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Abstract. For a commutative ring \( S \) and self-orthogonal subcategory \( C \) of \( \text{Mod}(S) \), we consider matrix factorizations whose modules belong to \( C \). Let \( f \in S \) be a regular element. If \( f \) is \( M \)-regular for every \( M \in C \), we show there is a natural embedding of the homotopy category of \( C \)-factorizations of \( f \) into a corresponding homotopy category of totally acyclic complexes. Moreover, we prove this is an equivalence if \( C \) is the category of projective or flat cotorsion \( S \)-modules. Dually, using divisibility in place of regularity, we observe there is a parallel equivalence when \( C \) is the category of injective \( S \)-modules.

Introduction

Matrix factorizations of a nonzero element \( f \) in a regular local ring \( Q \) were introduced by Eisenbud [8] and shown to correspond to maximal Cohen-Macaulay \( Q/(f) \)-modules; in turn Buchweitz [4] gave a relation between these and totally acyclic complexes of projective \( Q/(f) \)-modules. Indeed, this correspondence can be described as an equivalence of triangulated categories,

\[
\text{HMF}(Q, f) \cong \text{K}_{\text{tac}}(\text{prj}(Q/(f))),
\]

where \( \text{HMF}(Q, f) \) is the homotopy category of matrix factorizations of \( f \), and \( \text{K}_{\text{tac}}(\text{prj}(Q/(f))) \) is the homotopy category of totally acyclic complexes of finitely generated projective \( Q/(f) \)-modules. In part, our goal is to develop the notion of matrix factorizations more generally—relative to a self-orthogonal category of modules—with an emphasis on extending this equivalence.

Let \( S \) be a commutative ring, let \( f \in S \), and let \( C \) be an additive subcategory of \( \text{Mod}(S) \), the category of \( S \)-modules. A linear factorization of \( f \), defined by Dyckerhoff and Murfet [7], is a pair of \( S \)-modules \( M_0 \) and \( M_1 \) along with homomorphisms \( d_1 : M_1 \to M_0 \) and \( d_0 : M_0 \to M_1 \) satisfying \( d_1 d_0 = f 1_{M_0} \) and \( d_0 d_1 = f 1_{M_1} \). We define a \( C \)-factorization of \( f \) to be a linear factorization such that \( M_0, M_1 \in C \). The homotopy category of \( C \)-factorizations, denoted \( \text{HF}(C, f) \), is the category whose objects are \( C \)-factorizations of \( f \) and whose morphisms are homotopy classes of the natural maps between \( C \)-factorizations; see Section 2. Taking \( C \) to be the category of finitely generated projective modules over a regular local ring, one obtains the usual notion of matrix factorizations in [8].

Set \( R = S/(f) \). To relate a \( C \)-factorization of \( f \) to a suitable type of totally acyclic complex of \( R \)-modules, a natural setting to consider is when \( C \) is self-orthogonal, that is, \( \text{Ext}^i_S(M, M') = 0 \) for every \( M, M' \in C \) and \( i \geq 1 \). If \( C \) is self-orthogonal and
$f \in S$ is $S$-regular and $M$-regular for every $M \in C$, then the category $R \otimes_S C$ is self-orthogonal—see Proposition 1.8—in which case there is a natural notion of total acyclicity. Proposition 2.5 thus relates $C$-factorizations of $f$ to $R \otimes_S C$-totally acyclic complexes; here, for a self-orthogonal category $W$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$, a $W$-totally acyclic complex is an acyclic complex of modules in $W$ whose acyclicity is preserved by $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot, W)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(W, \cdot)$; this includes the usual notions of total acyclicity, and is a special case of the one defined by Christensen, Estrada, and Thompson [6].

In this setting, that is if $C$ is an additive self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$ and $f$ is $S$-regular and $M$-regular for every $M \in C$, then we prove in Theorem 3.6 that there is a full and faithful triangulated functor,

$$HF(C, f) \longrightarrow K_{\text{tac}}(R \otimes_S C),$$

where $K_{\text{tac}}(R \otimes_S C)$ is the homotopy category of $R \otimes_S C$-totally acyclic complexes. This embedding extends work of Bergh and Jorgensen; indeed, its proof is closely modelled on that of [2, Theorem 3.4], which is recovered by setting $C = \text{prj}(S)$.

Moreover, this functor sends a $C$-factorization of $f$ to a 2-periodic complex, see Proposition 2.5, and so we do not expect it to be an equivalence without additional assumptions on $S$ and $C$. If $S$ is a local regular ring and $C = \text{Prj}(S)$, then we show in Theorem 4.2 that there is a triangulated equivalence:

$$HF(\text{Prj}(S), f) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\text{tac}}(\text{Prj}(R)).$$

Indeed, restricting to the subcategory of finitely generated projective modules, this is the equivalence due to Eisenbud [8] and Buchweitz [4] described above.

Parallel to this development, we consider a dual situation in terms of divisibility. If $f$ is $S$-regular and $M$-divisible for every $M \in C$, we observe in Theorem 3.7 that there is an embedding $HF(C, f) \rightarrow K_{\text{tac}}(\text{Hom}_S(R, C))$. In particular, since injective $S$-modules are divisible, we obtain an equivalence for $C = \text{Inj}(S)$ when $S$ is a regular local ring; see Theorem 4.5.

Another natural (torsion-free) self-orthogonal category to consider is $\text{FlatCot}(S)$, the category of flat cotorsion $S$-modules; see Section 5. In this setting, we prove in Theorem 5.4 that if $S$ is a regular local ring, then there is a triangulated equivalence:

$$HF(\text{FlatCot}(S), f) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\text{tac}}(\text{FlatCot}(R)).$$

Here $K_{\text{tac}}(\text{FlatCot}(R))$ is the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of flat cotorsion $R$-modules such that for every flat cotorsion $R$-module $F$, application of $\text{Hom}_R(F, \cdot)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot, F)$ preserves acyclicity.

In addition to the classic equivalence described above, Buchweitz gave in [4] an equivalence, assuming $S$ is a regular local ring, between the homotopy category of matrix factorizations of $f$ and the singularity category of $R$; this was proven explicitly by Orlov [18]. Along these lines, and as a consequence of the previous equivalence, we observe in Corollary 5.6 a triangulated equivalence,

$$HF(\text{FlatCot}(S), f) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{F-tac}}(\text{Flat}(R)),$$

where $D_{\text{F-tac}}(\text{Flat}(R))$ is the subcategory of the pure derived category of flat $R$-modules consisting of $F$-totally acyclic complexes. This category plays the role of the singularity category in the context of the pure derived category, in that it vanishes if and only if $R$ is regular; see [15, Proposition 9.7] and [16].
1. Self-orthogonal categories of modules

Throughout this paper, let $S$ be a commutative ring. The category of all $S$-modules is denoted $\text{Mod}(S)$. Tacitly, we assume all subcategories of $\text{Mod}(S)$ are full and closed under isomorphisms. We use standard homological notation throughout, and an $S$-complex means a chain complex of $S$-modules.

Let $\text{Prj}(S)$, $\text{Inj}(S)$, $\text{Flat}(S)$ denote the categories of projective, injective, and flat $S$-modules, respectively; $\text{prj}(S)$ denotes the category of finitely generated projective $S$-modules. Let $\text{Cot}(S)$ denote the category of cotorsion $S$-modules, that is, those $S$-modules $C$ such that $\text{Ext}^1_S(F,C) = 0$ for every flat $S$-module $F$. For brevity, write $\text{FlatCot}(S) = \text{Flat}(S) \cap \text{Cot}(S)$ for the category of flat cotorsion $S$-modules.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $C$ be a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$. The category $C$ is called **self-orthogonal**\(^1\) if $\text{Ext}^1_C(C, C') = 0$ for all $C, C' \in C$ and all $i \geq 1$.

**Example 1.2.** Evidently both $\text{Prj}(S)$ and $\text{Inj}(S)$ are self-orthogonal.

The category $\text{FlatCot}(S)$ is also self-orthogonal: Let $F$ and $F'$ be flat cotorsion $S$-modules. If $P \to F$ is a projective resolution over $S$, then $\text{coker}(d^n_F)$ is a flat $S$-module for $i \geq 1$, hence $\text{Ext}^1_S(F, F') \cong \text{Ext}^1_S(\text{coker}(d^n_F), F') = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

**Definition 1.3.** Let $M$ be an $S$-module, $f \in S$, and $C$ be a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$.

The element $f$ is $M$-**regular** if $fx = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for each $x \in M$; $f$ is $C$-**regular** if $f$ is $M$-regular for every $M \in C$.

The element $f$ is $M$-**divisible** if for every $x \in M$, there exists $y \in M$ with $fy = x$; $f$ is $C$-**divisible** if $f$ is $M$-divisible for every $M \in C$.

**Example 1.4.** Let $f \in S$ be an $S$-regular element.

If $C$ is a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$ contained in the category of torsion-free $S$-modules, then $f$ is $C$-regular. In particular, $f$ is $\text{Flat}(S)$-regular, $\text{FlatCot}(S)$-regular, and $\text{Prj}(S)$-regular.

If $C$ is a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$ contained in the category of divisible $S$-modules, then $f$ is $C$-divisible. In particular, $f$ is $\text{Inj}(S)$-divisible.

Let $S \to R$ be a ring homomorphism and let $C$ be a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$. The following subcategories of $\text{Mod}(R)$ play a special role in this paper:

- $R \otimes_S C = \{ W \in \text{Mod}(R) \mid W \cong R \otimes_S C, \text{ for some } C \in C \}$;
- $\text{Hom}_S(R, C) = \{ W \in \text{Mod}(R) \mid W \cong \text{Hom}_S(R, C), \text{ for some } C \in C \}$.

**Remark 1.5.** For any ring homomorphism $S \to R$, we have $R \otimes_S \text{Prj}(S) \subseteq \text{Prj}(R)$ and $\text{Hom}_S(R, \text{Inj}(S)) \subseteq \text{Inj}(R)$; the former is an equality if the homomorphism is local, the second is an equality if the homomorphism is a surjection.

For an $S$-module $M$, denote by $\text{pd}_S M$, $\text{id}_S M$, and $\text{fd}_S M$ the projective, injective, and flat dimensions of $M$ over $S$.

**Remark 1.6.** Let $f \in S$ be an $S$-regular element, and set $R = S/(f)$. If $P$ is a projective $R$-module, then $\text{pd}_S P = 1$ (see [12, Part III, Theorem 3]); if $I$ is an injective $R$-module, then $\text{id}_S I = 1$ (see [11, Theorem 202]). It thus follows that if $F$ is a flat $R$-module, then $\text{fd}_S F = 1$; this uses the fact that an $S$-module $M$ is flat if and only if its character dual $\text{Hom}_S(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is injective.

\(^1\)This differs from [6], where the term was used to refer to $\text{Ext}^1$-orthogonality and is implied by the definition given here; our usage here agrees with what would be written as $C \perp C$ in [20].
Part (i) of the next change of rings result is due to Rees [19]; part (iii) is dual. If $M$ is an $S$-module, $f \in S$, and $R = S/(f)$, it is often convenient to identify $R \otimes_S M \cong M/fM$ and $\text{Hom}_S(R, M) \cong (0 : M f = \{ x \in M | fx = 0 \} \subseteq M$.

**Lemma 1.7.** Let $f \in S$ be an $S$-regular element and set $R = S/(f)$.  
If $M$ is an $S$-module such that $f$ is $M$-regular and $N$ is an $R$-module, then 
(i) $\text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R \otimes_S M)$ for all $i \geq 0$;  
(ii) $\text{Ext}_S^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(S \otimes_S M, N)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

If $M$ is an $S$-module such that $f$ is $M$-divisible and $N$ is an $R$-module, then  
(iii) $\text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_S(R, M), N)$ for all $i \geq 0$;  
(iv) $\text{Ext}_S^i(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(N, \text{Hom}_S(R, M))$ for all $i \geq 0$.

**Proof.** (i) & (ii): See Matsumura [14, Lemma 2, p. 140] for a proof of these; (i) was originally shown by Rees [19, Theorem 2.1].  
(iii): We give an argument dual to [19, Theorem 2.1], showing that the functor $E^i(\cdot) = \text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the $i$th right derived functor of $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(R, \cdot), \cdot)$.  
Apply $\text{Hom}_S(\cdot, N)$ to the short exact sequence  
$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(R, M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow fM \rightarrow 0$$
to obtain the following exact sequence  
$$\text{Hom}_S(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_S(R, M), N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(M, N).$$
Since $fN = 0$, we obtain $\text{Hom}_S(M, N) = 0$. Additionally, multiplication by $f$ on $M$ or $N$ induce the same map on $\text{Ext}_S^1(M, N)$: also multiplication by $f$. As $fN = 0$, this map must be 0, thus yielding  
$$\text{Ext}_S^1(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_S(R, M), N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(R, M), N).$$
Hence $E^0(\cdot) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(R, M), \cdot)$. For any injective $R$-module $I$, we have $id_S I = 1$ by Remark 1.6, hence $E^i(I) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Finally, for a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ of $R$-modules, $\text{Hom}_S(M, N'') = 0$ and so there is a long exact sequence  
$$0 \rightarrow E^0(N') \rightarrow E^0(N) \rightarrow E^0(N'') \rightarrow E^1(N') \rightarrow E^1(N) \rightarrow E^1(N'') \rightarrow \cdots,$$
and it follows that $E^i(\cdot)$ is the $i$th right derived functor of $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(R, \cdot), \cdot)$ and thus is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_S(R, M), \cdot)$.

(iv): Let $P$ be a projective resolution of $N$ over $R$; standard tensor–Hom-adjunction yields $\text{Hom}_S(R \otimes_R P, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(P, \text{Hom}_S(R, M))$, and the desired isomorphism follows.  

**Proposition 1.8.** Let $C$ be a self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular, and set $R = S/(f)$. The following hold:  
(i) If $f$ is $C$-regular, then $R \otimes_S C$ is self-orthogonal.  
(ii) If $f$ is $C$-divisible, then $\text{Hom}_S(R, C)$ is self-orthogonal.

**Proof.** (i): For $S$-modules $C, C' \in C$ and $i \geq 0$, Lemma 1.7(ii) yields that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R \otimes_S C, R \otimes_S C') \cong \text{Ext}_S^i(C, R \otimes_S C')$. It will therefore be enough to show that $\text{Ext}_S^i(C, R \otimes_S C') = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. As $f$ is $C$-regular, there is an exact sequence  
$$0 \rightarrow C' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow R \otimes_S C' \rightarrow 0.$$
Degree 1 and satisfies $d_S$. Application of the functor $\text{Hom}_S(C, -)$ yields a long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^i_S(C, C') \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^i_S(C, R \otimes_S C') \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^{i+1}_S(C, C') \longrightarrow \cdots$$

By assumption, $\text{Ext}^2_S(C, C') = 0 = \text{Ext}^{i+1}_S(C, C')$ for $i \geq 1$, and it follows that $\text{Ext}^2_S(C, R \otimes_S C') = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

(ii): This is proved dually to part (i), using instead Lemma 1.7(iv) and the existence of an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(R, C) \longrightarrow C \xrightarrow{f} C \longrightarrow 0$$

for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$. \hfill \square

2. C-factorizations and total acyclicity

Let $f \in S$. Extending the classic notion of matrix factorizations [8], Dyckerhoff and Murfet define [7] a linear factorization of $f$ to be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded $S$-module $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ together with an $S$-linear differential $d : M \to M$ that is homogeneous of degree 1 and satisfies $d^2 = f1_M$. We often write such a linear factorization as

$$(M, d) = (M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0),$$

where $d_1d_0 = f1_{M_0}$ and $d_0d_1 = f1_{M_1}$.

A morphism $\alpha : (M, d) \to (M', d')$ of linear factorizations of $f$ is a degree 0 map which commutes with the differentials on $M$ and $M'$; it consists of maps $\alpha_i : M_i \to M'_i$, for $i = 0, 1$, making the following diagram commute:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & M_0 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & M_1 \\
\downarrow{\alpha_1} & & \downarrow{\alpha_0} & & \downarrow{\alpha_1} \\
M'_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_1} & M'_0 & \xrightarrow{d'_0} & M'_1
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$. A C-factorization of $f$ is a linear factorization $(M, d)$ such that $M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Denote by $F(\mathcal{C}, f)$ the category whose objects are $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $f$ and whose morphisms are those described above.

In particular, if $\text{prj}(S)$ is the category of finitely generated projective $S$-modules, then a $\text{prj}(S)$-factorization of $f$ is the same as the usual notion of a matrix factorization, that is, $F(\text{prj}(S), f) = MF(S, f)$.

We say two morphisms $\alpha, \beta : (M, d) \to (M', d')$ of linear factorizations are homotopic, and write $\alpha \sim \beta$, if there exists homomorphisms $h_0 : M_0 \to M'_1$ and $h_1 : M_1 \to M'_0$ satisfying the usual homotopy conditions:

$$\alpha_0 - \beta_0 = h_1d_0 + d'_1h_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1 - \beta_1 = h_0d_1 + d'_0h_1.$$  

From this, we define the associated homotopy category of C-factorizations of $f$, denoted $\text{HF}(\mathcal{C}, f)$, to be the homotopy category whose objects are the same as $F(\mathcal{C}, f)$ and whose morphisms are homotopy classes of morphisms of C-factorizations.

Lemma 2.2. Let $(M, d) \in F(\mathcal{C}, f)$. If $f$ is $M$-regular, then $d_1$ and $d_0$ are injective. If $f$ is $M$-divisible, then $d_1$ and $d_0$ are surjective.
Proof: First assume \( f \) is \( M \)-regular. The equality \( d_0d_1 = f1^{M_1} \) implies that for \( x \in M_1 \) with \( d_1(x) = 0 \), we have \( 0 = d_0(d_1(x)) = fx \). Since \( f \) is \( M \)-regular, it follows that \( x = 0 \), hence \( d_1 \) is injective. Injectivity of \( d_0 \) is proved similarly.

Next assume \( f \) is \( M \)-divisible. Let \( x \in M_0 \) be any element. Divisibility implies there exists \( y \in M_0 \) with \( fy = x \). Since \( d_1d_0 = f1^{M_0} \), we have \( d_1(d_0(y)) = fy = x \), hence \( d_1 \) is surjective. Surjectivity of \( d_0 \) is proved similarly. \( \square \)

Given a category \( C \) of \( S \)-modules, the notions of (left and right) \( C \)-totally acyclic complexes and (left and right) \( C \)-Gorenstein modules were defined in [6]; in the case where \( C \) is self-orthogonal, these notions simplify to the following equivalent characterizations; see [6, Propositions 1.3 and 1.5]. For an \( S \)-complex \( T \), we set \( Z_i(T) = \ker(d_i^T) \) for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( C \) be a self-orthogonal category of \( S \)-modules.

1. An \( S \)-complex \( T \) is \( C \)-totally acyclic if \( T \) is acyclic, \( T_i \in C \) for \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), and for every \( C \in C \), the complexes \( \text{Hom}_S(T,C) \) and \( \text{Hom}_S(C,T) \) are also acyclic.

2. An \( S \)-module \( M \) is \( C \)-Gorenstein if \( M = Z_0(T) \) for some \( C \)-totally acyclic complex \( T \).

The homotopy category of \( C \)-totally acyclic complexes is denoted \( K_{\text{tac}}(C) \). If \( C \) is additive, \( K_{\text{tac}}(C) \) is triangulated.

A \( \text{Prj}(S) \)-Gorenstein module is called a *Gorenstein projective module* and an \( \text{Inj}(S) \)-Gorenstein module is called a *Gorenstein injective module*; these are the standard notions appearing in the literature.

The next lemma is used below to relate cokernel modules of \( C \)-factorizations to totally acyclic complexes.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( C \) be a self-orthogonal subcategory of \( \text{Mod}(S) \), let \( f \in S \) be \( S \)-regular and \( C \)-regular, and set \( R = S/(f) \). If \( (M,d) \in \text{F}(C,f) \), then \( \text{coker}(d_1) \) and \( \text{coker}(d_0) \) are \( R \)-modules, and for any \( C \in C \) and \( i \geq 1 \) the following hold:

1. \( \text{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R \otimes_{S} C, \text{coker}(d_1)) = \text{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R \otimes_{S} C, \text{coker}(d_0)) \),
2. \( \text{Ext}_{R}^{i}(\text{coker}(d_1), R \otimes_{S} C) = \text{Ext}_{R}^{i}(\text{coker}(d_0), R \otimes_{S} C) \).

Proof. We prove the statements for \( \text{coker}(d_1) \); proofs for \( \text{coker}(d_0) \) are similar.

Note first that \( \text{coker}(d_1) \) is an \( R \)-module, since \( f \text{coker}(d_1) = 0 \); indeed, we have \( fM_0 \subseteq \text{im}(d_1) \) as \( f1^{M_0} = d_1d_0 \), and so \( f1^{M_0} \) induces the zero map on \( \text{coker}(d_1) \).

As \( f \) is \( C \)-regular, Lemma 2.2 yields an exact sequence

\[
1 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow \text{coker}(d_1) \longrightarrow 0.
\]

Let \( C \in C \). Application of \( \text{Hom}_S(C,-) \) to the exact sequence (1) yields a long exact sequence:

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{S}^{i}(C, M_0) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{S}^{i}(C, \text{coker}(d_1)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{S}^{i+1}(C, M_1) \longrightarrow \cdots
\]

As \( M_0 \) and \( M_1 \) are in \( C \), we obtain that \( \text{Ext}_{S}^{i}(C, M_0) = 0 = \text{Ext}_{S}^{i+1}(C, M_1) \) for \( i \geq 1 \), and hence \( \text{Ext}_{S}^{i}(C, \text{coker}(d_1)) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \). Since \( \text{coker}(d_1) \) is an \( R \)-module, Lemma 1.7(ii) now yields \( \text{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R \otimes_{S} C, \text{coker}(d_1)) \cong \text{Ext}_{S}^{i}(C, \text{coker}(d_1)) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 1 \). This gives (i).

For (ii), instead apply \( \text{Hom}_S(-, C) \) to the exact sequence (1) to obtain a long exact sequence for \( i \geq 1 \):

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{S}^{i}(M_1, C) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{S}^{i+1}(\text{coker}(d_1), C) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{S}^{i+1}(M_0, C) \longrightarrow \cdots
\]
As $M_0$ and $M_1$ are in $C$, we obtain that $\text{Ext}_S^i(M_1, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(M_0, C)$ for $i \geq 1$. It follows that $\text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(\text{coker}(d_1), C) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Employing Lemma 1.7(i), we obtain $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{coker}(d_1), R \otimes_S C) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(\text{coker}(d_1), C) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. □

If $M$ is an $S$-module, $\alpha$ is an $S$-homomorphism, and $R = S/(f)$, then we set $\overline{M} = R \otimes_S M$ and $\overline{\alpha} = R \otimes_S \alpha$; context should make this clear.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $C$ be a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular and $C$-regular, and set $R = S/(f)$. Let $(M, d) \in \mathcal{F}(C, f)$. The $R$-sequence

$$T^M := \cdots \to \overline{M}_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{d}} \overline{M}_0 \xrightarrow{\overline{d}} \overline{M}_1 \to \cdots$$

is acyclic. If $C$ is self-orthogonal, then $T^M$ is $R \otimes_S C$-totally acyclic.

**Proof.** First, as $d_1d_0 = f1_{M_0}$ and $d_0d_1 = f1_{M_1}$, we have $\overline{d_1} \overline{d_0} = 0 = \overline{d_0} \overline{d_1}$ and so the sequence $T^M$ is a complex of $R$-modules.

We now show $T^M$ is acyclic. Let $x \in M_1$ such that $\overline{x} \in \ker(\overline{d_1})$. It follows that $d_1(x) \in fM_0$, whence there exists $y \in M_0$ such that $d_1(x) = fy$. As $fy = d_1d_0(y)$, it follows that $d_1(x) = d_1d_0(y)$, hence $d_1(x - d_0(y)) = 0$. Injectivity of $d_1$, see Lemma 2.2, implies that $x = d_0(y)$. Hence $\overline{d_0(y)} = \overline{x}$, and so $H_{2i+1}(T^M) = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A similar argument (using injectivity of $d_0$) yields $H_{2i}(T^M) = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus proving the complex $T^M$ is acyclic.

Multiplication by $f$ on the exact sequence $0 \to M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0 \to \ker(d_1) \to 0$, along with the snake lemma, yields an exact sequence

$$\ker(d_1) \xrightarrow{f} \ker(d_1) \xrightarrow{\overline{d_1}} \ker(\overline{d_1}) \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} 0.$$

Since $\ker(d_1)$ is an $R$-module (see Lemma 2.4), this implies $\ker(\overline{d_1}) \cong \ker(d_1)$; similarly, $\ker(\overline{d_0}) \cong \ker(d_0)$. Acyclicity of $T^M$ gives $Z_{2i}(T^M) \cong \ker(d_0)$ and $Z_{2i+1}(T^M) \cong \ker(d_1)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Fix $C \in C$. To verify the complexes $\Hom_R(T^M, R \otimes_S C)$ and $\Hom_R(R \otimes_S C, T^M)$ are acyclic, it suffices to show that the exact sequences

$$0 \to \ker(d_0) \to \overline{M}_0 \to \ker(d_1) \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \ker(d_1) \to \overline{M}_1 \to \ker(d_0) \to 0$$

remain exact upon application of $\Hom_R(R \otimes_S C, -)$ and $\Hom_R(-, R \otimes_S C)$. This follows from Lemma 2.4. Therefore, as $R \otimes_S C$ is self-orthogonal by Proposition 1.8, we obtain that $T^M$ is $R \otimes_S C$-totally acyclic. □

We have the next dual results involving divisibility:

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $C$ be a self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular and $C$-divisible, and set $R = S/(f)$. If $(M, d) \in \mathcal{F}(C, f)$, then $\ker(d_1)$ and $\ker(d_0)$ are $R$-modules, and for any $C \in C$ and $i \geq 1$ the following hold:

(i) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\Hom_S(R, C), \ker(d_1)) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(\Hom_S(R, C), \ker(d_0))$,

(ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\ker(d_1), \Hom_S(R, C)) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(\ker(d_0), \Hom_S(R, C))$.

**Proof.** Dual to the proof of Lemma 2.4; use instead Lemma 1.7(iii,iv). □
Proposition 2.7. Let $C$ be a subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular and $C$-divisible, and set $R = S/(f)$. Let $(M, d) \in F(C, f)$. The $R$-sequence
\[
\overline{T}^M := \cdots \xrightarrow{(d_0)_*} \text{Hom}_S(R, M_1) \xrightarrow{(d_1)_*} \text{Hom}_S(R, M_0) \xrightarrow{(d_0)_*} \cdots
\]
is acyclic. If $C$ is self-orthogonal, then $\overline{T}^M$ is $\text{Hom}_S(R, C)$-totally acyclic.

Proof. Dual to the proof of Proposition 2.5; use instead Lemma 2.6.

3. A FULL AND FAITHFUL FUNCTOR

Let $C$ be a self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$. We denote by $K(C)$ the homotopy category of $C$, whose objects are complexes of modules in $C$ and morphisms are homotopy classes of degree zero chain maps. Further, we consider the full subcategory $K_{\text{tac}}(C)$ whose objects are the $C$-totally acyclic complexes in $K(C)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $C$ be an additive self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular and $C$-regular, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated functor
\[
T : HF(C, f) \longrightarrow K_{\text{tac}}(R \otimes_S C)
\]
defined, in notation from Proposition 2.5, as $T(M, d) = T^M$ and $T([\alpha]) = [\overline{\alpha}]$.

Proof. Let $[\alpha], [\beta] : (M, d) \rightarrow (M', d')$ be morphisms in $HF(C, f)$. Set $T^M$ and $T^{M'}$ as the complexes constructed in Proposition 2.5 and associated to $M$ and $M'$, respectively. We define $\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} : T^M \rightarrow T^{M'}$ as the evident 2-periodic chain maps induced by $\alpha$ and $\beta$. If $[\alpha] = [\beta]$, then there is a homotopy $h$ from $\alpha$ to $\beta$; this induces a 2-periodic homotopy $\overline{h}$ from $\overline{\alpha}$ to $\overline{\beta}$, implying that $[\overline{\alpha}] = [\overline{\beta}]$ in $K_{\text{tac}}(R \otimes_S C)$. Notice that as $\overline{T}^M = 1^{T^M}$, if $[\alpha] = [1^M]$, then $[\overline{\alpha}] = [1^{T^M}]$.

Define a functor $T : HF(C, f) \rightarrow K_{\text{tac}}(R \otimes_S C)$ as follows: For an object $(M, d)$, set $T((M, d)) = T^M$ per Proposition 2.5; for a morphism $[\alpha] : (M, d) \rightarrow (M', d')$, set $T([\alpha]) = [\overline{\alpha}]$. The above remarks justify that $T$ is well-defined on both objects and morphisms, that $T$ preserves identities, and that $T$ preserves compositions by the following equalities:
\[
T([\alpha])T([\beta]) = [\overline{\alpha}] [\overline{\beta}] = [(\overline{\alpha}) (\overline{\beta})] = [\overline{\alpha \beta}] = T([\alpha \beta]).
\]
Moreover, the functor $T$ respects the triangulated structures, that is, $T$ is additive, $T((M, d)[1]) = T^M[1] = T^M[1] = T((M, d))[1]$, and $T$ preserves exact triangles.

Lemma 3.2. Let $C$ be a self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $M, M' \in C$, and set $R = S/(f)$. If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(\overline{M}, \overline{M'})$, then there exists $\psi \in \text{Hom}_S(M, M')$ such that $\overline{\psi} = \varphi$.

Proof. Let $\varphi : \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M'}$ be an $R$-homomorphism. There is an exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow M' \overset{f}{\longrightarrow} M' \overset{\pi'}{\longrightarrow} \overline{M'} \longrightarrow 0.
\]
As $\text{Ext}^1_S(M, M') = 0$, we obtain an exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(M, M') \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(M, M') \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(M, \overline{M'}) \longrightarrow 0.
\]
Let $\pi : M \rightarrow \overline{M}$ be the canonical quotient map. The map $\varphi \pi \in \text{Hom}_S(M, \overline{M'})$ lifts to a map $\psi \in \text{Hom}_S(M, M')$ such that $\pi' \psi = \varphi \pi$, that is, $\overline{\psi} = \varphi$. □
The following arguments to show $T$ is full and faithful follow closely those given in [2], along with the needed results regarding lifting of maps (Lemma 3.2) and a notion for total acyclicity in this more general setting (as in Proposition 2.5).

**Proposition 3.3.** The functor $T$ in Proposition 3.1 is faithful.

*Proof.* Set $W = R \otimes_S C$. Let $[\alpha] : M \to M'$ be a morphism in $HF(C, f)$ such that $T([\alpha]) = [0]$ in $K_{\text{tac}}(W)$. Our goal is to show $[\alpha] = [0]$, that is, $\alpha$ is null homotopic in $F(C, f)$. Write $\alpha : M \to M'$ as:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_1 \\
\downarrow \alpha_1 \downarrow \alpha_0 \downarrow \alpha_1 \\
M'_1 \xrightarrow{d_1'} M'_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M'_1
\end{array}
$$

Let $\overline{\alpha} : T(M) \to T(M)$ denote the 2-periodic chain map induced by $\alpha$. The assumption $T([\alpha]) = [0]$ in $K_{\text{tac}}(W)$ implies that $\overline{\alpha}$ is null homotopic (i.e., $\overline{\alpha} \sim 0$). Let $\sigma$ be a null homotopy; notice, however, that $\sigma$ need not be 2-periodic. We have the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_0 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_0 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \cdots \\
\uparrow \overline{\sigma}_1 \\
\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} M_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} M_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} M_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} M_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} M_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \cdots
\end{array}
$$

In particular, we have the following relations (coming from degrees 1 and 2):

$$
\begin{align*}
(2) & \quad \overline{\alpha} = d_0' \sigma_1 + \sigma_0 d_1, \\
(3) & \quad \overline{\alpha}_0 = d_0' \sigma_2 + \sigma_1 d_0.
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.2 yields $S$-module homomorphism liftings $h_{2i} : M_0 \to M_1$ of $\sigma_{2i}$ and $h_{2i+1} : M_1 \to M_0$ of $\sigma_{2i+1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The exact sequence $0 \to M'_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} M'_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} M'_1 \to 0$ induces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \to \text{Hom}_S(M_1, M'_1) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Hom}_S(M_1, M'_0) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \text{Hom}_S(M_1, M'_1) \to 0,
$$

where $\pi_* = \text{Hom}_S(M_1, \pi)$. Since $\alpha_1 - d_0' h_1 - h_0 d_1 \in \ker(\pi_*)$ by (2), one obtains a map $\beta_1 \in \text{Hom}_S(M_1, M'_1)$ such that $f \beta_1 = \alpha_1 - d_0' h_1 - h_0 d_1$. Similarly, using instead (3), one obtains $\beta_2 \in \text{Hom}_S(M_0, M'_0)$ such that $f \beta_2 = \alpha_0 - d_0' h_2 - h_0 d_0$.

We modify $h_1$: Define $s_1 = h_1 + d_0' \beta_1$. We claim $(h_0, s_1)$ is a null homotopy of $\alpha : M \to M'$. First, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
d'_0 s_1 + h_0 d_1 & = d'_0 (h_1 + d_0' \beta_1) + h_0 d_1 \\
& = d'_0 h_1 + d'_0 d_0' \beta_1 + h_0 d_1 \\
& = d'_0 h_1 + f \beta_1 + h_0 d_1 \\
& = d'_0 h_1 + \alpha_1 - d_0' h_1 - h_0 d_1 + h_0 d_1 \\
& = \alpha_1.
\end{align*}
$$

Next, precomposing the equality $f \beta_1 = \alpha_1 - d'_0 h_1 - h_0 d_1$ with $d_0$ gives:
Hence \( \alpha : M \to M \) is homotopic to 0, i.e., \([\alpha] = [0]\) in \(\text{HF}(C, f)\). \qed

The following lemma allows us to build a homotopy, if we are given the first two steps. The proof uses an idea from [9, Lemma 2.6].

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( W \) be a self-orthogonal subcategory of \( \text{Mod}(S) \). Let \( \varphi : F \to G \) be a degree 0 chain map of \( W \)-totally acyclic complexes. If for some \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) there exists \( \sigma_j : F_j \to G_{j+1} \) and \( \sigma_{j-1} : F_{j-1} \to G_j \) with \( \varphi_j = d_{j+1}^G \sigma_j + \sigma_{j-1} d_j^F \), then there exist maps \( \sigma_i : F_i \to G_{i+1} \) with \( \varphi_i = d_{i+1}^G \sigma_i + \sigma_{i-1} d_i^F \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), i.e., \( \varphi \sim 0 \).

**Proof.** By shifting, it will be enough to argue the case when \( j = 0 \).

We first build the homotopy to the right, using descending induction. Assume, for \( 0 \geq i \geq n \), that we have defined \( \sigma_0, ..., \sigma_n, \sigma_{n-1} \) satisfying

\[
\varphi_i = d_{i+1}^G \sigma_i + \sigma_{i-1} d_i^F.
\]

Our aim is to define \( \sigma_{n-2} \) so that \( \varphi_{n-1} = d_n^G \sigma_{n-1} + \sigma_{n-2} d_{n-1}^F \). Since \( F \) is \( W \)-totally acyclic, and \( G_{n-1} \in W \), we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_S(Z_{n-2}(F), G_{n-1}) \to \text{Hom}_S(F_{n-1}, G_{n-1}) \to \text{Hom}_S(Z_{n-1}(F), G_{n-1}) \to 0.
\]

We claim that the map \( \varphi_{n-1} - d_n^G \sigma_{n-1} \) in \( \text{Hom}_S(F_{n-1}, G_{n-1}) \) restricts to 0 on \( Z_{n-1}(F) \): to see this, note first:

\[
(\varphi_{n-1} - d_n^G \sigma_{n-1}) d_n^F = d_n^G \varphi_n - d_n^G \sigma_{n-1} d_n^F
\]

\[
= d_n^G (\varphi_n - \sigma_{n-1} d_n^F)
\]

\[
= d_n^G d_{n+1}^G \sigma_n
\]

\[
= 0.
\]
Thus \((\varphi_{n-1} - d_n^G \sigma_{n-1})|_{Z_{n-1}(F)} = 0\). It follows then that \(\varphi_{n-1} - d_n^G \sigma_{n-1}\) lifts to a map \(\eta_{n-2} \in \text{Hom}_S(Z_{n-2}(F), G_{n-1})\) such that \(\eta_{n-2} d_{n-1}^G = \varphi_{n-1} - d_n^G \sigma_{n-1}\). We next have an exact sequence, because \(F\) is \(W\)-totally acyclic and \(G_{n-1} \in W, 0 \to \text{Hom}_S(Z_{n-3}(F), G_{n-1}) \to \text{Hom}_S(F_{n-2}, G_{n-1}) \to \text{Hom}_S(Z_{n-2}(F), G_{n-1}) \to 0\), showing that \(\eta_{n-2}\) lifts to \(\sigma_{n-2} \in \text{Hom}_S(F_{n-2}, G_{n-1})\) satisfying

\[
\sigma_{n-2} d_{n-1}^G = \varphi_{n-1} - d_n^G \sigma_{n-1}.
\]

Descending induction yields maps \(\sigma_i\) such that the equation (5) holds for \(i \leq 0\).

Next we turn to build maps to the left by induction. For \(0 \leq i \leq n\), assume we have built \(\sigma_{-1}, \sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_n\) satisfying (5). Note first that

\[
d_{n+1}^G(\varphi_{n+1} - \sigma_n d_{n+1}^F) = \varphi_n d_{n+1} - d_n^G \sigma_n d_{n+1}^F = (\varphi_n - d_n^G \sigma_n) d_{n+1}^F = \sigma_{n-1} d_n^F d_{n+1} = 0.
\]

Thus \(\varphi_{n+1} - \sigma_n d_{n+1}^F \in \text{Hom}_S(F_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}(G))\). There is an exact sequence, because \(G\) is \(W\)-totally acyclic and \(F_{n+1} \in W:\)

\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_S(F_{n+1}, Z_{n+2}(G)) \to \text{Hom}_S(F_{n+1}, G_{n+2}) \to \text{Hom}_S(F_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}(G)) \to 0.
\]

Thus there exists \(\sigma_{n+1} \in \text{Hom}_S(F_{n+1}, G_{n+2})\) such that \(d_{n+2}^G \sigma_{n+1} = \varphi_{n+1} - \sigma_n d_{n+1}^F\). Induction now yields the desired \(\sigma_i\) for all \(i \in \mathbb{Z}\) satisfying (5). \(\square\)

**Proposition 3.5.** The functor \(T\) in Proposition 3.1 is full.

**Proof.** Set \(W = R \otimes_S C\). Let \((M, d)\) and \((M', d')\) be objects in \(\text{HF}(C, f)\) and suppose \(\overline{\alpha} : T(M) \to T(M')\) is a degree 0 chain map, not necessarily 2-periodic, that represents a morphism \([\overline{\alpha}]\) in \(K_{\text{tot}}(W)\); in particular, we have a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \overset{\overline{\alpha_1}}{\longrightarrow} & M_0 & \overset{\overline{\alpha_0}}{\longrightarrow} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \overset{\overline{\alpha_1}}{\longrightarrow} & M_0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \overset{\overline{\alpha_2}}{\longrightarrow} & M_0 & \overset{\overline{\alpha_1}}{\longrightarrow} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \overset{\overline{\alpha_1}}{\longrightarrow} & M_0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\end{array}
\]

By Lemma 3.2, for \(i \in \mathbb{Z}\) we can lift \(\overline{\alpha_{2i}}\) to \(\alpha_{2i} : M_0 \to M_0\) and \(\overline{\alpha_{2i+1}}\) to \(\alpha_{2i+1} : M_1 \to M_1\). In particular, we obtain the following diagram that commutes modulo \(f:\)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_0 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & M_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & M_0 \\
\alpha_2 & & \alpha_1 & & \alpha_0 \\
M_0' & \xrightarrow{d_0'} & M_1' & \xrightarrow{d_1'} & M_0' \\
\end{array}
\]

The exact sequence \(0 \to M_1' \xrightarrow{f} M_1' \xrightarrow{\pi} M_1 \to 0\) induces an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(M_0, M_1') \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_S(M_0, f)} \text{Hom}_S(M_0, M_1') \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \text{Hom}_S(M_0, M_1) \longrightarrow 0.
\]

Since \(\alpha_1 d_0 - d_0' \alpha_2 \in \ker(\pi_*)\), there exists a map \(\sigma_0 \in \text{Hom}_S(M_0, M_1')\) such that

\[
\alpha_1 d_0 - d_0' \alpha_2 = f \sigma_0.
\]
Similarly, there exists $\sigma_1 \in \text{Hom}_S(M_1, M'_1)$ such that

$\alpha_0 d_1 - d'_1 \alpha_1 = f \sigma_1$.  

\(7\)

We now define new maps in order to construct a morphism in $F(C, f)$; define

$\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + d'_0 \sigma_0$, and

$\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 + d'_0 \sigma_1 + \sigma_0 d_1$.

We aim to verify that the following diagram is commutative:

\[M_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0 \]

\[\downarrow \gamma_0 \quad \downarrow \gamma_1 \quad \downarrow \gamma_0\]

\[M'_0 \xrightarrow{d'_0} M'_1 \xrightarrow{d'_1} M'_0\]

The equality (7), along with $d_1 d_0 = f1^{M_0}$ and $d'_0 d'_1 = f 1^{M'_0}$, imply

$fd'_0 \sigma_1 d_0 = d'_0 (\alpha_0 d_1 - d'_1 \alpha_1) d_0 = f d'_0 \alpha_0 - f \alpha_1 d_0$,

and so as $f$ is a non-zerodivisor on $M'_1$ we have

$\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + d'_0 \sigma_0 - \alpha_1 d_0$.  

\(9\)

First we verify the left square of (8) commutes:

$\gamma_1 d_0 = (\alpha_1 + d'_0 \sigma_1 + \sigma_0 d_1) d_0$

$= \alpha_1 d_0 + \sigma_0 d_1 + f \sigma_0$

$= \alpha_1 d_0 + (d'_0 \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 d_0) + f \sigma_0$, by (9),

$= d'_0 \alpha_0 + f \sigma_0$

$= d'_0 \alpha_0 + d'_0 d'_1 \sigma_0$

$= d'_0 (\alpha_0 + d'_1 \sigma_0)$

$= d'_0 \gamma_0$.

Next we verify the right square of (8) commutes:

$d'_1 \gamma_1 = d'_1 (\alpha_1 + d'_0 \sigma_1 + \sigma_0 d_1)$

$= d'_1 \alpha_1 + f \sigma_1 + d'_1 \sigma_0 d_1$

$= d'_1 \alpha_1 + d_0 d_1 - d'_1 \alpha_1 + d'_1 \sigma_0 d_1$, by (7),

$= \alpha_0 d_1 + d'_1 \sigma_0 d_1$

$= (\alpha_0 + d'_1 \sigma_0) d_1$

$= \gamma_0 d_1$.

Thus $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ is a morphism $(M, d) \to (M', d')$ in $F(C, f)$.

We next claim $\overline{\pi} \sim \overline{\gamma}$, i.e., that $T(\overline{\gamma}) = \overline{T(\pi)}$. We start with the following diagram (displaying homological degrees 3 to 1):
Matrix factorizations for self-orthogonal categories of modules

In this section, we consider the subcategory $\mathcal{W}$ of self-orthogonal complexes in $\mathcal{C}$ and maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R$-modules. Let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular and $\mathcal{C}$-divisible, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated functor $\overline{T}: \text{HF}(\mathcal{C}, f) \to K_{\text{ac}}(\text{Hom}(R, \mathcal{C}))$ that is full and faithful.

**Proof.** One first notices that a version of Proposition 3.1 holds, by defining a functor $\overline{T}$ using Proposition 2.7. Then using a dual version of Lemma 3.2, one can establish analogues of Propositions 3.3 and 3.5.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular and $\mathcal{C}$-regular, and set $R = S/(f)$. The triangulated functor $T : \text{HF}(\mathcal{C}, f) \to K_{\text{ac}}(\text{Hom}(R \otimes_S \mathcal{C}))$ is full and faithful.

**Proof.** Combine Propositions 3.1, 3.3, and 3.5.

In fact, the results of this section have dual statements involving divisibility. In summary, one can show the following:

The subcategory $\mathcal{W}$ is self-orthogonal by Proposition 1.8. As $T(M, d)$ and $T(M', d')$ are $\mathcal{W}$-totally acyclic complexes, Lemma 3.4 shows that $\overline{\sigma}$ and $\overline{\sigma}$ extend to a null homotopy, giving $\overline{\gamma} \sim \overline{\alpha}$. It follows that $T([\gamma]) = [\alpha]$ hence $\overline{T}$ is full. □

The following recovers [2, Theorem 3.4] when one takes $\mathcal{C} = \text{proj}(S)$.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular and $\mathcal{C}$-regular, and set $R = S/(f)$. The triangulated functor $\text{HF}(\mathcal{C}, f) \to K_{\text{ac}}(\text{Hom}(R \otimes_S \mathcal{C}))$ is full and faithful.

**Proof.** Combine Propositions 3.1, 3.3, and 3.5.

In fact, the results of this section have dual statements involving divisibility. In summary, one can show the following:

The subcategory $\mathcal{W}$ is self-orthogonal by Proposition 1.8. As $T(M, d)$ and $T(M', d')$ are $\mathcal{W}$-totally acyclic complexes, Lemma 3.4 shows that $\overline{\sigma}$ and $\overline{\sigma}$ extend to a null homotopy, giving $\overline{\gamma} \sim \overline{\alpha}$. It follows that $T([\gamma]) = [\alpha]$ hence $\overline{T}$ is full. □

The following recovers [2, Theorem 3.4] when one takes $\mathcal{C} = \text{proj}(S)$.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive self-orthogonal subcategory of $\text{Mod}(S)$, let $f \in S$ be $S$-regular and $\mathcal{C}$-regular, and set $R = S/(f)$. The triangulated functor $\text{HF}(\mathcal{C}, f) \to K_{\text{ac}}(\text{Hom}(R \otimes_S \mathcal{C}))$ is full and faithful.

**Proof.** Combine Propositions 3.1, 3.3, and 3.5.

In fact, the results of this section have dual statements involving divisibility. In summary, one can show the following:

The subcategory $\mathcal{W}$ is self-orthogonal by Proposition 1.8. As $T(M, d)$ and $T(M', d')$ are $\mathcal{W}$-totally acyclic complexes, Lemma 3.4 shows that $\overline{\sigma}$ and $\overline{\sigma}$ extend to a null homotopy, giving $\overline{\gamma} \sim \overline{\alpha}$. It follows that $T([\gamma]) = [\alpha]$ hence $\overline{T}$ is full. □

**4. Equivalences for projective and injective factorizations**

In this section, we consider $\text{Prj}(S)$- and $\text{Inj}(S)$-factorizations, referred to as projective and injective factorizations, respectively. Our goal here is to show that if $S$ is a regular local ring, $f \in S$ is nonzero, and $R = S/(f)$, then projective factorizations correspond to Gorenstein projective $R$-modules; this can be considered as an extension of the classic bijection [8, Corollary 6.3] between matrix factorizations (having no trivial direct summand) and maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R$-modules (having no free direct summand). Dually, we observe a correspondence between injective factorizations and Gorenstein injective $R$-modules.

If one considers $\text{prj}(S)$ in place of $\text{Prj}(S)$ in the next result, then the classic proof, as in [8], uses the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula. However, we use an approach here that does not require the modules to be finitely generated.

**Proposition 4.1.** Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. If $M$ is a Gorenstein projective $R$-module, then there exists a projective factorization $(P, d) \in F(\text{Prj}(S), f)$ with $\operatorname{coker}(d_1) = M$.
Proof. Let $M$ be a Gorenstein projective $R$-module. As $fM = 0$, a result of Bennis and Mahdou [1, Theorem 4.1] yields $\text{Gpd}_S M = \text{Gpd}_R M + 1 = 1$, where Gpd denotes Gorenstein projective dimension. As $S$ is regular, $M$ has finite projective dimension over $S$, thus by [5, 4.4.7] we have $\text{pd}_S M = \text{Gpd}_S M = 1$.

We construct a projective factorization of $f$ which corresponds to $M$. Choose a projective resolution $P$ of $M$ over $S$ having the form $0 \to P_1 \overset{d_1}{\to} P_0 \to M \to 0$. Application of $\text{Hom}_S(P_0, -)$ to this sequence gives an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(P_0, P_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(P_0, P_0) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(P_0, M) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

As $fM = 0$, the map $f1_{P_0}$ is sent to 0, hence this sequence shows there exists a map $d_0 : P_0 \to P_1$ such that $d_1 d_0 = f1_{P_0}$. Further, $d_1(d_0 d_1) = f1_{P_0}d_1 = d_1(f1_{P_0})$, and since $d_1$ is injective this implies that $d_0 d_1 = f1_{P_0}$. It follows that $(P, d)$ is a projective factorization of $f$ such that $\text{coker}(d_1) = M$. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated equivalence

$$
\text{HF}(\text{Prj}(S), f) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{K}_{\text{tac}}(\text{Prj}(R))
$$

given by the functor from Proposition 3.1.

**Proof.** The triangulated functor $T$ given in Proposition 3.1, applied to $C = \text{Prj}(S)$, is full and faithful by Theorem 3.6. Also note that $R \otimes_S \text{Prj}(S) = \text{Prj}(R)$ (see Remark 1.5) and so the functor $T$ has the claimed codomain. It remains to show $T$ is essentially surjective. Let $T \in \text{K}_{\text{tac}}(\text{Prj}(R))$. Then $Z_0(T)$ is a Gorenstein projective $R$-module. By Proposition 4.1 there is a $\text{Prj}(S)$-factorization $(P, d)$ such that $\text{coker}(d_1) = Z_0(T)$.

We argue that $T(P, d)$ is homotopic to $T$. Notice that $Z_0(T(P, d)) = Z_0(T)$ by construction. There exists a degree 0 chain map $\phi : T(P, d) \to T$ that lifts the identity map $Z_0(T(P, d)) \xrightarrow{\cong} Z_0(T)$ by [6, Lemma 3.1]; the lifting $\phi$ is a homotopy equivalence by [6, Proposition 3.3.3(b)]. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated equivalence between $\text{HF}(\text{Prj}(S), f)$ and the stable category of Gorenstein projective $R$-modules.

**Proof.** Combine Theorem 4.2 with the equivalence between $\text{K}_{\text{tac}}(\text{Prj}(R))$ and the stable category of Gorenstein projective $R$-modules; see e.g., [6, Example 3.10]. \hfill $\square$

We have dual results for injective factorizations:

**Proposition 4.4.** Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. If $M$ is a Gorenstein injective $R$-module, then there exists an injective factorization $(I, d) \in \text{F(Inf}(S), f)$ with $\text{ker}(d_1) = M$.

**Proof.** Dual to the proof of Proposition 4.1, where one instead uses [1, Theorem 4.2] in place of [1, Theorem 4.1] and [5, 6.2.6] in place of [5, 4.4.7]. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated equivalence

$$
\text{HF}(\text{Inf}(S), f) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{K}_{\text{tac}}(\text{Inf}(R))
$$

given by the functor from Theorem 3.7.
Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2; appeal instead to Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 4.4. □

Corollary 4.6. Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated equivalence between $\mathcal{HF}(\text{Inj}(S), f)$ and the stable category of Gorenstein injective $R$-modules.

Proof. Combine Theorem 4.5 and the equivalence between $\mathcal{K}_{\text{facs}}(\text{Inj}(R))$ and the stable category of Gorenstein injective $R$-modules; see [13, Proposition 7.2]. □

5. An equivalence for flat cotorsion factorizations

In this section, assume $S$ is a commutative noetherian ring. We give an equivalence in the case of the self-orthogonal category $\text{FlatCot}(S)$. The approach is similar to the previous section, but requires some extra care; in particular, we must establish a fact corresponding to the one from [1] used in the previous section.

Denote by $M_p^\wedge = \varprojlim(S/p^n \otimes_S M)$ the $p$-adic completion of an $S$-module $M$. An $S$-module $M$ is flat cotorsion if and only if it is isomorphic to a product over $p \in \text{Spec } S$ of completions of free $S_p$-modules, that is, $M \cong \prod_{p \in \text{Spec } S} (\bigoplus B_p S_p)_p^\wedge$ for some sets $B_p$; see [10].

Lemma 5.1. Let $\pi : S \to R$ be a surjective ring homomorphism. Then we have an equality $R \otimes_S \text{FlatCot}(S) = \text{FlatCot}(R)$.

Proof. First notice that for a flat cotorsion $S$-module $\prod_{p \in \text{Spec } S} (\bigoplus B_p S_p)_p^\wedge$, there is an isomorphism

$$R \otimes (\prod_{p \in \text{Spec } S} (\bigoplus B_p S_p)_p^\wedge) \cong \prod_{p \in \text{Spec } S} (\bigoplus B_p R_{p(\pi(p))} S_p)_p^\wedge,$$

since $R$ is finitely generated as an $S$-module. It is now immediate that there is an inclusion $R \otimes_S \text{FlatCot}(S) \subseteq \text{FlatCot}(R)$. The other inclusion follows by observing that every flat cotorsion $R$-module can be expressed in a form given by the right side of this isomorphism, since $\text{Spec } R = \pi(\text{Spec } S)$.

The next lemma is needed in place of the corresponding change of rings facts for Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective dimensions proved in [1]. We refer to a $\text{FlatCot}(S)$-totally acyclic complex as a totally acyclic complex of flat cotorsion $S$-modules and a $\text{FlatCot}(S)$-Gorenstein module as a Gorenstein flat cotorsion $S$-module;

Lemma 5.2. Let $f \in S$ be a regular element and set $R = S/(f)$. Let $M$ be a Gorenstein flat cotorsion $R$-module. There is an exact sequence of $S$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

with $M'$ a Gorenstein flat cotorsion $S$-module and $F$ a flat cotorsion $S$-module.

Proof. As $M$ is a Gorenstein flat cotorsion $R$-module, there is a totally acyclic complex $T$ of flat cotorsion $R$-modules such that $Z_0(T) = M$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may find—because flat covers exist for all modules [3]—a surjective flat cover $F_i \to Z_i(T)$ over $S$; the kernel $K_i = \ker(F_i \to Z_i(T))$ is cotorsion by Wakamatsu’s

\footnote{In this setting, these are by [6, Theorem 5.2] precisely the modules that are both Gorenstein flat—that is, cycle modules in $F$-totally acyclic complexes of flat modules—and cotorsion; however, this is not needed in this paper.}
Lemma [21, Lemma 2.1.1]. In fact, since \( Z_i(T) \) is a cotorsion \( R \)-module, it is also a cotorsion \( S \)-module for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) by [21, Proposition 3.3.3], hence \( F_i \) is flat cotorsion for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Indeed, \( Z_i(T) \) being a cotorsion \( S \)-module also yields 
\[
\text{Ext}^1_S(F_{i-1}, Z_i(T)) = 0;
\]
from this and the snake lemma we obtain, for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & K_1 & \rightarrow & T'_i & \rightarrow & K_{i-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & F_1 & \rightarrow & F_i \oplus F_{i-1} & \rightarrow & F_{i-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & Z_i(T) & \rightarrow & T_i & \rightarrow & Z_{i-1}(T) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

As \( K_i \) and \( K_{i-1} \) are cotorsion \( S \)-modules, so is \( T'_i \). Additionally, as \( T_i \) is a flat \( R \)-module, \( \text{fd}_S T_i = 1 \); see Remark 1.6. It follows that \( T'_i \) is a flat \( S \)-module.

Now glue together the short exact sequences from the top rows of these diagrams to obtain an acyclic complex \( T' \) of flat cotorsion \( S \)-modules with \( Z_i(T') = K_i \) for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Fix a flat cotorsion \( S \)-module \( N \). Evidently, as each \( K_i \) is cotorsion, we obtain \( \text{Hom}_S(N, T') \) is acyclic. Moreover, for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \),

\[
\text{Ext}^1_S(K_i, N) \cong \text{Ext}^2_S(Z_i(T), N) \cong \text{Ext}^1_R(Z_i(T), R \otimes_S N) = 0,
\]

where the first isomorphism follows from the left vertical sequence in the diagram and the second follows from Lemma 1.7(i). For the last equality, note that as \( N \) is a flat cotorsion \( S \)-module, we have \( R \otimes_S N \) is a flat cotorsion \( R \)-module by Lemma 5.1. It now follows that \( \text{Hom}_S(T', N) \) is also acyclic. Thus \( T' \) is a totally acyclic complex of flat cotorsion \( S \)-modules. In particular, \( Z_0(T') = K_0 \) is a Gorenstein flat cotorsion \( S \)-module, and the claim follows.

**Proposition 5.3.** Assume \( S \) is a regular local ring, let \( f \in S \) be nonzero, and set \( R = S/(f) \). If \( M \) is a Gorenstein flat cotorsion \( R \)-module, then there exists a flat cotorsion factorization \( (F, d) \in \mathcal{F}(\text{FlatCot}(S), f) \) with \( \text{coker}(d_1) = M \).

**Proof.** Let \( M \) be a Gorenstein flat cotorsion \( R \)-module. Lemma 5.2 above yields an exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,
\]

where \( F_1 \) is a Gorenstein flat cotorsion \( S \)-module and \( F_0 \) is a flat cotorsion \( S \)-module. Further, as \( S \) is regular, \( M \) has finite flat dimension over \( S \), thus by [5, 5.2.8] we have \( \text{fd}_S M = \text{Gfd}_S M \). Since \( \text{Gfd}_S M \leq 1 \) we thus have \( \text{fd}_S M \leq 1 \) and it follows that \( F_1 \) is flat cotorsion.

We construct a flat cotorsion factorization of \( f \) which corresponds to \( M \). Application of \( \text{Hom}_S(F_0, -) \) to the sequence above gives an exact sequence:

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F_0, F_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F_0, F_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F_0, M) \rightarrow 0.
\]
As $fM = 0$, the map $f1^{F_0}$ is sent to 0, hence this sequence shows that there exists a map $d_0: F_0 \to F_1$ such that $d_1d_0 = f1^{F_0}$. Further, $d_1(d_0d_1) = f1^{F_0}d_1 = d_1(f1^{F_1})$, and since $d_1$ is injective this implies that $d_0d_1 = f1^{F_1}$. It follows that $(F, d)$ is a flat cotorsion factorization of $f$ such that $\text{coker}(d_1) = M$.

**Theorem 5.4.** Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated equivalence

$$\text{HF}(\text{FlatCot}(S), f) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\text{tac}}(\text{FlatCot}(R))$$

given by the functor in Proposition 3.1.

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2, using Proposition 5.3 in place of Proposition 4.1. □

**Corollary 5.5.** Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated equivalence between $\text{HF}(\text{FlatCot}(S), f)$ and the stable category of Gorenstein flat cotorsion $R$-modules.

**Proof.** This equivalence follows from Theorem 5.4 and the triangulated equivalence between $K_{\text{tac}}(\text{FlatCot}(R))$ and the stable category of Gorenstein flat cotorsion $R$-modules given in [6, Summary 5.7]. □

One motivation for considering this previous situation of totally acyclic complexes of flat cotorsion $R$-modules is its relation to the next analogue of the singularity category as described by Murfet and Salarian [16].

The pure derived category of flat $R$-modules is defined as the Verdier quotient $D(\text{Flat}(R)) = K(\text{Flat}(R))/K_{\text{pac}}(\text{Flat}(R))$ of the homotopy category of flat $R$-modules by its subcategory of pure acyclic complexes of flat $R$-modules. Neeman proves in [17, Theorem 1.2] that $D(\text{Flat}(R))$ is equivalent to $K(\text{Prj}(R))$, and moreover, Murfet and Salarian show [16, Lemma 4.22] that $D_{\text{F-tac}}(\text{Flat}(R))$, the subcategory of $D(\text{Flat}(R))$ of $\mathbf{F}$-totally acyclic complexes, is equivalent to $K_{\text{tac}}(\text{Prj}(R))$, assuming that $R$ is a commutative noetherian ring having finite Krull dimension.

**Corollary 5.6.** Assume $S$ is a regular local ring, let $f \in S$ be nonzero, and set $R = S/(f)$. There is a triangulated equivalence

$$\text{HF}(\text{FlatCot}(S), f) \xrightarrow{\cong} D_{\text{F-tac}}(\text{Flat}(R)).$$

**Proof.** Combine Theorem 5.4 and [6, Summary 5.7]. □

**Acknowledgments**

We are grateful to Mark Walker for comments on an early version of this paper.

**References**


Petter Andreas Bergh, Institutt for matematiske fag, NTNU, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

*E-mail address*: petter.bergh@ntnu.no

Peder Thompson, Institutt for matematiske fag, NTNU, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

*E-mail address*: peder.thompson@ntnu.no