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Abstract

We study certain representations of quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ algebra for $q = t$. We construct explicit bosonization of the Fock modules $\mathcal{F}_u^{(\nu',n)}$ with nontrivial slope $\nu'/n$. As a vector space, it is naturally identified with the basic level 1 representation of affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. We also study twisted $W$-algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ acting on these Fock modules.

As an application, we prove the relation on $q$-deformed conformal blocks which was conjectured in the study of $q$-deformation of isomonodromy/CFT correspondence.

1 Introduction

Toroidal algebra  Representation theory of quantum toroidal algebras is actively developed in recent years. This theory has numerous applications, including geometric representation theory and AGT relation [Neg18], topological strings [AFS12], integrable systems, knot theory [GN15], and combinatorics [CM18].

In this paper we consider only quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ algebra, we denote it by $U_{t,q}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$. The corresponding algebra depends on two parameters $q, t$ and has PBW generators $E_{k,l}$, $(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and central generators $c,c'$. In the main part of the text we consider only the case $q = t$, where toroidal algebra becomes universal enveloping of the Lie algebra with these generators $E_{k,l}$, $c$, $c'$ and relation

$$[E_{k,l},E_{r,s}] = (q^{(sk-\nu)/2} - q^{(lr-\nu)/2})E_{k+r,l+s} + \delta_{k,-r} \delta_{l,-s}(c'k + cl).$$

We denote this Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{Diff}_q$ since there is a homomorphism from this algebra to the algebra of $q$-difference operators generated by $D_x$ with relation $D_x = qxD ;$ namely $E_{k,l} \mapsto q^{k/2}x^k D^k$.

There is another presentation of the algebra $\mathfrak{Diff}_q$ (and more generally $U_{q,t}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$) using Chevalley generators $E(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{1,k} z^{-k}$, $F(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{-1,k} z^{-k}$, $H(z) = \sum_{k \neq 0} E_{0,k} z^{-k}$, see e.g. [Tsy17].

In this paper we deal with Fock representations of $\mathfrak{Diff}_q$; to be more precise there is a family $\mathcal{F}_u$ of Fock modules, depending on parameter $u$ (see Proposition 3.1 for a construction of $\mathcal{F}_u$). They are just Fock representations of the Heisenberg algebra generated by $E_{0,k}$. Images of $E(z)$ and $F(z)$ are vertex operators. Such construction of representation is usually called bosonization.

It was shown in [FHH+09], [Neg18] that the image of toroidal algebra $U_{q,t}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$ in the endomorphisms of tensor product of $n$ Fock modules is deformed $W$-algebra for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. There is so called conformal limit $q, t \rightarrow 1$ in which deformed $W$-algebras go to vertex algebras. These vertex algebras are tensor products of Heisenberg algebra and $W$-algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. In the $q = t$ case, the central charge of the corresponding $W$-algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ is equal to $n - 1$. These $W$-algebras appear in the study of isomonodromy/CFT correspondence [GIL12], [GM16]. This is one of the motivations of our paper.

The $q$-deformation of the isomonodromy/CFT correspondence was proposed in [BI79], [BGT19], [JNS17]. The main statement is an explicit formula for the $q$-isomonodromic tau function as an infinite sum of conformal blocks for deformed $W$-algebras with $q = t$. In general these tau functions are complicated, but there are special cases (corresponding to algebraic solutions) where these tau functions are very simple [BS17b], [BGM19]. These cases should correspond to special representations of $q$-deformed $W$-algebras. The construction of such representation is one of the purposes of this paper.
Twisted Fock modules There is a natural action of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathfrak{Diff}_q$. We will parametrize $\sigma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} m' & m \\ n' & n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.12}$$

Then $\sigma$ acts as

$$\sigma(E_{kl}) = E_{m'k+ml, n'k+nl}, \quad \sigma(c') = m'c' + n'c, \quad \sigma(c) = mc' + nc. \tag{2.13}$$

For any $\mathfrak{Diff}_q$ module $M$ and $\sigma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ denote by $M^\sigma$ module twisted by the automorphism $\sigma$ (see Definition 2.3). The twisted Fock modules depend only on $n$ and $n'$ (up to isomorphism). These numbers are values in $\mathcal{F}_u^\sigma$ of the central generators $c$ and $c'$ correspondingly. Therefore we will also use notation $\mathcal{F}_u^{(n',n)}$ for $\mathcal{F}_u^\sigma$. Twisted Fock modules $\mathcal{F}_u^\sigma$ (for generic $q,t$) were used for example in [AFS12] and [GN17].

In the Section 4 we construct explicit bosonization of the twisted Fock modules $\mathcal{F}_u^\sigma$ for $q = t$. Actually, we give three constructions: first in terms of $n$-fermions (see Theorem 4.1), second in terms of $n$-bosons (see Theorem 4.2) and third in terms of one twisted boson (see Theorem 4.3) (here, for simplicity, we assume that $n > 0$). In the terms the twisted Fock module will be identified with the basic module for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$; these two bosonizations corresponds to homogeneous [FKSI1] and principal [LW78], [KKLW81] constructions.

The construction of the bosonization is nontrivial since it is given in terms of Chevalley generators (the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ action is not easy to describe in terms of Chevalley generators). The appearance of affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ is in agreement with Gorsky-Negut conjecture [GN17]. More precisely, it was conjectured in [GN17] that there exists an action (with certain properties) of $U_{\mu/\nu}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ on $\mathcal{F}_u^\sigma$ for $p = q/t \neq 1$; we expect that this is $p$-deformation of the $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$-action constructed in this paper.

It is instructive to look to the formulas in the simplest examples. Also, for simplicity, we give here only formulas for $E(z)$. Here we introduce notation in a sloppy way, see details in Sections 3 and 4.

Example 1.1. In the standard case $n = 1$, $n' = 0$ we have

$$E(z) = uq^{-1/2}z\psi(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*(q^{1/2}z) = \frac{u}{1-q} :\exp\left(\phi(q^{1/2}z) - \phi(q^{-1/2}z)\right):, \tag{4.14}$$

where $\psi(z), \psi^*(z)$ are complex conjugate fermions (see Section 3.2). $\phi(z) = \sum_{j \neq 0} a[j]z^{-j}/j$ is a boson and $a[j]$ are generators of the Heisenberg algebra with relation $[a[j], a[j']] = j\delta_{j+j', 0}$ (see Section 3.1).

Example 1.2. The first nontrivial case is given by $n = 2$, $n' = 1$. We have three formulas (corresponding to Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3):

$$E(z) = u^{1/2}q^{-1/4}\left(2^2\psi_{(1)}(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*_{(1)}(q^{1/2}z) + z\psi_{(1)}(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*_{(0)}(q^{1/2}z)\right), \tag{4.15}$$

$$E(z) = u^{3/2}q^{-1/4}\left(z^2 :\exp\left(\phi_1(q^{1/2}z) - \phi_0(q^{-1/2}z)\right) + z :\exp\left(\phi_0(q^{1/2}z) - \phi_1(q^{-1/2}z)\right)\right) :(-1)^{a_0[0]}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$E(z) = \frac{z^{1/2}u^{3/2}}{2(1-q^2)} :\exp\left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{q^{-k/4} - q^{k/4}}{k}akz^{-k/2}\right) : - :\exp\left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^k q^{-k/4} - q^{k/4}}{k}akz^{-k/2}\right):. \tag{4.17}$$

Here $\psi_{(0)}(z), \psi^*_{(0)}(z)$ and $\psi_{(1)}(z), \psi^*_{(1)}(z)$ are anticommuting pairs of complex conjugate fermions (see Section 4.1), $\phi_0(z) = \sum_{j \neq 0} a[j]z^{-j}/j + Q + a_0[0]\log z$ are commuting bosons, and $a[k]$ are generators of the Heisenberg algebra with relation $[a[j], a[j']] = j\delta_{j+j', 0}$ (see Section 4.2). The generators $a_k$ in (4.17) satisfy $[a_k, a_{k'}] = k\delta_{k+k', 0}$. 
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The relation between (1.5) and (1.6) is a standard boson-fermion correspondence. In the right side of the formula (1.7) we have only one Heisenberg algebra with generators $a_k$ but we have both integer and half integer powers of $z$, so one can think that we have a boson with a nontrivial monodromy. This is the reason of the term ‘twisted boson’; we will also call this construction \textit{strange bosonization}. Note that half integer powers of $z$ cancel in the right side of (1.7).

We present two different proofs of the Theorems 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3. The first one is given in Section 5 and is based on the following idea. For any full rank sublattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ of index $n$ we have a subalgebra $\text{Diff}_{\Lambda/n} \subset \text{Diff}_{q^{1/n}}$ which is spanned by $E_{a,b}$ for $(a,b) \in \Lambda$ and central elements $c$, $c'$. The algebra $\text{Diff}_{\Lambda/n}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}_q$, the isomorphism depends on choice of positively oriented basis $v_1$, $v_2$ in $\Lambda$. Denote this isomorphism by $\phi_{v_1,v_2}$.

If the basis $v_1$, $v_2$ is chosen such that $v_1 = (N,0)$, $v_2 = (R,d)$, then the restriction of the Fock module $\mathcal{F}_u$ on $\phi_{v_1,v_2}(\text{Diff}_q)$ is isomorphic to the sum of tensor products of the Fock modules $\mathcal{F}_{uq^{1/n}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{uq^{r+i_n}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{uq^{d_{i-1}+1}}$ where $r = \gcd(N,R)$ and $Q_{i_d} = \{ (i_0, \ldots, i_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} | \sum i_i = 0 \}$. If we chose bases $w_1$, $w_2$ in $\Lambda$ which differs from $v_1$, $v_2$ by $\sigma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ we get analogue of decomposition (1.8) with RHS given by a sum of tensor product of twisted Fock modules. For the basis $w_1 = (r, n_{tw})$, $w_2 = (0, n)$ we write formulas for Chevalley generators of $\text{Diff}_q = \text{Diff}_{\Lambda/n}$ using either initial fermion or initial boson for $\mathcal{F}_u$. Applying this for the lattices with $d = 1$, we get Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3.

The second proof of these theorems is based on the semi-infinite construction. Let $\mathcal{V}_u$ denotes representation of the algebra $\text{Diff}_q$ in a vector space with basis $x^{k-a}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $\text{Diff}_q$ acts as $q$-difference operators (see Definition 3.1). This representation is called vector (or evaluation) representation; the parameter $u$ is equal to $q^{-a}$. The Fock module $\mathcal{F}_u$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda^{\infty/2+0}(\mathcal{V}_u) \subset \Lambda^{\infty/2}(\mathcal{V}_u)$. After the twist we get semi-infinite construction of $\mathcal{F}_u^{\sigma} \subset (\Lambda^{\infty/2} \mathcal{V}_u)^{\sigma} = \Lambda^{\infty/2}(\mathcal{V}_u^{\sigma})$. Note that conjecturally semi-infinite construction of $\mathcal{F}_u^{\sigma}$ can be generalized for $q \neq t$ (cf. [FFJ+11a]).

**Twisted W algebras** Denote by $\text{Diff}_q^{0}$ subalgebra of $\text{Diff}_q$ generated by $c$ and $E_{a,b}$, for $a \geq 0$. There is an another set of generators $E_k[j]$ of the completion of the $U(\text{Diff}_q^{0})$, defined by the formula $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} E_k[j] z^{-j} = (E(z))^k$ (see Appendix A for the definition of the power of $E(z)$). The currents $H(z)$ and $E_k(z)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfy relations of the $q$-deformed W-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ (see [Neg18]). We denote this algebra by $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)$.

There is an ideal $J_{\mu,d}^{0}$ in $U(\text{Diff}_q^{0}) = \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)$ which acts by zero on any tensor product $\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d}$, here $\mu = \frac{1}{1-q}(u_1 \cdots u_d)^{1/n}$. This ideal is generated by relations $c = d$ and

$$E^d(z) = \mu^d d! \exp(\varphi_-(z)) \exp(\varphi_(z)),$$

where

$$\varphi_-(z) = \sum_{j>0} q^{j/2} - q^{-j/2} E_{0,j} z^j, \quad \varphi_+(z) = - \sum_{j>0} q^{j/2} - q^{-j/2} E_{0,j} z^{-j}.$$  

The quotient of $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)/J_{\mu,d}^{0}$ is $q$-deformed W-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_d$. We denote this algebra by $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_d)$. It does no depend on $\mu$ (up to isomorphism) and acts on any tensor product $\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d}$ (see [FHS+10], [Neg18]).

In Section 7 we study a tensor product of twisted Fock modules $\mathcal{F}_{u_1}^{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d}^{\sigma}$. We prove that ideal $J_{\mu,nd,n'd}^{0}$ generated by relations $c = nd$ and

$$E^{nd}(z) = z^{n'd} \mu^{nd}(nd)! \exp(\varphi_-(z)) \exp(\varphi_+(z))$$

(1.11)
acts by zero for \( \mu = (-1)^{1/n} q^{-1/2n} q^{1/2 - q^{-1/2}} (u_1 \cdots u_d)^{1/nd} \). We denote the quotient \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)/J^\geq 0_{\mu,nd,n'd} \) by \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}, n'd) \) and call it twisted \( q \)-deformed \( W \)-algebra of \( \mathfrak{sl}_{nd} \).

There exists another description of this story using \( q \)-deformed \( W \)-algebra of \( \mathfrak{sl}_n \) introduced in [FF96]. Define \( T_k[j] \) by the formula

\[
T_k(z) = \sum T_k[j] z^{-j} = \frac{\mu^{-k}}{k!} \exp \left( -\frac{k}{c} \phi_-(z) \right) E^k(z) \exp \left( -\frac{k}{c} \phi_+(z) \right),
\]

(1.12)

The generators \( T_k[j] \) are elements of a localization of the completion of \( U(\mathcal{D}iff^0_q) \). These generators commute with \( H_i \) and satisfy certain quadratic relations. The algebra generated by \( T_k[j] \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_\infty) \).

There is an ideal in \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_\infty) \) which acts by zero on any tensor product \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d} \). This ideal contains relations \( c = d, T_0(z) = 1, \) and \( T_{d+k}(z) = 0 \) for \( k > 0 \). The quotient is a standard \( W \)-algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d) \) [FF96] (see also Definition 7.1). We have a relation \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d) = \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d) \otimes U(\mathfrak{Heis}) \), where \( \mathfrak{Heis} \) is Heisenberg algebra generated by \( E_0, j \).

In the case of product of twisted Fock modules \( \mathcal{F}^\omega_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}^\omega_{u_d} \) the situation is similar. The corresponding ideal contains relations \( T_{nd}(z) = z^{n'd}, T_{nd+k}(z) = 0 \) for \( k > 0 \). We present the quotient in terms of generators \( T_1(z), \ldots, T_{nd}(z) \) and relations (this is Theorem 7.1). We call the algebra with such generators and relations by twisted \( W \)-algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}, n'd) \); see Definition 7.2. The quadratic relations in the algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}, n'd) \) are the same as in untwisted case (see eq. (7.2)=(7.3)), the only difference lies in relation \( T_{nd}(z) = z^{n'd} \).

The algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}, n'd) \) is graded, with \( \deg T_k[j] = j + \frac{n'k}{n} \). Rename generators by \( T^\text{tw}_k[r] = T_k[r - \frac{k}{n'}] \), for \( r \in \frac{n'k}{n'} \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \). Presentations of the algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}, n'd) \) in terms of generators \( T^\text{tw}_k[r] \) and the algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}) \) in terms of generators \( T_k[r] \) are given by the same formulas, the only difference is the region of \( r \). Heuristically, one can think that \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}, n'd) \) is the same algebra as \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}) \) but with currents having nontrivial monodromy around zero.

In order to explain these results in more details, consider an example of \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \).

**Example 1.3.** As a warm-up, consider the untwisted case \( n' = 0 \). The algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \) is \( q \)-deformed Virasoro algebra [SKAO96]. It has one generating current \( T(z) = T_1(z) \) and the relation reads

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f[l] \left( T[r-l] T[s+l] - T[s-l] T[r+l] \right) = -2r(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^2 \delta_{r+s,0},
\]

(1.13)

where \( f[l] \) are coefficients of a series \( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f[l] x^l = \sqrt{(1-qx)(1-q^{-1}x)}/(1-x) \). This algebra has a standard bosonization [SKAO96]

\[
T(z) = -(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) z \left[ u : \exp \left( \eta(q^{1/2}z) - \eta(q^{-1/2}z) \right) + u^{-1} : \exp \left( \eta(q^{-1/2}z) - \eta(q^{1/2}z) \right) \right],
\]

(1.14)

where \( \eta(z) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \eta[k] z^{-k} \) and \( \eta[k] \) are generators of the Heisenberg algebra \( [\eta[k_1], \eta[k_2]] = \frac{1}{2} k_1 \delta_{k_1+k_2,0}; \) one can also add \( \eta[0] \) related to parameter \( u \). In terms of toroidal algebra \( \mathcal{D}iff_q \), this formula corresponds to the tensor product of two Fock modules \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_2} \), here \( u^2 = u_1/u_2 \).

**Example 1.4.** Now, consider the twisted case \( n' = 1 \). The algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, 1) \) is generated by one current \( T^\text{tw}(z) = T^\text{tw}_0(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} T^\text{tw}_r(z) z^{-r} \). The generators \( T^\text{tw}_r[r] = T^\text{tw}_0[r] \) satisfy relation (1.13). The algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, 1) \) is called twisted \( q \)-deformed Virasoro algebra.

As was explained above, the representations of \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, 1) \) come from the twisted Fock modules \( \mathcal{F}^{(1,2)}_q \). The bosonization of twisted Fock module leads to the bosonization of the \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, 1) \). Using the formula (1.6) we get a bosonization

\[
T^\text{tw}(z) = (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}) \left[ z^{1/2} : \exp \left( \eta(q^{1/2}z) + \eta(q^{-1/2}z) \right) + z^{3/2} : \exp \left( -\eta(q^{1/2}z) - \eta(q^{-1/2}z) \right) \right].
\]

(1.15)

\(^1\)One can find definition of \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, 1) \) in [Shi04] (37)–(38)].
Using the formula (1.7) we get a strange bosonization

\[ T^w(z) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{2|r} q^{r} z^{-r} J_r z^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right) - \exp \left( \sum_{2|r} q^{-r} z^{-r} J_r z^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right) \right]. \]  

(1.16)

Here \( \eta(z) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \eta[k] z^{-k} + Q + \eta[0] \log z \), and \( J_r \) are modes of the odd Heisenberg algebra, \([J_r,J_s] = r\delta_{r+s,0}\). These formulas for bosonization are probably new.

**Example 1.5.** One can also use embedding \( \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}}^A \subset \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}} \) in order to construct bosonization of \( W \)-algebras. Namely one can take representation of \( \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}} \) with known bosonization and then express \( W \)-algebra related to \( \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}} = \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}}^A \) in terms of these bosons.

For example, consider \( \Lambda \) generated by \( v_1 = e_1, v_2 = 2e_2 \) and Fock representations \( \mathcal{F}_{q^{1/2}} \) of \( \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}}^l \). One can show (for example, using (1.8)) that \( W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty) \) algebra related to \( \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}} = \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}}^A \) acts on \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \) through quotient \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \). Therefore, we get an odd bosonization of non-twisted \( q \)-deformed Virasoro algebra \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \)

\[ T(z) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{2|r} q^{r} z^{-r} J_r z^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right) + \exp \left( \sum_{2|r} q^{-r} z^{-r} J_r z^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right) \right]. \]  

(1.17)

Here \( J_r \) are odd part of the initial boson for \( \mathcal{F}_u \). The even part of the boson disappears in this formula since it belongs to \( \text{Viris} \subset \text{Diff}_{q^{1/2}}^A \).

It follows from the decomposition (1.8) that formula (1.17) gives bosonization of special representation \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \), to be more precise a direct sum of Fock modules (defined by (1.14)) with particular parameters \( u = q^{-1/4} \) for \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \).

In the conformal limit \( q \to 1 \) the formula (1.17) goes to the odd bosonization of the Virasoro algebra \( L_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(r+s)=k} :J_r J_s: + \frac{1}{16} \delta_{k,0} \), see e.g. [Zam87].

**Whittaker vectors and relations on conformal blocks**

As an application in Section 9 we prove the following identity

\[ z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{-\frac{1}{2}}; q^2)_{\infty}} \frac{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{-\frac{1}{2}}; q^2, q^2)_{\infty}}{\mathcal{Z} \left( q^{l_0} q^{\frac{1}{2} + l_1}, \ldots, q^{n-1 + l_n-1}; z \right)}. \]  

(1.18)

Here the lattice \( \mathbf{Q}_{(n)} \) is as above, \( (u;q)_{\infty} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{i+j} u) \). The function \( \mathcal{Z}(u_1, \ldots, u_n; z) \) is a Whittaker limit of conformal block. By AGT relation it equals to the Nekrasov partition function. We recall the definition of \( \mathcal{Z}(u_1, \ldots, u_n; z) \) below.

The relation (1.18) was conjectured in [BGM19] in the framework \( q \)-isomonodromy/CFT correspondence. The right side of (1.18) is specialization of generic conjectural formula [BGM19, eq. (3.6)] for the tau function of deautonomized discrete flow in Toda system. The left side of (1.18) is a tau function corresponding to the algebraic solution, see [BGM19, eq. (3.11)].

Let us recall the definition of \( \mathcal{Z}(u_1, \ldots, u_n; z) \). Whittaker vector \( W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) \) is a vector in a completion of \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{u_N} \), which is an eigenvector of \( E_{a,b} \) for \( Nb \geq a \geq 0 \) with certain eigenvalues depending on \( z \), see Definition 9.1. Such vector exists and unique for generic values of \( u_1, \ldots, u_n \). This property looks to be a part of folklore, we give a proof of it in Appendix D. The proof is essentially based on the results of [Neg18], [Neg17]. The function \( \mathcal{Z} \) is proportional to a Shapovalov pairing of two Whittaker vectors

\[ \mathcal{Z}(u_1, \ldots, u_n; z) = z^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \neq 0} \log |u_l|^2} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{(qu_i u_j^{-1}; q, q)_{\infty}} \langle W_u(1|qu_i^{-1}, \ldots, qu_j^{-1}), W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \rangle. \]  

(1.19)
We give a proof of (1.18) using decomposition (1.8). We consider Whittaker vector \( W(z|1) \) for the algebra \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{q^{1/n}} \). Its Shapovalov pairing gives the left side of the relation (1.18). On the other hand, we prove that its restriction to summands \( F_{q_{a}} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{q_{n+1}} \) is a Whittaker vector for the algebra \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{q} \). So taking the Shapovalov pairing we get the right side of the relation (1.18).

In the conformal limit \( q \to 1 \) the analogue of the relation (1.18) in case \( n = 2 \) was proven in [BS17a] by a similar method. The conformal limit of the decomposition (1.8) was studied in [BGM18].

**Plan of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we recall basic definitions and properties on algebra \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{q} \).

In Section 3 we recall basic constructions of Fock module \( F_{u} \).

In Section 4 we present three constructions of twisted Fock module \( F_{u}^{\sigma} \): fermionic construction in Theorem 4.1, bosonic construction in Theorem 4.2 and strange bosonic construction in Theorem 4.3.

In Section 5 we study restriction of Fock module to a subalgebra \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{q^{A}} \). Using these restrictions we prove Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.3.

In Section 6 we give an independent proof of Theorem 4.1 using semi-infinite construction.

In Section 7 we study twisted \( q \)-deformed W-algebras. We define \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \) by generators and relations. Then we show in Theorem 7.1 that tensor product \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \) is isomorphic to the certain quotient of \( U(\mathfrak{Diff}_{q}) \); we denote this quotient by \( W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n, n_{tw}) \). We show that \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}, n'd) \) acts on the tensor product of twisted Fock modules \( F_{u_1}^{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d}^{\sigma} \). At the end of the section we study relation between these modules and Verma modules for \( W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{nd}, n'd) \) and \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{nd}, n'd) \).

In Section 8 we prove decomposition (1.8). Then we study strange bosonization of W-algebra modules arising from the restriction of Fock module on \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{q}^{A} \).

In Section 9 we recall definitions and properties of Whittaker vector, Shapovalov pairing, and conformal blocks. Then we prove (1.18), see Theorem 9.3.

In Appendix A we give definition and necessary properties of regular product of currents \( A(z)B(az) \) for \( a \in \mathbb{C} \).

Appendices B and C consist of calculations which are used in Section 7.

In Appendix D we study Whittaker vector for \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{q}^{A} \) in the completion of the tensor product \( F_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d} \). We prove its existence and uniqueness (we use this in Section 9). To prove existence we present a construction of Whittaker vector via intertwiner operator from [AFS12]. We also relate this Whittaker vector to the Whittaker vector of \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \) introduced in [Tak10].
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## 2 \( q \)-difference operators

**Definition 2.1.** Associative algebra of \( q \)-difference operators \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{q}^{A} \) is an associative algebra generated by \( D^\pm \) and \( x^\pm \) with relation \( Dx = q^x D \).

**Definition 2.2.** Algebra of \( q \)-difference operators \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{q} \) is a Lie algebra with a basis \( E_{k,l} \) (where \( (k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \backslash \{(0,0)\}) \), \( c \) and \( c' \). Elements \( c \) and \( c' \) are central. All other commutators are given by

\[
[E_{k,l}, E_{r,s}] = \langle q^{sk-lr}/2 - q^{l(r-sk)/2} \rangle E_{k+r, l+s} + \delta_{k,-r} \delta_{l,-s}(c' k + cl).
\]

(2.1)
Proposition 2.2. Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{m} \) and \( \mathfrak{m}' \)

In this section we will define action \( SL_2 Z \). Let \( \sigma \) be an element of \( SL_2 Z \) corresponding to a matrix
\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} m' & m \\ n' & n \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then \( \sigma \) acts as follows
\[
\sigma(E_{k,l}) = E_{m'k+ml,n'k+nl}, \quad \sigma(c') = m'c' + n'c, \quad \sigma(c) = mc' + nc.
\]

Proposition 2.1. Formula (2.3) defines \( SL_2 Z \) action on \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \) by Lie algebra automorphisms.

Proof. Note that (2.1) is \( SL_2 Z \) covariant. \( \square \)

Remark 2.1. Note that vector subspace of \( \mathfrak{diff}_q^A \) spanned by \( x^l D^k \) for \( (l, k) \neq (0, 0) \) is closed under commutation i.e. has a natural structure of Lie algebra (denote this Lie algebra by \( \mathfrak{diff}_q^b \)). Consider a basis of this Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{e}^b_{k,l} := q^{kl/2} x^l D^k \). Finally, \( \mathfrak{diff}_q^A \) is a central extension of \( \mathfrak{diff}_q^L \) by two-dimensional abelian Lie algebra spanned by \( c \) and \( c' \).

2.1 \( SL_2 (Z) \) action

In this section we will define action \( SL_2 Z \) on \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \). Let \( \sigma \) be an element of \( SL_2 Z \) corresponding to a matrix
\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} m' & m \\ n' & n \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then \( \sigma \) acts as follows
\[
\sigma(E_{k,l}) = E_{m'k+ml,n'k+nl}, \quad \sigma(c') = m'c' + n'c, \quad \sigma(c) = mc' + nc.
\]

Proposition 2.1. Formula (2.3) defines \( SL_2 Z \) action on \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \) by Lie algebra automorphisms.

Proof. Note that (2.1) is \( SL_2 Z \) covariant. \( \square \)

For any \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \)-module \( M \) denote by \( \rho_M : \mathfrak{diff}_q \to \mathfrak{gl}(M) \) corresponding homomorphism.

Definition 2.3. For any \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \)-module \( M \) and \( \sigma \in SL_2 (Z) \) let us define representation \( M^\sigma \) as follows. \( M \) and \( M^\sigma \) are the same vector space with different actions, namely \( \rho_{M^\sigma} = \rho_M \circ \sigma \).

We will refer to \( M^\sigma \) as twisted representation. More precisely, \( M^\sigma \) is the representation \( M \), twisted by \( \sigma \).

2.2 Chevalley generators and relations

Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \) is generated by \( E_k := E_{1,k}, F_k := E_{-1,k} \) and \( H_k := E_{0,k} \). We will call them Chevalley generators of \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \). Define following currents (formal power series with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \))
\[
E(z) = \sum_{k \in Z} E_{1,k} z^{-k} = \sum_{k \in Z} E_{k} z^{-k};
\]
\[
F(z) = \sum_{k \in Z} E_{-1,k} z^{-k} = \sum_{n \in Z} F_{k} z^{-k};
\]
\[
H(z) = \sum_{k \neq 0} E_{0,k} z^{-k} = \sum_{k \neq 0} H_{k} z^{-k}.
\]

Let us also define formal delta function
\[
\delta(x) = \sum_{k \in Z} x^k.
\]

Proposition 2.2. Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{diff}_q \) is presented by generators \( E_k, F_k \) (for all \( k \in Z \)), \( H_l \) (for \( l \in Z \backslash \{0\} \)), \( c, c' \) and relations
\[
[H_k, H_l] = k c \delta_{k+l,0};
\]
\[
[H_k, E(z)] = (q^{-k/2} - q^{k/2}) z^k E(z), \quad [H_k, F(z)] = (q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}) z^k F(z);
\]
\[
(z - q w)(z - q^{-1} w)[E(z), E(w)] = 0, \quad (z - q w)(z - q^{-1} w)[F(z), F(w)] = 0;
\]
\[
[E(z), F(w)] = H(q^{1/2} w) - H(q^{-1/2} w) + c \frac{w}{z} \delta'(w/z);
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
& z_2 z_3^{-1} [E(z_1), [E(z_2), E(z_3)]] \text{ cyclic } = 0; \\
& z_2 z_3^{-1} [F(z_1), [F(z_2), F(z_3)]] \text{ cyclic } = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

One can find a proof of Proposition 2.2 in [Mik07, Thm. 2.1] or [Tsy17, Thm. 5.5].
3 Fock module

In this section we review basic constructions of representations of $\text{Diff}_q$ with $c = 1$ and $c' = 0$. These constructions were studied in [GKL92].

3.1 Free boson realization

Introduce Heisenberg algebra generated by $a_k$ (for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$) with relation $[a_k, a_l] = k\delta_{k+l,0}$. Consider Fock module $F^a_\alpha$ generated by $|\alpha\rangle$ such that $a_k|\alpha\rangle = 0$ for $k > 0$, $a_0|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$.

Proposition 3.1. The following formulas define an action of $\text{Diff}_q$ on $F^a_\alpha$

\[
c \mapsto 1, \quad c' \mapsto 0, \quad H_k \mapsto a_k; \quad E(z) \mapsto \frac{u}{1-q} \exp \left( \sum_{k>0} \frac{q^{-k/2} - q^{k/2}}{k} a_{-k} z^k \right) \exp \left( \sum_{k<0} \frac{q^{-k/2} - q^{k/2}}{k} a_{-k} z^k \right); \quad F(z) \mapsto \frac{u^{-1}}{1-q^{-1}} \exp \left( \sum_{k>0} \frac{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}}{k} a_{-k} z^k \right) \exp \left( \sum_{k<0} \frac{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}}{k} a_{-k} z^k \right). \tag{3.1-3.3}
\]

We will denote this representation by $F_u$.

Remark 3.1. Note that $\alpha$ does not appear in formulas (3.1)–(3.3). But we will need operator $a_0$ later (see proof of Proposition 3.6) for boson-fermion correspondence. Heuristically, one can think that $u = q^{-\alpha}$.

Remark 3.2 (on our notation). In this paper we consider several algebras and their action on corresponding Fock modules. We choose following notation. All these representations are denoted by letter F (for Fock) with some superscript to mention an algebra. Since $\text{Diff}_q$ is the most important algebra in our paper, we use no superscript for its representation. Also, let us remark that we consider several copies of Heisenberg algebra. To distinguish their Fock modules we write letter for generators as a superscript.

Standard bilinear form on $F^a_\alpha$ is defined by following conditions: operator $a_{-k}$ is dual of $a_k$; pairing of $|\alpha\rangle$ with itself equals to 1. We will use bra-ket notation for this scalar product. For operator $A$ we denote by $\langle \alpha | A | \alpha \rangle$ scalar product of $A|\alpha\rangle$ with $|\alpha\rangle$.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose algebra $\text{Diff}_q$ acts on $F^a_\alpha$ such that $H_k \mapsto a_k$ and $\langle \alpha | E(z) | \alpha \rangle = \frac{u}{1-q}$; $\langle \alpha | F(z) | \alpha \rangle = \frac{u^{-1}}{1-q^{-1}}$. Then this representation is isomorphic to $F_u$.

Proof. Consider current

\[
T(z) = \exp \left( - \sum_{k>0} \frac{q^{-k/2} - q^{k/2}}{k} a_{-k} z^k \right) E(z) \exp \left( - \sum_{k<0} \frac{q^{-k/2} - q^{k/2}}{k} a_{-k} z^k \right). \tag{3.4}
\]

It is easy to verify that $[a_k, T(z)] = 0$. Since $F_u$ is irreducible, $T(z) = f(z)$ for some formal power series $f(z)$ with $\mathbb{C}$-coefficients. On the other hand $f(z) = \langle \alpha | E(z) | \alpha \rangle = \frac{u}{1-q}$. This implies (3.2). Proof of (3.3) is analogous. \hfill \Box

Proposition 3.3. Denote $E_l(z) = E_{l,k} z^{-k}$. The action of $E_l(z)$ on Fock representation $F_u$ is given by

\[
E_l(z) \mapsto \frac{u^l}{1-q^l} \exp \left( \sum_{k>0} \frac{q^{-kl/2} - q^{kl/2}}{k} a_{-k} z^k \right) \exp \left( \sum_{k<0} \frac{q^{-kl/2} - q^{kl/2}}{k} a_{-k} z^k \right). \tag{3.4}
\]
Proof. The commutation relation (2.1) implies that the formula (3.4) holds up to a pre-exponential factor. Also we see from (2.1) that
\[ E(z)E_l(w) = \frac{q^{-1}w}{z-q^{-1}w}E_{l+1}(q^{-1}w) - \frac{q^1w}{z-q^1w}E_{l+1}(w) + \text{reg}, \]
(3.5)
The factor can be found inductively from (3.5).

3.2 Free fermion realization

In this section we give another construction for Fock representation of \( \mathcal{D}_{\text{iff}}_q \). To do this, let us consider Clifford algebra, generated by \( \psi_i \) and \( \psi_j^* \) for \( i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \) subject to relations
\[ \{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = 0, \quad \{\psi_i^*, \psi_j^*\} = 0; \]
(3.6)
\[ \{\psi_i, \psi_j^*\} = \delta_{i+j,0}. \]
(3.7)
Consider currents
\[ \psi(z) = \sum_i \psi_i z^{-i-1}; \quad \psi^*(z) = \sum_i \psi_i^* z^{-i}. \]
(3.8)
Consider a module \( F^\psi \) with a cyclic vector \( |l\rangle \) and relation
\[ \psi_i |l\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq l, \quad \psi_j^* |l\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } j > -l. \]
(3.9)
The module \( F^\psi \) is independent of \( l \). The isomorphism can be seen from formulas \( \psi_i^* |l\rangle = |l+1\rangle \) and \( \psi_{l-1} |l\rangle = |l-1\rangle \). Let us define \( l \)-dependent normal ordered product (to be compatible with \( |l\rangle \))
\[ :\psi_i \psi_j^*:|(l)\rangle = -\psi_j^* \psi_i \quad \text{for } i \geq l, \]
(3.10)
\[ :\psi_i \psi_j^*:|(l)\rangle = \psi_i \psi_j^* \quad \text{for } i < l. \]
(3.11)

Proposition 3.4. The following formulas define an action of \( \mathcal{D}_{\text{iff}}_q \) on \( F^\psi \)
\[ c \mapsto 1, \quad c' \mapsto 0, \quad H_k \mapsto \sum_{i+j=k} \psi_i \psi_j^*; \]
(3.12)
\[ E(z) \mapsto \frac{q^k u}{1-q^k} + uq^{-1/2}z :\psi(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*(q^{1/2}z):|(l)\rangle = uq^{-1/2}z\psi(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*(q^{1/2}z); \]
(3.13)
\[ F(z) \mapsto \frac{q^{-k}u^{-1}}{1-q^{-k}} + u^{-1}q^{1/2}z :\psi(q^{1/2}z)\psi^*(q^{-1/2}z):|(l)\rangle = u^{-1}q^{1/2}z\psi(q^{1/2}z)\psi^*(q^{-1/2}z). \]
(3.14)

Let us denote this representation by \( \mathcal{M}_u \).

Remark 3.3. Products \( \psi(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*(q^{1/2}z) \) and \( \psi(q^{1/2}z)\psi^*(q^{-1/2}z) \) from formulas (3.13)–(3.14) are not normally ordered. See Appendix A for formal definition and some other technical details on regular product. In particular, this reformulation implies that \( \mathcal{M}_u \) does not depend on \( l \).

3.3 Semi-Infinite construction

Definition 3.1. Evaluation representation \( V_u \) of algebra \( \mathcal{D}_{\text{iff}}_q \) is a vector space with basis \( x^k \) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) and action
\[ E_{a,b} x^k = u^a q^{ab} x^k + c k + b; \quad c = c' = 0. \]
(3.15)
Remark 3.4. Associative algebra $\text{Diff}^A_q$ acts on $V_u$. Representation of $\text{Diff}_q$ is obtained via evaluation homomorphism $ev : \text{Diff}_q \to \text{Diff}^A_q$.

Remark 3.5. Informally, one can consider $x^k \in V_u$ as $x^{k-\alpha}$ for $u = q^{-\alpha}$. Define action of $\text{Diff}_q^A$ as follows. Generator $x$ acts by multiplication and $D x^{k-\alpha} = q^{k-\alpha} x^{k-\alpha} = u q^{k-\alpha}$. However $q^{-\alpha}$ is not well defined for arbitrary complex $\alpha$. So we consider $u$ as a parameter of representation instead of $\alpha$.

Let us consider semi-infinite exterior power of evaluation representation $\Lambda^{\infty/2} V_u$. It is spanned by $|\lambda, l\rangle = x^{i-\lambda_1} \wedge x^{i+1-\lambda_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge x^{i+n} \wedge x^{i+N+1} \wedge \cdots$ where $\lambda$ is a Young diagram and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $p_1 > \ldots > p_i$ and $q_1 > \ldots > q_i$ be Frobenius coordinates of $\lambda$.

**Proposition 3.5.** There is a $\text{Diff}_q$-modules isomorphism $\Lambda^{\infty/2} V_u \cong \mathcal{M}_u$ given by

$$|\lambda, l\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{\sum k(q_k-1)} \psi_{-p_1+t} \cdots \psi_{-p_i+t} \psi_{-q_1-l+t} \cdots \psi_{-q_i-l+t} |l\rangle.$$  \hfill (3.16)

**Proposition 3.6.** There is an isomorphism of $\text{Diff}_q$-modules $\mathcal{M}_u \cong \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{q^l}$. Submodule $\mathcal{F}_{q^l}$ is spanned by $|\lambda, l\rangle$.

**Proof.** Recall ordinary boson-fermion correspondence (see [KR87]). Coefficients of $a(z) = \sum_n a_n z^{-n-1} = : \psi(z) \psi^*(z) ; (0)$

are indeed generators of Heisenberg algebra. Moreover $F^\psi \equiv \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} F^\psi_{-l}$. The highest vector of $F^\psi_{-l}$ is $|l\rangle$ (in particular, $a_0 |l\rangle = -l |l\rangle$). Note that this is decomposition of $\text{Diff}_q$-modules as well. Also note that

$$\langle l| E(z) |l\rangle = \frac{q^l u}{1-q}, \quad \langle l| F(z) |l\rangle = \frac{q^{-l} u^{-1}}{1-q^{-1}}.$$  

Therefore one can use Proposition 3.2 for each summand $F^\psi_{-l}$.

There is a basis in the Fock module $\mathcal{F}_u$ given by semi-infinite monomials

$$|\lambda\rangle = x^{-\lambda_1} \wedge x^{1-\lambda_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge x^{i-\lambda_i+1} \wedge \cdots.$$  \hfill (3.18)

To write action of $\text{Diff}_q$ in this basis let us remind standard notation. Let $l(\lambda)$ be the number of non-zero rows. We will write $s = (i, j)$ for $j$th box in $i$th row (i.e. $j \leq \lambda_i$). Content of a box $c(s) := i - j$. For diagram $\mu \subset \lambda$ define Young diagram $\lambda \setminus \mu$ is set of boxes $\lambda$ which are not in $\mu$. Ribbon is a skew Young diagram without $2 \times 2$ squares. Height $ht(\lambda \setminus \mu)$ of a ribbon is one less than the number of its rows.

**Proposition 3.7.** The action of $\text{Diff}_q$ on $\mathcal{F}_u$ is given by the following formulas

$$E_{a,-b} |\lambda\rangle = q^{-\frac{n}{2}} u^a \sum_{\mu \setminus \lambda = b \text{-ribbon}} (-1)^{ht(\mu \setminus \lambda)} q^{s \sum s \in \mu \setminus \lambda c(s)} |\mu\rangle;$$  \hfill (3.19)

$$E_{a,b} |\lambda\rangle = q^{-\frac{n}{2}} u^a \sum_{\lambda \setminus \mu = b \text{-ribbon}} (-1)^{ht(\lambda \setminus \mu)} q^{s \sum s \in \mu \setminus \lambda c(s)} |\mu\rangle;$$  \hfill (3.20)

$$E_{a,0} |\lambda\rangle = u^a \left( \frac{1}{1-q^a} + \sum_{i=0}^{l(\lambda)-1} (q^a)^{i(l(\lambda)+1)-q^a} \right) |\lambda\rangle.$$  \hfill (3.21)

Here $b > 0$. 

10
In particular,
\[ E_{a,0} |0\rangle = \frac{w^n}{1 - q^n} |0\rangle. \] (3.22)

Let us introduce notation \( c(\lambda) = \sum_{s, \Lambda} c(s) \). Define an operator \( I_\tau \in \text{End}(F_u) \) by formula
\[ I_\tau |\lambda\rangle = u^{|\lambda|} q^{-\frac{1}{2}|\lambda| + c(\lambda)} |\lambda\rangle \] (3.23)

**Proposition 3.8.** Operator \( I_\tau \) enjoys property \( I_\tau E_{a,b} I_\tau^{-1} = E_{a-b,b} \).

*Proof.* Follows from (3.19)–(3.21). \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.9.** \( F_u^\tau \cong F_u \) for \( \tau = (1 1 1) \)

**Remark 3.6.** Also Corollary 3.9 follows from Proposition 3.2: we will use this approach to proof Proposition 5.2.

**Corollary 3.10.** Twisted representation \( F_u^\sigma \) is determined up to isomorphism by \( n \) and \( n' \).

*Proof.* Corollary 3.9 implies that \( F_u^{n,k} \cong F_u^{n'} \). Note that
\[ \tau^{k} \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m' & m \\ n' & n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m' + kn' & m + kn \\ n' & n \end{pmatrix} \]
For fixed \( n \) and \( n' \) all possible choices of \( m \) and \( m' \) appear for appropriate \( k \). \( \square \)

## 4 Explicit formulas for twisted representation

In this section we provide three explicit constructions of twisted Fock module \( F_u^\sigma \) for
\[ \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} m' \\ n' \\ n \end{pmatrix}. \] (4.1)

Constructions are called fermionic, bosonic, and strange bosonic. This section contains no proofs. We will give proofs in Sections 5. In Section 6 we will provide an independent proof of Theorem 4.1.

### 4.1 Fermionic construction

We need to consider \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) graded \( n \)th tensor power of Clifford algebra defined above. More precisely, consider an algebra, generated by \( \psi_{(a)}[i] \) and \( \psi_{(b)}[j] \) for \( i, j \in \mathbb{Z}; a, b = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \) subject to relations
\[ \left\{ \psi_{(a)}[i], \psi_{(b)}[j] \right\} = 0; \quad \left\{ \psi_{(a)}^*[i], \psi_{(b)}^*[j] \right\} = 0; \]
\[ \left\{ \psi_{(a)}[i], \psi_{(b)}^*[j] \right\} = \delta_{a,b} \delta_{i+j,0}. \] (4.3)

Consider currents
\[ \psi_{(a)}(z) = \sum_i \psi_{(a)}[i] z^{-i-1}; \quad \psi_{(b)}^*(z) = \sum_i \psi_{(b)}^*[i] z^{-i}. \] (4.4)

Consider a module \( F^\psi \) with a cyclic vector \( |l_0, \ldots, l_{n-1}\rangle \) and relation
\[ \psi_{(a)}[i] |l_0, \ldots, l_{n-1}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \ i \geq l_a, \]
\[ \psi_{(b)}^*[j] |l_0, \ldots, l_{n-1}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \ j > -l_a. \] (4.5)

The module \( F^\psi \) does not depend on \( l_0, \ldots, l_{n-1} \). The isomorphism can be seen from formulas
\[ \psi_{(a)}[-l_a] |l_0, \ldots, l_a, \ldots, l_{n-1}\rangle = |l_0, \ldots, l_a + 1, \ldots, l_{n-1}\rangle, \]
\[ \psi_{(a)}[l_a - 1] |l_0, \ldots, l_a, \ldots, l_{n-1}\rangle = |l_0, \ldots, l_a - 1, \ldots, l_{n-1}\rangle. \] (4.7)
**Theorem 4.1.** Formulas below define an action of $\text{Diff}_q$ on $F^{n\psi}$

\[
e^a = e^i, \quad c = n,  \quad H^w_k = \sum_a \psi_a[i] \psi^*_a[j],  \quad (4.9)
\]

\[
E^w(z) = \sum_{b-a \equiv -n'' \mod n} u^{-\frac{1}{n}} q^{-1/2} z^i \psi^*_a(q^{-1/2} z^i) \psi^*_b(q^{1/2} z^i) \frac{q^{-a+b} - q^{a+b}}{q^{a+b}/2}, \quad (4.11)
\]

\[
F^w(z) = \sum_{b-a \equiv n' \mod n} u^{-\frac{1}{n}} q^{-1/2} z^i \psi^*_a(q^{1/2} z^i) \psi^*_b(q^{-1/2} z^i) \frac{q^{-a+b} - q^{a+b}}{q^{a+b}/2}, \quad (4.12)
\]

Obtained module is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_u^\sigma$.

Since $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^\sigma \subset \mathcal{M}_u^\sigma$, we have obtained fermionic construction for $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^\sigma$.

### 4.2 Bosonic construction

Let us consider $n$th tensor power of Heisenberg algebra. More precisely, this algebra is generated by $a_b[i]$ for $b = 0, \ldots, n-1$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with relation $[a_b[i], a_{b_2}[j]] = i \delta_{b_1, b_2} \delta_{i+j, 0}$. Let us extend the algebra by adding operators $e^{Q_b}$, obeying following commutation relations. Operator $e^{Q_b}$ commutes with all generators except for $a_b[0]$ and satisfy $a_b[0] e^{Q_b} = e^{Q_b} a_b[0] + 1$). Denote

\[
\phi_b(z) = \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{1}{j} a_b[j] z^{-j} + Q_b + a_b[0] \log z  \quad (4.13)
\]

**Remark 4.1.** Informally, one can think that there exist operator $Q_b$ satisfying $[a_b[0], Q_b] = 1$. However this operator will not act on our representation. We will use $Q_b$ as a formal symbol. Our final answer will consist only $e^{Q_b}$, but not $Q_b$ without exponent.

We need a notion of a normally ordered exponent $:\exp(\ldots):$. The argument of normally ordered exponent is a linear combination of $a_b[i]$ and $Q_b$. Let $a_+, a_-, a_0$, and $Q$ denote a linear combination of $a_b[i]$ for $i > 0$, $a_b[i]$ for $i < 0$, $a_0[0]$ and $Q_b$ correspondingly ($b$ is not fixed).

\[
: \exp(\mathfrak{a}_+ + \mathfrak{a}_- + a_0 + Q) : \overset{\text{def}}{=} \exp(\mathfrak{a}_+) \exp(\mathfrak{a}_-) \exp(Q) \exp(a_0).  \quad (4.14)
\]

Also note, that $a_0$ will have coefficient $\log z$. We shall understand it formally; action of the operator $\exp(a_0[0] \log z) = z^{a_0[0]}$ is well defined since in representation to be considered below, $a_0[0]$ acts as multiplication by integer number at each Fock module.

Let $Q_{(n)}$ be a lattice with basis $Q_0 - Q_1, \ldots, Q_{n-2} - Q_{n-1}$. Consider group algebra $\mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}]$. This algebra is spanned by $e^\lambda$ for $\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i Q_i \in Q_{(n)}$. Let us define action of commutative algebra generated by $a_b[0]$ on $\mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}]$

\[
a_b[0] e^{\sum \lambda_i Q_i} = \lambda_b e^{\sum \lambda_i Q_i}. \quad (4.15)
\]

Let $F^{ma}$ be Fock representation of algebra generated by $a_b[i]$ for $i \neq 0$; i.e. there is a cyclic vector $|0\rangle \in F^{ma}$ such that $a_b[i]|0\rangle = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Finally, we can consider $F^{ma} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}]$ as representation of whole Heisenberg algebra as follows: $a_b[i]$ for $i \neq 0$ acts on the first factor, $a_b[0]$ acts on the second factor. Also, $\mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}]$ acts on $F^{ma} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}]$. 
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Theorem 4.2. There is an action of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}_\Lambda$ on $F^n, \otimes \mathbb{C} [Q_\Lambda]$ defined by formulas
\begin{align*}
H_{tw}^c [k] &= \sum_b a_b [k], \quad c' = n', \quad c = n, \quad (4.16) \\
E_{tw}^c (z) &= \sum_{b-a = n' \mod n} u^{1/2} q^{a+b-n} z^{\frac{n'-n}{n}+1} : \exp \left( \phi_b (q^{1/2} z) - \phi_a (q^{-1/2} z) \right) : \epsilon_{a,b}, \quad (4.17) \\
F_{tw}^c (z) &= \sum_{b-a = n' \mod n} u^{-1/2} q^{a+b+n} z^{\frac{n'-n}{n}+1} : \exp \left( \phi_b (q^{1/2} z) - \phi_a (q^{-1/2} z) \right) :, \quad (4.18)
\end{align*}
here $\epsilon_{a,b} = \prod_r (-1)^{a_r [0]}$ (we consider product over such $r$ that $a - 1 \geq r \geq b$ for $a > b$ and $b - 1 \geq r \geq a$ for $b > a$).

Obtained representation is isomorphic to $F_u^n$.

4.3 Strange Bosonic construction

We will use notation of Section 3.1 Let $\zeta$ be an $n$th primitive root of unity, e.g. $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$.

Theorem 4.3. There is an action of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}_\Lambda$ on $F^n$ defined by formulas.
\begin{align*}
H_{tw}^c &= a_{nk}, \quad c = n, \quad c' = n', \quad (4.19) \\
E_{tw}^c (z) &= z^{n' / n} \frac{1}{n (1 - q^{1/n})} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{ln'} : \exp \left( \sum_k \frac{q^{-k/2n} - q^{k/2n}}{k} a_k \zeta^{-kl} z^{k/n} \right) :, \quad (4.20) \\
F_{tw}^c (z) &= z^{-n' / n} \frac{1}{n (1 - q^{-1/n})} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ln'} : \exp \left( \sum_k \frac{q^{k/2n} - q^{-k/2n}}{k} a_k \zeta^{-kl} z^{-k/n} \right) :, \quad (4.21)
\end{align*}
Obtained representation is isomorphic to $F_u^n$.

As before the representation does not depend on $\alpha$, see Remark 3.1.

5 Twisted representation via a sublattice

5.1 Sublattices and subalgebras

Consider a full rank sublattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ of index $n$ (i.e. $\mathbb{Z}^2 / \Lambda$ is a finite group of order $n$). Let us define a Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}$ which is spanned by $E_{a,b}$ for $(a, b) \in \Lambda$ and central elements $c, c'$.

Denote by $E_{a,b}^{[n]}, c_{[n]}, c'_{[n]}$ standard generators of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}^{[n]}$. Let $v_1 = (k_1, l_1)$ and $v_2 = (k_2, l_2)$ be a basis of $\Lambda$. Define a map $\varphi_{v_1,v_2} : \mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}^{[n]} \to \mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{v_1,v_2} E_{a,b}^{[n]} &= E_{ak_1 + bl_2, al_1 + bl_2}, \quad (5.1) \\
\varphi_{v_1,v_2} c_{[n]} &= k_1 c' + l_1 c, \quad (5.2) \\
\varphi_{v_1,v_2} c'_{[n]} &= k_2 c' + l_2 c. \quad (5.3)
\end{align*}

Proposition 5.1. Let $v_1, v_2$ be a positively oriented basis (i.e. $k_1 l_2 - k_2 l_1 = n$). Then map $\varphi_{v_1,v_2}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}^{[n]} \cong \mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}^{\Lambda}$.

Proof. It follows from (2.1) directly. \hfill \Box

Slightly abusing notation, denote Fock representation of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diff}}^{[n]}$ by $F_u^{[n]}$. 
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Proposition 5.2. Let \( v_1 = (n, 0) \) and \( v_2 = (-m, 1) \). Then \( F_u[n] \cong F_u|_{\phi_{v_1,v_2}(\text{Diff}_q^n)} \) as \( \text{Diff}_q^n \)-modules.

Proof. Note that Fock module \( F_u \) is \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded with grading given by
\[
\deg(\langle \alpha \rangle) = 0 \quad \deg(E_{a,b}) = -b
\]
Recall that character of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded module is generating function of dimensions of graded components. Then character of Fock module is
\[
\text{ch} F_u = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^k}.
\]
Consider a subalgebra \( \text{Heis}_0 \) in \( \text{Diff}_q^n \) spanned by \( E_{0,k} \) and \( c \). Note that \( \text{Heis}_0 \) is isomorphic to Heisenberg algebra. Since \( \deg(\phi_{v_1,v_2}E_{0,-k}) = \deg(E_{km,-k}) = k \), character of \( \text{Heis}_0 \) Fock module is also \( 1/(q)_{\infty} \); i.e. it coincides with \( \text{ch} F_u \). This implies that \( F_u|_{\phi_{v_1,v_2}(\text{Diff}_q^n)} \) restricted to \( \text{Heis}_0 \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Heis}_0 \) Fock module. To finish the proof, we use Propositions 3.2 and 3.3.

5.2 Twisted Fock vs restricted Fock

From now on we change \( q \to q^{1/n} \). Our goal is to construct an action of \( \text{Diff}_q^n \) on Fock module twisted by \( \sigma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) as in (4.1) for \( n \neq 0 \). Consider a sublattice \( \Lambda_\sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \) spanned by \( v_1 = (n, 0) \) and \( v_2 = (-m, 1) \). Consider another basis of \( \Lambda_\sigma \) obtained by \( \sigma \)
\[
w_1 &= m'v_1 + n'v_2 = (m'n - n'm, n') = (1, n') \quad (5.5) \\
w_2 &= mv_1 + nw_2 = (0, n) \quad (5.6)
\]
Remark 5.1. Construction of this sublattice \( \Lambda_\sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \) naturally appears if you require \( \sigma \) to be a transition matrix from \( v_i \) to \( w_i \) and assume \( v_1 = (n, 0), w_2 = (0, n) \).

Denote Fock module of \( \text{Diff}_{q^n} \) by \( F_u[n] \).

Theorem 5.1. There is an isomorphism of \( \text{Diff}_{q^n} \)-modules \( (F_u)^\sigma \cong F_u[n]|_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}(\text{Diff}_q^n)} \).

Proof. Proposition 5.2 implies \( F_u[n]|_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}(\text{Diff}_q^n)} \cong F_u \). On the other hand, relations (5.5) and (5.6) yield that \( \sigma \) is transition matrix from \( w_1, w_2 \) to \( v_1, v_2 \).

Corollary 5.3. There is an isomorphism of \( \text{Diff}_{q^n} \)-modules \( (M_u)^\sigma \cong M_u[n]|_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}(\text{Diff}_q^n)} \).

Theorem 5.1 combined with results from Section 3 enables us to find explicit formulas for action on \( F_u^\sigma \). We will do this below.
5.3 Explicit formulas for restricted Fock

5.3.1 Fermionic construction via sublattice

Denote fermionic representation of $\mathcal{M}_u^{[1/n]}$. To be more specific, let us rewrite formulas from Section 3.2 for $\mathcal{M}_u^{[1/n]}$.

$$c \mapsto 1, \quad c' \mapsto 0, \quad H_m \mapsto \sum_{i+j=m} \psi_i \psi_j^*, \quad \text{(5.7)}$$

$$E(z) \mapsto \frac{q^{1/n} u^{1/n}}{1 - q^{1/n}} + u^{1/n} q^{-\frac{1}{2}n} z : \psi(q^{-\frac{1}{2}n} z) \psi^*(q^{\frac{1}{2}n} z) : (i) \quad \text{(5.8)}$$

$$F(z) \mapsto \frac{q^{-1/n} u^{-1/n}}{1 - q^{-1/n}} + u^{-1/n} q^{\frac{1}{2}n} z : \psi(q^{\frac{1}{2}n} z) \psi^*(q^{-\frac{1}{2}n} z) : (i) \quad \text{(5.9)}$$

**Proposition 5.4.** Formulas below define an action of $\mathcal{D}_{tw}$ on $F^n\psi$

$$c = n, \quad c' = n_{tw}, \quad H_k^{tw} = \sum_a \sum_{i+j=k} \psi_a[i] \psi_a^*[j], \quad \text{(5.10)}$$

$$E^{tw}(z) = \sum_{b-a \equiv -n_{tw} \mod n} u^{\frac{1}{n}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi(a)(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z) \psi^*(b)(q^{\frac{1}{2}} z) z^{\frac{n_{tw} - a + b}{n}} q^{(a+b)/2n}, \quad \text{(5.11)}$$

$$F^{tw}(z) = \sum_{b-a \equiv n_{tw} \mod n} u^{-\frac{1}{n}} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(a)(q^{\frac{1}{2}} z) \psi^*(b)(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z) z^{\frac{n_{tw} - a + b}{n}} q^{-(a+b)/2n}. \quad \text{(5.12)}$$

Obtained module is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_u^{[1/n]}|_{\phi_{w_1 w_2}(\mathcal{D}_{tw})}$ for $w_1 = (1, n_{tw})$, $w_2 = (0, n)$.

**Remark 5.2.** Below we will substitute $n_{tw} = n'$ to prove Theorem 4.1. However, Proposition 5.4 is more general, then it is necessary for the proof, since we do not assume here that $\gcd(n, n_{tw}) = 1$. We will need case of arbitrary $n_{tw}$ in Section 8.

**Proof.** We use notation $E(z), F(z)$ and $H(z)$ for Chevalley generators of $\mathcal{D}_{tw}q_{1/n}$. Generators of $\mathcal{D}_{tw}q_{1/n} (\text{identified by } \varphi_{w_1 w_2})$ will be denoted by $E^{tw}(z), F^{tw}(z)$ and $H^{tw}(z)$. Let us write identification $\phi_{w_1 w_2}$ explicitly for Chevalley generators

$$H^{tw}(z) = \sum_k H_{0, nk} z^{-k} \quad \text{(5.13)}$$

$$E^{tw}(z) = z^{n_{tw}/n} \sum_{k \equiv n_{tw} \mod n} E_k z^{-k/n} \quad \text{(5.14)}$$

$$F^{tw}(z) = z^{-n_{tw}/n} \sum_{k \equiv -n_{tw} \mod n} F_k z^{-k/n} \quad \text{(5.15)}$$

Let us consider currents $\psi(a)(z)$ and $\psi^*(b)(z)$ for $a, b = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$. These currents are defined by following equations

$$z \psi(z) = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} z^{-a} \psi(a)(z^n) \quad \psi^*(z) = \sum_{b=0}^{n-1} z^b \psi^*(b)(z^n) \quad \text{(5.16)}$$

Let us denote modes of $\psi(a)(z)$ and $\psi^*(b)(z)$ as in equation 4.4. It is easy to see that those modes satisfy Clifford algebra relations (4.2), (4.3). So we have identified Clifford algebra and nth power of Clifford algebra. This leads to identification $F^\psi = F^n\psi$. 
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Substituting (5.16) into (5.8) and (5.9), we obtain

\[ E(z) = \frac{q^{1/n} u^{1/n}}{1 - q^{1/n}} + \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} u^{1/n} q^{a+b} z^{n-a+b} \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \psi(2) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \),

\[ F(z) = \frac{q^{1/n} u^{1/n}}{1 - q^{1/n}} + \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} u^{1/n} q^{a+b} z^{n-a+b} \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \psi(2) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \).

(5.17)

(5.18)

For technical reasons we need to treat cases \( n_{tw} \neq 0 \) and \( n_{tw} = 0 \) separately. Let us first consider case \( n_{tw} \neq 0 \). Using formulas (5.13)–(5.15), we see that

\[ E^{tw}(z) = \sum_{a-b \equiv n_{tw} \mod n} q^{(a+b)z^{1/n}} u^{1/n} z^{n_{tw}-a+b} q^{-1/2} z \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \),

\[ F^{tw}(z) = \sum_{a-b \equiv -n_{tw} \mod n} q^{(a+b)z^{1/n}} u^{-1/n} z^{n_{tw}-a+b} q^{1/2} z \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \).

(5.19)

(5.20)

For \( n_{tw} = 0 \) we obtain

\[ E^{tw}(z) = \frac{q^{1/n} u^{1/n}}{1 - q^{1/n}} + \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} u^{1/n} q^{a/b} z^{1/2} \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \),

\[ F^{tw}(z) = \frac{q^{-1/n} u^{-1/n}}{1 - q^{-1/n}} + \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} u^{-1/n} q^{a/b} z^{1/2} \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \).

(5.21)

(5.22)

This can be rewritten as

\[ E^{tw}(z) = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} u^{1/n} q^{a/b} (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2} : \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \),

\[ F^{tw}(z) = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} u^{-1/n} q^{a/b} (q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2} : \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \).

(5.23)

(5.24)

Note that \( l \)-dependent normal ordering is defined it terms of \( \phi_i \) and \( \psi_i^* \). One can check (cf. (A.6))

\[ \psi(2) \psi(2)(w) = \frac{(w/z)^{1/2}}{1-2} + \phi(2)^2 \phi(2)^2 : 1 \).

(5.25)

Hence

\[ q^{-1/2} z \phi_n(z^{1/2}) \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \).

(5.26)

Proof of Theorem 4.1 Follows from Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.4

5.3.2 Bosonic construction via sublattices

Proposition 5.5. There is an action of \( \mathcal{O}(n) \) on \( F^{14} \otimes C[Q_{(n)}] \) defined by formulas

\[ H^{tw}[k] = \sum_{b} a_{b}[k], \quad c' = n_{tw}, \quad c = n,

\[ E^{tw}(z) = \sum_{a-b \equiv n_{tw} \mod n} u^{1/n} q^{a+b-n} z^{n_{tw}-a+b} + 1 : \exp \left( \phi_n(z^{1/2}) - \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \right) : \epsilon_{a,b},

\[ F^{tw}(z) = \sum_{a-b \equiv n_{tw} \mod n} u^{-1/n} q^{a+b-n} z^{n_{tw}-a+b} + 1 : \exp \left( \phi_n(z^{1/2}) - \phi_n(z^{1/2}) : 1 \right) : \epsilon_{a,b}.

(5.27)

(5.28)

(5.29)
here $\epsilon_{a,b} = \prod_r (-1)^{a_r}[0]$ (we consider product over such $r$ that $a-1 \geq r \geq b$ for $a > b$ and $b-1 \geq r \geq a$ for $b > a$).

Obtained representation is isomorphic to $F_{u_1/n}^{[1/n]}|_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}(\text{Diff}_q)}$ for $u_1 = (1, ntw), w_2 = (0, n)$.

**Proof Proposition 5.5.** We need an upgraded version boson-fermion correspondence for the proof. Namely, there is an action of $n$th tensor power of Heisenberg algebra on $F^{n\psi}$ given by

$$\partial \phi_b(z) =: \psi_b(z) \psi^*_{(b)}(z);(0)$$

Let $P_{(n)}$ be a lattice spanned $Q_b$. According to boson-fermion correspondence $F^{n\psi} \cong \bigoplus F^{na} \otimes \mathbb{C}[P_{(n)}]$.

**Lemma 5.6.** Vector subspace $F^{na} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}] \subset F^{na} \otimes \mathbb{C}[P_{(n)}] = F^{n\psi}$ is a $\text{Diff}_q$-submodule (with respect to action, defined in Proposition 5.4). The action of $\text{Diff}_q$ on the subrepresentation is given by (5.27)–(5.29).

**Proof.** One should substitute

$$\psi_b(z) = : \exp (-\phi_b(z)): (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{b-1} a_k[0]}$$

into fermionic formulas (5.10)–(5.12).

Recall that decomposition of $M^{[1/n]}_u$ is given by eigenvalues of $a[0]$; more precisely, operator $a[0]$ acts by $-j$ on $F^{n\psi}_{q^{l/b}u}$.

**Lemma 5.7.** Using identification $F^a = F^{n\psi}$ (cf. (5.16)), we obtain $a[0] = a_0[0] + \cdots + a_{n-1}[0]$.

**Sketch of the proof.** This follows from $[\frac{t-b}{n}] = 0$ for $l = 0$ and $b = 0, \ldots, n-1$ (cf. (5.25)).

**Lemma 5.7** implies that the identification of vector spaces $F^a = F^{n\psi}$ leads to identification of subspaces $F^a_0 = F^{na} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}]$. Let us package identifications of vector subspaces into a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
F^{[1/n]}_{u_1/n}|_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}(\text{Diff}_q)} & \longrightarrow & F^{[1/n]}_u & \longrightarrow & F^{na} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M^{[1/n]}_{u_1/n}|_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}(\text{Diff}_q)} & \longrightarrow & F^{\psi} & \longrightarrow & F^{n\psi}
\end{array}
$$

**Proposition 5.5** states that formulas (5.10)–(5.12) gives an action of $\text{Diff}_q$ with respect to identification of bottom line of the diagram. Therefore, Lemma 5.6 implies that formulas (5.27)–(5.29) describes the action of $\text{Diff}_q$ with respect to identification of top line of the diagram.

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Follows from Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.5.
5.3.3 Strange bosonic construction via sublattices

Proposition 5.8. There is an action of $\text{Diff}_q$ on $F^\alpha$ defined by formulas.

\begin{equation}
5.33 \quad c = n, \quad c' = nt, \quad H_k^{tw} = a_{nk}, \quad (5.33)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
5.34 \quad E^{tw}(z) = z^{nt}/n \cdot \frac{u^{\frac{1}{n}}}{n(1-q^{1/n})} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{ln} \cdot \exp \left( \sum_k \frac{q^{-k/n} - q^{k/2n}}{k} a_k \zeta^{-kl} z^{-k/n} \right), \quad (5.34)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
5.35 \quad F^{tw}(z) = z^{-nt}/n \cdot \frac{u^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{n(1-q^{-1/n})} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ln} \cdot \exp \left( \sum_k \frac{q^{k/2n} - q^{-k/2n}}{k} a_k \zeta^{-kl} z^{-k/n} \right), \quad (5.35)
\end{equation}

Obtained module is isomorphic to $F_{u^{1/n}}(\text{Diff}_q)\big|_{\phi_{w_1,w_2}(\text{Diff}_q)}$ for $w_1 = (1, nt)$, $w_2 = (0, n)$.

Proof. Formulas (5.13)–(5.15) imply

\begin{equation}
5.36 \quad H_k^{tw} = H_{nk}, \quad (5.36)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
5.37 \quad E^{tw}(z) = \frac{1}{n} z^{nt}/n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{ln} E(\zeta^l z^{1/n}), \quad (5.37)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
5.38 \quad F^{tw}(z) = \frac{1}{n} z^{-nt}/n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-ln} F(\zeta^l z^{1/n}). \quad (5.38)
\end{equation}

Substitution of $\text{Diff}_q^{1/n}$-version of (3.1)–(3.3) to (5.36)–(5.38) finishes the proof.

\begin{proof}
\end{proof}

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Follows from Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.8.

6 Twisted representation via a Semi-infinite construction

This section is devoted to another proof of the Theorem 4.1. So we use the same notation

\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} m' & m \\ n' & n \end{pmatrix}
\]

Twisted evaluation representation. Let $e_{a,b}$ be a matrix unit (all entries are 0 except for one cell, where it is 1; this cell is in $b$th column and $a$th row).

Consider a homomorphism $t_{u,\sigma} : \text{Diff}_q^A \to \text{Diff}_q^A \otimes \text{Mat}_{n \times n}$ defined by

\begin{equation}
6.1 \quad E_{0,k} \mapsto E_{0,k} \otimes 1
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
6.2 \quad E_{1,k} \mapsto u^{\frac{1}{n}} \sum_{b-a \equiv -n' \mod n} q^{\frac{a+b}{n}} E_{1,k+b-a-n'} \otimes e_{a,b}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
6.3 \quad E_{-1,k} \mapsto u^{-\frac{1}{n}} \sum_{b-a \equiv n' \mod n} q^{-\frac{a+b}{n}} E_{-1,k+b-a-n'} \otimes e_{a,b}.
\end{equation}

Algebra $\text{Diff}_q^A \otimes \text{Mat}_{n \times n}$ tautologically acts on $\mathbb{C}^n[z, z^{-1}]$. Therefore, homomorphism $t_{u,\sigma}$ induces an action of $\text{Diff}_q^A$ on $\mathbb{C}^n[z, z^{-1}]$.

Proposition 6.1. Obtained representation of $\text{Diff}_q^A$ is isomorphic to $V_u^\sigma$.
Proof. Consider a basis $v_l := q^{\frac{ml^2}{2n}} a^{m} x^l$ of evaluation representation $\mathbb{C}[x,x^{-1}]^\sigma$. Action with respect to this basis looks like

$$E_{0,k} v_l = v_{k+l}$$

$$E_{1,k} v_l = u \frac{1}{2} q^{\frac{a+b-k}{2n}} v_{l+nk+n'}$$

$$E_{-1,k} v_l = u \frac{1}{2} q^{\frac{a-b+k}{2n}} v_{l+nk-n'}$$

(6.4) (6.5) (6.6)

Let $a, b = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ such that $l = nj + b$ and $a \equiv b + n' \mod n$. Substituting $l = nj + b$ into (6.5) we obtain

$$E_{1,k} v_{nj+b} = u \frac{1}{2} q^{\frac{a+b-k}{2n}} q^{\frac{a+b-k}{2n}} q^{\frac{b-k}{2n}} q^{2} v_{n(k+j)+\frac{a+b-k}{n}+a}$$

(6.7)

Let us identify $\mathbb{C}^n[z, z^{-1}] \cong \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$ by $z^j e_b \mapsto v_{nj+b}$. Then formula (6.7) will be rewritten

$$E_{1,k} (z^j e_b) = u \frac{1}{2} q^{\frac{a+b}{2n}} \left(E_{1,k} + \frac{a+b}{2n}\right) e_{a,b}$$

(6.8)

To be compared with formula (6.2) this proves the proposition for $E_{1,k}$. Prove for $E_{-1,k}$ is analogous. For $E_{0,k}$ proposition is obvious from (6.4).

**Semi-infinite construction.** To apply semi-infinite construction we need to pass from associative algebras to Lie algebras.

**Definition 6.1.** Algebra $\mathfrak{Diff}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a Lie algebra with basis $E_{k,l} \otimes e_{a,b}$ (where $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0, 0)$ and $a, b = 0, \ldots, n - 1$), $c$ and $c'$. Elements $c$ and $c'$ are central. All other commutators are given by

$$[E_{k_1,l_1} \otimes e_{a_1,b_1}, E_{k_2,l_2} \otimes e_{a_2,b_2}] = E_{k_1+k_2,l_1+l_2} \otimes \left(q^{\frac{l_2k_1-l_1k_2}{2}} \delta_{b_1,a_2} e_{a_1,b_2} - q^{\frac{l_1k_2-l_2k_1}{2}} \delta_{b_2,a_1} e_{a_2,b_1}\right) + \delta_{k_1,-k_2} \delta_{l_1,-l_2} \delta_{a_2,b_1} \delta_{a_1,b_2} (cl_1 + c'k_1).$$

(6.9)

**Proposition 6.2.** There is an action of $\mathfrak{Diff}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ on $F^{m\psi}$ given by formulas

$$c \mapsto 1; \quad c' \mapsto 0$$

$$E(z) \otimes e_{a,b} \mapsto q^\frac{k}{2} z \psi_{(a)}(q^{-\frac{k}{2}} z) \psi^*_b(q^\frac{k}{2} z)$$

(6.10) (6.11)

$$F(z) \otimes e_{a,b} \mapsto q^\frac{k}{2} z \psi_{(a)}(q^{\frac{k}{2}} z) \psi^*_b(q^{-\frac{k}{2}} z)$$

(6.12)

Obtained representation is isomorphic to $\Lambda^{\infty/2} \mathbb{C}^n[z, z^{-1}]$.

**Proof of Theorem 4.1** According to Proposition 3.5 $\mathcal{M}_u \cong \Lambda^{\infty/2} V_u$. Then $\mathcal{M}_u^\sigma \cong \Lambda^{\infty/2} V_u^\sigma$. Therefore, Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 imply Theorem 4.1.

**7 q-W-Algebras**

**7.1 Definitions**

**Topological algebras and completions** In this section we will work with topological algebras. Let us define topological algebra appearing as a completion of $\mathfrak{Diff}_q$. It is given by projective limit of $U(\mathfrak{Diff}_q)/J_k$ where $J_k$ is the left ideal generated by non-commutative polynomials in $E_{j_1,j_2}$ of degree $-k$ (with respect to grading $\deg E_{j_1,j_2} = -j_2$). Although each $U(\mathfrak{Diff}_q)/J_k$ does not have a structure of algebra, so does the projective limit. Moreover, the projective limit has natural topology.

Below we will ignore all corresponding technical problems concerning completions and topology. We will use term ‘generators’ instead of ‘topological generators’, the same notation for $\mathfrak{Diff}_q$ and its completion and so on.
7.1.1 Non-Twisted $W$-Algebras

Let us introduce a notation

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_{k,n}[l]x^l = \frac{(1-qx)^{\frac{n-k}{n}}}{(1-x)^{\frac{2(n-k)}{n}}} (1-q^{-1}x)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} (1-x)^{\frac{2(n-k)}{n}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.1)

**Definition 7.1.** Algebra $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is generated by $T_k[r]$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$. It is convenient to add generators $T_0[r] = T_n[r] = \delta_{r,0}$. The defining relations are

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_{k,n}[l] \left( T_1[r-l]T_k[s+l] - T_k[s-l]T_1[r+l] \right) = -(q^\frac{1}{2} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (kr - s) T_{k+1}[r+s]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.2)

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_{n-k,n}[l] \left( T_{n-1}[r-l]T_k[s+l] - T_k[s-l]T_{n-1}[r+l] \right) = -(q^\frac{1}{2} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 ((n-k)r - s) T_{k-1}[r+s]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3)

Introduce currents $T_k(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} T_k[r]z^{-r}$. Then relations (7.2)–(7.3) can be rewritten in current form

$$f_{k,n}(w/z)T_1(z)T_k(w) - f_{n-k,n}(z/w)T_k(w)T_1(z) =$$

$$- (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 \left( (k+1)w/z \delta (w/z)T_{k+1}(w) + w \delta (w/z) \partial_w T_{k+1}(w) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.4)

$$f_{n-k,n}(w/z)T_{n-1}(z)T_k(w) - f_{n-k,n}(z/w)T_k(w)T_{n-1}(z) =$$

$$- (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 \left( (n-k+1)w/z \delta (w/z)T_{k-1}(w) + w \delta (w/z) \partial_w T_{k-1}(w) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5)

Also note that $T_0(z) = T_n(z) = 1$.

**Remark 7.1.** There are different approaches to definition of $q$-$W$-algebra. For example, in [FF96] algebra $\mathcal{W}_{q,p}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ was defined via bosonization. The currents $T_k(z)$ satisfy relation [FF96, Thm. 2]

$$f_{k,n}(w/z)T_1(z)T_k(w) - f_{n-k,n}(z/w)T_k(w)T_1(z) =$$

$$\frac{1-q}{1-p} \left( \delta(w/z)T_{k+1}(z) - \delta(wp^k/z)T_{k+1}(w) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.6)

where

$$f_{k,n}(x) = \frac{p^{m-1}q,p^mq^{-1},p^n,p^{n-1};p^n}{(x|p^{m-1},p^m,p^{n-1}q,p^nq^{-1};p^n)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.7)

One can check that limit $p \to 1$ gives relation (7.4). However [FF96] do not provide presentation of $\mathcal{W}_{q,p}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ in terms of generators and relations.

In the paper [Neg18] relation [Neg18, (2.62)] defines algebra $\mathcal{W}_{q,p}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ which (non-essentially) differs from $\mathcal{W}_{q,p}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ mentioned above (and from $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ defined above).

7.1.2 Twisted q-$W$-algebras

Twisted $q$-$W$-algebra depends on remainder of $n_{tw}$ modulo $n$. If $n_{tw} = 0$, then we get definition of non-twisted $q$-$W$-algebra from last section. One can find definition of $\mathcal{W}_{q,p}(\mathfrak{sl}_2,1)$ in [Shi04, (37)–(38)].
Definition 7.2. Algebra \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \) is generated by \( T_{k,n}^{tw}[r] \) for \( r \in n_{tw}k/n + \mathbb{Z} \) and \( k = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). It is convenient to add \( T_{0,n}^{tw}[r] = T_{n}^{tw}[r] = \delta_{r,0} \). The defining relations are

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_{k,n}[l] \left( T_{k}^{tw}[r-l]T_{k}^{tw}[s+l] - T_{k}^{tw}[s-l]T_{k}^{tw}[r+l] \right) = -(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (kr - s) T_{k+1}^{tw}[r+s],
\]

(7.8)

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_{n-k,n}[l] \left( T_{n-l}^{tw}[r-l]T_{n}^{tw}[s+l] - T_{n-l}^{tw}[s-l]T_{n-1}^{tw}[r+l] \right) = -(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 ((n-k)r - s) T_{k-1}^{tw}[r+s].
\]

(7.9)

Let us rewrite relations (7.8) in the current form. Define currents

\[
T_{k}^{tw}(z) := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} T_{k}^{tw}[r]z^{-r}, \quad T_{k}(z) := z^{kn_{tw}} T_{k}^{tw}(z),
\]

(7.10)

\[
T_{n}^{o}(z) := z^{-\frac{(n-k)n_{tw}}{n}} T_{k}^{tw}(z) = z^{-n_{tw}} T_{k}(z).
\]

(7.11)

Note that

\[
T_{0}(z) = T_{n}^{o}(z) = 1 \quad T_{n}(z) = T_{0}^{o}(z) = z^{n_{tw}}.
\]

(7.12)

Proposition 7.1. Relation (7.8) is equivalent to

\[
f_{k,n}(w/z)T_{1}(z)T_{k}(w) - f_{k,n}(z/w)T_{k}(w)T_{1}(z) = -(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 \left( (k+1)\frac{w}{z}\delta(w/z)T_{k+1}(w) + w\delta(w/z)\partial_w T_{k+1}(w) \right).
\]

(7.13)

Relation (7.9) is equivalent to

\[
f_{n-k,n}(w/z)T_{n-1}^{o}(z)T_{k}^{o}(w) - f_{n-k,n}(z/w)T_{k}^{o}(w)T_{n-1}^{o}(z) = -(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 \left( (n-k+1)\frac{w}{z}\delta'(w/z)T_{k-1}^{o}(w) + w\delta'(w/z)\partial_w T_{k-1}^{o}(w) \right).
\]

(7.14)

Remark 7.2. In non-twisted case we have relations (7.4) and (7.5) for currents \( T_{k}(z) \). In twisted case we have the same relations, but for two different sets of currents \( T_{k}(z) \) and \( T_{k}^{o}(z) \). One should also keep in mind (7.12).

7.2 Connection of \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \) with \( \text{Diff}_q \)

Connection between \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \) and \( \text{Diff}_q \) is known (see [FHH+09, Prop. 2.14] or [Neg18, Prop. 2.25]). In this section we generalize it for arbitrary \( n_{tw} \).

Let \( \mathfrak{Heis} \) be a Heisenberg algebra generated by \( \hat{H}_j \) with relation \( [\hat{H}_i, \hat{H}_j] = n_i \delta_{i+j,0} \). We will prove that there is a surjective homomorphism \( \text{Diff}_q \to \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{Heis}) \). Secretly, generators \( H_j \) are mapped to \( \hat{H}_j \) under the homomorphism. Let us introduce a notation to describe this homomorphism more precisely.

Define

\[
\varphi_{-}(z) = \sum_{j>0} q^{-j/2} - q^{j/2} j \hat{H}_{-j} z^j, \quad \varphi_{+}(z) = -\sum_{j>0} q^{j/2} - q^{-j/2} j \hat{H}_{j} z^{-j},
\]

(7.15)

\[
\varphi_{-}(z) = \sum_{j>0} q^{-j/2} - q^{j/2} j \hat{H}_{-j} z^j, \quad \varphi_{+}(z) = -\sum_{j>0} q^{j/2} - q^{-j/2} j \hat{H}_{j} z^{-j}.
\]

(7.16)
Also, let introduce notation
\[ \varphi(z) = \varphi_-(z) + \varphi_+(z), \quad \tilde{\varphi}(z) = \tilde{\varphi}_-(z) + \tilde{\varphi}_+(z). \] (7.17)

Define
\[ \tilde{T}_k(z) = \frac{1}{k!} \exp \left( -\frac{k}{n} \varphi_-(z) \right) E^k(z) \exp \left( -\frac{k}{n} \varphi_+(z) \right). \] (7.18)

Note that \( \tilde{T}_k(z) \) commute with \( H_j \).

Let \( J_{\mu,n,n_{tw}} \) be two sided ideal in \( \text{Diff}_q \) generated by \( c - n, c' - n_{tw} \) and \( \tilde{T}_n(z) - \mu^n z^{n_{tw}} \) (here \( \mu \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\} \)). Parameter \( \mu \) is not essential since automorphism \( E_{a,b} \mapsto \mu^{-a} E_{a,b} \) maps \( J_{\mu,n,n_{tw}} \) to \( J_{1,n,n_{tw}} \). So we will abbreviate \( J_{n,n_{tw}} = J_{\mu,n,n_{tw}} \).

**Lemma 7.2.** \( \tilde{T}_k(z) \in J_{n,n} \) for \( k > n \).

**Proof.** It holds in \( U(\text{Diff}_q)/J_{n,n_{tw}} \)
\[ E^k(z) = n! \mu^n z^{n_{tw}} E^{k-n}(z) : \exp \varphi(z) :. \] (7.19)

On the other hand,
\[ E^{k-n}(z) : \exp \varphi(w) := \frac{(z - w)^{2(k-n)}}{(z - qw)^{k-n}} \exp \varphi_-(w) E^{k-n}(z) \exp \varphi_+(w) \] (7.20)

Hence, \( E^{k-n}(z) : \exp \varphi(z) := 0 \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 7.1.** There is an algebra isomorphisms \( \mathcal{S} : \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{cry}) \sim \rightarrow U(\text{Diff}_q)/J_{n,n_{tw}} \) such that
\[ T_k(z) \mapsto \mu^{-k} \tilde{T}_k(z); \quad \tilde{H}_j \mapsto H_j \] (7.21)

The map \( \mathcal{P} \) in opposite direction is given by
\[ H_j \mapsto \tilde{H}_j; \quad c \mapsto n; \quad c' \mapsto n_{tw}; \] (7.22)
\[ E(z) \mapsto \mu \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_-(z) \right) T_1(z) \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_+(z) \right) \] (7.23)
\[ F(z) \mapsto -\frac{\mu^{-1} z^{-n_{tw}}}{(q^2 - q^{-1} z)^2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_-(z) \right) T_{n-1}(z) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_+(z) \right). \] (7.24)

The rest of this section is devoted to proof of Theorem 7.1. First of all, we will prove that formula (7.21) indeed defines a homomorphism \( \mathcal{S} : \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{cry}) \rightarrow U(\text{Diff}_q)/J_{n,n_{tw}} \) (see Proposition 7.7). Then we prove that formulas (7.22)-(7.24) defines a homomorphism in opposite direction (see Proposition 7.8). Finally, we note that maps \( \mathcal{P} \) and \( \mathcal{S} \) are mutually inverse.

**Proposition 7.3.** Currents \( \tilde{T}_k(z) \) (considered as power series with coefficients in \( U(\text{Diff}_q)/J_{n,n_{tw}} \)) satisfy relation (7.13).

**Proof.** Let us define power series in two variables
\[ W^{(k+1)}(z, w) = (z - qw)(z - q^{-1}w) E(z) E^k(w). \] (7.25)
According Corollary $\text{B.5}$, $\mathbb{E}^{(k+1)}(z, w)$ is regular in sense of Definition $\text{A.2}$. Following relations follows from results of Appendix $\text{A}$.

\begin{align}
\mathbb{E}^{(k+1)}(z, w) &= (z - qw)(z - q^{-1} w) E^k(w) E(z) \tag{7.26} \\
\mathbb{E}^{(k+1)}(w, w) &= (1 - q)(1 - q^{-1}) w^2 E^{k+1}(w) \tag{7.27} \\
\partial_z \mathbb{E}^{(k+1)}(z, w) \big|_{z = w} &= (1 - q)(1 - q^{-1}) w^2 \frac{1}{k + 1} \partial_w E^{k+1}(w) + (1 - q)(1 - q^{-1}) w E^{k+1}(w) \tag{7.28}
\end{align}

More precisely, (7.26)–(7.27) easily follows from Propositions $\text{A.2}$. One can find a proof of (7.28) at the end of Appendix $\text{A}$.

It is straightforward to check that

\[ f_{k,n}(w/z) T_1(z) T_k(w) = (1 - q w) (1 - q^{-1} w) E^k(w) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} (\varphi_-(z) + k \varphi_-(w)) \right) \]

\[ E(z) E^k(w) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} (\varphi_+(z) + k \varphi_+(w)) \right) \] \hspace{1cm} (7.29)

Formulas (7.29) and (7.26)–(7.28) implies that

\[ f_{k,n}(w/z) T_1(z) T_k(w) - f_{k,n}(z/w) T_k(w) T_1(z) = \]

\[ \frac{1}{k!} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} (\varphi_-(z) + k \varphi_-(w)) \right) \mathbb{E}^{k+1}(z, w) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} (\varphi_+(z) + k \varphi_+(w)) \right) \partial_w (w^{-1} \delta(w/z)) = \]

\[ = (1 - q)(1 - q^{-1})(k + 1) \tilde{T}_{k+1}(w) \frac{w}{z} \delta'(w/z) + (1 - q)(1 - q^{-1}) w \tilde{T}'_{k+1}(w) \delta(w/z) \]

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 7.4.** The following OPE holds in $\mathfrak{Diff}_q$

\[ F(z) E^k(w) = k(c - k + 1) \frac{w}{z} E^{k-1}(w) + k \frac{w}{z} \partial_w E^{k-1}(w) - w : \varphi'(w) E^{k-1}(w) : - c' E^{k-1}(w) + \text{reg;} \] \hspace{1cm} (7.30)

or, equivalently

\[ [F(z), E^k(w)] = k(c - k + 1) E^{k-1}(w) \frac{w}{z} \delta'(w/z) + k \left( w \partial_w E^{k-1}(w) - w : \varphi'(w) E^{k-1}(w) : - c' E^{k-1}(w) \right) \delta(w/z). \] \hspace{1cm} (7.31)

**Proof.** Denote by $E(w) = E(w_1) \cdots E(w_k)$. We will write $: F(z) E(w) : = F_+(z) E(w) + E(w) F_-(z)$; this definition reminds standard Definition $\text{A.3}$, but is applied in different situation ($E(w)$ is not a current in one variable). Note that

\[ F(z) E(w) = [F_-(z), E(w)] + : F(z) E(w) : = \sum_j E(w_1) \cdots E(w_{j-1}) H(qz w_j) - H(q^{-1} z w_j) - c' \frac{w_j}{1 - \frac{w_j}{z}} + c \frac{w_j}{z} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{w_j}{z})^2} E(w_{j+1}) \cdots E(w_k) + : F(z) E(w) : \] \hspace{1cm} (7.32)
Recall that \( w\varphi'(w) = H(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w) - H(q^\frac{1}{2}w) \). It follows from (2.9) that

\[
\left(H(q^{\frac{1}{2}}w_j) - H(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w_j)\right) E(w_j) = -\frac{2E(w_j)}{1 - \frac{w_j}{z}} + \frac{E(w_j)}{1 - q^{-1}w_j} - w_j : \varphi'(w_j) E(w_j) :, \quad (7.33)
\]

\[
E(w_j) \left(H(q^{\frac{1}{2}}w_l) - H(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w_l)\right) = \frac{2E(w_j)}{1 - \frac{w_j}{z}} - \frac{E(w_j)}{1 - q^{-1}w_j} - w_j : \varphi'(w_l) E(w_j) : . \quad (7.34)
\]

Using identity

\[
\frac{1}{(1 - \frac{w_j}{z})(1 - \frac{w_j}{w_l})} - \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{w_j}{z})(1 - \frac{w_l}{w_j})} = -\frac{w_j}{(1 - \frac{w_j}{z})^2} \quad (7.35)
\]

we obtain

\[
\frac{E(w_1)}{(1 - \frac{w_j}{z})(1 - \frac{w_j}{w_l})} - \frac{E(w_j)}{(1 - \frac{w_j}{z})(1 - \frac{w_j}{w_l})} \bigg|_{w=w_j=w_l} = -\frac{w_j}{(1 - \frac{w_j}{z})^2} + \frac{wE(w)}{1 - \frac{w_j}{z}}. \quad (7.36)
\]

Finally, we conclude that

\[
\sum_j E(w_1) \cdots E(w_{j-1}) \frac{H(q^{\frac{1}{2}}w_j) - H(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w_j)}{1 - \frac{w_j}{z}} E(w_{j+1}) \cdots E(w_k) \bigg|_{w=w_1=\cdots=w_k} =
\]

\[
- \left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \frac{2wE^{k-1}(w)}{(1 - \frac{w}{z})^2} + \left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \frac{2wE^{k-2}(w) \partial_w E(w)}{1 - \frac{w}{z}} - k \frac{w : \varphi'(w) E^{k-1}(w)}{1 - \frac{w}{z}} : . \quad (7.37)
\]

Relation (7.30) follows from (7.32) and (7.37) \( \square \)

**Proposition 7.5.** In algebra \( U(\mathfrak{Diff}_q)/J_{n,m} \) holds

\[
F(z) = -\frac{\mu^{-n} z^{-n+m}}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{n} \varphi_-(z)\right) \hat{T}_{n-1}(z) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{n} \varphi_+(z)\right) \quad (7.38)
\]

**Proof.** Using relation \( \hat{T}_{n}(z) = \mu^n z^{n+m} \in J_{n,m} \), we find a relation in \( U(\mathfrak{Diff}_q)/J_{n,m} \)

\[
F(z) E^n(w) = n! \mu^n w^{n+m} F(z) : \exp(\phi(w)) : =
\]

\[
n! \mu^n w^{n+m} \frac{(1 - qw/z)(1 - q^{-1}w/z)}{(1 - w/z)^2} \exp(\phi_-(w)) F(z) \exp(\phi_+(w)) \quad (7.39)
\]

Comparing coefficient of \((z - w)^{-2}\) with (7.30), we obtain

\[
nE^{n-1}(w) = n! \mu^n w^{n+m} (1 - q)(1 - q^{-1}) \exp(\phi_-(w)) F(w) \exp(\phi_+(w)) \quad (7.40)
\]

Denote by \( \hat{T}_k^0(z) = \mu^{-n} z^{-n+m} \hat{T}_k(z) \).

**Proposition 7.6.** Following relation holds in \( U(\mathfrak{Diff}_q)/J_{n,m} \)

\[
f_{n-k,n}(w/z) \hat{T}_{n-1}^0(z) \hat{T}_k^0(w) - f_{n-k,n}(z/w) \hat{T}_k^0(w) \hat{T}_{n-1}^0(z) =
\]

\[
- (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 \left( (n-k+1) \frac{w}{z} \delta'(\frac{w}{z}) \hat{T}_{k-1}^0(w) + w \delta(\frac{w}{z}) \partial_w \hat{T}_{k-1}^0(w) \right) \quad (7.41)
\]
Lemma 7.10. In this section we will discuss connection of twisted \( \text{Diff} \) straightforward.

7.3 Bosonization of \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, nt_{tw}) \)

Follows from Proposition 7.7, 7.8 and 7.9

Proof of Theorem 7.1.

\[ \text{Proof.} \text{ Proposition 7.9.} \]

\[ \text{Proposition 7.8.} \text{ Formulas} \]

It is straightforward to finish the proof using (7.31).

\[ \text{Proof.} \text{ Proposition 7.5 imply} \]

Let \( \sigma \) be as in (4.1). Corollary 3.10 states that representation \( \mathcal{F}_u^{(n',n)} \) actually does not depend on \( m' \) and \( m \); it is determined by \( n' \) and \( n \). Let us denote the representation by \( \mathcal{F}_u^{(n',n)} \).

7.3 Bosonization of \( \mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, nt_{tw}) \)

Let \( \sigma \) be as in (4.1). Corollary 3.10 states that representation \( \mathcal{F}_u^{\sigma} \) actually does not depend on \( m' \) and \( m \); it is determined by \( n' \) and \( n \). Let us denote the representation by \( \mathcal{F}_u^{(n',n)} \).

7.3.1 Fock representation via \( \mathfrak{Diff}_q \)

In this section we will discuss connection of twisted \( q \)-\( W \) algebras and twisted representations \( \mathcal{F}_u^{(n',n)} \).

Lemma 7.10. In representation \( \mathcal{F}_u^{(n',n)} \) operator \( \tilde{T}_n(z) \) acts by \( -q^{-1/2}az^{n'} \frac{1}{(q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^n} \).

Proof. We will use formula (4.11) to calculate \( E^n(z) \).

By Proposition A.2

\[ \psi(a)(q^{-1/2}z)\psi(b)(q^{-1/2}z) = -\psi(b)(q^{-1/2}z)\psi(a)(q^{-1/2}z) \] (7.42)

\[ \psi(a)(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*(b)(q^{1/2}z) = -\psi^*(b)(q^{1/2}z)\psi(a)(q^{-1/2}z) \] (7.43)

for any \( a, b \) (even for \( a = b \)).

Consider a sequence of numbers \( 0 \leq a_1, \ldots, a_n \leq n - 1 \) such that \( a_{i+1} - a_i \equiv -n' \mod n \). Thus,

\[ E^n(z) = n!uq^{-1/2}z^{n'+n} \psi(a_1)(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*(a_2)(q^{1/2}z)\psi(a_2)(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*(a_3)(q^{1/2}z)\cdots \psi(a_n)(q^{-1/2}z)\psi^*(a_1)(q^{1/2}z) \] (7.44)
Using bosonization [5.31], [5.32] we obtain (cf. (7.15) and (7.17))

\[ E^n(z) = -n!uq^{-1/2}z^{n'} \frac{1}{(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^n} \exp(\varphi(z)): \]

Consequently,

\[ T_n(z) = -q^{-1/2}u z^{n'} \frac{1}{(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^n} \]

(7.46)

**Proposition 7.11.** Suppose, \( M_i \) are representation of \( \text{Diff}_q \) such that ideal \( J_{\mu_i,n_i,n'_i} \) acts by zero (for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \)). Then \( J_{\mu,n,n'} \) acts by zero on \( M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_k \) for \( n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i, \ n' = \sum_{i=1}^k n'_i \) and \( \mu^n = \mu_1^{n_1} \cdots \mu_k^{n_k} \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( E^{n+1}(z) \in J_{n_i,n'_i} \) by Lemma 7.2. Thus, \( E^n(z) \) act on \( M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_k \) as

\[ \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdots n_k!} E^{n_1}(z) \otimes \cdots \otimes E^{n_k}(z) = n! \prod_{i=1}^k \mu_i^{n_i} z^{n_i} : \exp(\varphi(z)): . \]

(7.47)

**Proposition 7.12.** Ideal \( J_{\mu,nd,n'd} \) acts by zero on \( F_{u_1}^{(n',n)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d}^{(n',n)} \) for

\[ \mu = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} (u_1 \cdots u_d)^{\frac{1}{2d}}, \]

(7.48)

**Proof.** Follows from Lemma 7.10 and Proposition 7.11.

**Theorem 7.2.** There is an action of \( W(\mathfrak{sl}_d,n'd) \otimes U(\mathfrak{heis}) \) on \( F_{u_1}^{(n',n)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d}^{(n',n)} \) such that action of \( \text{Diff}_q \) factors through \( W(\mathfrak{sl}_d,n'd) \otimes U(\mathfrak{heis}) \).

**Proof.** According to Proposition 7.12 algebra \( U(\text{Diff}_q)/J_{nd,n'd} \) acts on \( F_{u_1}^{(n',n)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d}^{(n',n)} \). By Theorem 7.1 algebra \( U(\text{Diff}_q)/J_{nd,n'd} \) is isomorphic to \( W(\mathfrak{sl}_d,n'd) \otimes U(\mathfrak{heis}) \).

**Remark 7.3.** One can consider tensor product of Fock modules with different twists \( F_{u_1}^{(n',n)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d}^{(n',n)} \). According to Proposition 7.11 algebra \( W(\mathfrak{sl}_d,\sum_{i=1}^n n'_i) \otimes U(\mathfrak{heis}) \) acts on this space. Obtained representation is ‘irregular’ (cf. [Nag15]). In Section D.2 we consider an intertwiner between irregular and (graded completion) of regular representation.

### 7.3.2 Explicit formula for bosonization

Below we will write explicit formula for bosonization of \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d,n'd) \). This bosonization comes from action of \( W(\mathfrak{sl}_d,n'd) \) on \( F_{u_1}^{(n',n)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d}^{(n',n)} \). Recall that realization of \( F_{u}^{(n',n)} \) is written via \( a_b[k] \) for \( b = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \). Denote by \( a_b[i] \) (for \( i = 1, \ldots, d \) and \( b = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \)) generators of Heisenberg algebra action on \( i \)th factor of the tensor product \( F_{u_1}^{(n',n)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d}^{(n',n)} \).

To write action of \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d,n'd) \) we need to introduce slightly different version of Heisenberg algebra. Namely, consider an algebra, generated by \( \eta_{b_i}[k] \) for \( b = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \; i = 1, \ldots, d \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Relations are given by linear dependence and commutation relations

\[ \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{b=0}^{n-1} \eta_{b_i}[k] = 0 \]

(7.49)

\[ [\eta_{b_1}[k_1], \eta_{b_2}[k_2]] = k_1 \delta_{k_1+k_2,0} \left( \delta_{i_1,i_2} \delta_{b_1,b_2} - \frac{1}{nd} \right) \]

(7.50)
Let us define representation $F^n \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q^{d}_{(n)}]$ (cf. Section 4.2). Lattice $Q^{d}_{(n)}$ consist of elements $\sum \lambda^*_b Q^d_b$ such that $\lambda^*_b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any $i$ holds $\sum_b \lambda^*_b = 0$. Define an action

$$\eta^*_b[0] e^{\sum \lambda^*_b Q^{d}_b} = \lambda^*_b e^{\sum \lambda^*_b Q^{d}_b} \quad (7.51)$$

First factor $F^n$ is a Fock space for subalgebra $\eta^*_b[k]$ for $k \neq 0$. We can consider $F^n \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q^{d}_{(n)}]$ as representation of whole Heisenberg algebra as follows: $\eta^*_b[k]$ for $k \neq 0$ acts on first factor, $\eta^*_b[0]$ acts on the second factor by $(7.51)$. Note, that also $Q^{d}_{(n)}$ acts on $F^n \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q^{d}_{(n)}]$. Let us introduce notation

$$\eta^*_b(z) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{1}{k} \eta^*_b[k] z^{-k} + Q^d_b + \eta^*_b[0] \log z \quad (7.52)$$

**Proposition 7.13.** There is an action of $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n^d)$ on $F^n \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q^{d}_{(n)}]$ given by formulas

$$T_1(z) \mapsto (-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (u_1 \cdots u_d)^{\frac{1}{m}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{b=a-n^d \text{mod } n} \eta^*_b \frac{z}{a-bz} \exp \left( \eta^*_b(q^{1/2}z) \right) : \epsilon^i_{a,b} (7.53)$$

$$T_{nd-1}(z) \mapsto (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u_1 \cdots u_d)^{\frac{1}{m}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{b=a-n^d \text{mod } n} \eta^*_b \frac{z}{a-bz} \exp \left( \eta^*_b(q^{-1/2}z) \right) : \epsilon^i_{a,b} (7.54)$$

Here $\epsilon^i_{a,b} = \prod_r (-1)^{\eta^*_b[0]} (\text{we consider product over such } r \text{ that } a-1 \geq r \geq b \text{ for } a>b \text{ and } b-1 \geq r \geq a \text{ for } b>a)$.

**Proof.** Denote by $\left[ \mathcal{F}^{(n',n)}_{u_1, \ldots, u_d} \right]_h$ subspace of such $v \in \mathcal{F}^{(n',n)}_{u_1, \ldots, u_d}$ that $H_k v = 0$ for $k > 0$. According to Theorem 7.2, the algebra $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n^d)$ acts on $\left[ \mathcal{F}^{(n',n)}_{u_1, \ldots, u_d} \right]_h$.

On the other hand map $\eta^*_b[k] \rightarrow a^*_b[k] - \sum_{b,i} a^*_b[k]$ is a homomorphism. Therefore, one can identify $F^n \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q^{d}_{(n)}]$ and $\left[ \mathcal{F}^{(n',n)}_{u_1, \ldots, u_d} \right]_h$.

Substitution of $(4.17)$, $(4.18)$, and $(7.48)$ to $(7.23)$, $(7.24)$ finishes the proof. \hfill \Box

Denote obtained representation by $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n^d)}_{u_1, \ldots, u_d}$.

**Remark 7.4.** The parameter $\mu$ is determined by $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ only up to $nd$-th root of unity. The modules $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n^d)}_{u_1, \ldots, u_d}$ with different $\mu$ are non-isomorphic in general (so notation $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n^d)}_{u_1, \ldots, u_d}$ is ambiguous). For example, one can see this from the highest weights $\lambda_\mu$ defined in the next section.

The modules $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n^d)}_{u_1, \ldots, u_d}$ with different $\mu$ are related by external automorphism of $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, \ell\ell_n)$.

**Example 7.1.** Let us consider case of twisted Virasoro algebra i.e. $n = 2$, $n' = 1$, $d = 1$. Then everything is expressed via one boson $\eta(z)$ with relation $[\eta[k_1], \eta[k_2]] = \frac{1}{2} k_1 \delta_{k_1+k_2,0}$ and $[\eta[0], Q] = \frac{1}{2}$. So there is an action of $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, 1)$ on $F^n \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$ given by

$$T_1(z) \mapsto (-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(-1)^{\eta[0]} \begin{bmatrix} z : \exp(\eta(q^{1/2}z) + \eta(q^{-1/2}z)) : +z^2 : \exp(-\eta(q^{1/2}z) - \eta(q^{-1/2}z)) : \end{bmatrix} (7.55)$$

We can simplify the formula using conjugation by $(-1)^{\eta[0]^2/2}$

$$T_1(z) \mapsto (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \begin{bmatrix} z : \exp(\eta(q^{1/2}z) + \eta(q^{-1/2}z)) : +z^2 : \exp(-\eta(q^{1/2}z) - \eta(q^{-1/2}z)) : \end{bmatrix} (7.56)$$
7.3.3 Explicit formulas for strange bosonization

To write formulas for strange bosonization we need to consider Heisenberg algebra generated by $\xi_i[k]$ for $i = 1, \ldots d$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Relations are given by linear dependence and commutation relations

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \xi_i[nk] = 0 \quad (7.57)
\]

\[
[\xi_{i_1}[k_1], \xi_{i_2}[k_2]] = k_1 \delta_{i_1+k_2,0} \delta_{i_1,i_2} \quad \text{for either } n \nmid k_1 \text{ or } n \nmid k_2 \quad (7.58)
\]

\[
[\xi_{i_1}[nj_1], \xi_{i_2}[nj_2]] = nj_1 \delta_{i_1+j_2,0} \left( \delta_{i_1,i_2} - \frac{1}{d} \right) \quad (7.59)
\]

Denote corresponding Fock module by $F^\xi$.

**Proposition 7.14.** There is an action of $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n'd)$ on $F^\xi$ given by

\[
T_1(z) \mapsto -(-1)^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{q^\frac{n}{2} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{n(q^\frac{1}{n} - q^{-\frac{1}{n}})} (u_1 \cdots u_d)^{-\frac{1}{n}} z^{n'}
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{ln'} \exp \left( \sum_{k} \frac{q^{k/2n} - q^{-k/2n}}{k} \xi[k] \zeta^{-k} z^{-k/n} \right) : \quad (7.60)
\]

\[
T_{n_d-1}(z) \mapsto -(-1)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}} \frac{q^\frac{n}{2} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{n(q^\frac{1}{n} - q^{-\frac{1}{n}})} (u_1 \cdots u_d)^{\frac{2n}{n} - \frac{n}{2}} z^{\frac{n_d-1}{n'}}
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{ln'} \exp \left( \sum_{k} \frac{q^{k/2n} - q^{-k/2n}}{k} \xi[k] \zeta^{-k} z^{-k/n} \right) : \quad (7.61)
\]

Obtained representation is isomorphic to $F_{u_1, \ldots, u_d}^{\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n'd)}$.

**Proof.** The proof is analogous to proof of Proposition 7.13. The only difference is that we have to use (4.20), (4.21) instead of (4.17), (4.18). This representation is isomorphic to $F_{u_1, \ldots, u_d}^{\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_d, n'd)}$ since it also corresponds to $F_{u_1}^{(n',n)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_d}^{(n',n)}$. □

7.4 Verma modules vs Fock modules

Connection of Fock module and Verma module is known in non-twisted case. In this Subsection we will generalize it for $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})$. Denote $d = \gcd(n_{tw}, n)$.

**Definition 7.3.** $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) = U(\mathfrak{diff}_q)/J_{n,n_{tw}}$.

Denote modes of $E^k(z)$ by $E^k[j]$. Namely, $E^k(z) = \sum_d E^k[j] z^{-j}$.

**Definition 7.4.** Verma module $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})}_{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d}$ is a module over $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})$ with cyclic vector $|\lambda\rangle_{\mathfrak{gl}}$ and relations

\[
H_k |\lambda\rangle_{\mathfrak{gl}} = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0 \quad (7.62)
\]

\[
E^k[j] |\lambda\rangle_{\mathfrak{gl}} = 0 \quad \text{for } j + \frac{k n_{tw}}{n} > 0 \quad (7.63)
\]

\[
E^\frac{n}{d}[-sn_{tw}/d] |\lambda\rangle_{\mathfrak{gl}} = \frac{ns}{d}! \lambda_s |\lambda\rangle_{\mathfrak{gl}} \quad (7.64)
\]
Consider a grading on \( W_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, n_{tw}) \) given by \( \text{deg} E_k[j] = -j - \frac{kn_{tw}}{n} \). Verma module \( V^{W_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, n_{tw})}_{k_1, \ldots, k_d} \) is a graded module with grading defined by requirement \( \text{deg} |\bar{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{gl}} = 0 \).

**Proposition 7.15.** \( V^{W_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, n_{tw})}_{k_1, \ldots, k_d} \) is spanned by \( E^{k_1}[j_1] \cdots E^{k_d}[j_d] |\bar{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{gl}} \) for \( \frac{j_1}{k_1} \leq \frac{j_2}{k_2} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{j_d}{k_d} < -\frac{n_{tw}}{n} \) and \( 1 \leq k_i \leq n \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( E^n(z) = n! z^n :\exp(\varphi(z)):. \) Therefore \( E^n[j] \) acts on \( F^H \).

**Lemma 7.16.** Module \( F^H \) is spanned by \( E^n[-j_1] \ldots E^n[-j_k] \).

**Sketch of the proof.** Let us consider operator \( E^i[-j] \) defined by \( \sum_j E^n[-j]z^j = \exp(\varphi(z)) \). On one hand \( E^n[-j_1] \ldots E^n[-j_k] \) is a basis of \( F^H \) (this basis coincide with a basis of complete homogeneous polynomials up to renormalization of Heisenberg algebra generators). One the other hand,

\[
E^n[-j_1] \ldots E^n[-j_k] = E^n_\cdots -j_k |E^n[-j_1] \ldots E^n[-j_k]|0 + \text{lower terms}, \tag{7.65}
\]

here lower terms are taken with respect to lexicographical order.

**Remark 7.5.** Lemma 7.16 holds for any exponent \( \exp(\sum \alpha_j H_j z_j) \) such that \( \alpha_{-i} \neq 0 \) for \( i > 0 \). The proof does not use any other properties of coefficients \( \alpha_j \). One can find the proof as the last part of proof of [Neg18], Prop. 2.29.

Define \( \text{Diff}_q^{\geq 0} \) and \( \text{Diff}_q^{> 0} \) as subalgebras of \( \text{Diff}_q \) spanned by \( E_{k,j} \) with \( k \geq 0 \) and \( k > 0 \) correspondingly.

**Lemma 7.17.** Vector \( |\bar{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{gl}} \in V^{W_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, n_{tw})}_{k_1, \ldots, k_d} \) is cyclic with respect to action of \( \text{Diff}_q^{\geq 0} \).

**Proof.** Theorem 7.1 implies that the natural map \( U(\text{Diff}_q^{\geq 0}) \to U(\text{Diff}_q)/J_{n,n_{tw}} \) is surjective. Hence Verma module is generated by non-commutative monomials in \( E_i \) and \( H_j \) applied to \( |\bar{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{gl}} \). Using relation \( [H_j, E_i] = (q^{-j/2} - q^{j/2})E_{i+j} \), we see that the module is spaned by \( E_{i_1} \cdots E_{i_k} H_{j_1} \cdots H_{j_l} |\bar{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{gl}} \).

**Lemma 7.16** implies that the module is spanned by \( E_{i_1} \cdots E_{i_k} E^n[j_1] \cdots E^n[j_l] |\bar{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{gl}} \) [Neg17] (3.48)] author states, that algebra \( \text{Diff}_q^{\geq 0} \) has a PBW-like basis \( E^{k_1}[j_1] \cdots E^{k_d}[j_d] \) for \( \frac{j_1}{k_1} \leq \frac{j_2}{k_2} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{j_d}{k_d} \). Thus \( V^{W_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, n_{tw})}_{k_1, \ldots, k_d} \) is spanned by \( E^{k_1}[j_1] \cdots E^{k_d}[j_d] |\bar{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{gl}} \) (with the same condition on \( k_i \) and \( j_i \)).

Note that if \( \frac{j_1}{k_1} > -\frac{n_{tw}}{n} \) then such vector is 0; moreover if \( \frac{j_i}{k_i} = -\frac{n_{tw}}{n} \) then \( E^{k_i}[j_i] \) acts by multiplication on a constant, hence it can be excluded. Also note that \( E^{k_i}[j_i] \in J_{n,n_{tw}} \) for \( k_i > n + 1 \), therefore we assume \( k_i \leq n \). The Proposition 7.15 is proven.

**Corollary 7.18.** Coefficients of \( \text{ch} \ V^{W_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, n_{tw})}_{k_1, \ldots, k_d} \) are less or equal to coefficients of \( \prod_{k=1}^n \left( 1 - q^{k/d} \right)^{-d} \).

**Theorem 7.3.** If \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1, n_{tw}/d,n/d} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d, n_{tw}/d,n/d} \) is irreducible then natural map \( p: V^{W_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, n_{tw})}_{k_1, \ldots, k_d} \to \mathcal{F}_{u_1, n_{tw}/d,n/d} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d, n_{tw}/d,n/d} \) is an isomorphism for

\[
\lambda_s = \left( -q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^s \frac{1}{(q^\frac{1}{2} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{k_s}{n}}} e_s(u_1, \ldots, u_d). \tag{7.66}
\]

**Proof.** Let us first proof existence of the map \( p \). This will follow automatically from the following lemma.
Lemma 7.19. The highest vector $|\bar{u}\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{u_1}^{(n_{tw}/d,n/d)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d}^{(n_{tw}/d,n/d)}$ satisfy following conditions

\[ H_k |\bar{u}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0 \quad (7.67) \]

\[ E^k[j] |\bar{u}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } j + \frac{kn_{tw}}{n} > 0 \quad (7.68) \]

\[ E^\frac{n}{d} [-sn_{tw}/d] |\bar{u}\rangle = \frac{ns}{d}! \lambda_s |\bar{u}\rangle \quad (7.69) \]

Proof. Assertions (7.67)–(7.68) are evident. Let us check (7.69). Denote by $E$ contribute to Lemma 7.19. The highest vector of $d V$.

Introduce grading on $W$.

Remark 7.4). The existence of maps in both directions can be checked directly using universal property of $W$.

Note that representation $\mathcal{F}_{u_1}^{(n_{tw}/d,n/d)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d}^{(n_{tw}/d,n/d)}$ is irreducible. In particular, for $d = 1$ then $\mathcal{F}_{u}^{(n_{tw})}$ is irreducible automatically. Generally, criterion of irreducibility of $\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_d}$ is given by Lemma 9.1

Definition 7.5. Verma module $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_d}$ is a module over $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})$ with cyclic vector $|\bar{\lambda}\rangle_{\mathfrak{sl}}$ and relations

\[ T^w_k[r] |\bar{\lambda}\rangle_{\mathfrak{sl}} = 0 \quad \text{for } r > 0 \quad (7.72) \]

\[ T^{n_{tw}/d}[0] |\bar{\lambda}\rangle_{\mathfrak{sl}} = \lambda_s |\bar{\lambda}\rangle_{\mathfrak{sl}}. \quad (7.73) \]

Introduce grading on $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})$ by deg $T^w_k[r] = -r$. Verma module $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_d}$ is a graded module with grading defined by deg $|\bar{\lambda}\rangle_{\mathfrak{sl}} = 0$.

To simplify notation for comparison of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ cases, we will assume below that $\mu = 1$ (cf. Remark 7.4).

Proposition 7.20. $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_d}^{\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})} \cong \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_d}^{\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})} \otimes F^H$ with respect to identification $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) = \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$.

Proof. The existence of maps in both directions can be checked directly using universal property of the Verma module. Evidently, these maps are mutually inverse.

Corollary 7.21. If $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_d}^{\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})}$ is irreducible then natural map $\tilde{p}: \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_d}^{\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{u_1,\ldots,u_d}^{\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw})}$ is an isomorphism for $\lambda_s$ as in (7.66).
8 Restriction on $\text{Diff}_q^A$ for general sublattice

We generalize results of Section 5 for arbitrary sublattice. For applications in Section 9 we will need only case of sublattice $\Lambda_0 = \text{span}(e_1, ne_2) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$.

8.1 Decomposition of restriction

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a sublattice of finite index. Let us choose basis $w_1$, $w_2$ of lattice $\Lambda$ such that $w_1 = (r, nt_w)$ and $w_2 = (0, n)$. Let $d$ be the greatest common divisor of $n$ and $nt_w$.

**Theorem 8.1.** There is an isomorphism of $\text{Diff}_q$ modules

$$\mathcal{F}^{[1/\alpha]}_{uq^{d/nr}}\big|_{\phi_{w_1, w_2}(\text{Diff}_q)} \cong \bigoplus_{l \in \mathcal{Q}(d)} \mathcal{F}^{(nt_w/d, n/d)}_{uq^{rll}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(nt_w/d, n/d)}_{uq^{l(d-1)+d-1}} \otimes \cdots$$

(8.1)

**Proof.** Proposition 3.3 implies that $\mathcal{F}^{[1/\alpha]}_{uq^{d/nr}}|_{\phi_{w_1, w_2}(\text{Diff}_q)} \cong \mathcal{F}^{[1/n]}_{uq^{d/n}}$. Hence it is enough to consider case $r = 1$.

We will use realization of $\mathcal{F}^{[1/n]}_{uq^{d/n}}|_{\phi_{w_1, w_2}(\text{Diff}_q)}$ constructed in Proposition 5.5. Strategy of our proof is as follows: first we will construct decomposition on the level of vector spaces and then study action on each direct summand.

For each $\alpha = 0, \ldots, d-1$ let $Q_{(n/d)}^{\alpha,l_0}$ be a subset of lattice $P_{(n)}$ consisting of elements

$$\sum_{a \equiv \alpha \mod d} \tilde{i}_a Q_a \text{ such that } \sum_{a \equiv \alpha \mod d} \tilde{i}_a = l_\alpha.$$

Note that

$$Q_{(n)} = \bigoplus_{l_0 + \cdots + l_{d-1} = 0} Q_{(n/d)}^{0,l_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_{(n/d)}^{d-1,l_{d-1}}.$$

Or equivalently

$$\mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}] = \bigoplus_{l_0 + \cdots + l_{d-1} = 0} \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(n/d)}^{0,l_0} \right] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(n/d)}^{d-1,l_{d-1}} \right].$$

Let $F^{a,a}_{\alpha}$ be Fock module for Heisenberg algebra generated by $a_b[k]$ for $b \equiv \alpha \mod d$. Then

$$F^{a,a}_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n)}] = \bigoplus_{l_0 + \cdots + l_{d-1} = 0} \left( F^{a,a}_{\alpha,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n/d)}^{0,l_0}] \right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \left( F^{a,a}_{\alpha,d-1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n/d)}^{d-1,l_{d-1}}] \right)$$

(8.2)

Let us show that (8.2) is a decomposition of $\text{Diff}_q$ modules (moreover, that it leads to decomposition (8.1)). Let us define

$$H^{tw}_{\alpha}[k] = \sum_{b \equiv \alpha \mod d} a_b[k]$$

(8.3)

$$E^{tw}_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{b - a \equiv -nt_w \mod \alpha} u^{-d} q^{-a+b-n} z^{\frac{nt_w-a+b}{n}+1} : \exp \left( \phi_{b}(q^{-1/2}z) - \phi_{a}(q^{-1/2}z) \right) : \epsilon_{a,b}$$

(8.4)

$$F^{tw}_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{a \equiv \alpha \mod d} u^{-d} q^{-a+b-n} z^{-\frac{a+b}{2n}} \epsilon_{a,b}$$

(8.5)

here $\epsilon_{a,b} = \prod_r (-1)^{a_r}[0]$ (product over such $r$ that $a - 1 \geq r \geq b$ for $a > b$ and $b - 1 \geq r \geq a$ for $b > a$).

**Lemma 8.1.** Formulas (8.3)–(8.5) defines an action of $\text{Diff}_q$ on $F^{a,a}_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q_{(n/d)}^{\alpha,l_0}]$; obtained representation is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^{(nt_w/d, n/d)}_{uq^{\frac{d}{d-1}+l_\alpha}}$. 31
Sketch of the proof. Let us define $\tilde{\epsilon}_{a,b} = \prod_{i} (-1)^{\alpha_i} \epsilon^{[0]}$ (product over $r$ satisfying above inequalities and condition $r \equiv \alpha \mod d$. One can check that there exists an index set $I$ such that conjugation of $E_{a}(z)$ and $F_{b}^{tw}(z)$ by $\prod_{i \in I} (-1)^{\alpha_i} \epsilon^{[0]}$ will turn $\epsilon_{a,b}$ to $\tilde{\epsilon}_{a,b}$. Theorem 4.2 finishes the proof. 

On the other hand, formulas \((5.27)-(5.29)\) implies
\[
H_{tw}^{(1)}[k] = \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}^{tw}[k], \quad E_{tw}(z) = \sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}(z), \quad F_{tw}(z) = \sum_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}(z). \tag{8.6}
\]

Therefore embedding of vector space from first row of following commutative diagram leads to second row embedding of $\mathcal{D}iff_{q}$-modules

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_{u}^{F_{0},0} & \otimes & \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{0,1} \right] \\
F_{u}^{F_{0},d-1} & \otimes & \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{d-1,1d-1} \right]
\end{array} & \longrightarrow & \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_{u}^{F_{0},0} & \otimes & \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{0,1} \right] \\
F_{u}^{F_{0},d-1} & \otimes & \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{d-1,1d-1} \right]
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
F_{u}^{F_{0},d/2} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u}^{F_{0},d/2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{0,1} \right] \longrightarrow F_{u}^{F_{0},d/2} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u}^{F_{0},d/2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{0,1} \right]
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{v_{1},v_{2}}(\mathcal{D}iff_{q})} \cong \bigoplus_{l \in Q_{(d)}} \mathcal{F}_{u}^{F_{0},0} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u}^{F_{0},d/2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{0,1} \right]
\end{array} \\
\mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{v_{1},v_{2}}(\mathcal{D}iff_{q})} \cong \bigoplus_{l \in Q_{(d)}} \mathcal{F}_{u}^{F_{0},0} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u}^{F_{0},d/2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{0,1} \right]
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

Corollary 8.2. Following $\mathcal{D}iff_{q}$-modules are isomorphic
\[
\mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{v_{1},v_{2}}(\mathcal{D}iff_{q})} \cong \bigoplus_{l \in Q_{(d)}} \mathcal{F}_{u}^{F_{0},0} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u}^{F_{0},d/2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{0,1} \right]. \tag{8.7}
\]

Remark 8.1. Lattice $\Lambda$ admits another basis $v_{1} = (N,0)$, $v_{2} = (R,d)$. There is an isomorphism
\[
\mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{v_{1},v_{2}}(\mathcal{D}iff_{q})} \cong \bigoplus_{l \in Q_{(d)}} \mathcal{F}_{u}^{F_{0},0} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u}^{F_{0},d/2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \left[ Q_{(1/d)}^{0,1} \right]. \tag{8.8}
\]

8.2 Strange Bosonization and Odd Bosonization

Representation $\mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{v_{1},v_{2}}(\mathcal{D}iff_{q})}$ admits fermionic, bosonic, and strange bosonic realizations; formulas are given in Proposition \((5.27)-(5.29)\) and \((5.3)-(5.8)\) correspondingly. This Section is devoted to study of corresponding $\mathcal{W}_{q}(sl_{n},ntw)$ algebra action on $\mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{v_{1},v_{2}}(\mathcal{D}iff_{q})}$. We will consider strange bosonization and its classical limit.

Let us introduce following notation (cf. with \((3.2)\))
\[
e(z) = \exp \left( \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{q^{-k/2n} - q^{-k/2n}}{k} \alpha_k z^{-k} \right). \tag{8.9}
\]

Proposition 8.3. For $w_{1} = e_{1} + ntw e_{2}$, $w_{2} = ne_{2}$ ideal $J_{\mu,n,ntw}$ acts by zero on $\mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{v_{1},v_{2}}(\mathcal{D}iff_{q})}$ for
\[
\mu = \frac{u}{n(1 - q^{1/2n})} \times (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{q^{1/2n} - q^{-1/2n}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} n = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{q^{1/2n} u}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}. \tag{8.10}
\]

Proof. We will use strange bosonization of $\mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{v_{1},v_{2}}(\mathcal{D}iff_{q})}$. Recall that we denote by $\zeta$ a primitive root of unity of degree $n$. We have
\[
E(z) \mapsto \frac{u}{n(1 - q^{1/2n})} z^{\frac{n}{2}} \left( \zeta^{1/n} + \zeta^{ntw} \zeta^{1/n} \right) + \cdots + \zeta^{(n-1)ntw} \zeta^{(n-1)1/n} \right) \tag{8.11}
\]

32
Let us calculate
\[
\left( \frac{n(1-q^{\frac{1}{n}})}{u} E(z) \right)^n = z^{n_{tw}}(e(z^{1/n}) + \zeta^{n_{tw}} e(\zeta z^{1/n}) + \ldots + \zeta^{(n-1)n_{tw}} e(\zeta^{n-1} z^{1/n}))^n =
\]
\[
n! z^{n_{tw}} (1)(1)(1)(1-q^\frac{1}{n}) \prod_{i<j} ((1-q^{-\frac{1}{n}} \zeta^{-i})(1-q^{-\frac{1}{n}} \zeta^{-j})) : e(z^{1/n}) e(\zeta z^{1/n}) \cdots e(\zeta^{n-1} z^{1/n}) :
\]
We need to compute
\[
\prod_{i<j} \frac{(1-\zeta^{-i})^2}{((1-q^\frac{1}{n} \zeta^{-i})(1-q^{-\frac{1}{n}} \zeta^{-j}))} = \prod_{i<j} \frac{(1-\zeta^{-i})(1-\zeta^{-j})}{q^{-\frac{1}{n}} (1-q^\frac{1}{n} \zeta^{-i})(1-q^{-\frac{1}{n}} \zeta^{-j})} = q^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod \frac{(1-\zeta^k)^n}{k \neq 0 ((1-q^{-\frac{1}{n}} \zeta^k)^n}
\]
So
\[
\left( \frac{n(1-q^{\frac{1}{n}})}{u} E(z) \right)^n = n! z^{n_{tw}} (1)(1-n_{tw}) \frac{(q^\frac{1}{n} - q^{-\frac{1}{n}})^n}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n} : e(z^{1/n}) e(\zeta z^{1/n}) \cdots e(\zeta^{n-1} z^{1/n}) :
\]
Note that : e(z^{1/n}) e(\zeta z^{1/n}) \cdots e(\zeta^{n-1} z^{1/n}) = : \exp \varphi(z). Hence
\[
\mu^n = (-1)^{(n-1)n_{tw}} \frac{u}{n(1-q^{\frac{1}{n}})} \frac{(q^{\frac{1}{n}} - q^{-\frac{1}{n}})^n}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n} = (-1)^{(n-1)n_{tw} + n} \frac{u q^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n}
\]
Finally note that (n - 1)n_{tw} + n \equiv d \mod 2.

**Remark 8.2.** Another way to proof Proposition 8.3 is to derive it from Proposition 7.12 (since isomorphism (8.1)). Beware inconsistency of our notation in (7.48) and (8.10). Let us rewrite (7.48)
\[
\mu = (-1)^{\frac{d}{n}} \frac{q^{-\frac{d}{2n}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} (u_1 \ldots u_d)^{\frac{1}{n}} = (-1)^{\frac{d}{n}} \frac{q^{-\frac{d}{2n}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \frac{u^n}{d} \right)^{\frac{d}{2n}} = (-1)^{\frac{d}{n}} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{n}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} u
\]
Let us consider subalgebra of Heisenberg algebra generated by J_k = a_k for n \nmid k. Denote corresponding Fock module by F^d.

**Corollary 8.4.** There is an action of \( W_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n, n_{tw}) \) on \( F^d \) given by
\[
T_1(z) = (-1)^{\frac{d}{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{z^{n_{tw} \zeta^i}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{i n_{tw}} : \exp \left( \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{q^{-\frac{k}{2n}} - q^{\frac{k}{2n}}}{k} J_k \zeta^{-k} z^{-\frac{1}{n}} \right) :
\]
Obtained representation corresponds to \( \mathcal{F}_{u}^{[1/n]} \bigg|_{\phi_{w_1, w_2}(\mathcal{D}(f_n))} \).

**Proof.** Follows from Theorem 7.1 and Proposition 8.3

**Remark 8.3.** Let us consider non-twisted case \( n_{tw} = 0 \). Then \( d = n \) and total sign \(-1)^{\frac{d}{n}} = 1\). More accurately, the coefficient is a root of unity of degree \( n \) (cf. Remark 7.4). Nevertheless, this freedom disappears if we require \( T_1(z) = n + o(\hbar) \) for \( \hbar = \log q \) (this is a standard setting for classical limit).
Example 8.1. Odd bosonization is a particular case of strange bosonization for \( n = 2 \) and \( n_{tw} = 0 \).

\[
T_1(z) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}} + q^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{2} \left[ : \exp \left( \sum_{2|r} q^{-\frac{r}{2}} - q^{\frac{r}{2}} J_r z^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right) : + : \exp \left( - \sum_{2|r} q^{-\frac{r}{2}} - q^{\frac{r}{2}} J_r z^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right) : \right] \tag{8.15}
\]

Consider classical limit \( q \to 1 \). It is convenient to assume \( q = e^h \) and \( h \to 0 \). If there exist an expansion

\[
T_1(z) = 2 + z^2 L(z) h^2 + o(h^2) \tag{8.16}
\]

then modes of current \( L(z) = L_n z^{-n-2} \) form 'not \( q \)-deformed' Virasoro algebra. Note that

\[
T_1(z) \to 2 + z^2 \left( \frac{z^{-2}}{16} + \frac{1}{4} \sum : J_{odd}(z)^2 : \right) h^2 + o(h^2) \tag{8.17}
\]

where \( J_{odd}(z) = \sum_{2|r} J_r z^{-\frac{r}{2}} z^{-1} \). Hence

\[
L(z) = \frac{z^{-2}}{16} + \frac{1}{4} \sum : J_{odd}(z)^2 : . \tag{8.18}
\]

Or equivalently

\[
L_k = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\frac{z}{2}(r+s) = k} : J_r J_s : + \frac{1}{16} \delta_{k,0}. \tag{8.19}
\]

Formula (8.19) is well-known; it coincides with [Zam87, eq. (2.16)] after substitution \( J_r = 2 I_{\frac{1}{2}r} \). Let us emphasize that formula (8.15) is a \( q \)-deformation of (8.19).

9 Relations on conformal blocks

9.1 Whittaker vector

In this section we define and study basic properties of Whittaker vector \( W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) \in F_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_N} \). We will restrict ourself to case when \( F_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_N} \) is irreducible.

Lemma 9.1. \( F_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_N} \) is irreducible if \( u_i/u_j \neq q^k \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Proof. Follows from the proof of [FFJ+11b, Lemma 3.1].

In papers [Neg15a, Tsy17] Whittaker vector is defined geometrically. We will define Whittaker vector by algebraic properties (cf. [Neg15a, Prop. 4.15]). Then we will proof that these properties define Whittaker vector uniquely up to normalization if the module \( F_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_N} \) is irreducible.

Definition 9.1. Whittaker vector \( W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) \in F_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{u_N} \) is an eigenvector of operators \( E_{a,b} \) for \( N \geq a \geq 0 \) with eigenvalues

\[
E_{0,k} W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) = \frac{z^k}{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}} W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) \tag{9.1}
\]

\[
E_{Nk,k} W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) = \frac{((-q^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_1 \cdots u_N z)^k}{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}} W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) \tag{9.2}
\]

for \( k > 0 \);

\[
E_{k_1,k_2} W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) = 0 \tag{9.3}
\]

for \( N k_2 > k_1 > 0 \). We require \( W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) = |0 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |0 \rangle + \cdots \) to fix normalization (by dots we mean lower vectors).
Remark 9.1. Whittaker vector is an element of graded completion of \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_N} \). Abusing notation, we use the same symbols for modules and their completions.

Remark 9.2. Whittaker vector is an eigenvector for surprisingly big algebra. This explains why we have to consider specific eigenvalues (for general eigenvalues there is no eigenvector in corresponding representation). Theorem 9.1 clarify origin of this eigenvalues.

**Theorem 9.1.** If \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_N} \) is irreducible, then there exist unique Whittaker vector.

One can find a proof of Theorem 9.1 in Appendix D. This statement can be considered as a part of folklore; unfortunately, we do not know a precise reference for the theorem.

**Proposition 9.2.** Decomposition of Whittaker vector \( W(z^{1/n}|u) \in \mathcal{F}^{[1/n]}_u \) with respect to (8.7) is given by Whittaker vectors \( W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) \) up to normalization.

**Proof.** The idea of the proof is that relations (9.1) and (9.2) for \( N = 1 \) implies these relations for \( N = n \). Let us work out conditions (9.2) for \( W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) \)

\[
E_{nk,k} W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) = \left( -q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( u q^k + l_k \right) z^k \left( q^{-k/2} - q^{k/2} \right) W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) \tag{9.4}
\]

Let us calculate

\[
\left( -q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( u q^k + l_k \right) = \left( -q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^n u^n q^{n-1} = \left( -q^{-\frac{1}{2n}} u \right)^n \tag{9.5}
\]

So \( W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) \) is defined (up to normalization) by conditions

\[
E_{0,k} W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) = \frac{z^k}{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}} W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) \tag{9.6}
\]

\[
E_{nk,k} W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) = \left( -q^{-\frac{1}{2n}} u^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{nk} \frac{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}}{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}} W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) \tag{9.7}
\]

\[
E_{k_1,k_2} W(z|u q^0, \ldots, u q^{n-1} + l_{n-1}) = 0 \tag{9.8}
\]

for \( k > 0 \) and \( nk_2 > k_1 > 0 \). Denote by \( E_{a,b}^{[1/n]} \) generators of \( \mathfrak{Diff}_{p^{[1/n]}} \). Then

\[
E_{0,k} W(z^{1/n}|u) = \frac{(z^{1/n})^{nk}}{(q^{1/n})^{nk/2} - (q^{1/n})^{-nk/2}} W(z^{1/n}|u) \tag{9.9}
\]

\[
E_{nk,k} W(z^{1/n}|u) = \frac{(-q^{-\frac{1}{2n}} u^{\frac{1}{2}})^{nk}}{(q^{1/n})^{nk/2} - (q^{1/n})^{-nk/2}} W(z^{1/n}|u) \tag{9.10}
\]

\[
E_{k_1,k_2} W(z^{1/n}|u) = E_{k_1,k_2}^{[1/n]} W(z^{1/n}|u) = 0 \tag{9.11}
\]

Note that conditions (9.9)–(9.11) and conditions (9.6)–(9.8) coincide. Hence each component of \( W(z^{1/n}|u) \) also satisfy those conditions, i.e. coincide with Whittaker vector up to normalization. \( \square \)
9.1.1 Whittaker vector for $F_u$

Recall that we use notation $c(\lambda) = \sum_{c \in \lambda} c(s)$.

**Proposition 9.3.** We have an expansion of vector $W(z|u)$ in the basis $|\lambda\rangle$

$$W(z|u) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}c(\lambda)}}{\prod_{s \in \lambda} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}h(s) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h(s)\right)} z^{\lambda} |\lambda\rangle$$  \hfill (9.12)

To proof the Proposition we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 9.4.** Following vectors in $F_u$ coincide

$$\exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k(q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2})^2} a_k \right) |\varnothing\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}c(\lambda)}}{\prod_{s \in \lambda} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}h(s) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h(s)\right)} z^{\lambda} |\lambda\rangle \quad (9.13)$$

**Proof.** Recall Cauchy identity

$$\exp \left( -\sum_{k} \frac{1}{k} p_k(x) p_k(y) \right) = \prod_{i,j}(1 - x_i y_j) = \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda|} s_{\lambda'}(x) s_{\lambda}(y). \quad (9.14)$$

Let us use specialization of Cauchy identity (see [Mac95, §1.4 Ex. 2])

$$p_k(x) \mapsto -z^k \frac{q^{k/2}}{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}}, \quad s_{\lambda'}(x) \mapsto (-1)^{|\lambda|} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}c(\lambda)} z^{\lambda}}{\prod_{s \in \lambda} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}h(s) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h(s)\right)}. \quad (9.15)$$

To finish the proof let us recall that there is an identification of space of symmetric polynomials and Fock module $F_u^\alpha$ given by $s_{\lambda'} \mapsto |\lambda\rangle$ and $p_k \mapsto a_k$ (see [KRS7]).

**Remark 9.3.** For $|q| < 1$ this specialization comes from substitution $p_k(zq^{1/2}, zq^{3/2}, \ldots)$.

**Lemma 9.5.** Following vectors in $F_u$ coincide

$$\exp \left( -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^{-1/2}uz)^k}{k(q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2})^2} \rho(E_{-k,-k}) \right) |\varnothing\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}c(\lambda)}}{\prod_{s \in \lambda} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}h(s) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h(s)\right)} z^{\lambda} |\lambda\rangle \quad (9.16)$$

**Proof.** Recall that we have defined an operator $I_\tau$ by (3.23). Let us calculate

$$I_\tau \left( \sum_{\lambda} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}c(\lambda)}}{\prod_{s \in \lambda} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}h(s) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h(s)\right)} z^{\lambda} |\lambda\rangle \right) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{u^{|\lambda|} q^{\frac{1}{2}c(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|}}{\prod_{s \in \lambda} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}h(s) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h(s)\right)} z^{\lambda} |\lambda\rangle \quad (9.17)$$

Proposition 3.8 implies that

$$I_\tau \left( \exp \left( -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^{-1/2}uz)^k}{k(q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2})^2} \rho(E_{-k,-k}) \right) |\varnothing\rangle \right) = \exp \left( -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^{-1/2}uz)^k}{k(q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2})^2} a_k \right) |\varnothing\rangle \quad (9.18)$$

Using Cauchy identity for another specialization

$$p_k(x) \mapsto \frac{(-q^{-1/2}uz)^k}{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}}, \quad s_{\lambda'}(x) \mapsto (-1)^{|\lambda|} \frac{u^{|\lambda|} q^{\frac{1}{2}c(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|}}{\prod_{s \in \lambda} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}h(s) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h(s)\right)} z^{\lambda} |\lambda\rangle, \quad (9.19)$$

we see that RHS of (9.17) and (9.18) coincide.
Proposition 9.6. Decomposition \(\lambda\) identifies \(|0\rangle \otimes e^{-\sum_{i} l_{i} Q_{i}}\) with \(|\lambda\rangle\) for some \(\lambda\). Moreover, partition \(\lambda\) satisfy following properties.

\[(i)\] Hooks of \(\lambda\) are in bijection with tuples \(\{(i, j, k_{1}, k_{2})\mid k_{1} < l_{i}; k_{j} \geq j; nk_{i} + i > nk_{j} + j\}\). Length of a hook corresponding to a tuple \((i, j, k_{1}, k_{2})\) equals to \(n(k_{1} - k_{j}) + i - j\).

\[(ii)\] \(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\frac{i}{n} + l_{i})^{2} = |\lambda|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i^{2}}{n^{2}}\).

Proof. The \(n\)-fermion Fock space \(F^{\psi}_{n}\) is isomorphic to tensor product \(F^{\psi} \otimes \cdots \otimes F^{\psi}\). The \(n\)-Heisenberg highest vectors are products \(|l_{0}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |l_{n-1}\rangle\). After identification of \(F^{\psi}_{n}\) with one \(F^{\psi}\), these products becomes \((3.16)\) for special \(\lambda\). Such diagrams \(\lambda\) are called \(n\)-cores.

Combinatorially boson-fermion correspondence is a correspondence between Maya diagrams and charged partitions \((\lambda, l)\), see e.g. \([Neg15b, Section 6.4]\) or \([FM17]\). The boxes of the partition corresponds to the pairs of white and black points in Maya diagram such that coordinate of white point is greater then coordinate of black point. The hook length equals difference between coordinates of white and black points (cf. \([Neg15b, Section 6.4]\)). This proves \((i)\).

For formula \((ii)\) see e.g. \([FM17, Prop. 2.30]\). □

Lemma 9.7. Let \(l_{i} > l_{j}\). Let us consider hooks with fixed \(i\) and \(j\) (see Proposition 9.6).

- If \(i > j\), then possible lengths of hooks are \(nk + i - j\) for \(k = 0, 1, \ldots, l_{i} - l_{j} - 1\).
- If \(i < j\) then possible lengths of hooks are \(nk + i - j\) for \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, l_{i} - l_{j} - 1\).

There are exactly \(l_{i} - l_{j} - k\) such hooks of length \(nk + i - j\) for all possible \(k\).

For each \(l \in Q_{(n)}\) we will use notation \(\prod_{(i,j,k)}\) for product over triples \((i, j, k)\) satisfying following conditions. Numbers \(i, j\) run over \(0, \ldots, n - 1\) with condition \(l_{i} > l_{j}\). If \(i > j\), then \(k = 0, 1, \ldots, l_{i} - l_{j} - 1\); if \(i < j\) then \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, l_{i} - l_{j} - 1\).

Corollary 9.8. If diagram \(\lambda\) corresponds to \(|0\rangle \otimes e^{-\sum_{i} l_{i} Q_{i}}\), then

\[
\prod_{s \in \lambda} \left( q^{\frac{1}{2}} h(s) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} h(s) \right) = \prod_{(i, j, k)} \left( q^{\frac{1}{2}} (nk + i - j) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} (nk + i - j) \right)^{l_{i} - l_{j} - k}.
\]

\[(9.21)\]
Theorem 9.2. **Decomposition of Whittaker vector** \( W(z^{1/n}|u^{1/n}) \in \mathcal{F}^{[1/n]}_{u^{1/n}} \) with respect to \((8.7)\) is given by

\[
q^{-\frac{1}{2n}c(\lambda)}z^\frac{1}{2}\sum(t_i+\frac{1}{n})^2-(\frac{x}{n})^2 \prod_{(i,j,k)} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}(k+\frac{i-j}{n}) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}(k+\frac{i-j}{n})}\right)^{t_i-t_j} W(z|u^{q_0}, \ldots, u^{q_{n-1}}) \tag{9.22}
\]

**Proof.** Recall that according to Proposition 9.2, we just have to verify the coefficient. This coefficient can be found as coefficient of \( W(z^{1/n}|u^{1/n}) \) at highest vector of \( \mathcal{F}_{u^{q_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u^{q_{n-1}}}} \).

By Proposition 9.3, coefficient of \( W(z^{1/n}|u^{1/n}) \) at \( |\lambda\rangle \) is

\[
q^{-\frac{1}{2n}c(\lambda)}z^\frac{1}{2}\sum(t_i+\frac{1}{n})^2-(\frac{x}{n})^2 \prod_{(i,j,k)} \left(q^\frac{1}{2}(k+\frac{i-j}{n}) - q^{-\frac{1}{2}(k+\frac{i-j}{n})}\right)^{t_i-t_j}. \tag{9.23}
\]

Equality \(9.23\) follows from Corollary 9.8 and Proposition 9.6.

\( \square \)

### 9.2 Shapovalov form

**Definition 9.2.** Let \( M_1, M_2 \) be two representations of \( \mathfrak{Diff}_q \). A pairing \( \langle -,- \rangle_s : M_1 \otimes M_2 \to \mathbb{C} \) is called Shapovalov if \( \langle v, E_{a,b}w \rangle_s = -\langle E_{-a,-b}v, w \rangle_s \).

**Proposition 9.9.** There exists a unique Shapovalov pairing \( F_u \otimes F_{q^-1} \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \langle 0|0 \rangle_s = 1 \).

**Proof.** There exist a unique pairing on Fock space such that \( a_k \) is dual to \(-a_{-k} \). Since algebra \( \mathfrak{Diff}_q \) is generated by modes of \( E(z) \) and \( F(z) \), it remains to check Shapovalov property for them. Formulas (3.2) and (3.3) implies \( \langle v, E(z)w \rangle = -\langle F(z)^{-1}v, w \rangle \).

**Remark 9.6.** Note that this pairing differs from the pairing defined in Section 3.4. More precisely, in Section 3.3 we required \( a_k \) to be dual to \( a_{-k} \), not \(-a_{-k} \).

**Proposition 9.10.** \( \langle W(1|q^{-1}), W(z|u) \rangle = (qz; q, q)_\infty \)

**Proof.** Formulas (9.12) and (9.13) implies

\[
W(z|u) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k(q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2})a_{-k}} \right) \langle \emptyset \rangle. \tag{9.24}
\]

Using (9.24) one can finish the by straightforward computation.

**Proposition 9.11.** There exists a unique Shapovalov pairing \( \mathcal{M}_u \otimes \mathcal{M}_{q^{-1}} \to \mathbb{C} \) such that

\[
\langle 1|0 \rangle_s = 1 \quad \langle v, \psi_i w \rangle = \langle \psi_i v, w \rangle_s \quad \langle v, \psi_i^* w \rangle = \langle \psi_i^* v, w \rangle_s \tag{9.25}
\]

**Proof.** There exist unique pairing satisfying (9.25). The Shapovalov property can be checked directly using (3.12)–(3.14).

**Proposition 9.12.** **Shapovalov pairing for Fock modules for basis \( |\lambda\rangle \) has form** \( \langle \lambda|\mu \rangle_s = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \delta_{\lambda,\mu'} \)

**Proof.** Let \( p_1, \ldots, p_i \) and \( q_1, \ldots, q_i \) be Frobenius coordinates of \( \lambda \); analogously, \( \tilde{p}_1, \ldots, \tilde{p}_j \) and \( \tilde{q}_1, \ldots, \tilde{q}_j \) be Frobenius coordinates of \( \mu \). Using identification given by (3.16) we obtain

\[
\langle \mu, 1|\lambda, 0 \rangle_s = (-1)^{\sum_k(q_k-1)}(-1)^{\sum_k(q_k)} \langle 1|\psi_{\tilde{q}_1}^* \cdots \psi_{\tilde{q}_i}^* \psi_{\tilde{p}_1-1} \cdots \psi_{\tilde{p}_i-1} \psi_{p_1} \cdots \psi_{p_{i-1}} \psi_{p_i} \psi_{q_i+1} \cdots \psi_{q_i} |0 \rangle_s \tag{9.26}
\]

Evidently, if this product is non-zero, then \( i = j \), \( q_k = \tilde{p}_k \), and \( p_i = \tilde{q}_i \); this exactly means that \( \mu = \lambda' \). It remains to calculate RHS of (9.26) in this case; it equals \((-1)^{\sum_k(q_k+\sum_i p_i+i^2)} = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \) since \( |\lambda| = \sum_k q_k + \sum_i p_i - i \).

\( \square \)
Definition 9.3. Standard Shapovalov pairing $⟨−,−⟩_{ss}: M_1 \otimes M_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ for $M_1 = \mathcal{F}_{q/a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q/a_n}$ and $M_2 = \mathcal{F}_{q/a_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q/a_1}$ is defined by

\[ \langle x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n, y_n \otimes \cdots \otimes y_1 \rangle_{ss} = \prod_i \langle x_i, y_i \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.27)

Here $⟨−,−⟩_i$ stands for Shapovalov pairing $\mathcal{F}_{u_i} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q/u_i} \to \mathbb{C}$ as in Proposition 9.9.

Proposition 9.13. Shapovalov pairing on $\mathcal{F}^{[1/n]}_q \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[1/n]}_q$ restricts to $⟨−,−⟩_{ss}: M_1 \otimes M_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ for $M_1 = \mathcal{F}_{q^k/a_{i-1} + \cdots + a_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^k/a_1 + \cdots + a_n}$ and $M_2 = \mathcal{F}_{q^k/a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q^k/a_n}$ (with respect to decomposition (8.7)). Other pairs of direct summands are orthogonal.

Proof. Property $⟨v, E_{a,b}w⟩_s = −⟨E_{a-b}v, w⟩_s$ is preserved under restriction. Since module $M_1$ and $M_2$ are irreducible, there exist unique Shapovalov pairing $M_1 \otimes M_2 \to \mathbb{C}$. So restriction of pairing coincides with the standard pairing up to multiplicative constant. The constant equals to $⟨\lambda|\lambda⟩ = (−1)^{|\lambda|}$ due to Proposition 9.12 (and Proposition 9.6).

Orthogonality with all other summands also follows from Proposition 9.12 and irreducibility. \qed

Remark 9.7. Let us comment on another way to proof orthogonality mentioned in Proposition 9.13. All direct summands are pairwise non-isomorphic. Hence there is no non-zero pairing for all other pairs of direct summands.

9.3 Conformal blocks

Definition 9.4. Pochhammer and double Pochhammer symbols are defined by

\[ (u; q_1)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^\infty (1 − q_1^i u), \quad (u; q_1, q_2)_\infty = \prod_{i,j=0}^\infty (1 − q_1^i q_2^j u). \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.28)

Remark 9.8. Standard definition works for $|q_1|, |q_2| < 1$ and any $u$. For sufficiently small $u$ double Pochhammer symbol can be presented as $(u; q_1, q_2)_\infty = \exp \left( −\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{u^k}{k(1−q_1^k)(1−q_2^k)} \right)$. The series in $u$ has non-zero radius of convergence for $|q_1|, |q_2| \neq 1$. Moreover the series enjoys property $(u; q_1^{-1}, q_2)_\infty = 1/(q_1 u; q_1, q_2)_\infty$, hence we can define double Pochhammer symbol for any $|q_1|, |q_2| \neq 1$.

In particular, new definition implies $(u; q, q^{-1})_\infty = 1/(q u; q, q)_\infty$; this is important to compare our formulas with [BGM19]. Below we assume $|q| \neq 1$.

Definition 9.5. Let us define $q$-deformed conformal block

\[ \mathcal{Z}(u_1, \ldots, u_n; z) = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{(q u_i u_j^{-1}; q)_{\infty}} \langle W_u(1|q u_i^{-1}, \ldots, q u_j^{-1}), W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \rangle_{ss}}{z^{(\log q)^2} \prod_{i \neq j} (q u_i u_j^{-1}; q)_{\infty}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.29)

Remark 9.9. AGT statement claims that function $\mathcal{Z}(u_1, \ldots, u_n; z)$ is equal to Nekrasov partition function for pure supersymmetric SU$(n)$ 5d theory. This was conjectured in [AY10], the proof follows from the geometric construction of the Whittaker vector given in the [Neg15a] and [Tsy17].

Theorem 9.3. \[ z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{H}} \left( q^{\frac{1}{2}} z \frac{1}{2}; q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{(q^{1+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}; q, q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{(l_0, \ldots, l_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}(n)} \mathcal{Z} \left( q^{l_0}, q^{\frac{l_0}{2}+l_1}, \ldots, q^{\frac{l_{n-1}}{2}+l_{n-1}}; z \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.30)

The idea of the proof is to find two different expressions for $\langle W(1|q^{1/n}), W(z^{1/n}|1) \rangle$ using Theorem 9.2. To do this we need to simplify $\mathcal{Z}(u_1, \ldots, u_n; z)$ after substitution $u_{i-1} = q^{\frac{i}{2}+l_i}$. Let us concentrate on the second factor of (9.29).

\[
\prod_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q}{q^{l_i-l_j+1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q} \right)_\infty = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \prod_{(i,j,k)} \frac{1}{\left( q^{\frac{k}{2}+\frac{i-j}{2n}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{i-j}{2n}} \right)^{2(l_i-l_j-k)}} \tag{9.31}
\]

Proof. Let \( l_i - l_j > 0 \). It is straightforward to check that

\[
\left( \frac{q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q}{q^{l_i-l_j+1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q} \right)_\infty = \left( q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q \right)^{l_i-l_j-1} \prod_{k=1}^{l_i-l_j-1} \frac{1}{\left( 1 - q^{k+\frac{i-j}{n}} \right)^{l_i-l_j-k}} \tag{9.32}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q}{q^{l_j-l_i+1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q} \right)_\infty = \left( q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q \right)^{l_j-l_i-1} \prod_{k=0}^{l_j-l_i-1} \frac{1}{\left( 1 - q^{-k+\frac{i-j}{n}} \right)^{l_i-l_j-k}} \tag{9.33}
\]

Denote by \( v_{ij} = q^{\frac{i-j}{n}} \) for \( i > j \) and \( v_{ji} = q^{-\frac{i-j}{n}} \) for \( i < j \). Formulas \([9.32]–[9.33]\) implies the following assertions. For \( i > j \)

\[
\left( \frac{q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q}{q^{l_i-l_j+1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q} \right)_\infty \times \left( \frac{q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q}{q^{l_j-l_i+1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q} \right)_\infty =
\]

\[
\left( v_{ij}; q \right)^{l_i-l_j} \left( v_{ji}; q \right)^{l_j-l_i} \prod_{k=0}^{l_i-l_j-1} \frac{(-1)^{l_i-l_j-k}}{\left( q^{\frac{k+1}{2}+\frac{j-i}{2n}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{i-j}{2n}} \right)^{2(l_i-l_j-k)}} \tag{9.34}
\]

For \( j > i \)

\[
\left( \frac{q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q}{q^{l_i-l_j+1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q} \right)_\infty \times \left( \frac{q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q}{q^{l_j-l_i+1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q} \right)_\infty =
\]

\[
\left( v_{ij}; q \right)^{l_i-l_j} \left( v_{ji}; q \right)^{l_j-l_i} \prod_{k=1}^{l_i-l_j-1} \frac{(-1)^{l_i-l_j-k}}{\left( q^{\frac{k}{2}+\frac{j-i}{2n}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{i-j}{2n}} \right)^{2(l_i-l_j-k)}} \tag{9.35}
\]

Using identities \([9.34]–[9.35]\), we obtain

\[
\prod_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{q^{1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q}{q^{l_i-l_j+1+\frac{i-j}{n}}; q, q} \right)_\infty = \prod_{(i,j,k)} \frac{(-1)^{l_i-l_j-k}}{\left( q^{\frac{k}{2}+\frac{i-j}{2n}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{i-j}{2n}} \right)^{2(l_i-l_j-k)}} \tag{9.36}
\]

To finish the proof, it remains to clarify the sign. This product already appeared as the product over all hooks. For diagram \( \lambda \) the number of hooks is \( |\lambda| \).

Proof of Theorem 9.3. We will provide two different expressions for \( \langle W(1|q^{1/n}), W(z^{1/n}|1) \rangle \) to proof the theorem. On one hand (by Proposition 9.10)

\[
\langle W(1|q^{1/n}), W(z^{1/n}|1) \rangle = \left( q^{\frac{1}{n}} z^{\frac{1}{n}}; q^{\frac{1}{n}}, q^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)_\infty \tag{9.37}
\]
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On the other hand (by Theorem 9.2 and Proposition 9.13)

$$
\left\langle W(1|q^{1/n}), W(z^{1/n}) \right\rangle = \sum_{(l_0, \ldots, l_{n-1}) \in Q(n)} \prod_{(i,j,k)} \left[ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( z^{k+\frac{i}{n}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right]^{2(l_i-l_j-k)} \times
\left( -1 \right)^{|\lambda|} \left\langle W(1|q^{1-n^{-1}} - l_{n-1}, \ldots, q^{1-l_0}), W(z|q^{l_0}, \ldots, q^{n^{-1} + l_{n-1}}) \right\rangle_{ss} \quad (9.38)
$$

Note that to prove (9.38) we also used following observations: lengths of hooks in $\lambda$ and $\lambda'$ coincides, and $c(\lambda) + c(\lambda') = 0$.

Multiplying RHS of (9.37) and (9.38) by $z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{q^{1+\frac{i}{n}}; q, q_\infty}$ we obtain

$$
\left( q^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{\frac{i}{n}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)_\infty = \sum_{(l_0, \ldots, l_{n-1}) \in Q(n)} \mathcal{Z} \left( q^{l_0}, q^{\frac{1}{n} + l_1}, \ldots, q^{n^{-1} + l_{n-1}}; z \right) \quad (9.39)
$$

Note that here we applied Proposition 9.14.

## A Regular product

In this section we develop general theory of regular product. Term ‘regular product’ should be considered as an opposite to regularized (i.e. normally ordered) product.

Let $A(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_k z^{-k}$ be a formal power series with coefficients in $\text{End}(V)$ for a vector space $V$.

**Definition A.1.** The series $A(z)$ is called smooth if for any vector $v \in V$ there exists $N$ such that $A_k v = 0$ for $k \geq N$.

Let $G(z, w) = \sum_{k,l} G_{k,l} z^{-k} w^{-l}$ be a formal power series in two variables with operator coefficients. The operators $G_{k,l}$ acts on a vector space $V$.

**Definition A.2.** We will call $G(z, w)$ regular if for any $N$ and for any $v \in V$ there are only finitely many $G_{k,l}$ such that $k + l = N$ and $G_{k,l} v \neq 0$.

If a current $G(z, w)$ in two variables is regular one can substitute $w = a z$ and obtain well-defined power series $G(z, a z)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $A(z)$ and $B(w)$ be two smooth formal power series with operator coefficients. Recall definition of normal ordering. Denote $A_+(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} A_k z^k$ and $A_-(z) = \sum_{k < 0} A_k z^k$.

**Definition A.3.** Normal ordered product is defined as $A(z) B(w) = A_+(z) B(w) + (-1)^s B(w) A_-(z)$

The sign $(-1)^s$ depends on parity of $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ in the standard way. Note that smooth formal power series in two variables $A(z) B(w)$ is regular. Formal power series $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are called local (in weaker sense) if

$$
A(z) B(w) - (-1)^s B(w) A(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{s_i} C^{(i)}_j (w) \partial^i_\alpha \delta(a_i z, w) \quad (A.1)
$$

where $s_1, \ldots, s_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ and $C^{(i)}_j (w)$ are operator valued power series.

Then one has the following OPE

$$
A(z) B(w) = \sum \frac{C^{(i)}_j (w)}{(a_i z)^j \left( 1 - \frac{w}{a_i z} \right)^j} + :A(z) B(w): \quad (A.2)
$$
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Proposition A.1. If currents $A(z)$ and $B(w)$ are smooth and satisfy \((A.1)\), then the following product $(a_1z - w)^{s_1} \cdots (a_Nz - w)^{s_N} A(z)B(w)$ is regular.

Definition A.4. For $a \neq a_i$ define regular product

\[
A(z)B(az) := \left( (a_1z - w)^{s_1} \cdots (a_Nz - w)^{s_N} A(z)B(w) \right)_{w = az} \quad (A.3)
\]

From \((A.2)\) one obtains that normally ordered product and regular product are connected by following relation

\[
A(z)B(az) = \sum \frac{C^j_i(a_z)}{(a_z)^j (1 - a/a_i)^j} + : A(z)B(az) : \quad (A.4)
\]

Example A.1. Let us consider case of fermions $A(z) = \psi(z)$, $B(z) = \psi^*(z)$, introduced in Section \[3.2\] Beware, that we use notation $A(z) = A_k z^{-k}$, but $\psi(z) = \psi z^{-1}$ (hence $A_k = \psi_{k-1}$). Comparing formulas \[3.10\]–\[3.11\] with Definition \[A.3\] we conclude

\[
: \psi(z)\psi^*(w) : =: \psi(z)\psi^*(w) : (0) \quad (A.5)
\]

Using $l$-depended normal ordering, we obtain

\[
\psi(z)\psi^*(w) = \frac{w^l z^{l-1}}{1 - w/z} + : \psi(z)\psi^*(w) : (l) \quad (A.6)
\]

Hence

\[
\psi(z)\psi^*(qz) = \frac{q^l}{1 - q} z^{-1} + : \psi(z)\psi(qz) : (l) \quad (A.7)
\]

This relation was used in formula \[3.13\].

Example A.2. Let $A(z) = B(z) = E(z)$. Then

\[
E(z)E(w) = \frac{q^{-1}w}{z - q^{-1}w} E_2(q^{-1}w) - \frac{qw}{z - qw} E_2(w) + : E(z)E(w) : \quad (A.8)
\]

Therefore,

\[
E^2(w) = \frac{1}{q - 1} E_2(q^{-1}w) + \frac{q}{q - 1} E_2(w) + : E(w)E(w) : \quad (A.9)
\]

Let us comment on deep meaning of formula \[A.9\]. One can present algebra $\text{Diff}_q$ using currents $E_k(z)$ (currents of Lie algebra type) or $E^k(z)$ (currents of $q$-$W$ algebra type). This two series of currents are connected in non-trivial way starting from $k = 2$. For $k = 2$ they are related by \[A.9\]. For general $k$ see formula \[7.17\] in \[Neg18\].

Proposition A.2. Regular product is (super) commutative and associative

\[
A(z)B(az) = (-1)^q B(az)A(z) \quad (A.10)
\]

\[
A(a_1z)(B(a_2z)C(a_3z)) = A(a_1z)B(a_2z)) C(a_3z) \quad (A.11)
\]

(of course we assume that these regular products are well defined).
Moreover, assume that there exist three currents  conditions to Serre relations.

Let \( \bar{\partial} \) from \( \partial_z A(z)B(az) + aA(z)B'(az) \) \hspace{1cm} (A.12)

**Proof.** Let \( f(z, w) \) be a polynomial such that following power series in two variables are regular

\[ (\partial_z f(z, w)) A(z)B(w), \quad (\partial_w f(z, w)) A(z)B(w), \quad f(z, w) (\partial_z A(z)) B(w), \quad f(z, w)A(z) (\partial_w B(w)). \] \hspace{1cm} (A.13)

Moreover, assume that \( f(z, az) \neq 0 \). It is easy to see that such \( f(z, w) \) exists.

One should differentiate this expression by application of Leibniz rule (and obtain six summands). Due to our assumptions, each of this summands is regular in sense of Definition A.2. Hence, one can substitute \( w = az \) to each summand separately. Proof is finished by straightforward computation. \( \square \)

As a corollary we prove formula (7.28).

**Proof of (7.28).**

\[ \partial_z E^{(k+1)}(z, w) \bigg|_{z=w} = (z - qw)(z - q^{-1}w)E'(z)E^k(w) + (2z - qw - q^{-1}w)E(z)E^k(w) \bigg|_{z=w} \]

\[ = \frac{(z - qw)^2(z - q^{-1}w)^2}{(1 - q)(1 - q^{-1})z^2}E'(z)E^k(w) + \frac{(z - qw)(z - q^{-1}w)}{(1 - q)(1 - q^{-1})z^2}(2z - qw - q^{-1}w)E(z)E^k(w) \bigg|_{z=w} \]

Note that each summand is regular. Hence, we are allowed substitute \( z = w \) to each of them separately

\[ \partial_z E^{(k+1)}(z, w) \bigg|_{z=w} = (1 - q)(1 - q^{-1})w^2E'(w)E^k(w) + (2 - q - q^{-1})wE^{k+1}(w) \] \hspace{1cm} (A.14)

Using Propositions A.2 and A.3 we can proof inductively that \( \partial_w E^{k+1}(w) = (k + 1)E'(w)E^k(w) \). To finish the proof, we substitute last formula into (A.14). \( \square \)

**B Serre relation**

This appendix is devoted to detailed study of Serre relation.

\[ z_2 z_3^{-1}[E(z_1), [E(z_2), E(z_3)]] + \text{cyclic} = 0. \] \hspace{1cm} (B.1)

Let \( E(z) \) be a current satisfying

\[ (z - qw)(z - q^{-1}w)[E(z), \tilde{E}(w)] = 0. \] \hspace{1cm} (B.2)

**Remark B.1.** Let us emphasize the difference between \( E(z) \) and \( \tilde{E}(z) \). Current \( E(z) \) is a current from \( \text{Diff}_q \), but current \( \tilde{E}(z) \) is just a current satisfying (B.2). We need \( \tilde{E}(z) \) to formulate equivalent conditions to Serre relations.

Define \( \delta(z_1/z_2/z_3) = \sum_{a+b+c=0} z_1^a z_2^b z_3^c \) for \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proposition B.1.** There exist three currents \( R_1(z), R_2(z) \) and \( \bar{E}_3(z) \) such that triple commutator \([E(z_1), [E(z_2), E(z_3)]\) equals to

\[ \bar{E}_3(z_1)\delta(q^2z_1/z_2/z_3) - \bar{E}_3(z_2)\delta(q^2z_2/z_3/z_1) - \bar{E}_3(z_1)\delta(q^2z_1/qz_3/z_2) + \bar{E}_3(z_3)\delta(q^2z_3/z_2/z_1) + R_1(z_1)\delta(z_1/z_2/z_3) - R_1(z_1)\delta(z_1/z_2/qz_3) + R_2(z_1)\delta(z_1/qz_2/z_3) - R_2(z_1)(z_1/qz_2/z_3). \] \hspace{1cm} (B.3)
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Proof. First of all, note that condition \((B.2)\) is equivalent to existence of currents \(\tilde{E}_2(z)\) and \(\tilde{E}_2(w)\) such that
\[
[\tilde{E}(z), \tilde{E}(w)] = \tilde{E}_2(z)\delta(w/qz) - \tilde{E}_2(w)\delta(z/qw). \tag{B.4}
\]
Commutator \([\tilde{E}(z), \tilde{E}(w)]\) is skew symmetric on \(z\) and \(w\), hence \(\tilde{E}_2(z) = \tilde{E}_2(w)\) Now consider triple commutator \([\tilde{E}(z_1), [\tilde{E}(z_2), \tilde{E}(z_3)]\). Jacobi identity and relation \((B.2)\) imply
\[
(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(z_1 - qz_3)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_3)[\tilde{E}(z_1), [\tilde{E}(z_2), \tilde{E}(z_3)]] = 0 \tag{B.5}
\]
Substituting \((B.4)\) to \((B.5)\), we obtain
\[
(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(z_1 - q^2z_2)(z_1 - z_2)[\tilde{E}(z_1), \tilde{E}_2(z_2)]\delta(z_3/qz_2) +
(z_1 - q^2z_3)(z_1 - z_3)(z_1 - qz_3)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_3)[\tilde{E}(z_1), \tilde{E}_2(z_3)]\delta(z_2/qz_3) = 0 \tag{B.6}
\]
This implies that \([\tilde{E}(z_1)[\tilde{E}(z_2), \tilde{E}(z_3)]\] is indeed a sum of triple delta functions with some operator coefficients as in \((B.3)\); it remains to prove proposed relations on the coefficients.

Note that triple commutator \([\tilde{E}(z_1), [\tilde{E}(z_2), \tilde{E}(z_3)]\] is skew symmetric on \(z_2, z_3\). Also note that the sum over cyclic permutations is zero. This implies relation \((B.3)\). 

Proposition B.2. Serre relation for \(\tilde{E}(z)\) is equivalent to \(R_1(z) = R_2(z) = 0\).

Proof. Straightforward computation.

B.1 Operator product expansion for \(E(w_1) \cdots E(w_k)\)

One can find reformulation of Serre relation in terms of OPE in \cite[Section 3.3]{EFMM16}

Proposition B.3. Formal power series in three variables \(E(z_1)\tilde{E}(z_2)E(z_3)\) can be presented as sum some of regular part and singular part. Regular part is some regular power series in three variables, singular part has a form
\[
\sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1} \left(1 - q^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2}\right)^{-1}(1 - q^2 z_3^{\epsilon_2})^{-1} R^{(1)}_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(z_3) + (1 - q^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2})^{-1}(1 - q^2 z_3^{\epsilon_2})^{-1} R^{(2)}_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(z_3) +
(1 - q^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2})^{-1}(1 - q^2 z_3^{\epsilon_2})^{-1} R^{(3)}_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(z_3) + \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \left(1 - q^{\epsilon} z_2^{\epsilon_2}\right)^{-1} R^{(1)}_{\epsilon}(z_1, z_3) +
(1 - q^{\epsilon} z_2^{\epsilon_2})^{-1} R^{(2)}_{\epsilon}(z_2, z_3) + (1 - q^2 z_3^{\epsilon_2})^{-1} R^{(3)}_{\epsilon}(z_1, z_3) \right) \text{ + reg } \tag{B.7}
\]

Proof. Denote \(G(z_1, z_2, z_3) := \prod_{i < j}(z_i - qz_j)(z_i - q^{-1}z_j) E(z_1)E(z_2)E(z_3)\). Relation \((B.2)\) yields \(G(z_1, z_2, z_3)\) to be regular.

Proposition B.4. Serre relation for \(\tilde{E}(z)\) is equivalent to condition that singular part of \(E(z_1)\tilde{E}(z_2)E(z_3)\) restricted to \(z_1 = z_3\) has no poles of order greater than 1.

Proof. Note that second order pole can appear only from terms of form
\[
\left(1 - q^{\epsilon} z_2^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - q^{-\epsilon} z_3^{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} R^{(2)}_{\epsilon, -\epsilon}(z_3)
\]
for \(\epsilon = \pm 1\). Note that these two poles can not cancel because they are at the different points \(z_1 = q^\epsilon z_2\). On the other hand, \(R_1(z) = R^{(2)}_{1, -1}(z)\) and \(R_2(z) = R^{(2)}_{-1, 1}(z)\). Application of Proposition B.2 completes the proof.

Corollary B.5. \(E(z)E^k(w)\) has no poles of order greater than one. Poles may appear only at points \(z = q^{\pm 1}w\).
Proof. To study $E(z)E(w)^k$ we will consider OPE $E(z)E(w_1)\cdots E(w_k)$ and substitute $w_i = w$. Only term $(z - q^i w_i)^{-1}(z - q^j w_j)^{-1}\cdots$ can give poles of order higher than 1 after substitution. OPE is symmetric on $z, w_1, \ldots, w_k$ as a rational function. We will consider order $(E(w_i)E(z)E(w_j))\cdots$. According to Proposition B.4, the term $(z - q^i w_i)^{-1}(z - q^j w_j)^{-1}\cdots$ does not appear. □

C Homomorphism from $\mathcal{D}iff_q$ to $W$-algebra

This appendix is devoted to proof of Propositions 7.8. The proof is a straightforward check of relation from Proposition 2.2. The relations will be checked for operators

$\tilde{H}(z) = \sum_{j \neq 0} H_j z^{-j}, \quad c = n, \quad c' = n_{tw}, \quad (C.1)$

$\tilde{E}(z) = \mu \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_-(z) \right) T_1(z) \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_+(z) \right), \quad (C.2)$

$\tilde{F}(z) = -\frac{\mu^{-1}z^{-n_{tw}}}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_-(z) \right) T_{n-1}(z) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_+(z) \right). \quad (C.3)$

**Proposition C.1.** Relations (2.8), (2.9) are satisfied.

**Proof.** Straightforward. □

**Proposition C.2.** Currents $\tilde{E}(z)$ and $\tilde{F}(z)$ satisfy relation (2.10).

**Proof.** It is easy to see that

$\tilde{E}(z)\tilde{E}(w) = \frac{(1 - \frac{w}{z})^2}{(1 - q^w)(1 - q^{-w})} f_{1,n}(w/z) \mu^2$

$\exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_-(z) + \tilde{\varphi}_-(w)) \right) T_1(z) T_1(w) \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_+(z) + \tilde{\varphi}_+(w)) \right) \quad (C.4)$

Thus,

$(z - qw)(z - q^{-1}w) \left( \tilde{E}(z)\tilde{E}(w) - \tilde{E}(w)\tilde{E}(z) \right) = (z - w)^2 \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_-(z) + \tilde{\varphi}_-(w)) \right)$

$(f_{1,n}(w/z) T_1(z) T_1(w) - f_{1,n}(z/w) T_1(w) T_1(z)) \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_+(z) + \tilde{\varphi}_+(w)) \right) = 0$

Proof for $\tilde{F}(z)$ is analogous. □

**Proposition C.3.** Currents $\tilde{E}(z)$ and $\tilde{F}(z)$ satisfy relation (2.11) for $c = n$ and $c' = n_{tw}$.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that

$\tilde{E}(z)\tilde{F}(w) = -\frac{1}{(q^2 - q^{-2})^2} f_{-1,n}(w/z)$

$\exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_-(z) - \tilde{\varphi}_-(w)) \right) T_1(z) T_{n-1}(w) \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_+(z) - \tilde{\varphi}_+(w)) \right) w^{-n_{tw}}$

Thus,

$\left[ \tilde{E}(z) \tilde{F}(w) \right] =$

$\exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_-(z) - \tilde{\varphi}_-(w)) \right) \left( n \frac{w}{z} \beta'(\frac{w}{z}) \right) w^n + w \phi' \left( \frac{w}{z} \right) \partial w \eta \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_+(z) - \tilde{\varphi}_+(w)) \right) w^{-n_{tw}}$
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Consequently,
\[ [\hat{E}(z), \hat{F}(w)] = n \frac{w}{z} \delta \left( \frac{w}{z} \right) + \left( \hat{H}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} z) - \hat{H}(q^{\frac{3}{2}} z) + n_{tw} \right) \delta \left( \frac{w}{z} \right) \]

Denote by \( \tilde{E}^{(2)}(z, w) = (z - qw)(z - q^{-1}w)\hat{E}(z)\hat{E}(w) \).

**Lemma C.4.** \( \tilde{E}^{2}(z) = 2\mu^2 \exp \left( \frac{2}{n} \tilde{\varphi}-(z) \right) T_2(z) \exp \left( \frac{2}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_+(z) \right) \)

**Proof.**

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{1,n}(w/z)T_1(z)T_1(w) - f_{1,n}(z/w)T_1(w)T_1(z) &= \\
    \mu^{-2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}-(z) + \tilde{\varphi}-(w)) \right) \tilde{E}^{(2)}(z, w) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_+(z) + \tilde{\varphi}_+(w)) \right) \partial_w \left( w^{-1}\delta(w/z) \right) &= \\
    -\mu^{-2}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 \exp \left( -\frac{2}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_-(w) \right) \tilde{E}^{(2)}(w) \exp \left( -\frac{2}{n} \tilde{\varphi}_+(w) \right) \frac{w}{z} \delta'(w/z) + \cdots \delta(w/z)
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, LHS can be found from relation (7.13). Comparing coefficients of \( \delta'(w/z) \) completes the proof.

**Proposition C.5.** \( \hat{E}(z) and \hat{F}(z) satisfy Serre relation (2.12). \)

**Proof.** Using Lemma C.4 we see that

\[
\hat{E}(z)\hat{E}^{2}(w) = 2\mu^3 \frac{(1 - q^2)^2}{(1 - q^w)(1 - q^{-1}w)} \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}-(z) + 2\tilde{\varphi}-(w)) \right) f_{2,n}(w/z)T_1(z)T_2(w) \exp \left( \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\varphi}_+(z) + 2\tilde{\varphi}_+(w)) \right)
\]

Note that \( (z - w)^2 f_{2,n}(w/z)T_1(z)T_2(w) \) is regular. Proposition B.4 completes the proof of Serre relation for \( \hat{E}(z) \). Proof for \( \hat{F}(z) \) is analogous.

**D** **Whittaker vector**

**D.1 Uniqueness of Whittaker vector**

Recall that operators \( E^k[d] \) are defined by \( E^k(z) = \sum_d E^k[d]z^{-d} \).

**Proposition D.1.** Whittaker vector \( W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) is annihilated by \( E^k[d] \) for \( d > 0 \) and \( k = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \).

**Proof.** Actually we will proof that Whittaker vector is annihilated by \( E^k[d] \) for \( nd > k \). To do this we will need [NegI8] eq. (7.17). Let us rewrite this with respect to our notation

\[
E^k[d] = \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^{k-t} \sum_{v = \left( \frac{d_1}{d_1}, \frac{d_2}{d_2}, \ldots, \frac{d_t}{d_t} \right)} c_v E_{k_1, d_1} \cdots E_{k_t, d_t}.
\]

Here \( c_v \) denotes some combinatorially defined coefficient, which is not quite important for us. Inequality \( nd > k \) implies \( nd_t > k_t \); therefore \( E_{k_t, d_t} W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = 0 \). So, any summand of RHS annihilates Whittaker vector.
Let us denote \( W_q(\mathfrak{g}_n) := W_q(\mathfrak{g}_n, 0) = U(\mathfrak{Diff}_q) / J_{n,0} \). Denote Verma module for \( W_q(\mathfrak{g}_n) \) by \( \mathcal{V}^{W_q(\mathfrak{g}_n)}_{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d} \) (cf. Definition 7.4).

**Definition D.1.** For each graded \( \mathfrak{Diff}_q \) module \( M \) let us define Shapovalov dual module \( M^\vee \). As a vector space \( M^\vee \) is graded dual to \( M \). Action is defined by requirement that canonical pairing \( M^\vee \otimes M \to \mathbb{C} \) is Shapovalov.

Finally note that involution \( E_{a,b} \mapsto E_{-a,-b} \) maps ideal \( J_{n,0} \) to \( J_{n,0} \) (maybe with different \( \mu \)). Hence if \( M \) is a \( W_q(\mathfrak{g}_n) \)-module then so is \( M^\vee \).

**Proposition D.2.** Let \( u_i / u_j \neq q^k \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) (cf. Lemma 9.1). There is no more than one Whittaker vector \( W(z|u_1, \ldots, u_N) \in \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_N} \).

**Proof.** Denote by \( \mathfrak{n} \) a subalgebra of \( W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \) generated by \( E_{k,d} \) and \( H_i \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, n-1, d > 0 \) and \( j > 0 \). Analogously, let \( \mathfrak{n}^\vee \) be a subalgebra of \( W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \) generated by \( F_{k,d} \) and \( K_i \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, n-1, d > 0 \) and \( j > 0 \). Note that involution \( E_{a,b} \mapsto E_{-a,-b} \) induces an involution on \( W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \) which swaps \( \mathfrak{n} \) and \( \mathfrak{n}^\vee \).

Consider \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_N} \) as a \( W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \)-module. Whittaker vector is an eigenvector for \( \mathfrak{n} \). Hence, it is enough to show that \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_N} \) is cyclic for \( \mathfrak{n} \). Equivalently, we need to proof that Shapovalov dual module \( (\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_N})^\vee \cong \mathcal{F}_{q/u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q/u_N} \) is cyclic for \( \mathfrak{n}^\vee \).

Fock module \( \mathcal{F}_{q/u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{q/u_N} \) is isomorphic to Verma module \( \mathcal{V}^{W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}_{\lambda_j} \) for corresponding \( \lambda_j \) by Theorem 7.3. Verma module \( \mathcal{V}^{W_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}_{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d} \) is cyclic for \( \mathfrak{n}^\vee \) by an analogue of Proposition 7.15 for \( F^k[d] \).

**D.2 Construction of Whittaker vector**

Let \( (n'_1, n_1) \) and \( (n'_2, n_2) \) be a basis of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \).

**Theorem D.1** [AFS12]. There exist homomorphisms

\[
\Phi : \mathcal{F}_{u}^{(n'_1, n_1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(n'_2, n_2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{-q^{-1/2}uv}^{(n'_1 + n'_2, n_1 + n_2)},
\]

\[
\Phi^* : \mathcal{F}_{-q^{-1/2}uv}^{(n'_1 + n'_2, n_1 + n_2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{u}^{(n'_1, n_1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(n'_2, n_2)}.
\]

These homomorphisms are defined uniquely up to normalization.

**Remark D.1.** Actually operators \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi^* \) maps to graded completion of \( \mathcal{F}_{-q^{-1/2}uv}^{(n'_1 + n'_2, n_1 + n_2)} \) and \( \mathcal{F}_{u}^{(n'_1, n_1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(n'_2, n_2)} \) correspondingly. Abusing notation, we will use the same symbol for module and its completion. Moreover, we are going to consider composition of such \( \Phi^* \); there appear an infinite sums as a result of such composition (a priori this sum does not make sense). We will use calculus approach to infinite sums; below we will provide sufficient condition for convergence of the series.

Denote by \( \Phi^*_{\mu}(u) \) component of \( \Phi^* \) corresponding to \( |\mu| \in \mathbb{F}_{u}^{(n'_1, n_1)} \). More precisely, for any \( x \) in \( \mathcal{F}_{-q^{-1/2}uv}^{(n'_1 + n'_2, n_1 + n_2)} \),

\[
\Phi^* \cdot x = \sum_{\mu} |\mu| \otimes (\Phi^*_{\mu}(u) \cdot x)
\]

To simplify our notation we will consider particular case

\[
\Phi^* : \mathcal{F}_{-q^{-1/2}uv}^{(1,-k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{u}^{(0,1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(1,-k-1)}
\]

Note that both \( \mathcal{F}_{-q^{-1/2}uv}^{(1,-k)} \) and \( \mathcal{F}_{v}^{(1,-k-1)} \) are Fock modules for Heisenberg algebra generated by \( a_k = E_{k,0} \).
Proposition D.3 ([AFST12]). Operator $\Phi_\mu^*(u)$ is defined by following explicit formulas

$$\Phi_\mu^*(u) = \exp \left( \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{u^{-k}}{k(1-q^{-k})} a_k \right)^{\lambda}, \quad (D.6)$$

$$\Phi_\mu^*(u) \sim \Phi_\mu^*(u) \prod_{s \in \lambda} F(q^{c(s)-\frac{1}{2}}u) : . \quad (D.7)$$

Here sign $\sim$ means up to multiplication by a number. Recall

$$F(z) \sim \exp \left( \sum_{k} \frac{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}}{k} a_k z^{-k} \right)^{\mu}. \quad (D.8)$$

Corollary D.4. Heisenberg normal ordering is given by

$$\Phi_\lambda^*(u) \Phi_\mu^*(v) = \frac{f_{\lambda,\mu}(v/u)}{(qv/u; q, q)_\infty} : \Phi_\lambda^*(u) \Phi_\mu^*(v): \quad (D.9)$$

for some rational function $f_{\lambda,\mu}(v/u) = \prod_{j} \frac{(1-q^{i_j}v/u)}{\prod_j (1-q^{j}v/u)}$; here $l_j$ and $k_i$ are integer numbers.

Consider a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 : F_{(1,0)}^{(1,0)} \otimes F_{(1-n)}^{(-1-n)} \otimes_{q^{1/2}(q^{-1/2})^{n_u-1}} 1 \otimes F_{(1-n)}^{(-1-n)} \otimes_{q^{1/2}(q^{-1/n})^{n_u-1}} u \to (D.10)$$

obtained as composition of

$$\text{id} \otimes \Phi^* \otimes \text{id} : \left( F_{(1,0)}^{(0,1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{(u_1)}^{(0,1)} \right) \otimes F_{(1-n)}^{(-1-n)} \otimes_{q^{1/2}(q^{-1/2})^{n_u-1}} 1 \otimes F_{(1-n)}^{(-1-n)} \otimes_{q^{1/2}(q^{-1/n})^{n_u-1}} u \to (D.11)$$

Lemma D.5. There exists a unique invariant pairing $F_u \otimes F_{qu^{-1}} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $(0|0) = 1$.

Proof. This is equivalent to Proposition 9.9 \hfill \Box

Composition of $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ and the pairing gives a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1 : F_{(1,0)}^{(1,0)} \otimes F_{(1-n)}^{(-1-n)} \otimes_{q^{1/2}(q^{-1/2})^{n_u-1}} 1 \otimes F_{(1-n)}^{(-1-n)} \otimes_{q^{1/2}(q^{-1/n})^{n_u-1}} u \to F_{(0,1)}^{(0,1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{(u_n)}^{(0,1)}. \quad (D.12)$$

Let us reformulate above inductive procedure via an explicit formula

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1 (|\lambda_1 \rangle \otimes |\lambda_2 \rangle) = \sum \langle \lambda_2 | \Phi_{\mu_n}^*(u_n) \ldots \Phi_{\mu_1}^*(u_1) | \lambda_1 \rangle | \mu_1 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes | \mu_n \rangle. \quad (D.13)$$

As we warned in Remark D.1, operator $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ is not a priori well defined. However, the series (appearing from the composition) converges in a domain $|u_1| \ll |u_2| \ll \cdots \ll |u_n|$. This assertion follows from a formula

$$\langle \lambda_2 | \Phi_{\mu_n}^*(u_n) \ldots \Phi_{\mu_1}^*(u_1) | \lambda_1 \rangle = \prod_{i<j} \frac{f_{\mu_\mu_i}(u_i/u_j)}{(qu_i/u_j; q, q)_\infty} \langle \lambda_1 | : \Phi_{\mu_n}^*(u_n) \ldots \Phi_{\mu_1}^*(u_1) : | \lambda_2 \rangle \quad (D.14)$$

Moreover, one can consider analytic continuation of obtained function given by RHS of the formula. Corollary D.4 implies that we can extend the domain to $u_i/u_j \neq q^k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Evidently, analytic continuation also enjoys intertwiner property. Hence we obtained following proposition.
Proposition D.6. If $u_i/u_j \neq q^k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there is an intertwiner

$$\Phi : \mathcal{F}^{(1,0)}_{q^{1/2}z} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(-1,n)}_{q^{1/2}u_1 \cdots u_n z (-q^{-1/2})^n} \to \mathcal{F}_{u_1}^{(0,1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n}^{(0,1)} \quad (D.15)$$

Denote the highest vector of $\mathcal{F}_{u}^{(m,n_1,n_2)}$ by $|n_1,n_2\rangle$.

Theorem D.2. Whittaker vector $W(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{u_1}^{(0,1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n}^{(0,1)}$ can be constructed via homomorphism $\Phi$ (as in $\Phi$).

$$W(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) := \prod_{i<j} (qu_i/u_j; q, q)_{\infty} \Phi ([1,0] \otimes |1,1\rangle) \quad (D.16)$$

Proof. Follows from $[3.22]$. \qed

Remark D.2. Recall that existence of Whittaker vector can be seen from geometric construction (see $[Neg15a]$ and $[Tsy17]$).


D.3 Whittaker vector for $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ algebra

Let us define coefficient $\bar{c}_{1/m}$ by (cf. $[D.1]$)

$$E^{m}[1] = \bar{c}_{1/m} E_{m,1} + \cdots \quad (D.17)$$

Also recall that for $\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n}$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{1-q} (u_1 \cdots u_n)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (D.18)$$

Definition D.2. For $m = 1,\ldots,n-1$ Whittaker vector $W^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{u_1,\ldots,u_n}^{\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is an eigenvector for $T_k[r]$ (for $k = 1,\ldots,n-1$ and $r \geq 0$) with eigenvalues given by

$$T_m[1] W^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \frac{(-q^\frac{1}{2})^n u_1 \cdots u_n z^\bar{c}_{1/m} H^{-m}_{m}}{q^{-1/2} - q^{1/2}} \frac{m!}{m} W^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \quad (D.19)$$

$$T_k[1] W^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq m \quad (D.20)$$

$$T_k[r] W^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) = 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 2 \quad (D.21)$$

We require $W^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) = |\bar{u}\rangle + \cdots$ to fix normalization (by dots we mean lower vectors).

One can find notion of Whittaker vector for $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ in the literature (see $[Tak10]$). In this section we will explain connection between notion of Whittaker vector $W^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n)$ and Whittaker vector $W(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n)$ for $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\mathfrak{g}l/(\mathfrak{g}l)}$ (see Definition $[9.1]$). Our plan to explain this connection is as follows. First we define Whittaker vector with respect to $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{g}l_n)$ (we denote it by $W^{\mathfrak{g}l_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n)$). Then we will see, that on the one hand, the vector $W^{\mathfrak{g}l_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n)$ is connected with $W^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}_{m}(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n)$; on the other hand it is connected with $W(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n)$.

Recall, that $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{g}l_n) = \mathcal{D}_{n}^{\mathfrak{g}l}/J_{n,0}$; ideal $J_{n,0}$ annihilates $\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n}$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n}$ is a representation of $\mathcal{W}_q(\mathfrak{g}l_n)$.
**Definition D.3.** For \( m = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \) Whittaker vector \( W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) is a vector belonging to \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n} \) and satisfying following conditions

\[
H_k W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0 \tag{D.22}
\]
\[
E^m[1] W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \left(-q^\frac{z}{2}\right)^{n-1} z c_{1/m} W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \tag{D.23}
\]
\[
E^k[1] W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = 0 \quad \text{for } k < m \tag{D.24}
\]
\[
E^k[r] W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 2 \text{ and } k \leq m \tag{D.25}
\]
\[
F^k[r] W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 1 \text{ and } k < n - m \tag{D.26}
\]

We require \( W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = |\bar{u}\rangle + \cdots \) to fix normalization (by dots we mean lower vectors).

Recall that \( \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n} \cong \mathcal{F}_{u_1}^W \otimes F^{\mu} \) with respect algebra identification \( \mathcal{W}_{q}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cong \mathcal{W}_{q}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \otimes U(\mathfrak{vir}) \).

**Lemma D.7.** Vector satisfies properties of \( W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) iff it is \( W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \otimes |0\rangle_H \)

**Proof.** Note that

\[
E^k(t) \left(W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \otimes |0\rangle_H \right) = \frac{k!}{\mu-k} T_k(t) W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \otimes \exp \left( \frac{k}{n} \varphi_-(t) \right) |0\rangle_H \tag{D.27}
\]
\[
F^k(t) \left(W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \otimes |0\rangle_H \right) = \frac{k!}{\mu-k} T_{n-k}(t) W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \otimes \exp \left( -\frac{k}{n} \varphi_-(t) \right) |0\rangle_H \tag{D.28}
\]

Moreover \( \varphi_-(t) \) has only terms of positive degree in \( t \). Hence we expressed action of \( E^k[t] \) via \( T_k[s] \) for \( s \geq 1 \) Therefore we have proven that \( W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \otimes |0\rangle_H \) satisfies property of \( W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \).

Implication in opposite direction is analogous.

**Proposition D.8.** There exist at most one vector \( W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n} \).

**Proof.** Analogous to proof of Proposition D.2 The only difference is that we consider different character of subalgebra \( n \).

We need to generalize notion of Whittaker vector for \( \mathcal{D}iff_q \) to compare it with \( W_{m}^{\mu}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \).

**Definition D.4.** For any \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \), Whittaker vector \( W_{m}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n} \) is an eigenvector of operators \( E_{a,b} \) for \( mb \geq a \geq -(n-m)b \) and \( b > 0 \). More precisely,

\[
E_{-(n-m)k,k} W_{m}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \frac{z^k}{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}} W_{m}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \tag{D.29}
\]
\[
E_{mk,k} W_{m}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \frac{(-q^{\frac{z}{2}})^{n} \cdots u_n z^k}{q^{-k/2} - q^{k/2}} W_{m}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) \tag{D.30}
\]

for \( k > 0 \).

\[
E_{k_1,k_2} W_{m}(z) = 0 \tag{D.31}
\]

for \( (n-m)k_2 > k_1 > -mk_2 \) and \( k_2 > 0 \). We require \( W_{m}(z|u_1, \ldots, u_n) = |0\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle + \cdots \) to fix normalization (by dots we mean lower vectors).

Recall that we have defined operator \( I_{\mathcal{F}} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_{u}) \) by (3.23). By Proposition 3.8 the operator enjoys intertwiner property \( I_{\mathcal{F}} \rho(E_{a,b}) I_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} = \rho(E_{a,b}) \). Denote \( I_{\mathcal{F},n} = I_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\mathcal{F}} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n}) \). Note that \( I_{\mathcal{F},n} \) also enjoys intertwiner property \( I_{\mathcal{F}} \rho_n(E_{a,b}) I_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} = \rho_n(E_{a,b}) \) (here \( \rho_n \) denotes the homomorphism of the representation \( \rho_n : \mathcal{D}iff_q \to \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{u_n}) \)).
Proposition D.9. \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \Gamma_r^{n-m} W(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \).

Corollary D.10. There exists unique \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \) if \( u_i/u_j \neq q^k \).

Proposition D.11. There exist unique vector \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \). Moreover, \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) = W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \).

Proof. We already know uniqueness of \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \) and existence of \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \) from Proposition D.8 and Corollary D.10 correspondingly. So it is sufficient to show that \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \) satisfies properties of \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \). Last assertion follows from formula (D.1) (also see (D.17)).

Theorem D.3. There exist unique vector \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \). Moreover, \( W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \otimes |0\rangle_H = W_m(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \Gamma_r^{n-m} W(z|u_1,\ldots,u_n) \).

Proof. Follows from Lemma D.7 and Proposition D.11.
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