Many-body Chaos in Thermalised Fluids
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(Dated:)

Linking thermodynamic variables like temperature $T$ and the measure of chaos, the Lyapunov exponents $\lambda$, is a question of fundamental importance in many-body systems. By using nonlinear fluid equations in one and three dimensions, we prove that in thermalised flows $\lambda \propto \sqrt{T}$, in agreement with results from frustrated spin systems. This reveals an underlying universality and provides evidence for recent conjectures on the thermal scaling of $\lambda$. We also reconcile seemingly disparate effects—equilibration on one hand and pushing systems out-of-equilibrium on the other—of many-body chaos by relating $\lambda$ to $T$ through the dynamical structures of the flow.

Many-body chaos is the key mechanism to explain the fundamental basis—thermalisation and equilibration—of statistical physics. However, we know of equally important examples in nature, such as the problem of turbulence, where chaos plays a role that is seemingly opposite from the settling down through thermalisation and equilibration of several many-body systems. This contrast becomes stark if we argue in terms of the celebrated butterfly effect [1–4]: While the amplification of the wingbeat results in complex dynamical macroscopic structures in driven-dissipative systems such as a turbulent fluid, the same amplification leads to a loss of memory of initial conditions, resulting in ergodic behaviour and eventual thermalisation or equilibration, in Hamiltonian many-body systems. How then do we reconcile these two apparently disparate roles of many-body chaos?

An important piece of the answer lies in investigating the spatio-temporal aspects (the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$ and butterfly speed $v_B$) of many-body chaos in fluids to reveal its connection with macroscopic (thermodynamic) characterisation of the system. This provides for concrete comparisons of length and time-scales of chaos and thermalisation, on the one hand, and the non-linear dynamic structures of the fluid-velocity field on the other.

Characterisations of chaos and its connection with transport and hydrodynamics have been obtained recently in the context of both classical and quantum many-body systems such as unfrustrated and frustrated [5–8] magnets, strongly correlated field theories [9–17]) and field theories of black-holes [18, 19]. A common feature responsible for the unconventional signatures of chaos in many of these systems seems to originate from a large set of strongly coupled, dynamic, low energy modes arising from competing interactions. This is not unlike the case of a turbulent fluid where the triadic interactions of velocity (Fourier) modes across several decades lead to strong couplings resulting in, e.g., scale-by-scale energy transfers [20, 21].

These studies have been facilitated by the development of quantum out-of-time commutators (OTOCs) [5, 16, 22–26] and their classical counterpart the decorrelator [5, 6] which measure how two very nearly identical copies of a system decorrelate spatio-temporally. In particular, the classical decorrelators are an invaluable diagnostic for understanding the butterfly effect [1–4] in non-integrable, chaotic, classical many-body systems through the measurement of $\lambda$ and $v_B$. Since by construction, these OTOCs or decorrelators provide a unified framework to bridge thermodynamic variables (e.g., temperature $T$) with the butterfly effect, they are a unique prescription to connect many-body chaos with the foundations of statistical approaches in both classical and quantum many-body systems. The most striking example of this is that while for quantum systems, $\lambda \leq T/h$, limiting the rate of scrambling [23]), the analogous conjecture for classical systems is $\lambda \propto \sqrt{T}$ at low temperatures [23, 27].

In this paper, by using a fascinatingly rich model of thermalised fluids, we derive $\lambda \propto \sqrt{T}$ and demonstrate the universality of the nature of many-body chaos without an apparent (weakly interacting) quasi-particle description, and hence a Kinetic Theory. Equally interestingly, we show how decorrelators sense the emergent dynamical structures of the fluid velocity field which provides an elegant way to bridge the ideas of many-body chaos with the foundational principles of statistical physics: Thermalisation, equilibration and ergodicity.

For classical systems, our recent understanding of spatio-temporal chaos through decorrelators stems primarily from spin systems [6–8]. However, these ideas have not been applied for the most ubiquitous of chaotic, nonlinear, many-body systems: Turbulent flows. This is because, unlike the spin-systems, turbulent flows, governed by the viscous Navier-Stokes equation, are an example of a driven-dissipative system without a Hamiltonian or a statistical physics description in terms of thermodynamic variables. Therefore, we look for variations of the Navier-Stokes equation which, whilst preserving the same non-linearity of the viscous Navier-Stokes equation, nevertheless has a a Hamiltonian structure, resulting in a chaotic, thermalised fluid.

Such a prescription naturally leads us to the celebrated inviscid, three-dimensional (3D) Euler and one-dimensional (1D) Burgers equations, but retaining only a finite number of Fourier modes through a (Fourier) Galerkin truncation [28–31]. Such a projection of the inviscid, partial differential equations on to a finite-dimensional sub-space ensures not only a conservation of momentum, energy and phase space, but it guarantees chaotic solutions for the flow field which thermalise. These thermalised fluids (see Appendix A) are char-
characterised by an energy equipartition and velocity fields with a Gibbs distribution $P[v] dv = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}E\right)^{3/2}\exp[-3v^2/2E] dv$ as illustrated in Fig. 1. Here $E$ is the conserved energy density of the system satisfying $\langle \frac{1}{2}v^2 \rangle = E$. This then allows us to define a temperature, $T = \frac{3}{4}E$ such that the different thermalised configurations can be taken to describe a canonical ensemble. A thermalised fluid is thus not dissimilar to that of correlated many-body condensed matter systems (e.g., frustrated magnets) where the microscopic memory does not dictate the dynamical correlations.

These thermalised fluids sets the platform for addressing the primary question of the growth of perturbations in a classical, chaotic system. To do this, in the 3D Euler, an arbitrary realisation of the thermalised solution $v_0^b = v_{th}^b$ is taken and a second copy is generated, with a perturbation in velocity field, $v_0^b = v_0^a + \delta v_0$. Here, $\delta v_0 = \nabla \times A$, with $A_i = \epsilon \sqrt{E} r_0 \exp[-r^2/2r_0^2] \hat{e}_i$, is an infinitesimal (characterised by $\epsilon \ll 1$) perturbation centred at the origin and which falls off rapidly with distance $r$ (with the reference scale $r_0 \ll 2\pi$) making it spatially localised.

We now evolve (see Appendix B) the Galerkin-truncated Euler equation, independently for the two copies, with initial conditions $v_0^a$ and $v_0^b$ to obtain (thermalised) solutions $v^a(x, t)$ and $v^b(x, t)$ and thence the difference field $\delta v(x, t) = v^b(x, t) - v^a(x, t)$. Since initially this difference field $\delta v(x, 0) \equiv \delta v_0$ is spatially localised and vanishingly small, its subsequent spatio-temporal evolution reflects how the butterfly effect manifests itself in such nonlinear, many-body chaotic systems. Fundamentally, this is of course a question of how systems $a$ and $b$ decorrelate and one that is intimately connected with questions of ergodicity and thermalisation.

To make this assessment rigorous, we construct the spatially resolved decorrelator $\phi(x, t) = \langle \frac{1}{2}|\delta v(x, t)|^2 \rangle$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes averaging over the configurations taken from the thermalised ensemble and the distance is measured from origin where the perturbation is seeded at $t = 0$. In Fig. 2 (see, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yrmdvwX5zhE for a video of the full evolution) we show the spatial profile (in the $z = 0$ plane of $|\delta v(x, t)|^2$ for a particular initial realisation of systems $a$ and $b$ at two different instants of time. While at very early times $t = 0^+$, panel (a), $|\delta v(x, t)|^2$ remains small but diffuse instantly and arbitrarily through the (non-local) pressure term with a strength inversely proportional to the distance from the center of perturbation, a more striking behaviour is seen at later times (panel b) when the spatial spread is controlled by the strain in the velocity field as we shall see below.

Since the thermalised fluid is statistically isotropic, the decorrelator $\phi(x, t)$ is a function of $|x|$. We exploit this to construct the more tractable angular-averaged decorrelator $\phi(r, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \int d\Omega_r \phi(x, t)$. Given the non-locality of the 3D Euler equation, these systems differ crucially from spin systems in the absence of pilot waves and a distinct velocity scale akin to a butterfly speed [5, 6]. Instead, decorrelators for thermalised fluids have a self-similar spatial profile $\phi(r, t) \sim r^{-\alpha}$ (with $\alpha \sim 4$). The lack of a sharp wave-front and self-similarity is evident from Fig. 2(b) and the inset of Fig. 2(a). Therefore to track the temporal evolution of the decorrelator we find it convenient to introduce the space-averaged decorrelator $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{r^2} \int d^2x \phi(x, t)$ which then serves as an excellent diagnostic to focus on the temporal aspects of this problem.

This also allows us, starting from the 3D Euler equation, to derive the evolution equation

$$\dot{\Phi}(t) = -\langle \delta v_i S_{ij} \delta v_j \rangle$$

in terms of the familiar rate-of-strain tensor $2S_{ij} = \partial_i v_j + \partial_j v_i$; the over-bar in the definition denotes a spatial average.

By using the eigenbasis of $S$, it is possible to re-write the

FIG. 1. Probability distribution functions of the $x$-component of the thermalised velocity field, obtained from simulations of the Galerkin-truncated 3D Euler equation, and (inset) the 1D Burgers equation for different energies. The dashed lines denote a fit to the corresponding Gibbs distribution.

FIG. 2. Representative plots of the difference field $|\delta v(x, t)|^2$, along the $z = 0$ plane of a 3D thermalised fluid (with energy $E = 2.0$ and a perturbation amplitude $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$ at (a) early ($t = 0.4$) and (b) later (0.7) times. The inset of panel (a) shows the same early time data, with a magnified scale, to reveal a somewhat self-similar spatial structure that arises from non-local interactions (see main text). See also https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yrmdvwX5zhE for a time evolution of the difference field.
above equation as $\dot{\Phi} = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \{\alpha_i^2 \gamma_i |\delta v|^2\}$ where $\{\gamma_i\}$ are the three eigenvalues and $\{\alpha_i\}$ are the direction cosines of $\delta v$ along the three eigen-directions. Equation 1, which formally resembles the enstrophy production term for the Euler equation [32, 33], is an important result that connects the decorrelator with the dynamical structures of the velocity field.

Our direct numerical simulations (DNSs, see Appendix B) of the truncated 3D Euler equation show strong evidence that the difference fields preferentially grow, at short times, along the compressional eigen-direction ($i = 3$) of the thermalised fluid leading to a further simplification $\dot{\Phi} \approx -\langle \gamma_3^2 |\delta v|^2 \rangle$. Since by definition $\gamma_3 < 0$, this ensures not only the positive definiteness of $\hat{\Phi}(t)$, but also, since (up to constants) $\hat{\Phi}(t) \sim -\frac{1}{\gamma_3} \Phi$, an exponential growth with a Lyapunov exponent $\lambda \sim |\gamma_3|$ at short times (Fig. 5). This concretely connects the straining of the flow-field with the Lyapunov exponent.

How robust is this short-time behaviour with respect to both dimension and the compressibility of the flow? The answer to this lies in an analysis of the 1D (compressible) Burgers equation with $N_G$ Fourier modes. Furthermore, to underline the universality of our results, we construct, in this instance, the decorrelator in Fourier space. As before, from the thermalised solution (in Fourier space) $\hat{v}^{th}$, defining a control field $\hat{v}_0^a = \hat{v}^{th}$ and a perturbed field $\hat{v}_0^b = \hat{v}_0^a(1 + \delta v_{k_p})$ with large values of the perturbation wave-number $k_p$, to generate de-localised small-scale perturbations in the systems (see Appendix B).

As before, the two systems are evolved independently and the relevant Fourier space decorrelator $|\Delta_k|^2 = \langle |\hat{v}_k^a - \hat{v}_k^b|^2 \rangle$, measured, mode-by-mode, as a function of time. Given the relative analytical simplicity of the 1D system, we construct the equation of motion of $|\Delta_k|^2$ and derive an exponential growth of the decorrelator associated with a Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$. Thus the theoretical calculations for the 1D model is not only consistent with the more complex 3D system but also provides, as we see below, a more rigorous insight into how the Lyapunov exponent scales with the thermodynamic variable of temperature $T$ and the degrees of freedom $N_G$ of our system. (See Appendices C – F for the derivation of the linear theories describing the short time dynamics of the decorrelator.)

At long times, it is trivial to see that because the systems $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ decorrelate $(\mathbf{v}^a \cdot \mathbf{v}^b) = 0$, leading to suspension of the approximations leading up to the linear theory presented above, the decorrelators $\Phi$ and $|\Delta_k|^2$ must saturate to a value equal to $2E$ and $2E/N_G$, respectively.

With these theoretical insights for both the 1D and 3D systems, we test them against the results from our numerical simulations. In Fig. 3 we show representative results for the decorrelators $\phi(r, t)$ (and $\Phi$ in the upper inset) in 3D Euler and $|\Delta_k|^2$ for the 1D Burgers (lower inset) as a function of time on a semi-log scale. The symbols (for different values of $r$ and $k$) are results from the full nonlinear DNSs while the dashed lines correspond to the decorrelators obtained from the effective linearised equations for the difference fields.

Consistent with our theoretical estimates described above, the decorrelators from the full, nonlinear DNSs (shown by symbols) grow exponentially, signifying a positive Lyapunov exponent, before eventually saturating (as the two systems decorrelate) to a value set by the energy of the system. The agreement between these decorrelators and the ones we can estimate theoretically through a linearised model (shown as dashed lines) is remarkable during the early-time exponential growth phase. However, decorrelators constructed from the linearised model (valid for short times) are insensitive to the role of non-linearities and continue growing exponentially while the ones from the full nonlinear system of equations eventually saturate. We will soon return to the question of time-scales which determine this saturation.

Finally, we confirm the validity of Eq. 1 by showing in the upper inset of Fig. 3 the agreement between $\lambda_S(t) = \langle \delta v_{i,j} \delta v_{j,i} \rangle / \Phi(t)$ and the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$ extracted from the decorrelator $\Phi(t)$ measured in DNSs. The agreement between the two is almost perfect at short times before $\lambda_S(t)$ decays to zero as the decorrelator saturates.

This inevitably leads us to central question of this work: How fast do perturbations grow in a classical, chaotic system and how does it depend on the temperature $T$ as well as the number of modes, $N_G$? Furthermore is the scaling behaviour of $\lambda$ really universal?

Although we are dealing with non-linear equations for hydrodynamics which do not yield easily to an analytical treatment, it is tempting to theoretically estimate the functional
dependence of $\lambda$ on $T$ and $N_G$. An extensive analysis of the linearised equations for both sets of the decorrelators—$\Phi(t)$ and $|\Delta_k|^2$—show that under very reasonable approximations, which were tested against data, the Lyapunov exponents $\lambda \propto N_G \sqrt{T}$. Whereas for the Euler fluid this scaling is a direct result of the statistics of the strain-rate-tensor which determines the behaviour of $\Phi(t)$, the analogous result for the 1D system is arrived at by straightforward algebraic manipulations, factoring in the statistical fluctuations, of the equation governing the evolution of $|\Delta_k|^2$.

Our theoretical prediction is easily tested from measurements of the Lyapunov exponent from DNSs of the full non-linear 3D Euler and 1D Burgers equations. From plots such as those shown in Fig. 3 we extract the mean Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$ and its (statistical) error-bar, and examine its dependence on temperature $T$ (and $N_G$) by changing the magnitude of the initial conditions and hence the initial energy or temperature. (Surprisingly, $\lambda$ measured through such decorrelators are independent of $r$ or $k$, as was already suggested in Fig. 3.) Figure 4 shows a unified (with data from 3D Euler and 1D Burgers simulations) log-log plot of all the rescaled Lyapunov exponent $\lambda/N_G$ measured—for different strengths and scales of perturbations and resolutions $N_G$—as a function of temperature $T$. The collapse of the data on the dotted lines, denoting a $\sqrt{T}$ scaling, shows, beyond any doubt, that the many-body chaos of such thermalised fluids is characterised by the behaviour $\lambda \propto N_G \sqrt{T}$.

This, to the best of our knowledge, is the first reported result, and confirmation of what has been conjectured earlier [23, 27] and demonstrated for classical spin systems [6], that $\lambda \propto \sqrt{T}$ in a chaotic and non-linear, many-body classical system obeying the equations of hydrodynamics. Remarkably, we also find strong evidence that the Lyapunov exponent scales linearly with the degrees of freedom $N_G$ in such extended systems and is truly independent of both the spatial and compressibility of the flow.

Given the association of many-body chaos with issues of ergodicity and equilibration in classical statistical physics, how well do these measurements of $\lambda$ relate to the (inverse) time-scales associated with the loss of memory? The simplest measure of how fast a system forgets is the ensemble-averaged normalized autocorrelation function $C(t) = (2E)^{-1}\langle \chi^{ib}(t) \cdot \chi^{ib}(0) \rangle$ as shown in Fig. 5. It is easy to show that the auto-correlation function is a Gaussian $C(t) \cong \exp \left[-\frac{t^2}{\tau^2}\right]$ with an auto-correlation time $\tau \sim 1/\lambda$ as clearly shown from our measurements in the upper inset of Fig. 5. This association of the time-scales of the dynamic correlator with the Lyapunov exponent provides a firm foundation to interpret the salient features of classical many-body chaos in terms of principles of statistical physics: Ergodicity and thermalisation. And a further connection between these ideas is established through the relation between the time-scales $t_{sat} \sim \tau \sim 1/\lambda$ at which the decorrelator saturates as $\Phi(t) \cong 2E (1 + \exp \left[-\lambda(t-t_{sat})\right])^{-1}$.

The relative generality of the OTOCs and cross-correlators naturally lead to questions of connecting the macroscopic variables with the scales of chaos in the most canonical of chaotic systems: Those described by non-linear equations of hydrodynamics. Here we provide the first evidence of the temperature-dependence of the Lyapunov exponent in (continuum) classical non-linear hydrodynamic systems and show its robustness with respect to spatial dimensions and compressibility effects. It is important to underline that the many-body chaos and the estimate $\lambda \sim N_G \sqrt{T}$ is really an emergent feature of a fluid which is thermalised. We checked this...
explicitly by measuring the decorrelators in the flow before it thermalises and found, despite the conservation laws still holding, no associated exponential growth and spread of the difference field. It is also worth stressing that the λ that we measure should be identified with the largest Lyapunov exponent of the system and that the time-scales at which the decorrelators saturate is a useful estimate of the time taken to thermalise and equilibration.

Before we conclude, we note that this empirical \( \sqrt{T} \) bound on λ is consistent with recent results for classical spin liquids without quasi-particles [6–8, 34] as well as more general dimensional arguments based on phase-space dynamics [27] of classical many-body systems. In this regard we note that in classical spin-systems [7], the existence of low energy quasi-particles seems to reduce the chaotic behaviour of the system (\( \lambda \propto T^a \), \( a > 0.5 \)). While more detailed and theoretical investigation of these features, as well as, how far these ideas are relevant for the more spontaneously stochastic Navier-Stokes turbulence are naturally interesting future directions, this behaviour of a butterfly effect for classical, non-linear, hydrodynamic systems seems to be a robust and generic feature.

While it is probably true that the exact nature of the dependence of the Lyapunov exponent on the temperature (or the energy density) and number of degrees of freedom should vary from system to system, the concrete evidence we provide of the inter-dependence opens new avenues and questions for the community. In particular, these studies serve to demonstrate the dependence of signatures of spatio-temporal chaos on the thermodynamic variables as well its relation with the transport properties.

We thank S. Banerjee, J. Bec, M. E. Brachet, A. Dhar, A. Kundu, A. Das, S. Chakraborty, T. Bilitewski, R. Moessner, and V. Shukla for insightful discussions. The simulations were performed on the ICTS clusters Mowgli, Mario, Tetris, and Contra as well as the work stations from the project ECR/2015/000361: Goopy and Bagha. DK and SSR acknowledges DST (India) project DST (India) project MTR/2019/001553 for financial support. SB acknowledges MPG for funding through the Max Planck Partner group on strongly correlated systems at ICTS. DK and SB acknowledges SERB-DST (India) for funding through project grant No. ECR/2017/000504. The authors acknowledges the support of the DAE, Govt. of India, under project no. 12-R&D-TFR-5.10-1100 and project no. RTI4001.

Appendix A: Thermalised fluids: Galerkin projection of 1D Burgers and 3D Euler Equations

The dynamics of inviscid, ideal fluids satisfy well-known partial differential equations. For the scalar velocity field \( u \) in one-dimensional (1D) flows, this is known as the (inviscid) Burgers equation:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{2}
\]

with initial conditions \( u_0 \).

For three-dimensional flows (3D), the analogous equation for the (incompressible) velocity vector \( \mathbf{u}(x,t) \) and scalar pressure \( P \) fields satisfy the celebrated Euler equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla P \tag{3}
\]

augmented by the constraint \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \) and initial conditions \( u_0 \).

While the 1D inviscid Burgers equation admits real singularities, which manifests itself as pre-shocks and then shocks in the velocity profile at a finite time \( t_* \) (Fig. 6(a)) and dissipates energy (even in the absence of a viscous term) [35, 36], the issue of finite-time blow-up for the 3D Euler equation still remains one of the most important, unsolved, problems in the natural sciences. Nevertheless, weak (in the sense of distributions) solutions of the 3D Euler equations have been recently shown to be dissipative as conjectured by Onsager and consistent with the celebrated problem of dissipative anomaly of high Reynolds number turbulence. Therefore, such inviscid, infinite-dimensional partial differential equations, in one or three dimensions (like their viscous counterparts) lack a Hamiltonian structure and cannot lead to solutions characterised by a statistical equilibria.

Fortunately, a subtle, but significant, modification to these equations, while preserving the essential nonlinearity, allows us to move away from the dissipative to thermalised solutions with an energy equipartition and Gibbs distribution of the velocity field. Within the space of \( 2\pi \) periodic solutions, an expansion of the solution in an infinite Fourier series allows us to define the Galerkin projection as a low-pass filter \( P_{K_G} \) which sets all modes with wave vectors \( |k| > K_G \), where \( K_G \) is a positive (large) integer, to zero via \( P_{K_G} \mathbf{u}(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq K_G} \hat{u}_k \hat{x}_k \). The truncation wavenumber \( K_G \) sets the number of Fourier modes \( N_{K_G} \) kept and is a measure of the effective number of degree of freedom as well as providing a microscopic (ultraviolet) cut-off for the system.

These definitions, without the loss of incompressibility, lead to the Galerkin-truncated Euler equation for the truncated field, written, most conveniently, component-wise in Fourier space \( \hat{v}_\alpha(k) \)

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{v}_\alpha(k)}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{2} P_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(k) \sum_\mathbf{p} \hat{v}_\beta(\mathbf{p}) \hat{v}_\gamma(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}); \tag{4}
\]

where the initial conditions \( \mathbf{v}_0 = P_{K_G} \mathbf{u}_0 \) and the convolution \( |k| \leq K_G, |\mathbf{p}| \leq K_G \) and \( |k - \mathbf{p}| \leq K_G \) is constrained via Galerkin truncation. The coefficient \( P_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = k_\beta P_{\alpha\gamma} + k_\gamma P_{\alpha\beta} \), where \( P_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - k_\alpha k_\beta/k^2 \) factors in the contribution from the pressure gradient and enforces incompressibility; \( \delta_{\alpha\beta} \) is a Kronecker delta.

The same definitions of Galerkin truncation can be extended mutatis mutandis to one dimension, without the additional constraints of incompressibility or pressure gradients, to similarly project the 1D inviscid, \( 2\pi \)-periodic Burgers equa-
tion onto the subspace spanned by $K_a$:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{v}(k)}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{2} k \sum_p \hat{v}(p) \hat{v}(p-k). \quad (5)$$

With initial conditions $v_0$, the Galerkin-truncated Burger

equation also imposes the constraint $k \leq K_a$, $p \leq K_a$ and $|p-k| \leq K_a$ on the convolution.

Thus, beginning with the partial differential equations of

ideal fluids in one and three dimensions, Galerkin truncation

leads, by self-consistently restricting the velocity field to a fi-

nite number of modes $N_G$, to a finite-dimensional, nonlinear
dynamical systems with a mathematical, nonlinear structure

deeply connected to the elucidation of the kinetic energy spec-

trum and yet remain in statistical equilibrium, for the 1D prob-

lem, an (shell averaged) energy spectrum $E(k) = [|\hat{v}\text{th}(k)|^2 \propto k^2$ [28] (or, in 1D, $E(k) = [|\hat{v}\text{th}(k)|^2 \propto k^0 \ [30, 37-39]$) at odds with the well known $k^{-5/3}$ spectrum of real turbulence (or $k^{-2}$ in solutions of the Burgers equation in the limit of van-

ishing viscosity) as clearly shown in Fig. 6(a).

Thus, these chaotic systems (in one or three dimensions),

rooted in the nonlinear equations of hydrodynamics which form
the basis of real turbulence and yet remain in statistical equilibria provides an excellent model for a thermalised fluid. Furthermore, given the conservation of energy and its association with temperature through the Gibbs distribution, it is simple to generate thermalised flows with different tem-

peratures by a simple change in the amplitude of the initial condi-

tions.

Appendix B: Direct Numerical Simulations (DNS) of truncated equations

We perform direct numerical simulations (DNSs) of these Galerkin-truncated 3D Euler and the 1D Burgers equations by using a standard pseudo-spectral method with a fourth order

Runge-kutta algorithm for time marching. These equations are solved on a $2\pi$ periodic domain with $N^3$ for the 3D and $N$ for the 1D equations with a truncation wavenumber $K_G$ which results in $N_G^3 < N^3$ (or, in 1D, $N_G < N$) number of degrees of freedom. In our numerical simulations, we have explicitly checked that the kinetic energy is conserved and within a finite time energy equipartition is reached.

For the 3D truncated Euler problem, we begin with an initial kinetic energy spectrum of the form $E(k) = A_0 k^4 \exp \left[ -\frac{k^2}{2K_0^2} \right]$; changing the numerical value of the factor $A_0$ allows us to generate thermalised fluid with different energies $E$ and hence temperatures $T$. In our simulations, we use different resolutions $N^3 = 96^3, 128^3, 160^3, 196^3, 224^3$ and $256^3$ for different values of the truncation wavenumbers $K_a = N/3, N/4$ and $N/5$ to generate flows with varying degrees of freedom $N_G \sim K_a$ as well as different amplitudes of the initial conditions to scan the temperatures in the range $0.125 \leq T \leq 4$. Our time-step for integration, depending on

$K_a$ and $E$, varies as $\Delta t \lesssim \frac{1}{2 \pi N}$. For the truncated equations were integrated up to a time $t \approx 10$ to generate fully thermalised solution $v_{th}$ which provides the starting point to generate systems a and b used in our calculations of the decor-

relator $\Phi(t)$.

For the 1D truncated Burgers problem, we choose the initial condition $v_0 = A_0[\sin x + \sin(2x - 0.2) + \sin(5x - 0.4) + \sin(7x - 0.5)]$; the precise functional form of the initial conditions is immaterial with the total conserved momentum $\int_0^{2\pi} v_0 \ dx = 0$. Further (as in the 3D problem), changing the numerical constant $A_0$, allows us to change the energy $E = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v_0^2 \ dx = 2A_0^2$ of our system and thence the temperature $2 / T \leq 18$. Given the lower computational cost for solving the 1D system, we were able use a much larger number of collocation points $N = 2^{14}$ to generate systems with larger values of $K_a = 1000 \ (\delta t = 10^{-5})$ and $5000 \ (\delta t = 10^{-6})$ leading to values of $N_G$ much larger than those accessible to 3D simulations.

To perturb the system a, we introduce, for the 3D fluid, a perturbation of strength $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$; in the 1D problem, we use $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$ and $10^{-4}$. Furthermore, since the perturbation, for the 1D problem, is introduced at wavenumber $k_p$ in the Fourier space, we choose different values of $k_p = 500, 900, 2500$ and $4000$ to demonstrate the insensitivity of our results to the precise (small) scales of perturbation (and $\epsilon$) as clearly seen in the collapse of the data in Fig. 3 of the main text.

Appendix C: Decorrelators: The Linearised Theory

Systems a and b both satisfy the Galerkin-truncated, three-

dimensional (3D) Euler equation. Therefore the evolution equation for the difference field $\delta v(x, t) = v^a(x, t) - v^b(x, t)$, component-wise is given by:

$$\partial_t \delta v_i (x, t) = -\partial_j \left[ v^a_i \partial^a_j \delta v_j + v^a_j \partial^a_i \delta v_i + \delta v_i \partial^a_j \right] + \partial^b_{ijkl} \int_D \ dx' \ G(x, x') \left[ v^a_i \partial^a_j + v^a_j \partial^a_i + \delta v_i \partial^a_j \right]; \quad (6)$$

with an initial conditions $\delta v(x, 0) \equiv \delta v_0$ and a Green’s function satisfying $\nabla^2 G(x, x') = \delta(x - x')$. While the non-

local and convective terms in this equation clearly suggests that a localised, initial difference $\delta v(x, 0) \equiv \delta v_0$, introduced through the perturbation in b: $v^a_0 = v^b_0 + \delta v_0$; with
\[ \delta v = \nabla \times A, \] where \( A_i = \epsilon \sqrt{E} r_0 \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{r_0^2} \right) \dot{e}_i, \] will de-localise with a spatio-temporal spreading. However, given the nonlinear nature of this equation, estimating how this happens, or more specifically, the temporal growth of the decorrelator \( \Phi(t) \) and hence the Lyapunov exponent, is a challenge.

Since the main question which concerns us to do with the short time growth of these decorrelators, when nonlinear terms \( O(\delta v^2) \) can be ignored, a reasonable assumption which was validated against data from our Direct Numerical Simulations (DNSs), we linearise Eq. 6:

\[
\frac{\partial \delta v_{\text{lin}}}{\partial t} \approx -v_j \frac{\partial \delta v_{\text{lin}}}{\partial x_j} - \dot{\gamma}_i \delta v_{\text{lin}} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i}; \tag{7}
\]

where \( T = 2\delta_{ij} \int d\mathbf{y} G(|x - y|) \delta v_{\text{lin}}(y) v_k^{(a)}(x) \) is the non-local (linear) contribution from the pressure term. It is worth stressing that although we linearise the equation, it still allows for the spatio-temporal spread of the difference field because of its non-local nature. As we have shown in the main paper (Fig. 3; dashed lines), the decorrelator \( \Phi(t) \) (or \( \phi(r, t) \)) obtained from this linearised equation is in agreement, at short times, with those obtained from the DNSs of the full 3D thermalized Euler equation. Indeed, quantifying by this agreement through a global relative error:

\[
\Gamma(t) = \frac{1}{V} \int_\Omega d\mathbf{x} \frac{|\delta \mathbf{v} - \delta \mathbf{v}_{\text{lin}}|^2}{\Phi(t)} \tag{8}
\]

where \( \Omega \) is the domain and \( V \) the volume of space; in the exponential growth regime \( \Gamma \sim 10^{-4} \) and reaches \( O(1) \) at times when the \( \Phi(t) \) (or \( \phi(r, t) \)) obtained from DNSs start to saturate. The linear theory of course fails in this saturation region as the approximations leading up to it no longer holds as \( \langle \mathbf{v}^a \cdot \mathbf{v}^b \rangle = 0 \) and \( |\delta \mathbf{v}| \) is of the same order as the root-mean-squared velocity of the thermalized fluid.

Nevertheless, starting with Eq. 6 and taking dot products with \( \delta \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \) followed by a spatial integration, we eventually obtain:

\[
\hat{\Phi}(t) = -\langle \delta v_i S_{ij} \delta v_j \rangle + \langle \delta_j W_j \rangle \tag{9}
\]

with

\[
W_j = -\frac{1}{2} v_j |\delta \mathbf{v}|^2 + \dot{\gamma}_i \delta_{ij} \int_\Omega d\mathbf{x} \left( v_i^a \delta v_i + \dot{\gamma}_i v_i^b \right) G(x, x') \tag{10}
\]

and \( S_{ij} \) the familiar rate-of-strain tensor \( 2S_{ij} = \partial_i v_j^a + \partial_j v_i^a \) for the thermalized fluid. The second, divergence term in Eq. 9 vanishes however because of periodic boundary conditions leading to

\[
\hat{\Phi}(t) = -\langle \delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} \rangle \tag{11}
\]

In the main paper, we have illustrated the validity of Eq. 11 in the upper inset of Fig. 5.

Since the rate-of-strain tensor is diagonalisable, in its eigenbasis with eigenvalues \( \{\gamma_i\} \) (satisfying the incompressibility constraint \( \sum_i \gamma_i = 0 \) with extensional \( \gamma_1 > 0 \) and compressional \( \gamma_3 < 0 \) eigen-directions) we decompose \( \delta \mathbf{v} \) in the eigenbasis of \( S_{ij} \) with (undetermined) components \( \alpha_i \) along each eigenvector:

\[
\hat{\Phi}(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \langle \alpha_i^2 \gamma_i \rangle \tag{12}
\]

Keeping in mind that the thermalised fluid is incompressible and \( \Phi(t) > 0 \) at short and \( \Phi(t) = 0 \) at long times (saturation), \( \alpha_i^2 \) are clearly correlated with the corresponding eigenvectors. Further more, since \( \gamma_3 < 0 \) and \( \hat{\Phi}(t) \) is positive at short times, it seems likely that there must be a preferential alignment of \( \delta \mathbf{v} \) with the compressional eigenvector.

Theoretically, this idea of preferential alignment is hard to prove. However, we are able to construct from our numerical data the probability distributions of the \( \alpha_i \) (Fig. 6(b)) for all three eigen-directions and find that the conjectured preferential alignment, namely that the sum in the right hand side of Eq. 12 is dominated by \( \gamma_3 < 0 \) leading to \( \hat{\Phi} > 0 \), holds.

This allows us to simplify the equation of motion of the decorrelator

\[
\hat{\Phi}(t) \sim -\langle \alpha_i^2 \gamma_3 \rangle \sim -\tau_3 \Phi \tag{13}
\]

with \( \tau_3 \) the average (negative) eigenvalue along the compressional direction.

For the thermalised fluid emerging from solutions of the Galerkin-truncated 1D Burgers equation, the linearised theory for the decorrelator is relatively straightforward. We recall that beginning with the thermalised solution (in Fourier space) \( \hat{v}^h \) allows us to define a control field \( \hat{v}^p_0 = \hat{v}^h \) and a perturbed field \( \hat{v}^p_1 = \hat{v}^p_0 (1 + \epsilon \delta_k k_p) \) with large values of the perturbation wave-number \( k_p \) to generate de-localised small-scale perturbations in the system. From this, we define the decorrelator as \( |\Delta_k|^2 = \langle |\hat{v}^p_k - \hat{v}^p_0|^2 \rangle \) or, in physical space, \( \Delta = \hat{v}^a - v^b \).

Since both fields \( \mathbf{a} \) and \( \mathbf{b} \) satisfy the Galerkin-truncated Burgers equation, we can write down the evolution equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial t} + P_{\mathbf{G}} \left[ \frac{\partial \Delta v^h}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Delta^2}{\partial x} \right] = 0; \tag{14}
\]

with initial conditions, most conveniently defined in Fourier space, as \( \Delta_k(t = 0) = \epsilon_0 v^h_0 \delta_k k_p \) and the projector \( P_{\mathbf{G}} \) constraining the dynamics on a finite dimensional subspace with a maximum wavenumber \( K_0 \) and \( N_G \) degrees of freedom. At short times, we linearise (for the same reasons as outlined for the 3D thermalised fluid) by dropping the quadratic non-linearity of \( \Delta \) and obtain estimates, made precise in the next section, of an exponential, \( k \)-independent growth of \( |\Delta_k|^2 \) consistent with our findings for the Euler equation. In the main paper, Fig. 3 (inset) shows plots of the decorrelator obtained from our linear theory; the agreement in the exponential phase with the decorrelator obtained from the full DNSs is remarkable. However, as with the 3D thermalised fluid, the approximations which go into the linear theory—dropping of
FIG. 6. (a) Plots of the Galerkin-truncated Burgers equation velocity field $v$ (blue) and the un-truncated solution $u$ (black) at time $t = 10.0$ for an initial condition (red) with $A_0 = 1.0$. The un-truncated or entropy (weak) solution shows clear shocks and the characteristic saw-tooth profile whereas the truncated solution appears as a white noise indicative of a thermalised flow. (Upper Inset) Log-log plots of energy spectrum vs $k$ for the truncated (blue circles) and un-truncated (black squares) equations showing the equipartition of kinetic energy ($E(k) \sim k^0$) for the former and a $k^{-2}$ scaling (denoted by a thick black line) for the latter. (Lower Inset) The kinetic energy spectrum of a partially ($\lambda$, squares; early times) and fully (B, circles; late times) thermalised 3D fluid obtained from simulations of the Galerkin-truncated Euler equation. The dashed lines with a scaling $\sim k^2$ is indicative of energy equipartition due to thermalisation. (b) The probability distribution of the components $\hat{\alpha}^2_i$ for the three eigen-directions clearly suggests the preferential alignment along the direction of compression. (c) A representative plot of the decorrelator $\Phi(t)$ for a 3D thermalised flow ($E = 1$) and the empirical form $\Phi_{emp}(t)$ illustrating the approximate agreement between the two. (d) The compensated decorrelator $\phi(r, t) \exp(-\lambda t)$ for different values of $t$ ($E = 1$); the grey dashed line shows a scaling $r^{-4}$ is an illustration of the self-similar nature of the spatial spread of the decorrelations. This is confirmed (inset) in the space-time plot of the isocontours of the decorrelator which suggests a spread of the forms $t \sim \ln r$.

The linear theory developed above for the 3D and 1D fluids are not just as useful to predict the nature of decorrelators at early times, but they are indispensable to estimate the Lyapunov exponents and their dependence on both temperature $T$ and degrees of freedom of the system $N_G$.

For the 3D thermalised fluid, the linearised theory as summarised in Eq. 13 leads to the following bound on the growth of the decorrelator $\Phi(t) \leq -2\gamma_3 \Phi(t)$ and hence the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda \leq -2\gamma_3$. As we show in the main paper (Fig. 5, upper inset), results from our DNSs confirms this bound as we find $\lambda \approx -0.62\gamma_3$.

In order to uncover the dependence of $\lambda$ on $T$ and $N_G$, we exploit the fact that the linear theory helps us to associate the Lyapunov exponent with the eigenvalues of the strain tensor.
The essence of chaos (University of Washington) numerical constant. Hence, and by using the identity remains spectrally flat, i.e., We have confirmed, numerically, that at short times leading to that the nature of many-body chaos is determined solely by above is purely heuristic it does serve to underline the fact that the isocontours of the decorrelator (measured through a cubic box). A further consequence of this (Fig. 6(d), inset) is that the isocontours of the decorrelator (measured through a suitable threshold value φ0) are spread in space-time as t ∼ ln r.

While we do not have a way of obtaining the exponent α ≈ 4 analytically, the constraint that Φ(t) = ∫0t L dr r2φ(r, t) must be bounded (from above) suggests that α > 3 which is consistent with what we measure in our data.

Appendix F: Decorrelators – Spatial Spread

Non-locality is inherent in 3D thermalised flows due to the pressure term as well as Galerkin truncation. Hence it allows the perturbation seeded locally at t = 0 to affect the evolution of thermalised velocity everywhere. This is already seen in Eq. 6 which shows that at t = 0+, at spatial points far from the center of perturbation, the growth of ∂v(x) is essentially triggered by the non-local integral term. The subsequent growth of the difference field is then through its coupling with the rate-of-strain tensor.

All of this suggest that the spatially resolved decorrelator φ(r, t) will not have a wavefront which propagates (radially) with a finite butterfly speed. On the contrary, as was also suggested in the inset of Fig. 2(a) in the main paper, one should expect a self-similar spatial profile for decorrelator, i.e., φ(r, t) ∼ r−α.

In Fig. 6(d), we see clear evidence of φ(r, t) ∼ r−α, with α ≈ 4, for in the range 0 < r < π (where π is half the system size since the perturbation is seeded in the middle of a 2π3 cubic box). A further consequence of this (Fig. 6(d), inset) is that the isocontours of the decorrelator (measured through a suitable threshold value φ0) are spread in space-time as t ∼ ln r.

While we do not have a way of obtaining the exponent α ≈ 4 analytically, the constraint that Φ(t) = ∫0t L dr r2φ(r, t) must be bounded (from above) suggests that α > 3 which is consistent with what we measure in our data.

Appendix E: Decorrelators – Saturation

While we do understand why and at what time scales t_sat the decorrelators of thermalised fluids saturate (Fig. 3), it still remains to be understood how they approach the saturated value. To understand this for the 3D thermalised fluid, for simplicity, we define a normalised decorrelator ̃Φ(t) = Φ(t)/T E

Given that the only time scale in the problem is the inverse of the Lyapunov exponent, we construct the following empirical form:

\[ ̃Φ^{emp}(t) = \left(1 + \exp\left[-\lambda(t - t_{sat})\right]\right)^{-1} \] (17)

with a saturation time-scale t_sat ~ 1/λ but found more precisely by fitting the data from our simulations. In Fig. 6(c) we show a representative plot illustrating how the empirical form approximately fits the data.

While the functional form of the decorrelator ̃Φ(t) defined above is purely heuristic it does serve to underline the fact that the nature of many-body chaos is determined solely by the Lyapunov exponent.
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