Learning the optimally coordinated routes from the statistical mechanics of polymers
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Abstract

Many major cities suffer from severe traffic congestion. Road expansion in the cities is usually infeasible, and an alternative way to alleviate traffic congestion is to coordinate the route of vehicles. Various path selection and planning algorithms are thus proposed, but most existing methods only plan paths separately and provide un-coordinated solutions. Recently, an analogy between the coordination of vehicular routes and the interaction of polymers is drawn; the spin glass theory in statistical physics is employed to optimally coordinate transportation routes. To further examine the advantages brought by path coordination, we incorporate the link congestion function developed by the Bureau of Public Roads (BPR) into the polymer routing algorithm. We then estimate in simulations the traveling time of all users saved by the polymer-BPR algorithm in randomly generated networks and real transportation networks in major cities including London, New York and Beijing. We found that a large amount of traveling time is saved in all studied networks, suggesting that the approach inspired by polymer physics is effective in minimizing the traveling time via path coordination, which is a promising tool for alleviating traffic congestions.

1 Introduction

Traffic congestion is a recurrent severe problem in many major cities in the world [Song \textit{et al.}, 2016]. In many cases, popular routes are highly congested, leading to a huge time cost for road users while leaving some longer routes potentially under-used. One effective way to mitigate traffic congestion is to coordinate the paths of all vehicles [Chardaire \textit{et al.}, 2005], i.e. to assign the paths of each individual vehicle in the network simultaneously in a coordinated manner, in order to achieve a global objective [Wu \textit{et al.}, 2006]. Nevertheless, it is not an easy task, and most existing routing algorithms only optimize static routes or steady flows separately [Yang and Nikolova, 2016]. For instance, navigation applications usually suggest the shortest path to individual vehicles based on the current traffic condition [Xu \textit{et al.}, 2018], which is an uncoordinated routing strategy by which many vehicles may to through the same route simultaneously, leading to traffic congestion.

In recent years, statistical physics has been applied to optimize routes in transportation networks. Examples include the identification of routes in Steiner trees and the optimization of paths from multiple origins to a universal destination [Yeung and Saad, 2012]. Recently, transportation routes have been mapped to interacting polymers [Galina and Sysło, 1988; Yeung \textit{et al.}, 2013; Saad \textit{et al.}, 2014], of which the two polymer ends correspond to the origin and the destination of the route of individual road user. Repulsion is introduced in order to minimize the overlap between the polymers on the network. A message-passing algorithm is then derived based on the techniques developed in the study of spin glasses [Mézard \textit{et al.}, 1987; Sanghavi \textit{et al.}, 2009], which optimally arranges the interacting polymers on the network, leading to an algorithm which optimally coordinate the routes to achieve a global objective.

In this paper, instead of introducing a repulsive interaction between the paths of vehicles, we incorporate the link congestion function developed by the Bureau of Public Roads (BPR) [of Public Roads, 1964; Stefanello \textit{et al.}, 2016; Grunitzi and Buzzan, 2016; Zhang \textit{et al.}, 2017] into the polymer routing algorithm [Saad \textit{et al.}, 2014]. Since the BPR link congestion function corresponds to the traveling time along a road given its amount of traffic, optimizing the BPR function on the whole network is equivalent to minimizing the total traveling time for all users using the network. Hence, our algorithm which incorporates the BPR function in the polymer routing algorithm is thus an algorithm which minimizes the total traveling time for all road users.

Compared to existing local greedy algorithms, our global optimization algorithm which we call polymer-BPR can allocate multiple interacting paths at the same time and minimize a global cost. Algorithmically, our polymer-BPR is a message-passing algorithm [Swoboda and Andres, 2017], and its distributive nature is beneficial for implementation in navigation applications, compared to centralized optimization algorithms such as dynamical linear programming [Xie \textit{et al.}, 2004; Zhu \textit{et al.}, 2006]. By applying our algorithm on random regular graphs [Liu \textit{et al.}, 2011], the Lon-
don Underground network, the New York Road network, and
the Beijing Rail Transit network, we found that the travel-
ing time estimated by the BPR function and obtained by our
polymer-BPR algorithm is much shorter than that obtained
when all users commute by their shortest path.

2 Models and methods

To examine how transportation routes are coordinated by vari-
ous algorithms, we consider $M$ vehicles commuting on a net-
work with $N$ nodes. Each node $i$ on the network is connected
to $k_i$ neighboring sites. The origin and the destination of each
vehicle $\nu$ are nodes on the network. We denote the variable
$\sigma^\nu_{ij} = 1$ when vehicle $\nu$ commutes using the edge $(ij)$ con-
ecting node $i$ and $j$, and $\sigma^\nu_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The traffic
flow $I_{ij}$ on the edge $(ij)$ is thus the number of vehicles using
the edge, i.e.

$$I_{ij} = \sum_{\nu} \sigma^\nu_{ij}$$

To estimate the total traveling time of all vehicles, we will
adopt the link congestion function developed by the Bureau
of Public Roads (BPR) [of Public Roads, 1964], the then U.
S. Federal Highway Administration. We will call this func-
tion the BPR function throughout the paper, which gives the
traveling time of a vehicle through an edge $(ij)$ with traffic
flow $I_{ij}$ to be

$$t_{(ij)}(I_{ij}) = \tilde{t}_{(ij)} \left[ 1 + 0.15 \left( \frac{I_{ij}}{C_{ij}} \right)^4 \right],$$

where $\tilde{t}_{(ij)}$ and $C_{ij}$ are the free-flow travel time and the
capacity on the edge $(ij)$. In this case, one can define a cost
function $\phi(I_{ij})$ on an edge $(ij)$ with traffic flow $I_{ij}$, which
correspond to the total passage time of all vehicles using the
dge

$$\phi(I_{ij}) = I_{ij} t(I_{ij}) = I_{ij} \tilde{t}_{(ij)} \left[ 1 + 0.15 \left( \frac{I_{ij}}{C_{ij}} \right)^4 \right].$$

The total traveling time $T$ for all vehicles in the network who
travel from their respective origin to destination is given by

$$T = \sum_{(ij)} \phi(I_{ij}),$$

With the BPR function, we can compute the estimated travel-
ing time when vehicles go by their respective shortest paths
without coordination, or their paths are coordinated by conges-
tion-aware path planning algorithms. Here, we will
describe the routing algorithms that are studied in the present
work.

2.1 To identify the shortest path – The Dijkstra
algorithm

The shortest path algorithm proposed by Dijkstra in
1959 [Dijkstra, 1959] is the benchmark algorithm for deal-
ing with routing problems. The algorithm uses a breadth-
first search strategy to obtain the shortest path between a spe-
cific node and all other nodes in the network in a single run.

The Dijkstra algorithm is simple to implement and its com-
putational complexity is $O(N \log N)$. Nevertheless, since
only the shortest paths are obtained by the Dijkstra algorithm,
transportation routes obtained by the algorithm are not coo-
dinated and may lead to severe traffic congestion.

2.2 The polymer routing algorithm

The polymer routing algorithm was derived in [Yeung and Saad, 2013], which utilizes the analogy
between repulsive interacting polymers and overlap-
suppressing transportation routes, to achieve congestion-
aware coordinated path configurations in transportation
networks. By applying techniques developed in the stud-
ies of spin glasses and disorder systems, a distributive
message-passing algorithm capable to identify the low-
energy states of a system of interacting polymers is derived
in [Yeung et al., 2013], which is equivalent to a configuration
of coordinated transportation paths on the network.

Given a cost function $\phi(I_{ij})$ defined on an edge $(ij)$ with
current $I_{ij}$, the polymer routing algorithm which involves
only edge cost works by passing messages from each node $i$
to its neighbor $j$ for each index $\nu$ until convergence. The two
messages $a^\nu_{j-i}$ and $b^\nu_{j-i}$ from node $i$ to node $j$ for index $\nu$
are given by

$$a^\nu_{j-i} = \begin{cases} \min_{l \in E_j \setminus \{i\}} \left[ a^\nu_{l-i} + \phi' (\eta^\nu_{ji}) \right] \\
- \min_{l \in E_j \setminus \{i\}, \nu \neq r} \left[ 0, \min_{r \in E_j \setminus \{i\}, l \neq r} \left[ a^\nu_{l-i} + b^\nu_{r-j} \right] \right],
\end{cases}$$

$$b^\nu_{j-i} = \begin{cases} \min_{l \in E_j \setminus \{i\}} \left[ b^\nu_{l-j} + \phi' (\eta^\nu_{ji}) \right] \\
- \min_{l \in E_j \setminus \{i\}, \nu \neq r} \left[ 0, \min_{r \in E_j \setminus \{i\}, l \neq r} \left[ a^\nu_{l-i} + b^\nu_{r-j} \right] \right],
\end{cases}$$

The value of $\eta^\nu_{ji}$ is given by

$$\eta^\nu_{ji} = \frac{1}{M} + \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \sigma^\mu_{ji},$$

The energy states of a system of interacting polymers is derived
in [Yeung et al., 2012], which utilizes the analogy between
repulsive interacting polymers and overlap-suppressing trans-
portation routes, to achieve congestion-aware coordinated
path configurations in transportation networks.
such that $\sigma^{\nu}_{ji}$ is given by

$$
\sigma^{\nu}_{ji} = \delta_{\Lambda_{\nu},1} \Theta \left( \min_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{ji} \setminus \{i\}} \left[ b^l_{i \rightarrow j} - b^l_{r \rightarrow j} \right] + \delta_{\Lambda_{\nu},-1} \Theta \left( \min_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{ji} \setminus \{i\}} \left[ a^\nu_{l \rightarrow j} - a^\nu_{r \rightarrow j} \right] \right) + \delta_{\Lambda_{\nu},0} \Theta \left( \min_{0, l \in \mathcal{L}_{ji} \setminus \{i\}} \left[ a^\nu_{l \rightarrow j} + b^r_{l \rightarrow j} \right] + \min_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{ji} \setminus \{i\}} \left[ a^r_{l \rightarrow j} \right] \right) \right),
$$

which corresponds to the optimized flow of the edge $(ij)$ for vehicle $\nu$ after the convergence of messages: $\sigma^{\nu}_{ji} = 1$ if vehicle $\nu$ passes the edge and $\sigma^{\nu}_{ji} = 0$ otherwise.

### 2.3 The polymer-BPR algorithm

In the original work of the polymer routing algorithm, the cost function on the edge is given by $\phi(I) \propto I^\alpha$. The cases with $\alpha = 2$ are used to represent repulsive polymers, as $\phi(I)$ increases more than linearly as $I$ increases and the case with a large number of polymers on the same edge is penalized. The results in [Yeung et al., 2013] show that routes are well distributed in this case, but the values of the total cost function do not correspond to a physical quantity of interest. In the present work, we will integrate the cost function in Equation 3 to the polymer routing algorithm, which we call the polymer-BPR algorithm. This algorithm aims to minimize the total traveling time of all vehicles. The results are compared to path configurations obtained by other benchmarking algorithms, which lead to insights into the amount of traveling time which can be saved by coordinating vehicle paths.

### 3 Data description and evaluation metrics

In the subsequent sections, we will use the generated random regular graphs, the London Underground network\(^1\), the Beijing Rail Transit network\(^2\) and the New York road network\(^3\) to verify the performance of our algorithm. The descriptions of these real graphs are as follows:

1. In our generated random regular graphs with $N$ nodes, each node has the same degree $k$. The graph size used in

\(^1\)http://www.tfl.gov.uk
\(^2\)http://www.smartcity-competition.com.cn/
\(^3\)https://www.nature.com/articles/sdata201646
corresponds to the average shortest path in the graphs. All simulation results are averaged over 2000 realizations of random regular graphs with network size $M/N$ and the Dijkstra algorithm, as a function of $k$ and $D$ stand for the polymer-BPR algorithm, the polymer routing algorithm and the Dijkstra algorithm respectively. The value of $C_{ij}$ and $T_{ij}$ be the length and the number of lanes on the road $ij$ for the other

$$C_{ij} = 1$$ and $T_{ij} = 1$ in the BPR function Equation (3) for the other

the experiment includes $N = 100, 200, 500$ and 1000, with $k = 3$.

2. The London Underground network consists of 275 stations, each of which represents a node in the graph, and the number of edges, i.e. direct connection between stations, is 373. We also used the real origin-destination pairs of passengers recorded by the Oyster card system, between 8:24 am - 8:40 am on one of the mornings in November 2009.

3. The New York Road network contains 3642 nodes (i.e. junctions) and 5904 edges (i.e. roads). A part of the network with far-reaching geographical coordinates is removed from the network used in the simulations, reducing the difference in edge weights. In the experiment, we have set $t_{ij}$ and $C_{ij}$ in the BPR function Equation (3) to be the length and the number of lanes on the road $ij$ in the real network to have an accurate representation of the efficiency of individual road.

4. The Beijing Rail Transit network is the first subway system in China. There is an average daily passenger flow of 10 million passengers. It is one of the busiest subway systems in China. In the experiment, the network we investigated is composed of 19 subway lines, and the number of stations is 288 with 328 connections between stations. The network data was obtained from Beijing Subway - Baidu Map.

Other than the New York road network, we have set $t_{ij} = 1$ and $C_{ij} = 1$ in the BPR function Equation (3) for the other

three types of network.

4 Results

We first show the path configuration identified by the Dijkstra algorithm, the polymer routing algorithm and the polymer-BPR algorithm for 15 identical origin-destination pairs on the same random regular graph with $N = 50$ and $k = 3$ in Figure 1. The corresponding number of nodes and edges which are shared by the multiple vehicles are shown in Table 1. As we can see in Figure 1(a) and Table 1, the path configuration identified by the Dijkstra algorithm has the largest number of empty nodes and edges, but it also has the largest number of triply-occupied nodes and edges, as well as a node occupied by 4 vehicles, which may lead to severe congestion. In reality, the self-decisive and independent planning of the shortest path may result in highly overlapping paths, leading to a high load on specific nodes and edges and hence a large total traveling time $T$, which may be a common origin of congestion in real transportation networks.

We show in Figure 1(b) the path configuration identified by the polymer routing algorithm. As we can see in Table 1, the number of empty nodes and edges is lower than those found by the Dijkstra algorithm, but the number of nodes and edges occupied by multiple vehicles is also lower, which leads to a shorter global traveling time $T$ as estimated by the BPR function. This implies that the global traveling time $T$ can be reduced by coordinating vehicle paths. We then show in Figure 1(c) the path configuration identified by our proposed polymer-BPR algorithm. As we can see in Table 1, the number of doubly and triply occupied edges and triply occupied nodes are the least among the three algorithms, leading to

Figure 2: (a) The fractional difference $(T_D - \langle T \rangle)/T_D$ in the traveling time, and (b) the fractional difference $(L_D - \langle L \rangle)/L_D$ in the path length, between the path configurations identified by the polymer-BPR algorithm (as well as the polymer routing algorithm with $\alpha = 2$) and the Dijkstra algorithm, as a function of $M/(N/\log N)$ which corresponds to the re-scaled number of vehicles. The subscripts B, P and D stand for the polymer-BPR algorithm, the polymer routing algorithm and the Dijkstra algorithm respectively. The value of $L_D$ in (b) corresponds to the average shortest path in the graphs. All simulation results are averaged over 2000 realizations of random regular graphs with network size $N = 100, 200, 500, 1000$ and node degree $k = 3$. 

http://map.baidu.com/?subwayShareId=beijing,131
the Dijsktra algorithm (or the polymer routing algorithm with data recorded by Oyster Card in one of the mornings in November 2009. On the other hand, 10 groups of 40 random origin-destination pairs region. Nodes are drawn according to their geographic position.

Figure 3: Traffic on the London subway network optimized by the polymer-BPR versus Dijkstra Polymer-BPR versus Polymer (γ = 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>( E_p - E_D )</th>
<th>( L_p - L_D )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>London Underground</td>
<td>-71.7%</td>
<td>+19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NewYork Road</td>
<td>[-93.6%, -38.1%]</td>
<td>[13.1%, 28.7%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing Rail Transit</td>
<td>-68.4%</td>
<td>+10.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The fractional differences in path length and cost \( T \) between the path configurations identified by the polymer-BPR algorithm and the Dijsktra algorithm (or the polymer routing algorithm with \( \gamma = 2 \)), on the London Underground network, the New York road network, and the Beijing Rail Transit network respectively. The origin-destination pairs on the London Underground network are taken from 1\% of the data recorded by Oyster Card in one of the mornings in November 2009. On the other hand, 10 groups of 40 random origin-destination pairs are generated on the New York road networks, and 50 groups of 30 random origin-destination pairs are generated on the Beijing Rail Transit networks. The values \( a \) and \( b \) in \([a, b]\) correspond to the upper or upper obtained value among the trials.

To further demonstrate the effectiveness of our polymer-
BPR algorithm in saving traveling time on real networks including the London Underground network, the New York Road network and the Beijing Rail Transit network, we show in Table 2 the fractional difference in the estimated traveling time and the path length between the traffic configuration optimized by the various algorithms. Similar to the results on random regular graphs, vehicles travel via the polymer-BPR configuration saved an average of 70% - 80% of traveling time compared to that of the shortest path configurations from the Dijkstra algorithm, in the expense of longer traveling distance by only 10% - 20%. Compared with the polymer routing algorithm with $\gamma = 2$, the polymer-BPR also saves roughly 17% of the traveling time on the London and the Beijing network in the expense of increased traveling distance. Examples of the identified traffic flow pattern by the polymer routing algorithm and the polymer-BPR algorithm are shown in Figure 3(a) and (b) respectively. Nevertheless, such advantage is not observed on the New York Road network, probably because of the difficulty in algorithmic convergence.

The fractional difference between the estimated traveling time and the path length obtained by the polymer-BPR and the polymer routing algorithms are compared to those by the Dijkstra algorithm in Figure 4, as a function of the number of vehicles $M$. Similar to the results on random regular graph, both the polymer-BPR and the polymer routing algorithm outperform the Dijkstra path configurations in reducing the total travel time estimated by the BPR function, in the expense of an average traveling distance longer than that of the shortest path. The polymer-BPR algorithm also outperforms the the polymer routing algorithm in finding a shorter traveling time on the London and the Beijing networks for all the studied values of $M$, except on the New York Road network where the polymer-BPR and polymer routing algorithms have similar performance.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we compared the cases where vehicles travel independently through their respective shortest path to the cases where vehicle paths are coordinated to suppress overloaded roads, and showed that the global vehicle traveling time as estimated by the link congestion function developed by the Bureau of Public Roads (BPR) can be greatly reduced if the paths of vehicles are coordinated. We incorporated the BPR function into the polymer routing algorithm developed in [Yeung et al., 2013] to identify optimal path configurations which minimize the total traveling time of vehicles. Through optimally coordinating vehicle path, our simulation results on generated and real transportation networks showed that our polymer-BPR algorithm outperforms the Dijkstra and the original polymer routing algorithm in finding a shorter global traveling time.
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