Visual Understanding and Narration: A Deeper Understanding and Explanation of Visual Scenes

Stephanie M. Lukin*, Claire Bonial*, and Clare R. Voss U.S. Army Research Laboratory Adelphi, MD 20783 stephanie.m.lukin.civ@mail.mil

1 Introduction

We describe the task of Visual Understanding and Narration, in which a robot (or agent) generates text for the images that it collects when navigating its environment, by answering open-ended questions, such as what happens, or might have happened, here? This task was first explored in Lukin et al. (2018a) where humans wrote narratives answering such questions about images taken by a robot (e.g., Fig. 1) during our human-robot interaction research (Lukin et al., 2018b). The intersection of object identification and text generation has been explored by Das et al. (2017) and Antol et al. (2015), however these works stop short of inferencing and narration requirements. Zellers et al. (2018) and Goyal et al. (2017) exploit common sense knowledge of stereotypical, human-centric scenarios in individual images and video clips respectively, yet convey their deductions through multiple choice or slot-filling, rather than generating language or narratives. We briefly survey related current technology and resources, and then sketch our two-pronged approach to bridging the gaps between these fundamental tasks and requirements of the new task.

2 Visual Understanding

Addressing the gap between recognizing particular objects in images and reasoning about why they may be present in a given physical environment requires commonsense knowledge.

2.1 Commonsense Gaps

Commonsense knowledge about objects for computer vision has shown both to improve object and activity recognition and to provide additional information necessary for deeper reasoning (Gupta and Malik, 2015; Yatskar et al., 2016b; Ronchi and



Figure 1: Image taken onboard robot

Perona, 2015). This type of object knowledge is primarily visual, supporting tasks such as object and activity recognition, as well as transfer learning to visually similar objects and scenes. Such knowledge has included spatial relations (Yatskar et al., 2016a), shape similarity to other objects, and visual attributes such as color (Singh et al., 2018).

However, knowledge humans exploit when analyzing an environment goes beyond visual clues. To interpret Fig. 1 possibly as a kitchen, a system needs not only to recognize the objects, but to know which actions are commonly performed with these objects, and then to infer where such actions may occur. The actions, termed 'object affordances' (Gibson, 1979), have been defined in computer vision studies as the combination of: an affordance label, a human pose representation of the action, and a relative position of the object with respect to the human (Grabner et al., 2011; Kjellström et al., 2011; Yao and Huang, 2018; Zhu et al., 2014). Though the latter two can be extracted from visual data, a challenge is how to systematically collect appropriate affordance labels for shared re-use by vision and language researchers, reducing the redundant labor of independent, manual assignments of verbs as labels for small, fixed sets of objects (e.g., *sit-on – chair*).

2.2 Approach to Bridging Gaps

'Qualia' are relations associated with a particular object (Pustejovsky, 1991), including

^{*} Indicates equal contribution

Agentive (CREATED_BY), Telic (FUNCTIONS_AS, USED_FOR), Constitutive (PART_OF, MADE_OF), and Formal (IS_A), providing a rich source of commonsense and affordance information, and a framework for disambiguating senses of a word (e.g., *book:* physical item vs. content). They have been demonstrated as useful knowledge representations for intelligent agents (McDonald et al., 2013; Pustejovsky et al., 2017; Narayana et al., 2018).

A comprehensive set of qualia relations have yet to be defined and organized. We are tackling this challenge and aim to make the qualia usable for visual understanding tasks: qualia have been automatically extracted and evaluated for quality via crowdsourcing (Kazeminejad et al., 2018), then encoded as relations between entities and events in the Rich Event Ontology (REO) (Bonial et al., 2016). Assuming, for example, that the objects in Fig. 1 can be recognized accurately, the resulting list of objects (e.g., pot, cereal) can first be queried for their qualia in REO, to discover: pot is USED_FOR *cooking*, and *cereal* FUNCTIONS_AS nourishing and IS_A Prepared_Food. These activities with object classes can next be queried for their common locations in REO via their semantic roles, to discover: *cooking Prepared_Food* returns kitchen. In this approach, the objects, their affordances, and REO roles, would support the inference that this space FUNCTIONS_AS as a kitchen.

3 Narrative Building

Once the visual scene is interpreted, we determine what is needed to answer the task question via content selection and narrative generation.

3.1 Generation Gaps

Content selection, or framing, is the relationship between the narrating agent and what they know and choose to talk about (Lönneker, 2005). The choice of appropriate framing device depends on the intended audience of the final narrative. Many recent works in vision treat framing as an observational task, describing the image in a single sentence (Rashtchian et al., 2010; Hodosh et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Krishna et al., 2016).¹ This limits the scope to the visually observable and restricts what can be learned by extrapolation from the past or to future. With just a handful of open-ended prompts, e.g., *what happened*, creative scene interpretations can be elicited that go beyond single sentences (Gordon and Roemmele, 2014; Huang et al., 2016; Vaidyanathan et al., 2018).

After assessing what to talk about, the narrating agent must establish how to talk about it. Recent neural vision and text models rely solely on crowd-sourced data for guidance in this phase of narrative crafting (Park and Kim, 2015; Yu et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2016; Fan et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). Much can be learned from narratological studies, such as the categorization, combination, and presentation of narrative elements (Labov and Waletzky, 1997; Rahimtoroghi et al., 2013; Niehaus and Young, 2009; Lehnert, 1981; Elson, 2012). However, the template-based approaches (Montfort, 2007; Callaway and Lester, 2002) and statistical models (Li, 2015) that have successfully leveraged these elements for content selection and narrative shaping in text-based story generation, have not yet been applied to visual narration.

3.2 Approach to Bridging Gaps

Lukin et al. (2018a) performed a pilot data collection with framing to elicit a narrative connecting a sequence of images. In our ongoing work, preliminary analysis of human authored narratives about Fig. 1 have found both extrapolation beyond the observable in the image ("[someone intends] to live here at least until they finish the project that they are working on") and creative causal reasoning for what is not visually depicted in the image ("[someone] is pulling an all-nighter and brought breakfast for the next morning").

4 Next Steps

The two prongs of our approach provide complementary information for identifying and reasoning about a visual scene, from which succinct and targeted text can be generated in support of humanrobot interactions to talk about what happens in the robot's environment. Qualia encoded in REO provide bottom-up, commonsense knowledge for reasoning, and existing narrative schema can be applied in a top-down manner to formulate narratives, leveraging content from crowd-sourced narrative elements. Our ontology and crowdsourced annotations will be made available to the community, supplementing existing resources.

¹Ferraro et al. (2015) survey of vision and language resources; framing prompts are similar to those listed here.

References

- Stanislaw Antol, Aishwarya Agrawal, Jiasen Lu, Margaret Mitchell, Dhruv Batra, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. 2015. VQA: Visual Question Answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2425–2433.
- Claire Bonial, David Tahmoush, Susan Windisch Brown, and Martha Palmer. 2016. Multimodal Use of an Upper-Level Event Ontology. In *Proceedings* of the Fourth Workshop on Events, pages 18–26.
- Charles B Callaway and James C Lester. 2002. Narrative Prose Generation. *Artificial Intelligence*, 139(2):213–252.
- Xinlei Chen, Hao Fang, Tsung-Yi Lin, Ramakrishna Vedantam, Saurabh Gupta, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2015. Microsoft COCO Captions: Data Collection and Evaluation Server. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.00325*.
- Abhishek Das, Satwik Kottur, Khushi Gupta, Avi Singh, Deshraj Yadav, José MF Moura, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. 2017. Visual Dialog. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 326–335.
- David Elson. 2012. DramaBank: Annotating Agency in Narrative Discourse. In *LREC*, pages 2813–2819.
- Angela Fan, Mike Lewis, and Yann Dauphin. 2018. Hierarchical Neural Story Generation. In Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 889–898. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Francis Ferraro, Nasrin Mostafazadeh, Lucy Vanderwende, Jacob Devlin, Michel Galley, Margaret Mitchell, et al. 2015. A Survey of Current Datasets for Vision and Language Research. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.06833*.
- J.J. Gibson. 1979. *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Andrew S Gordon and Melissa Roemmele. 2014. An Authoring Tool for Movies in the Style of Heider and Simmel. In *International Conference on Interactive Digital Storytelling*, pages 49–60. Springer.
- Raghav Goyal, Samira Ebrahimi Kahou, Vincent Michalski, Joanna Materzynska, Susanne Westphal, Heuna Kim, Valentin Haenel, Ingo Fruend, Peter Yianilos, Moritz Mueller-Freitag, et al. 2017. The "Something Something" Video Database for Learning and Evaluating Visual Common Sense. In *ICCV*, volume 2, page 8.
- Helmut Grabner, Juergen Gall, and Luc Van Gool. 2011. What Makes a Chair a Chair? In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2011 IEEE Conference on*, pages 1529–1536. IEEE.

- Saurabh Gupta and Jitendra Malik. 2015. Visual Semantic Role Labeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.04474*.
- Micah Hodosh, Peter Young, and Julia Hockenmaier. 2013. Framing Image Description as a Ranking Task: Data, Models and Evaluation Metrics. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 47:853–899.
- Ting-Hao Kenneth Huang, Francis Ferraro, Nasrin Mostafazadeh, Ishan Misra, Aishwarya Agrawal, Jacob Devlin, Ross Girshick, Xiaodong He, Pushmeet Kohli, Dhruv Batra, et al. 2016. Visual Storytelling. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 1233–1239.
- Ghazaleh Kazeminejad, Claire Bonial, Susan Windisch Brown, and Martha Palmer. 2018. Automatically Extracting Qualia Relations for the Rich Event Ontology. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 2644–2652.
- Hedvig Kjellström, Javier Romero, and Danica Kragić. 2011. Visual Object-Action Recognition: Inferring Object Affordances from Human Demonstration. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 115(1):81–90.
- Ranjay Krishna, Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Justin Johnson, Kenji Hata, Joshua Kravitz, Stephanie Chen, Yannis Kalantidis, Li-Jia Li, David A Shamma, Michael Bernstein, and Li Fei-Fei. 2016. Visual Genome: Connecting Language and Vision Using Crowdsourced Dense Image Annotations.
- William Labov and Joshua Waletzky. 1997. Narrative Analysis: Oral Versions of Personal Experience. Journal of Narrative and Life History.
- Wendy G Lehnert. 1981. Plot Units and Narrative Summarization. *Cognitive Science*, 5(4):293–331.
- Boyang Li. 2015. *Learning knowledge to support domain-independent narrative intelligence*. Ph.D. thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2014. Microsoft COCO: Common Objects in Context. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 740–755. Springer.
- Birte Lönneker. 2005. Narratological Knowledge for Natural Language Generation. In *Proceedings of the Tenth European Workshop on Natural Language Generation (ENLG-05).*
- Stephanie Lukin, Reginald Hobbs, and Clare Voss. 2018a. A Pipeline for Creative Visual Storytelling. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Storytelling, pages 20–32.

- Stephanie M Lukin, Felix Gervits, Cory J Hayes, Anton Leuski, Pooja Moolchandani, John G Rogers III, Carlos Sanchez Amaro, Matthew Marge, Clare R Voss, and David Traum. 2018b. Scoutbot: A dialogue system for collaborative navigation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.08074.
- David McDonald, James Pustejovsky, and BRANDEIS EDU. 2013. On the Representation of Inferences and their Lexicalization. In *Proceedings of the Second Annual Conference on Advances in Cognitive Systems ACS*, volume 135, page 152. Citeseer.
- Nick Montfort. 2007. *Generating Narrative Variation in Interactive Fiction*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, Dept. of Computer and Information Science.
- Pradyumna Narayana, Nikhil Krishnaswamy, Isaac Wang, Rahul Bangar, Dhruva Patil, Gururaj Mulay, Kyeongmin Rim, Ross Beveridge, Jaime Ruiz, James Pustejovsky, et al. 2018. Cooperating with Avatars through Gesture, Language and Action. In Proceedings of SAI Intelligent Systems Conference, pages 272–293. Springer.
- James Niehaus and R Michael Young. 2009. A Computational Model of Inferencing in Narrative. In AAAI Spring Symposium: Intelligent Narrative Technologies II, pages 83–90.
- Cesc C Park and Gunhee Kim. 2015. Expressing an Image Stream with a Sequence of Natural Sentences. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 73–81.
- James Pustejovsky. 1991. The generative lexicon. *Computational linguistics*, 17(4):409–441.
- James Pustejovsky, Nikhil Krishnaswamy, and Tuan Do. 2017. Object Embodiment in a Multimodal Simulation. In AAAI Spring Symposium: Interactive Multisensory Object Perception for Embodied Agents.
- Elahe Rahimtoroghi, Reid Swanson, Marilyn A Walker, and Thomas Corcoran. 2013. Evaluation, Orientation, and Action in Interactive Storytelling. *Proc. of Intelligent Narrative Technologies*, 6.
- Cyrus Rashtchian, Peter Young, Micah Hodosh, and Julia Hockenmaier. 2010. Collecting Image Annotations using Amazon's Mechanical Turk. In Proceedings of the NAACL HLT 2010 Workshop on Creating Speech and Language Data with Amazon's Mechanical Turk, pages 139–147. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Matteo Ruggero Ronchi and Pietro Perona. 2015. Describing Common Human Visual Actions in Images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.02203*.
- Kumar Singh, Krishna, Santosh Divvala, Ali Farhadi, and Yong Jae Lee. 2018. DOCK: Detecting Objects by transferring Common-sense Knowledge. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 492–508.

- Preethi Vaidyanathan, Emily T. Prud'hommeaux, Jeff B. Pelz, and Cecilia O. Alm. 2018. SNAG: Spoken Narratives and Gaze Dataset. In Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), pages 132–137. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xin Wang, Wenhu Chen, Yuan-Fang Wang, and William Yang Wang. 2018. No Metrics Are Perfect: Adversarial Reward Learning for Visual Storytelling. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 899–909. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wenlin Yao and Ruihong Huang. 2018. Temporal Event Knowledge Acquisition via Identifying Narratives. In Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 537–547. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mark Yatskar, Vicente Ordonez, and Ali Farhadi. 2016a. Stating the obvious: Extracting visual common sense knowledge. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 193–198.
- Mark Yatskar, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Ali Farhadi. 2016b. Situation Recognition: Visual Semantic Role Labeling for Image Understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5534–5542.
- Licheng Yu, Mohit Bansal, and Tamara Berg. 2017. Hierarchically-Attentive RNN for Album Summarization and Storytelling. In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 966–971.
- Rowan Zellers, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. 2018. From Recognition to Cognition: Visual Commonsense Reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.10830*.
- Yuke Zhu, Alireza Fathi, and Li Fei-Fei. 2014. Reasoning about Object Affordances in a Knowledge Base Representation. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 408–424. Springer.