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Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to find an explicit formula and asymptotic estimate for the total number of sum of weighted records over set partitions of $[n]$ in terms of Bell numbers. For that we study the generating function for the number of set partitions of $[n]$ according to the statistic sum of weighted records.
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1 Introduction

Let $\sigma_i$ be an element in the permutation $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i$, we say that $\sigma_i$ is a record of position $i$ if $\sigma_i > \sigma_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, i - 1$. The study of records in permutations interested Rényi [7]. More recently another statistic which depends on records have been studied by Kortchemski [5] who defined the statistic $srec$, where $srec(\pi)$ defined as the sum of the positions of all records of $\pi$. For example, permutation $\pi = 12534$ has 3 records, 1, 2, 5 and $srec(\pi) = 1 + 2 + 3 = 6$. For relevant papers about records you can see for example [2] and [3]. In this Paper we want to focus on partitions of a set. Recall that a partition $\Pi$ of set $[n]$ (a partition of $[n]$ with exactly $k$ blocks) is a collection $\{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k\}$, where $\emptyset \neq B_i \subseteq [n]$ for all $i$ and $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i = [n]$. The elements $B_i$ are called blocks, and we use the assumption that $B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_k$ are listed in increasing order of their minimal elements, that is, $minB_1 < minB_2 < \cdots < minB_k$. The set of all partitions of $[n]$ with exactly $k$ blocks is denoted by $P_{n,k}$ and $|P_{n,k}| = S_{n,k}$, which is known as the Stirling numbers of the second kind [8]. And the set of all partitions of $[n]$ is denoted by $P_n$ and $|P_n| = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{n,k} = B_n$, which is the $n$-th Bell number [8]. Any partition $\Pi$ can be written as $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$, where $i \in B_{\pi_i}$ for all $i$, and this form is called the canonical sequential form. For example $\Pi = \{\{12\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$ is a partition of $[4]$, the canonical sequential form is $\pi = 1123$. For more details about set partitions we suggest Mansour’s book [6]. The important results about records, obtained by Knopfmacher, Mansour and Wagner [4] which state the asymptotic mean value and variance for the number, and for the sum of positions, of record in all partitions of $[n]$ are central to my study. In this paper, we define a new statistic $swrec$, where $swrec(\pi)$ is the sum of the position of a record in $\pi$ multiplied by the value of the record over all the records in $P_n$. We will study this statistic from the point of view of canonical sequential form. For instance, if $\pi = 121132$ the $swrec(\pi) = 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 5 = 20$. 
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2 Main Results

2.1 The ordinary generating function for the number of set partitions according to the statistic \( \text{swrec} \)

Let \( P_k(x, q) \) be the generating function for the number of partitions of \([n]\) with exactly \( k \) blocks according to the statistic \( \text{swrec} \), that is

\[
P_k(x, q) = \sum_{n \geq k} \sum_{\pi \in P_{n,k}} x^n q^{\text{swrec}(\pi)}.
\]

**Theorem 1** The generating function for the number of partitions of \([n]\) with exactly \( k \) blocks according to the statistic \( \text{swrec} \) is given by

\[
P_k(x, q) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{xq^i}{1 - ix} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} q^j.
\]

**Proof** As we know, a set partition of \([n]\) with exactly \( k \) blocks can be presented as canonical sequential form:

\[
\pi = 1^{\pi^{(j)}}(1) 2^{\pi^{(2)}}(2) \cdots k^{\pi^{(k)}}(k)
\]

for some \( k \), where \( \pi^{(j)} \) denotes an arbitrary word over an alphabet \([j]\) including the empty word. Thus, the contribution of \( \pi = 1^{\pi^{(j)}}(1) 2^{\pi^{(2)}}(2) \cdots k^{\pi^{(k)}}(k) \) to the generating function \( P_k(x, q) \) is \( xq^k P_{k-1}(xq^k, q) \) and the contribution of \( \pi^{(k)} \) to the generating function \( P_k(x, q) \) is \( \frac{1}{1-kx} \). Therefore, the corresponding generating function satisfies

\[
P_k(x, q) = \frac{xq^k}{1 - kx} P_{k-1}(xq^k, q)
\]

By using induction on \( k \) together with the initial condition \( P_1(x, q) = \frac{xq}{1-x} \) we obtain the required result.

\(\square\)

2.2 Exact and asymptotic expression for \( \sum_{\pi \in P_n} \text{swrec}(\pi) \)

In this section, we aim to prove that the total number of the swrec over all partitions of \([n]\) is

\[
\frac{3}{4}(B_{n+3} - B_{n+2}) - (n + \frac{7}{4})B_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)B_n.
\]

And we want to show that asymptotically the total number of the swrec over all partitions of \([n]\) is

\[
B_n \frac{n^3}{r^3} \left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)\right),
\]
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where \( r \) is the positive root of \( re^r = n + 1 \).

For that we need to perform the following steps:

- Firstly, we find the partial derivative of \( P_k(x, q) \) with respect to \( q \) and substitute \( q = 1 \), that is \( \frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \big|_{q=1} \).
- Secondly, we pass from \( \frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \big|_{q=1} \) to \( \frac{d}{du} \tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) \big|_{u=q=1} \), where \( \tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) \) is the exponential generating function for the number of partitions of \([n]\) with exactly \( k \) blocks according to the statistic swrec.
- Finally, we derive the total number of swrec over all partitions of \([n]\), and the asymptotic estimate for the total number of swrec over all partitions of \([n]\).

**Lemma 2** For all \( k \geq 1 \),
\[
\frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \big|_{q=1} = \frac{x^k(k^{k+1}/2 + 2(k^{k+1}/3))}{(1-x)\ldots(1-kx)} + \frac{x^{k+1}}{(1-x)\ldots(1-kx)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{i(i+1+k)(k-i)}{2(1-ix)}. \tag{2}
\]

**Proof** By differentiating (1) with respect to \( q \), we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \big|_{q=1} = P_k(x, 1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lim_{q \to 1} \left( \frac{d}{dq} L_i(q) \right), \tag{3}
\]
where
\[
L_i(q) = \frac{xq^i(k+1-i)(k-i)}{1-ix \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} q^j}.
\]

We have
\[
\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{d}{dq} L_i(q) = \frac{x\ell A_{i,k}(x, 1) - x \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{d}{dq} A_{i,k}(x, q)}{(A_{i,k}(x, 1))^2}. \tag{4}
\]

Where \( A_{i,k}(x, q) = 1 - ix \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} q^j \) and \( \ell = (k+1-i)(k-i) + i \). By using the differentiation rules we get \( \frac{d}{dq} A_{i,k}(x, q) = -ix \sum_{m=i+1}^{k} mq^{m-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} q^j \). Therefore,
\[
\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{d}{dq} L_i(q) = \frac{x(2(i + (k+1-i)(k-i))(1-ix) + i(i+1+k)(k-i)x)}{2(1-ix)^2},
\]
which leads to
\[
\lim_{q \to 1} \left( \frac{\frac{d}{dq} L_i(q)}{L_i(q)} \right) = i + (k+1-i)(k-i) + \frac{i(i+1+k)(k-i)x}{2(1-ix)}. \tag{5}
\]

Hence, by substituting (3) in (2) we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \big|_{q=1} = \frac{x^k}{(1-x)\ldots(1-kx)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( i + (k+1-i)(k-i) + \frac{i(i+1+k)(k-i)x}{2(1-ix)} \right)
= \frac{x^k(k^{k+1}/2 + 2(k^{k+1}/3))}{(1-x)\ldots(1-kx)} + \frac{x^{k+1}}{(1-x)\ldots(1-kx)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{i(i+1+k)(k-i)}{2(1-ix)},
\]
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as claimed.

Now we need to find \( \frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \mid_{q=1} \) to obtain the total number of swrec. We will study the exponential generating function instead of the ordinary generating function. Let \( \tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) \) be the exponential generating function for the number of partitions of \( [n] \) with exactly \( k \) blocks according to the statistic swrec, that is

\[
\tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) = \sum_{n \geq k} \sum_{\pi \in P_{n,k}} x^n u^k q^{\text{swrec}(\pi)} \frac{1}{n!}.
\]

**Theorem 3** The partial derivative of \( \tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) \) with respect to \( q \) at \( u = q = 1 \) is given by,

\[
\frac{d}{dq} \tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) \mid_{u=q=1} = e^{e^x - 1} \left( \frac{3}{4} e^{3x} + \frac{3}{2} e^{2x} - \frac{7}{4} x e^{2x} - \frac{3}{2} x e^x - \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]

**Proof** In order to prove the above result we need the following proposition:

**Proposition 4** The partial derivative \( \frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \mid_{x=y^{-1}} \) can be decomposed as

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{k} \left( \frac{a_{k,m}}{(y-m)^2} + \frac{b_{k,m}}{y-m} \right),
\]

where

\[
a_{k,m} = \frac{(-1)^{k-m} m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(m-1)!(k-m)!},
\]

and

\[
b_{k,m} = \frac{(-1)^{k-m} \left( k^2 \left( \frac{m}{4} + 1 \right) + k \left( \frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{3m}{4} + 1 \right) - \left( \frac{3m^2}{2} + m \right) \right)}{(m-1)!(k-m)!}.
\]

**Proof** We rewrite (2) as

\[
\frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \mid_{q=1} = x^k \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(1 - ix)^{-1}}{6 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{i(1 + k + i)(k - i)x}{2(1 - ix)}}.
\]

By replacing \( x^{-1} = y \) in the above equation we get

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{k} (y - i)^{-1} \left( \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{i(1 + k + i)(k - i)}{2(y - i)} \right).
\]
The above expression decomposed as

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} \left( \frac{a_{k,m}}{(y-m)^2} + \frac{b_{k,m}}{y-m} \right).$$

In order to find the coefficients $a_{k,m}$ and $b_{k,m}$, we need to consider the expansion of (8) at $y = m$, as follows:

$$(y - m)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (y - m + m - i)^{-1} \left( \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + \frac{m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(y-m)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{i(1+k+i)(k-i)}{2(y-i)} \right)$$

$$= (y - m)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( (m - i)^{-1} (1 + \frac{y-m}{m-i})^{-1} \right).$$

Using Taylor series to expand $(1 + \frac{y-m}{m-i})^{-1}$ and $\frac{i(1+k+i)(k-i)}{2(y-i)}$ at $y = m$ we get

$$(y - m)^{-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(m-1)!(k-m)!} \prod_{i=1, i \neq m}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{y-m}{m-i} + O((y-m)^2) \right)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + \frac{m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(y-m)} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq m}^{k} \frac{i(1+k+i)(k-i)}{2(m-i)} + O(y-m) \right),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(y - m)^{-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(m-1)!(k-m)!} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1, i \neq m}^{k} \frac{y-m}{m-i} + O((y-m)^2) \right)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + \frac{m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(y-m)} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq m}^{k} \frac{i(1+k+i)(k-i)}{2(m-i)} + O(y-m) \right).$$

We need to simplify the product, and consider the coefficients of $(y-m)^{-1}$ and $(y-m)^{-2}$ as follows:

$$(y - m)^{-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(m-1)!(k-m)!}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + \frac{m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(y-m)} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq m}^{k} \frac{i(1+k+i)(k-i) - m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(m-i)} + O(y-m) \right).$$
By using Maple we compute the term in the summation, which hints
\[(y - m)^{-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-m}}{(m-1)!(k-m)!} \cdot \left( \frac{m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(y-m)} + \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i^2 + i + im - k^2 - k + m + m^2) + O(y - m) \right) \]
\[= (y - m)^{-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-m}}{(m-1)!(k-m)!} \cdot \left( \frac{m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(y-m)} + k^2(m^4/4 + 1) + k(m^2/2 + 3m/4 + 1) - (3m^2/2 + m) + O(y - m) \right). \]
Hence, by finding the coefficients of of \((y - m)^{-1}\) and \((y - m)^{-2}\) we complete the proof. 

Now we return to the proof of the theorem. We use (7) for passing to exponential generating function, by substituting
\[e^{mx} - 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \frac{1}{y - m} = \frac{x}{1 - mx} = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} m^\ell x^{\ell+1} \]
and \(e^{mx(mx-1)+1} = \frac{1}{(y-m)^2}\). Moreover, by summing over all \(k\) we obtain the generating function
\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} u^k \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k-m}m(1+k+m)(k-m)}{2(m-1)!(k-m)!} \cdot \frac{e^{mx(mx-1)} + 1}{m^2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} u^k \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k-m}(k^2(\frac{m}{4} + 1) + k(\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{3m}{4} + 1) - (\frac{3m^2}{2} + m))}{(m-1)!(k-m)!} \cdot e^{mx} - 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \frac{1}{(y-m)^2}. \]
We need to change the order of the summation as follows:
\[
\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{e^{mx}(mx-1) + 1}{m!} \sum_{k \geq m} \frac{(-1)^{k-m}(1+k+m)(k-m)u^k}{2(k-m)!} + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{e^{mx} - 1}{m!} \sum_{k \geq m} \frac{(-1)^{k-m}(k^2(\frac{m}{4} + 1) + k(\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{3m}{4} + 1) - (\frac{3m^2}{2} + m))}{(k-m)!} u^k. \]
By substituting \(\ell = k - m\) and rewriting the above result we obtain the following form:
\[
\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{e^{mx(mx-1) + 1}}{m!} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^\ell(2m + \ell + 1)\ell u^{m+\ell}}{2\ell!} + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{e^{mx} - 1}{m!} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^\ell((m + \ell)^2(\frac{m}{4} + 1) + (m + \ell)(\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{3m}{4} + 1) - (\frac{3m^2}{2} + m))}{\ell!} u^{m+\ell}. \]
By evaluating the previous terms in $u = 1$ we complete the proof.

\[ \text{Theorem 5} \]
The total number of \textit{swrec} taken over all set partitions of $[n]$, is given by

\[
\frac{3}{4}(B_{n+3} - B_{n+2}) - (n + \frac{7}{4})B_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)B_n.
\]

\[ \text{Proof} \]
In order to find the total number of \textit{swrec}, we need to find an explicit formula for the coefficient of $x^n$ in the generating function $\frac{d}{dq} \tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) \mid_{u=q=1}$. By Theorem 3

\[
\frac{d}{dq} \tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) \mid_{u=q=1} = e^{e^x - 1} \left( \frac{3}{4} e^{3x} + \frac{3}{2} e^{2x} - \frac{7}{4} e^x - xe^{2x} - \frac{3}{2} xe^x - \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]

By differentiating the well known generating function $e^{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ three times we obtain

\[
e^x e^{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n!},
\]

\[
e^{2x} e^{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n+2} \frac{x^n}{n!} - \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n!}
\]

and

\[
e^{3x} e^{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n+3} \frac{x^n}{n!} - 3 \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n+2} \frac{x^n}{n!} + 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n!}.
\]

From the above equations, we can derive that

\[
xe^x e^{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} nB_n \frac{x^n}{n!}
\]

and

\[
x e^{2x} e^{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} nB_{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n!} - \sum_{n \geq 0} nB_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.
\]

Using all these facts together leads to

\[
\frac{d}{dq} \tilde{P}_k(x, u, q) \mid_{u=q=1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{3}{4} B_{n+3} - \frac{3}{4} B_{n+2} - (n + \frac{7}{4})B_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)B_n \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}.
\]

Hence the total number of \textit{swrec} is given by

\[
\frac{3}{4}(B_{n+3} - B_{n+2}) - (n + \frac{7}{4})B_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)B_n.
\]

\[ \square \]

In order to obtain asymptotic estimate for the moment as well as limiting distribution, we need the fact

\[
B_{n+h} = B_n \frac{(n+h)!}{n!} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{\log n}{n} \right) \right)
\]

uniformly for $h = O(\log n)$, where $r$ is the positive root of $re^r = n + 1$. For more details about the asymptotic expansion of Bell numbers see \[1\]. Therefore, Theorem 5 gives the following corollary.
Corollary 6 Asymptotically, the total number of $\text{swrec}$ taken over all set partitions of $[n]$, is given by
\[
B_n \frac{n^3}{r^3} \left(1 + \frac{r}{n}\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)\right).
\]
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