ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF ODD SUN SYSTEMS
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Abstract. A \( k \)-cycle with a pendant edge attached to each vertex is called a \( k \)-sun. The existence problem for \( k \)-sun decompositions of \( K_v \), with \( k \) odd, has been solved only when \( k = 3 \) or \( 5 \).

In this paper, we reduce this problem to the orders \( v \) in the range \( 2k < v < 6k \) and satisfying the obvious necessary conditions. Furthermore, we give a complete solution whenever \( k \) is an odd prime.

1. Introduction

We denote by \( V(\Gamma) \) and \( E(\Gamma) \) the set of vertices and the list of edges of a graph \( \Gamma \), respectively. Also, we denote by \( \Gamma + w \) the graph obtained by adding to \( \Gamma \) an independent set \( W = \{\infty_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq w\} \) of \( w \geq 0 \) vertices each adjacent to every vertex of \( \Gamma \), namely,

\[
\Gamma + w := \Gamma \cup K_{V(\Gamma),W},
\]

where \( K_{V(\Gamma),W} \) is the complete bipartite graph with parts \( V(\Gamma) \) and \( W \). Denoting by \( K_v \) the complete graph of order \( v \), it is clear that \( K_v + 1 \) is isomorphic to \( K_{v+1} \).

We denote by \( x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \ldots \sim x_k \) the path with edges \( \{x_{i-1}, x_i\} \) for \( 2 \leq i \leq k \). By adding the edge \( \{x_1, x_k\} \) when \( k \geq 3 \), we obtain a cycle of length \( k \) (briefly, a \( k \)-cycle) denoted by \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \). A \( k \)-cycle with further \( v-k \geq 0 \) isolated vertices will be referred to as a \( k \)-cycle of order \( v \).

A decomposition of a graph \( K \) is a set \( \{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_t\} \) of subgraphs of \( K \) whose edge-sets between them partition the edge-set of \( K \); in this case, we briefly write \( K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \Gamma_i \). If each \( \Gamma_i \) is isomorphic to \( \Gamma \), we speak of a \( \Gamma \)-decomposition of \( K \). If \( \Gamma \) is a \( k \)-cycle (resp., \( k \)-sun), we also speak of a \( k \)-cycle system (resp., \( k \)-sun system) of \( K \).

In this paper we study the existence problem for \( k \)-sun systems of \( K_v \) \((v > 1)\). Clearly, for such a system to exist we must have

\[
(*) \quad v \geq 2k \quad \text{and} \quad v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4k}.
\]

As far as we know, this problem has been completely settled only when \( k = 3, 5 \) \cite{8, 10}, \( k = 4, 6, 8 \) \cite{12}, and when \( k = 10, 14 \) or \( 2^t \geq 4 \) \cite{9}. It is important to notice
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that in [14] it is shown that condition (1) is sufficient whenever \( v \) is large enough with respect to \( k \). These results seem to suggest the following.

**Conjecture 1.** Let \( k \geq 3 \) and \( v > 1 \). There exists a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_v \) if and only if (1) holds.

Our constructions rely on the existence of \( k \)-cycle systems of \( K_v \), a problem that has been completely settled in [1, 4, 5, 11, 13]. More precisely, [4] and [11] reduce the problem to the orders \( v \) in the range \( k \leq v < 3k \), with \( v \) odd. These cases are then solved in [1, 13]. For odd \( k \), an alternative proof based on 1-rotational constructions is given in [5]. Further results on \( k \)-cycle systems of \( K_v \) with an automorphism group acting sharply transitively on all but at most one vertex can be found in [2, 6, 7, 15].

The main results of this paper focus on the case where \( k \) is odd. As in [11], we reduce the existence problem for a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_v \) to the range \( 2k < v < 6k \). More precisely, we show the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( k \geq 3 \) be an odd integer and \( v > 1 \). Conjecture 1 is true if and only if there exists a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_v \) for all \( v \) satisfying the necessary conditions in (1) with \( 2k < v < 6k \).

In Section 6, we construct \( k \)-sun systems of \( K_v \) for every odd prime \( k \) whenever \( 2k < v < 6k \) and (1) holds. Therefore, as a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we solve the existence problem for \( k \)-sun systems of \( K_v \) whenever \( k \) is an odd prime.

**Theorem 1.2.** For every odd prime \( p \) there exists a \( p \)-sun system of \( K_v \) with \( v > 1 \) if and only if \( v \geq 2p \) and \( v(v - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4p} \).

Both results rely on the difference methods described in Section 2. These methods are used in Section 3 to construct specific \( k \)-cycle decompositions of some subgraphs of \( K_{2k} + w \), which we then use in Section 4 to build \( k \)-sun systems of \( K_{4k} + n \). This is the last ingredient we need in Section 5 to prove Theorem 1.1. Difference methods are finally used in Section 6 to construct \( k \)-sun systems of \( K_v \) for every odd prime \( k \) whenever \( 2k < v < 6k \) and (1) holds.

## 2. Preliminaries

Henceforward, \( k \geq 3 \) is an odd integer, and \( \ell = \frac{k - 1}{2} \). Also, given two integers \( a \leq b \), we denote by \([a, b]\) the interval containing the integers \( \{a, a + 1, \ldots, b\} \). If \( a > b \), then \([a, b]\) is empty.

In our constructions we make extensive use of the method of partial mixed differences which we now recall but limited to the scope of this paper.

Let \( G \) be an abelian group of odd order \( n \) in additive notation, let \( W = \{\infty_u \mid 1 \leq u \leq w\} \), and denote by \( \Gamma \) a graph with vertices in \( V = (G \times [0, m - 1]) \cup W \). For any permutation \( f \) of \( V \), we denote by \( f(\Gamma) \) the graph obtained by replacing each vertex of \( \Gamma \), say \( x \), with \( f(x) \). Letting \( \tau_g \), with \( g \in G \), be the permutation of \( V \) fixing each \( \infty_u \in W \) and mapping \((x, i) \in G \times [0, m - 1] \) to \((x + g, i) \), we call \( \tau_g \) the translation by \( g \) and \( \tau_g(\Gamma) \) the related translate of \( \Gamma \).

We denote by \( Orb_G(\Gamma) = \{\tau_g(\Gamma) \mid g \in G\} \) the \( G \)-orbit of \( \Gamma \), that is, the set of all distinct translates of \( \Gamma \), and by \( Dev_G(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{g \in G} \tau_g(\Gamma) \) the graph union of all translates of \( \Gamma \). Further, by \( Stab_G(\Gamma) = \{g \in G \mid \tau_g(\Gamma) = \Gamma\} \) we denote the \( G \)-stabilizer of \( \Gamma \), namely, the set of translations fixing \( \Gamma \). We recall that \( Stab_G(\Gamma) \)
Proposition 2.1. Let $G$ be an abelian group of odd order $n$, let $m$ and $w$ be non-negative integers, and denote by $\mathcal{F}$ a family of $k$-cycles (resp., $k$-suns) with vertices in $(G \times [0, m-1]) \cup \{\infty_u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}_w\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\Delta_{ij}\mathcal{F}$ has no repeated elements, for every $0 \leq i < j < m$;
2. $N_{\mathcal{F}}(\infty_u) = \{(g_{a,i}, i) \mid 0 \leq i < m, g_{a,i} \in G\}$ for every $1 \leq u \leq w$.

Then $\bigcup_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \text{Orb}_G(\Gamma) = \{\tau_g(\Gamma) \mid g \in G, \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a $k$-cycle (resp., $k$-sun) system of $\langle \Delta_{ij}\mathcal{F} \mid 0 \leq i \leq j \leq m-1 \rangle + w$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}^* = \bigcup_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \text{Orb}_G(\Gamma)$, $K = \langle \Delta_{ij}\mathcal{F} \mid 0 \leq i \leq j \leq m-1 \rangle$, and let $\epsilon$ be an edge of $K + w$. We are going to show that $\epsilon$ belongs to exactly one graph of $\mathcal{F}^*$.

If $\epsilon \in E(K)$, by recalling the definition of $K$ we have that $\epsilon = \{(g, i), (g + d, j)\}$ for some $g \in G$ and $d \in \Delta_{ij}\mathcal{F}$, with $0 \leq i < j < m$. Hence, there is a graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $d \in \Delta_{ij}\Gamma$. This means that $\Gamma$ contains the edge $\epsilon' = \{(g', i), (g' + d, j)\}$ for some $g' \in G$, therefore $\epsilon = \tau_{g-g'}(\epsilon') \in \tau_{g-g'}(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{F}^*$. To prove that $\epsilon$ only belongs to $\tau_{g-g'}(\Gamma)$, let $\Gamma'$ be any graph in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\epsilon \in E(\Gamma')$, for some $x \in G$. Since translations preserve differences, we have that $d \in \Delta_{ij}\tau_x(\Gamma') = \Delta_{ij}\Gamma'$. Considering that $d \in \Delta_{ij}\Gamma \cap \Delta_{ij}\Gamma'$ and, by assumption, $\Delta_{ij}\mathcal{F}$ has no repeated elements, we
necessarily have that \( \Gamma' = \Gamma \), hence \( \tau_{-x}(\epsilon) \in \Gamma \). Again, since \( \Delta_{ij}\Gamma \) has no repeated elements, and considering that \( \epsilon' \) and \( \tau_{-x}(\epsilon) \) are edges of \( \Gamma \) that yield the same differences, then \( \tau_{-x}(\epsilon) = \epsilon' = \tau_{y'-g}(\epsilon) \), that is, \( \tau_{y'-g+x}(\epsilon) = \epsilon \). Since \( G \) has odd order, it has no element of order 2, hence \( g' - g + x = 0 \), that is, \( x = g - g' \), therefore \( \tau_{g-g'}(\Gamma) \) is the only graph of \( F^* \) containing \( \epsilon \).

Similarly, we show that every edge of \((K + w) \setminus K \) belongs to exactly one graph of \( F^* \). Let \( \epsilon = \{\infty_u, (g, i)\} \) for some \( u \in \mathbb{Z}_w \) and \( (g, i) \in G \times [0, m - 1] \). By assumption, there is a graph \( \Gamma \in F^* \) containing the edge \( \epsilon' = \{\infty_u, (g_u, i)\} \) with \( g_u, i \in G \). Hence, \( \epsilon = \tau_{g-g_u,i}(\epsilon') \in \tau_{g-g_u,i}(\Gamma) \). Finally, if \( \epsilon \in \tau_x(\Gamma') \) for some \( x \in G \) and \( \Gamma' \in F \), then \( \{\infty_u, (g - x, i)\} = \tau_{-x}(\epsilon) \in \Gamma' \). Since by assumption \( N_F(\infty_u) \) contains exactly one pair from \( G \times \{i\} \), we necessarily have that \( \Gamma = \Gamma' \) and \( x = g - g_u, i \). Therefore, there is exactly one graph of \( F^* \) containing \( \epsilon \) and this completes the proof.

Considering that \( K_{mn} = \langle D_{ij} \mid 0 \leq i \leq j \leq m - 1 \rangle \) if and only if \( \pm D_{ij} = G \setminus \{0\} \) for every \( i \in [0, m - 1] \), and \( D_{ij} = G \) for every \( 0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1 \), the proof of the following corollary to Proposition 2.1 is straightforward.

Corollary 2.2. Let \( G \) be an abelian group of odd order \( n \), let \( m \) and \( w \) be non-negative integers, and denote by \( F \) a family of \( k \)-cycles (resp., \( k \)-suns) with vertices in \((G \times [0, m - 1]) \cup \{\infty_u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}_w\} \) satisfying the following conditions:

1. \( \Delta_{ij}F = \begin{cases} G \setminus \{0\} & \text{if } 0 \leq i = j \leq m - 1; \\ G & \text{if } 0 \leq i < j \leq m - 1; \end{cases} \)

2. \( N_F(\infty_u) = \{(g_a, i) \mid 0 \leq i < m, g_a \in G\} \) for every \( 1 \leq u \leq w \).

Then \( \bigcup_{\Gamma \in F} \text{Orb}_G(\Gamma) \) is a \( k \)-cycle (resp., \( k \)-sun) system of \( K_{mn} + w \).

3. Constructing \( k \)-cycle systems of \( \langle D_{00}, D_{01}, D_{11} \rangle + w \)

In this section, we recall and generalize some results from [11] in order to provide conditions on \( D_{00}, D_{01}, D_{11} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_k \) that guarantee the existence of a \( k \)-cycle system for the subgraph \( \langle D_{00}, D_{01}, D_{11} \rangle + w \) of \( K_{2k} + w \), where \( V(K_{2k}) = \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\} \).

We recall that every connected 4-regular Cayley graph over an abelian group has a Hamilton cycle system [3] and show the following.

Lemma 3.1. Let \( [a, b], [c, d] \subseteq [1, \ell] \). The graph \( \langle [a, b], \varnothing, [c, d] \rangle \) has a \( k \)-cycle system whenever both \([a, b]\) and \([c, d]\) satisfy the following condition: the interval has even size or contains an integer coprime with \( k \).

Proof. The graph \( \langle [a, b], \varnothing, [c, d] \rangle \) decomposes into \( \langle [a, b], \varnothing, \varnothing \rangle \) and \( \langle \varnothing, \varnothing, [c, d] \rangle \).

The first one is the Cayley graph \( \Gamma = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_k, [a, b]) \) with further \( k \) isolated vertices, while the second one is isomorphic to \( \langle [c, d], \varnothing, \varnothing \rangle \). Therefore, it is enough to show that \( \Gamma \) has a \( k \)-cycle system.

Note that \( \Gamma \) decomposes into the subgraphs \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_k, D_i) \), for \( 0 \leq i \leq t \), whenever the sets \( D_i \) between them partition \([a, b]\). By assumption, \([a, b]\) has even size or contains an integer coprime with \( k \). Therefore, we can assume that for every \( i > 0 \) the set \( D_i \) is a pair of integers at distance 1 or 2, and \( D_0 \) is either empty or contains exactly one integer coprime with \( k \). Clearly, \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_k, D_0) \) is either the empty graph or a \( k \)-cycle, and the remaining \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_k, D_i) \) are 4-regular Cayley graphs. Also, for every \( i > 0 \) we have that \( D_i \) is a generating set of \( \mathbb{Z}_k \) (since \( k \) is odd and \( D_i \) contains integers at distance 1 or 2), hence the graph \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_k, D_i) \) is connected.
follows that each Cay($\mathbb{Z}_k, D_i$), with $i > 0$, decomposes into two $k$-cycles, thus the assertion is proven. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.2.** There exists a $k$-cycle system of the graphs $\langle \{\ell\}, S \cup (S + 1), \emptyset \rangle$ and $\langle \{\ell\}, (S + 1) \cup (S + 2), \emptyset \rangle$ whenever $S \subseteq \{2i - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$.

**Proof.** The existence of a $k$-cycle system of $\Gamma = \langle \{\ell\}, S \cup (S + 1), \emptyset \rangle$ has been proven in [11, Lemma 3] when $S \subseteq \{2i - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$. Consider now the permutation $f$ of $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\}$ fixing $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$ pointwise, and mapping $(i, 1)$ to $(i+1, 1)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$. It is not difficult to check that $f(\Gamma) = \langle \{\ell\}, (S + 1) \cup (S + 2), \emptyset \rangle$ which is therefore isomorphic to $\Gamma$, and hence it has a $k$-cycle system. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $r, s$ and $s'$ be integers such that $1 \leq s \leq s' \leq \min\{s + 1, \ell\}$, and $0 < r \neq s + s' \pmod{2}$. Also, let $D \subseteq [0, k - 1]$ be a non-empty interval of size $k - (s + s' + 2r)$. Then there is a cycle $C = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ of $\Gamma = \langle [1 + \epsilon, s + \epsilon], D, [1 + \epsilon, s' + \epsilon] \rangle + r$, for every $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, such that $\text{Orb}(C)$ is a $k$-cycle system of $\Gamma$. Furthermore, if $u = 0$ or $u = 1 - \epsilon = 1 \leq s - 1$, then

1. $\text{Dev}\{x_{2u - \epsilon}, x_{3u - \epsilon}\}$ is a $k$-cycle with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$;
2. $\text{Dev}\{x_{4u}, x_{5u}\}$ is a $k$-cycle with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}$.

**Proof.** Set $t = k - (s + s' + 2r)$ and let $\Omega = ([1 + \epsilon, s + \epsilon], [0, t - 1], [1 + \epsilon, s' + \epsilon]) + r$. For $i \in [0, s + s' + 1]$ and $j \in [0, t + r - 1]$, let $a_i$ and $b_j$ be the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\}$ defined as follows:

$$a_i = \begin{cases} (-\frac{t}{2}, 0) & \text{if } i \in [0, s] \text{ is even,} \\ (-s - \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}, 0) & \text{if } i \in [1, s] \text{ is odd,} \\ a_{2s+1-i} + (0, 1) & \text{if } i \in [s + 1, 2s + 1], \\ (-s' - \epsilon, 1) & \text{if } i = s + s' + 1 > 2s + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$b_j = \begin{cases} (\frac{t}{2}, 0) & \text{if } j \in [0, t + r - 2] \text{ is even,} \\ (t - \frac{t}{2}, 1) & \text{if } j \in [1, t - 1] \text{ is odd,} \\ (t + \frac{1-t}{2}, 1) & \text{if } j \in [t, t + r - 2] \text{ is odd,} \\ a_{s+s'-1} & \text{if } j = t + r - 1. \end{cases}$$

Since the elements $a_i$ and $b_j$ are pairwise distinct, except for $a_0 = b_0$ and $a_{s+s'+1} = b_{t+r-1}$, then the union $F$ of the following two paths is a $k$-cycle:

$$P = a_0 \sim a_1 \sim \ldots \sim a_{s+s'+1},$$

$$Q = b_0 \sim b_1 \sim \ldots \sim b_{t-1} \sim \infty_1 \sim b_t \sim \infty_2 \sim b_{t+1} \sim \ldots \sim \infty_r \sim b_{t+r-1}.$$  

Since $\Delta_{ij}F = \Delta_{ij}P \cup \Delta_{ij}Q$, for $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, where

$$\Delta_{00}P = \pm[1 + \epsilon, s + \epsilon], \quad \Delta_{01}P = \{0\}, \quad \Delta_{11}P = \pm[1 + \epsilon, s' + \epsilon],$$

$$\Delta_{00}Q = \emptyset, \quad \Delta_{01}Q = [1, t - 1], \quad \Delta_{11}Q = \emptyset,$$

and considering that $N_F(\infty_h) = N_Q(\infty_h) = \{b_{t+h-2}, b_{t+h-1}\}$ for every $h \in [1, r]$, Proposition 2.1 guarantees that $\text{Orb}(F)$ is a $k$-cycle system of $\Omega$. Furthermore, if $u = 0$ or $u = 1 - \epsilon = 1 \leq s - 1$, then

$$\pm(a_{s-u} - a_{s-u-1}) = \pm(a_{s+u+2} - a_{s+u+1}) = \pm(u + \epsilon + 1, 0).$$

Since $k$ is odd, we have that $\text{Dev}\{a_{s-u-1}, a_{s-u}\}$ and $\text{Dev}\{a_{s+u+2}, a_{s+u+1}\}$ are $k$-cycles with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}$, respectively.
If $D = [g, g + t - 1]$ is any interval of $[0, k - 1]$ of size $t$, and $f$ is the permutation of $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\}$ fixing $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$ pointwise, and mapping $(i, 1)$ to $(i + g, 1)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, one can check that $C = f(F)$ is the desired $k$-cycle of $\Gamma = f(\Omega)$. □

**Lemma 3.4.**

1. Let $\ell$ be odd. If $\Gamma$ is a 1-factor of $K_{2k}$, then $\Gamma + \ell$ decomposes into $k$ cycles of length $k$, each of which contains exactly one edge of $\Gamma$. Furthermore, if $\Gamma = \langle \emptyset, \{d\}, \emptyset \rangle$, then there exists a $k$-cycle $C = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ of $\Gamma + \ell$, with $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$ and $c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}$, such that

$$\text{Dev}(\{c_1, c_2\}) = \Gamma$$

and $\text{Orb}(C)$ is a $k$-cycle system of $\Gamma + \ell$.

2. Let $\ell$ be even. If $\Gamma$ is a $k$-cycle of order $2k$, then $\Gamma + \ell$ decomposes into $k$ cycles of length $k$, each of which contains exactly one edge of $\Gamma$. Furthermore, if $\Gamma = \langle \{d\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ and $d$ is coprime with $k$, then there exists a $k$-cycle $C = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ of $\Gamma + \ell$, with $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$, such that

$$\text{Dev}(\{c_1, c_2\})$$

is the $k$-cycle of $\Gamma$ and $\text{Orb}(C)$ is a $k$-cycle system of $\Gamma + \ell$.

**Proof.** Permuting the vertices of $K_{2k}$ if necessary, we can assume that $\Gamma$ is the 1-factor $\Gamma_0 = \langle \emptyset, \{d\}, \emptyset \rangle$ when $\ell$ is odd, and the $k$-cycle $\Gamma_1 = \langle \{\ell\}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ (of order $2k$) when $\ell$ is even. For $h \in \{0, 1\}$, let $C_h = (c_{h, 1}, c_{h, 2}, \infty_1, c_3, \infty_2, c_4, \ldots, \infty_{\ell-1}, c_{\ell+1}, \infty_\ell)$ be the $k$-cycle of $\Gamma_h + \ell$, where

$$c_{h, 1} = (0, 1 - h), \quad c_{h, 2} = (h\ell, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad c_j = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{j - 1}{2}, 1\right) & \text{if } j \in [3, \ell + 1] \text{ is odd}, \\ \left(\frac{j}{2}, 0\right) & \text{if } j \in [4, \ell + 1] \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

Note that the sets $\Delta_{x_1} C_h$ are empty, except for $\Delta_{x_1} C_0 = \{0\}$ and $\Delta_{x_0} C_1 = \{\pm \ell\}$. Also, the two neighbours of $\infty_u$ in $C_h$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}$, respectively. Hence, Proposition 2.1 guarantees that $\text{Orb}(C_h)$ is a $k$-cycle system of $\Gamma_h + \ell$, for $h \in \{0, 1\}$. We finally notice that $\text{Dev}(\{c_{h, 1}, c_{h, 2}\}) = \Gamma_h$ (up to isolated vertices) and this completes the proof. □

The following result has been proven in [11].

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $D \subseteq [1, \ell]$. The subgraph $\langle D, \{0\}, D \rangle$ of $K_{2k}$ has a 1-factorization.

**Remark 3.6.** Considering the permutation $f$ of $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\}$ such that $f(i, j) = (i, 1 - j)$, and a graph $\Gamma = \langle D_0, D_1, D_2 \rangle$, we have that $f(\Gamma) = \langle D_2, -D_1, D_0 \rangle$. Therefore, all the above lemmas continue to hold when we replace $\Gamma$ by $f(\Gamma)$.

### 4. $k$-sun systems of $K_{4k} + n$

In this section we provide sufficient conditions for a $k$-sun system of $K_{4k} + n$ to exist, when $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$. More precisely, we show the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $k \geq 7$ be an odd integer and let $n = 0, 1 \pmod 4$ with $2k < n < 10k$, then there exists a $k$-sun system of $K_{4k} + n$, except possibly when

- $k = 7$ and $n = 20, 21, 32, 33, 44, 45, 56, 57, 64, 65, 68, 69$,
- $k = 11$ and $n = 100, 101, 112, 113$.

To prove Theorem 4.1 we start by introducing some notions and prove some preliminary results. Let $M$ be a positive integer and take $V(K_{2M}) = \mathbb{Z}_M \times [0, 2^{k-1}]$ and $V(K_{2M} + w) = V(K_{2M}) \cup \{ \infty_h \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_w \}$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $w > 0$. 
Now assume that $w = 2u$, and let $x \mapsto \pi$ be the permutation of $V(K_{4M} + 2u)$ defined as follows:

$$\pi = \begin{cases} 
(a, 2 - j) & \text{if } x = (a, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_M \times \{0, 2\}, \\
(a, 4 - j) & \text{if } x = (a, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_M \times \{1, 3\}, \\
\infty_{h+u} & \text{if } x = \infty_h.
\end{cases}$$

For any subgraph $\Gamma$ of $K_{4M} + 2u$, we denote by $\Gamma^*$ the graph (isomorphic to $\Gamma$) obtained by replacing each vertex $x$ of $\Gamma$ with $x$.

Given a subgraph $\Gamma$ of $K_{2M} + u$, we denote by $\Gamma[2]$ the spanning subgraph of $K_{4M} + 2u$ whose edge set is

$$E(\Gamma[2]) = \{ \{x, y\}, \{x, \pi(y)\}, \{\pi(x), \pi(y)\} \mid \{x, y\} \in E(\Gamma) \},$$

and let $\Gamma^*[2] = \Gamma[2] \oplus I$ be the graph obtained by adding to $\Gamma[2]$ the 1-factor

$$I = \{ \{x, \pi(x)\} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_M \times \{0, 1\} \}.$$

Note that, up to isolated vertices, $\Gamma[2]$ is the lexicographic product of $\Gamma$ with the empty graph on two vertices.

The proof of the following elementary lemma is left to the reader.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $\Gamma = \oplus_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i$ and let $w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ with $w_i \geq 0$. If $\Gamma$ and the $\Gamma_i$s have the same vertex set (possibly with isolated vertices), then

1. $\Gamma + w = \oplus_{i=1}^n (\Gamma_i + w_i)$;
2. $\Gamma[2] = \oplus_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i[2]$;
3. $(\Gamma + w)[2] = \Gamma[2] + 2w$.

We start showing that if $C$ is a $k$-cycle, then $C[2]$ decomposes into two $k$-suns.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $C = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ be a cycle with vertices in $(\mathbb{Z}_M \times \{0, 1\}) \cup \{\infty_h \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_u\}$ and let $S$ be the $k$-sun defined as follows:

$$(1) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \cdots & s_{k-1} & s_k \\ \overline{s_2} & \cdots & \overline{s_{k-1}} & \overline{s_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $s_i \in \{c_i, \pi(c_i)\}$ for every $i \in [1, k]$. Then $C[2] = S \oplus \overline{S}$.

**Proof.** It is enough to notice that $S$ contains the edges $\{s_i, s_{i+1}\}$ and $\{s_i, \overline{s_{i+1}}\}$, while $\overline{S}$ contains $\{\overline{s_i}, \overline{s_{i+1}}\}$ and $\{s_i, s_{i+1}\}$, for every $i \in [1, k]$, where $s_{k+1} = s_1$ and $\overline{s_{k+1}} = \overline{s_1}$.

**Example 4.4.** In Figure 1 we have the graph $C_7[2]$ which can be decomposed into two 7-suns $S$ and $\overline{S}$. The non-dashed edges are those of $S$, while the dashed edges are those of $\overline{S}$.

![Figure 1](image-url)
For every cycle \( C = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) \) with vertices in \( Z_M \times \{0, 1\} \), we set
\[
\sigma(C) = \left( \frac{c_1}{c_2} \cdots \frac{c_{k-1}}{c_k} \frac{c_k}{c_1} \right).
\]
Clearly, \( C[2] = \sigma(C) \oplus \overline{\sigma(C)} \) by Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.5. If \( C = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t\} \) is a \( k \)-cycle system of \( \Gamma + u \), where \( \Gamma \) is a subgraph of \( K_{2M} \), and \( S_i \) is a \( k \)-sun obtained from \( C_i \) as in Lemma 4.3, then \( S = \{S_i, S'_i \mid i \in [1, t]\} \) is a \( k \)-sun system of \( \Gamma[2] + 2u \). In particular, if \( C = \text{Orb}(C_1) \), then \( \text{Orb}(S_1) \cup \text{Orb}(S'_1) \) is a \( k \)-sun system of \( \Gamma[2] + 2u \).

Proof. By assumption \( \Gamma + u = \oplus_{i=1}^t C_i \), where each \( C_i \) is a \( k \)-cycle. Also, by Lemma 4.2 we have that \( \Gamma + 2u = (\Gamma + u)[2] = \oplus_{i=1}^t C_i[2] \). Since \( C_i[2] = S_i \oplus S'_i \) by Lemma 4.3 then \( S \) is a \( k \)-sun system of \( \Gamma[2] + 2u \).

The second part easily follows by noticing that if \( C_i = \tau_g(C_1) \) for some \( g \in Z_M \), then \( C_i[2] = \tau_g(C_1[2]) = \tau_g(S_1) \oplus \tau_g(S'_1) \).

The following lemma describes the general method we use to construct \( k \)-sun systems of \( K_{4k} + n \). We point out that throughout the rest of this section we take \( V(K_{2k}) = Z_k \times \{0, 1\} \) and \( V(K_{4k}) = Z_k \times [0, 3] \).

Lemma 4.6. Let \( K_{2k} = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 \) with \( V(\Gamma_1) = V(\Gamma_2) = V(K_{2k}) \). If \( \Gamma_1 + w_1 \) has a \( k \)-cycle system and \( \Gamma_2[2] + w_2 \) has a \( k \)-sun system, then \( K_{4k} = (2w_1 + w_2) \) has a \( k \)-sun system.

Proof. The result follows by Lemma 4.2. In fact, noting that \( K_{4k} = K_{2k}[2] \oplus I \), where \( I = \{\{z, z\} \mid z \in Z_k \times \{0, 1\}\} \), we have that
\[
K_{4k} + (2w_1 + w_2) = (\Gamma_1[2] \oplus (\Gamma_2[2] \oplus I)) + 2w_1 + w_2 = (\Gamma_1[2] + 2w_1) \oplus (\Gamma_2[2] + w_2) = (\Gamma_1 + w_1)[2] \oplus (\Gamma_2[2] + w_2).
\]
The result then follows by Lemma 4.5.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section, Theorem 4.1. The case \( k \equiv 1 \) (mod 4) is proven in Theorem 4.7 while the case \( k \equiv 3 \) (mod 4) is dealt with in Theorems 4.9 and 4.10. Theorem 4.11 and 4.12.

Theorem 4.7. If \( k \equiv 1 \) (mod 4) \( \geq 9 \) and \( n = 0, 1 \) (mod 4) with \( 2k < n < 10k \), then there exists a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{4k} + n \).

Proof. Let \( n = 2(qk + r) + \nu \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq \ell \) and \( \nu \in \{2, 3\} \). Note that \( \ell \geq 4 \) is even and \( r \) is odd, since \( n \equiv 0, 1 \) (mod 4) \( \geq 9 \) and \( k \equiv 1 \) (mod 4). Considering also that \( 2k < n < 10k \), we have that \( 2 \leq q \leq 10 \leq k + 2r - 1 \). Furthermore, let \( V(K_{4k} + n) = (Z_k \times [0, 3]) \cup \{h \mid h \in Z_{n-\nu}\} \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\} \).

We start decomposing \( K_{2k} \) into the following two graphs:
\[
\Gamma_1 = \langle [2, \ell], [k - 2r - 2, k - 1], [2, \ell - 1]\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \langle \{1\}, [0, k - 2r - 3], \{1, \ell\}\rangle.
\]
We notice that \( \Gamma_1 \) further decomposes into the following graphs:
\[
\langle [2, \ell - 1], \emptyset, \emptyset\rangle, \quad \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, [2, \ell - 1]\rangle, \quad \langle \{1\}, [k - 2r - 2, k - 1], \emptyset\rangle,
\]
each of which decomposes into \( k \)-cycles by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 hence \( \Gamma_1 \) has a \( k \)-cycle system \( \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_\gamma\} \), where \( \gamma = k + 2r - 2 \). Note that this system is
non-empty, since $1 \leq q - 1 \leq \gamma$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that each cycle $C_i$ has order $2k$ and

$$(2) \quad C_1 \text{ is a subgraph of } \langle [2, \ell - 1], \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle.$$  

Now set $\Omega_1 = \Gamma_1 \setminus C_1$ and $\Omega_2 = \Gamma_2 \oplus C_1$. Letting $w_1 = (q - 2)\ell = \sum_{j=2}^{\gamma} w_{1,j}$, where $w_{1,j} = \ell$ when $j < q$, and $w_{1,j} = 0$ otherwise, by Lemma 4.2 we have that $\Omega_1 + w_1 = \oplus_{j=2}^{\gamma} (C_i + w_{1,i})$. Therefore, $\Omega_1 + w_1$ has a $k$-cycle system, since each $C_i + w_{1,i}$ decomposes into $k$-cycles by Lemma 3.3. Setting $w_2 = n - 2w_1 = 2(2\ell + r) + \nu$ and considering that $K_{2k} = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 = \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2$, by Lemma 4.6 it is left to show that $\Omega_2^*[2] + w_2$ has a $k$-sun system.

Set $\Gamma_3 = C_1$, and recall that $\Omega^*[2] = \Omega_2^*[2] \oplus I = \Gamma_2^*[2] \oplus \Gamma_3^*[2] \oplus I$, where $I$ denotes the 1-factor $\{ z, \bar{z} \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\} \}$ of $K_{4k}$. Hence,

$$(3) \quad \Omega_2^*[2] + w_2 = (\Gamma_2 + (\ell + r))[2] \oplus (\Gamma_3 + \ell)[2] \oplus (I + \nu)$$

by Lemma 4.2. Clearly, $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2 \cap \Gamma_2$ where $\Gamma_{2,1} = \langle \{1\}, \{0, k - 2r - 3\}, \{1\} \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{2,2} = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \{\ell\} \rangle$, hence $\Gamma_2 + (\ell + r) = (\Gamma_{2,1} + r) \oplus (\Gamma_{2,2} + \ell)$. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 there exist a $k$-cycle $A = (x_1, x_2, y_3, y_4, a_5, \ldots, a_k)$ of $\Gamma_{2,1} + r$ and a $k$-cycle $B = (y_1, y_2, b_3, \ldots, b_k)$ of $\Gamma_{2,2} + \ell$ satisfying the following properties:

$$(4) \quad \text{Orb}(A) \cup \text{Orb}(B) \text{ is a } k\text{-cycle system of } \Gamma_2 + (\ell + r);$$

$$(5) \quad \text{Dev}(\{x_1, x_2\}) \text{ is a } k\text{-cycle with vertices in } \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\};$$

$$(6) \quad \text{Dev}(\{y_1, y_2\}) \text{ and } \text{Dev}(\{y_3, y_4\}) \text{ are } k\text{-cycles with vertices in } \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}.$$  

Furthermore, denoted by $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ the cycle in $\Gamma_3$, Lemma 3.4 guarantees that $\Gamma_3 + \ell$ has a $k$-cycle system $\{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k\}$ such that $F_j = (c_j, c_{j+1}, f_j, f_{j+1}, \ldots, f_{j,k})$ for every $j \in [1, k]$ (with $c_{k+1} = c_1$).

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}$ and $S' = \{S_{3+2j}, S_{4+2j} \mid j \in [1, k]\}$, where

$${S_1} = \sigma(x_1, \overline{y_2}, y_3, y_4, a_5, \ldots, a_k), \quad {S_3} = \sigma(y_1, \overline{y_2}, b_3, \ldots, b_k),$$

$${S_{3+2j}} = \sigma(c_j, c_{j+1}, f_j, f_{j+1}, \ldots, f_{j,k}) \text{ for } j \in [1, k], \quad \text{and}$$

$${S_{2i}} = \overline{S_1}_{i-1} \text{ for } i \in [1, k + 2].$$

By Lemma 1.5 we have that $\bigcup_{S \in S} \text{Orb}(S)$ is a $k$-sun system of $(\Gamma_2 + (\ell + r))[2]$, and $S'$ is a $k$-sun system of $(\Gamma_3 + \ell)[2]$. It follows by 3 that $\bigcup_{S \in S} \text{Orb}(S) \cup S'$ decomposes $(\Omega_2^*[2] + w_2) \setminus (I + \nu)$.

To construct a $k$-sun system of $\Omega_2^*[2] + w_2$, we first modify the $k$-suns in $S \cup S'$ by replacing some of their vertices with $\infty_1', \infty_2'$, and possibly $\infty_3'$ when $\nu = 3$. More precisely, following Table 1 we obtain $T_i$ from $S_i$ by replacing the ordered set $V_i$ of vertices of $S_i$ with $V_i'$. This yields a set $M_i$ of ‘missing’ edges no longer covered by $T_i$ after this substitution, but replaced by those in $N_i$, namely

$$E(T_i) = (E(S_i) \setminus M_i) \cup N_i.$$  

We point out that $T_{3+2j} = S_{3+2j}$, and $T_{4+2j} = S_{4+2j}$ when $\nu = 2$, for every $j \in [1, k]$. The remaining graphs $T_i$ are explicitly given below, where the elements in bold are the replaced vertices.

$${T_1} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \overline{y_2} & \infty_1' & y_4 & a_5 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\ \overline{y_2} & \infty_2' & y_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_k & \overline{x_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
Example 4.8. The graphs $\Gamma_1 = \langle \{2, 4\}, \{5, 8\}, \{2, 3\} \rangle$ and $\Gamma_2 = \langle \{1\}, \{0, 4\}, \{1, 4\} \rangle$ decompose the complete graph $K_{18}$ with vertex-set $\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \{0, 1\}$. Also $\Gamma_1$ decomposes into the following 9-cycles of order 18, where $i = 0, 1$:

$C_{1+i} = ((0, i), (2, i), (8, i), (1, i), (3, i), (5, i), (7, i), (4, i), (6, i))$,

$C_{3+i} = ((0, i), (3, i), (6, i), (8, i), (5, i), (2, i), (4, i), (1, i), (7, i))$,

$C_{5+i} = ((4i, 0), (8 + 4i, 1), (1 + 4i, 0), (4i, 1), (2 + 4i, 0), (1 + 4i, 1), (3 + 4i, 0), (2 + 4i, 1), (4 + 4i, 0))$,

$C_{7+i} = ((8 + 4i, 0), (5 + 4i, 1), (4i, 0), (6 + 4i, 1), (1 + 4i, 0), (7 + 4i, 1), (2 + 4i, 0), (8 + 4i, 1), (3 + 4i, 0))$,

$C_{9} = ((7, 0), (2, 0), (6, 0), (1, 0), (5, 0), (0, 0), (7, 1), (8, 0), (4, 1))$.

Clearly, $K_{18} = \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2$, where $\Omega_1 = \Gamma_1 \setminus C_1$ and $\Omega_2 = \Gamma_2 \oplus C_1$. 

We notice that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \text{Dev}(N_i) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{3} N_i = \{\{\alpha_j', x\} \mid j \in [1, \nu], x \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 3\}\}$. We finally build the following $2\nu + 1$ graphs:

$G_1 = \begin{cases} \text{Dev}(x_1 \sim x_2 \sim x_3) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\ \text{Dev}(x_1 \sim x_2 \sim y_3) & \text{if } \nu = 3, \end{cases}$

$G_2 = \text{Dev}(x_1 \sim x_2 \sim y_3)$,

$G_3 = \text{Dev}(y_1 \sim y_3 \sim x_2)$,

$G_4 = \text{Dev}(y_1 \sim y_2 \sim y_3)$,

$G_5 = \text{Dev}(\{y_1, y_2\} \oplus \{y_3, y_4\})$,

$G_6 = \text{Dev}(y_1 \sim y_3 \sim y_4)$,

$G_7 = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_k \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_k \end{array} \right)$.

By recalling (2) and (4)–(6), it is not difficult to check that $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{2\nu+1}$ are $k$-suns. Furthermore,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2\nu+1} E(G_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \text{Dev}(M_i) \cup \bigcup_{i=5}^{2k+4} M_i \cup E(I),$$

where, we recall, $I$ denotes the 1-factor $\{\{z, \xi\} \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\}\}$ of $K_{4k}$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \text{Orb}(T_i) \cup \{T_5, T_6, \ldots, T_{2k+4}\} \cup \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{2\nu+1}\}$ is a $k$-sun system of $\Omega_2[2] + w_2$, and this concludes the proof. 

Example 4.8. By following the proof of Theorem 1.2.1 we construct a $k$-sun system of $K_{4k} + n$ when $(k, n) = (9, 21)$; hence $(\ell, q, r, \nu) = (4, 2, 1, 3)$.
Let $V(K_{36}) = \mathbb{Z}_9 \times [0,3]$, and denote by $I$ the 1-factor of $K_{36}$ containing all edges of the form $\{(a, i), (a, i + 2)\}$, with $a \in \mathbb{Z}_9$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then,

$$K_{36} = K_{18}[2] \oplus I = \Omega_1[2] \oplus \Omega_2[2] \oplus I.$$ 

Considering that $(\Omega_2 + 9)[2] = \Omega_2[2] + 18$, we have

$$K_{36} + 21 = \Omega_1[2] \oplus (\Omega_2[2] + 18) \oplus (I + 3) = \Omega_1[2] \oplus (\Omega_2 + 9)[2] \oplus (I + 3).$$

Since the set $\{\sigma(C_1), \sigma(C_i) \mid i \in [2,9]\}$ is a 9-sun system of $\Omega_1[2]$, it is left to build a 9-sun system of $\Omega_2[2] + 18 = (\Omega_2[2] + 18) \oplus (I + 3)$.

We start by decomposing $\Omega_2 + 9$ into 9-cycles. Since $\Omega_2 = \Gamma_{2,1} \oplus \Gamma_{2,2} \oplus \Gamma_3$ with $\Gamma_{2,1} = \langle (1), [0,4], (1) \rangle$, $\Gamma_{2,2} = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, (4) \rangle$ and $\Gamma_3 = C_1$, then

$$\Omega_2 + 9 = (\Gamma_{2,1} + 1) \oplus (\Gamma_{2,2} + 4) \oplus (\Gamma_3 + 4).$$

Let $A = (x_1, x_2, y_3, y_4, a_5, \ldots, a_9)$ and $B = (y_1, y_2, b_3, \ldots, b_9)$ be the 9-cycles defined as follows:

- $(x_1, x_2, y_3, y_4) = ((0,0), (-1,0), (-1,1), (0,1))$
- $(a_5, \ldots, a_9) = (\infty_1, (2,0), (3,1), (1,0), (4,1))$
- $(y_1, y_2) = ((0,1), (4,1))$
- $(b_3, \ldots, b_9) = (\infty_6, (1,0), \infty_7, (1,1), \infty_8, (0,0), \infty_5)$

One can easily check that $\text{Orb}(A)$ (resp., $\text{Orb}(B)$) decomposes $\Gamma_{2,1} + 1$ (resp., $\Gamma_{2,2} + 4$). Also, for every edge $\{c_{j+1}\}$ of $C_1$, with $j \in [1,9]$ and $c_{10} = c_1$, we construct the cycle $F_j = (c_j, c_{j+1}, f_{j,3}, f_{j,4}, \ldots, f_{j,9})$, where

$$(f_{j,3}, f_{j,4}, \ldots, f_{j,9}) = (\infty_6, (1,0), \infty_7, (1,1), \infty_8, (0,0), \infty_9).$$

One can check that $\{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_9\}$ is a 9-cycle system of $\Gamma_3 + 4$. Therefore, $U_I = \text{Orb}(A) \cup \text{Orb}(B) \cup \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_9\}$ provides a 9-cycle system of $\Omega_2 + 9$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$V_i \rightarrow V_i'$</th>
<th>$M_i$</th>
<th>$N_i$</th>
<th>$\nu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$(x_2, y_3) \rightarrow (\infty_1, \infty_2')$</td>
<td>${x_1, x_2}, {x_2, y_3}$</td>
<td>${\infty_1', x_1}, {\infty_2', x_2}$</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$(x_2, y_3) \rightarrow (\infty_1, \infty_2')$</td>
<td>${x_2, y_3}$</td>
<td>${\infty_1', x_1}, {\infty_2', x_2}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$y_2 \rightarrow \infty_1'$</td>
<td>${y_1, y_2}$</td>
<td>${\infty_1', y_1}$</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$y_2 \rightarrow \infty_1'$</td>
<td>${y_1, y_2}$</td>
<td>${\infty_1', y_1}$</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+2j</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+2j</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+2j</td>
<td>$c_{j+1} \rightarrow \infty_3'$</td>
<td>${c_j, c_{j+1}}$</td>
<td>${\infty_3', c_j}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. From $S_i$ to $T_i$. 


Since the set \( \{C[2] \mid C \in U_1\} \) decomposes \((\Omega_2 + 9)[2]\), and each \(C[2]\) decomposes into two 9-suns, we can easily obtain a 9-sun system of \((\Omega_2 + 9)[2]\). Indeed, letting

\[
S_1 = \sigma(x_1, x_2, y_3, y_4, a_5, \ldots, a_9), \quad S_3 = \sigma(y_1, y_2, b_3, \ldots, b_9), \\
S_{3+2j} = \sigma(c_j, y_{j+1}, f_{j,3}, f_{j,4}, \ldots, f_{j,9}) \text{ for } j \in [1, 9], \quad \text{and} \\
S_{2i} = \overline{S_{2i-1}} \text{ for } i \in [1, 11],
\]

we have that \(A[2] = S_1 \oplus S_2, B[2] = S_3 \oplus S_4, \) and \(F_j[2] = S_{3+2j} \oplus S_{4+2j}, \) for every \(j \in [1, 9]\). Therefore \(U_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} Orb(S_i) \cup \{S_5, S_6, \ldots, S_{22}\} \) is a 9-sun system of \(\Omega_2[2] + 18\).

We finally use \(U_2\) to build a 9-sun system of \(\Omega_2[2] + 21 = (\Omega_2[2] + 18) \oplus (I + 3)\). By replacing the vertices of each \(S_i\), as outlined in Table 1, we obtain the 9-sun system of \(K_36 + 21\).

**Theorem 4.9.** Let \(k \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \geq 7\) and \(n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}\) with \(2k < n < 10k\).

If \(n \not\equiv 2, 3 \pmod{k - 1}\) and \(\frac{n-4}{k-1}\) is even, then there exists a \(k\)-sun system of \(K_{4k} + n\) except possibly when \((k, n) \in \{(7, 64), (7, 65)\}\).

**Proof.** First, \(k \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \geq 7\) implies that \(\ell \geq 3\) is odd. Now, let \(n = 2(q\ell+r)+\nu\) with \(1 \leq r \leq \ell\) and \(\nu \in \{2, 3\}\). Note that \(q = \left\lfloor \frac{n-4}{k-1} \right\rfloor\), hence \(q\) is even. Also, since \(2k < n < 10k\), we have \(2 \leq q \leq 10\). By \(q\) even and \(n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}\) it follows that \(r\) is odd, and \(n \not\equiv 2, 3 \pmod{k - 1}\) implies that \(r \neq \ell\). To sum up,

\[q\] is even with \(2 \leq q \leq 10\), and \(r\) is odd with \(1 \leq r \leq \ell - 2\).

As in the previous theorem, let \(V(K_{4k} + n) = (\mathbb{Z}_k \times [0, 3]) \cup \{\infty_h \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-\nu}\} \cup \{\infty_1', \infty_2', \infty_3'\}\).

We split the proof into two cases.

**Case 1)** \(q \leq 2r+4\). We start decomposing \(K_{2k}\) into the following two graphs:

\[\Gamma_1 = \langle \{3, \ell\}, [k - 2r - 2, k], [3, \ell] \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \langle \{1, 2\}, [1, k - 2r - 3], \{1, 2\} \rangle.\]

Since \(q \leq 2r+4\), the graph \(\Gamma_1\) can be further decomposed into the following graphs:

\[\Gamma_{1,1} = \langle \{\ell\}, [k - 2r + q - 3, k], \emptyset \rangle, \quad \Gamma_{1,2} = \langle [3, \ell - 1], [3, \ell] \rangle, \quad \Gamma_{1,3} = \langle [\emptyset, k - 2r - 2, k - 2r + q - 4], \emptyset \rangle.\]

The first two graphs have a \(k\)-cycle system by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 while \(\Gamma_{1,3}\) decomposes into \((q - 1) 1\)-factors, say \(J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{q-1}\). Setting \(w_1 = (q - 1)\ell\), by Lemma 4.2 we have that:

\[\Gamma_1 + (q - 1)\ell = \mathcal{Q}_{q-1}^{-1}(J_i + \ell) \oplus (\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{1,2}).\]

Hence \(\Gamma_1 + (q - 1)\ell\) has a \(k\)-cycle system since each \(J_i + \ell\) decomposes into \(k\)-cycles by Lemma 3.3.
Letting $w_2 = n - 2w_1 = 2(\ell + r) + \nu$ and recalling that $K_{2k} = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$, by Lemma 4.6 it remains to construct a $k$-sun system of $\Gamma_2[2] + w_2$. We start decomposing $\Gamma_2$ into the following graphs:

$$\Gamma_{2,0} = \langle\{1, 2\}, \{1, k - 2r - 4\}, \{1, 2\}\rangle$$

and $\Gamma_{2,1} = \langle\varnothing, \{k - 2r - 3\}, \varnothing\rangle$.

Recalling that $\Gamma_2[2] = \Gamma_2[2] \oplus I$, where $I$ denotes the 1-factor $\{\{z, \varnothing\} \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\}\}$ of $K_{4k}$, by Lemma 4.2 we have that

$$\Gamma_2[2] + w_2 = (\Gamma_{2,1} + \ell)[2] \oplus (\Gamma_{2,0} + r)[2] \oplus (I + \nu).$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 there exist a $k$-cycle $A = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, a_7, \ldots, a_k)$ of $\Gamma_{2,0} + r$ and a $k$-cycle $B = (y_1, x_3, \ldots, b_k)$ of $\Gamma_{2,1} + \ell$, satisfying the following properties:

$$\text{Orb}(A) \cup \text{Orb}(B)$$

is a $k$-cycle system of $\Gamma_2 + (\ell + r)$;

$\text{Dev}(\{x_1, x_2\})$ and $\text{Dev}(\{x_2, x_3\})$ are $k$-cycles with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$;

$\text{Dev}(\{y_4, y_5\})$ and $\text{Dev}(\{y_5, y_6\})$ are $k$-cycles with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}$;

$x \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}$.

Set $A' = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, a_7, \ldots, a_k)$ and $B' = (y_1, x_3, \ldots, b_k)$ and let $S = \{\sigma(A'), \sigma(A'), \sigma(B'), \sigma(B')\}$. By Lemma 4.4 we have that $\bigcup_{S \in S} \text{Orb}(S)$ is a $k$-sun system of $(\Gamma_3 + (\ell + r))[2] = \Gamma_2[2] + 2(\ell + r) = (\Gamma_2[2] + w_2) \setminus (I + \nu)$.

To construct a $k$-sun system of $\Gamma_2[2] + w_2$ we proceed as in Theorem 4.7. We modify the graphs in $S$ and obtain four $k$-suns $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4$ whose translates between them cover all edges incident with $\infty'_{1}, \infty'_{2},$ and possibly $\infty'_{3}$ when $\nu = 3$. Then we construct further $2\nu + 1$ $k$-suns $G_1, \ldots, G_{2\nu + 1}$ to cover the missing edges. The reader can check that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \text{Orb}(T_i) \cup \{G_1, \ldots, G_{2\nu + 1}\}$ is a $k$-sun system of $\Gamma_2[2] + w_2$.

The graphs $T_i$ are the following, where the elements in bold are the replaced vertices:

$$T_1 = \begin{cases} 
(x_1, x_2, x_3, \infty'_{2}, y_5, y_6, a_7, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
(x_1, x_2, x_3, \infty'_{2}, y_5, y_6, a_7, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k) & \text{if } \nu = 3,
\end{cases}$$

$$T_2 = \begin{cases} 
(x_1, x_2, x_3, \infty'_{1}, y_5, y_6, a_7, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
(x_1, x_2, x_3, \infty'_{1}, y_5, y_6, a_7, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k) & \text{if } \nu = 3,
\end{cases}$$

$$T_3 = \begin{cases} 
(\sigma(B')) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
(\sigma(B')) & \text{if } \nu = 3,
\end{cases}$$

$$T_4 = \begin{cases} 
(\sigma(B')) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
(\sigma(B')) & \text{if } \nu = 3.
\end{cases}$$
The graphs $G_i$, for $i = [1, 2^\nu + 1]$, are so defined:

$$G_1 = \text{Dev}(x_1 \sim x_2 \sim x_2), \quad G_2 = \text{Dev}(y_5 \sim y_4 \sim x_3).$$

$$G_3 = \text{Dev}(\{x_1, x_2\} \oplus \{x_3, y_4\}), \quad G_4 = \text{Dev}(\{y_5 \sim y_4 \sim y_5\}),$$

$$G_5 = \text{Dev}(\{x_2 \sim x_3\} \oplus \{x, y\}), \quad G_6 = \text{Dev}(\{x_2, x_3\} \oplus \{x, y\}),$$

$$G_7 = \text{Dev}(\{x_2, x_3\} \oplus \{x, y\}).$$

Case 2) $q \geq 2r + 6$. Note that this implies $r = 1$ and $q = 8, 10$. As before $K_{2k} = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ where

$$\Gamma_1 = \langle [3, \ell], \{0\} \cup [k - 5, k - 1], [3, \ell] \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \langle \{1, 2\}, [1, k - 6], \{1, 2\} \rangle.$$

Since $(k, n) \neq (7, 64), (7, 65)$ then $(\ell, q) \neq (3, 10)$, hence the graph $\Gamma_1$ can be decomposed into the following graphs:

$$\Gamma_{1,1} = \langle \varnothing, [k - 5, k - 1], \varnothing \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{1,2} = \langle \left[3, \frac{q - 2}{2}\right], \{0\}, \left[3, \frac{q - 2}{2}\right] \rangle.$$

$$\Gamma_{1,3} = \langle \left[\frac{q}{2}, \ell\right], \varnothing, \left[\frac{q}{2}, \ell\right] \rangle.$$

The graph $\Gamma_{1,1}$ decomposes into five 1-factors $J_1, \ldots, J_5$, while by Lemma 3.3 $\Gamma_{1,2}$ decomposes into $(q - 5)$ 1-factors $J'_{1,1}, \ldots, J'_{q - 5}$. Letting $w_1 = q\ell$, by Lemma 4.2 we have that

$$\Gamma_1 + w_1 = (\Gamma_{1,1} + 5\ell) \oplus (\Gamma_{1,2} + (q - 5)\ell) \oplus \Gamma_{1,3} = \oplus_{i=1}^5 (J_i + \ell) \oplus \oplus_{i=1}^{q-5} (J'_i + \ell) \oplus \Gamma_{1,3}.$$

By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.1 each $J_i + \ell$, each $J'_i + \ell$ and $\Gamma_{1,3}$ decompose into k-cycles. Hence $\Gamma_1 + q\ell$ has a k-cycle system. Let now $w_2 = n - 2w_1 = 2 + \nu$. Note that a k-sun system of $\Gamma_1^2[2] + w_2$ can be obtained as in Case 1, where $\Gamma_{2,1}$ is empty. □

**Theorem 4.10.** Let $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \geq 11$ and $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ with $2k < n < 10k$. If $\left\lfloor \frac{n - 4}{k - 1}\right\rfloor$ is even, and $n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{k - 1}$, then there is a k-sun system of $K_{4k} + n$, except possibly when $(k, n) \in \{(11, 112), (11, 113)\}$.

**Proof.** Let $n = 2(q\ell + r) + \nu$ with $1 \leq r \leq \ell$ and $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$. Clearly, $q = \left\lfloor \frac{n - 4}{k - 1}\right\rfloor$, hence $q$ is even. Since $k \geq 11$, $2k < n < 10k$ and $n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{2\ell}$, we have that $q$ is even with $2 \leq q \leq 10$ and $r = \ell \geq 5$ is odd.

As before, let $V(K_{4k} + n) = (\mathbb{Z}_k \times [0, 3]) \cup \{\infty_k \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_{n - 4}\} \cup \{\infty'_k, \infty'_2, \infty'_3\}$. We start decomposing $K_{2k}$ into the following two graphs:

$$\Gamma_1 = \langle [3, \ell], [k - 3, k], [4, \ell] \rangle, \quad \Gamma_2 = \langle \{1, 2\}, [1, k - 4], \{1, 2, 3\} \rangle.$$

If $q = 2, 4$, $\Gamma_1$ can be further decomposed into

$$\Gamma_{1,1} = \langle \varnothing, [k - 3, k - 4 + q], \varnothing \rangle, \quad \Gamma_{1,2} = \langle \varnothing, [k - 3 + q, k], \{\ell\} \rangle,$$

$$\Gamma_{1,3} = \langle [3, \ell], \varnothing, [4, \ell - 1] \rangle.$$

The graph $\Gamma_{1,1}$ decomposes into $q$ 1-factors, say $J_1, \ldots, J_q$. Letting $w_1 = q\ell$, by Lemma 4.2 we have that

$$\Gamma_1 + w_1 = (\Gamma_{1,1} + w_1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,2} \oplus \Gamma_{1,3} = \oplus_{i=1}^q (J_i + \ell) \oplus \Gamma_{1,2} \oplus \Gamma_{1,3}.$$

Lemmas 3.3, 3.2 and 3.1 guarantee that each $J_i + \ell$, $\Gamma_{1,2}$ and $\Gamma_{1,3}$ decompose into k-cycles, hence $\Gamma_1 + w_1$ has a k-cycle system. Suppose now $q \geq 6$. By
In this case \( \Gamma_1 \) can be further decomposed into
\[
\Gamma_{1,1} = \langle \varnothing, [k-3, k-1], \varnothing \rangle, \quad \Gamma_{1,2} = \left\langle \left[ \ell + 3 - \frac{q}{2}, \ell \right], \{0\}, \left[ \ell + 3 - \frac{q}{2}, \ell \right] \right\rangle,
\]
\[
\Gamma_{1,3} = \left\langle \left[ 3, \ell + 2 - \frac{q}{2} \right], \varnothing, \left[ 4, \ell + 2 - \frac{q}{2} \right] \right\rangle.
\]
The graph \( \Gamma_{1,1} \) can be decomposed into three 1-factors say \( J_1, J_2, J_3 \), also by Lemma 3.5 the graph \( \Gamma_{1,2} \) can be decomposed into \((q-3)\) 1-factors say \( J'_1, \ldots, J'_{q-3} \). Set again \( w_1 = q\ell \), by Lemma 4.2 we have that
\[
\Gamma_1 + w_1 = (\Gamma_{1,1} + 3\ell) \oplus (\Gamma_{1,2} + (q-3)\ell) \oplus \Gamma_{1,3} = \oplus_{i=1}^3 (J_i + \ell) \oplus \oplus_{j=1}^{q-3} (J'_j + \ell) \oplus \Gamma_{1,3}.
\]
By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.1 we have that each \( J_i + \ell \) and \( \Gamma_{1,3} \) decompose into \( k \)-cycles, hence \( \Gamma_1 + w_1 \) has a \( k \)-cycle system. Hence for any value of \( q \) we have proved that there is a \( 1 \)-cycle system.

Now, setting \( w_2 = n - 2w_1 = 2\ell + \nu \) and recalling that \( K_{2k} = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 \), by Lemma 4.0 it is left to show that \( \Gamma_{2,2}^*[2] + w_2 \) has a \( k \)-sun system. Let \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \geq 2 \) be an odd and an even integer, respectively, such that \( r_1 + r_2 = r = \ell \). Note that \( \Gamma_2 \) can be further decomposed into
\[
\Gamma_{2,1} = \langle \{1\}, [1, k - 2r_1 - 2], \{1\} \rangle, \quad \Gamma_{2,2} = \langle \{2\}, [k - 2r_1 - 1, k - 4], \{2, 3\} \rangle.
\]
Recalling that \( \Gamma_{2,2}^*[2] = \Gamma_{2,2} \oplus I \), where \( I \) denotes the 1-factor \( \{z, \bar{z}\} \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\} \) of \( K_{2k} \), by Lemma 4.2 we have that
\[
\Gamma_{2,2}^*[2] + w_2 = \oplus_{i=1}^2 (\Gamma_{2,i} + r_i)[2] \oplus (I + \nu).
\]
By Lemma 3.3 there is a \( k \)-cycle \( A = (y_1, y_2, x_3, x_4, a_5, \ldots, a_k) \) of \( \Gamma_{2,1} + r_1 \) and a \( k \)-cycle \( B = (x_1, x_2, y_3, y_4, b_5, \ldots, b_k) \) of \( \Gamma_{2,2} + r_2 \) such that
\[
(7) \quad \text{Orb}(A) \cup \text{Orb}(B) \text{ is a } k \text{-cycle system of } \Gamma_2 \oplus \ell,
\]
\( \text{Dev}([x_1, x_2]) \) and \( \text{Dev}([x_3, x_4]) \) are \( k \)-cycles with vertices in \( \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\} \),
\( \text{Dev}([y_1, y_2]) \) and \( \text{Dev}([y_3, y_4]) \) are \( k \)-cycles with vertices in \( \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\} \).

Set \( A' = (y_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, a_5, \ldots, a_k) \) and \( B' = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_5, b_6, \ldots, b_k) \). Let \( S = \{\sigma(A'), \sigma(A'), \sigma(B'), \sigma(B')\} \), by Lemma 4.1 we have that \( \bigcup_{S \in S} \text{Orb}(S) \) is a \( k \)-sun system of \( \left( \Gamma_2 + \ell \right)[2] = \Gamma_{2,2} \oplus 2\ell = (\Gamma_{2,2}^*[2] + w_2) \setminus (I + \nu) \). To construct a \( k \)-sun system of \( \Gamma_{2,2}^*[2] + w_2 \), we build a family \( T = \{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\} \) of \( k \)-suns by modifying the graphs in \( S \) so that \( \bigcup_{T \in T} \text{Orb}(T) \) covers all the edges incident with \( \varnothing_1, \varnothing_2 \), and possibly \( \varnothing_3 \) when \( \nu = 3 \). We then construct further \((2\nu + 1)\) \( k \)-suns \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{2\nu+1} \) which cover the remaining edges exactly once. Hence, \( \bigcup_{T \in T} \text{Orb}(T) \cup \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{2\nu+1}\} \) is a \( k \)-sun system of \( \Gamma_{2,2}^*[2] + w_2 \).

The graphs \( T_1, \ldots, T_4 \) and \( G_1, \ldots, G_{2\nu+1} \) are the following, where as before the elements in bold are the replaced vertices.

\[
T_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_1 \\
\gamma_2 \\
\gamma_3 \\
\gamma_4 \\
\gamma_5 \\
\gamma_6 \\
\gamma_7
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
y_1 \\
y_2 \\
y_3 \\
y_4 \\
y_5 \\
y_6 \\
y_7
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_1 \\
\gamma_2 \\
\gamma_3 \\
\gamma_4 \\
\gamma_5 \\
\gamma_6 \\
\gamma_7
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
y_1 \\
y_2 \\
y_3 \\
y_4 \\
y_5 \\
y_6 \\
y_7
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k \\
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & a_5 & a_6 & \ldots & a_{k-1} & a_k
\end{pmatrix},
\]

if \( \nu = 2 \),

if \( \nu = 3 \),
\[ T_3 = \left( \frac{x_1}{\infty_2} \frac{x_2}{y_2} \frac{y_3}{\infty_1} \frac{y_4}{y_5} \frac{y_5}{b_6} \frac{b_6}{b_7} \cdots \frac{b_{k-1}}{b_k} \frac{b_k}{x_1} \right), \]

\[ T_4 = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{x_1}{\infty_2} \frac{x_2}{y_3} \frac{y_4}{y_5} \frac{y_5}{b_6} \frac{b_6}{b_7} \cdots \frac{b_{k-1}}{b_k} \frac{b_k}{x_1} \right) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
\left( \frac{x_1}{\infty_2} \frac{x_2}{y_3} \frac{y_4}{y_5} \frac{y_5}{b_6} \frac{b_6}{b_7} \cdots \frac{b_{k-1}}{b_k} \frac{b_k}{x_1} \right) & \text{if } \nu = 3. \end{cases} \]

\[ G_1 = \text{Dev}(y_1 \sim y_2 \sim x_3), \quad G_2 = \text{Dev}(y_2 \sim y_1 \sim y_2), \]

\[ G_3 = \text{Dev}(y_3 \sim y_4 \sim y_3), \quad G_4 = \text{Dev}(\{x_1, x_2\} \cup \{\infty_3, y_2\}), \]

\[ G_5 = \begin{cases} \text{Dev}(x_1 \sim x_2 \sim x_2) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
\text{Dev}(x_1 \sim x_2 \sim x_3) & \text{if } \nu = 3, \end{cases} \]

\[ G_7 = \text{Dev}(y_4 \sim y_3 \sim y_4). \]

By recalling (7), it is not difficult to check that the graphs \( G_h \) are \( k \)-suns. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.11.** Let \( k \equiv 3 \mod 4 \geq 7 \) and \( n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4 \) with \( 2k < n < 10k \).

If \( \left\lfloor \frac{n-4}{k-1} \right\rfloor \) is odd and \( n \neq 0, 1 \mod k-1 \), then there is a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{4k}+n \).

**Proof.** Let \( n = 2(q\ell + r) + \nu \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq \ell \) and \( \nu \in \{2, 3\} \). Clearly, \( q = \left\lfloor \frac{n-4}{k-1} \right\rfloor \).

Also, we have that \( q \) and \( \ell \) are odd, and \( n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4 \); hence \( r \) is even. Furthermore, we have that \( 2 \leq q \leq 10 \), since by assumption \( 2k < n < 10k \).

Considering now the hypothesis that \( n \neq 0, 1 \mod 2\ell \), it follows that \( r \neq \ell - 1 \).

To sum up, \( q \) is odd with \( 3 \leq q \leq 9 \), and \( r \) is even with \( 2 \leq r \leq \ell - 3 \).

As before, let \( V(K_{4k}+n) = (Z_k \times [0,3]) \cup \{\infty_h \mid h \in Z_{n-r}\} \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\} \).

We start decomposing \( K_{2k} \) into the following two graphs:

\[ \Gamma_1 = \langle [4, \ell], [k-2r-1, k], [3, \ell] \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \langle [1, 3], [1, k-2r-2], [1, 2] \rangle. \]

Considering that \( 3 \leq q \leq 9 \leq 2r+5 \), the graph \( \Gamma_1 \) can be further decomposed into the following graphs:

\[ \Gamma_{1,1} = \langle [4, \ell], \emptyset, [3, \ell-1] \rangle, \quad \Gamma_{1,2} = \langle \emptyset, [k-2r-4+q, k], \ell \rangle, \]

and \( \Gamma_{1,3} = \langle \emptyset, [k-2r-1-\nu, k-2r-5+q], \emptyset \rangle \).

The first two have a \( k \)-cycle system by Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 while \( \Gamma_{1,3} \) decomposes into \( (q-3) \) 1-factors, say \( J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{q-3} \). Letting \( w_1 = (q-3)\ell \), by Lemma 4.2 we have that

\[ \Gamma_1 + w_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^{q-3} (J_i + \ell) \oplus (\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{1,2}). \]

Therefore, \( \Gamma_1 + w_1 \) has a \( k \)-cycle system, since each \( J_i + \ell \) decomposes into \( k \)-cycles by Lemma 5.4. Setting \( w_2 = n - 2w_1 = 2(3\ell + r) + \nu \) and recalling that \( K_{2k} = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 \), by Lemma 4.6 it is left to show that \( \Gamma_2 + w_2 \) has a \( k \)-sun system.

We start decomposing \( \Gamma_2 \) into the following graphs:

\[ \Gamma_{2,0} = \langle [1, 3], [1, k-2r-5], [1, 2] \rangle, \quad \text{and} \]

\[ \Gamma_{2,i} = \langle \emptyset, [k-2r-5+i], \emptyset \rangle, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3. \]
Recalling that $\Gamma_2[2] = \Gamma_2[2] \oplus I$, where $I$ denotes the 1-factor $\{z, z\}$ or $z \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\}$ of $K_{2k}$, by Lemma 4.2 we have that

$$\Gamma_2[2] + w_2 = \oplus_{\ell=1}^3 (\Gamma_{2, \ell} + \ell)[2] \oplus (\Gamma_{2, 0} + r)[2] \oplus (I + \nu).$$

By Lemmas 5.3 and 3.4 there exist a $k$-cycle $A = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_4, ... , Ak)$ of $\Gamma_2[2] + r$, a $k$-cycle $B_1 = (x_{i, 0}, y_{i, 1}, b_{i, 1}, ... , b_{i, k-1})$ of $\Gamma_{2, 1} + \ell$, and a $k$-cycle $B_i = (y_{i, 0}, b_{i, 1}, ... , b_{i, k-1}) \Gamma_{2, i} + \ell$, for $2 \leq i \leq 3$, satisfying the following properties:

- $Dev\{x_1, x_2\}$ and $Dev\{x_2, x_3\}$ are $k$-cycles with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$.
- $Dev\{y_4, y_5\}$ are $k$-cycles with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}$.
- $x_{1, 0}, x_{2, 1}, x_{3, 1} \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0\}$, $y_{1, 1}, y_{2, 0}, y_{3, 0} \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{1\}$.
- $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 Orb(B_i) \cup Orb(A)$ is a $k$-cycle system of $\Gamma_2[2] + (3\ell + r)$.

Set $A' = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_4, y_5, a_7, a_8, ... , a_{k-1}, a_k)$ and let $S = \{\sigma(A'), \sigma(A')\} \cup \{\sigma(B_i), \sigma(B_i)\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. By Lemma 4.3, we have that $\bigcup_{S \in S} Orb(S)$ is a $k$-sun system of $\Gamma_2[2] + (3\ell + r)$.

To construct a $k$-sun system of $\Gamma_2[2] + w_2$, we build a family $T = \{T_0, T_1, ..., T_7\}$ of $k$-suns by modifying the graphs in $S$ so that $\bigcup_{T \in T} Orb(T)$ covers all the edges incident with $\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3$ when $\nu = 3$. We then construct further $(2\nu + 1) k$-suns $G_1, G_2, ..., G_{2\nu+1}$ which cover the remaining edges exactly once. Hence, $\bigcup_{T \in T} T \cup \{G_1, G_2, ..., G_{2\nu+1}\}$ is a $k$-sun system of $\Gamma_2[2] + w_2$.

The graphs $T_0, ..., T_7$ and $G_1, ..., G_{2\nu+1}$ are the following, where as before the elements in bold are the replaced vertices:

- $T_0 = \begin{cases} \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_4, y_5, a_7, a_8, ... , a_{k-1}, a_k\} & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\ \{x_1, x_2, y_4, y_5, a_7, a_8, ... , a_{k-1}, a_k\} & \text{if } \nu = 3, \\ \{y_4, y_5, a_7, a_8, ... , a_{k-1}, a_k\} & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\ \{y_4, y_5, a_7, a_8, ... , a_{k-1}, a_k\} & \text{if } \nu = 3, \end{cases}$

- $T_2 = \begin{cases} \{x_{i, 0}, y_{1, 1}, b_{i, 1}, b_{i, 2}, ... , b_{i, k-1}, b_{i, k-1}\} & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\ \{x_{i, 0}, y_{1, 1}, b_{i, 1}, b_{i, 2}, ... , b_{i, k-1}, b_{i, k-1}\} & \text{if } \nu = 3, \\ \{y_{2, 0}, x_{2, 1}, b_{2, 2}, b_{2, 3}, ... , b_{2, k-1}, b_{2, k-1}\} & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\ \{y_{2, 0}, x_{2, 1}, b_{2, 2}, b_{2, 3}, ... , b_{2, k-1}, b_{2, k-1}\} & \text{if } \nu = 3. \end{cases}$
By recalling (9)–(11), it is not difficult to check that the graphs $G_h$ are $k$-suns. 

**Theorem 4.12.** Let $k = 3 \mod 4 \geq 7$ and $n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4$ with $2k < n < 10k$. If $\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{4} \right\rfloor$ is odd, and $n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 2\ell$ and $n \notin \{11, 100, (11, 101)\}$, there is a $k$-sun system of $K_{4k} + n$ except possibly when $(k, n) \in \{(11, 100), (11, 101)\}$.

**Proof.** Let $n = 2(q\ell + r) + \nu$ with $1 \leq r \leq \ell$ and $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.11 and considering that $n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 2\ell$ and $(k, n) \notin \{(11, 100), (11, 101)\}$, we have that

\[
q \text{ is odd with } 3 \leq q \leq 9, r = \ell - 1 \geq 2, r \text{ is even, and } (\ell, q) \neq (5, 9).
\]

As before, let $V(K_{4k} + n) = (\mathbb{Z}_k \times [0, 3]) \cup \{\infty_h \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_n - \nu\} \cup \{\infty_1', \infty_2', \infty_\nu\}$.

We start decomposing $K_{2k}$ into the following two graphs

$\Gamma_1 = \langle [3, \ell], \{0\}, [3, \ell] \rangle$, and $\Gamma_2 = \langle \{1, 2\}, [1, k - 1], \{1, 2\} \rangle$.

Considering (12), we can further decompose $\Gamma_1$ into the following two graphs:

$\Gamma_{1,1} = \langle 3, q + 3 \rangle, \{0\}, [3, q + 3] \rangle$, $\Gamma_{1,2} = \langle [q + 5, \ell], \emptyset, [q + 5, \ell] \rangle$.

By Lemma 4.5, the graph $\Gamma_{1,1}$ decomposes into $q$ 1-factors, say $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_q$. Letting $w_1 = q\ell$, by Lemma 4.2 we have that

$\Gamma_1 + w_1 = (\Gamma_{1,1} + w_1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,2} = \oplus_{i=1}^q (J_i + \ell) \oplus \Gamma_{1,2}$.

Lemmas 3.3 and 3.1 guarantee that each $J_i + \ell$ and $\Gamma_{1,2}$ decompose into $k$-cycles, hence $\Gamma_1 + w_1$ has a $k$-cycle system. Let $r_1$ and $r_2$ be odd positive integers such that $r = \ell - 1 - r_1 + r_2$. Then, setting $w_2 = n - 2w_1 = 2(r_1 + r_2) + \nu$ and recalling that $K_{2k} = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$, by Lemma 4.6 it is left to show that $\Gamma_2[2] + w_2$ has a $k$-sun system.

We start decomposing $\Gamma_2$ into the following graphs:

$\Gamma_{2,1} = \langle \{1\}, [1, k - 2r_1 - 2], \{1\} \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{2,2} = \langle \{2\}, \{2\}, [k - 2r_1 - 1, k - 1], \{2\} \rangle$.

Recalling that $\Gamma_2^*[2] = \Gamma_2[2] \oplus I$, where $I$ denotes the 1-factor $\{z, \emptyset \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}_k \times \{0, 1\}\}$ of $K_{4k}$, by Lemma 4.2 we have that

$\Gamma_2^*[2] + w_2 = (\Gamma_{2,1} + r_1)[2] \oplus (\Gamma_{2,2} + r_2)[2] \oplus (I + \nu)$. 

(13)
By Lemma 3.3 there is a k-cycle $A = (y_1, y_2, x_3, x_4, a_5, \ldots, a_k)$ of $\Gamma_{2, 1} + r_1$ and $B = (x_1, x_2, y_3, y_4, b_5, \ldots, b_k)$ of $\Gamma_{2, 2} + r_2$ such that

$$\text{Orb}(A) \cup \text{Orb}(B)$$

is a k-cycle of $\Gamma_{2} + r,

$$\text{Dev}(\{x_3, x_4\})$$

and $\text{Dev}(\{x_1, x_2\})$ are k-cycles with vertices in $Z_k \times \{0\},

$$\text{Dev}(\{y_1, y_2\})$$

and $\text{Dev}(\{y_3, y_4\})$ are k-cycles with vertices in $Z_k \times \{1\}.$

Set $A' = (y_1, \overline{y_2}, x_3, \overline{x_4}, a_5, a_6, \ldots, a_k),$ $B' = (x_1, \overline{x_2}, \overline{y_3}, y_4, b_5, b_6, \ldots, b_k)$ and let $S = \{\sigma(A'), \sigma(A'), \sigma(B'), \sigma(B')\}.$ By Lemma 4.5 we have that $\bigcup_{S \in S} \text{Orb}(S)$ is a k-sun system of $(\Gamma_2'[2] + w_2) \setminus (I + \nu).

To construct a k-sun system of $\Gamma_2'[2] + w_2,$ we build a family $T = \{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\}$ of four k-suns, each of which is obtained from a graph in $S$ by replacing some of their vertices with $\infty_1', \infty_2', \infty_3'$ when $\nu = 3.$ Then we construct further $(2\nu + 1)$-k-suns $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{2\nu+1}$ so that $\bigcup_{T \in T} \text{Orb}(T) \cup \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{2\nu+1}\}$ is a k-sun system of $\Gamma_2'[2] + w_2.$

$$T_1 = \begin{cases} (y_1, \overline{y_2}, x_3, \overline{x_4}, a_5, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
(\infty_1', \infty_2', x_4, \overline{a_5}, \overline{a_6}, \ldots, \overline{a_k}, \overline{y_1}) & \text{if } \nu = 3,
\end{cases}$$

$$T_2 = \begin{cases} (y_1, \overline{y_2}, x_3, \overline{x_4}, a_5, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
(\infty_1', \infty_2', y_4, \overline{y_3}, \overline{a_5}, \overline{a_6}, \ldots, \overline{a_k}, y_1) & \text{if } \nu = 3,
\end{cases}$$

$$T_3 = \begin{cases} (\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, y_3, \overline{y_4}, b_5, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_k) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
(\infty_1', \infty_2', \overline{y_3}, \overline{y_4}, \overline{b_5}, \ldots, \overline{b_{k-1}}, y_1) & \text{if } \nu = 3,
\end{cases}$$

$$T_4 = \begin{cases} (\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, y_3, \overline{y_4}, b_5, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_k) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
(\infty_1', \infty_2', \overline{y_3}, \overline{y_4}, \overline{b_5}, \ldots, \overline{b_{k-1}}, y_1) & \text{if } \nu = 3,
\end{cases}$$

$$G_1 = \text{Dev}(y_1 \sim y_2 \sim x_3),
G_2 = \text{Dev}(\overline{y_1} \sim \overline{y_2} \sim \overline{x_3}),$$

$$G_3 = \text{Dev}(\overline{y_4} \sim y_3 \sim x_3),
G_4 = \text{Dev}(x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \overline{x_3}),$$

$$G_5 = \begin{cases} \text{Dev}(\overline{y_1} \sim \overline{x_2} \sim y_3) & \text{if } \nu = 2, \\
\text{Dev}(\overline{y_1}, \overline{x_2}) & \text{if } \nu = 3,
\end{cases}$$

$$G_6 = \text{Dev}(y_4 \sim y_3 \sim x_3),
G_7 = \text{Dev}(x_4 \sim x_3 \sim \overline{y_2}).$$

By (13), it is not difficult to check that the graphs $G_h$ are k-suns.

5. IT IS SUFFICIENT TO SOLVE $2k < v < 6k$

In this section we show that if the necessary conditions in (1), for the existence of a k-sun system of $K_v,$ are sufficient for all $v$ satisfying $2k < v < 6k,$ then they are sufficient for all $v.$ In other words, we prove Theorem 1.1

We start by showing how to construct k-sun systems of $K_{g \times h}$ (i.e., the complete multipartite graph with $g$ parts each of size $h$) when $h = 4k.$

**Theorem 5.1.** For any odd integer $k \geq 3$ and any integer $g \geq 3,$ there exists a k-sun system of $K_{g \times 4k}.$
Proof. Set \( V(K_{g \times 2k}) = \mathbb{Z}_g \times [0, 1] \) and let \( K_{g \times 4k} = K_{g \times 2k}[2] \). In [11, Theorem 2] the authors proved the existence of a \( k \)-cycle system of \( K_{g \times 2k} \). By applying Lemma 4.5 (with \( \Gamma = K_{g \times 2k} \) and \( u = 0 \)) we obtain the existence of a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{g \times 4k} \).

The following result exploits Theorem 5.1 and shows how to construct \( k \)-sun systems of \( K_{4kg + n} \), for \( g \neq 2 \), starting from a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{4k} + n \) and a \( k \)-sun system of either \( K_n \) or \( K_{4k+n} \).

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( k \geq 3 \) be an odd integer and assume that both the following conditions hold:

1. there exists a \( k \)-sun system of either \( K_n \) or \( K_{4k+n} \);
2. there exists a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{4k} + n \).

Then there is a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{4kg+n} \) for all positive \( g \neq 2 \).

Proof. Suppose there exists a \( k \)-sun system \( S_1 \) of \( K_n \), also, by (2), there exists a \( k \)-sun system \( S_2 \) of \( K_{4k} + n \). Clearly, \( S_1 \cup S_2 \) is a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{n+4k} = K_n \oplus (K_{4k} + n) \). Hence we can suppose \( g \geq 3 \). Let \( V, H \) and \( G \) be sets of size \( n, 4k \) and \( g \), respectively, such that \( V \cap (H \times G) = \emptyset \). Let \( S \) be a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_n \) (resp., \( K_{n+4k} \)) with vertex set \( V \) (resp., \( V \cup (H \times \{x_0\}) \)) for some \( x_0 \in G \). By assumption, for each \( x \in G \), there is a \( k \)-sun system, say \( B_x \), of \( K_{4k} + n \) with vertex set \( V \cup (H \times \{x\}) \), where \( V(K_{4k}) = H \times \{x\} \). Also, by Theorem 5.1 there is a \( k \)-sun system \( C \) of \( K_{g \times 4k} \) whose parts are \( H \times \{x\} \) with \( x \in G \). Hence the \( k \)-suns of \( B_x \) with \( x \in G \) (resp., \( x \in G \setminus \{x_0\} \)), \( S \) and \( C \) form a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{n+4kg} \) with vertex set \( V \cup (H \times G) \). \( \square \)

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( k \geq 3 \) be an odd integer and \( v > 1 \). Conjecture \([1]\) is true if and only if there exists a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_v \) for all \( v \) satisfying the necessary conditions in \([1]\) with \( 2k < v < 6k \).

Proof. The existence of 3-sun systems and 5-sun systems has been solved in [10] and in [8], respectively. Hence we can suppose \( k \geq 7 \) and \( 2k < v < 6k \).

We first deal with the case where \((k, v) \neq (7, 21)\). By assumption there exists a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_v \), which implies \( v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), hence Theorem 4.1 guarantees the existence of a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{4k} + v \). Therefore, by Theorem 5.2 there is a \( k \)-sun decomposition of \( K_{4kg+v} \) whenever \( g \neq 2 \). To decompose \( K_{8k+v} \) into \( k \)-suns, we first decompose \( K_{8k+v} \) into \( K_{4k+v} \) and \( K_{4k} + (4k + v) \). By Theorem 5.2 (with \( g = 1 \)), there is a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{4k+v} \). Furthermore, Theorem 4.1 guarantees the existence of a \( k \)-sun system of \( K_{4k} + (4k + v) \), except possibly when \((k, 4k + v) \in \{(7, 56), (7, 57), (7, 64), (11, 100)\} \). Therefore, by Theorem 5.2 there is a \( k \)-sun decomposition of \( K_{8k+v} \) whenever \((k, 4k + v) \neq \{(7, 56), (7, 57), (7, 64), (11, 100)\} \). For each of these for cases we construct \( k \)-sun systems of \( K_{8k+v} \) as follows.
If $k = 7$ and $4k + v = 56$, set $V(K_{84}) = \mathbb{Z}_{83} \cup \{ \infty \}$. We consider the following 7-suns

\[
T_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & 3 & -4 & 6 & -7 & 16 \\
31 & 27 & 37 & 18 & 43 & 12 & 56
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -2 & 3 & -5 & 6 & -8 & 17 \\
32 & 27 & 38 & 19 & 44 & 12 & 58
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -3 & 3 & -6 & 6 & -9 & 18 \\
33 & 27 & 39 & 20 & 45 & 12 & \infty
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

One can easily check that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{83}}(T_i)$ is a 7-sun system of $K_{84}$.

If $k = 7$ and $4k + v = 57$, set $V(K_{85}) = \mathbb{Z}_{85}$. Let $T_1$ and $T_2$ be defined as above, and let $T'_3$ be the graph obtained from $T_3$ replacing $\infty$ with 60. It is immediate that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{85}}(T_i) \cup \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{85}}(T'_3)$ is a 7-sun system of $K_{85}$.

If $k = 7$ and $4k + v = 64$, set $V(K_{92}) = (\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_{13}) \cup \{ \infty \}$. We consider the following 7-suns

\[
T_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (1, 1) & -2, 1 & (3, 1) & -4, 1 & (5, 1) & -6, 1 \\
\infty & (−1, 1) & (2, 7) & (−3, 5) & (−3, 5) & (5, 7) & (6, 7)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (1, 2) & -2, 2 & (3, 2) & -4, 2 & (5, 2) & -6, 2 \\
0, 10 & (−1, 8) & (2, 8) & (−3, 7) & (−3, 7) & (5, 8) & (6, 8)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (1, 3) & -2, 3 & (3, 3) & -4, 3 & (5, 3) & -6, 3 \\
0, 12 & (−1, 9) & (2, 9) & (−3, 9) & (−3, 9) & (5, 9) & (6, 9)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

\[
T_4 = \text{Dev}_{2}((0, 0) \sim (4, 0) \sim (6, 8)), \quad T_5 = \text{Dev}_{2}((0, 0) \sim (6, 0) \sim (6, 8)).
\]

One can easily check that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_{13}}(T_i) \cup \bigcup_{i=4}^{6} \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_{13}}(T_i)$ is a 7-sun system of $K_{92}$.

If $k = 11$ and $4k + v = 100$, set $V(K_{144}) = (\mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{13}) \cup \{ \infty \}$. We consider the following 11-suns

\[
T_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (1, 1) & -2, 1 & (3, 1) & -4, 1 & (5, 1) & -6, 1 \\
\infty & (−1, 1) & (2, 7) & (−3, 7) & (4, 7) & (−5, 1) & (−5, 5)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (1, 2) & -2, 2 & (3, 2) & -4, 2 & (5, 2) & -6, 2 \\
0, 10 & (−1, 8) & (2, 8) & (−3, 8) & (4, 8) & (−5, 6) & (−5, 7)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (1, 3) & -2, 3 & (3, 3) & -4, 3 & (5, 3) & -6, 3 \\
0, 12 & (−1, 9) & (2, 9) & (−3, 9) & (4, 9) & (−5, 9) & (−5, 9)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_4 = \text{Dev}_{2}((0, 0) \sim (4, 0) \sim (6, 8)), \quad T_5 = \text{Dev}_{2}((0, 0) \sim (6, 0) \sim (5, 8)).
\]

\[
T_6 = \text{Dev}_{2}((0, 0) \sim (8, 0) \sim (8, 8)).
\]

One can check that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{13}}(T_i) \cup \bigcup_{i=4}^{6} \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{13}}(T_i)$ is a 11-sun system of $K_{144}$.
It is left to prove the existence of a \(k\)-sun system of \(K_{4k+1}\) when \((k, v) = (7, 21)\) and for every \(g \geq 1\). If \(g = 1\), a 7-sun system of \(K_{49}\) can be obtained as a particular case of the following construction. Let \(p\) be a prime, \(q = p^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\) and \(r\) be a primitive root of \(\mathbb{F}q\). Setting \(S = \text{Dev}(r)(0 \sim r \sim r + 1)\) where \(\langle r \rangle = \{jr \mid 1 \leq j \leq p\}\), we have that \(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{F}q}(r^{2i}S)\) is a \(p\)-sun system of \(K_{q}\).

If \(g \geq 2\), we notice that \(K_{28g+21} = K_{28(g-1)+49}\). Considering the 7-sun system of \(K_{49}\) just built, and recalling that by Theorem 4.1 there is a 7-sun system of \(K_{28} + 49\), then Theorem 5 guarantees the existence of a 7-sun system of \(K_{28(g-1)+49}\) whenever \(g \neq 3\). When \(g = 3\), a 7-sun system of \(K_{105}\) is constructed as follows. Let \(V(K_{105}) = \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_{15}\). Let \(S_{i,j}\) and \(T\) be the 7-suns defined below, where \((i, j) \in X = ([1, 3] \times [1, 7]) \setminus \{(1, 3), (1, 6)\}\), as follows:

\[
S_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (i, j/2) & (2i, j) & (3i, 0) & (4i, j) & (5i, 0) & (6i, j) \\
(i, -j/2) & (2i, 0) & (3i, 2j) & (4i, -j) & (5i, 2j) & (6i, -j) & (0, 2j)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (0, 7) & (0, 2) & (0, 5) & (0, -1) & (0, 3) & (0, 1) \\
(2, 0) & (3, 7) & (1, 2) & (1, 8) & (1, 5) & (1, 0) & (1, 10)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

One can check that \(\bigcup_{(i,j) \in X} \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{15}}(S_{i,j}) \cup \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{15}}(T)\) is a 7-sun system of \(K_{105}\).

\[\square\]

6. Construction of \(p\)-sun systems, \(p\) prime

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Clearly in view of Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to construct a \(p\)-sun system of \(K_v\) for any admissible \(v\) with \(2p < v < 6p\). Hence, we are going to prove the following result.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime and let \(v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4p}\) with \(2p < v < 6p\). Then there exists a \(p\)-sun system of \(K_v\).

Since the existence of \(p\)-sun systems with \(p = 3, 5\) has been proved in [10] and in [8], respectively, here we can assume \(p \geq 7\).

It is immediate to see that by the necessary conditions for the existence of a \(p\)-sun system of \(K_v\), it follows that \(v\) lies in one of the following congruence classes modulo \(4p\):

1. \(v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4p}\);
2. \(v \equiv p, 3p + 1 \pmod{4p}\) if \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\);
3. \(v \equiv p + 1, 3p \pmod{4p}\) if \(p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\).

If \(v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4p}\) we present a direct construction which holds more in general for \(p = k\), where \(k\) is an odd integer and not necessarily a prime.

**Theorem 6.2.** For any \(k = 2t + 1 \geq 7\) there exists a \(k\)-sun system of \(K_{4k+1}\) and a \(k\)-sun system of \(K_{4k}\).

**Proof.** Let \(C\) be the \(k\)-cycle with vertices in \(\mathbb{Z}\) so defined:

\[C = (0, -1, 1, -2, 2, -3, 3, \ldots, 1-t, t-1, -t, 2t)\]

Note that the list \(D_1\) of the positive differences in \(\mathbb{Z}\) of \(C\) is \(D_1 = [1, 2t] \cup \{3t\}\). Consider now the ordered \(k\)-set \(D_2 = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k\}\) so defined:

\[D_2 = [2t + 1, 3t - 1] \cup [3t + 1, 4t + 2]\]
Obviously $D_1 \cup D_2 = [1, 2k]$. Let $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k\}$ be the increasing order of the vertices of the cycle $C$ and set $\ell_r = c_r + d_r$ for every $r \in [1, k]$, with $r \neq \frac{2k}{3}$ and $\ell_{r+1} = c_{r+1} - d_{r+1}$. It is not hard to see that $V = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_k\}$ is a set. Note also that the difference between the largest and the smallest element of $V$ is $7t + 2$. Let $S$ be the sun obtainable from $C$ by adding the pendent edges $\{c_i, \ell_i\}$ for $i \in [1, k]$. Clearly, $\Delta S = \pm(D_1 \cup D_2) = \pm[1, 2k]$. So we can conclude that if we consider the vertices of $S$ as elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{4k+1}$, the vertices are still pairwise distinct and $\Delta S = \mathbb{Z}_{4k+1} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, by applying Corollary 2.2 (with $G = \mathbb{Z}_{4k+1}, m = 1, w = 0$), it follows that $\text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{4k+1}} S$ is a $k$-sun system of $K_{4k+1}$.

Now we construct a $k$-sun system of $K_{4k}$. Let $S$ be defined as above and note that $d_k = 2k$. Let $S^*$ be the sun obtained by $S$ setting $\ell_k = \infty$. It is immediate that if we consider the vertices of $S^*$ as elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{4k-1} \cup \{\infty\}$, then Corollary 2.2 (with $G = \mathbb{Z}_{4k-1}, m = 1, w = 1$) guarantees that $\text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{4k-1}} S^*$ is a $k$-sun system of $K_{4k}$. \hfill $\Box$

**Example 6.3.** Let $k = 2t + 1 = 9$, hence $t = 4$. By following the proof of Theorem 6.2, we construct a 9-sun system of $K_{37}$. Taking $C = (0, -1, 1, -2, 2, -3, 3, -4, 8)$, we have that
\[
\{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_9\} = [9, 11] \cup [13, 18],
\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_9\} = \{-4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 8\}.
\]
Hence $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_9\} = \{5, 7, 9, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 26\}$ and we obtain the following 9-sun $S$ with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}_{37}$:
\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 2 & -3 & 3 & -4 & 8 \\
14 & 12 & 16 & 18 & 7 & 20 & 5 & 26
\end{pmatrix},
\]
such that $\Delta S = \mathbb{Z}_{37} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, $\text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{37}} S$ is a 9-sun system of $K_{37}$.

From now on, we assume that $p$ is an odd prime number and denote by $\Sigma$ the following $p$-sun:
\[
\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
c_0 & c_1 & \ldots & c_{p-2} & c_{p-1} \\
\ell_0 & \ell_1 & \ldots & \ell_{p-2} & \ell_{p-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Lemma 6.4.** Let $p$ be an odd prime. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $x \neq 0$ and any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $i \neq j$ there exists a $p$-sun $S$ such that $\Delta_{ii} S = \pm x$, $\Delta_{jj} S = \pm y$, $\Delta_{ij} S = \pm y$ and $\Delta_{kk} S = \emptyset$ for any $(h, k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m) \setminus \{(i, i), (i, j), (j, i)\}$.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that $S = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \{0\}} ((0, i) \sim (x, i) \sim (y + x, j))$ is the required $p$-sun. \hfill $\Box$

We will call such a $p$-sun a sun of type $(i, j)$. For the following it is important to note that if $S$ is a $p$-sun of type $(i, j)$, then $|\Delta_{ii} S| = 2$, $|\Delta_{jj} S| = 0$ and $|\Delta_{ij} S| = |\Delta_{ji} S| = 1$.

The following two propositions provide us $p$-sun systems of $K_{mp+1}$ whenever $m \in \{3, 5\}$ and $p \equiv m - 2 \pmod{4}$.

**Proposition 6.5.** Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \geq 13$ be a prime. Then there exists a $p$-sun system of $K_{3p+1}$.

**Proof.** We have to distinguish two cases according to the congruence of $p$ modulo 12.

Case 1. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$.
If \( p = 13 \), we construct a 13-sun system of \( K_{40} \) as follows. Let \( S \) be the following 13-sun whose vertices are labelled with elements of \((\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup \{\infty\}\):

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
\infty & (2, 1) & (4, 2) & (8, 0) & (3, 1) & (6, 2) & (12, 0) \\
(0, 2) & (4, 1) & (8, 1) & (3, 2) & (6, 0) & (12, 1) & (11, 2) \\
(11, 1) & (9, 2) & (5, 0) & (10, 1) & (7, 2) & (1, 0) & \\
(9, 0) & (5, 1) & (10, 2) & (7, 0) & (1, 1) & (2, 2) & 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have:

\[
\Delta_{i2} S = \Delta_{21} S = \pm\{2, 3, 4, 6\}, \quad \Delta_{02} S = \Delta_{20} S = \pm\{1, 4, 5, 6\}, \\
\Delta_{01} S = -\Delta_{10} S = \{1, -2, \pm 3, \pm 5\}, \quad \Delta_{00} S = \Delta_{22} S = \emptyset, \quad \Delta_{11} S = \pm\{2\}.
\]

Now it remains to construct a set \( \mathcal{T} \) of edge-disjoint 13-suns such that

\[
\Delta_{i2} \mathcal{T} = \Delta_{21} \mathcal{T} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 5\}, \quad \Delta_{02} \mathcal{T} = \Delta_{20} \mathcal{T} = \{0, \pm 2, \pm 3\}, \\
\Delta_{01} \mathcal{T} = -\Delta_{10} \mathcal{T} = \{0, -1, 2, \pm 4, \pm 6\}, \quad \Delta_{00} \mathcal{T} = \Delta_{22} \mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}_{13}^*, \quad \Delta_{11} \mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}_{13} \setminus \{\pm 2\}.
\]

In order to do this it is sufficient to take, \( \mathcal{T} = \{T_{01}^i \mid i \in [1, 4]\} \cup \{T_{02}^i \mid i \in [1, 2]\} \cup \{T_{10}^i \mid i \in [1, 3]\} \cup \{T_{12}^i \mid i \in [1, 2]\} \cup \{T_{20}^i \mid i \in [1, 3]\} \cup \{T_{21}^i \mid i \in [1, 3]\}, \)

where:

\[
T_{01}^i = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \{0\}} ((0, 0) \sim (x_i, 0) \sim (y_i + x_i, 1)), \text{ where } x_i \in [1, 4], y_i \in \pm\{4, 6\}, \\
T_{02}^i = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \{0\}} ((0, 0) \sim (x_i, 0) \sim (y_i + x_i, 2)), \text{ where } x_i \in [5, 6], y_i \in \pm\{2\}, \\
T_{10}^i = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \{0\}} ((0, 1) \sim (x_i, 1) \sim (y_i + x_i, 0)), \text{ where } x_i \in [1, 3], y_i \in \{0, -1, 2\}, \\
T_{12}^i = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \{0\}} ((0, 1) \sim (x_i, 1) \sim (y_i + x_i, 2)), \text{ where } x_i \in [5, 6], y_i \in \pm\{1\}, \\
T_{20}^i = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \{0\}} ((0, 2) \sim (x_i, 2) \sim (y_i + x_i, 0)), \text{ where } x_i \in [1, 3], y_i \in \{0, \pm 3\}, \\
T_{21}^i = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \{0\}} ((0, 2) \sim (x_i, 2) \sim (y_i + x_i, 1)), \text{ where } x_i \in [4, 6], y_i \in \{0, \pm 5\}.
\]

We have that \( \mathcal{T} \cup \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \{0\}} S \) is a 13-sun system of \( K_{40} \).

Suppose now that \( p \geq 37 \). We proceed in a very similar way to the previous case. Let \( r \) be a primitive root of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). Consider the \((\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup \{\infty\}\)-labeling \( B \) of \( \Sigma \) so defined:

\[
B(c_0) = \infty; \quad B(c_i) = (r^i, i) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1
\]

\[
B(\ell_0) = (0, 2); \quad B(\ell_i) = \begin{cases} 
(r^{i+1}, i) & \text{for } i \in [\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p+1}{2}] \text{ with } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\
(r^{i-1}, i) & \text{for } i \in [\frac{2p+1}{3}, \frac{3p-27}{4}] \text{ with } i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.
\end{cases}
\]

Letting \( S = B(\Sigma) \), it is immediate that the labels of the vertices of \( S \) are pairwise distinct. Note that

\[
|\Delta_{00} S| = |\Delta_{22} S| = 0, \quad |\Delta_{11} S| = |\Delta_{10} S| = \frac{5p + 7}{12}, \\
|\Delta_{ij} S| = \frac{2p - 2}{3} \text{ for } (i, j) \in \{(0, 2), (1, 2), (2, 0), (2, 1)\}.
\]

Hence, reasoning as in previous case, we have to construct a set \( \mathcal{T} \) of \( p \)-suns such that if \( i \neq j \) then \( \Delta_{ij} \mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \Delta_{ij} S \) is a set and also \( \Delta_{ii} \mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}_p^* \setminus \Delta_{ii} S \) is a set. In particular, this implies that for any \( T, T' \in \mathcal{T} \) we have \( \Delta_{ij} T \cap \Delta_{ij} T' = \emptyset \)

and that \( |\Delta_{00} T| = |\Delta_{22} T| = p - 1, |\Delta_{11} T| = \frac{5p + 7}{2}, |\Delta_{ij} T| = \frac{2p - 2}{3} \) for \( (i, j) \in \{(0, 2), (1, 2), (2, 0), (2, 1)\} \); and \( |\Delta_{01} T| = |\Delta_{10} T| = \frac{2p + 3}{6} \). In order to do this it is sufficient to take \( \mathcal{T} \) as a set consisting of \( \frac{p-1}{2} \) sums of type \((0, 1)\), \( \frac{p-1}{12} \) sums of...
type \((1,0)\), \(\frac{p+1}{6}\) suns of \((1,2)\), \(\frac{p+2}{3}\) suns of \((2,0)\), \(\frac{p-7}{6}\) suns of \((2,1)\), which exist in view of Lemma 6.4. We have that \(Orb_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \{0\}}(S) \cup T\) is a \(p\)-sun system of \(K_{5p+1}\).

Case 2. Let \(p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}\). Let \(r\) be a primitive root of \(\mathbb{Z}_p\). Consider the \(((\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cup \{\infty\})\)-labeling \(B\) of \(\Sigma\) so defined:

\[
B(c_0) = \infty; \quad B(c_i) = (r^i, i) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq p - 2; \quad B(c_{p-1}) = (1,0);
\]

\[
B(\ell_0) = (0,2); \quad B(\ell_1) = (r,2); \quad B(\ell_i) = \begin{cases} (r^{i-1}, i + 1) & \text{for } i \in [2, \frac{p-1}{2}] \\ (r^{i+1}, i + 2) & \text{for } i \in [\frac{p+1}{2}, p - 3] \end{cases}
\]

\[
B(\ell_{p-2}) = (1,1); \quad B(\ell_{p-1}) = (1,2);
\]

except for \(\frac{p-17}{6}\) values of \(i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\) with \(i \in [3, \frac{p-1}{2}]\) for which we set \(B(\ell_i) = (r^{i-1}, i)\) and \(\frac{p-5}{12}\) values of \(i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\) with \(i \in [\frac{p+1}{2}, p - 5]\) for which we set \(B(\ell_i) = (r^{i+1}, i)\). Letting \(S = B(\Sigma)\), it is easy to see that the labels of the vertices of \(S\) are pairwise distinct. Note that

\[
|\Delta_{00} S| = \frac{p - 9}{2}, \quad |\Delta_{11} S| = |\Delta_{22} S| = 0, \quad |\Delta_{01} S| = |\Delta_{10} S| = \frac{p + 1}{2}, \quad |\Delta_{02} S| = |\Delta_{20} S| = \frac{7p + 1}{12}, \quad |\Delta_{12} S| = |\Delta_{21} S| = 2p - 4.
\]

Hence, we have to construct a set \(T\) of \(p\)-suns such that \(|\Delta_{11} T| = |\Delta_{22} T| = p - 1, |\Delta_{00} T| = \frac{p + 7}{2}, |\Delta_{01} T| = |\Delta_{10} T| = \frac{p - 1}{2}, |\Delta_{02} T| = |\Delta_{20} T| = \frac{5p - 1}{12}\), and \(|\Delta_{12} T| = |\Delta_{21} T| = \frac{p + 4}{3}\). In order to do this it is sufficient to take \(T\) as a set consisting of \(\frac{p+2}{3}\) suns of \((0,1)\), \(\frac{p+9}{12}\) suns of \((1,0)\), \(\frac{p+7}{6}\) suns of \((2,0)\), and \(\frac{p-5}{12}\) suns of \((2,1)\) which exist in view of Lemma 6.4. We have that \(Orb_{\mathbb{Z}_p} S \cup T\) is a \(p\)-sun system of \(K_{5p+1}\).

**Proposition 6.6.** For any prime \(p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\) there exists a \(p\)-sun system of \(K_{5p+1}\).

**Proof.** Set \(p = 4n + 3\), and let \(Y = [1,n]\) and \(X = [n + 1, 2n + 1]\). Consider the following \(((\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_5) \cup \{\infty\})\)-labeling \(B\) of \(\Sigma\) defined as follows:

\[
B(c_0) = (0,0); \quad B(c_i) = (-1)^{i+1}(i,1) \quad \text{for every } i \in [1, p - 1];
\]

\[
B(\ell_0) = \infty; \quad B(\ell_y) = (-1)^{y}(y, -1) \quad \text{for every } y \in Y;
\]

\[
B(\ell_{2n+1}) = (-2n - 1, 3); \quad B(\ell_{2n+2}) = (-2n - 1, -3);
\]

\[
B(\ell_i) = (-1)^{i}(i, 3) \quad \text{for every } i \in [1, p - 1] \setminus (Y \cup \{2n + 1, 2n + 2\}).
\]

One can directly check that the vertices of \(S = B(\Sigma)\) are pairwise distinct. Also, it is not hard to verify that \(\Delta S\) does not have repetitions and that its complement in \((\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_5) \setminus \{(0,0)\}\) is the set

\[
D = \{\pm(2x, 0) \mid x \in X\} \cup \{\pm(2y, 4) \mid y \in Y\} \cup \{\pm(0,1)\}.
\]

Clearly, \(D\) can be partitioned into \(n\) quadruples of the form \(D_x = \{\pm(2x, 0), \pm (r_x, s_x)\}\) with \(x \in X\) and \(s_x \neq 0\). Letting

\[
S_x = Dev_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \{0\}}(0,0) \sim (2x, 0) \sim (r_x + 2x, s_x)
\]

for \(x \in X\), it is clear that \(\Delta S_x = D_x\), hence \(\Delta\{S_x \mid x \in X\} = D\). Therefore, Corollary 2.2 guarantees that \(\bigcup_{x \in X} Orb_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \{0\}}(S_{X}) \cup Orb_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \{0\}}(S)\) is a \(p\)-sun system of \(K_{5p+1}\). □
Example 6.7. Here, we construct a 7-sun system of $K_{36}$ following the proof of Proposition 6.6. In this case, $Y = \{1\}$ and $X = \{2, 3\}$. Now consider the 7-sun $S$ defined below, whose vertices lie in $(\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_5) \cup \{\infty\}$:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, 0) & (1, 1) & -(2, 1) & (3, 1) & -(4, 1) & (5, 1) & -(6, 1) \\
\infty & -(1, -1) & (2, 3) & -(3, 3) & -(5, 3) & (6, 3)
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We have

$$\Delta S = \pm\{(1, 1), (3, 2), (5, 2), (0, 2), (2, 2), (4, 2), (6, 1), (2, 0), (4, 4), (6, -2), (1, -2), (3, 4), (5, 4)\}.$$ 

Hence $\Delta S$ does not have repetitions and its complement in $(\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_5) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is the set

$$D = \pm\{(4, 0), (6, 0), (2, 4), (0, 1)\}.$$ 

Now it is sufficient to take

$$S_2 = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \{0\}}((0, 0) \sim (4, 0) \sim (6, 4)) \
S_3 = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \{0\}}((0, 0) \sim (6, 0) \sim (6, 5)).$$

One can check that $\bigcup_{x \in X} \text{Orb}_{(0) \times \mathbb{Z}_5}(S_x) \cup \text{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_5} S$ is a 7-sun system of $K_{36}$.

We finally construct $p$-sun systems of $K_{mp}$ whenever $p \equiv m \pmod{4}$.

Proposition 6.8. Let $m$ and $p$ be odd prime numbers with $m \leq p$ and $m \equiv p \pmod{4}$. Then there exists a $p$-sun system of $K_{mp}$.

Proof. For each pair $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_m$, let $B_{r,s} : V(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ be the labeling of the vertices of $\Sigma$ defined as follows:

$$B_{r,s}(c_0) = (0, 0),$$

$$B_{r,s}(c_i) = B_{r,s}(c_{i-1}) + \begin{cases} (r, s) & \text{if } i \in [1, m+1] \cup \{m+3, m+5, \ldots, p-1\}, \\
(r, -s) & \text{if } i \in \{m+2, m+4, \ldots, p-2\}, \end{cases}$$

$$B_{r,s}(e_i) = B_{r,s}(c_i) + \begin{cases} (r, -s) & \text{if } i \in [0, m] \cup \{m+2, m+4, \ldots, p-2\}, \\
(r, s) & \text{if } i \in \{m+1, m+3, \ldots, p-1\}. \end{cases}$$

Since $B_{r,s}$ is injective, for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ the graph $S^h_{r,s} = \tau_{(0,h)}(B_{r,s}(\Sigma))$ is a $p$-sun. For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, we also notice that $\Delta_{ij} \{S^h_{r,s} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} = \{\pm r\}$ whenever $i - j = \pm s$, otherwise it is empty.

Letting $S$ be the union of the following two sets of $p$-suns:

$$\{S^h_{r,1} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_m, r \in [1, (p+m-2)/4]\},$$

$$\{S^h_{r,s} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_m, r \in [1, (p-1)/2], s \in [2, (m-1)/2]\},$$

it is not difficult to see that for every $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m$

$$\Delta_{ij} S = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } i = j, \\
\pm \left[1, \frac{p+m-2}{4}\right] & \text{if } i - j = \pm 1, \\
\mathbb{Z}_p^* & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is left to construct a set $T$ of $p$-suns such that $\Delta_{ij} T = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \Delta_{ij} S$ whenever $i \neq j$, and $\Delta_{ii} T = \mathbb{Z}_p^* \setminus \Delta_{ii} S = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Therefore,

$$|\Delta_{ij} T| = \begin{cases} p - 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\
\frac{p-m}{2} + 1 & \text{if } i - j = \pm 1, \\
1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
It is enough to take $T$ as a set consisting of one sun of type $(h, h + x)$ and $\frac{r - m}{2}$ sums of type $(h, h + 1)$, for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $x \in \{1, \frac{m - 1}{2}\}$. These $p$-sums exist by Lemma 6.4, therefore $S \cup T$ is the desired $p$-sun system of $K_{mp}$.

**Example 6.9.** Let $(m, p) = (3, 11)$. Following the proof of Proposition 6.8, we construct an 11-sun system of $K_{33}$. For every $h \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $r \in [1, 3]$, let $S_{r, 1}^h$ be the 11-sun defined below:

$$S_{r, 1}^h = \begin{pmatrix}
(0, h) & (r, h + 1) & (2r, h + 2) & (3r, h) & (4r, h + 1) & (5r, h) \\
(r, h + 2) & (2r, h) & (3r, h + 1) & (4r, h + 2) & (5r, h + 2) & (6r, h + 2) \\
(6r, h + 1) & (7r, h) & (8r, h + 1) & (9r, h) & (10r, h + 1) \\
(7r, h + 2) & (8r, h + 2) & (9r, h + 2) & (10r, h + 2) & (0, h + 2)
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

One can check that $\Delta_{ij} \{S_{r, 1}^h, S_{r, 1}^h, S_{r, 1}^h\} = \{\pm r\}$ if $i \neq j$, otherwise it is empty. Therefore, letting $S = \{S_{r, 1}^h \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_3, r \in [1, 3]\}$, we have that $\Delta_{ij} S$ is non-empty only when $i \neq j$, in which case we have $\Delta_{ij} S = \pm[1, 3]$.

Now let $T = \{T_{hg} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_3, g \in [1, 5]\}$ where $T_{hg}$ is the 11-sun defined as follows:

$$T_{hi} = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_3, 1}(\{0, h\} \sim (1, h) \sim (1, h + 1)),
\quad T_{hg} = \text{Dev}_{\mathbb{Z}_3, 1}(\{0, h\} \sim (9, h + 1)), \quad \text{for every } g \in [2, 5].$$

Note that each $T_{hg}$ is an 11-sun of type $(h, h + 1)$. Therefore we have that

$$\Delta_{ij} T = \begin{cases}
\pm[1, 5] & \text{if } 0 \leq i = j \leq 2, \\
\{0\} \cup [4, 7] & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

By Corollary 2.2, it follows that $S \cup T$ is an 11-sun system of $K_{33}$.

We are now ready to show that the necessary conditions for the existence of a $p$-sun system of $K_n$ are also sufficient whenever $p$ is an odd prime. In other words, we end this section by proving Theorem 6.1.

**Proof of Theorem 6.1** If $p = 3, 5$ the result can be found in [10] and in [8], respectively. For $p \geq 7$, the result follows from Propositions 6.3, 6.6 and 6.8.
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