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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra, equipped with a normal faithful state $\varphi$, and let $A$ be maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. We prove a Beurling-Blecher-Labuschagne theorem for $A$-invariant subspaces of $L^p(A)$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$. As application, we give a characterization of outer operators in Haagerup noncommutative $H^p$-spaces associated with $A$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann and $A$ be its Arveson’s maximal subdiagonal subalgebras (see [1]). In [6], Blecher and Labuschagne extend the classical Beurling’s theorem to describe closed $A$-invariant subspaces in noncommutative space $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Sager [20] extends the work of Blecher and Labuschagne from a finite von Neumann algebra to semifinite von Neumann algebras, proved a Beurling-Blecher-Labuschagne theorem for $A$-invariant spaces of $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ when $0 < p \leq \infty$. The Beurling theorem has been generalized to the setting of unitarily invariant norms on finite and semifinite von Neumann algebras (see [3], [9], [21]).

When $A$ is subdiagonal subalgebra of $\sigma$-finite von Neumann $\mathcal{M}$, Labuschagne [17] showed that a Beurling type theory of invariant subspaces of non commutative $H^2(A)$ spaces holds true. A motivation for this paper is to extend the result in [17] to the setting of the Haagerup noncommutative $L^p$-spaces for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Blecher and Labuschagne [7] studied outer operators of the noncommutative $H^p$ spaces associated with Arveson’s subdiagonal subalgebras. They proved innerouter factorization theorem and characterization of outer elements for the case $1 \leq p < \infty$ (for the case $p < 1$, see [2]). In [8], they extend their generalized inner-outer factorization theorem in [7] and establish characterizations of outer operators that are valid even in the case of elements with zero determinant. In this paper, we apply our Beurling-Blecher-Labuschagne theorem for $A$-invariant subspaces of Haagerup noncommutative spaces $L^p(A)$ to prove a Blecher-Labuschagne theorem for outer operators in Haagerup noncommutative $H^p(A)$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give some definitions and related results of Haagerup noncommutative $L^p$-spaces and $H^p$-spaces. A version of Blecher and Labuschagne’s Beurling’s theorem for Haagerup noncommutative $L^p$-spaces is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we give characterizations of outer elements in Haagerup noncommutative $H^p$-spaces.
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2. Preliminaries

Our references for modular theory are [18, 22], for the Haagerup noncommutative $L^p$-spaces are [11, 23] and for the Haagerup noncommutative $H^p$-spaces are [13, 14]. Let us recall some basic facts about the Haagerup noncommutative $L^p$-spaces and the Haagerup noncommutative $H^p$-spaces, and fix the relevant notation used throughout this paper. Throughout this paper $\mathcal{M}$ will always denote a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, equipped with a distinguished normal faithful state $\varphi$. Let $\{\sigma_t^\varphi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the one parameter modular automorphism group of $\mathcal{M}$ associated with $\varphi$. We denote by $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \rtimes_{\sigma^\varphi} \mathbb{R}$ the crossed product of $\mathcal{M}$ by $\{\sigma_t^\varphi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{N}$ is the semi-finite von Neumann algebra acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$, generated by

$$\{\pi(x) : x \in \mathcal{M}\} \cup \{\lambda(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where the operator $\pi(x)$ is defined by

$$(\pi(x)\xi)(t) = \sigma_t^\varphi(x)\xi(t), \quad \forall \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}), \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the operator $\lambda(s)$ is defined by

$$(\lambda(s)\xi)(t) = \xi(t - s), \quad \forall \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$ We will identify $\mathcal{M}$ and the subalgebra $\pi(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{N}$. The operators $\pi(x)$ and $\lambda(t)$ satisfy

$$\lambda(t)\pi(x)\lambda(t)^* = \pi(\sigma_t^\varphi(x)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathcal{M}. $$

Then

$$\sigma_t^\varphi(x) = \lambda(t)x\lambda^*(t), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \; t \in \mathbb{R}. $$

We denote by $\{\hat{\sigma}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the dual action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{N}$, this is a one parameter automorphism group of $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{N}$, implemented by the unitary representation $\{W_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$:

$$\hat{\sigma}_t(x) = W(t) x W^*(t), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{N}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$W(t)(\xi)(s) = e^{-its}\xi(s), \quad \forall \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}), \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}. $$

Note that the dual action $\hat{\sigma}_t$ is uniquely determined by the following conditions: for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R},$

$$\hat{\sigma}_t(x) = x \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}_t(\lambda(s)) = e^{-ist}\lambda(s), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. $$

Hence

$$\mathcal{M} = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : \hat{\sigma}_t(x) = x, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}. $$

Let $\tau$ be the unique normal semi-finite faithful trace on $\mathcal{N}$ satisfying

$$\tau \circ \hat{\sigma}_t = e^{-t}\tau, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. $$

Also recall that the dual weight $\hat{\varphi}$ of our distinguished state $\varphi$ has the Radon-Nikodym derivative $D$ with respect to $\tau$, which is the unique invertible positive selfadjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$, affiliated with $\mathcal{N}$ such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = \tau(Dx), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}^+. $$
Recall that the regular representation \( \lambda(t) \) above is given by
\[
\lambda(t) = D^{it}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
Now we define Haagerup noncommutative \( L^p \)-spaces. Let \( L^0(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \) denote the topological \(*\)-algebra of all operators on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}) \) measurable with respect to \((\mathcal{N}, \tau)\). Then the Haagerup noncommutative \( L^p \)-spaces, \( 0 < p \leq \infty \), are defined by
\[
L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) = \{ x \in L^0(\mathcal{N}, \tau) : \hat{\sigma}_t(x) = e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}x, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \}.
\]
The spaces \( L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \) are closed selfadjoint linear subspaces of \( L^0(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \). It is not hard to show that
\[
L^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) = \mathcal{M}.
\]
Since for any \( \psi \in \mathcal{M}^+_\tau \), the dual weight \( \hat{\psi} \) has a Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to \( \tau \), denoted by \( D_\psi \):
\[
\hat{\psi}(x) = \tau(D_\psi x), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}_+.
\]
Then
\[
D_\psi \in L^0(\mathcal{N}, \tau)
\]
and
\[
\hat{\sigma}_t(D_\psi) = e^{-t}D_\psi, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
So
\[
D_\psi \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)_+.
\]
It is well known that the map \( \psi \mapsto D_\psi \) on \( \mathcal{M}^+_\tau \) extends to a linear homeomorphism from \( \mathcal{M}_\tau \) onto \( L^1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \) (equipped with the vector space topology inherited from \( L_0(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \)). This permits to transfer the norm \( \mathcal{M}_\tau \) into a norm on \( L^1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \), denoted by \( \| \cdot \| \). Moreover, \( L^1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \) is equipped with a distinguished contractive positive linear functional \( tr \), defined by
\[
tr(D_\psi) = \psi(1), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{M}_\tau.
\]
Therefore, \( \| x \|_1 = tr(|x|) \) for every \( x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \).

Let \( 0 < p < \infty \) and \( x \in L_0(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \). If \( x = u|x| \) is the polar decomposition of \( x \), then
\[
x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \iff u \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } |x| \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \iff u \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } |x|^p \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi).
\]
If we define
\[
\| x \|_p = \| |x|^p \|_1^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi),
\]
Then for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) (resp. \( 0 < p < 1 \)),
\[
(L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi), \| \cdot \|_p)
\]
is a Banach space (resp. a quasi-Banach space), and
\[
\| x \|_p = \| x^* \|_p = \| |x| \|_p, \quad \forall x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi).
\]

It is proved in [11] and [23] that \( L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \) is independent of \( \varphi \) up to isometry. Hence, we denote \( L^p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \) by \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \).

The usual Hölder inequality also holds for the \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \) spaces. It means that the product of \( L_0(\mathcal{N}, \tau), (x, y) \mapsto xy, \) restricts to a contractive bilinear map \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \times L^q(\mathcal{M}) \to L^r(\mathcal{M}), \) where \( \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \). In particular, if \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \) then
the bilinear form \((x, y) \mapsto tr(xy)\) defines a duality bracket between \(L^p(\mathcal{M})\) and \(L^q(\mathcal{M})\), for which \(L^q(\mathcal{M})\) coincides (isometrically) with the dual of \(L^p(\mathcal{M})\) (if \(p \neq \infty\)). Moreover, the \(tr\) have the following property:

\[
tr(xy) = tr(yx), \quad \forall x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}), \forall y \in L^q(\mathcal{M}).
\]

Let \(0 < p \leq \infty\). For \(K \subseteq L^p(\mathcal{M})\), we denote the closed linear span of \(K\) in \(L^p(\mathcal{M})\) by \([K]\) (relative to the \(w^*\)-topology for \(p = \infty\)) and the set \(\{x^* : x \in K\}\) by \(J(K)\).

For \(0 < p < \infty\), \(0 \leq \eta \leq 1\), we have that

\[
L^p(\mathcal{M}) = [D_{1-p}^\eta, M, D_1^\eta]\).
\]

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \(1 \leq p, q, r < \infty\) and \(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p}\) (resp. \(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p}\)). If \(K\) is a closed subspace of \(L^q(\mathcal{M})\), then \([KD_{1-p}^\eta, D_{1-r}^\eta]\) is a subdiagonal subalgebra of \(\mathcal{M}\) (if \(p \neq \infty\)).

**Proof.** We use same method as in the proof of Lemma 1.1 in [15]. Since \(D_{1-p}^\eta \in L^r(\mathcal{M})\),

\[
\hat{\sigma}_t(D_{1-p}^\eta) = e^{-\frac{t}{\eta}} D_{1-p}^\eta, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Hence,

\[
1 \equiv \hat{\sigma}_t(D_{1-r}^\eta D_{1-p}^\eta) = e^{-\frac{t}{\eta}} D_{1-r}^\eta \hat{\sigma}_t(D_{1-p}^\eta), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},
\]

so that

\[
\hat{\sigma}_t(D_{1-r}^\eta) = e^{\frac{t}{\eta}} D_{1-r}^\eta, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Moreover, for \(a \in L^p(\mathcal{M})\), we have

\[
\hat{\sigma}_t(aD_{1-r}^\eta) = \hat{\sigma}_t(a) \hat{\sigma}_t(D_{1-p}^\eta) = e^{-\frac{t}{\eta} + \frac{t}{\eta}} aD_{1-r}^\eta = e^{-\frac{t}{\eta}} aD_{1-r}^\eta, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Thus \(aD_{1-r}^\eta \in L^q(\mathcal{M})\) and \(L^p(\mathcal{M})D_{1-r}^\eta \subseteq L^q(\mathcal{M})\).

It's clear that \(K \subseteq [KD_{1-r}^\eta, D_{1-p}^\eta]\). Let \(y \in L^q(\mathcal{M})\) such that \(tr(xy) = 0\) for all \(x \in K\), where \(q'\) is the conjugate index of \(q\). If \(z \in [KD_{1-r}^\eta, pD_{1-p}^\eta]\), then we can find a sequence \((x_n) \subseteq K\), such that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_nD_{1-p}^\eta - z||_p = 0\). On the other hand, we have that \(zD_{1-p}^\eta \in L^q(\mathcal{M})\), \(D_{1-p}^\eta y \in L^p(\mathcal{M})\), where \(p'\) is the conjugate index of \(p\). Hence,

\[
tr(yzD_{1-p}^\eta) = tr(zD_{1-p}^\eta y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} tr(x_nD_{1-p}^\eta D_{1-p}^\eta y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} tr(x_n y) = 0.
\]

It follows that \(tr(yw) = 0\) for all \(w \in [KD_{1-r}^\eta, pD_{1-p}^\eta]\). Then by the Hahn-Banach theorem, we obtain the desired result. The alternative claim follows analogously.

Let \(\mathcal{D}\) be a von Neumann subalgebra of \(\mathcal{M}\). Then there is an (unique) normal faithful conditional expectation \(\mathcal{E}\) of \(\mathcal{M}\) with respect to \(\mathcal{D}\) which leaves \(\varphi\) invariant.

**Definition 2.2.** A \(w^*\)-closed subalgebra \(\mathcal{A}\) of \(\mathcal{M}\) is called a subdiagonal subalgebra of \(\mathcal{M}\) with respect to \(\mathcal{E}\)(or to \(\mathcal{D}\)) if

1. \(\mathcal{A} + J(\mathcal{A})\) is \(w^*\)-dense in \(\mathcal{M}\),
2. \(\mathcal{E}(xy) = \mathcal{E}(x) \mathcal{E}(y)\), \(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}\),
3. \(\mathcal{A} \cap J(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{D}\),
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The algebra $D$ is called the diagonal of $A$.

If $A$ is not properly contained in any other subalgebra of $M$ which is a subdiagonal with respect to $E$, we call $A$ a maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $M$ with respect to $E$. Let

$$A_0 = \{ x \in A : E(x) = 0 \}$$

Then by Theorem 2.2.1 in [1], $A$ is maximal if and only if

$$A = \{ x \in M : E(yxz) = E(yxz) = 0, \forall y \in A, \forall z \in A_0 \}.$$}

It follows from Theorem 2.4 in [12] and Theorem 1.1 in [24] that a subdiagonal subalgebra $A$ of $M$ with respect to $D$ is maximal if and only if

$$\sigma_t^\varphi(A) = A, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.2)$$

In this paper $A$ always denotes a maximal subdiagonal subalgebra in $M$ with respect to $E$.

**Definition 2.3.** For $0 < p < \infty$, we define the Haagerup noncommutative $H^p$-space that

$$H^p(A) = [AD^\frac{1}{p}]_p, \quad H^p_0(A) = [A_0D^\frac{1}{p}]_p.$$  

If $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, then by Proposition 2.1 in [14], we have that

$$H^p(A) = [D^{1-\eta} A D^{\frac{2}{p}}]_p, \quad H^p_0(A) = [D^{1-\eta} A_0 D^{\frac{2}{p}}]_p. \quad (2.3)$$

By Proposition 2.7 in [4], we know that

$$A = \{ x \in M : tr(xa) = 0, \forall a \in H^1_0(A) \}. \quad (2.4)$$

It is known that

$$L^p(D) = [D^{1-\eta} D D^{\frac{2}{p}}]_p, \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty), \forall \eta \in [1, 0]. \quad (2.5)$$

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the conditional expectation $E$ extends to a contractive projection from $L^p(M)$ onto $L^p(D)$. The extension will be denoted still by $E$. Let

$$1 \leq r, p, q \leq \infty, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}.$$  

Then

$$E(xy) = E(x)E(y), \quad \forall x \in H^p(A), \forall y \in H^q(A).$$

Let $M_a$ be the family of analytic vectors in $M$. Recall that $x \in M_a$ if only if the function $t \mapsto \sigma_t(x)$ extends to an analytic function from $\mathbb{C}$ to $M$. $M_a$ is a $w^*$-dense $*$-subalgebra of $M$ (cf.[18]).

The next result is known. For easy reference, we give its proof (see the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [13])

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $A_a$ and $D_a$ be respectively the families of analytic vectors in $A$ and $D$. If $1 \leq p < \infty$, then:

1. $A_a$ is a $w^*$-dense in $A$, $(A_a)_0$ is a $w^*$-dense in $A_0$ and $D_a$ is a $w^*$-dense in $D$, where $(A_a)_0 = \{ x \in A_a : E(x) = 0 \}$;

2. $A_a$ and $D_a$ are $w^*$-dense in $A$ and $D$ respectively;
\( (2) \quad D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} A_a = A_a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}, \quad D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} (A_a)_{0} = (A_a)_{0} D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}, \quad D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} D_a = D_a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}; \)

\( (3) \quad A_a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} \) is dense in \( H^p(A) \), \( (A_a)_{0} D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} \) is dense in \( H^p_0(A) \) and \( D_a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} \) is dense in \( L^p(D) \).

Proof. (1) Let \( x \in A \). We define

\[ x_n = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \int e^{-nt^2} \sigma_t(x) dt. \]

By \( (2.2) \), \( x_n \in A \). Moreover by [[18], p. 58], \( x_n \in A_a \) and \( x_n \to x \) \( w^*\)-weakly.

Since \( \sigma_t^p(A_0) = A_0 \), \( \sigma_t^p(D) = D \), \( \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \) (see [12], p.313), a similar argument works for \( A_0 \) and \( D \).

(2) We prove only the first equivalence. The proofs of the two others are similar.

Let \( x \in A_a \). Then

\[ D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} x = [D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} (x) D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}] D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} = [\sigma_{\frac{1}{p}}(x)] D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} \in A_a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}, \]

whence \( x D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} \subseteq A_a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} \). The inverse inclusion can be proved in a similar way.

(3) Let \( p' \) be the conjugate index of \( p \). If \( y \in L^{p'}(\mathcal{M}) \) such that \( tr(a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} y) = 0 \), \( \forall a \in A_a \), then by \( (1) \),

\[ tr(a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} y) = 0, \quad \forall a \in A, \]

since \( D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} y \in L^1(\mathcal{M}) \). Hence, by \( (2.3) \),

\[ tr(xy) = 0, \quad \forall x \in H^p(A) \]

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, \( A_a D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} \) is dense in \( H^p(A) \). Similarly, we can prove the two others.

\[ \square \]

Corollary 2.5. If \( 1 \leq p, q, r < \infty \) and \( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} \), then \( [H^q(A) D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}]_p = H^p(A) \).

Proposition 2.6. Let \( 1 \leq p, q, r < \infty \) and \( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} \). Then \( [H^q(A) D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}]_p = H^p(A) \) and \( [L^q(D) D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}]_p = L^p(D) \).

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 2.1, it follows that \( [H^q(A) D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}]_p \subseteq L^p(\mathcal{M}) \).

It is obvious that \( H^p(A) \subseteq [H^q(A) D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}]_p \). Let \( g \in L^{p'}(A) \) such that \( tr(gh) = 0 \) for all \( h \in H^p(A) \), where \( p' \) is the conjugate index of \( p \). If \( f \in H^q(A) \), then by Corollary 2.5, we can find a sequence \( (h_n) \subseteq H^p(A) \), such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| h_n D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} - f \|_q = 0 \). Note that \( f D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} \in L^p(\mathcal{M}) \), \( D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} g \in L^{q'}(\mathcal{M}) \), where \( q' \) is the conjugate index of \( q \). Hence,

\[ tr(gf D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}) = tr(f D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} tr(h_n D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2} g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} tr(h_n g) = 0. \]

Consequently, \( tr(gw) = 0 \) for all \( w \in [H^q(A) D_{\frac{1}{p}}^\frac{1}{2}]_p \). Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, we obtain the desired result.

The same reasoning applies to the second result.

\[ \square \]
3. \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant subspaces of \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \)

We recall that a right (resp. left) \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant subspace of \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \), is a closed subspace \( K \) of \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \) such that \( \mathcal{K} A \subset K \) (resp. \( \mathcal{A} K \subset K \)).

Let \( K \) be a right \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant subspace of \( L^2(\mathcal{M}) \). Then \( W = K \cap [KA_0]_2 \) is often called the right wandering subspace of \( K \). We say that \( K \) is type 1 if \( W \) generates \( K \) as an \( \mathcal{A} \)-module (that is \( K = [WA]_2 \)) and \( K \) is type 2 if \( W = 0 \) (see [6]).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and let \( K \) be an \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant subspace of \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \). If \( 1 \leq q, r < \infty \) and \( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} \) (resp. \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} \)), then \([KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q \) (resp. \([KD^{\frac{1}{q}}]_q \)) is a right \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant subspace of \( L^q(\mathcal{M}) \).

**Proof.** It is clear that \([KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q \) is a closed subspace of \( L^q(\mathcal{M}) \). Using (2) of Lemma 2.4, we get that

\[
KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}_a = KA_0D^{-\frac{1}{q}} \subset KD^{-\frac{1}{q}},
\]

and so \([KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q \mathcal{A}_a \subset [KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}_a]_q \subset [KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q \). On the other hand, if \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), then from the proof of (1) in Lemma 2.4, we obtain a sequence \((a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_a \) such that \( a_n \to a \) \( w^* \)-weakly. Hence,

\[
tr(xD^{-\frac{1}{q}}a_ny) \to tr(xD^{-\frac{1}{q}}ay), \quad \forall x \in K, \forall y \in L^q(\mathcal{M}),
\]

where \( q' \) is the conjugate index of \( q \). Since the weak closure of \( KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}_a \) is equal to \([KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}_a]_q \),

\[
xD^{-\frac{1}{q}}a \in [KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}_a]_q.
\]

Thus \([KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}]_q \subset [KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q \). It follows that \([KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q \mathcal{A} \subset [KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q \). The alternative claim follows analogously. \( \square \)

Using same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we get the following result.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and let \( K \subset L^p(\mathcal{M}) \). If \( 1 \leq q, r < \infty \) and \( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} \) (resp. \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} \)), then \([KAD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q = [KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}]_q \), \([KAD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}]_q \subset [KAD^{-\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}]_q \) and \([KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}D]_q = [KD^{-\frac{1}{q}}D]_q \) (resp. \([KAD^{\frac{1}{q}}]_q = [KD^{\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}]_q \), \([KAD^{\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}]_q \subset [KAD^{\frac{1}{q}}\mathcal{A}]_q \) and \([KD^{\frac{1}{q}}D]_q = [KD^{\frac{1}{q}}D]_q \).}

**Definition 3.3.** Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and let \( K \) be a right \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant subspace of \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \). If \( 1 \leq p \leq 2 \), \( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2} \) (resp. \( 2 \leq p < \infty \) \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2} \)) and \( W \) is the right wandering subspace of \([KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 \) (resp. \([KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 \)), we define the right wandering subspace of \( K \) to be the \( L^p \)-closure of \([WD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_p \) (resp. \([WD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_p \)).

If \( K \) is a right \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant subspace of \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \), we say that \( K \) is type 1 if the right wandering subspace of \( K \) generates \( K \) as an \( \mathcal{A} \)-module, and \( K \) is type 2 if \( K = [KA_0]_p \).

We can extend the result in [17] to the setting of the Haagerup noncommutative \( L^p \) spaces for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and let \( K \) is a right \( \mathcal{A} \)-invariant subspace of \( L^p(\mathcal{M}) \). Then:
(1) $K$ may be written uniquely as a $L^p$-column sum $Z \oplus \text{col} \ [YA]_p$, where $Z$ is a type $2$ right $A$-invariant subspace of $L^p(M)$, $Y$ is the right wandering subspace of $K$ such that $Y = [Y'D]_p$ and $J(Y)Y \subset L^\frac{1}{p}(D)$.

(2) If $K \neq \{0\}$ then $K$ is type $1$ if and only if $K = \text{col} u_i H^p(A)$, for $u_i$ partial isometries with mutually orthogonal ranges and $u^*_i u_i \in D$.

(3) If $K = K_1 \oplus \text{col} K_2$ where $K_1$ and $K_2$ are types $2$ and $1$ respectively, then the right wandering subspace for $K$ equals the right wandering subspace for $K_2$.

(4) The wandering quotient $K/[KA_0]_p$ is isometrically $D$-isomorphic to the right wandering subspace of $K$.

(5) The wandering subspace $W$ of $K$ is an $L^p(D)$-module in the sense of Junge and Sherman.

Proof. (1) We prove the case $1 \leq p \leq 2$. The proof of the case $2 \leq p < \infty$ is similar. Let $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}$. Then by Lemma 3.1, $K' = [KD^\frac{1}{2}]_2$ is a right $A$-invariant subspace of $L^2(M)$. Using Theorem 2.3 and 2.8 in [17], we have that $K' = Z' \oplus \text{col} [YA]_2$, where $Z'$ is a type $2$ right $A$-invariant subspace of $L^2(M)$ and $Y'$ is the right wandering subspace of $K'$ with $Y' = [Y'D]_2$ and $J(Y')Y' \subset L^1(D)$. Let $Z = [Z'D]_p$ and $Y = [Y'D]_p$. By Lemma 3.1 and Definition 3.3, $Z$ is a right $A$-invariant subspace of $L^p(M)$ and $Y$ is the right wandering subspace of $K$. For any $x \in Z'$, $y \in [YA]_2$, we have that $x'y = 0$, and so $D^\frac{1}{2} x'y D^\frac{1}{2} = 0$. Hence, $J(Z)[YA]_p = \{0\}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1, $K = [K'D^\frac{1}{2}]_p$.

Therefore, $K = Z \oplus \text{col} [YA]_p$. Since $Z' = [Z'A_0]_2$, $Y' = [Y'D]_2$, by Lemma 3.2,

$$Z = [Z'D]_p = [[Z'A_0]_2D]_p = [Z'A_0D]_p = [Z'A_0D]_p = [ZA_0]_p$$

and


Suppose that $Z_1$ is a type $2$ right $A$-invariant subspace of $L^p(M)$ and $Y_1$ is the right wandering subspace of $K$ such that $K = Z_1 \oplus \text{col} [YA]_p$ and $Y_1 = [Y_1D]_p$.

Let $Z'_1 = [Z_1D^\frac{1}{2}]_2$ and $Y'_1 = [Y_1D^\frac{1}{2}]_2$. By Lemma 3.2, we know that $K' = Z'_1 \oplus \text{col} [YA]_2$, $Z'_1$ is a type $2$ right $A$-invariant subspace of $L^2(M)$ and $Y'_1$ is the right wandering subspace of $K'$ with $Y'_1 = [Y'_1D]_2$. By the uniqueness assertion in Theorem 2.3 of [17], $Z' = Z'_1$ and $Y' = Y'_1$. Using Proposition 2.1, we obtain that

$$Z = [Z_1D]_p = [Z_1D_2]_p = [[Z_1D^\frac{1}{2}]_2D]_p = Z_1$$

and

$$Y = [Y_1D]_p = [Y_1D_2]_p = [[Y_1D^\frac{1}{2}]_2D]_p = Y_1.$$ 

Since $J(Y'D^\frac{1}{2})Y'D^\frac{1}{2} = D^\frac{1}{2} J(Y') Y'D^\frac{1}{2} \subset D^\frac{1}{2} L^1(D) D^\frac{1}{2} \subset L^\frac{1}{p}(D)$, it follows that $J(Y)Y \subset L^\frac{1}{p}(D)$.

(2) Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ (resp. $2 \leq p < \infty$). If $K \neq \{0\}$ and $K$ is type $1$, then $K = [WA]_p$, where $W$ is the right wandering space of $K$. By Definition 3.3 and Proposition 2.1, we know that $[WD^\frac{1}{2}]_2$ (resp. $[WD^\frac{1}{2}]_2$) is the right wandering
subspace of the right $\mathcal{A}$-invariant subspace $[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ (resp. $[KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$) of $L^2(\mathcal{M})$. Applying Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.2, we obtain that

\[
[[WD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2]_2 = [[WD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2]_2 = [WAD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = [[WA]_p D^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = [KD^{-\frac{i}{2}}_2] (\text{resp. } [[WD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2]_2 = [[WD]_2]_2 = [WAD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = [[WA]_p D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = [KD^{\frac{i}{2}}_2]).
\]

Hence, $[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ (resp. $[KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$) is type 1. So, by Theorem 2.8 in [17], $[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^2(A)$ (resp. $[KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^2(A)$), for $u_i$ partial isometries with mutually orthogonal ranges and $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $u_i[H_2(A)D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_p$ (resp. $u_i[H_2(A)D^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_p$) is a closed subspace in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ for any $i$, using Proposition 2.1 and 2.6, we get

\[
K = [[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_p = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^2(A)D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_p = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i [H_p(A)]_p.
\]

If $K = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^p(A)$, for $u_i$ as above, then

\[
[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^2(A) (\text{resp. } [KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^2(A)).
\]

So $[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^2(A)$ (resp. $[KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^2(A)$). From this it is easy to argue that the right wandering subspace $W$ of $[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ (resp. $[KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$) satisfies $W = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i L^2(D)$. By Definition 3.3 and Proposition 2.6, $\oplus_i^\text{col} u_i L^p(D)$ is the right wandering subspace of $K$. Hence, $[\oplus_i^\text{col} u_i L^p(D)]_p = \oplus_i^\text{col} u_i H^p(A) = K$, and so $K$ is type 1.

(3) If $1 \leq p \leq 2$ (resp. $2 \leq p < \infty$), then $[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ (resp. $[KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$) is a right $\mathcal{A}$-invariant subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ and $[KD^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = [K_1 D^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2 \oplus^\text{col} [K_2 D^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ (resp. $[KD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 = [K_1 D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 \oplus^\text{col} [K_2 D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$). From the proof of (1) and (2), it follows that $[K_1 D^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ and $[K_2 D^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ (resp. $[K_1 D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ and $[K_2 D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$) are types 2 and 1 respectively. By Proposition 2.7 in [17], the right wandering subspace for $[K_1 D^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ (resp. $[K_2 D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$) equals the right wandering subspace for $[K_2 D^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_2$ (resp. $[K_2 D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2$). This gives the result.

(4) By (1), (2) and (3), we get that $K = Z \oplus^\text{col} u_i H^p(A)$, where $Z$ is a type 2, and $u_i$ are partial isometries with mutually orthogonal ranges such that $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\oplus_i^\text{col} u_i L^p(\mathcal{D})$ is the right wandering subspace of $K$. Using the properties of $\mathcal{E}$, similar to the proof (2) of Theorem 4.5 in [6], we prove the desired result. We omit the details.

(5) Since $J(W)W \subset L^\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D})$, $W$ is a right $L^p(\mathcal{D})$-module with inner product $(\xi, \eta) = \xi^* \eta$ (see Definition 3.3 in [16]). \qed

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $K$ be a right $\mathcal{A}$-invariant subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{M})$, and let $W$ be the right wandering subspace of $K$. If $W$ has a cyclic and separating vector for the $\mathcal{D}$-action, then there is an isometry $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $W = u L^2(\mathcal{D})$.

**Proof.** By an adaption of an argument from [16]) (see p.13) there exists an isometric $\mathcal{D}$-module isomorphism $\psi : L^2(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow W$. Let $h = \psi(D^{\frac{1}{2}}) \in W$. Then $tr(d^* h^* h d) = ||\psi(D^{\frac{1}{2}} d)||^2 = tr(d^* D d)$, for each $d \in \mathcal{D}$. By (1) of Theorem 3.4, $h^* h \in L^1(\mathcal{D})$, and so $h^* h = D$. Hence there exists an isometry $u$ with initial projection 1 such that $h = u D^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The modular action of $\psi$ we will then have that
By continuity of \(\psi(D^\frac{1}{2}d) = \psi(D^\frac{1}{2})d = uD^\frac{1}{2}d\) for any \(d \in \mathcal{D}\). Since \(L^2(\mathcal{D}) = [D^\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}]\), it follows that \(\psi(L^2(\mathcal{D})) = uL^2(\mathcal{D})\). Thus \(W = uL^2(\mathcal{D})\) and \(u^*u = 1\).

Similar to the above Proposition, we have the following result.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \(K\) is a left \(A\)-invariant subspace of \(L^2(\mathcal{M})\), and let \(W\) be the left wandering subspace of \(K\). If \(W\) has a cyclic and separating vector for the \(\mathcal{D}\)-action, then there is a partial isometry \(v \in \mathcal{M}\) such that \(vv^* = 1\) and \(W = L^2(\mathcal{D})v\).

4. Outer elements of \(H^p(\mathcal{A})\)

**Definition 4.1.** Let \(0 < p \leq \infty\). An operator \(h \in H^p(\mathcal{A})\) is called left outer, right outer or bilaterally outer according to \([hA]_p = H^p(\mathcal{A})\), \([Ah]_p = H^p(\mathcal{A})\) or \([AhA]_p = H^p(\mathcal{A})\).

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \(1 \leq p < \infty\), and let \(h \in H^p(\mathcal{A})\). The following are equivalent:

1. \(h\) is a bilaterally outer;
2. \(\mathcal{E}(h)\) is a bilaterally outer in \(L^p(\mathcal{D})\) and \([AhA]_p = [A_0hA]_p = H^p(\mathcal{A})\);
3. \(\mathcal{E}(h)\) is a bilaterally outer in \(L^p(\mathcal{D})\) and \(\mathcal{E}(h) - h \in [AhA]_p = [A_0hA]_p\).

**Proof.** (i) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii). If \(h\) is a bilaterally outer, then for \(D^\frac{1}{p}\) there exist two sequence \((a_n), (b_n) \in \mathcal{A}\) such that

\[
\|a_nh�_n - D^\frac{1}{p}\|_p \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.1}
\]

By continuity of \(\mathcal{E}\), we get \(\|\mathcal{E}(a_n)\mathcal{E}(h)\mathcal{E}(b_n) - D^\frac{1}{p}\|_p \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty\). Hence, by (2.5), we have that

\[
L^p(\mathcal{D}) = [D^\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}]_p \subset [D\mathcal{E}(h)\mathcal{D}]_p \subset L^p(\mathcal{D}).
\]

So, \(\mathcal{E}(h)\) is a bilaterally outer in \(L^p(\mathcal{D})\). Using (2.3) and (4.1) we deduce that \([AhA]_p = [A_0hA]_p = H^p(\mathcal{A})\).

(ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii) is trivial.

(iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (i). It is clear that \(D^\frac{1}{p} \in [D\mathcal{E}(h)\mathcal{D}]_p \subset [A\mathcal{E}(h)\mathcal{A}]_p\) and \(h \in [A_hA]_p\).

Hence, \(\mathcal{E}(h) = (\mathcal{E}(h) - h) + h \in [AhA]_p\). It follows that \(D^\frac{1}{p} \in [AhA]_p\). By (2.3), we obtain that \(H^p(\mathcal{A}) = [AhA]_p\).

Similar to Proposition 4.2, we have the following result.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \(1 \leq p < \infty\), and let \(h \in H^p(\mathcal{A})\). The following are equivalent:

1. \(h\) is a left outer (resp. a right outer);
2. \(\mathcal{E}(h)\) is a left outer (resp. a right outer) in \(L^p(\mathcal{D})\) and \([hA]_p = H^p(\mathcal{A})\) (resp. \([A_0h]_p = H^p(\mathcal{A})\));
3. \(\mathcal{E}(h)\) is a left outer (resp., a right outer) in \(L^p(\mathcal{D})\) and \(\mathcal{E}(h) - h \in [hA]_p\) (resp. \(\mathcal{E}(h) - h \in [A_0h]_p\)).

We will keep all previous notations throughout this section. We will say that \(h\) is outer if it is at the same time left and right outer.
Lemma 4.4. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $h \in H_p(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose $h$ is outer in $H_p(\mathcal{A})$. If $h = u|h|$ is the polar decomposition of $h$, then $u$ is a unitary.

Proof. Since $h$ is a left outer, there exists a sequence $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ha_n \to D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in norm in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$, so $ha_n \to D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in measure. Let $l(h)$ be the left support projection of $h$. Then $l(h)^*ha_n \to l(h)^*D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in measure. On the other hand $l(h)^*ha_n = 0$ for all $n$, hence $l(h)^*D^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. Since $D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is invertible, $l(h)^* = 0$. So $h$ must have dense range, i.e. $uu^* = l(h) = 1$. Similarly, from the fact that $h$ is a right outer, we obtain that $u^*u = r(h) = 1$, where $r(h)$ is the right support projection of $h$. Thus $u$ is a unitary. □

Lemma 4.5. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $h \in H_p(\mathcal{A})$ be outer. If $1 \leq q, r < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q}$ (resp. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q}$), then $hD^{-\frac{1}{p}}, D^{-\frac{1}{r}}h \in H_q(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $hD^{\frac{1}{p}}, D^{\frac{1}{r}}h \in H^q(\mathcal{A})$) are outers.

Proof. We only prove $hD^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ is an outer. A similar arguments works for $D^{-\frac{1}{r}}h$. By Proposition 2.6, $[H^p(\mathcal{A})D^{-\frac{1}{p}}]_q = H^q(\mathcal{A})$. We use same method as in the proof of (3) of Lemma 2.4 to obtain that $[hA_a]_p = [A_a|h]_p = H^p(\mathcal{A})$. Hence, $[[hA_a]_pD^{-\frac{1}{p}}]_q = H^q(\mathcal{A})$. On the other hand, similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.6, we can prove that $[[hA_a]_pD^{-\frac{1}{p}}]_q = [hA_aD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q$. Therefore,

$$H^q(\mathcal{A}) = [[hA_a]_pD^{-\frac{1}{p}}]_q = [hA_aD^{-\frac{1}{q}}]_q = [hD^{-\frac{1}{p}}A_a]_q \subset [hD^{-\frac{1}{q}}A]_q \subset H^q(\mathcal{A}).$$

Thus $hD^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ is a left outer. Similarly we can show $hD^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ is a right outer. The alternative claim follows analogously. □

Similar to this lemma, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.6. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $d \in L^p(\mathcal{D})$ be outer. If $1 \leq q, r < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q}$ (resp. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q}$), then $dD^{-\frac{1}{p}}, D^{-\frac{1}{p}}d \in L^q(\mathcal{D})$ (resp. $dD^{\frac{1}{p}}, D^{\frac{1}{p}}d \in L^q(\mathcal{D})$) are outers.

Proposition 4.7. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let $h \in H^p(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{E}(h)$ is outer in $L^p(\mathcal{D})$, then there is a left outer $g \in H^p(\mathcal{A})$ and an isometry $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $h = ug$ (resp. there is a right outer $g' \in H^p(\mathcal{A})$ and $v \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $vv^* = 1$ and $h = g'v$).

Proof. We prove the case $1 \leq p \leq 2$. The proof of the case $2 \leq p < \infty$ is similar. Let $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 4.6, $\mathcal{E}(h)D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in L^2(\mathcal{D})$ is outer. Let $p'$ be the conjugate index of $p$. Then for any $d \in \mathcal{D}$, we have that

$$tr(\mathcal{E}(h)D^{-\frac{1}{2}}D^{\frac{1}{2}}d) = tr(\mathcal{E}(h)D^{\frac{1}{2}}D^{-\frac{1}{2}}d) = tr(\mathcal{E}(hD^{\frac{1}{2}})D^{\frac{1}{2}}d).$$

By (2.5), we get

$$tr(\mathcal{E}(h)D^{-\frac{1}{2}}f) = tr(\mathcal{E}(hD^{-\frac{1}{2}})f), \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathcal{D}).$$

Hence, $\mathcal{E}(hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathcal{E}(h)D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

We consider the orthogonal projection

$$P : [hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}A]_2 \to [\mathcal{E}(hD^{-\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{D}]_2.$$
Then \( P = \mathcal{E}|_{[hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}A]_2} \) and \([\mathcal{E}(hD^{-\frac{1}{2}})]_2 = [hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}A]_2 \ominus [hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_0]_2\). So \( \mathcal{E}(hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \) is a cyclic separating vector for the wandering subspace \([\mathcal{E}(hD^{-\frac{1}{2}})]_2 \) of \([hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}A]_2\).

By Proposition 3.5, there exists an isometry \( u \in \mathcal{M} \) such that
\[
[hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}A]_2 = uH^2(A).
\]
We may write \( hD^{-\frac{1}{2}} = uf \), for \( f \in H^2(A) \). Then
\[
[fA]_2 = u^*u[fA]_2 = u^*[hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}A]_2 = u^*uH^2(A) = H^2(A),
\]
i.e., \( f \) is a left outer. On the other hand,
\[
0 = tr(hD^{-\frac{1}{2}}aD^{\frac{1}{2}}b) = tr(uaD^{\frac{1}{2}}b), \quad \forall a \in A_0, \forall b \in A.
\]
Since \( f \) is a left outer, by Proposition 4.3, \([fA_0]_2 = H^2_0(A)\). Hence, using (2.3) we obtain that \([fA_0D^{\frac{1}{2}}]_1 = H^1_0(A)\). It follows that \( 0 = tr(ua) \) for any \( a \in H^1_0\).

By (2.4), \( u \in A \). Let \( g = fD^{\frac{1}{2}} \). By Lemma 4.5, \( g \) is a left outer. This gives desired result.

The second result is proved similarly as the first one by using Lemma 4.6, Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 4.3. We omit the details.

**Lemma 4.8.** If \( x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}) \) and \( u \in \mathcal{M} \) is a contraction such that \( \|ux\|_2 = \|x\|_2 \), then \( x = u^*ux \).

**Proof.** We have that \( x^*u^*ux \leq x^*x \) and \( tr(x^*u^*ux) = \|ux\|_2^2 = \|x\|_2^2 = tr(x^*x) \).

Hence,
\[
\|x^*x - x^*u^*ux\|_1 = tr(x^*x - x^*u^*ux) = 0,
\]
so that \( x^*x = x^*u^*ux \). Thus \( \|(1 - u^*u)x\|^2_2 = \|x^*(1 - u^*u)x\|_1 = 0 \), therefore \( (1 - u^*u)x = (1 - u^*u)^{\frac{1}{2}}[(1 - u^*u)^{\frac{1}{2}}]x = 0 \), and \( x = u^*ux \). \( \square \)

The following result extends Theorem 4.4 in [8] to the Haagerup noncommutative \( H^p \)-space case.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and let \( h \in H^p(A) \). The following are equivalent:

1. \( h \) is an outer;
2. \( \mathcal{E}(hD^{\frac{1}{2}}) \) is an outer in \( L^2(D) \) and \( \|\mathcal{E}(hD^{\frac{1}{2}})\|_2 = \|P(hD^{\frac{1}{2}})\| = \|P'(hD^{\frac{1}{2}})\| \), where \( P \) is the orthogonal projection from \([hD^{\frac{1}{2}}A]_2 \) to \([hD^{\frac{1}{2}}A_0]_2 \), and \( P' \) is the orthogonal projection from \([AhD^{\frac{1}{2}}]_2 \) to \([AhD^{\frac{1}{2}}A_0]_2 \), and \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2} \).

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2). By Lemma 4.5, \( hD^{\frac{1}{2}} \) is outer in \( H^2(A) \). Using Proposition 4.3, we obtain that \( \mathcal{E}(hD^{\frac{1}{2}}) \) is an outer in \( L^2(D) \). Since \( \mathcal{E} \) is a contractive projection from \( H^2(A) \) onto \( L^2(D) \) with kernel \( H^p_0(A) \), we deduce that
\[
\|\mathcal{E}(hD^{\frac{1}{2}})\|_2 = \inf_{h_0 \in H^p_0(A)} \|hD^{\frac{1}{2}} + h_0\|_2.
\]

Using Proposition 4.3, we obtain that
\[
\|\mathcal{E}(hD^{\frac{1}{2}})\|_2 = \inf_{h_0 \in H^p_0(A)} \|hD^{\frac{1}{2}} + h_0\|_2 = \inf_{a_0 \in A_0} \|hD^{\frac{1}{2}} + hD^{\frac{1}{2}}a_0\|_2 = \|P(hD^{\frac{1}{2}})\|.
\]
Similarly, we can prove \( \|E(hD^\frac{1}{2})\|_2 = \|P(hD^\frac{1}{2})\| \).

(2) \(\Rightarrow\) (1). By Proposition 4.7, \( hD^\frac{1}{2} = ug \) where \( g \in H^2(A) \) is a left outer and \( u \in A \) is an isometry. Hence,

\[
\|E(hD^\frac{1}{2})\|_2 = \|E(u)E(g)\|_2 \leq \|E(g)\|_2 = \inf_{a_0 \in A_0} \|g + ga_0\|_2 = \inf_{a_0 \in A_0} \|u^*(hD^\frac{1}{2} + hD^\frac{1}{2}a_0)\|_2 \leq \inf_{a_0 \in A_0} \|hD^\frac{1}{2} + hD^\frac{1}{2}a_0\|_2 = \|P(hD^\frac{1}{2})\| = \|E(hD^\frac{1}{2})\|_2.
\]

This gives \( \|E(u)E(g)\|_2 = \|E(g)\|_2 \). Since the left support of \( E(g) \) is 1, using Lemma 4.8, we obtain that \( E(u) \) is an isometry. On the other hand, we have that \( DE(hD^\frac{1}{2}) = DE(u)E(g) \subset DE(g) \). Hence,

\[
L^2(D) = [DE(hD^\frac{1}{2})]_2 = [DE(u)E(g)]_2 \subset [DE(g)]_2 \subset L^2(D),
\]

i.e., \( E(g) \) is a right outer. By Proposition 4.3, \( E(g) \) is an outer. By Lemma 4.4, from \( E(hD^\frac{1}{2}) = E(u)E(g) \) follows that \( E(u) \) is a unitary. Therefore, \( E((u - E(u))^*(u - E(u))) = 0 \). So \( u = E(u) \in D \) and \( hD^\frac{1}{2} \) is a left outer. From the proof of Lemma 4.5, we know that \( h \) is a right outer.

Using the second result of Proposition 4.7 as in the proof of the above, we deduce that \( h \) is a right outer. \( \square \)
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