions [14]:

\[
\chi_{n,l}^m(\zeta, \vec{r}) = \frac{N(\zeta, n)}{\zeta^{n-1}} \sum_{p=\tilde{p}}^{n-l} \left(\frac{-(1)^{n-l-p}}{(2p-n+l)!} \frac{2^{2p+2l-n} (l+p)!}{(n-l-p)!} B_{p,l}^m(\zeta, \vec{r})\right),
\] (11)

where:

\[
\tilde{p} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{n-l}{2} & \text{if } n-l \text{ is even} \\
\frac{n-l+1}{2} & \text{if } n-l \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}
\] (12)

A given function $f(\vec{r})$ and its Fourier transform $\tilde{f}(\vec{k})$ are connected by the symmetric relationships [51]:

\[
\tilde{f}(\vec{k}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{\vec{r}} e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} f(\vec{r}) \, d\vec{r} \quad \text{and} \quad f(\vec{r}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{\vec{k}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \tilde{f}(\vec{k}) \, d\vec{k}.
\] (13)

Consequently, the Rayleigh expansion of the plane wavefunctions is useful [52]:

\[
e^{\pm i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} 4\pi(\pm i)^l j_l(|\vec{p}|) Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)[Y_l^m(\theta_{\vec{p}}, \phi_{\vec{p}})]^*,
\] (14)

where the spherical Bessel function $j_n(x)$ of order $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is defined by [49]:

\[
j_n(x) = (-x)^n \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \left(\frac{\sin(x)}{x}\right).
\] (15)

Spherical Bessel functions satisfy the three-term recurrence relation [49]:

\[
x j_{n-1}(x) + x j_{n+1}(x) = (2n+1) j_n(x),
\] (16)
and are related to the Bessel functions of the first kind \( J_{n+1/2}(x) \) by \(49\):  
\[
J_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} J_{n+1/2}(x),
\]
(17)

The Bessel functions satisfy the following properties \(49\):  
\[
J_\eta(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \Gamma(k + \eta + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k+\eta}
\]

\[
J_{\eta+1}(z) = \frac{2\eta}{z} J_\eta(z) - J_{\eta-1}(z)
\]

\[
\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m (z^\eta J_\eta(z)) = z^{\eta-m} J_{\eta-m}(z) \quad \text{for} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.
\]
(18)

Due to the Rayleigh expansion, the \( B \) functions have a relatively simple Fourier transform \(23\):  
\[
B^m_{n,l}(\zeta, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \zeta^{2n+l-1} \frac{(-i)^l}{(\zeta^2 + \vec{p}^2)^{n+l+1}} Y^m_l(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi.
\]
(19)

The Fourier integral representation of the Coulomb operator is given by \(53\):  
\[
\frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{R}_1|} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{R}_1)}}{|\vec{p}|} \, d^3\vec{p}.
\]
(20)

The Gaunt coefficients are defined as \(54\) \(57\):  
\[
\langle l_1 m_1 | l_2 m_2 | l_3 m_3 \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left[ Y^{m_1}_{l_1}(\theta, \phi) \right]^* Y^{m_2}_{l_2}(\theta, \phi) Y^{m_3}_{l_3}(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi.
\]
(21)

These coefficients linearize the product of two spherical harmonics:  
\[
[Y^{m_1}_{l_1}(\theta, \phi)]^* Y^{m_2}_{l_2}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=l_{\text{min}},2}^{l_1+l_2} \langle l_2 m_2 | l_1 m_1 | l m_2 - m_1 \rangle Y^m_{l}(\theta, \phi),
\]
(22)

where the subscript \( l = l_{\text{min}}, 2 \) in the summation implies that the summation index \( l \) runs in steps of 2 from \( l_{\text{min}} \) to \( l_1 + l_2 \), and the constant \( l_{\text{min}} \) is given by:  
\[
l_{\text{min}} = \begin{cases}
\max(|l_1 - l_2|, |m_2 - m_1|) & \text{if} \quad l_1 + l_2 + \max(|l_1 - l_2|, |m_2 - m_1|) \quad \text{is even} \\
\max(|l_1 - l_2|, |m_2 - m_1|) + 1 & \text{if} \quad l_1 + l_2 + \max(|l_1 - l_2|, |m_2 - m_1|) \quad \text{is odd}.
\end{cases}
\]
(23)

The three-center nuclear attraction integrals over \( B \) functions are given by:  
\[
I^{n_2,l_2,m_2}_{n_1,l_1,m_1} = \oint_R \left[ B^{m_1}_{n_1,l_1}(\zeta, \vec{R} - \vec{O}A) \right]^* \frac{1}{|\vec{R} - \vec{O}C|} B^{m_2}_{n_2,l_2}(\zeta_2, R - \vec{OB}) \, d^3\vec{R},
\]
(24)

where \( A, B, \) and \( C \) are three arbitrary points of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), and \( O \) is the origin. By performing a translation of \( \vec{O}A \) and by substituting the integral representation of the Coulomb operator in the above equation, the integrals can be re-written as:  
\[
I^{n_2,l_2,m_2}_{n_1,l_1,m_1} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{R}_1}}{\vec{x}^2} \left( B^{m_1}_{n_1,l_1}(\zeta, \vec{r}) \left| e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}} \right| B^{m_2}_{n_2,l_2}(\zeta_2, \vec{r} - \vec{R}_2) \right) \, d^3\vec{x},
\]
(25)
where:

\[
\left\langle B_{n_1,l_1}^{m_1} (\zeta_1, \vec{r}) \left| e^{-i\vec{r} \cdot \vec{v}} \right| B_{n_2,l_2}^{m_2} (\zeta_2, \vec{r} - \vec{R}_2) \right\rangle = \int_{\vec{r}} B_{n_1,l_1}^{m_1} (\zeta_1, \vec{r})^* e^{-i\vec{r} \cdot \vec{v}} B_{n_2,l_2}^{m_2} (\zeta_2, \vec{r} - \vec{R}_2) \, d^3\vec{r},
\]

and where \( \vec{r} = \vec{R} - \vec{O} \hat{A}, \vec{R}_1 = \vec{O} \hat{C}, \) and \( \vec{R}_2 = \vec{A} \hat{B}. \)

Using all of the above properties, the three-center nuclear attraction integrals over \( B \) functions have the representation \cite{58,59}:

\[
\mathcal{I}_{n_1,l_1,m_1}^{n_2,l_2,m_2} = 8 \left( \frac{4\pi}{2} \right)^2 (-1)^{l_1+l_2} (2l_1+1)!! (2l_2+1)!! (n_1 + l_1 + n_2 + l_2 + 1)! \zeta_1^{2n_1+l_1-1} \zeta_2^{2n_2+l_2-1}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{l_1'} \sum_{m_1'} \frac{(i)^{l_1+l_1'} \langle l_1 m_1 | l_1' m_1' | l_1 - l_1' m_1 - m_1' \rangle}{(2l_1' + 1)!! [2(l_1 - l_1') + 1]!!}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{l_2'} \sum_{m_2'} \frac{(-1)^{l_2+l_2'} (i)^{l_2+l_2'} \langle l_2 m_2 | l_2' m_2' | l_2 - l_2' m_2 - m_2' \rangle}{(2l_2' + 1)!! [2(l_2 - l_2') + 1]!!}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{l'=0}^{l_2-l_1+l_1} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{l_2-2l_1+l_1} \sum_{l'=0}^{l_2-l_1+l_1} \frac{(-i)^l \langle l_2 - l_2' m_2 - m_2' | l_1 - l_1' m_1 - m_1' \rangle}{l_2-l_1+l_1-l_1'}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{j=0}^{\Delta l} \frac{(-1)^j}{(n_2 + l_1 + l_2 - j + 1)!}
\]

\[
\times \int_{s=0}^{1} s^{n_2+l_2+l_1-l_1'} \left[ \int_{x=0}^{\infty} x^{n_2} \frac{k_v [R_2 \gamma_{12}(s, x)]}{[\gamma_{12}(s, x)]^{2n_2}} j_\mu(v \, x) \, dx \right] \, ds,
\]

where:

\[
\vec{v} = (1 - s) \vec{R}_2 - \vec{R}_1, \quad v \text{ and } R_2 \text{ stand for the modulus of } \vec{v} \text{ and } \vec{R}_2 \text{ respectively.}
\]

\[
n_2 = 2(n_1 + l_1 + n_2 + l_2) - (l_1' + l_2') - l + 1
\]

\[
[\gamma_{12}(s, x)]^2 = (1 - s) \zeta_1^2 + s \zeta_2^2 + s(1 - s)x^2
\]

\[
\nu = n_1 + n_2 + l_1 + l_2 - l - j + \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
\mu = (m_2 - m_2') - (m_1 - m_1')
\]

\[
n_x = l_1 - l_1' + l_2 - l_2'
\]

\[
\Delta l = |(l_1' + l_2' - l)/2|.
\]

The bottleneck in the numerical evaluation of this expression is the semi-infinite integral:

\[
\mathcal{I}(s) = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} x^{n_2} \frac{k_v [R_2 \gamma_{12}(s, x)]}{[\gamma_{12}(s, x)]^{2n_2}} j_\mu(v \, x) \, dx,
\]

which has varying degrees of oscillation and attenuation depending upon the values of the parameters.
The semi-infinite integrals $I(s)$ [28] involves spherical Bessel functions $j_\lambda(v x)$ and this is why their accurate and rapid numerical evaluation emerge as the critical calculation in the analytical expressions, in particular for values of $s$ close to 0 or 1. If we make the substitution $s = 0$ or 1 in $I_s$, $\gamma_{12}(s, x)$ becomes a constant and the integrand will be reduced to $x^{n_x} j_\lambda(v x)$, because the exponential decreasing part $\hat{k}_\nu$ of the integrand becomes a constant and consequently the rapid oscillations of $j_\lambda(v x)$ cannot be damped and suppressed as it can be seen from figures (1). In addition, when the value of $v$ is large, the zeros of the integrands become closer and the oscillations become strong and the accurate numerical evaluation of the semi-infinite integrals becomes extremely challenging.

Figure 1: The integrand $I_s$ [28]. $s = 0.001$, $\nu = \frac{15}{2}$, $n_\gamma = 6$, $n_x = \lambda = 4$, $\zeta_1 = 2.5$, $\zeta_2 = 1.5$, $R_1 = 75.0$, $R_2 = 1.50$ and $v = 73.5015$.

Originally, Gauss-Laguerre quadrature is used [58,59], almost completely ignoring the possible effects of the oscillations.

The semi-infinite integrals can be transformed into an infinite series:

$$I(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{j_{\lambda,v}}^{j_{\lambda,v+1}} x^{n_x} \frac{\hat{k}_\nu[R_2 \gamma_{12}(s, x)]}{[\gamma_{12}(s, x)]^{n_\gamma}} j_\lambda(v x) dx,$$

where $j_{\lambda,v}^0 = 0$ and $j_{\lambda,v}^n = j_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2},v}^n/v$ ($v \neq 0$) for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ which are the successive positive zeros of $j_\lambda(v x)$.

Unfortunately, these infinite series are slowly convergent. The use of Levin’s $u$ transform [60] or the epsilon algorithm of Wynn [61], which are considered among the most powerful convergence accelerators, could improve convergence of the infinite series. Unfortunately, the calculation times are still prohibitive for a high pre-determined accuracy. Then, the extrapolation methods are implemented [27–34], which use numerical integration of successive intervals, usually the Bessel function’s zeros, to construct approximations the semi-infinite integral.
3 The analytical development

We begin by considering integrals of the form:

\[
\int_0^\infty J_\eta(\beta x) \frac{K_\nu\left(\alpha\sqrt{x^2+z^2}\right)}{\sqrt{(x^2+z^2)^\nu}} x^{\nu+1} \, dx,
\]

where \( \nu \in \mathbb{R}, \Re \eta > -1, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \) and \( |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2}. \)

In these integrals, some of the parameters are related to one another, and cylindrical Bessel functions are used instead of spherical Bessel functions. These integrals have an analytical expression in Watson’s Treatise on the Theory of Bessel functions [50, §13.47] that can be proved easily.

We begin by inserting the integral representation of the modified Bessel function into the expression and we reverse the order of integration:

\[
\int_0^\infty J_\eta(\beta x) \frac{K_\nu\left(\alpha\sqrt{x^2+z^2}\right)}{\sqrt{(x^2+z^2)^\nu}} x^{\nu+1} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty J_\eta(\beta x) x^{\eta+1} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} t} \frac{e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2} t} (t+\frac{z^2}{\alpha^2})^\nu}{t^{\nu+1}} \, dt \, dx
\]

By using the convergent series for the Bessel function, the inner integrals are all readily obtainable [50, §6.22]:

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} t} J_\eta(\beta x) x^{\eta+1} \, dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} t} \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \frac{(\alpha^2 t)^k}{k! \Gamma(k+\eta+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2k+\eta} x^{2k+2\eta+1} \, dx
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \Gamma(k+\eta+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2k+\eta} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} t} x^{2k+2\eta+1} \, dx
\]

\[
= \frac{\beta^\eta t^{\eta+1}}{\alpha^{\eta+1}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{\beta^2 t}{2\alpha}\right)^k
\]

\[
= \frac{\beta^\eta t^{\eta+1}}{\alpha^{\eta+1}} \exp \left( -\frac{\beta^2 t}{2\alpha} \right).
\]

Then, the outer integral is evaluated by recognizing it as a modified Bessel function, and we obtain:

\[
\int_0^\infty J_\eta(\beta x) \frac{K_\nu\left(\alpha\sqrt{x^2+z^2}\right)}{\sqrt{(x^2+z^2)^\nu}} x^{\nu+1} \, dx = \frac{\beta^\eta}{2\alpha^{\eta+1}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2} t} \frac{\beta^2 t}{2\alpha} \frac{e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2} t} (t+\frac{z^2}{\alpha^2})^\nu}{t^{\nu-\eta}} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\beta^\eta}{\alpha^{\nu}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2}}{z} \right)^{\nu-\eta-1} K_{\nu-\eta-1} \left( z\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2} \right).
\]

3.1 The semi-infinite integral \( I(s) \) [28]

Now, the semi-infinite integrals in the expression for the three-center nuclear attraction integrals are formulated using the spherical and reduced Bessel functions. In a simplified notation, they are
Using the identities: 

\[ I_{\lambda, r}^\nu, \mu (\alpha, \beta, z) = \int_0^\infty x^{\lambda + 2r + 2} \hat{k}_\nu \left( \alpha \sqrt{x^2 + z^2} \right) j_\lambda (\beta x) \, dx, \quad (35) \]

and the simpler case:

\[ I_{\lambda, 0}^\nu, \mu (\alpha, \beta, z) = \int_0^\infty x^{\lambda + 2} \hat{k}_\nu \left( \alpha \sqrt{x^2 + z^2} \right) j_\lambda (\beta x) \, dx. \quad (36) \]

This simpler case is the essential starting point because identities can be used to increase the \( r \) and \( \mu \) parameters to obtain an expression for \( I_{\lambda, r}^\nu, \mu (\alpha, \beta, z) \). Applying the identities:

\[ \frac{1}{\beta^{\lambda+1}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \right)^r \left( \beta^{\lambda+r+1} j_\lambda (\beta x) \right) = x^r j_\lambda (\beta x), \quad (37) \]

and:

\[ (-1)^\mu \alpha^{2\nu} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \mu \left( \alpha^{2\mu-2\nu} \hat{k}_\nu \left( \alpha \sqrt{x^2 + z^2} \right) \right) = \hat{k}_\nu \left( \alpha \sqrt{x^2 + z^2} \right), \quad (38) \]

to the integral:

\[ I_{\lambda, r, 0}^\nu, \mu, 0 (\alpha, \beta, z) = \int_0^\infty x^{\lambda + r + 2} \hat{k}_\nu - \mu \left( \alpha \sqrt{x^2 + z^2} \right) j_\lambda (\beta x) \, dx, \quad (39) \]

we obtain:

\[ I_{\lambda, r}^\nu, \mu (\alpha, \beta, z) = \frac{(-1)^\mu \alpha^{2\nu}}{\beta^{\lambda+1}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \mu \left( \frac{\partial}{\beta \partial \beta} \right)^r \left( \alpha^{2\mu-2\nu} \beta^{\lambda+r+1} I_{\lambda+r, 0}^\nu, \mu, 0 (\alpha, \beta, z) \right). \quad (40) \]

Upon changing the Bessel functions to their spherical and reduced counterparts in (34), we obtain:

\[ I_{\lambda, 0}^\nu, \mu (\alpha, \beta, z) = \frac{\beta^\lambda}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \frac{\nu - \lambda - 3/2}{z^{\nu - \lambda - 3/2}} K_{\nu - \lambda - 3/2} \left( z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right), \quad (41) \]

and:

\[ I_{\lambda, r, 0}^\nu, \mu, 0 (\alpha, \beta, z) = \frac{\beta^{\lambda+r}}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \frac{\nu - \mu - \lambda - r - 3/2}{z^{\nu - \mu - \lambda - r - 3/2}} K_{\nu - \mu - \lambda - r - 3/2} \left( z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right). \quad (42) \]

Then, upon inserting the expression for \( I_{\lambda+r, 0}^\nu, \mu, 0 (\alpha, \beta, z) \) into (40), we obtain:

\[ I_{\lambda, r}^\nu, \mu (\alpha, \beta, z) = \frac{(-1)^\mu \alpha^{2\nu} z^\gamma}{\beta^{\lambda+1}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \mu \left( \frac{\partial}{\beta \partial \beta} \right)^r \left( \alpha^{2\mu-2\nu} \beta^{2\lambda+2r+1} K_{\gamma} \left( z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right) \right), \quad (43) \]

where \( \gamma = \lambda + r + \mu - \nu + 3/2 \).

Evidently, all that remains to do is to expand the derivations in (43), and the expression will be obtained. We start with the derivations with respect to \( \beta \).

Using the identities:

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\beta \partial \beta} \right)^{r-s} \left( \beta^{2\lambda+2r+1} \right) = (-2)^{r-s} (-\lambda - r - 1/2)^{r-s} \beta^{2\lambda+2r-2(r-s)+1} \]

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\beta \partial \beta} \right)^{s} \left( K_{\gamma} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}) \right) = (-z)^{s} K_{\gamma+s} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}) \frac{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{\gamma+s}/2}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{\gamma+s}/2}, \quad (44) \]
for some $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the product rule yields:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta \beta} \right)^r \left( \frac{\partial^{2\lambda+2r+1} K_\gamma (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{\gamma/2}} \right) = \sum_{s=0}^{r} \binom{r}{s} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta \beta} \right)^{r-s} \left( \frac{\partial^{2\lambda+2r+1}}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{\gamma/2}} \right) K_\gamma (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=0}^{r} \binom{r}{s} (-2)^{r-s} (-\lambda - r - 1/2)_{r-s} \beta^{2\lambda+2r+2(r-s)+1} (-z)^s \frac{K_{\gamma+s} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\gamma+s)/2}}.
\]

We continue with the derivations with respect to $\alpha$. Using the identities:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha \alpha} \right)^m \left( \frac{\partial^{2\lambda-2\nu} K_\gamma (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\gamma+s)/2}} \right) = (-2)^m \frac{K_{\gamma+s-m} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\gamma+s+m)/2)},
\]

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the product rule yields:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha \alpha} \right)^\mu \left( \frac{\partial^{2\lambda-2\nu} K_{\gamma+s} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\gamma+s)/2}} \right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{m} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha \alpha} \right)^{\mu-m} \left( \frac{\partial^{2\lambda-2\nu}}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\gamma+s)/2}} \right) K_{\gamma+s-m} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{m} (-2)^{\mu-m} (-\nu - \mu)_{\mu-m} \alpha^{-2\nu+2m} (-z)^m \frac{K_{\gamma+s+m} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\gamma+s+m)/2}}
\]

\[
= \alpha^{-2\nu} \sum_{m=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{m} \left( \frac{\alpha^2 z}{2} \right)^m (-\nu - \mu)_{\mu-m} \frac{K_{\gamma+s+m} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\gamma+s+m)/2}}.
\]

Then, combining these results and inserting them in (43), we obtain:

\[
\mathcal{I}^{\nu,\mu}_{\lambda, r} (\alpha, \beta, z) = (-2)^r \alpha^{-2\nu} z^\gamma \beta^{\lambda+1} \sum_{s=0}^{r} \binom{r}{s} \left( \frac{\beta^2 z}{2} \right)^s (-\lambda - r - 1/2)_{r-s}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{m=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{m} \left( \frac{\alpha^2 z}{2} \right)^m (-\nu - \mu)_{\mu-m} \frac{K_{\gamma+s+m} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\gamma+s+m)/2}}.
\]

Finally, replacing $\gamma$ by its original value, we obtain:

\[
\mathcal{I}^{\nu,\mu}_{\lambda, r} (\alpha, \beta, z) = (-2)^r \alpha^{-2\nu} z^{\lambda+r+\mu-\nu+3/2} \beta^{\lambda+1} \sum_{s=0}^{r} \binom{r}{s} \left( \frac{\beta^2 z}{2} \right)^s (-\lambda - r - 1/2)_{r-s}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{m=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{m} \left( \frac{\alpha^2 z}{2} \right)^m (-\nu - \mu)_{\mu-m} \frac{K_{\lambda+r+s+m-\nu+3/2} (z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\lambda+r+s+m-\nu+3)/2}}.
\]
The case $r = -1$ corresponding to $n_x = \lambda$ in (28) is also required for some values of the parameters in (27). In this case, we use the identity:

$$(1/2 - \lambda)_{s-1} = \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{\lambda + 1/2}_{s+1},$$

(50)
to obtain infinite series:

$$T^{\nu, \mu}_{\lambda-1}(\alpha, \beta, z) = 2\nu^{-1}z^{\lambda+\mu-1/2}\lambda^{s} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta^2 z^2)}{(\lambda + 1/2)_{s+1}}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{(\lambda + 1/2)_{s+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{m} \left( \frac{\alpha^2 z}{2} \right)^m (\nu - \mu)_{\mu-m} \frac{K_{\lambda+\mu-\nu+s+m+1/2}(z \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{(\lambda+\mu-\nu+s+m+1/2)}/2}. \quad (51)$$

Using the asymptotics of the modified Bessel function [62 §8.446]:

$$K_n(z) \approx \frac{1}{2(z/2)^n} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$  

(52)
then if $a_s$ is the $s$th term in the infinite series (51), it satisfies:

$$a_s = O \left\{ s^{\mu-\nu+m} \left( \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right)^s \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad s \to \infty. \quad (53)$$

If $\beta < \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$, then the series is convergent. Since $\alpha = 0$ corresponds with $s = 0, 1$ in (27), the convergence will be the fastest at the midpoint $s = 0.5$ of the integration over $s$. To accelerate the convergence of the series, we employ the Levin transformation $t_n^{(0)}(1)$ computed by the recursive algorithm introduced in [63].

In Table 1, we listed values for $I(s)$ (28) with $r = -1$ obtained using the infinite series (51) to which we applied Levin transformation $t_n^{(0)}(1)$ to improve convergence. Our proposed algorithm leads to a high accuracy and a substantial gain in efficiency compared to existing methods.

Since the order of the Bessel function is always an integer in (49) and (51), the accuracy and efficiency of these two formulae are directly related to that of the algorithm for the calculation of a sequence of Bessel functions $\{K_{\ell}(\cdot)\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.

Given the recurrence relation (8) is stable in the upward direction, and given the reflection formula $K_{\nu}(z) = K_{\nu}(z)$ an efficient algorithm would provide the seed values $K_0(z)$ and $K_1(z)$ and compute the remaining values by recurrence. In [64 §6.5], a very fast algorithm for these seed values is derived based on the use of rational minimax approximants. These approximants are rational functions with unknowns that are designed to minimize the maximum error on an interval. The algorithm achieves double precision accuracy by expressing $K_0(z)$ and $K_1(z)$ for $z \leq 1$ as:

$$K_0(z) \approx \frac{p_4^{(0)}(z^2)}{q_2^{(0)}(1 - z^2)} - \frac{\log(z) r_4^{(0)}(z^2)}{s_2^{(0)}(1 - z^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(z) \approx \frac{z p_4^{(1)}(z^2)}{q_2^{(1)}(1 - z^2)} + \frac{z \log(z) r_4^{(1)}(z^2)}{s_2^{(1)}(1 - z^2)} + \frac{1}{z}, \quad (54)$$

and for $z > 1$ as:

$$K_0(z) \approx \frac{\exp(-z) u_7^{(0)}(z^{-1})}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(z) \approx \frac{\exp(-z) u_7^{(1)}(z^{-1})}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (55)$$

where $p_n^{(m)}(z)$ stands for a polynomial of degree $n$ in $z$ and where $m = 0, 1$ serves to distinguish between the polynomial for $K_0(z)$ and that for $K_1(z)$. The coefficients of these approximants are given in [65].
4 Numerical Discussion

Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 contain values obtained for the semi-infinite integrals $I(s)$ (28). The calculations for values $s = 0.05$ which is close to 0, $s = 0.99$ which is close to 1, and for $s = 0.25$. Table 5 contains values obtained for the three-center nuclear attraction integrals $I^{n_2,l_2,m_2}_{n_1,l_1,m_1}$ for different values of the quantum numbers.

In the numerical evaluation of $I(s)$ and $I^{n_2,l_2,m_2}_{n_1,l_1,m_1}$, reference values are obtained using the infinite series with the spherical Bessel functions (29). These values are listed in the numerical tables as $I(s)^\dagger$. The infinite series is summed up to the order $n_{\text{max}}$ which leads to the pre-determined accuracy. The order of the summation $n_{\text{max}}$ should satisfy the following test:

$$
\left| \int_{j_{\lambda,v}^{n_{\text{max}}}}^{j_{\lambda,v}^{n_{\text{max}}+1}} x^n \hat{k}_2 \frac{R_2 \gamma_{12}(s,x)}{\gamma_{12}(s,x)} j_\lambda(vx) \, dx \right| \leq \epsilon. \tag{56}
$$

In our calculation, we set $\epsilon$ at $10^{-15}$.

The following methods were used to compute the semi-infinite integral $I(s)$:

- **Analytic expressions presented in this work.** These values are listed in the numerical tables as $I(s)^\dagger$. Error $^\dagger$ is given by:

$$
\text{Error}^\dagger = \left| \frac{I(s)^\dagger - I(s)^\dagger}{I(s)^\dagger} \right|, \tag{57}
$$

in case we use the relative error. The absolute error is given by:

$$
\text{Error}^\dagger = \left| I(s)^\dagger - I(s)^\dagger \right|. \tag{58}
$$

- **We used the combination $S$ with $D$.** See [27,66] for more details on this methods. These values are listed in the numerical tables as $I(s)^\ddagger$. Error $^\ddagger$ is given by:

$$
\text{Error}^\ddagger = \left| I(s)^\ddagger - I(s)^\dagger \right|. \tag{59}
$$

- **We used the $W$ algorithm [67,68] combined with $D$ [67].** These values are listed in the numerical tables as $I(s)^\sharp$. Error $^\sharp$ is given by:

$$
\text{Error}^\sharp = \left| I(s)^\sharp - I(s)^\dagger \right|. \tag{60}
$$

As can be seen from the numerical tables, the analytic expressions are highly accurate and they lead to a considerable gain in the calculation time. In the case where $s$ is close to 0 and 1, the gain in efficiency if of the order of $8 \times 10^2$.

The case where $n_x = \lambda$ is presented in Table 1. The infinite series (51) is used to compute the semi-infinite integral $I(s)$. Levin transformation $t_n(0)(1)$ is used for the summation of the infinite series (51). As can be seen from the numerical table, the proposed algorithm leads to a considerable gain in efficiency compared to extrapolation methods.

In the computation of the complete three-center nuclear attraction integrals $I^{n_2,l_2,m_2}_{n_1,l_1,m_1}$, the analytic expressions not only provide a more stable and robust computation compared to existing methods, but they also lead to higher accuracies and a gain in efficiency by a factor $10^2$ to $10^{2.5}$ over extrapolation methods.
5 Conclusion

In this work, we report the analytical expression (49) for the semi-infinite integral bottleneck occurring in the three-center nuclear attraction integrals over $B$ functions. We describe how to compute the formula to obtain an efficient evaluation in double precision arithmetic. This requires the rational minimax approximants that minimize the maximum error on the interval of evaluation. The numerical tests show a substantial gain in efficiency over the state-of-the-art.

6 Numerical tables
### Table 1: Evaluation of $\mathcal{I}(s)$ \textsuperscript{28} involved in \textsuperscript{27}, $r = -1$, $s = 0.50$, $\zeta_1 = 1.5$, $\zeta_2 = 2.0$, $R_1 = 2.0$, $R_2 = 5.5$ and $v = 2.1250$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nu - \frac{1}{2}$</th>
<th>$n_\gamma$</th>
<th>$n_x$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(s)\textsuperscript{†}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(s)\textsuperscript{§}$</th>
<th>Error$\textsuperscript{†}$</th>
<th>$n\textsuperscript{†}$</th>
<th>Error$\textsuperscript{‡}$</th>
<th>$n\textsuperscript{‡}$</th>
<th>Error$\textsuperscript{‡}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.461646264727375(-2)</td>
<td>0.461646264717199(-2)</td>
<td>0.10(-12)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.11(-16)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.26(-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.149512586900212(-2)</td>
<td>0.14951258918472(-2)</td>
<td>0.42(-12)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.87(-18)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.65(-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.220080293722268(-2)</td>
<td>0.220080293716159(-2)</td>
<td>0.61(-13)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.13(-17)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.78(-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.109666809222617(0)</td>
<td>0.109666809221465(0)</td>
<td>0.12(-11)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.15(-15)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.42(-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.212631457825638(-1)</td>
<td>0.212631457795213(-1)</td>
<td>0.30(-11)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.35(-17)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.38(-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.322943859021363(-1)</td>
<td>0.322943859003970(-1)</td>
<td>0.17(-11)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.35(-16)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.35(-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.10706154361890(0)</td>
<td>0.107061543653292(0)</td>
<td>0.86(-11)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.83(-16)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.97(-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.102016159532387(1)</td>
<td>0.102016159528822(1)</td>
<td>0.36(-10)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.27(-14)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.44(-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.152017632705881(0)</td>
<td>0.152017632701154(0)</td>
<td>0.47(-11)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.28(-15)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.56(-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.34561459389745(-1)</td>
<td>0.34561459364501(-1)</td>
<td>0.25(-11)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.49(-16)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.69(-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.189884308822790(0)</td>
<td>0.189884308816949(0)</td>
<td>0.58(-11)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.31(-15)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.14(-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.230278881936481(0)</td>
<td>0.230278882029333(0)</td>
<td>0.93(-10)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.67(-15)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00(00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.344217297987024(0)</td>
<td>0.344217298077892(0)</td>
<td>0.98(-10)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.32(-14)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.94(-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.600900064149905(0)</td>
<td>0.600900064286037(0)</td>
<td>0.14(-09)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.16(-13)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.24(-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.147327453241831(2)</td>
<td>0.147327453241578(2)</td>
<td>0.25(-10)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.48(-13)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.18(-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.113633535179745(1)</td>
<td>0.113633535178625(1)</td>
<td>0.11(-10)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.13(-14)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.89(-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.351398017777592(1)</td>
<td>0.351398017769794(1)</td>
<td>0.78(-10)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.13(-14)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.80(-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.66477866916364(1)</td>
<td>0.66477866910565(1)</td>
<td>0.58(-10)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.52(-13)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.15(-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.618367137438846(2)</td>
<td>0.618367137439240(2)</td>
<td>0.39(-10)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.24(-11)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.69(-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7385608831705087(1)</td>
<td>0.738560883174422(1)</td>
<td>0.67(-11)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.12(-13)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.44(-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.493711420896070(3)</td>
<td>0.493711420889972(3)</td>
<td>0.70(-09)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.13(-11)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.57(-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.227483249074128(3)</td>
<td>0.227483249073730(3)</td>
<td>0.40(-09)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.40(-11)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.11(-11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses represent powers of 10.

$\textsuperscript{†}$ The analytic expressions were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

$\textsuperscript{‡}$ The $\bar{SD}$ of order $n\textsuperscript{‡}$ \textsuperscript{28} was used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

$\textsuperscript{‡}$ The $W$ algorithm with the $\bar{D}$ transformation of order $n\textsuperscript{‡}$ \textsuperscript{67} were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

Calculation times:

\[
\frac{\text{Total time using } \bar{SD}}{\text{Total time using the analytic formulae}} = 67 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\text{Total time using } W\bar{D}}{\text{Total time using the analytic formulae}} = 45.
\]
Table 2: Evaluation of $\mathcal{I}(s)$ [28] involved in [27]. $s = 0.05$, $\zeta_1 = 1.5$, $\zeta_2 = 2.0$, $R_1 = 2.0$, $R_2 = 5.5$ and $v = 3.225$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n - \frac{1}{2}$</th>
<th>$n_x$</th>
<th>$n_x$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(s)^\dagger$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(s)^\ddagger$</th>
<th>Error$^\dagger$</th>
<th>$n^\dagger$</th>
<th>Error$^\ddagger$</th>
<th>$n^\ddagger$</th>
<th>Error$^\ddagger^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.151189722612165(-1)</td>
<td>0.151189722612171(-1)</td>
<td>0.60(-15)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.33(-14)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.34(-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.770700245225906(-1)</td>
<td>-0.770700245225906(-1)</td>
<td>0.99(-13)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.23(-12)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.25(-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.911341847656817(-1)</td>
<td>0.911341847656938(-1)</td>
<td>0.12(-13)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.93(-14)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.41(-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.862532316505739(-3)</td>
<td>0.862532316506182(-3)</td>
<td>0.44(-15)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.55(-15)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.68(-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.412000772379836(-2)</td>
<td>-0.412000772379836(-2)</td>
<td>0.34(-14)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.78(-14)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.84(-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.492236336705101(-2)</td>
<td>0.492236336705996(-2)</td>
<td>0.55(-16)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.23(-16)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.54(-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.106814986690961(-1)</td>
<td>0.106814986690961(-1)</td>
<td>0.22(-14)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.33(-14)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.57(-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.266323983838913(1)</td>
<td>0.266323983838913(1)</td>
<td>0.76(-13)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.21(-12)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.11(-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.184547358116701(1)</td>
<td>0.184547358116698(1)</td>
<td>0.37(-13)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.82(-13)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.10(-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.762928846920085(1)</td>
<td>-0.762928846920075(1)</td>
<td>0.11(-12)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.16(-11)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.13(-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.347485191071318(2)</td>
<td>-0.347485191071323(2)</td>
<td>0.47(-12)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.14(-12)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.37(-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.257290058890616(2)</td>
<td>0.257290058890616(2)</td>
<td>0.46(-13)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.86(-12)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.10(-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.101363984175823(3)</td>
<td>-0.101363984175816(3)</td>
<td>0.69(-11)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.61(-11)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.65(-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.125297943142392(3)</td>
<td>0.125297943142392(3)</td>
<td>0.85(-13)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.85(-12)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.89(-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.440284382122921(3)</td>
<td>-0.440284382122915(3)</td>
<td>0.60(-11)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.14(-10)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.24(-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.163020781236490(2)</td>
<td>-0.163020781236511(2)</td>
<td>0.20(-10)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.58(-10)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.56(-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.746484060054242(3)</td>
<td>-0.746484060054226(3)</td>
<td>0.16(-10)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.45(-10)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.36(-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.372866539760214(3)</td>
<td>0.372866539760203(3)</td>
<td>0.12(-10)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.83(-11)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.92(-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.235942964388561(4)</td>
<td>-0.235942964388566(4)</td>
<td>0.53(-10)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.29(-09)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.37(-09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.174686408404681(4)</td>
<td>0.174686408404680(4)</td>
<td>0.16(-10)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.21(-10)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.65(-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.579875446713486(4)</td>
<td>-0.579875446713486(4)</td>
<td>0.27(-11)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.85(-10)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.23(-09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.850707087650976(4)</td>
<td>0.850707087650976(4)</td>
<td>0.00(00)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.16(-10)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.17(-09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses represent powers of 10.  
† The analytic expressions were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.  
§ values with 15 correct digits, obtained using the infinite series [29].  
‡ The $S\bar{D}$ of order $n^\ddagger$ [28] was used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.  
♯ The $W\bar{D}$ algorithm with the $\bar{D}$ transformation of order $n^\ddagger$ [67] were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.  

**Calculation times:**

$$\frac{\text{Total time using } S\bar{D}}{\text{Total time using the analytic formulae}} = 779$$

$$\frac{\text{Total time using } W\bar{D}}{\text{Total time using the analytic formulae}} = 722.$$
Table 3: Evaluation of $\mathcal{I}(s)$ \([28]\) involved in \([27]\), $s = 0.99$, $\zeta_1 = 1.5$, $\zeta_2 = 2.0$, $R_1 = 2.0$, $R_2 = 5.5$ and $v = 1.9450$

| $\nu - \frac{1}{2}$ | $n_\gamma$ | $n_x$ | $\lambda$ | $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(s)_{\dagger}$ | $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(s)_{\ddagger}$ | Error$^\dagger$ | $n_{\tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$ | Error$^\ddagger$ | $n_{\tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$ | Error$^{\tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$ |
|-----------------|--------|------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|---------|
| 8               | 9      | 5    | 1        | -0.136578999110210(-3) | -0.136578959082798(-3) | 0.40(-10)   | 32      | 0.34(-10)   | 27     | 0.24(-09)   |
| 8               | 9      | 5    | 3        | 0.157429717614749(-3) | 0.157429717756625(-3) | 0.14(-12)   | 41      | 0.78(-12)   | 34     | 0.23(-09)   |
| 9               | 11     | 4    | 0        | -0.133767585018979(-3) | -0.13376759279277(-3) | 0.11(-09)   | 26      | 0.13(-09)   | 28     | 0.18(-09)   |
| 9               | 11     | 5    | 1        | -0.238345682495741(-2) | -0.238345683795669(-2) | 0.13(-10)   | 31      | 0.15(-10)   | 27     | 0.84(-09)   |
| 9               | 11     | 5    | 3        | 0.275872683250005(-2)  | 0.275872683614976(-2)  | 0.36(-11)   | 40      | 0.16(-11)   | 34     | 0.12(-08)   |
| 9               | 11     | 4    | 2        | 0.145980032943987(-3)  | 0.145980034075167(-3)  | 0.11(-11)   | 34      | 0.49(-12)   | 26     | 0.96(-10)   |
| 9               | 13     | 5    | 1        | -0.189782159585573(-2) | -0.189782159585573(-2) | 0.32(-10)   | 29      | 0.17(-10)   | 28     | 0.21(-09)   |
| 9               | 17     | 3    | 1        | 0.331772864261456(-5)  | 0.3317726992457(-5)    | 0.27(-14)   | 22      | 0.52(-14)   | 19     | 0.17(-12)   |
| 9               | 19     | 3    | 1        | 0.201688439270125(-5)  | 0.201688439270125(-5)  | 0.59(-14)   | 20      | 0.80(-14)   | 18     | 0.18(-13)   |
| 9               | 19     | 4    | 0        | -0.301438469081214(-4) | -0.301438469081214(-4) | 0.15(-13)   | 20      | 0.12(-12)   | 20     | 0.17(-12)   |
| 9               | 19     | 5    | 1        | -0.476213479931115(-3) | -0.476213479931115(-3) | 0.17(-12)   | 25      | 0.39(-12)   | 20     | 0.11(-12)   |
| 10              | 21     | 3    | 1        | 0.24616975226837(-4)   | 0.24616975226837(-4)   | 0.69(-14)   | 20      | 0.81(-15)   | 19     | 0.21(-12)   |
| 10              | 21     | 4    | 0        | -0.358276851579279(-3) | -0.358276851579279(-3) | 0.19(-12)   | 20      | 0.60(-12)   | 20     | 0.40(-12)   |
| 10              | 21     | 4    | 2        | 0.396246479172108(-3)  | 0.396246479172108(-3)  | 0.54(-13)   | 26      | 0.59(-13)   | 19     | 0.59(-12)   |
| 10              | 21     | 5    | 1        | -0.551474833832359(-2) | -0.551474833832359(-2) | 0.17(-12)   | 25      | 0.82(-12)   | 21     | 0.25(-12)   |
| 10              | 19     | 4    | 0        | -0.651321577489068(-3) | -0.651321577489068(-3) | 0.28(-11)   | 21      | 0.66(-12)   | 21     | 0.10(-11)   |
| 10              | 19     | 5    | 1        | -0.103223435438069(-1) | -0.103223435438069(-1) | 0.11(-10)   | 26      | 0.13(-10)   | 24     | 0.56(-11)   |
| 11              | 23     | 3    | 1        | 0.307741810026843(-3)  | 0.307741810026843(-3)  | 0.14(-12)   | 21      | 0.15(-12)   | 19     | 0.10(-11)   |
| 11              | 21     | 4    | 0        | -0.843566525213554(-2) | -0.843566525213554(-2) | 0.12(-10)   | 21      | 0.33(-10)   | 21     | 0.39(-10)   |
| 11              | 21     | 4    | 2        | 0.48363534155352(-2)   | 0.48363534155352(-2)   | 0.12(-10)   | 26      | 0.11(-12)   | 20     | 0.45(-11)   |
| 11              | 21     | 5    | 1        | -0.654156086743768(-1) | -0.654156086743768(-1) | 0.54(-11)   | 25      | 0.97(-11)   | 22     | 0.90(-11)   |
| 11              | 23     | 5    | 3        | 0.778484244434109(-1)  | 0.778484244434109(-1)  | 0.37(-12)   | 30      | 0.19(-11)   | 21     | 0.23(-10)   |

Numbers in parentheses represent powers of 10.

$^\dagger$ The analytic expressions were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

$^\ddagger$ The $\tilde{S}D$ of order $n$ \([28]\) was used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

$^\ddagger$ The $\tilde{W}D$ transformation of order $n$ \([67]\) were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

**Calculation times:**

\[
\frac{\text{Total time using } \tilde{S}D}{\text{Total time using the analytic formulae}} = 848 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\text{Total time using } \tilde{W}D}{\text{Total time using the analytic formulae}} = 766.
\]
Table 4: Evaluation of $\mathcal{I}(s) [28]$ involved in [27]. $s = 0.25$, $\zeta_1 = 1.0$, $\zeta_2 = 0.5$, $R_1 = 22.5$, $R_2 = 1.0$ and $v = 2.125$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nu - \frac{1}{2}$</th>
<th>$n_y$</th>
<th>$n_x$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{I}(s)$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(s)$</th>
<th>Error$^\dagger$</th>
<th>$n^\dagger$</th>
<th>Error$^\ddagger$</th>
<th>$n^\ddagger$</th>
<th>Error$^\sharp$</th>
<th>$n^\sharp$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.52248110493317(3)</td>
<td>-0.52248110493316(3)</td>
<td>0.10(-11)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.80(-11)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.18(-11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.38432269145053(4)</td>
<td>-0.38432269145052(4)</td>
<td>0.55(-11)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.55(-11)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.45(-11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.117537250480773(4)</td>
<td>-0.117537250480773(4)</td>
<td>0.00(+00)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.36(-11)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.59(-11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.251544477186785(5)</td>
<td>0.251544477186785(5)</td>
<td>0.44(-10)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.36(-10)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.36(-10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.101911069658951(5)</td>
<td>0.101911069658951(5)</td>
<td>0.18(-10)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.13(-10)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.13(-10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.484780175500065(3)</td>
<td>0.484780175500062(3)</td>
<td>0.27(-11)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.25(-11)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.28(-12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20378671094698(3)</td>
<td>0.20378671094698(3)</td>
<td>0.37(-12)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.26(-12)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.85(-13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.705054437510632(2)</td>
<td>0.705054437510632(2)</td>
<td>0.43(-13)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.14(-13)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.99(-13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.841887717014505(1)</td>
<td>-0.841887717014505(1)</td>
<td>0.20(-13)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.87(-13)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.91(-13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.762948854064874(2)</td>
<td>0.762948854064874(2)</td>
<td>0.71(-13)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.57(-13)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.14(-13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.559862271136225(3)</td>
<td>0.559862271136225(3)</td>
<td>0.91(-12)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.91(-12)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00( 00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.86679013001231(1)</td>
<td>-0.866790130012307(1)</td>
<td>0.36(-13)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.48(-12)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.57(-12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.799061695845383(3)</td>
<td>0.799061695845383(3)</td>
<td>0.80(-12)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.68(-12)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.45(-12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.65664125416364(3)</td>
<td>0.65664125416364(3)</td>
<td>0.15(-11)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.68(-12)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.00(-00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.227516002083592(3)</td>
<td>-0.227516002083591(3)</td>
<td>0.97(-12)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.68(-12)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.91(-12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.172449967116591(4)</td>
<td>0.172449967116591(4)</td>
<td>0.91(-12)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.91(-12)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.14(-11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.475854590337515(4)</td>
<td>0.475854590337515(4)</td>
<td>0.18(-11)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.27(-11)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.36(-11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.43669661699476(3)</td>
<td>-0.43669661699470(3)</td>
<td>0.53(-11)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.92(-11)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.14(-10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.634510573954389(4)</td>
<td>0.634510573954388(4)</td>
<td>0.11(-10)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.91(-11)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.64(-11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.587362801071834(4)</td>
<td>0.587362801071834(4)</td>
<td>0.82(-11)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.73(-11)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.91(-12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.905603255291434(4)</td>
<td>0.905603255291432(4)</td>
<td>0.16(-10)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.20(-10)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.55(-11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses represent powers of 10.

$^\dagger$ The analytic expressions were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

$^\ddagger$ Values with 15 correct digits, obtained using the infinite series [29].

$^\sharp$ The $SD$ of order $n^\sharp$ [28] was used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

$^\*$ The $W$ algorithm with the $\bar{D}$ transformation of order $n^\*$ [67] were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals.

**Calculation times:**

\[
\frac{\text{Total time using } S\bar{D}}{\text{Total time using the analytic formulae}} = 470 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\text{Total time using } W\bar{D}}{\text{Total time using the analytic formulae}} = 362.
\]
Table 5: Evaluation of $\mathcal{I}_{n_{1},l_{1},m_{1}}^{n_{2},l_{2},m_{2}}$ [27]. $\vec{R}_{1} = (R_{1}, 0, 0)$ and $\vec{R}_{2} = (R_{2}, 0, 0)$ in spherical coordinates.

| $n_{1}$ | $l_{1}$ | $m_{1}$ | $\zeta_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $l_{2}$ | $m_{2}$ | $\zeta_{2}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $\mathcal{I}_{n_{1},l_{1},m_{1}}^{n_{2},l_{2},m_{2}}$ | $\mathcal{I}_{n_{1},l_{1},m_{1}}^{n_{2},l_{2},m_{2}}$ | Error\textsuperscript{†} | Error\textsuperscript{‡} | Error\textsuperscript{§} |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.329331982021249(-3) | 0.329331940589674(-3) | 0.41(-10) | 0.26(-12) | 0.27(-13) |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.847972076912278(-3) | 0.847972166079295(-3) | 0.89(-10) | 0.13(-12) | 0.14(-13) |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.334085872088824(-3) | 0.334085871754707(-3) | 0.33(-12) | 0.25(-12) | 0.45(-12) |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.686029605443344(-3) | 0.686029606165784(-3) | 0.71(-12) | 0.12(-12) | 0.22(-12) |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 1.5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.115473644575669(-3) | 0.115473644567652(-3) | 0.80(-14) | 0.71(-10) | 0.84(-12) |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.149459769685927(-3) | 0.149459769692974(-3) | 0.74(-14) | 0.41(-10) | 0.20(-12) |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 3.5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.648085923543057(-4) | 0.648085923560806(-4) | 0.10(-13) | 0.22(-10) | 0.77(-13) |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.46651064403660(-4) | 0.466510644024203(-4) | 0.18(-14) | 0.24(-10) | 0.38(-14) |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.204026686294184(-4) | 0.200402668676218(-4) | 0.15(-14) | 0.49(-09) | 0.26(-13) |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.118086409574411(-3) | 0.118086409567240(-3) | 0.71(-14) | 0.74(-12) | 0.14(-12) |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.259889108527047(-3) | 0.259889108527047(-3) | 0.17(-15) | 0.21(-10) | 0.30(-13) |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.110265780529415(-4) | 0.110265780528219(-4) | 0.12(-15) | 0.65(-11) | 0.23(-14) |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.14204831749589(-4) | 0.14204831749589(-4) | 0.47(-16) | 0.20(-11) | 0.39(-14) |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.196515726934313(-5) | 0.19651572696739(-5) | 0.19(-14) | 0.69(-08) | 0.19(-15) |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.11852506724349(-5) | 0.11852506724349(-5) | 0.22(-12) | 0.16(-06) | 0.22(-12) |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.73822371713654(-4) | 0.73822371713654(-4) | 0.55(-15) | 0.21(-10) | 0.30(-13) |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.71710087542240(-5) | 0.71710087542240(-5) | 0.48(-17) | 0.67(-10) | 0.14(-13) |

Numbers in parentheses represent powers of 10.
\textsuperscript{†} The analytic expressions were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals $\mathcal{I}(s)$ [28].
\textsuperscript{‡} The $SD$ of order $n$ [28] was used to compute the semi-infinite integrals $\mathcal{I}(s)$.
\textsuperscript{§} The $W$ algorithm with the $D$ transformation of order $n$ [67] were used to compute the semi-infinite integrals $\mathcal{I}(s)$.

**Calculation times:**

- Total time using $SD$:
- Total time using the analytic formulae:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation time</th>
<th>Total time using $SD$</th>
<th>Total time using the analytic formulae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$29$</td>
<td>$98$</td>
<td>$145$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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