Many-body Chaos in a Thermalised Fluid
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We use a new measure of many-body chaos for classical systems—cross-correlators—to show that in a thermalised fluid (obtained from a non-linear, prototypical equation of hydrodynamics sharing formal similarities with models of turbulence) characterised by a temperature $T$ and $N_G$ degrees of freedom, the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$ scales as $N_G \sqrt{T}$. This bound, obtained from detailed numerical simulations and theoretical estimates, provides compelling evidence not only for recent conjectures $\lambda \sim \sqrt{T}$ for chaotic, equilibrium, classical many-body systems, as well as, numerical results from frustrated spin systems, but also, remarkably, show that $\lambda$ scales linearly with the degrees of freedom in a finite-dimensional, classical, chaotic system.

Introduction: Recent measurements [1–5] of the spatio-temporal spread of initially localised decorrelations, via cross-correlators, have shed new light on the ubiquitous features of the butterfly effect [6–9] in non-integrable (chaotic) classical many-body systems suggesting interesting connections between many-body chaos and central ideas of statistical approaches to classical and quantum many-body physics, namely, ergodicity and thermalisation [10]. These cross-correlators, defined as $\langle O_1(x,t)O_2(x,t) \rangle$, which measure the correlation between the local fields $O(x,t)$ of two (I and II) copies of the same system differing only infinitesimally in their initial conditions, are thus a useful tool to probe how fast two nearly-identical copies of a system decorrelate. Indeed, such cross-correlators have a straightforward analogy to its quantum mechanical counterpart, obtained through a proper quantization of the classical fields, and christened out-of-time commutators (OTOCs) [2, 11–16].

A central aspect of the evolution of cross-correlators and OTOCs in ergodic many-body systems, hinges upon the complementary growth in decorrelation through space and time. The former is measured through a butterfly speed $v_B$, while the latter is characterised by a Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$. Such ballistic spread of decorrelations are observed even in systems where the conserved quantities diffuse ballistic sound modes are absent [2, 3]. Remarkably, for quantum systems at temperature $T$, the uncertainty principle provides for a strong upper bound for the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda \leq T/\hbar$ limiting the rate of scrambling of a quantum many-body system [13]. More recently, ideas based on the geometry of the phase-space leads to a suggestion that $\lambda \propto \sqrt{T}$ for classical, chaotic, many-body systems at low temperatures [13, 17].

It has been, furthermore, recently conjectured that these chaotic time and length-scales, $\lambda^{-1}$ and $v_B/\lambda$, respectively, may determine transport coefficients such as diffusion constant $D$ of conserved currents as $D \sim v_B^2/\lambda$ in a class of strongly coupled quantum field theories [18–22]. Interestingly, this has been numerically found to hold even in classical frustrated magnets [3] at low temperatures. While in quantum systems this typically happens due to strong interactions, such as in coupled Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) [12, 23–25] model and strongly coupled field theories [26–28] or black-holes [29], in classical systems such as classical frustrated magnets or glasses, such a behaviour is presumably due to competing interactions leading large amount of unquenched entropy up to very low temperatures. All of this suggests possible connection between chaos and transport in strongly correlated many-body systems—both classical and quantum. However, so far, we know very little about the regime of validity for such relations. Thus, in order to explore these and other possible connections, there is a pressing need to understand the detailed behaviour of the time and length-scales of chaos and, in particular, their dependence on properties of the system such as temperature, energy density and other possible conserved quantities in diverse class of correlated many-body systems.

Curiously, the recent tools to measure spatio-temporal chaos have so far not been explored in the context of its most obvious chaotic setting, namely turbulent flows and, more generally, non-linear equations of hydrodynamics where some of these questions are equally important and can be systematically explored in a concrete setting to gain complementary insights about the characteristics of hydrodynamic flows. This is despite the fact that equations of hydrodynamics, such as the Navier-Stokes, are the most well-known examples of a classical, chaotic dynamical system whose solutions, in the inviscid limit, are turbulent. These equations, however, are also dissipative which, despite the statistical nature of their solutions, makes connection with statistical physics arduous.

In this paper, by using a combination of detailed numerical simulations and scaling arguments, we capture spatio-temporal chaos as measured through an appropriately defined cross-correlator for a prototypical equation of hydrodynamics, namely the Galerkin-truncated Burgers equation in one dimension. Since the early works of Hopf [30] and Lee [31], it is known that the inviscid partial differential equations of an ideal fluid—such as the Euler and Burgers equation—under suitable (Fourier) Galerkin projections (defined precisely below) which make them finite-dimensional, yield solutions which eventually thermalise. Hence, Galerkin-
truncated hydrodynamic equations remains a fascinatingly rich model at the interface of equilibrium and non-equilibrium statistical physics. And remarkably, the chaotic yet thermalised, long-time solutions of such equations, present a situation not dissimilar to that of frustrated magnets where the microscopic memory, including the length-scales and time-scales do not dictate the dynamical correlations. This is thus an ideal model for not only understanding the spread of localised perturbations in a classical system through the cross-correlator functions, but also as a first step in looking at the emergence of spatio-temporal chaos in turbulence. In particular, we provide convincing evidence that not only does the Lyapunov exponent scale as, \( \sqrt{T} \), it also scales linearly with the effective degrees of freedom of the system. All of this, of course, sets the stage to precisely define the microscopic model that we use and how it allows us to define both the temperature and the effective degrees of freedom in our system.

**The Burgers Equation:** It is useful to begin with the un-truncated, one-dimensional, inviscid Burgers equation

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} = 0, \tag{1}
\]

describing the evolution of a compressible velocity field \( u(x) \), with \( 2\pi \) periodic boundary conditions and augmented by an initial condition \( u_0(x) \). Within the space of \( 2\pi \) periodic solutions, an expansion of the solution of Eq. (1) in an infinite Fourier series allows us to define the Galerkin projector \( P_{K_G} \) as a low-pass filter which sets all modes with wavenumbers \( |k| \geq K_G \), where \( K_G \) is a positive (large) integer, to zero via \( P_{K_G} u(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq K_G} e^{ikx} \hat{u}_k \). The truncation wavenumber \( K_G \) denotes the number of Fourier modes kept and hence is a measure of the effective number of degree of freedom as well as providing a microscopic (ultraviolet) cut-off for the system. These definitions lead to the Galerkin-truncated inviscid Burgers equation [32–34]

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + P_{K_G} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v^2}{\partial x} = 0; \tag{2}
\]

where \( v = P_{K_G} u \) with the initial conditions \( v_0 = P_{K_G} u_0 \) similarly projected onto the subspace spanned by \( K_G \).

(For the three-dimensional, incompressible Euler equations, the same definitions follow [35].)

It is useful to recall that the solution \( u \) of Eq. (1) admits real singularities, which manifests itself as pre-shocks and then shocks in the velocity profile, at a finite time \( t_* \) (which depends on \( u_0 \)) and dissipates energy, for time \( t > t_* \) through such shocks even in the absence of a viscous term. Indeed it is these shocks which result in the well-known kinetic energy (Fourier) spectrum \( E(k) \equiv |\hat{u}_k|^2 \sim k^{-2} \) \([36, 37]\) at times much larger than \( t_* \), but before all the kinetic energy has dissipated.

The truncated solution \( v \), on the other hand, does not have a finite-time blow-up. It stays smooth, conserves energy for all times, and eventually, through the birth of tygers [33], lead to thermalised solutions with energy equipartition \( E(k) \sim k^0 \) \([36,38–42]\), consistent with the general \( d \)-dimensional form \( E(k) \sim k^{d-1} \).

**Numerical details:** We perform state-of-the-art detailed direct numerical simulations (DNSs) of the Galerkin-truncated Burgers equation (2), by using a standard pseudo-spectral method, on a \( 2\pi \)-periodic line, with periodic boundary conditions, and a fourth-order Runge-Kutta method, with a time-step \( \delta t \) for time-marching. We choose two different values of \( K_G \), namely \( K_G = 1000 \) (\( \delta t = 10^{-3} \)) and \( 5000 \) (\( \delta t = 10^{-4} \)): this allows us to set the number of degrees of freedom \( N_G = 1000 \) and \( 5000 \) (corresponding to the two values of \( K_G \)) while performing simulations with \( N = 2^{14} \) collocation points for spatial discretization. For initial conditions, as is common in such studies, we use a combination of trigonometric functions as our initial conditions: \( v_0 = A_0 \left[ \sin x + \sin(2x - 0.2) + \sin(5x - 0.4) + \sin(7x - 0.5) \right] \); the precise functional form of the initial conditions is immaterial with the total conserved momentum \( \int_0^{2\pi} v_0 \, dx = 0 \). Further, changing the numerical constant \( A_0 \), allows us to change the energy \( E = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v_0^2 \, dx = 2A_0^2 \) of our system; this initial energy is of course conserved for all time as we solve the Galerkin-truncated equation (2). We have, in our simulations, used 11 different values of \( A_0 \) and have checked that our results are insensitive to the precise combination of the trigonometric functions in the initial conditions or unaffected by temporal truncation errors.

**Thermalised solutions:** In Fig. 1 we show a representative snapshot of the velocity field \( v \) at time \( t = 10.0 \) (red circles) over-layed on the initial velocity profile (black line) for an initial condition with \( A_0 = 1.0 \). We also show, for comparison, the entropy solution \( u \) (blue cir-
icles), displaying shocks, which, as we have described before, has a very different profile from that of the truncated solution \( v \). Indeed it is visually suggestive that the Galerkin-truncated solution \( v \) at such large times is much like a white-noise. Hence, the energy spectrum (Fig. 1, inset), averaged in time in this thermalised regime, shows a flat spectrum \( E(k) \sim k^0 \) (red circles), with the energy of each mode \( \vert \hat{v}_k \vert^2 = E/N_G \), unlike the one corresponding to the entropy solution (blue squares) showing the characteristic \( E(k) \sim k^{-2} \). The fact that the solution for the truncated equations are indeed thermalised and show a Gaussian distribution is best illustrated by calculating the probability distribution function (pdf) of the velocity field \( v(x) \) in physical space [41] (see, also Appendix A). In Fig. 5 we show representative plots of the pdf of \( v \) for three different values of \( A_0 \). We clearly observe a Gaussian distribution \( \mathcal{P}[v(x)] = Ce^{-\frac{v(x)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \), where \( C \) is a normalising constant, with a broadening (standard deviation) \( \sigma \) determined by the total energy: \( \sigma \propto A_0 \). This then allows us to associate a temperature \( T = 2\sigma^2 = E \).

**Cross-correlators:** All of this sets the stage for addressing the primary question of probing the growth of perturbations in a classical, chaotic system. To do this, we choose from the ensemble of thermalised solutions (as illustrated in Figs. 1 and 5), a velocity field \( \hat{v}_0 \) and generate a second, perturbed velocity field (in Fourier space) \( \hat{v}_0^{\text{copy}} = \hat{v}_0^{\text{th}} (1 + \epsilon_0 \delta_{k,k_p}) \).

We choose the perturbation amplitudes \( \epsilon_0 = 10^{-5} \) or \( 10^{-4} \) to be reasonably small to ensure that the energies of the thermalised ensemble \( v \) and the perturbed ensemble \( v^{\text{copy}} \) are essentially the same. Furthermore, we take large values of the perturbation wave-number \( k_p \) to generate de-localised small-scale (in physical space) perturbations in the systems: \( k_p = 900 \) and 500 for \( K_G = 1000; k_p = 4000 \) and 2500 for \( K_G = 5000 \).

Having generated these two slightly different fields \( \hat{v}_0 \) and \( \hat{v}_0^{\text{copy}} \), we use them as initial conditions to simultaneously solve equation (2) for both the simply truncated \( v \) and the perturbed-truncated \( v^{\text{pert}} \) fields. From the simultaneous, temporal evolution of \( v \) and \( v^{\text{pert}} \), we calculate the squared difference \( \vert \Delta k \vert^2 = \langle (\hat{v}_k - \hat{v}_k^{\text{pert}})^2 \rangle \), mode-by-mode, as a function of time. The angular brackets denote averaging over 100 statistically independent realisations of the initial field \( \hat{v}_0^{\text{th}} \).

Although the initial condition of the perturbed field, defined through Eq. (6), is localised in one Fourier mode \( k_p \), the quadratic non-linearity forces this perturbation to spread to other wavenumbers and spatial scales. This is clearly seen from the evolution equation for the perturbation \( \Delta \equiv v - v^{\text{pert}} \) which is obtained by subtracting the Galerkin-truncated equations for \( v^{\text{pert}} \) from \( v \). Since the Galerkin projector commutes with the operations of subtraction and taking gradients, we obtain:

\[
\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial t} + P_{k_G} \left[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Delta^2}{\partial x} \right] = 0; \tag{4}
\]

where \( v \) is obtained from the solution of Eq. (2) and with initial conditions, most conveniently defined in Fourier space, \( \Delta_k(t = 0) = \epsilon_0 \hat{v}_0^{\text{th}} \delta_{k,k_p} \). This equation can of course be solved by using a numerical strategy similar to the one used for Eq. (2) to obtain the time evolution of \( \Delta_k \). At short times, of course, this equation can be linearised by dropping the quadratic non-linearity of \( \Delta \).

We thus have three ways of obtaining \( \vert \Delta_k \vert^2 \): (1) From the full DNSs by simultaneously solving the Galerkin-truncated equations for \( v \) and \( v^{\text{pert}} \) with initial conditions \( \hat{v}_0^{\text{th}} \) and \( \hat{v}_0^{\text{copy}} \), respectively; (2) From the full non-linear evolution of \( \Delta \); and (3) From the linearised equation for \( \Delta \) obtained by dropping the non-linear term in Eq. (4).
dependence by changing the value of $d$.

In Fig. 3 we show representative plots, for a system with amplitude $A_0 = 1.0$, $K_G = 1000$, and $k_p = 900$, of $|\Delta_k|^2$ as a function of time on a Lin-Log scale. We show the growth of this perturbation for wavenumbers $k = 50$ (in red, lower set of curves) and $k = 1000$ (in blue, upper set of curves) which were obtained from all three different sets of numerical simulations, namely DNSs (open circles), the full non-linear (inverted triangles), and the linearised version (squares) of the evolution equation of $\Delta$ (Eq. (4)). The thick black line is a guide to the eye to illustrate the short time exponential growth of $|\Delta_k|^2$.

**The Lyapunov Exponent:** Not surprisingly the solutions from the DNSs and full evolution equation for $\Delta$ are in excellent agreement with each other and show that after an initial exponential burst $|\Delta_k|^2 \propto \exp(\lambda t)$, a saturation of the $L_2$ norm of the difference between the perturbed and unperturbed system. The linearised solution, which agrees with the other two measurements at short times, on the other hand, grows exponentially and unbounded. These results show that the term quadratic in $|\Delta_k|^2$, up to some undetermined numerical constant $\alpha$ (Appendix B).

It is important to note that the saturation level of $|\Delta_k|^2$ (see Fig. 3), on the other hand, is bounded by the mean energy per mode (see Appendix C), as expected. Further details are presented in Appendix C, where we provide an explanation and estimate for this saturation.

All of this, inevitably, leads us to central question of this work: How fast do perturbations grow in a classical, chaotic system and how does it depend on the temperature? From plots such as those shown in Fig. 3 it is easy to extract the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$, through a local slope analysis $\frac{d\log|\Delta_k|^2}{dt}$, to determine the mean $\lambda$ and its (statistical) error-bar, and examine its temperature dependence by changing the value of $A_0$.

In Fig. 4 we show a combined plot of the re-scaled exponent $\lambda/N_G$ (explained below) for all the values of $\epsilon_0$, $K_G$, and $k_p$ as a function of the temperature $T$ on a log-log scale. Within our error-bars, these 8 sets of independent measurements show a complete collapse and a scaling behaviour $\lambda \propto \sqrt{T}$ as indicated by the thick black line. Surprisingly, the Lyapunov exponent measured through such a de-correlator is independent of the wavenumber $k$ as was already suggested in Fig. 3. Figure 4 is, to the best of our knowledge, the first reported results for $\lambda/N_G \propto \sqrt{T}$ in a chaotic and non-linear, many-body classical system obeying the equations of hydrodynamics. Remarkably, we also find strong evidence that the Lyapunov exponent also scales linearly with the degrees of freedom—$N_G$—in such extended systems.

Although we are dealing with the non-linear system, it is nevertheless tempting to understand the essential features of these results. In particular, is there a theoretical way, starting with the linearised form of Eq. (4), to understand the scaling $\lambda \propto N_G \sqrt{T}$ as well as its $k$-independence?

We assume, for simplicity, a sinusoidal initial condition which ensures that only the imaginary Fourier modes exist. In the thermalised state $\langle |\hat{v}_k|^2 \rangle = E/N_G$, although $\langle \hat{v}_k \rangle = 0$, the (short-time) average fluctuations are of the order of $\hat{v}_k \sim \sqrt{E/N_G}$, where the additional factor of $\sqrt{N_G}$ in the denominator comes from statistical fluctuations. This allows us to solve for the linearised form of Eq. (4) to obtain

$$\frac{\partial |\Delta_k|^2}{\partial t} - \frac{\sqrt{T}}{N_G} \sum_{q=1}^{N_G} q [\Delta_q \Delta_{-k} + \Delta_{-k} \Delta_{-q}] = 0. \quad (5)$$

We have confirmed, numerically, that at short times $\Delta_q \Delta_k$ remains spectrally flat, i.e., $\Delta_q \Delta_k \propto |\Delta_k|^2$, up to some undetermined numerical constant $\alpha$ (Appendix B). Hence, and by using the identity $\sum_{q=1}^{N_G} q \approx N_G^2/2$ (for large $N_G$), we obtain $|\Delta_k|^2 \propto e^{\alpha N_G \sqrt{T} t}$ and thus $\lambda = \alpha N_G \sqrt{T}$. (A similar analysis can be carried out, mutatis mutandis, for arbitrary initial conditions.) This allows us to capture the essential scaling behaviour of the Lyapunov exponent summarised in Fig. 4.

**Summary and outlook:** In this paper, we provide the first evidence of the temperature-dependence of the Lyapunov exponent in a (continuum) one dimensional classical non-linear hydrodynamic system. Intriguingly, our results (which are also the first measurements of the Lyapunov exponent for the truncated Burgers equation) show that the degree of chaos spans with the effective degrees of freedom in such systems in addition to being proportional to the square-root of the temperature in the thermalised regime. It is worth stressing that the Lyapunov exponent that we measure should be identified with the largest $\lambda$ of the system. Although our theoretical and numerical results show that this exponent is independent of $k$, the speed at which $|\Delta_k|^2$ (Fig. 3) saturates...
in time, which is proportional to $1/k$ (Appendix C), is related to the time-scale of the autocorrelation functions of either $\hat{v}_k$ (or $|\hat{v}_k|^2$) which show a similar $1/k$ scaling [45].

Before we conclude, we note that while more detailed and theoretical investigation of these features, as well as, how far these ideas are relevant for Navier-Stokes turbulence are naturally interesting future directions, this behaviour of a butterfly effect for classical, non-linear, hydrodynamic systems seems to be a robust and generic feature: Indeed, preliminary results for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation suggests a similar behaviour of the spread of decorrelations.
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Appendix A: Thermalised Fluid

The Galerkin-truncated Burgers equation which conserves its initial energy $E$ and momentum, thermalises in a finite time leading to an equipartition of energy amongst all its Fourier modes (see Fig. 1, main text). This conservation of energy allows us to define an invariant Gibbs measure, since the Liouville property is satisfied for the statistical solution of the truncated equation. As a result, and by using the Fourier amplitudes $\hat{u}_k$, the Gibbs measure is written as $Z = C \exp[-N_G]$. Consequently, we obtain the probability distribution function of the Fourier amplitudes $P[\hat{u}_k] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_k} \exp \left[ -\frac{|\hat{u}_k|^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right]$ (Fig. 5a), where the variance $\sigma_k^2 = 2A_0^2/N_G$ (Fig. 5b) [32, 34, 41]. (In the main text, we have given the analogue of this for the real space velocity field $v(x)$).

Appendix B: Spectral Flatness

The theoretical considerations which lead us to the scaling $\lambda \propto N_G \sqrt{T}$ (see main text) rests upon the assumption that $\Delta_p \Delta_k$ remains spectrally flat, i.e., $\Delta_q \Delta_k \propto |\Delta_k|^2$. We validate this assumption numerically to show, in Fig 6(a), that indeed $\Delta_q \Delta_k$ is spectrally flat.

Appendix C: Saturation of the Decorrelator $|\hat{\Delta}_k|^2$

In Fig. 3 of the main text, we see that the decorrelator $|\hat{\Delta}_k|^2$ saturates, after an exponential growth, on a time-scale $t_{sat}$. An estimate of the value at which $|\hat{\Delta}_k|^2$ saturates, i.e., $|\Delta_k|^2_{sat} = \lim_{t \to t_{sat}} |\Delta_k|^2$, can be obtained from the following argument. (We drop the subscript $k$ in the saturation value since, as we shall see, the saturation level is independent of $k$.)

The perturbed velocity field (in Fourier space) is given by

$$\hat{v}^{copy}_{k,0} = \hat{v}^{th}_{k,0}(1 + \epsilon_0 \delta_{k,k_p}).$$

(6)

Having generated these two slightly different fields $\hat{v}^{th}_{k,0}$ and $\hat{v}^{copy}_{k,0}$, we calculate the squared difference of the two fields to obtain

$$|\hat{\Delta}_{k,t}|^2 = \langle |\hat{v}_{k,t}|^2 \rangle + \langle |\hat{v}^{pert}_{k,t}|^2 \rangle$$

$$- \left( \langle \hat{v}_{k,t} \hat{v}^{pert}_{k,t} \rangle + \langle \hat{v}^{pert}_{k,t} \hat{v}^{pert}_{k,t} \rangle \right)$$

(7)

mode-by-mode, as a function of time as mentioned in the main text. At time $t = 0$, since the perturbation is only present for the mode $k_p$, we have

$$|\hat{\Delta}_{k,0}|^2 = \delta_{k,k_p} |\hat{\Delta}_0|^2$$

(8)

where

$$|\hat{\Delta}_0|^2 = \langle |\hat{v}_{k_p}|^2 \rangle + \langle |\hat{v}^{pert}_{k_p}|^2 \rangle - \left( \langle \hat{v}_{k_p} \hat{v}^{pert}_{k_p} \rangle + \langle \hat{v}^{pert}_{k_p} \hat{v}^{pert}_{k_p} \rangle \right)$$

$$= \epsilon_0^2 \langle |\hat{v}^{th}_{k_p}|^2 \rangle$$

(9)

At short, but finite times, we expect that the perturbation grows, as well as spreads, to other $k \neq k_p$ modes as discussed in the main text. Finally, at longer times we expect that the last two terms in Eq. 7 goes two zero—since the two copies become completely de-correlated for all values of $k$—leading to

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} |\hat{\Delta}_{k,t}|^2 = |\Delta_k|^2_{sat} = \langle |\hat{v}_{k,t}|^2 \rangle + \langle |\hat{v}^{pert}_{k}|^2 \rangle = 2\langle |\hat{v}^{th}_{k}|^2 \rangle.$$  

(10)

The thermalised energy $\langle |\hat{v}^{th}_{k}|^2 \rangle = E/N_G$ is of course independent of $k$; we retain the $k$ label, nevertheless, for notational consistency. In Fig. 6(b), we show a plot of $|\Delta_k|^2_{sat}$ versus $2\langle |\hat{v}^{th}_{k}|^2 \rangle$, from the data of our numerical simulations, confirming our understanding and estimate of the saturation of decorrelator. We also calculate the saturation time $t_{sat}$, defined (arbitrarily) as the time taken for $\Delta_k$ to reach 90% of its saturation value $2\langle |\hat{v}^{th}_{k}|^2 \rangle$, plot. In Fig. 6(b) (inset) we show this saturation time-scale as a function of $2\langle |\hat{v}^{th}_{k}|^2 \rangle$ for a given value of $k = 1000$. As we have noted in the main text, the saturation time $t_{sat}$ is a function of the wavenumbers and falls-off—like a local eddy-turn-over-time [32]—as $1/k$. For a given $k$, the decorrelator saturate faster as the energy of the system
FIG. 5. (a) Semilog plots of the probability distribution functions of $\hat{v}_k$ for different values of $A_0$ and $K_G$ (see legend), all of which follow a Gaussian distribution. (b) A plot of the square-root of the variance compensated by $N_G$ versus $A_0$ for two different values of the truncation wavenumber (see legend). The thick black line is a guide to the eye indicating the excellent agreement of our numerical data with the predicted scaling form $N_G\sigma_k^2 \propto A_0^2$ as a consequence of thermalisation and equipartition of energy.

FIG. 6. (a) Plot of $\langle|\hat{\Delta}_k^*\hat{\Delta}_p + \hat{\Delta}_k\hat{\Delta}_p^*|\rangle$, for $k = 1$ and at $t = 0.1$, versus a representative band of $p$ showing the independence of the decorrelator on $p$ and hence confirming the assumption underlying our theory. The noisy nature of this plot is because of limited averaging. (b) The saturation level of the decorrelator $|\hat{\Delta}_k|_2$ versus $2\langle|\hat{v}_{th,k}|^2\rangle$, for $K_G = 1000$, confirming our theoretical estimate. (Inset) The saturation time $t_{sat}$ versus $2\langle|\hat{v}_{th,k}|^2\rangle$, for $k = 1000$ ($K_G = 1000$), showing a fall-off with the mean energy.

increases. This is unsurprising because heuristically such time-scales are known to be inversely proportional to the root-mean-square velocity of the system [32]. Given the rather arbitrary cut-off, it is of course not possible to obtain the $1/\sqrt{E}$ form with any great certainty.
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