A TANNAKIAN FRAMEWORK FOR $G$-DISPLAYS AND RAPOPORT-ZINK SPACES
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Abstract. We develop a Tannakian framework for group-theoretic analogs of displays, originally introduced by Bültel and Pappas, and further studied by Lau. We use this framework to define Rapoport-Zink functors associated to triples $(G, \mu, [b])$, where $G$ is a flat affine group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mu$ is a (not-necessarily minuscule) cocharacter of $G$ defined over a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We prove that these Rapoport-Zink functors give a quotient stack explicitly presented in terms of Witt vector loop groups. It follows that our definition generalizes the purely group-theoretic definition of Rapoport-Zink spaces given by Bültel and Pappas. As an application, we use our new formulation to prove a special case of a conjecture of Bültel and Pappas by showing that their definition coincides with the classical one of Rapoport and Zink in the case of unramified EL-type local Shimura data.
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1. Introduction

Let $p$ be a fixed prime. The theory of displays, developed by Zink in [Zin02], is a generalization of Dieudonné theory for formal $p$-divisible groups. By results of Zink and Lau [Lau08], formal $p$-divisible groups over $p$-adically complete and separated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebras are classified by their associated displays. When $G$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mu$ is a minuscule cocharacter of $G$, Bültel and Pappas [BP17] defined group-theoretic analogs of displays, called $(G, \mu)$-displays, with the intention...
of using these objects to stand in for \(p\)-divisible groups with \(G\)-structure in a general definition of Rapoport-Zink spaces. When \(G = \text{GL}_n\) and \(\mu\) is the cocharacter \(t \mapsto \left(1^{(d)}, t^{(n-d)}\right)\), the category of \((G, \mu)\)-displays over a \(p\)-nilpotent \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebra \(R\) is equivalent to the category of Zink displays over \(R\). In this way the theory of \((G, \mu)\)-displays naturally generalizes Zink’s theory of displays.

In a different direction, Langer and Zink [LZ07] defined a category of higher displays, which contains Zink’s displays as a full subcategory. Recently, Lau [Lau18] reformulated the framework for higher displays in such a way as to allow for a uniform treatment of a number of display-like objects, including Dieudonné displays as in [Zin01], \(F\)-zips as in [MW04], and Frobenius gauges as in [FJ13]. Further, Lau used his framework to give a general definition of \(G\)-displays of type \(\mu\) for an arbitrary smooth group scheme \(G\) and cocharacter \(\mu\). When \(G\) is reductive and \(\mu\) is minuscule, the category of \(G\)-displays of type \(\mu\) coincides with the category of \((G, \mu)\)-displays defined by Büttel and Pappas. Hence Lau’s work can be seen as a way to link Langer and Zink’s generalization of the theory of displays with that of Büttel and Pappas.

In this paper we offer another way to relate these two theories by developing a Tannakian framework for \((G, \mu)\)-displays. More precisely, we define a Tannakian \(G\)-display to be an exact tensor functor from the category of representations of \(G\) on finite free \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-modules to the category of higher displays. That such a definition is reasonable is suggested by the following general mantra. Let \(G\) be a group, and let \(\text{Cat}\) be an exact tensor category. Then an object in \(\text{Cat}\) endowed with \(G\)-structure should manifest itself in two ways: as a torsor for \(G\) (or for some closely related group) perhaps with some additional structures, and as an exact tensor functor from the category of representations of \(G\) to \(\text{Cat}\). The relation between these two interpretations is well-known when \(\text{Cat}\) is the category of vector bundles over a scheme \(S\), cf. [Nor76]. This principle has been notably applied in the case where \(\text{Cat}\) is the category of isocrystals over a field \(k\) in [RR96], and where \(\text{Cat}\) is the category of \(F\)-zips over a field \(k\) in [PWZ15]. In our situation, Lau’s theory offers the torsor-theoretic definition of \(G\)-displays, and we contribute a Tannakian version of the theory.

When \(G\) is a classical group, there is often a third interpretation: an object in \(\text{Cat}\) is said to be endowed with \(G\)-structure if it is equipped with some additional structures corresponding to the group \(G\), such as a bilinear form or actions on the object by a semisimple algebra. The Tannakian framework for objects with \(G\)-structure is closely related to this third interpretation. Indeed, given an an exact tensor functor \(\mathcal{F} : \text{Rep}(G) \to \text{Cat}\), we can obtain an object in \(\text{Cat}\) with additional structures by evaluating \(\mathcal{F}\) on the faithful representation which gives the embedding of \(G\) into some \(\text{GL}_n\). In the case where \(G\) is an orthogonal group, Lau applies this principle to prove that \(G\)-displays correspond to displays equipped with a perfect symmetric bilinear form, cf. [Lau18, Proposition 5.5.2]. This can be seen as a special case of the Tannakian framework we develop in this paper.

Since our definition of Tannakian \((G, \mu)\)-displays extends the definition of \((G, \mu)\)-displays in [BP17], we can use it to define a natural generalization of the Rapoport-Zink functor defined there. Our Tannakian framework proves advantageous in this regard, because it brings the theory closer to Zink’s original theory of displays, and therefore to the theory of \(p\)-divisible groups. In particular, we prove that Büttel and Pappas’ Rapoport-Zink functor is representable by the classical Rapoport-Zink space in the case where the data of definition is of EL-type. This proves a conjecture of Büttel and Pappas in this special case.

Let us describe our results in more detail. Let \(R\) be a \(p\)-adic ring, and denote by \(W(R)\) the ring of \(p\)-typical Witt vectors for \(R\), which is equipped with Frobenius \(f\) and Verschiebung \(v\). Then, following Lau [Lau18], we define a graded variant of the Witt ring \(W(R)\), which we denote by \(W(R)^\oplus\) (cf. Definition 2.2). This ring is equipped with homomorphisms \(\sigma, \tau : W(R)^\oplus \to W(R)\) such that the triple \(\overline{W(R)} = (W(R)^\oplus, \sigma, \tau)\) becomes a higher frame in the sense of loc. cit. Pairs \((M, F)\) consisting of a finite projective graded \(W(R)^\oplus\)-module \(M\) and a \(\sigma\)-linear bijection of \(W(R)^\oplus\)-modules \(F : M \to M \otimes_{W(R)^\oplus, \sigma} W(R)\) are called displays over \(\overline{W(R)}\), cf. Definition 2.18. The categories of finite projective graded \(W(R)^\oplus\)-modules and of displays over \(\overline{W(R)}\) are exact rigid tensor categories.

Let \(G\) be a flat affine group scheme of finite type over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\), let \(k_0\) be a finite extension of \(\mathbb{F}_p\), and let \(\mu : \mathbb{G}_m, W(k_0) \to G_{W(k_0)}\) be a cocharacter of \(G\) defined over \(W(k_0)\). Lau associates to \(G\) and \(\mu\) a group, called the display group, as follows. Let \(G = \text{Spec} A\). Then \(\mathbb{G}_m, W(k_0)\) acts on \(A\) via conjugation and therefore determines a \(\mathbb{Z}\)-grading on \(A\). The display group \(J^\mu_{\overline{W(R)}}(G(R))\) (denoted \(G(W(R)^\oplus)_\mu\) in [Lau18]) is the subset of \(G(W(R)^\oplus)\) consisting of homomorphisms \(A \to W(R)^\oplus\) which preserve the respective gradings. Our first result is to give an interpretation of this group
as the collection of tensor automorphisms of any fiber functor. This result can be seen as an analog of Tannakian duality in this setting.

Denote by $\text{PGrMod}(W(R)^\oplus)$ the category of finite projective graded $W(R)^\oplus$-modules. Associated to $G$ and $\mu$ we define a canonical graded fiber functor for every $p$-adic ring $R$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R} : \text{Rep}_{Z_p}(G) \to \text{PGrMod}(W(R)^\oplus)$$

by assigning to $(V,\rho)$ the $W(R)^\oplus$-module $V_\mu \otimes_{W(k(\mu))} W(R)^\oplus$, where $V_\mu$ is endowed with a natural $Z$-grading via the action of $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,W}$. Define $\text{Aut}^\oplus(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R})$ to be the collection of tensor automorphisms of this functor. As $R$ varies, this defines an fpqc sheaf in groups, denoted $\text{Aut}^\oplus(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R})$.

For any $h \in L^+_\mu G(R)$, the collection $(\rho(h))_{(V,\rho)}$, where $(V,\rho)$ varies over all representations of $G$ on free $Z_\mu$-modules, defines an element of $\text{Aut}^\oplus(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R})$.

**Theorem 1.1.** The association $h \mapsto \{\rho(h)\}$ defines an isomorphism of fpqc sheaves of groups

$$L^+_\mu G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}^\oplus(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R})$$

Let $\text{Disp}(W(R))$ be the category of displays over the frame $W(R)$. We define a Tannakian $G$-display to be an exact tensor functor

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Rep}_{Z_p}(G) \to \text{Disp}(W(R))$$

We say $\mathcal{D}$ is a Tannakian $(G,\mu)$-display if the underlying functor to the category of finite projective $W(R)^\oplus$-modules is fpqc-locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}$. Our second main result is the connection between this definition and that of Lau [Lau18]. Let us recall his definition of $G$-displays of type $\mu$.

Denote by $L^+ G$ the Witt loop group, i.e. the functor on $p$-nilpotent $W(k(\mu))$-algebras $R \mapsto G(W(R))$. Both the display group $L^+_\mu G$ and the Witt loop group $L^+ G$ are representable by [Lau18, Lemma 5.4.1]. The ring homomorphisms $\sigma$ and $\tau$ induce group homomorphisms $\sigma, \tau : L^+_\mu G \to L^+ G$, and the display acts naturally upon the Witt loop group via

(1.1) $$L^+_\mu G(R) \times L^+_\mu G(R) \to L^+_\mu G(R), \quad (g,h) \mapsto \tau(h)^{-1} \cdot g \cdot \sigma(h).$$

Lau defines the stack of $G$-displays of type $\mu$ to be the fpqc-quotient stack $[L^+ G/L^+_\mu G]$ with respect to this action. Explicitly, a $G$-display of type $\mu$ over a $p$-nilpotent $W(k)$-algebra $R$ can be interpreted as a pair $(Q,\alpha)$, where $Q$ is an $L^+_\mu G$-torsor over $R$, and $\alpha : Q \to L^+ G$ is a map which is $L^+_\mu G$-equivariant with respect to the action (1.1).

Now we can naturally associate a $G$-display of type $\mu$ to any Tannakian $(G,\mu)$-display $\mathcal{D}$ over a $p$-nilpotent $W(k)$-algebra $R$. Denote by $\nu_R$ the forgetful functor from the category of displays over $W(R)$ to the category of finite projective graded $W(R)^\oplus$-modules. Then the fpqc sheaf in groups

$$Q_{\mathcal{D}} := \text{Isom}^\oplus(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, \nu_R \circ \mathcal{D})$$

consisting of isomorphisms of tensor functors between $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}$ and $\nu_R \circ \mathcal{D}$ is naturally an $L^+_\mu G$-torsor. For any representation $(V,\rho)$, write $\mathcal{D}(V,\rho) = (M(\rho), F(\rho))$ for the corresponding display over $W(R)$. Given an isomorphism of tensor functors $\lambda : \mathcal{C}_{\mu,R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \nu_R \circ \mathcal{D}$ defined over an $R$-algebra $R'$, the collection $(F(\rho))_{(V,\rho)}$ determines an element $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)$ of $L^+ G(R')$. One checks the assignment $\lambda \mapsto \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)$ is $L^+_\mu G$-equivariant, so the pair $(Q_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{D}})$ determines a $G$-display of type $\mu$.

**Theorem 1.2.** The association $\mathcal{D} \mapsto (Q_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{D}})$ defines an equivalence of categories between Tannakian $(G,\mu)$-displays over $R$ and $G$-displays of type $\mu$ over $W(R)$.

This equivalence follows from Theorem 3.20 below. We remark that the analogous theorem should hold when one considers frames other than the Witt frame $W(R)$. However, for applications to Rapoport-Zink spaces we are primarily interested in displays over the Witt frame, so we felt it sufficient to stick to this case throughout the paper.

When $G$ is reductive and $\mu$ is minuscule, the category of $G$-displays of type $\mu$ over $W(R)$ is equivalent to the category of $(G,\mu)$-displays over $R$ by [Lau18, Remark 6.3.4]. Hence the same holds for the category of Tannakian $(G,\mu)$-displays over $R$.

Finally, let us discuss the connection with Rapoport-Zink spaces. If $R$ is a $p$-adic ring, an isodisplay over $R$ is a pair $(N,\varphi)$ consisting of a finitely generated projective $W(R)[1/p]$-module $N$ and an $f$-linear automorphism $\varphi$ of $N$. By generalizing a construction in [Zin02], we can associate to any display over $W(R)$ an isodisplay over $R$. This association defines an exact tensor functor $\text{Disp}(W(R)) \to \text{Isodisp}(R)$, and by composing a Tannakian $(G,\mu)$-display with this functor we...
obtain a functor $\text{Rep}_p(G) \to \text{Isodisp}(R)$, which denote $\mathcal{D}[1/p]$. Such an object is called a $G$-isodisplay. A $G$-quasi-isogeny of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays is an isomorphism of their corresponding $G$-isodisplays.

Now let $k$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$, and let $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ be a local Shimura datum, so $G$ is a smooth affine group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ whose generic fiber is reductive, $\{\mu\}$ is a geometric conjugacy class of cocharacters, and $[b]$ is a $\sigma$-conjugacy class of elements in $G(W(k)[1/p])$. The triple $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ is required to satisfy certain axioms, see Definition 4.2. To a choice of $(\mu, b)$ satisfying some conditions (cf. Definition 4.3) we associate a Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display $\mathcal{D}$ over $k$. Following [BP17], we then define $\text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}$ as the functor on $p$-nilpotent $W(k)$-algebras which assigns to any $R$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(\mathcal{D}, \iota)$, where

- $\mathcal{D}$ is a Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display over $R$,
- $\iota : \mathcal{D}_{R/\mathbb{F}_p} \to (\mathcal{D}_0)_{R/\mathbb{F}_p}$ is a $G$-quasi-isogeneity.

The functor $\text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}$ can be interpreted as the functor of isomorphism classes associated to an fpqc stack $\text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}$ on the site of $p$-nilpotent $W(k)$-algebras. This stack can, in turn, be expressed explicitly as a quotient stack in terms of Witt vector loop groups. The functor $R \mapsto G(W(k)[1/p])$ is representable by an group ind-scheme $LG$, see [Kre14], so we can form the fiber product $L^+ G \times_{\mu, c_\mathbb{A}} LG$ whose points in a $W(k)$-algebra $R$ are pairs $(U, g)$ with $U \in L^+ G(R)$ and $g \in LG(R)$ such that

$$g^{-1} \cdot b \cdot f(g) = U \cdot \mu^\sigma(p).$$

From this we form the quotient stack $[(L^+ G \times_{\mu, c_\mathbb{A}} LG)/L^+_\mu G]$ with respect to the following action of $L^+_\mu G$, which is well-defined by Lemma 4.6:

$$(U, g) \cdot h = (\tau(h)^{-1} \cdot U \cdot \sigma(h), g \cdot \tau(h)).$$

The following, which is Theorem 4.7 below, is a generalization of [BP17, 4.2.3].

**Theorem 1.3.** There is an isomorphism of stacks $\text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b} \sim \left[(L^+ G \times_{\mu, c_\mathbb{A}} LG)/L^+_\mu G\right]$.

It is a consequence of this theorem that when $G$ is reductive and $\mu$ is minuscule, the Rapoport-Zink functor defined above coincides with the one defined in [BP17], cf. Proposition 4.8. In loc. cit. it is conjectured that, under mild assumptions, the functor $\text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}$ is representable by a formal scheme which is formally smooth and locally formally of finite type over $\text{Spf}(W(k))$. When $G = \text{GL}_{n_\mathbb{A}}$ and $\mu$ is the cocharacter $t \mapsto (1, t^{(n-d)})$, the category of $(G, \mu)$-displays over a ring $R$ coincides the category of Zink displays over $R$, so in this case representability can be proved by explicit connection with the original functor defined by Rapoport and Zink [RZ96]. This is stated in [BP17], and we provide details in §5.1. Further, Büttel and Pappas prove that, when $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ is of Hodge type (again with some additional assumptions), the restriction of $\text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}$ to Noetherian $p$-nilpotent $W(k)$-algebras is representable by a formal scheme with the desired properties.

The Tannakian framework we develop in this paper allows us to compare the functor $\text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}$ with that of Rapoport and Zink outside of the case $G = \text{GL}_{n_\mathbb{A}}$. In particular, we consider the case of unramified EL-type local Shimura data. In particular, let $B$ be a semisimple $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra whose simple factors are all matrix algebras over unramified extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, let $\mathcal{O}_B$ be a maximal order in $B$, let $\Lambda$ be a finite free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module equipped with an action of $\mathcal{O}_B$, and define $G = \text{GL}_{\mathcal{O}_B}(\Lambda)$. Such a tuple $(\mathcal{D} = (B, \mathcal{O}_B, \Lambda)$ is called an unramified integral EL-type datum. There is a natural embedding $\eta : G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\Lambda)$, and if $(\mathcal{D}, \iota) \in \text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}(R)$, then evaluating $\mathcal{D}$ on the representation $(\Lambda, \eta)$ determines a Zink display equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_B$-action. In turn, by applying the functor $BT_{\mathbb{A}}$ from nilpotent Zink displays to formal $p$-divisible groups (cf. [Zin02] and Theorem 2.31 below), we obtain a formal $p$-divisible group $X$ over $R$ with $\mathcal{O}_B$-action. A key result which allows the comparison of $\text{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}$ with the classical EL-type RZ-space is the following lemma, which reinterprets the Kottwitz determinant condition on $\text{Lie}(X)$ (cf. [RZ96, 3.23(a)]) as a condition on the Zink display associated to $X$:

**Lemma 1.4.** Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, F)$ be the Zink display associated to a formal $p$-divisible group $X$, so $BT_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{M}) = X$. Then $\text{Lie}(X)$ satisfies the determinant condition with respect to $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if $M$ is fpqc-locally isomorphic to $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^\oplus$ as a graded $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^\oplus$-module.
We remark that the condition on $M$ in the lemma is automatic if $M$ comes from evaluating a Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display $\mathcal{D}$ on $(A, \eta)$. As a result of this lemma and the above discussion, we obtain a map from $RZ_{G,\mu,b}$ to the EL-type Rapoport-Zink functor defined in [RZ96], denoted $RZ_D(X_0)$, where $X_0$ is the $p$-divisible group corresponding to the Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display $\mathcal{D}$.

**Theorem 1.5.** If $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ is of unramified EL-type, and $\eta(b)$ has no slopes equal to 0, the map $RZ_{G,\mu,b} \to RZ_D(X_0)$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the functor $RZ_{G,\mu,b}$ is representable by a formal scheme which is formally smooth and locally formally of finite type over $\text{Spf} W(k)$.

This proves the conjecture of Büttel and Pappas in the case of EL-type local Shimura data. We remark that a similar analysis should prove the conjecture in the case where the data is of PEL-type.
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1.2. Notation.

- Let $p$ be a prime. A ring or abelian group will be called $p$-adic if it is complete and separated with respect to the $p$-adic topology.
- If $f : A \to B$ is a ring homomorphism, and $M$ is an $A$-module, we write $M^f$ for $M \otimes_A f B$. If the map $f$ is understood, we sometimes also write $M_B = M \otimes_A B$. If $N$ is a $B$-module, we say a map $\varphi : M \to N$ is $f$-linear if $\varphi(fm) = f(a)\varphi(m)$ for all $a \in A, m \in M$. In other words, if we denote by $N^f_1$ the $A$-module obtained from $N$ via restriction of scalars along $f$, then $\varphi$ is an $A$-module homomorphism $M \to N^f_1$. In this case we write $\varphi^f$ for the linearity $M^f \to N$ given by $m \otimes b \mapsto \varphi(m)b$. We say $\varphi$ is a $f$-linear bijection if $\varphi^f$ is a $B$-module isomorphism.
- For a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra $\mathcal{O}$, denote by $\text{Nilpc}$ the site consisting of the category of $\mathcal{O}$-algebras in which $p$ is nilpotent, endowed with the fpqc topology. We will refer to such an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra as a $p$-nilpotent $\mathcal{O}$-algebra.
- If $\varphi : G \to H$ is a morphism of groups in a topos and $P$ is an $G$-torsor, then $P^\varphi$ is the pushforward of $P$ to $H$, which is the $G$-torsor defined as the quotient of $P \times H$ by the action of $(p, h) \mapsto (pg^{-1}, gh)$.
- Let $\bigoplus S_n$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring. For a ring homomorphism $\varphi : \bigoplus S_n \to R$, we write $\varphi_n$ for the restriction of $\varphi$ to $S_n$.
- For a group scheme $G$ defined over a ring $A$, we write $\text{Rep}_A(G)$ for the category of representations of $G$ on finite projective $A$-modules.
- Suppose $\text{Cat}$ is a tensor category, and $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ are tensor functors $\text{Rep}_A(G) \to \text{Cat}$. Then if $\lambda : \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2$ is a tensor morphism, and $(V, \rho)$ is an object in $\text{Rep}_A(G)$, we write $\lambda_\rho$ for the induced morphism $\mathcal{F}_1(V, \rho) \to \mathcal{F}_2(V, \rho)$ in $\text{Cat}$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Higher frames. Let us recall the formalism of higher frames, following [Lau18].

**Definition 2.1.** A pre-frame $\mathfrak{S} = (S, \sigma, \tau)$ consists of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring

$$S = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S_n$$

along with ring homomorphisms $\sigma : S \to S_0$ and $\tau : S \to S_0$. A pre-frame is a frame if the following conditions are satisfied:

- The endomorphism $\tau_0$ of $S_0$ is the identity, and $\tau_{-n} : S_{-n} \to S_0$ is bijective for all $n \geq 1$.
- The endomorphism $\sigma_0$ of $S_0$ induces the $p$-power Frobenius $s \mapsto s^p$ on $S_0/pS_0$, and if $t$ is the unique element in $S_{-1}$ such that $\tau_{-1}(t) = 1$, then $\sigma_{-1}(t) = p$.
- We have $p \in \text{Rad}(S_0)$, the Jacobson radical of $S_0$.

We say $\mathfrak{S}$ is a frame for $R = S_0/\mathfrak{S}_1$. A morphism of pre-frames $(S, \sigma, \tau) \to (S', \sigma', \tau')$ is a morphism of graded rings $\psi : S \to S'$ such that $\sigma' \circ \psi = \psi \circ \sigma$ and $\tau' \circ \psi = \psi \circ \tau$.

As in [Lau18], we remark that a frame is equivalent to a triple $\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n, \sigma, \{t_n\}_{n \geq 0}\right)$ consisting of an $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded ring $S_{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$, a ring homomorphism $\sigma : S_{\geq 0} \to S_0$ and a collection of maps $t_n : S_{n+1} \to S_n$ for $n \geq 0$ such that

- For every $n \geq 0$, the homomorphism $t_n : S_{n+1} \to S_n$ is $S_{\geq 0}$-linear.
The endomorphism \( \sigma_0 : S_0 \to S_0 \) induces the \( p \)-power Frobenius \( s \mapsto s^p \) on \( S_0/pS_0 \), and
\[
\sigma_n(t_n(a)) = p\sigma_{n+1}(a)
\]
for all \( a \in S_{n+1} \).

We have \( p \in \text{Rad}(S_0) \).

The equivalence is given as follows. Define \( S_{\leq 0} = S_0[t] \) where \( t \) is an indeterminate with degree \(-1\), and let \( S = S_{\leq 0} \oplus \bigoplus_{n>0} S_n \). To give \( S \) a ring structure it suffices to define multiplication by \( t \) on \( S_n \) for \( n > 0 \). For this we use the maps \( t_n \), i.e. if \( s \in S_n, n > 0 \), define \( t \cdot s := t_{n-1}(s) \). The homomorphism \( \sigma \) extends uniquely to all of \( S \) by defining \( \sigma(t^n \cdot s_0) = p^n \sigma_0(s_0) \) for \( s_0 \in S_0 \). It remains only to define \( \tau : S \to S_0 \). The restriction of \( \tau \) to \( S_0 \) is necessarily given by the identity. Since multiplication by \( t \) is bijective on \( S_0[t] \), we can define \( \tau_n : S_{-n} \to S_0 \) by multiplication by \( t^{-n} \) for \( n > 0 \). Lastly, if \( s \in S_n \) for \( n > 0 \), then
\[
\tau(s) = (t_0 \circ \cdots \circ t_{n-1})(s) = t^n \cdot s.
\]

Let us take a further moment to recall some notations and definitions concerning Witt vectors. Attached to a ring \( R \) is the ring \( W(R) \) of \( p \)-typical Witt vectors \( W(R) \). Elements of \( W(R) \) are tuples \((\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \), and the ring structure is characterized as the unique one which is functorial in \( R \) and for which the maps
\[
w_i : W(R) \to R, \ (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mapsto \xi_0^p + p\xi_1^{p^2} + \cdots + p^i \xi_i
\]
are ring homomorphisms. Additionally, the ring \( W(R) \) is equipped with Frobenius and Verschiebung maps \( f, \nu : W(R) \to W(R) \). The Verschiebung is the additive map given by shifting: \( \nu(\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots) = (0, \xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots) \), and the Frobenius is a ring homomorphism characterized by its compatibility with the maps \( w_i \):
\[
w_i(f(x)) = w_{i+1}(x).
\]
We will denote by \( I_R \) the kernel of \( w_0 : W(R) \to R \). Equivalently, \( I_R = \nu(W(R)) \).

The following is the frame of primary interest in this paper.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( R \) be a \( p \)-adic ring. The Witt frame for \( R \) is defined as follows (cf. [Lau18, Example 2.1.3]). By the above remarks, it suffices to define \( S_{\geq 0}, \sigma : S_{\geq 0} \to S_0, \) and \( t_n : S_{n+1} \to S_n \) for every \( n > 0 \). Let \( S_0 = W(R) \), and define \( S_n = I_R \) viewed as a \( W(R) \)-module for \( n \geq 1 \). If \( n, m \geq 1 \), then multiplication for \( S_n \times S_m \to S_{n+m} \) is given by
\[
I_R \times I_R \to I_R, \ (v(a), v(b)) \mapsto v(ab).
\]

The homomorphism \( t_0 : S_1 \to S_0 \) is the inclusion of the submodule \( I_R \hookrightarrow W(R) \), and for \( n \geq 1 \), \( t_n : S_{n+1} \to S_n \) is multiplication by \( p \). The endomorphism \( \sigma_0 = S_0 = W(R) \) is the Witt vector Frobenius, \( f \). For every \( n \geq 1 \), define \( \sigma_n(v(a)) = a \) for all \( a \in S_n = I_R \).

We will denote the graded module \( S \) for this frame by \( S = W(R)^\oplus \), and write \( \mathcal{S} = W(R) \) to denote the frame \( W(R)^\oplus, \sigma, \tau \). If \( R \to R' \) is a ring homomorphism, then the induced map \( W(R) \to W(R') \) is a morphism of frames. By [Lau18, 4.1] the functor \( W \) which sends \( R \) to \( W(R) \) is an fpqc sheaf of frames on \( \text{Nilp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \).

### 2.2. Graded modules.

Let \( S \) be a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded ring, and denote by \( \text{GrMod}(S) \) the category of graded \( S \)-modules. If \( M \) and \( N \) are objects in this category, denote the morphisms between \( M \) and \( N \) in \( \text{GrMod}(S) \) by \( \text{Hom}_S(M, N) \). Then \( \text{Hom}_S(M, N) \) is the set of \( S \)-module homomorphisms which preserve the gradings of \( M \) and \( N \), i.e. the set of \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N) \) such that \( \varphi(M_i) \subseteq N_i \).

Let \( \text{PGrMod}(S) \) be the full subcategory of \( \text{GrMod}(S) \) consisting of finite projective graded \( S \)-modules. By [Lau18, Lemma 3.0.1], this is equivalent to the full subcategory of projective objects in \( \text{GrMod}(S) \) which are finitely generated.

For reference, let us review the exact tensor structure of \( \text{PGrMod}(S) \). Note \( \text{GrMod}(S) \) is an abelian category, so \( \text{PGrMod}(S) \) inherits additivity and a notion of exactness: a sequence of finite projective graded \( S \)-modules is exact if and only if it is exact in \( \text{GrMod}(S) \). The category \( \text{GrMod}(S) \) is also endowed with a tensor product: if \( M = \bigoplus_i M_i \) and \( N = \bigoplus_i N_i \) are graded \( S \)-modules, then \( M \otimes_S N \) is a graded \( S \)-module with graded pieces
\[
(M \otimes_S N)_\ell = \{ m_i \otimes n_j \in M \otimes N \mid \deg(m_i) = i, \ deg(n_j) = j, \ i + j = \ell \}.
\]

The ring \( S \) viewed as a graded module over itself is the unit object in both \( \text{GrMod}(S) \) and \( \text{PGrMod}(S) \). Since the tensor product of two finite projective \( S \)-module is again a finite projective \( S \)-module, \( \text{PGrMod}(S) \) is a tensor subcategory of \( \text{GrMod}(S) \). The dual of \( M \) in \( \text{GrMod}(S) \) is the dual \( S \)-module \( M^\vee = \text{Hom}_S(M, S) \) with grading \( (M^\vee)_i = (M_{-i})^\vee \).
Lemma 2.3. The category $\text{PGrMod}(S)$ is an exact rigid tensor category.

Proof. After the above remarks, it remains only to show that $\text{PGrMod}(S)$ is rigid. Since every object in $\text{PGrMod}(S)$ admits a dual, it is enough to show that $M^{\vee \vee} \cong M$ (cf. the footnote under [DMS2, Definition 1.7]). But this is clear because projectivity is preserved under taking duals and because finite projective $S$-modules are reflexive. □

Suppose now $\mathcal{L} = (S, \sigma, \tau)$ is a frame for a ring $R$. Let

$$\nu : S \to S_0/tS_1 = R$$

be the natural projection $S_0 \to R$ extended by zero on $S_n$ for $n \neq 0$ (this map is called $\rho$ in [Lau18]). By considering $R$ to be a graded ring concentrated in degree zero, we can view $\nu$ as a homomorphism of graded rings. Then for any finite projective graded $S$-module $M$, the base change $\mathcal{L} = M \otimes S,\nu R$ along $\nu$ is a finite projective graded $R$-module. Write

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_i$$

for the decomposition of $\mathcal{L}$ into its graded pieces.

Recall the rank function associated to a finite projective $R$-module is the locally constant function on $\text{Spec } R$ defined by $p \mapsto \dim_k(\kappa(p) \otimes_R \kappa(p))$, where $\kappa(p) = R_p/pR_p$ is the residue field of $p$. In particular, if $\text{Spec } R$ is connected, any finite projective $R$-module has constant rank.

Definition 2.4. Let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a collection of integers such that $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n$. We say a finite projective graded $S$-module $M$ is of type $I$ if $\text{rk}((\mathcal{L}_k) = \text{equal to the multiplicity of } k$ in $I$ for all $k$.

For example, for any collection $I = (i_r)$, the finite free graded $S$-module $\bigoplus_r S(-i_r)$ has type $I$. If $\text{Spec } R$ is connected, every finite projective graded $S$-module has a unique type. Note that our convention on the ordering of the components of $I$ differs from that of [Lau18].

Definition 2.5. A normal decomposition for a finite projective graded $S$-module $M$ is a graded $S_0$-module $L = \bigoplus L_i$ such that $L \subseteq M$ and $L \otimes S_0 S = M$ as graded $S$-modules.

It follows from [Lau18, Lemma 3.1.4] that every finite projective graded $S$-module has a normal decomposition if every finite projective $R$-module lifts to $S_0$. This holds in particular when $S_0$ is $p$-adic. It follows that finite projective graded $W(R)^@$-modules has a normal decomposition.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose every finite projective $R$-module lifts to $S_0$. Let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ and let $M$ be a finite projective graded $S$-module of type $I$. Then there exists a normal decomposition $L = \bigoplus L_i$ for $M$ such that $L_i \neq 0$ if and only if the multiplicity of $i$ in $I$ is nonzero.

Proof. Write $\mathcal{L} = M \otimes S,\nu R = \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_i$. Because $M$ is of type $I$, $\mathcal{L}_i \neq 0$ if and only if the multiplicity of $i$ in $I$ is nonzero. We can lift each $\mathcal{L}_i$ to a finite projective $S_0$-module $L_i$ by assumption, and then $L = \bigoplus L_i$ lifts $\mathcal{L}$. By the proof of [Lau18, Lemma 3.1.4], $L$ is a normal decomposition for $M$. □

Remark 2.7. In an earlier draft of this paper we defined a category of objects called canvases and used these objects to develop the subsequent theory of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays. The category of canvases over $R$ is equivalent to the category of finite projective graded $W(R)^@$-modules. Let us briefly explain the equivalence. A precanvas is a collection of $W(R)$-modules $\{P_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ along with $W(R)$-module homomorphisms $t_i : P_{i+1} \to P_i$ and $\alpha_i : I_R \otimes W(R) P_i \to P_{i+1}$ such that $t_i \circ \alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1} \circ (\text{id}_{P_i} \otimes t_{i-1}) = \text{mult} : I_R \otimes W(R) P_i \to P_i$. Given a collection $\{L_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of finite projective $W(R)$-modules there is a standard construction of a precanvas, essentially following the construction for displays given in [LZ07]. A canvas is a precanvas isomorphic to one resulting from this construction. Given a finite projective graded $W(R)^@$-module $M$, we define a precanvas over $R$ as follows:

- $M_i$ is the $i$th graded piece of $M$, regarded as a $W(R)$-module;
- $t_i : M_{i+1} \to M_i$ is multiplication by $t \in W(R)^@$;
- $\alpha_i : I_R \otimes W(R) M_i \to M_{i+1}$ is the action of $W(R)^@ = I_R$ on $M_i$.

One checks that this construction satisfies the desired compatibilities and defines a functor from $\text{PGrMod}(W(R)^@)$ to the category of precanvases over $R$. Since every finite projective graded
$W(R)^\oplus$-module has a normal decomposition, this functor defines an equivalence between the category of finite projective graded $W(R)^\oplus$-modules and the category of canvases. Defining basic constructions such as duals and tensor products using canvases requires some work, as it is tedious to check all the necessary compatibilities. In the framework developed in [Laul18] this work is no longer necessary because in this case the constructions follow from the well-known constructions for graded modules. Therefore we have adopted the more streamlined approach using the graded ring $W(R)^\oplus$ in this paper.

**Definition 2.8.** Let $M$ be a finite projective graded $S$-module with normal decomposition $L = \bigoplus_i L_i$.

(i) The *depth* of $M$, denoted $d(M)$, is the minimal integer $i$ such that $L_i \neq 0$.

(ii) The *altitude* of $M$, denoted $a(M)$, is the maximal integer $i$ such that $L_i \neq 0$.

These notions a priori depend on the choice of normal decomposition, but we will see below that there are equivalent definitions of depth and altitude which are intrinsic to $M$, and therefore independent of choice of $L$. For any finite projective graded $S$-module, we have a natural homomorphism of $S_0$-modules $\theta_n : M_n \to M^r$ given by the composition of $M_n \to M$ and $M \to M^r$. We remark that $\tau$ defines an isomorphism $S/(t-1)S \cong S_0$; to see that $\ker \tau \subseteq (t-1)S$ it is enough to check $(\ker \tau) \cap S_{\leq 0} \subseteq (t-1)S$, which is easy. Then

$$M^r \cong \varinjlim_{\to} M_i,$$

where the colimit is taken along $t : M_n \to M_{n-1}$. Denote by $\tau$ the composition

$$S \xrightarrow{\tau} S_0 \to S_0/tS_1 = R.$$

Define $\overline{M} := M \otimes_{S,\tau} R = M^r \otimes_{S_0} R$, and let $E_n$ be the image of $M_n$ under the composition

$$M_n \xrightarrow{\theta_n} M^r \to \overline{M}.$$

**Lemma 2.9.** Assume $R = S_0/tS_1 \neq 0$. Let $M$ be a finite projective graded $S$-module, and define $(E_n)$ as above. Then

(i) There is an integer $d$ such that $E_n = \overline{M}$ for all $n \leq d$.

(ii) There is an integer $a$ such that $E_n = 0$ for all $n > a$.

(iii) If $M$ has a normal decomposition $L = \bigoplus_i L_i$, then the depth of $M$ is equal to the maximal $d$ satisfying (i), and the altitude of $M$ is equal to the minimal $a$ satisfying (ii).

**Proof.** Since any finitely generated projective graded $S$-module is a direct summand of a finite free graded $S$-module, for (i) and (ii) we can reduce to the case where $M$ is free. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} S(m_i)$, so for every $n$ we have

$$M_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} S_{m_i+n},$$

and the map $\theta_n : M_n \to \overline{M}$ is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} S_{m_i+n} \to R^r, \quad (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \mapsto (\overline{s_1}, \ldots, \overline{s_r}),$$

where $\overline{\cdot}$ denotes the composition $S \xrightarrow{\tau} S_0 \to S_0/tS_1 = R$.

Now $\tau_i : S_i \to S_0$ is bijective for all $i \leq 0$, so we can take $d = \min_i \{-m_i\}$ for (i). Further, if $i \geq 1$ and $s \in S_i$, then $\tau_i(s) = t(s^{-1}s) \in tS_1$. It follows that $\theta_n(M_n) \subseteq (tS_1)M^r$, so $\overline{\cdot}$ is the zero map for $i \geq 1$. Then we can take $a = \max_i \{-m_i\}$ for (ii).

For (iii), we no longer assume $M$ is free. Observe

$$M_k = \bigoplus_{i} L_i \otimes_{S_0} S_{k-i}$$

for any $k$. Also, under $M^r \cong L$, $\theta_k$ becomes the map

$$\bigoplus_{i} L_i \otimes_{S_0} S_{k-i} \to \bigoplus_{i=m}^{n} L_i, \quad (\ell_i \otimes s_{k-i})_i \mapsto (\tau(s_{k-i}) \ell_i)_i.$$
By the remarks above, \( \tau_{k-1} \) is the zero map for \( k - i \geq 1 \), and \( \tau_{k-1} \) is bijective otherwise. Hence

\[
E_k = \bigoplus_{i \geq k} L_i \otimes S_n R.
\]

This proves part (iii).

\[\square\]

**Remark 2.10.** We remark that the condition \( E_n = \overline{M} \) for some \( n \) is equivalent to the condition that \( \theta_n \) is bijective. Indeed, obviously \( E_n = \overline{M} \) if \( \theta_n \) is bijective. For the converse we can reduce to the case where \( M = \bigoplus S(m_i) \) is free. But in that case, using (2.2) we see \( E_n = \overline{M} \) if and only if \( m_i + n \leq 0 \) for all \( i \), which holds if and only if \( \tau_{m_i+n} \) is bijective for all \( i \), i.e. if and only if \( \theta_n \) is itself bijective. As a consequence, if we have \( d(M) \geq m \) for some \( m \), then \( \theta_n \) is bijective for all \( n \leq m \).

If every finite projective \( R \)-module lifts to \( S_n \), then [Lau18, Lemma 3.1.4] implies that every finite projective \( S \)-module has a normal decomposition. In this case, therefore, any finite projective \( S \)-module also has a well-defined depth and altitude. This holds in particular when \( S = W(R)^\oplus \).

It follows further from Lemma 2.6 that in this case a finite graded projective \( S \)-module of type \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \) has depth \( i_1 \) and altitude \( i_n \).

It follows from the previous lemma that we have a separated and exhaustive filtration of \( R \)-modules

\[
(2.3)
\]

\[E_d \supseteq E_{d+1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E_{a-1} \supseteq E_a.\]

**Definition 2.11.** The filtration (2.3) is the Hodge filtration of \( M \).

Let us now focus on the case where \( S = W(R)^\oplus \) for a \( p \)-adic ring \( R \). Denote by \( \text{PGrMod}^W \) the fibered category over \( \text{Nilp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \) whose fiber over \( R \) is the category \( \text{PGrMod}(W(R)^\oplus) \).

**Lemma 2.12.** The fibered category \( \text{PGrMod}^W \) is an fpqc stack over \( \text{Nilp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \).

**Proof.** This is [Lau18, Lemma 4.3.2]. \[\square\]

In the following we collect some properties of finite projective graded \( W(R)^\oplus \)-modules which can be checked fpqc-locally on Spec \( R \).

**Lemma 2.13.** Let \( M \) be a finite projective \( W(R)^\oplus \)-module. Let \( R \to R' \) be a homomorphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-algebras such that the induced morphism Spec \( R' \to \text{Spec} R \) is surjective, and let \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \).

Then \( M \) is of type \( I \) if and only if \( M_{W(R)^\oplus} \) is of type \( I \).

**Proof.** Denote by \( \nu' \) the map \( W(R)^\oplus \to R' \) defined as in (2.1). Write \( \overline{L} = \bigoplus \overline{L}_i \) for the base change of \( M \) along \( \nu \) and \( L = \bigoplus L_i \) for the base change of \( M_{W(R)^\oplus} \) along \( \nu' \).

By functoriality of the maps \( \nu \) and \( \nu' \) we have an isomorphism of graded \( R' \)-modules

\[
\overline{L} \otimes_R R' \cong \overline{L}',
\]

and therefore an isomorphism of their graded pieces \( \overline{L}_i \otimes_R R' \cong \overline{L}_i \).

Suppose \( q \) is a point in Spec \( R' \) mapping to a point \( p \) in Spec \( R \). Then there is an induced inclusion of residue fields \( \kappa(p) \to \kappa(q) \), and hence

\[
(2.4)
\dim_{\kappa(p)}(\overline{L}_i \otimes_R \kappa(p)) = \dim_{\kappa(q)}(\overline{L}_i \otimes_R \kappa(q)).
\]

It follows that if \( M \) is of type \( I \), then so too is \( M_{W(R)^\oplus} \). Conversely, suppose \( M_{W(R)^\oplus} \) is of type \( I \). Then the rank of \( \overline{L}_i \) is \( m(i) \), the multiplicity of \( i \) in \( I \). By assumption, for any \( q \) in Spec \( R' \) there is a prime \( p \) in Spec \( R \) mapping to \( q \). Hence (2.4) shows the rank of \( \overline{L}_i \) is \( m(i) \) as well. \[\square\]

The following is an analog of [Zin02, Lemma 30].

**Lemma 2.14.** Let \( M \) be a finite projective graded \( W(R)^\oplus \)-module, and let \( R \to R' \) be a faithfully flat extension of \( W(k_0) \)-algebras. Then there is an exact sequence

\[
0 \to M \to M \otimes_{W(R)^\oplus} W(R')^\oplus \to M \otimes_{W(R)^\oplus} W(R' \otimes_R R')^\oplus \to \cdots
\]

where the arrows are induced by applying the functor \( W \) to the usual exact sequence

\[
0 \to R \to R' \to R' \otimes_R R' \to \cdots
\]

**Proof.** Since \( M \) is a direct summand of a free \( W(R)^\oplus \)-module we can reduce to the case \( M = W(R)^\oplus \). In that case the result follows because \( R \to W(R) \) and \( R \to I_R \) are fpqc sheaves. \[\square\]
Next we prove that exactness is a property of finite projective $W(R)^\oplus$-modules which is fpqc local in $R$.

**Lemma 2.15.** Let $M$, $N$, and $P$ be finite projective $W(R)^\oplus$-modules equipped with $W(R)^\oplus$-module homomorphisms $N \to M \to P$. The following are equivalent:

(i) The sequence

$$0 \to N \to M \to P \to 0$$

is exact.

(ii) For some faithfully flat $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra homomorphism $R \to R'$ the sequence

$$0 \to N_{W(R')^\oplus} \to M_{W(R')^\oplus} \to P_{W(R')^\oplus} \to 0$$

is exact.

(iii) For every faithfully flat $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra homomorphism $R \to R'$ the sequence in (ii) is exact.

**Proof.** If the sequence in (i) is exact then it is split exact, so it will remain exact after tensoring by any extension. Then (i) implies (iii). Obviously (iii) implies (ii), so it remains only to show (ii) implies (i).

Suppose the sequence in (ii) is exact for some faithfully flat extension $R \to R'$. Let us write $R''$ for $R' \otimes_R R'$, Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & N \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & M \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & P \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

Here the bottom map in each column is induced by the difference of the two maps $W(R')^\oplus \to W(R' \otimes_R R')^\oplus$ induced by the two canonical ring homomorphisms $R' \to R' \otimes_R R'$. Then the columns are exact by Lemma 2.14. The middle row is exact by assumption and the bottom row is exact because it is obtained by tensoring the middle row over $W(R')^\oplus \to W(R' \otimes_R R')^\oplus$. Injectivity of $N \to M$ and exactness at $M$ follow immediately from the diagram.

It remains to check $M \to P$ is surjective. By Nakayama’s Lemma, cf. [Lau18, Lemma 3.1.1], it is enough to show $M \otimes_{W(R)^\oplus} R \to P \otimes_{W(R)^\oplus} R$ is surjective. But $M \otimes_{W(R)^\oplus} R' \to P \otimes_{W(R)^\oplus} R'$ is surjective, so the result follows because $R' \to R$ is faithfully flat. \hfill $\square$

Finally we show that depth and altitude can be checked fpqc-locally.

**Lemma 2.16.** Suppose $R \to R'$ is a faithfully flat extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebras, and let $M$ be a finite projective graded $W(R)^\oplus$-module. Write $M'$ for the base change of $M$ to $W(R')^\oplus$. Then $d(M) = d(M')$ and $a(M) = a(M')$.

**Proof.** Let $\{E_n\}$ and $\{E'_n\}$ be the Hodge filtrations for $M$ and $M'$, respectively. Choose a normal decomposition $L$ for $M$. Then $L \otimes_{W(R)} W(R')$ is a normal decomposition for $M'$. Hence

$$E'_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq n} L_i \otimes_{W(R)} W(R') \otimes_{W(R')} R' = \bigoplus_{i \geq n} L_i \otimes_{W(R)} R \otimes_R R' = E_n \otimes_R R'.$$

By faithful flatness of $R \to R'$, $E'_n = 0$ if and only if $E_n = 0$, so $a(M) = a(M')$. Further we have $\beta \otimes_R R' = \beta', \text{ so } E'_n = 0 \text{ if and only if } E_n = \beta$. Hence $d(M) = d(M')$. \hfill $\square$

### 2.3. Displays

In this section we review the definitions and elementary properties of displays over a frame. As in the previous sections, our main reference for this section is [Lau18]. Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \sigma, \tau)$ be a frame.

**Definition 2.17.** A predisplay $\underline{M} = (M, F)$ over $\mathcal{S}$ consists of a graded $S$-module $M$ and a $\sigma$-linear map $F : M \to M^\sigma$. 
A morphism \((M, F) \to (M', F')\) of predisplays is a homomorphism of graded \(S\)-modules \(M \to M'\) which is compatible with the maps \(F\) and \(F'\). Denote the resulting category of predisplays over \(S\) by \(\text{Predisp}(S)\). This is an abelian category, because the same is true of \(\text{GrMod}(S)\).

**Definition 2.18.** A display over \(S\) is a predisplay \(\underline{M} = (M, F)\) over \(S\) such that \(M\) is a finite projective graded \(S\)-module and \(F : M \to M^\tau\) is a \(\sigma\)-linear bijection.

Displays over \(S\) form a full subcategory of \(\text{Predisp}(S)\) which we will denote by \(\text{Disp}(S)\). Note a \(\sigma\)-linear bijection \(M \to M^\tau\) is by definition a \(\sigma\)-linear homomorphism whose linearization \(F^\sharp : M^\sigma \to M^\tau\) is an \(S_0\)-module isomorphism. In this way we see that endowing a finite projective \(S\)-module \(M\) with the structure of a display is equivalent to giving an \(S_0\)-module isomorphism \(M^\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} M^\tau\).

**Definition 2.19.** Suppose \(S\) is a frame for \(R\) and let \(\underline{M} = (M, F)\) be a predisplay (resp. display) over \(S\).

(i) \(\underline{M}\) is effective if \(d(M) \geq 0\).

(ii) \(\underline{M}\) is an \(n\)-predisplay (resp. \(n\)-display) if it is effective and \(a(M) = n\).

One can check that our definition of an effective predisplay agrees with that of [Lau18]. As in the previous section, we collect here some notions regarding the exact tensor category structure of \(\text{Disp}(S)\). Morphisms of displays over \(S\) are, in particular, morphisms of the underlying finite projective graded \(S\)-modules, so exactness is inherited from that category (or from \(\text{GrMod}(S)\)). The tensor product of displays is the tensor product in the category of predisplays:

\[(M, F) \otimes (M', F') := (M \otimes_S M', F \otimes F').\]

Since \(M \otimes_S M'\) is a finite projective graded \(S\)-module, this tensor product preserves the category of displays. The unit object is \((S, \sigma)\).

The dual of a display \(\underline{M} = (M, F)\) is the display \(\underline{M}^\vee = (M^\vee, F^\vee)\), where \(M^\vee\) is the dual of \(M\) in \(\text{PGrMod}(S)\), and \(F^\vee\) corresponds to the dual of the inverse of \(F^\sharp : M^\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} M^\tau\). It is clear that \(\underline{M}\) is reflexive with respect to this notion, so the following analog of Lemma 2.3 is immediate.

**Lemma 2.20.** The category \(\text{Disp}(S)\) is an exact rigid tensor category.

Displays carry a good notion of bilinear form, which characterizes the tensor product of displays.

**Definition 2.21.** Let \(\underline{M} = (M, F)\), \(\underline{M}' = (M', F')\), and \(\underline{M}'' = (M'', F'')\) be displays over \(S\). A bilinear form \(\beta : \underline{M} \times \underline{M}' \to \underline{M}''\) is a bilinear form of the underlying graded \(S\)-modules \(M \times M' \to M''\) such that

\[F''(\beta(x, y)) = \beta^\tau(F(x), F'(y)),\]

where \(\beta^\tau : M^\tau \times (M')^\tau \to (M'')^\tau\) is the induced bilinear map of \(S_0\)-modules.

The tensor product of \(\underline{M}\) and \(\underline{M}'\) is characterized by the following universal property: it admits a bilinear form \(\beta_0 : \underline{M} \times \underline{M}' \to \underline{M} \otimes \underline{M}'\), and any other bilinear form \(\underline{M} \times \underline{M}' \to \underline{M}''\) factors uniquely through \(\beta_0\).

Let us review some other useful constructions for displays.

**Definition 2.22.** Let \(\underline{M} = (M, F)\) be a predisplay over a frame \(S = (S, \sigma, \tau)\), and suppose \(S \to S'\) is a morphism to another frame \(S' = (S', \sigma', \tau')\). Then the base change of \(\underline{M}\) to \(S'\) is the predisplay \(\underline{M}_{S'} = (M \otimes_S S', F \otimes \sigma')\).

Base change defines a functor \(\text{Predisp}(S) \to \text{Predisp}(S')\) which preserves the full subcategories of displays.

The definition of type for a finite projective \(S\)-module extends in a natural way to displays.

**Definition 2.23.** Let \(I\) be a collection of integers as in Definition 2.4. We say a display \(\underline{M} = (M, F)\) is of type \(I\) if the finite projective graded \(S\)-module \(M\) is of type \(I\).

**Definition 2.24.** A standard datum for a display is a pair \((L, \Phi)\) consisting of a finite projective graded \(S_0\)-module \(L = \bigoplus_i L_i\) and a \(\sigma_0\)-linear automorphism \(\Phi : L \to L\).
From a standard datum \((L, \Phi)\), we define a display \((M, F)\) by taking \(M := L \otimes_{S_0} S\) and \(F(x \otimes s) = \sigma(s)\Phi(x)\). On the other hand, if \(M = (M, F)\) is a display, then any normal decomposition \(L\) of \(M\) determines a standard datum by viewing \(L\) as a submodule of \(M = L \otimes_{S_0} S\) via \(x \mapsto x \otimes 1\) and taking \(\Phi = F\big|_L\). It is clear that the display resulting from this \((L, \Phi)\) is indeed \((M, F)\). Hence if every finite projective graded \(S\)-module has a normal decomposition then every display over \(\mathcal{S}\) is standard, i.e. is defined from a standard datum. Note also that if \((L, \Phi)\) is a standard datum for a display \(\mathcal{M} = (M, F)\), then \(M^\sigma \cong L\) and \(M^\sigma \cong L^{\sigma_0}\); cf. [Lau18, Remark 3.2.5].

Let us remark that both the tensor product and base change can be defined in a natural way using standard data. Indeed, if \(\mathcal{M} = (M, F)\) and \(\mathcal{M}' = (M', F')\) are displays over \(\mathcal{S}\) with standard data \((L, \Phi)\) and \((L', \Phi')\) respectively, then \((L \otimes_{S_0} L', \Phi \otimes \Phi')\) is a standard datum for \(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'\). Similarly \((L \otimes_{S_0} S_0', \Phi \otimes \sigma_0')\) is a standard datum for \(\mathcal{M} \otimes S_0'\). The characterizations of the tensor product and the base change above prove that the resulting object is independent of the choice of standard data.

Now we return our focus to the Witt frame, which is the case of interest in the remainder of the paper. Note that if \(R\) and \(R'\) are two \(p\)-adic rings, then a ring homomorphism \(R \rightarrow R'\) induces a morphism of frames \(W(R) \rightarrow W(R')\). Let \(\text{Disp}^W\) be the category fibered over \(\text{Nilp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\) whose fiber over \(R\) is \(\text{Disp}(W(R))\). As in the case of finite projective graded \(W(R)^\oplus\)-modules, this fibered category satisfies effective descent for morphisms and for objects.

**Lemma 2.25.** The fibered category \(\text{Disp}^W\) is an fpqc stack over \(\text{Nilp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\).

**Proof.** This is [Lau18, Lemma 4.4.2].

Suppose \(\mathcal{M} = (M, F)\) and \(\mathcal{M}' = (M', F')\) are displays over \(W(R)^\oplus\). Let \(\psi : M \rightarrow M'\) be a homomorphism of graded \(W(R)^\oplus\)-modules, and write \(\psi_{R'}\) for the base change of \(\psi\) to \(W(R')^\oplus\). We have the following lemma, which says that the property of “being a morphism of displays” can be checked fpqc locally.

**Lemma 2.26.** Let \(R\) be a \(p\)-nilpotent \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebra, and let \(R \rightarrow R'\) be a faithfully flat extension of \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebras. With the set-up as above, \(\psi_{R'}\) is a morphism of displays over \(W(R')\) if and only if \(\psi\) is a morphism of displays over \(W(R)\).

**Proof.** Obviously \(\psi_{R'}\) is a morphism of displays if \(\psi\) is. For the converse, we want the following diagram to commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M^\sigma & \xrightarrow{\psi^\sigma} & (M')^\sigma \\
\downarrow F^\sigma & & \downarrow (F')^\sigma \\
M^\tau & \xrightarrow{\psi^\tau} & (M')^\tau
\end{array}
\]

The diagram commutes after base change to \(W(R')\), so the result follows from Witt vector descent for finitely generated projective modules, [Zin02, Corollary 34].

### 2.4. 1-displays

**Definition 2.27.** A Zink display over \(R\) is a quadruple \(\mathcal{P} = (P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1)\) consisting of a finitely generated projective \(W(R)\)-module \(P_0\), \(P_1 \subseteq P_0\) is a submodule and \(F_i : P_i \rightarrow P_1\) is an \(f\)-linear map, for \(i = 0, 1\), such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \(I_R P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq P_0\), and the filtration \(0 \subseteq P_1/I_R P_0 \subseteq P_0/I_R P_0\)

has finitely generated projective \(R\)-modules as graded pieces.

(ii) \(F_1 : P_1 \rightarrow P_0\) is an \(f\)-linear epimorphism.

(iii) For \(x \in P_1\) and \(\xi \in W(R)\) we have \(F_1(\xi(x) \cdot x) = \xi \cdot F_0(x)\).

We remark that Zink displays are frequently referred to only as “displays” in the literature, and in [Zin02] they are called “not-necessarily-nilpotent” (or 3n-) displays. The filtration in (i) of Definition 2.27 is called the Hodge filtration of \(\mathcal{P}\).

**Lemma 2.28.** The category of Zink displays over \(R\) is equivalent to the category of 1-displays over \(W(R)\).
Proof. Let $\underline{M} = (M, F)$ be a 1-display over $W(R)$. Since $d(M) \geq 0$, we know $\theta_0 : M_0 \to M^\tau$ is bijective, cf. Remark 2.10. We claim additionally that $\theta_1 : M_1 \to M^\tau$ is injective. Indeed, by [Lau18, Lemma 3.1.4] and Lemma 2.9, we can choose a normal decomposition $L$ for $M$ such that $L_1 = 0$ if $i \neq 0, 1$. Then
\begin{equation}
M_1 = (L_0 \otimes_{W(R)} I_R) \oplus (L_1 \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)),
\end{equation}
and $\theta_1 : M_1 \to M^\tau = L$ is given by the natural inclusion.

Now let $P_0 = M^\tau$ and let $P_1$ be the image of $M_1$ under $\theta_1$. Then $P_0$ is a finitely generated projective $W(R)$-module, and $P_1$ is a submodule. The restrictions of $F$ to $M_0$ and $M_1$ are $f$-linear homomorphisms of $W(R)$-modules $M_i \to M^\tau$. Then $F_i := F|_{M_i} \circ \theta_i^{-1} : P_i \to P_0$ is also $f$-linear for $i = 0, 1$. We claim $(P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1)$ is a Zink display.

The first part of condition (i) in Definition 2.27 is immediate, and the second part follows because the filtration given in the definition is identified with the Hodge filtration of $M$. For conditions (ii) and (iii) we choose a normal decomposition $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ for $M$ as above and let $\Phi = F|_L$. Then $(L, \Phi)$ is a standard datum for $\underline{M}$. The $W(R)$-module $M_1$ can be written as in (2.5), and we see
\begin{equation}
M_0 = (L_0 \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)) \oplus (L_1 \otimes_{W(R)} W(R) \cdot t).
\end{equation}
Condition (ii) is equivalent to the condition that $(F|_{M_1})^2 : (M_1)^2 \to M^\tau$ is surjective. Since $\Phi^2 : L^2 \to L$ is a $W(R)$-module isomorphism and $L$ is naturally identified with $M^\tau$, it is enough to show for any $x \in L^2$, there is some $y \in (M_1)^2$ with $F^2(y) = \Phi^2(x)$. First suppose $x \otimes \xi \in L_0 \otimes_{W(R)} W(R) = (L_0)^2$. Then $x \otimes \nu(\xi) \oplus 1 \in (M_1)^2$, and
\begin{equation}
F^2(x \otimes \nu(\xi) \oplus 1) = F(x) = \Phi^2(x) = \Phi^2(\nu(\xi)).
\end{equation}
Now if $x \otimes \xi \in (L_1)^2$, then $x \otimes 1 \otimes \xi \in (M_1)^2$, and
\begin{equation}
F^2(x \otimes 1 \otimes \xi) = \Phi^2(x \otimes \xi).
\end{equation}
This completes the proof of condition (ii). For condition (iii), let $x = x_0 + x_1 \in P_0 = M^\tau = L$ with $x_0 \in L_0$ and $x_1 \in L_1$. If $\xi \in W(R)$, then
\begin{equation}
\theta_1^{-1}(\nu(\xi) \cdot x) = x_0 \otimes \nu(\xi) + x_1 \otimes \nu(\xi)
\end{equation}
with the first $\nu(\xi)$ viewed as an element of $(W(R)^\oplus)_1 = I_R$ and the second as an element in $W(R \cdot t) = W(R)$. Then
\begin{equation}
F_1(\nu(\xi) \cdot x) = (\Phi(x_0) + p\Phi(x_1)).
\end{equation}
But $\theta_0^{-1}(x) = x_0 \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes t$, so this is the same as $\xi \cdot F_0(x)$.

If $\psi : (M, F) \to (M', F')$ is a morphism of 1-displays, then the $W(R)$-module homomorphism $\psi^\tau$ defines a morphism of the corresponding Zink displays. Hence the association $(M, F) \mapsto (P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1)$ determines a functor from the category of 1-displays over $W(R)$ to the category of Zink displays over $R$, which we claim is an equivalence of categories. Choosing a normal decomposition $L$ for $M$ as above, we see
\begin{equation}
M = (L_0 \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\oplus) \oplus (L_1 \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\oplus(-1)).
\end{equation}
It follows that any morphism $\underline{M} \to \underline{M}'$ of 1-displays is uniquely determined by its restriction to $L_0$ and $L_1$, and therefore by its restriction to $M_0$ and $M_1$, so the functor is faithful.

Now let $\underline{P}$ and $\underline{P}'$ be the Zink displays associated to 1-displays $(M, F)$ and $(M', F')$, and suppose $\varphi : \underline{P} \to \underline{P}'$ is a morphism of Zink displays. In particular, $\varphi$ is a $W(R)$-module homomorphism $P_0 = M^\tau \to (M')^\tau = P'_0$ which sends $P_1 = \theta_1(M_1)$ to $P'_1 = \theta'_1(M_1)$, and which satisfies
\begin{equation}
F_0' \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ F_0, \quad F_1' \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ F_1.
\end{equation}
For $i = 0, 1$, let $\psi_i$ be the composition $L_i \hookrightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\varphi} P'_i \xrightarrow{(\theta'_i)^{-1}} M'_i$. Then $\psi_0 + \psi_1$ defines a $W(R)$-module homomorphism $L \to M'$ which sends $L_i$ to $M'_i$ for every $i$. Therefore it induces a graded $W(R)^\oplus$-module homomorphism $\psi : M \to M'$. By construction $\psi^\tau = \varphi$, and it follows from the identities (2.6) that $F' \circ \psi = \psi^\tau \circ F$. Hence the functor is full.

Now suppose $(P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1)$ is a Zink display. By [Zin02, Lemma 2], condition (i) in the definition of Zink displays implies the existence of finitely generated projective $W(R)$-modules $L_0$ and $L_1$ such
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that \( P_0 = L_0 \oplus L_1 \) and \( P_1 = I_R L_0 \oplus L_1 \). If we define \( \Phi = F_0|_{L_0} \oplus F_1|_{L_1} \), then \( (P_0, \Phi) \) constitutes a standard datum for a 1-display whose resulting Zink display is isomorphic to \( (P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1) \). \( \square \)

If \( (P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1) \) is a Zink display, then by [Zin02, Lemma 10] there exists a unique linear map \( V^2 : P_0 \to P_0^I \) characterized by

\[
V^2(\xi \cdot F_0(x)) = p \xi \otimes x, \quad \text{and} \quad V^2(\xi \cdot F_1(y)) = \xi \otimes y
\]

for all \( \xi \in W(R), x \in P_0, y \in P_1 \). Denote by \( V_i^2 \) the induced map \( P_0^{i} \to P_0^{i+1} \).

**Definition 2.29.** A Zink display \( (P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1) \) over \( R \) is nilpotent if there exists an \( N \) such that the composition

\[
V_N^2 \circ V_{N-1}^2 \circ \cdots \circ V^2 : P_0 \to P_0^{N+1}
\]

is zero modulo \( I_R + p W(R) \).

**Remark 2.30.** If \( R = k \) is a perfect field of characteristic \( p \), then displays over \( k \) are equivalent to Dieudonné modules over \( k \), and the nilpotence condition on a display corresponds to topological nilpotence of the Verschiebung operator on the corresponding Dieudonné module, cf. [Zin02, Proposition 15].

In [Zin02], Zink defines a functor \( BT_R \) from the category of Zink displays over \( R \) to the category of formal groups over \( R \). Further, he shows that the restriction of \( BT_R \) to the full subcategory of nilpotent displays has essential image contained in the category of \( p \)-divisible formal groups. The following is the main theorem connecting displays and formal \( p \)-divisible groups. For many \( R \) it was proved by Zink in [Zin02], and for all \( R \) which are \( p \)-adic this was proved by Lau in [Lau08].

**Theorem 2.31** (Zink, Lau). The functor \( BT_R \) induces an equivalence of categories between nilpotent Zink displays over \( R \) and formal \( p \)-divisible groups over \( R \). Further, \( BT_R \) has the following properties:

1. \( \text{Lie}(BT_R(\underline{P})) = P_0/P_1 \)
2. The height of \( BT_R(\underline{P}) \) is equal to the rank of \( P_0 \) over \( W(R) \).

Finally let us mention some aspects of the connection between the theory of displays and the theory of crystals associated to \( p \)-divisible groups. Suppose \( X \) is a formal \( p \)-divisible group over a \( p \)-nilpotent \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-algebra \( R \). Associated to \( X \) is its covariant Dieudonné crystal \( D(X) \). Evaluating \( D(X) \) on the trivial PD-thickening \( id_R : R \to R \), we obtain a finite projective \( R \)-module \( D(X)_R \) equipped with a functorial exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Lie}(X^\vee)^* \to D(X)_R \to \text{Lie}(X) \to 0
\]

which is compatible with base change. Here \( \text{Lie}(X) \) is the Lie algebra of \( X \), which is a finite projective \( R \)-module, \( X^\vee \) is the Serre dual of \( X \), and \( (-)^* \) denotes linear dual. The filtration

\[
D(X)_R \supset \text{Lie}(X^\vee)^* \supset 0
\]

is the Hodge filtration of \( X \). If \( X = BT_R(\underline{P}) \) for a nilpotent Zink display \( \underline{P} = (P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1) \), then it follows from [Zin02, Theorem 94] that there is a canonical isomorphism of finite projective \( R \)-modules

\[
P_0 \otimes_{W(R)} R \cong D(X)_R
\]

which sends the Hodge filtration of \( \underline{P} \) to the Hodge filtration of \( X \).

3. **G-displays**

3.1. **G-displays of type \( \mu \) and \( (G, \mu) \)-displays.** Let \( G \) be a flat affine group scheme of finite type over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), let \( k_0 \) be a finite extension of \( \mathbb{F}_p \), and let \( \mu : \mathbb{G}_{m, W(k_0)} \to G_{W(k_0)} \) be a cocharacter defined over \( W(k_0) \). If \( R \) is a \( W(k_0) \)-algebra, then \( W(R) \) is endowed with the structure of a \( W(k_0) \)-algebra via composition

\[
W(k_0) \xrightarrow{\Delta} W(W(k_0)) \to W(R),
\]

where the first map is the Cartier homomorphism (cf. [Laz06, Ch VII, Prop 4.2]) and the second is induced by functoriality from the \( W(k_0) \)-algebra structure homomorphism. Then \( W(R)^\oplus \) is a graded \( W(k_0) \)-algebra, and \( \sigma : W(R)^\oplus \to W(R) \) extends the Frobenius on \( W(k_0) \). A frame whose
graded ring and Frobenius satisfy these properties is called a $W(k_0)$-frame, cf. [Lau18, Definition 5.0.1].

Using the cocharacter $\mu$ we define a (right) action of $G_{m,W(k_0)}$ on $G_W(k_0)$ as follows: if $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_{m,W(k_0)}(R)$ and $g \in G_W(k_0)(R)$ for some $W(k_0)$-algebra $R$, define

$$g \cdot \lambda := \mu(\lambda)^{-1}g\mu(\lambda).$$

Write $G_W(k_0) = \text{Spec } A$ for a $W(k_0)$-algebra $A$. Then the action defined above also defines an action on $A$. Since $G_W(k_0)(R) = \text{Hom}_{W(k_0)}(A,R)$ for any $W(k_0)$-algebra $R$, there is a canonical bijection between elements of $A$ and natural transformations $G_W(k_0) \to k_1^W(k_0)$ given by sending $f \in A$ to evaluation on $f$. Hence we can make the action on $A$ explicit: if $f \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_{m,W(k_0)}(R)$, define $\lambda \cdot f$ to be the function $G(R) \to R$ given by

$$(\lambda \cdot f)(g) := f(\mu(\lambda)^{-1}g\mu(\lambda))$$

for $g \in G_W(k_0)(R)$. Giving the collection of these actions as $R$ varies corresponds to a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $A$. In particular, $A_n$ is the set of $f \in A$ with $(\lambda \cdot f)(g) = \lambda^n f(g)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_{m,W(k_0)}(R)$, $g \in G(R)$.

Let $S$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $W(k_0)$-algebra, and recall the identification $G_W(k_0)(S) = \text{Hom}_{W(k_0)}(A,S)$.

Define

$$G(S)_\mu := \text{Hom}_{W(k_0)}^0(A,S),$$

i.e. $G(S)_\mu$ is the subset of $G_W(k_0)(S)$ consisting of $W(k_0)$-algebra homomorphisms which preserve the respective gradings. The Hopf algebra structure for $A$ preserves the grading induced by $\mu$, so $G(S)_\mu$ forms a subgroup of $G_W(k_0)(S)$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $G$ and $G'$ be flat affine group schemes of finite rank over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and let $\varphi : G \to G'$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-group scheme homomorphism. Suppose $\mu$ is a cocharacter of $G$ defined over $W(k_0)$. Then $\varphi$ induces a group homomorphism

$$\varphi : G(S)_\mu \to G'(S)_{\varphi \circ \mu}$$

for every $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $W(k_0)$-algebra $S$.

**Proof.** Let $A$ and $B$ be $W(k_0)$-algebras such that $G_W(k_0) = \text{Spec } B$ and $G_W'(k_0) = \text{Spec } A$. Then $\varphi$ corresponds to a $W(k_0)$-algebra homomorphism $\varphi^B : A \to B$. We must show $\varphi^B$ preserves the gradings, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_{m}(S)$ acts on $B$ via $\mu$ and on $A$ via $\varphi \circ \mu$ for a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $W(k_0)$-algebra $S$.

Let $f \in A_n$, so $(\lambda \cdot f)(h) = \lambda^n f(h)$ for all $h \in G'(S)$. Then if $g \in G(S)$,

$$(\lambda \cdot f^B(g))(h) = (\varphi^B(f))(\mu(\lambda)^{-1}g\mu(\lambda)) = (\lambda \cdot f(\varphi(g)) = \lambda^n(\varphi^B(f))(g).$$

We conclude $\varphi^B(f) \in B_n$, so $\varphi^B$ is a graded homomorphism, and the result follows. \hfill $\square$

Suppose now $S = (S, \sigma, \tau)$ is a $W(k_0)$-frame. The $W(k_0)$-homomorphisms $\sigma, \tau : S \to S_0$ induce group homomorphisms

$$\sigma, \tau : G(S)_\mu \to G(S_0).$$

Indeed, if $g \in G(S)_\mu$, then $\sigma(g)$ (resp. $\tau(g)$) is defined by post-composing $g \in \text{Hom}_{W(k_0)}(A,S)$ with $\sigma : S \to S_0$ (resp. $\tau : S \to S_0$). Using these homomorphisms we define a group action of $G(S)_\mu$ on $G(S_0)$ as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad G(S_0) \times G(S)_\mu \to G(S_0), \ (x, g) \mapsto \tau(g)^{-1}x\sigma(g).$$

Let us restrict our focus to the Witt frame, $W(R)$ (cf. 2.2). We define two group-valued functors on $W(k_0)$-algebras as follows: if $R$ is a $W(k_0)$-algebra, let

$$L^+G(R) := G(W(R)), \text{ and } L^+_\mu G(R) := G(W(R)^\oplus)_\mu.$$  

By [Lau18, Lemma 5.4.1] these are representable functors. We will refer to the $W(k_0)$-group scheme $L^+G$ as the positive Witt loop group scheme, and to $L^+_\mu G$ as the display group for the pair $(G, \mu)$.

**Definition 3.2** (Lau). The stack of $G$-displays of type $\mu$ is the fpqc quotient stack

$$G\text{-Disp}_\mu^W = [L^+G/L^+_\mu G].$$

over $\text{Nilp}_{W(k_0)}$, where $L^+_\mu G$ acts on $L^+G$ via the action (3.1).
Explicitly, for a $p$-nilpotent $W(k_0)$-algebra $R$, $\text{G-Disp}_\mu^W(R)$ is the groupoid of pairs $(Q, \alpha)$, where $Q$ is an fpqc-locally trivial $L^+_\mu G$-torsor over $\text{Spec } R$ and $\alpha : Q \to L^+ G$ is an $L^+_\mu G$-equivariant map. If $(G, \mu)$ and $(G', \mu')$ are two pairs as above, and $\varphi : G \to G'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-group scheme homomorphism such that $\varphi \circ \mu = \mu'$, then $\varphi$ commutes with $\sigma$ and $\tau$, and therefore, by Lemma 3.1, $\varphi$ induces a morphism of stacks

$$G-\text{Disp}_\mu^W \to G'-\text{Disp}_{\mu'}^W.$$  

On the level of objects, the pair $(Q, \alpha)$ is sent to $(Q^\varphi, \alpha')$, where $Q^\varphi$ is the pushforward of $Q$ along $\varphi : L^+_\mu G \to L^+_\mu G'$ and $\alpha'$ is the induced $L^+_\mu G'$-equivariant morphism $Q^\varphi \to L^+ G'$.

**Remark 3.3.** Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n$, and define a cocharacter

$$\mu_I : G_m \to GL_n, \lambda \mapsto \text{diag}(\lambda^{i_1}, \lambda^{i_2}, \ldots, \lambda^{i_n}).$$

Then $\text{GL}_n-\text{Disp}_\mu^W$ is the stack of displays of type $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$, cf. [Lau18, Example 5.3.5].

As a particular example, consider the case where $I = (0^{(r)}, 1^{(n-r)})$ for some $r$. Then $\mu = \mu_{r,n}$ is the minuscule cocharacter $\lambda \mapsto \text{diag}(1^{(r)}, \lambda^{(n-r)})$, and $L^+_\mu \text{GL}_n(R)$ consists of block matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where

- $A$ is an $r \times r$-matrix whose entries are in $W(R)_0 = W(R)$,
- $B$ is an $r \times (n-r)$-matrix whose entries are in $W(R)^0_1 = I_R$,
- $C$ is an $(n-r) \times r$-matrix whose entries are in $W(R)^0_{-1} = W(R) \cdot t$,
- $D$ is an $(n-r) \times (n-r)$-matrix whose entries are in $W(R)_{-1} = W(R)$.

In this case, $\text{GL}_n-\text{Disp}_\mu^W$ is isomorphic to the stack of Zink displays $(P_0, P_1, F_0, F_1)$ with $\text{rk}_{W(R)} P_0 = n$ and $\text{rk}_{R} (P_0/P_1) = d$, cf. Lemma 2.28.

Büttel and Pappas define [BP17] an alternative category of $(G, \mu)$-displays over $R$ in the case where $G$ is reductive over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mu$ is a minuscule cocharacter defined over $W(k_0)$. This category is equivalent to the category of $G$-displays of type $\mu$ over $R$. Let us briefly review the definitions and describe the equivalence.

Associated to the cocharacter $\mu$ is the parabolic subgroup $P_\mu$. It has a functor of points description by

$$P_\mu(R) = \{ g \in G(R) \mid \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \mu(\lambda) g \mu(\lambda)^{-1} \text{ exists} \},$$

cf. [BP17, A.0.1] for the precise meaning of this condition. The Witt loop group scheme $L^+ G$ is equipped with a canonical morphism $L^+ G \to G$ induced by the ring homomorphism $w_0 : W(R) \to R$ given by projection onto the first factor. Define a closed subgroup scheme $H^\mu$ of $L^+ G$ by

$$H^\mu(R) = \{ g \in L^+ G(R) \mid g_0 \in P_\mu(R) \},$$

where $R$ is any $W(k_0)$-algebra and $g_0$ is the image of $g$ under $L^+ G \to G$. By [BP17, Proposition 3.1.2] there is a group scheme homomorphism

$$\Phi_{G,\mu} : H^\mu \to L^+ G$$

such that $\Phi_{G,\mu}(h) = F(\mu(p)h\mu(p)^{-1}) \in G(W[R][1/p])$, where $F$ is induced from the Witt vector Frobenius.

**Definition 3.4** (Büttel-Pappas). Let $G$ be a reductive group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and let $\mu$ be a minuscule cocharacter for $G$ defined over $W(k_0)$. A $(G, \mu)$-display over a $W(k_0)$-scheme $S$ is a triple $(P, Q, u)$, where

- $Q$ is a right $H^\mu$-torsor over $S$,
- $P$ is the pushforward of $Q$ along $H^\mu \hookrightarrow L^+ G$,
- $u : Q \to P$ is a morphism such that $u(q \cdot h) = u(q)\Phi_{G,\mu}(h)$ for all $h \in H^\mu$ and $q \in Q$.

Morphisms of $(G, \mu)$-displays are torsor isomorphisms $Q \to Q'$ compatible with $u$. In this way we obtain a category of $(G, \mu)$-displays over $R$ for any $W(k_0)$-algebra $R$. By [BP17, 3.2.7] the stack of
(G, μ)-displays over NilpW(k₀) is isomorphic to the fpqc quotient stack [L⁺G/φG,μHμ], where Hμ acts on L⁺G via

\[ (3.2) \quad g \cdot h = h^{-1} g \Phi_{G,μ}(h) \]

for \( R \in \text{Ob}(\text{Nilp}_{W(k₀)}) \), \( h \in Hμ(R) \), \( g \in L⁺G(R) \).

**Lemma 3.5.** The stack of (G, μ)-displays is isomorphic to G-Disp₇⁻μ.

**Proof.** This is the content of [Lau18, Remark 6.3.4]. The ring homomorphism \( τ : L⁺μG \rightarrow Hμ \) which is an isomorphism in the case where \( G \) is reductive and \( μ \) is minus by [Lau18, Remark 6.3.3]. It remains only to check that the action (3.2) coincides with the action (3.1) under this isomorphism, but this follows from [Lau18, Lemma 5.2.1].

### 3.2. Graded fiber functors

Let \( G \) be a flat affine group scheme of finite type over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). Denote by Rep\( G \) the category of representations of \( G \) on finite free \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-modules. Let \( R \) be a \( W(k₀) \)-algebra.

**Definition 3.6.** A graded fiber functor over \( W(R) \) is an exact tensor functor

\[ \mathcal{F} : \text{Rep}_{G} \rightarrow \text{PGrMod}_{W}(R). \]

Denote by GFF\( W(R) \) the category of graded fiber functors over \( W(R) \). Morphisms in this category are morphisms of tensor functors. If \( R \rightarrow R' \) is a ring homomorphism and \( \mathcal{F} \) is a graded fiber functor over \( W(R) \), then we define the base change of \( \mathcal{F} \) to \( W(R') \), written \( \mathcal{F}_{R'} \), as the composition \( \text{Rep}_{G} \rightarrow \text{PGrMod}_{W}(R) \rightarrow \text{PGrMod}_{W}(R') \). As \( R \) varies in \( \text{Nilp}_{W(k₀)} \) we obtain a fibered category GFF\( W \) whose fiber over \( R \) is GFF\( W(R) \).

**Lemma 3.7.** The fibered category GFF\( W \) is an fpqc stack in groupoids over \( \text{Nilp}_{W(k₀)} \).

**Proof.** The proof is essentially the same as that of [PWZ15, Proposition 7.2]. We repeat the argument here for completeness.

Both Rep\( G \) and PGrMod\( W(R) \) are rigid tensor categories (cf. Lemma 2.3), so by [DM82, Proposition 1.13], if \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) are graded fiber functors over \( W(R) \), then every morphism of tensor functors \( \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \) is an isomorphism. Hence GFF\( W \) is fibered in groupoids.

It remains to show GFF\( W \) satisfies effective descent for morphisms and for objects. Let \( R \) be a \( p \)-nilpotent \( W(k₀) \)-algebra, let \( R' \) be a faithfully flat extension, and let \( R'' = R' \otimes R' \). Suppose \( \lambda' : (\mathcal{F}_1)_{R'} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2)_{R'} \) is a morphism over \( R' \) such that the two pullbacks to \( R'' \) agree. Then for each \( (V, ρ) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Rep}_{G}(G)) \), the same holds for \( \lambda'_ρ \). By Lemma 2.12, morphisms of finite projective graded \( W(R) \)-modules descend, so we obtain unique morphisms \( λ_ρ \) for every \( (V, ρ) \). We need these morphisms to piece together to form a natural transformation \( λ : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \) which is compatible with the tensor product. Let \( α : (V, ρ) \rightarrow (U, π) \) be a morphism in Rep\( G \). Then we must show the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}_1(V, ρ) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_1(α)} & \mathcal{F}_1(U, π) \\
\downarrow{λ_ρ} & & \downarrow{λ_π} \\
\mathcal{F}_2(V, ρ) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_2(α)} & \mathcal{F}_2(U, π)
\end{array}
\]

By assumption the diagram commutes after base change to \( R' \). Then the morphism \( (\mathcal{F}_1)_{R'}(V, ρ) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2)_{R'}(U, π) \) descends uniquely to a morphism \( \mathcal{F}_1(V, ρ) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2(U, π) \). Since both \( λ_ρ \circ \mathcal{F}_1(π) \) and \( \mathcal{F}_2(π) \circ λ_ρ \) satisfy this property, they agree by uniqueness, and the diagram commutes. Hence \( λ \) is a natural transformation. A similar argument shows that \( λ \) is compatible with tensor products, so we conclude morphisms descend.

Finally we prove GFF\( W \) satisfies effective descent for objects. Let \( \mathcal{F}' \) be a graded fiber functor over \( W(R') \)-equipped with a descent datum, i.e. equipped with an isomorphism

\[ p_1^*F' \xrightarrow{α} p_2^*F' \]

of tensor functors over \( W(R') \) satisfying the cocycle condition, where \( p_1^* \) and \( p_2^* \) denote base change along the maps induced by \( r \mapsto r \otimes 1 \) and \( r \mapsto 1 \otimes r \) from \( R' \rightarrow R' \otimes R' \), respectively. The given descent datum induces a descent datum on \( \mathcal{F}'(V, ρ) \) for each \( (V, ρ) \) in Rep\( G \), so, by Lemma 2.12, for every \( (V, ρ) \) we obtain a finite projective \( W(R') \)-module \( \mathcal{F}(V, ρ) \) over \( R \) whose base change to \( R' \) is \( \mathcal{F}'(V, ρ) \). We claim the assignment \( (V, ρ) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V, ρ) \) is functorial. Suppose \( α : (V, ρ) \rightarrow (U, π) \)
is a morphism in $\text{Rep}(G)$. Then we obtain a morphism $\mathcal{F}'(\alpha) : \mathcal{F}'(V, \rho) \to \mathcal{F}'(U, \pi)$. Because the descent datum on $\mathcal{F}'$ is a natural transformation, we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$
p_1^* \mathcal{F}'(V, \rho) \cong p_2^* \mathcal{F}'(V, \rho) \\
p_1^* \mathcal{F}'(U, \pi) \cong p_2^* \mathcal{F}'(U, \pi)
$$

From this we see the two pullbacks of $\mathcal{F}'(\alpha)$ coincide, so by descent for finite projective modules over a graded ring we obtain a unique homomorphism $\mathcal{F}(V, \rho) \to \mathcal{F}(U, \pi)$. The uniqueness part of this assertion is enough to prove that $\mathcal{F}$ preserves compositions and the identity, so $\mathcal{F}$ is, in fact, a functor. Now, being a tensor functor, $\mathcal{F}$ is equipped with isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}'(1) \cong 1$ and $\mathcal{F}'(V, \rho) \otimes \mathcal{F}'(U, \pi) \cong \mathcal{F}'(V \otimes U, \rho \otimes \pi)$. Since the descent datum on $\mathcal{F}'$ is a tensor morphism, it is compatible with these isomorphisms. Hence these isomorphisms descend as above to $\mathcal{F}$. The isomorphisms are compatible with the associativity and commutativity restraints on $\mathcal{F}'$, so by uniqueness the same holds for $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{F}$ is a tensor functor. By Lemma 2.15 exactness is an fpqc local property for finite projective $W(R)^\otimes$-modules, so $\mathcal{F}$ is exact.

If $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ are two graded fiber functors over $W(R)^\otimes$, denote by $\text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ the functor which assigns to an $R$-algebra $R'$ the set $\text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{F}_1)_{R'}(\mathcal{F}_2)_{R'}$ of isomorphisms of tensor functors $(\mathcal{F}_1)_{R'} \to (\mathcal{F}_2)_{R'}$. By the Lemma 3.7 this is an fpqc sheaf over $\text{Nilp}R$. We write $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{F}) := \text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ and $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{F}_R) := \text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{F}_R, \mathcal{F}_R)$. There is a natural action of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{F}_R)$ on $\text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ by pre-composition.

If $R$ is a $W(k_0)$-algebra, let us define a tensor functor

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mu, R} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G) \to \text{PGrMod}^W(R)$$

attached to any cocharacter $\mu$ of $G$ defined over $W(k_0)$. Given a representation $(V, \rho)$ in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$, $\mu$ induces a canonical decomposition

$$V_{W(k_0)} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V^i_{W(k_0)},$$

where $V_{W(k_0)} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_0)$, and

$$V^i_{W(k_0)} = \{ v \in V_{W(k_0)} \mid (\rho \circ \mu)(z) \cdot v = z^i v \text{ for all } z \in G_m(W(k_0)) \}.$$

By base change along $W(k_0) \to W(R)^\oplus$ we obtain a finite projective graded $W(R)^\oplus$ module

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^\oplus = V_{W(k_0)} \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(R)^\oplus.$$

Any morphism $\varphi : (V, \rho) \to (U, \pi)$ in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ preserves the grading induced by $\mu$, so we have defined a functor

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mu, R} : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G) \to \text{PGrMod}^W(R), \ V \mapsto V_{W(k_0)} \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(R)^\oplus.$$

The resulting functor obviously preserves the tensor product, and it is exact because the underlying modules of objects in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ are free, so all short exact sequences remain exact after tensoring over $W(R)^\oplus$. Then $\mathbb{C}_{\mu, R}$ is a graded fiber functor over $W(R)^\oplus$.

**Remark 3.8.** For any $W(k_0)$-algebra $R$, the $W(k_0)$-algebra structure homomorphism for $W(R)$ factors through $\Delta : W(k_0) \to W(k_0)$ by definition. Then we see $\mathbb{C}_{\mu, R}$ is the base change of $\mathbb{C}_{\mu, W(k_0)}$ along $\text{PGrMod}^W(W(k_0)) \to \text{PGrMod}^W(R)$. We will denote $\mathbb{C}_{\mu, W(k_0)}$ simply by $\mathbb{C}_\mu$.

**Definition 3.9.** A graded fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$ over $W(R)^\oplus$ is of type $\mu$ if for some faithfully flat extension $R \to R'$ there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_R \cong \mathbb{C}_{\mu, R'}$.

**Remark 3.10.** Let $(V, \rho)$ be a representation of $G$ on a finite free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra of rank $n$, and suppose the weights of $\rho \circ \mu$ on $V$ are $\{w_1, \ldots, w_r\}$ with $w_1 \leq w_2 \leq \cdots \leq w_r$. Let $r_i$ be the rank of $V^i_{W(k_0)}$. Then define $I := I_\mu(V, \rho) = \{i_1, \cdots, i_n\}$ as follows: First let $i_1 = \cdots = i_{r_1} = w_1$. Then for $j \geq 1$ and any $r$ satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^j r_k < r \leq \sum_{k=1}^{j+1} r_k,$$
let \( i_r = w_r \). We see that \( \mathcal{C}_\mu(R(V, \rho)) \) is of type \( I \). If \( \mathcal{F} \) is any graded fiber functor over \( W(R) \), then it follows from Lemma 2.13 that \( \mathcal{F}(V, \rho) \) is of type \( I \) as well.

Denote by \( \text{GFF}_\mu(W(R)) \) the category of graded fiber functors of type \( \mu \) over \( W(R) \). Base change preserves graded fiber functors of type \( \mu \), so we obtain a fibered category \( \text{GFF}_\mu^W \) whose fiber over \( R \) is \( \text{GFF}_\mu(W(R)) \).

**Lemma 3.11.** The fibered category \( \text{GFF}_\mu^W \) is a substack of \( \text{GFF}^W \).

**Proof.** The property of being type \( \mu \) is an fpqc local property. □

For any \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-algebra \( R \) let \( \text{PMod}(R) \) be the category of finite projective \( R \)-modules. Associated to this category we have the canonical fiber functor

\[
\omega_R : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G) \to \text{PMod}(R), \quad (V, \rho) \mapsto V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R.
\]

Define \( \text{Aut}^\otimes(\omega) \) to be the fpqc sheaf in groups over \( \text{Nilp}_{W(k_0)} \) which associates to an \( W(k_0) \)-algebra \( R \) the group of automorphisms of \( \omega_R \). By Tannakian duality, the assignment \( g \mapsto \{ \rho(g) \}_{(V, \rho)} \) defines an isomorphism of fpqc sheaves in groups

\[
G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}^\otimes(\omega),
\]

cf. [Cor14, Theorem 44] for the statement in this generality.

**Lemma 3.12.** Let \( R \) be a \( W(k_0) \)-algebra. For all \( g \in L^+_\mu G(R) \), the collection \( \{ \rho(g) \}_{(V, \rho)} \) comprises an element of \( \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_\mu(R)) \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1,

\[
\rho(g) \in \text{GL}(V(W(k_0)) \otimes W(k_0) W(R)), \quad \rho_{\mu \mu},
\]

so it is enough to show that any \( h \in \text{GL}(V(W(k_0)) \otimes W(k_0) W(R)) \) preserves the grading on \( V(W(k_0)) \otimes W(k_0) W(R) \) for all \( (V, \rho) \).

Suppose \( r_k \mathbb{Z}_p(V) = r \), and choose an ordered basis \( \{ v_1, \ldots, v_r \} \) for \( V(W(k_0)) \) over \( W(k_0) \) such that each \( v_i \in V(W(k_0)) \) and \( n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_r \). Relative to this basis we have

\[
\text{GL}(V(W(k_0)) \otimes W(k_0) W(R)) \cong \text{GL}_r(W(R)),
\]

and \( (\rho \circ \mu)(z) = \text{diag}(z^{n_1}, \ldots, z^{n_r}) \). Let \( A \) be the coordinate ring of \( \text{GL}_r(W(k_0)) \), so

\[
A = W(k_0)[X_{ij}, Y]_{i,j=1}^r / (\det(X_{ij})Y - 1).
\]

If \( \lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k_0)) \), the action of \( \lambda \) on \( A \) is given by

\[
X_{ij} \mapsto \lambda^{n_j - n_i} X_{ij},
\]

Then any \( h \in \text{GL}_r(W(R)) \) is represented by a matrix \( (h_{ij})_{ij} \) with \( h_{ij} \in W(R)_{n_j - n_i} \). We claim this implies that \( h \) preserves the grading on \( V(W(k_0)) \otimes W(k_0) W(R) \). Indeed, let \( v \in (V(W(k_0)) \otimes W(k_0) W(R))_\ell \). We need to show \( h(v) \in (V(W(k_0)) \otimes W(k_0) W(R))_\ell \). Write

\[
v = \sum_{j=1}^r v_j \otimes \xi_j,
\]

where \( \xi_j \in W(R)_{\ell - n_j} \) for all \( j \). Then

\[
h(v) = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r v_i \otimes h_{ij} \xi_j,
\]

and \( v_i \otimes h_{ij} \xi_j \) is of degree \( n_i + (n_j - n_i) + (\ell - n_j) = \ell \) as desired. □

It follows from the lemma that the assignment \( g \mapsto \{ \rho(g) \}_{(V, \rho)} \) induces a homomorphism of fpqc sheaves of groups on \( \text{Nilp}_{W(k_0)} \)

\[
\Psi : L^+_\mu G \to \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_\mu(R)).
\]

**Theorem 3.13.** The homomorphism (3.4) is an isomorphism.
Proof. For every \( W(k_0) \)-algebra \( R \), \( \Psi_R \) is the restriction of the map \( G(W(R)^\oplus) \to \text{Aut}^\otimes(\omega_{W(R)^\oplus}) \) given by \( g \mapsto \{ \rho(g) \}_{(V, \rho)} \). An inverse to this map is constructed in [Cor14, Theorem 44] (cf. also [Mil17, Theorem 9.2]). We need only verify the restriction of this inverse to \( \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \) respects the grading. Let us review the construction of this map.

Denote by \( \text{Rep}^\omega_{Z_p}(G) \) the category whose objects are the representations \((V, \rho)\) of \( G \) on \( Z_p \)-modules such that

\[
(V, \rho) = \lim_{\to} (W, \pi),
\]

where \((W, \pi)\) runs through the partially ordered set of all \( G \)-sub-representations of \((V, \rho)\) belonging to \( \text{Rep}^\omega_{Z_p}(G) \). The functor \( \mathcal{C}_{\mu, R} \) extends to a functor

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R} : \text{Rep}^\omega_{Z_p}(G) \to \text{GrMod}(W(R)^\oplus), \quad V \mapsto V_{W(k_0) \otimes W(k_0)} W(R)^\oplus.
\]

If we denote by \( \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \) the group-valued functor on \( W(k_0) \)-algebras given by \( R \mapsto \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \), then there is a canonical isomorphism

\[
\text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}').
\]

We will abuse notation and also denote the composition \( L^+_\mu G \to \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \) by \( \Psi \). It is enough to define an inverse to this composition.

Write \( G = \text{Spec} \, A \). Recall the regular representation \( \rho_{\text{reg}} \) is the representation of \( G \) on \( A \), viewed as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module, whose comodule morphism is the comultiplication for \( A \). Explicitly, if \( R \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-algebra, \( g \in G(R) \), and \( a \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R \), then \( \rho_{\text{reg}}(g) \cdot a \) is defined by

\[
(\rho_{\text{reg}}(g) \cdot a)(h) = a(hg).
\]

By [Ser68, Proposition 2], \( \rho_{\text{reg}} \) is an object of \( \text{Rep}^\omega_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G) \). One checks the morphisms

\[
(3.5) \quad (\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{1}) \to (A, \rho_{\text{reg}}) \quad \text{and} \quad (A, \rho_{\text{reg}}) \otimes (A, \rho_{\text{reg}}) \to (A, \rho_{\text{reg}}),
\]

given by the unit and multiplication respectively, are \( G \)-equivariant.

Now let \( R \) be a \( W(k_0) \)-algebra and let \( \lambda \in \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \), so for every \((V, \rho)\) in \( \text{Rep}^\omega_{Z_p}(G) \),

\[
\lambda : V_{W(k_0) \otimes W(k_0)} W(R)^\oplus \to V_{W(k_0) \otimes W(k_0)} W(R)^\oplus
\]

is a graded \( W(R)^\oplus \)-module automorphism. In particular, \( \lambda \) determines a graded \( W(R)^\oplus \)-module automorphism \( \lambda_{\rho_{\text{reg}}} \) of \( A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^\oplus \). Moreover, since \( \lambda \) is a morphism of tensor functors, functoriality applied to (3.5) implies \( \lambda_{\rho_{\text{reg}}} \) is a graded \( W(R)^\oplus \)-module homomorphism.

Define \( \Phi_R : \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \to G(W(R)^\oplus) \) by assigning to \( \lambda \in \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \) the composition

\[
A_{W(k_0)} \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(R)^\oplus \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\rho_{\text{reg}}}} A_{W(k_0)} \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(R)^\oplus \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_{W(R)^\oplus}} W(R)^\oplus,
\]

where the \( \varepsilon \) is the counit for \( A_{W(k_0)} \). We claim this composition is a graded \( W(R)^\oplus \)-module homomorphism, so \( \Phi_R(\lambda) \in G(W(R)^\oplus)_\mu = L^+_\mu G(R) \). By assumption \( \lambda_{\rho_{\text{reg}}} \) respects the grading, so it remains only to show \( \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_{W(R)^\oplus} \) is a graded \( W(R)^\oplus \)-homomorphism. Because the zero element of \( W(R)^\oplus \) is homogeneous of degree \( n \) for all \( n \), it is enough to show \( \varepsilon(a) = 0 \) if \( a \in (A_{W(k_0)})_n \) for \( n \neq 0 \). Let \( a \in (A_{W(k_0)})_n \) and \( \lambda \in \mathcal{G}_m(W(k_0)) \). Then

\[
(\lambda \cdot a)(e) = a(\mu(\lambda)^{-1} \epsilon(\lambda)) = a(e) = \varepsilon(a),
\]

where \( e \) is the identity element of \( G(W(k_0)) \). But since \( a \in (A_{W(k_0)})_n \),

\[
(\lambda \cdot a)(e) = \lambda^n a(e) = \lambda^n \varepsilon(a).
\]

Hence \( (\lambda^n - 1)\varepsilon(a) = 0 \in W(k_0) \) for all \( \lambda \in W(k_0)^\times \), so \( \varepsilon(a) = 0 \) if \( n \neq 0 \).

The construction of \( \Phi_R \) is functorial in \( R \), so as \( R \) varies we obtain a natural transformation

\[
\Phi : \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu, R}) \to L^+_\mu G,
\]

and the verifications in [Cor14, Theorem 44] show \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) compose to the identity in both directions. 

\[\square\]
It follows from the theorem that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a graded fiber functor of type $\mu$ over $W(R)\otimes$, then $\text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, \mathcal{F})$ is an $L^+_\mu$-$\mathcal{G}$-torsor, with $L^+_\mu$ acting by pre-composition. This defines a functor

$$\text{GFF}^W_\mu(R) \to \text{Tors}_{L^+_\mu G}(R), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, \mathcal{F}),$$

where $\text{Tors}_{L^+_\mu G}$ is the fpqc stack of $L^+_\mu$-$\mathcal{G}$-torsors over $\text{Nilp}_{W(k_0)}$.

**Corollary 3.14.** The functor (3.6) induces an isomorphism of stacks

$$\text{GFF}^W_\mu \sim \to \text{Tors}_{L^+_\mu G}.$$

**Proof.** Fix a $p$-nilpotent $W(k_0)$-algebra $R$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are graded fiber functors of type $\mu$ over $W(R)\otimes$, and let $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ be morphisms $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$ which induce the same morphism

$$\varphi : \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, \mathcal{F}) \to \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, \mathcal{F}').$$

Let $R \to R'$ be a faithfully flat extension and choose $\lambda \in \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, \mathcal{F}_R)$. Then

$$(\psi_1)_R \circ \lambda = (\varphi_R)(\lambda) = (\psi_2)_R \circ \lambda.$$  

Since $\lambda$ is an isomorphism, $(\psi_1)_R = (\psi_2)_R$. Then by descent, $\psi_1 = \psi_2$, and the functor $\text{GFF}^W_\mu(R) \to \text{Tors}_{L^+_\mu G}(R)$ is faithful.

Now consider an $L^+_\mu$-$\mathcal{G}$-equivariant morphism

$$\varphi : \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, \mathcal{F}) \to \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, \mathcal{F}').$$

As above, choose $R \to R'$ faithfully flat. Then for any $\lambda \in \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R'}, \mathcal{F}_R')$, the element

$$\psi' = \varphi_R(\lambda) \circ \lambda^{-1} \in \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{F}_R', \mathcal{F}_R')$$

is determined uniquely by $\varphi$ by $L^+_\mu$-$\mathcal{G}$-equivariance. Indeed, if $\lambda' : \mathcal{C}_{\mu,R'} \sim \to \mathcal{F}_R'$ is another choice, then $\lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda'$ is a tensor automorphism of $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}$, hence is an element of $L^+_\mu$-$\mathcal{G}$-$\text{Rep}_k$. Then

$$\varphi_{R'}(\lambda') \circ \varphi_{R'}(\lambda^{-1}) = \varphi_{R'}(\lambda' \circ \lambda^{-1}) = \varphi_{R'}(\lambda'),$$

so that $\psi'$ descends to some $\psi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$. It is clear that $\psi$ maps to $\varphi$, and we see the functor is full.

Then we are done by [Sta17, Lemma 046N] since any $L^+_\mu$-$\mathcal{G}$-torsor is fpqc-locally trivial, and the trivial $L^+_\mu$-$\mathcal{G}$-torsor is in the essential image of this functor. ☐

### 3.3. Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays

Let $G$ and $\mu$ be as in the previous section. In this section we give a Tannakian definition of $G$-displays of type $\mu$ and show that the resulting stack coincides with those defined by Lau and B"{u}ltel-Pappas. Let $R$ be a $p$-adic $W(k_0)$-algebra.

**Definition 3.15.** A Tannakian $G$-display over $R$ is an exact tensor functor

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Rep}_{\otimes}(G) \to \text{Disp}^W(R).$$

As in the previous section such functors form a fibered category over $\text{Nilp}_{W(k_0)}$, which we will denote by $G\text{-Disp}^W\otimes$.

**Lemma 3.16.** The fibered category $G\text{-Disp}^W\otimes$ is an fpqc stack in groupoids.

**Proof.** The proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma 3.7, after replacing $\text{PGrMod}^W$ by $\text{Disp}^W$ everywhere. ☐

Denote by $\nu_R$ the natural forgetful functor which sends a display $M = (M, F)$ to its underlying finite projective graded $W(R)\otimes$-module $M$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a Tannakian $G$-display over $R$, then by composing with the forgetful functor $\nu_R$ we obtain a graded fiber functor $\nu_R \circ \mathcal{D} : \text{Rep}_{\otimes}(G) \to \text{PGrMod}^W(R)$.

**Definition 3.17.** A Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display over $R$ is a Tannakian $G$-display $\mathcal{D}$ over $R$ such that $\nu_R \circ \mathcal{D}$ is a graded fiber functor of type $\mu$.

Denote by $G\text{-Disp}^W$ the fibered category over $\text{Nilp}_{W(k_0)}$ whose fiber over $R$ is the category of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays over $R$. The following is analogous to Lemma 3.11.
Lemma 3.18. The fibered category $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}^{W,\otimes}$ is a substack of $G\text{-Disp}^{W,\otimes}$.

Construction 3.19. Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is a Tannakian $(G,\mu)$-display over $R$. We will associate to $\mathcal{D}$ a $G$-display of type $\mu$. By Corollary 3.14,

$$Q_\mathcal{D} := \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, v_R \circ \mathcal{D})$$

is an $L^+_G$-torsor over $R$. Let $R'$ be an $R$-algebra and suppose $\lambda : \mathcal{C}_{\mu,R'} \rightarrow v_{R'} \circ \mathcal{D}_{R'}$ is an isomorphism of tensor functors. If $(V, \rho)$ is in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G)$, write $\mathcal{D}_{R'}(V, \rho) = (M(\rho)' , F(\rho)' )$. Define $\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda)_\rho$ as the composition

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R') \xrightarrow{\lambda_\rho} (M(\rho))' \xrightarrow{F(\rho)'} (M(\rho)')' \xrightarrow{\lambda_\rho^\ast} V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R') .$$

On the left we are implicitly identifying

$$(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^\otimes)^{\sigma} \cong V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')$$

using the isomorphism induced by the natural isomorphism of rings $W(R')^\otimes \otimes_{W(R')}^{\otimes,\sigma} W(R') \rightarrow W(R')$. We have a similar identification on the right when we replace $\sigma$ by $\tau$.

Because $\lambda : \mathcal{C}_{\mu,R} \rightarrow v_{R'} \circ \mathcal{D}_{R'}$ is a tensor morphism, it follows that $\{\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda)_\rho\}_{(V, \rho)}$ is an element of $\text{Aut}^\otimes(\omega_{W(R)}^\ast)$, which is isomorphic to $G(W(R')) = L^+_G(R')$ by Tannakian duality. Hence there is some $\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda) \in L^+_G(R)$ such that $\rho(\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda)) = \alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda)_\rho$ for every $(V, \rho)$. Altogether we have a morphism of fpqc sheaves

$$\alpha_\mathcal{D} : Q_\mathcal{D} \rightarrow L^+_G .$$

It remains to show $\alpha_\mathcal{D}$ is $L^+_G$-equivariant. For this let $h \in L^+_G(R')$. Then $(\lambda \cdot h)_\rho$ is the composition

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^\otimes \xrightarrow{\rho(h)} V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^\otimes \xrightarrow{\lambda_\rho} M(\rho)'.$$

Hence we see $\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda \cdot h)_\rho$ is given by

$$(\rho(h)^\ast)^{-1} \circ ((\lambda_\rho)^{-1} \circ F(\rho)^\ast \circ (\rho(h)^\ast) = \rho(\tau(h^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda) \cdot \sigma(h)) .$$

By Tannakian duality again we obtain $\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda \cdot h) = \tau(h^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda) \cdot \sigma(h)$, so $\alpha_\mathcal{D}$ is $L^+_G$-equivariant.

The pair $(Q_\mathcal{D}, \alpha_\mathcal{D})$ comprises a $G$-display of type $\mu$ in the sense of Definition 3.2. Suppose now $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ are Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays over $R$, and write $\mathcal{D}_1(\psi, \rho) = (M_1(\rho), F_1(\rho) )$ and $\mathcal{D}_2(\psi, \rho) = (M_2(\rho), F_2(\rho) )$. Given a morphism of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays $\psi : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2$, we get a morphism

$$Q_{\mathcal{D}_1} = \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, v_R \circ \mathcal{D}_1) \rightarrow \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,R}, v_R \circ \mathcal{D}_2) = Q_{\mathcal{D}_2}$$

by post-composition with $\psi$. This is obviously a morphism of torsors, and if $(V, \rho)$ is a representation of $G$, $\lambda \in Q_{\mathcal{D}_1}(R')$, then $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_2}(v_R(\psi) \cdot \lambda)_\rho$ is given by

$$(\lambda_\rho')^{-1} \circ ((\psi_{R'})^\ast)^{-1} \circ (F_2(\rho)' ) \circ (\psi_{R'})^\ast \circ \lambda_\rho' ,$$

where $(\mathcal{D}_1,R')(V, \rho) = (M_1(\rho)', F_1(\rho)')$. But because $(\psi_{R'})_\rho$ is a morphism of displays $M_1(\rho)_{W(R')} \rightarrow M_2(\rho)_{W(R')}$, this becomes

$$(\lambda_\rho')^{-1} \circ (F_1(\rho)' ) \circ \lambda_\rho' = \alpha_{\mathcal{D}_2}(\lambda)_\rho .$$

We conclude that the morphism $Q_{\mathcal{D}_1} \rightarrow Q_{\mathcal{D}_2}$ is a morphism of $G$-displays of type $\mu$, so the construction $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow (Q_\mathcal{D}, \alpha_\mathcal{D})$ is functorial. Denote the resulting functor by $T_R$.

This construction is evidently compatible with base change, so we obtain a morphism of stacks

$$(3.7) \quad T : G\text{-Disp}^{W,\otimes}_\mu \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}^{W}_\mu , \quad \mathcal{D} \mapsto (Q_\mathcal{D}, \alpha_\mathcal{D}) .$$

Theorem 3.20. The morphism $(3.7)$ is an isomorphism of fpqc stacks over $\text{Nilp}_W^{(k_0)}$.

Proof. Fix a $p$-nilpotent $W(k_0)$-algebra $R$. It is immediate from Corollary 3.14 that $T_R$ is faithful. Let us prove it is full. Let $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ be Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays, and write

$$\mathcal{D}_1(\psi, \rho) = (M_1(\rho), F_1(\rho) ) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_2(\psi, \rho) = (M_2(\rho), F_2(\rho) )$$

for every representation $(V, \rho)$ of $G$. Suppose

$$\eta : (Q_{\mathcal{D}_1}, \alpha_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \rightarrow (Q_{\mathcal{D}_2}, \alpha_{\mathcal{D}_2})$$

is a morphism of $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$.
is a morphism of $G$-displays of type $\mu$. By Corollary 3.14 there exists some $\psi : v_R \circ \mathcal{G}_1 \to v_R \circ \mathcal{G}_2$ which induces $\eta$. For every representation $(V, \rho)$ in $\text{Rep}_{Z_p}(G)$, we obtain a morphism of graded $W(R)^{\oplus}$-modules

$$\psi_\rho : M_1(\rho) \to M_2(\rho).$$

The collection of these morphisms is functorial and compatible with tensor product, so it remains only to show $\psi_\rho$ is compatible with $F_1(\rho)$ and $F_2(\rho)$. By Lemma 2.26 it is enough to check this condition after a faithfully flat extension of rings $R \to R'$. Choose such an extension with the property that $Q_{\mathcal{G}_2}(R')$ is nonempty, and suppose $\lambda : \mathcal{G}_{\mu, R'} \to v_{R'} \circ (\mathcal{G}_1)_{R'}$ is an isomorphism of graded fiber functors.

Let $(V, \rho)$ be a representation of $G$. For brevity, let us write $M_i(\rho)' := M_i(\rho)_{W(R')^\oplus}$ and $F_i(\rho)'$ for the base change of $F_i(\rho)$ to $W(R')^\oplus$. Consider the following diagram:

$$(M_1(\rho))' \overset{(\lambda_\rho')^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} V \otimes_{Z_p} W(R') \overset{\rho(\alpha_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\lambda))}{\longrightarrow} V \otimes_{Z_p} W(R')^{(\psi_{\mu, \lambda})_{\rho}} \overset{(M_2(\rho))'}{\longrightarrow}$$

The left- and right-most squares commute by definition of $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}_1}$. Because $\eta$ is a morphism of $G$-displays of type $\mu$, we have

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\lambda) = \alpha_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\eta(\lambda)) = \alpha_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\psi_{R'} \circ \lambda).$$

Therefore the middle square and hence the whole diagram commutes. But composition across the top is $(\psi_{R'})_{\rho}$ and across the bottom is $(\psi_{R'})_{\rho}$, so commutativity of this diagram means that $(\psi_{R'})_{\rho}$ is a morphism of displays for every $(V, \rho)$, i.e. that $\psi$ is a morphism of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays which induces $\eta$. We conclude $T_R$ is full.

It remains to show $T_R$ is essentially surjective. Let $Q = (Q, \alpha)$ be a $G$-display of type $\mu$ over $R$. By Corollary 3.14, there is some graded fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$ of type $\mu$ such that $Q \cong \text{Isom}^{\oplus}(\mathcal{G}_{\mu, R}, \mathcal{F})$. Write $\mathcal{F}(V, \rho) = M(\rho)$. By [Sta17, Lemma 046N] it is enough to show the base change $Q_{R'}$ is in the essential image of $T_{R'}$ for some faithfully flat extension $R \to R'$.

Suppose $R \to R'$ is a faithfully flat extension such that $\text{Isom}^{\oplus}(\mathcal{G}_{\mu, R'}, \mathcal{F}_{R'})$ is nonempty. Let $\lambda : \mathcal{G}_{\mu, R'} \to \mathcal{F}_{R'}$ be an isomorphism of graded fiber functors of type $\mu$. Then $\alpha(\lambda) \in L^+ G(R')$, so $\rho(\alpha(\lambda))$ is an automorphism of $V \otimes_{Z_p} W(R')$ for every $(V, \rho)$. Define $F(\rho)'$ to be the $\sigma$-linear homomorphism $M(\rho)' \to (M(\rho))'$ such that

$$(F(\rho))' \overset{=}{} := \lambda_\rho' \circ \rho(\alpha(\lambda)) \circ (\lambda_\rho')^{-1}.$$  

Then $M(\rho)' = (M(\rho)', F(\rho)')$ is a display over $W(R')^\oplus$. We claim the association $\mathcal{D}_Q' : (V, \rho) \mapsto M(\rho)'$ is a Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display.

First let us show $\mathcal{D}_Q'$ is functorial. Suppose $\varphi : (V, \rho) \to (U, \pi)$ is a morphism in $\text{Rep}_{Z_p}(G)$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ is a homomorphism of graded $W(R')^{\oplus}$-modules $M(\rho) \to M(\pi)$, and we need to show that $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ is compatible with $F(\rho)'$ and $F(\pi)'$. Consider the following diagram:

$$(M(\rho))' \overset{(\lambda_\rho')^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} V \otimes_{Z_p} W(R') \overset{\varphi_{W(R')}}{\longrightarrow} U \otimes_{Z_p} W(R') \overset{\lambda_\pi}{\longrightarrow} (M(\pi))'$$

Again, the outside squares commute by definition of $F(\rho)'$ and $F(\pi)'$. The middle square commutes because $\varphi$ is a morphism in $\text{Rep}_{Z_p}(G)$. Since $\lambda$ is a natural transformation, composition across the top is $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)'$, and composition across the bottom is $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)'$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_Q'$ is a functor. A completely analogous argument proves that it is compatible with the tensor product, so $\mathcal{D}_Q'$ is a Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display over $R'$. 
Now consider $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$, the $G$-display of type $\mu$ associated to $\mathcal{D}$. By definition of $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$ and construction of $\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$Q_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{E}_{\mu,R}, v_{R^}\circ \mathcal{D}_Q) = \text{isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{E}_{\mu,R}, \mathcal{D}_R) \cong Q_{R^}.$$ 

By Tannakian duality, under this identification we have $\alpha_{R^} = \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}$. Hence $Q_{R^} \cong T_R(\mathcal{D}_\otimes)$, and $T$ is essentially surjective.

Combining the theorem with Lemma 3.5 we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.21.** If $G$ is a reductive group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu$ is a minuscule cocharacter defined over $W(k_0)$ then the stack of $(G, \mu)$-displays (as in [BP17]) is isomorphic to the stack of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays.

In [BP17], a $(G, \mu)$-display $(P, Q, u)$ over a $W(k_0)$-scheme $S$ is called banal if the $H^\mu$-torsor $Q$ is trivial. We close this section by defining the analogous notion for Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays, and by giving a local description of the stack of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays which is formally very similar to that of [BP17, 3.2.7]. Fix a $p$-nilpotent $W(k_0)$-algebra $R$.

**Definition 3.22.** A Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display $\mathcal{D}$ over $R$ is banal if there is an isomorphism $v_{R^}\circ \mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{E}_{\mu,R}$.

Banal Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays over $R$ form a full subcategory of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays over $R$, and by definition any Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display is fpqc-locally banal.

**Construction 3.23.** To any $U \in L^+(G(R))$ we can associate a banal $(G, \mu)$-display $\mathcal{D}_U$ as follows. Let $(V, \rho)$ be a representation of $G$ on a finite free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module. To $(V, \rho)$ we associate the following standard datum:

- $L = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)$ viewed as a graded $W(R)$-module with $L_i = V^i_{W(k_0)} \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(R)$, where $V^i_{W(k_0)}$ is the decomposition (3.3) of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_0)$ induced by $\mu$;
- $\Phi_U : L \to L$ is the composition $\rho(U) \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes f)$.

Write $F_U : L \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\oplus \to L \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\oplus$ for the resulting $\sigma$-linear map, defined explicitly as $F_U(x \otimes \xi \otimes s) = \sigma(s)\rho(U)(x \otimes f(\xi))$ for $x \in V, \xi \in W(R)$ and $s \in W(R)^\oplus$. Then $\mathcal{D}_U$ is the Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display

$$\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G) \to \text{Disp}^W(R), \quad (V, \rho) \mapsto (L \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\oplus, F_U).$$

By construction it is clear that $v_{R^}\circ \mathcal{D}_U = \mathcal{E}_{\mu,R}$, so $\mathcal{D}_U$ is indeed banal.

In fact, the following lemma shows any banal Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_U$ for some $U \in L^+(G(R))$.

**Lemma 3.24.** Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a banal Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display over $R$, and let and let $\lambda : \mathcal{E}_{\mu,R} \sim v_{R^}\circ \mathcal{D}$ be an isomorphism of graded fiber functors. Define $U = \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)$ as in Construction 3.19. Then $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D}_U$.

**Proof.** It is clear that $\lambda$ provides an isomorphism between the underlying graded fiber functors. Let $(V, \rho)$ be a representation, and write $\mathcal{D}(V, \rho) = (M(\rho), F(\rho))$. In order to see that $\lambda$ is compatible with the display structures, we need to check $\lambda^\rho \circ \rho(U) = F(\rho^2) \circ \lambda^\rho$. But $U = \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)$, so this follows from Construction 3.19.

We can now give an explicit description of the category of banal Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays. This corresponds to the description of banal $(G, \mu)$-displays given in [BP17, 3.2.7] when $G$ is reductive and $\mu$ is minuscule. Define a category $[L^+G/L^+\mu G]^\text{pre}(R)$ as follows:

- The objects in $[L^+G/L^+\mu G]^\text{pre}(R)$ are elements $U \in L^+(G(R))$;
- given $U, U'$ in $L^+(G(R))$, the set of morphisms $U$ to $U'$ is given by
  $$\text{Hom}(U, U') = \{ h \in L^+G_{\mu}(R) \mid \tau(h)^{-1}U'\sigma(h) = U \}.$$ 

**Proposition 3.25.** The category of banal Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays over $R$ is equivalent to the category $[L^+G/L^+\mu G]^\text{pre}(R)$. 
Proof. We claim the assignment \( U \mapsto \mathcal{D}_U \) determines a functor from \([L^+ L_p^+ G]^\text{proj}(R)\) to the category of bannal Tannakian \((G, \mu)\)-displays over \(R\). Let \(U, U'\) in \(L^+ G(R)\) and \(h \in \text{Hom}(U, U')\). Applying the homomorphism \(\Psi\) (cf. (3.4)) to \(h\) we obtain a morphism \(\Psi(h)\) of the underlying graded fiber functors of \(\mathcal{D}_U\) and \(\mathcal{D}_{U'}\). These are both equal to \(\mathcal{E}_{\mu, R}\), so \(\Psi(h) \in \text{Aut}^\otimes(\mathcal{E}_{\mu, R}) \cong L_p^+ G(R)\). The condition \(\tau(h)^{-1} U \sigma(h) = U\) exactly corresponds to the condition that \(\Psi(h)\) determines a morphism of Tannakian \((G, \mu)\)-displays \(\mathcal{D}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{U'}\), so the above functor is well-defined. That the functor is fully faithful is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.13, and that it is essentially surjective follows from Lemma 3.24.

3.4. \textit{G}-quasi-isogenies. Suppose \(R\) is a \(p\)-adic \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebra. Then \(W(R)\) is endowed with a natural structure of a \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebra via

\[
\mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\Delta} W(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow W(R).
\]

The Frobenius and Verschiebung for \(W(R)\) extend in a natural way to \(W(R)[1/p]\).

Definition 3.26. An isodisplay over \(R\) is a pair \(\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \varphi)\) where \(N\) is a finitely generated projective \(W(R)[1/p]\)-module and \(\varphi : N \rightarrow N\) is an \(f\)-linear isomorphism.

The category of isodisplays over \(R\) has a natural structure of an exact tensor category, with tensor product defined by \((\mathcal{X}, \varphi_1) \otimes (\mathcal{X}, \varphi_2) := (N \otimes N_2, \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)\), and with exactness inherited from the analogous category defined by omitting the finitely generated projective condition for the \(W(R)[1/p]\)-modules \(N\).

Let \(M = (M, F)\) be a display over \(W(R)\), and suppose the depth of \(M\) is \(d\) (cf. Definition 2.8). Then we can associate to \(M\) an isodisplay as follows. Because \(d(M) = d\), we have a bijection \(M_d \xrightarrow{\theta_d} M^\tau\), cf. Remark 2.10. Define \(\varphi\) as follows: first consider the composition

\[
\varphi' : M^\tau \xrightarrow{\theta_d^{-1}} M_d \xrightarrow{\Phi} M^\tau,
\]

where \(F_d\) is the restriction of \(F\) to \(M_d\). This is an \(f\)-linear endomorphism of \(M^\tau\). We claim it induces an \(f\)-linear automorphism of \(M^\tau\). Indeed, we can choose a standard datum \((L, \Phi)\), with \(L = \bigoplus_{r=\text{depth}} L_r\), so \(M = L \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)\) and \(F(x \otimes s) = \sigma(s)\Phi(x)\) for \(x \in L, s \in W(R)^\otimes\). Then

\[
M_d = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{a-d} L_{d+n} \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\otimes_n
\]

and \(F_d\) becomes the composition

\[
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{a-d} L_{d+n} \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\otimes_n \xrightarrow{\Phi} \bigoplus_{n=0}^{a-d} L_{d+n} \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\otimes_n \xrightarrow{\Phi} \bigoplus_{n=0}^{a-d} L_{d+n}
\]

The last map is an \(f\)-linear bijection by assumption, and the second map is a bijection by definition of \(\tau\). The first becomes a bijection after we invert \(p\), so this proves the claim.

Now define \(\varphi := p^d \varphi' [1/p]\). By this procedure we obtain an isodisplay \(\mathcal{M}[1/p] = (M^\tau [1/p], \varphi)\). This construction is evidently functorial, so if we denote the category of isodisplays over \(R\) by \(\text{Isodisp}(R)\), we obtain an exact tensor functor

\[
\text{Disp}^W(R) \rightarrow \text{Isodisp}(R).
\]

This procedure generalizes the one given for assigning an isodisplay to a 1-display in [Zin02, Example 63].

Remark 3.27. If \(S\) is a frame over \(R\), we can define an analogous category of isodisplays over \(S\). If every finite projective graded \(S\)-module admits a normal decomposition, then the notion of depth is well-defined (by Definition 2.8 and Lemma 2.9) and we can mimic the construction above to define a functor analogous to (3.8). This holds in particular when \(S_0\) is \(p\)-adic by [Lau18, Lemma 3.1.4]. However, without the guaranteed existence of normal decompositions, it is unclear how one should define such a functor.

Definition 3.28. Let \(\mathcal{M} = (M, F)\) and \(\mathcal{M}' = (M', F')\) be displays over \(W(R)\).

(i) A quasi-isogeny \(\gamma : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'\) is an isomorphism of isodisplays \(\mathcal{M}[1/p] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}'[1/p]\).

(ii) A quasi-isogeny is an isogeny if it is induced by a morphism of displays.

We say \(\mathcal{M}\) is isogenous to \(\mathcal{M}'\) if there exists an isogeny \(\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'\).
Now suppose $G$ is a flat affine group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$, and that $\mu$ is a cocharacter for $G$ defined over $W(k_0)$. Let $R$ be a $W(k_0)$-algebra.

**Definition 3.29.** A $G$-isodisplay over $R$ is an exact tensor functor $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G) \to \text{Isodisp}(R)$.

If $\mathcal{D}$ is a Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display, then we obtain a $G$-isodisplay by composition with the natural functor (3.8). Denote the resulting $G$-isodisplay by $\mathcal{D}[1/p]$.

**Definition 3.30.** Let $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ be Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays. A $G$-quasi-isogeny $\mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2$ is an isomorphism of $G$-isodisplays $\mathcal{D}_1[1/p] \cong \mathcal{D}_2[1/p]$.

Suppose $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D}_U$ is a banal Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display over $R$, given by $U \in L^+G(R)$ as in the previous section. Then we can explicitly compute the resulting $G$-isodisplay. In this case, if $(V, \rho)$ is a representation of $G$, we have $\mathcal{D}[1/p](V, \rho) = (N(\rho), \varphi(\rho))$, where

$$N(\rho) = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)[1/p].$$

**Lemma 3.31.** For every $(V, \rho)$ in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G)$, the Frobenius on $\mathcal{D}[1/p](V, \rho)$ is given by

$$\varphi = \rho(U \mu^\sigma(p)) \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes f).$$

**Proof.** Fix $(V, \rho)$ in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G)$, and let $\mathcal{D}(V, \rho) = (\mathcal{M}(\rho), \mathcal{F}(\rho))$, where $\mathcal{M}(\rho) = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^\otimes$. Because $\mathcal{D}$ is banal and defined from $U \in L^+G(R)$, $\mathcal{F}$ is defined from the $f$-linear automorphism

$$\Phi_U = \rho(U) \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes f)$$

of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)$. Suppose the weights of $\rho \circ \mu$ are $\{w_1, \ldots, w_r\}$ with $w_1 \leq w_2 \leq \cdots \leq w_r$. Then $d(M(\rho)) = w_1$.

Let $L_i = \mathcal{W}(w_i) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(k_0)} W(R)$. Then we have

$$M(\rho)^\sigma = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r L_{w_i}.$$

The $f$-linear automorphism $\varphi$ of $N(\rho) = (M(\rho)^\sigma)[1/p]$ is constructed in two steps. First we consider the composition

$$M(\rho)^\sigma \xrightarrow{\theta_{w_1}^{-1}} M(\rho)_{w_1} \xrightarrow{F_{w_1}} M(\rho)^\sigma.$$

In our case, if $x \in L_{w_1}$, then

$$\theta_{w_1}^{-1}(x) = x \otimes t^{w_1-w_1} \in L_{w_1} \otimes_{W(R)} W(R)^\otimes,$$

where $t \in W(R)^\otimes$ is the indeterminate from Definition 2.2. Applying $F_{w_1}$, we obtain

$$F_{w_1}(x \otimes t^{w_1-w_1}) = p^{w_1-w_1} \rho(U)(\text{id}_V \otimes f)(x).$$

Multiplying by $p^{d(M)}$, we have

$$\varphi(x) = p^{w_1} \rho(U)(\text{id}_V \otimes f)(x).$$

for $x \in \mathcal{W}(w_i) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(k_0)} W(R)[1/p]$. This is evidently the same as $\rho(U)(\text{id}_V \otimes f)\rho(\mu(p))(x)$, so the result follows from the identity

$$(\text{id}_V \otimes f) \circ \rho(\mu(p)) = \rho(\mu^\sigma(p)) \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes f).$$

\[\Box\]

4. **RZ Spaces**

4.1. **Local Shimura data and the RZ functor.** We recall the formalism for local Shimura data developed in [RV14], and we give a purely group theoretic definition of an RZ functor, following [BP17]. Our definition relies on the framework developed in the previous section, and as such, allows for a more general development than that in [BP17]. In particular, we do not need to assume $G$ is reductive or $\mu$ minuscule in order to formulate the definitions in this section.

Let $k$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$, and let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors over $k$. Write $K = W(k)[1/p]$, and let $\hat{K}$ be an algebraic closure of $K$. In this section we write $\sigma$ for the automorphism of $K$ coming from a lift of the absolute Frobenius $x \mapsto x^p$ of $k$. Let $G$ be a smooth affine group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ whose generic fiber $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is reductive. Consider pairs $((\mu), [b])$ such that...
• \{\mu\} is a \(G(\bar{K})\)-conjugacy class of cocharacters \(G_{m,\bar{K}} \to G_{\bar{K}}\);

• \([b]\) is a \(\sigma\)-conjugacy class elements \(b \in G(K)\).

Let \(E \subseteq \bar{K}\) be the field of definition of the conjugacy class \(\{\mu\}\). Denote by \(O_E\) its valuation ring and \(K_E\) its residue field. We make the following assumption:

**Assumption 4.1.** The field \(E \subseteq \bar{K}\) is contained in \(K\), and there exists a cocharacter \(\mu : G_{m,E} \to G_E\) in the conjugacy class \(\{\mu\}\) which is defined over \(E\) and which extends to an integral cocharacter

\[
\mu : G_{m,O_E} \to G_{O_E}.
\]

When the assumption is satisfied we may identify \(O_E \cong W(k_E)\) and \(E \cong W(k_E)[1/p]\).

**Definition 4.2.** A **local integral Shimura datum** is a triple \((G, \{\mu\}, [b])\) as above such that

1. \(\{\mu\}\) is minuscule and satisfies Assumption 4.1, and
2. for any integral representative \(\mu\) of \(\{\mu\}\) as in Assumption 4.1, the \(\sigma\)-conjugacy class \([b]\) has a representative

\[
b \in G(W(k))\mu^\sigma(p)G(W(k)).
\]

**Definition 4.3.** Let \((G, \{\mu\}, [b])\) be a local integral Shimura datum. A **framing pair** for \((G, \{\mu\}, [b])\) is a pair \((\mu, b)\) where

- \(\mu : G_{m,W(k_E)} \to G_{W(k_E)}\) is a representative of the conjugacy class \(\{\mu\}\) as in Assumption 4.1,
- \(b\) is a representative of the \(\sigma\)-conjugacy class \([b]\) such that, for some \(u \in L^+G(k)\),

\[
b = u\mu^\sigma(p).
\]

It follows from Definition 4.2 that a framing pair always exists for a local integral Shimura datum \((G, \{\mu\}, [b])\). If \((\mu, b)\) is a framing pair, then the element \(u \in L^+G(k)\) such that \(b = u\mu^\sigma(p)\) is uniquely determined.

**Definition 4.4.** Let \((\mu, b)\) be a framing pair for \((G, \{\mu\}, [b])\), and let \(\mathcal{D}_0\) be the associated framing object. The **RZ-functor** associated to the triple \((G, \mu, b)\) is the functor on \(\text{Nilp}_{W(k)}\) which assigns to a \(p\)-nilpotent \(W(k)\)-algebra \(R\) the set of isomorphism classes of pairs \((\mathcal{D}, \iota)\), where

- \(\mathcal{D}\) is a Tannakian \((G, \mu)\)-display over \(R\),
- \(\iota : \mathcal{D}_{R/pR} \to (\mathcal{D}_0)_{R/pR}\) is a \(G\)-quasi-isogeny.

Two pairs \((\mathcal{D}_1, \iota_1)\) and \((\mathcal{D}_2, \iota_2)\) are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism \(\mathcal{D}_1 \cong \mathcal{D}_2\) lifting \(\iota_2^{-1} \circ \iota_1\). Denote the RZ functor associated to \((G, \mu, b)\) by \(RZ_{G, \mu, b}\).

Associated to \(RZ_{G, \mu, b}\) we have a category \(RZ_{G, \mu, b}\) fibered over \(\text{Nilp}_{W(k)}\), such that if \(R\) is a \(p\)-nilpotent \(W(k)\)-algebra, then \(RZ_{G, \mu, b}(R)\) is the groupoid of pairs \((\mathcal{D}, \iota)\) over \(R\) as in Definition 4.5. It follows from Lemma 3.16 that \(RZ_{G, \mu, b}\) is an fpqc stack in groupoids.

### 4.2. Realization as a quotient stack

In this section we will reinterpret \(RZ_{G, \mu, b}\) as a quotient stack. From this we obtain an equivalence between our RZ functor and the one defined in [BP17], in the case where both are defined.

First we recall the definition of the Witt loop scheme as in [BP17, Section 2.2]. Let \(R\) be a ring and let \(X\) be a scheme over \(W(R)\). Then the functor on \(R\)-algebras

\[
R' \mapsto X(W(R')[1/p])
\]

is representable by an ind-scheme over \(R\) by [Kre14, Proposition 32]. If \(X\) is a scheme over \(W(k_0)\), we can apply this to the base change of \(X\) along the Cartier homomorphism \(W(k_0) \xrightarrow{\Delta} W(W(k_0))\) to obtain an ind-scheme over \(W(k_0)\). We will denote this ind-scheme by \(LX\).

For a scheme \(X\) over \(W(k_0)\), denote by \(\sigma X\) the base change of \(X\) via the automorphism \(\sigma\) of \(W(k_0)\):

\[
\sigma X = X \times_{\text{Spec } W(k_0), \sigma} \text{Spec } W(k_0).
\]
There is a natural isomorphism $\sigma(LX) \sim \sigma(L^tX)$. If $R$ is a $W(k_0)$-algebra, then the Witt vector Frobenius $f$ on $W(R)$ induces a map on $R$ points $f: LX(R) \to \sigma(LX)(R)$ as follows: if $x \in LX(R)$, then $f(x)$ is the composition

$$\Spec W(R)[1/p] \xrightarrow{\psi} \Spec W(R)[1/p] \xrightarrow{\sim} LX.$$

This map is functorial in $R$ and hence defines a morphism of ind-schemes $f: LX \to \sigma LX$ over $W(k_0)$. If $X$ is defined over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, there is a natural isomorphism $\sigma LX \sim LX$, so in this case $f$ defines an endomorphism $f: LX \to LX$. If $G$ is a group scheme over $W(k_0)$, then $LG$ is a group ind-scheme over $W(k_0)$, and in this case $f$ is a group ind-scheme homomorphism.

Let $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ be a local integral Shimura datum, and choose a framing pair $(\mu, b)$ for $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$, so $b = u\mu^\sigma(p)$ for some $u \in L^+G(k)$. To $b$ and $\mu$ we associate two morphisms:

- $c_b: LG \to LG$, $g \mapsto g^{-1} \cdot b \cdot f(g)$,
- $m_\mu: L^+G \to LG$, $U \mapsto U \cdot \mu^\sigma(p)$.

Using these morphisms we form the fiber product $L^+G \times_{m_\mu, c_b} LG$, defined by the following Cartesian diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L^+G \times_{m_\mu, c_b} LG & \longrightarrow & LG \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow c_b \\
L^+G & \longrightarrow & LG
\end{array}
$$

**Lemma 4.6.** Suppose $h \in L^+_\mu G(R)$, and $(U, g) \in (L^+G \times_{m_\mu, c_b} LG)(R)$. Then

$$
(U, g) \cdot h := (\tau(h)^{-1} \cdot U \cdot \sigma(h), g \cdot \tau(h))
$$

is an element of $(L^+G \times_{m_\mu, c_b} LG)(R)$.

**Proof.** We need to show $c_b(g\tau(h)) = m_\mu(\tau(h)^{-1}U\sigma(h))$.

This reduces to showing

$$
\sigma(h) = \mu^\sigma(p) f(\tau(h)) \mu^\sigma(p)^{-1}
$$

when viewed as an element of $LG(R)$. The proof of this fact is contained in the proof of [Lau18, Lemma 5.2.1]. Let us give the argument for completeness. Consider $W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}]$ as a graded ring with $\deg t = -1$. Define $\tau'$ and $\sigma': W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}] \to W(R)[1/p]$ by

$$
\tau'(t^n \xi) = \xi, \text{ and } \sigma'(t^n \xi) = p^nf(\xi) \text{ for } \xi \in W(R)[1/p].
$$

Then the triple $(W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}], \sigma', \tau')$ constitutes a pre-frame in the sense of Definition 2.1. The map of graded rings

$$
\psi: (W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}], \sigma', \tau')
$$

sending $\xi \in W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}]$ to $t^{-n}\tau(\xi)$ determines a homomorphism of pre-frames, which induces commutative diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L^+_\mu G(R) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & L^+G(R) \\
\downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi_0 \\
G(W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}])_\mu & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & LG(R)
\end{array}
$$

Here $G(W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}])_\mu$ is defined as in Section 3.1, and $\psi_0$ is induced by the natural map $W(R) \to W(R)[1/p]$. From this we see it is enough to prove

$$
\sigma'(h) = \mu^\sigma(p) f(\tau'(h)) \mu^\sigma(p)^{-1},
$$

for $h \in G(W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}])_\mu$.

Now, $\tau'$ induces a bijection $G(W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}])_\mu \xrightarrow{\sim} LG(R)$. Indeed, if $G = \Spec A$ and $g \in G(W(R)[1/p]) = LG(R)$, then $g$ factors uniquely as $\tau' \circ h$, where $h$ is the graded homomorphism

$$
h: A \to W(R)[1/p][t, t^{-1}], \ a \mapsto t^{-n}g(a) \ a \in A_n.
$$
On the other hand, by definition, \( \sigma' \) factors as \( f \circ \tau'_p \), where \( \tau'_p : W(R)[1/p][t,t^{-1}] \rightarrow W(R)[1/p] \) is determined by \( t \mapsto p \). In the same manner as \( \tau' \) and \( \sigma' \), \( \tau'_p \) induces a group homomorphism

\[
\tau'_p : G(W(R)[1/p][t,t^{-1}]) \rightarrow LG(R)
\]

for every \( R \). Putting this together, it is enough to show

\[
(\tau'_p \circ (\tau')^{-1})(g) = \mu(p)g\mu(p)^{-1}
\]

for \( g \in LG(R) \).

Let \( a \in A_n \). Then \( a \) can be viewed as a function \( G(W(R)[1/p]) \rightarrow W(R)[1/p] \) given by evaluating \( g \in G(W(R)[1/p]) \) at \( a \), cf. Section 3.1. By the definitions of \( \tau'_p \) and \( (\tau')^{-1} \), we have

\[
a((\tau'_p \circ (\tau')^{-1})(g)) = p^{-n}g(a).
\]

Since \( a \in A_n \), we see

\[
p^{-n}g(a) = (p^{-1} \cdot a)(g),
\]

where the dot represents the action of \( G_m(W(R)[1/p]) \) on \( A \). But by definition of this action, we have

\[
(p^{-1} \cdot a)(g) = a(\mu(p)g\mu(p)^{-1}).
\]

Hence \( (\tau'_p \circ (\tau')^{-1})(g) \) and \( \mu(p)g\mu(p)^{-1} \) yield the same result when they are evaluated on any \( a \in A \), so they are equal.

It follows from the lemma (4.2) determines an action of \( L^+_\mu G \) on \( L^+G \times_{m,c} LG \). Using this action we form the quotient stack

\[
[(L^+G \times_{m,c} LG)/L^+_\mu G]
\]

over \( \text{Nilp}_{W(k)} \). Explicitly, if \( R \) is a \( W(k) \)-algebra, an object in \( [(L^+G \times_{m,c} LG)/L^+_\mu G] \) is a pair \((Q,\beta)\) consisting of an \( L^+_\mu G \)-torsor \( Q \) and a morphism \( \beta : Q \rightarrow L^+G \times_{m,c} LG \) which is equivariant with respect to the action (4.2).

**Theorem 4.7.** Let \((\mu,b)\) be a framing pair for \((G,\{\mu\},[b])\). Then there is an isomorphism of stacks

\[
\text{RZ}_{G,\mu,b} \xrightarrow{\sim} [(L^+G \times_{m,c} LG)/L^+_\mu G].
\]

**Proof.** Let us begin by defining a morphism between the two stacks. Fix a \( p \)-nilpotent \( W(k) \)-algebra \( R \), and let \((\mathcal{D},\iota) \in \text{RZ}_{G,\mu,b}(R)\). Then we can associate to \( \mathcal{D} \) an \( L^+_\mu G \)-torsor as in Construction 3.19:

\[
Q_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{Isom}^\circ (\mathcal{D}_R,\nu_R \circ \mathcal{D}).
\]

We want to define an \( L^+_\mu G \)-equivariant morphism

\[
Q_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow L^+G \times_{m,c} LG.
\]

Let \( \lambda \in Q_{\mathcal{D}}(R') \) for some \( R \rightarrow R' \). We need to assign to \( \lambda \) a pair \((U,g) \in (L^+G \times_{m,c} LG)(R')\). For \( U \) we can take the element \( \alpha_{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda) \) defined in Construction 3.19. It remains only to define \( g \).

The quasi-isogeny \( \iota \) corresponds to an isomorphism of \( G \)-isodisplays

\[
\mathcal{D}_{R/pR[1/p]} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{D}_0)_{R/pR[1/p]},
\]

where \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) is the framing object associated to \((\mu,b)\). If \((V,\rho)\) is a representation of \( G \), write \( M(\rho) \) and \( M_0(\rho) \) for the finite graded \( W(R)^c \)-modules underlying \( \mathcal{D}(V,\rho) \) and \( \mathcal{D}_0(V,\rho) \), respectively. We also write \( \overline{M}(\rho) \) and \( \overline{M}_0(\rho) \) for their base changes to \( W(R/pR)^c \). Then for all \((V,\rho)\), the quasi-isogeny \( \iota \) defines an isomorphism of \( W(R/pR)[1/p] \)-modules

\[
\iota_\rho : (\overline{M}(\rho))^+[1/p] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\overline{M}_0(\rho))^+[1/p].
\]

Now \( M_0(\rho) = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^c \), so

\[
(\overline{M}_0(\rho))^+[1/p] = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R/pR)[1/p].
\]

Since \( p \) is nilpotent in \( R \), we have an identification \( W(R)[1/p] \cong W(R/pR)[1/p] \) (cf. [BP17, pg. 29]). Then we can also identify

\[
(\overline{M}_0(\rho))^+[1/p] \cong V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)[1/p].
\]
On the other hand, $\lambda$ induces an isomorphism

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')[1/p] \xrightarrow{\lambda^*_p} (\overline{M}(\rho)W(R'/pR')^\circ)[1/p].$$

Write $\{t'_\rho\}$ for the base change of $\{t_\rho\}$ to $W(R'/pR')$. Then $t'_\rho \circ \lambda^*_p \{V,\rho\}$ becomes an element of $\text{Aut}^\circ(\omega_{W(R')}[1/p])$, which, by Tannakian duality, is isomorphic to $G(W(R')[1/p]) = LG(R')$. Hence we obtain $g_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)}(\lambda) \in LG(R')$ with $\rho(g_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)}(\lambda)) = t'_\rho \circ \lambda^*_p$ for every representation $(V,\rho)$.

Now we define

$$\beta_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)} : Q_{\mathbb{G}_a} \to L^+G \times_{m_\mu,c_\mu} LG, \ \lambda \mapsto (\alpha_{\mathbb{G}_a}(\lambda), g_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)}(\lambda)).$$

In order to see this is well-defined, we need to check

$$\text{c}_b(g_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)}(\lambda)) = m_\mu(\alpha_{\mathbb{G}_a}(\lambda)).$$

For every representation $(V,\rho)$, write $\varphi_\rho$ for the Frobenius of $\mathbb{G}_a$, and $(\varphi_0)_\rho$ for the Frobenius of $(\mathbb{G}_a)_R/pR'[1/p](V,\rho)$. Then we have

$$(\varphi_0)_\rho \circ t'_\rho = t'_\rho \circ \varphi_\rho.$$ But if $\lambda \in Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}(R') = \text{Isom}^\circ(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota', R'/pR')$, then $\mathbb{G}_a$ is banal, and it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a\overline{\mu}(\lambda)$ via $\lambda$ by Lemma 3.24. By Lemma 3.31, we have

$$\varphi_\rho = \lambda^*_p \circ (\alpha_{\mathbb{G}_a}(\lambda)\mu^\circ(p)) \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes f) \circ (\lambda^*_p)^{-1},$$

and

$$(\varphi_0)_\rho = \rho(b) \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes f).$$

Now $t_{2\rho} = \rho(g_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)}(\lambda)) \circ (\lambda^*_p)^{-1}$, so (4.4) becomes

$$\rho(bf(g_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)}(\lambda)))) = \rho(g_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)}(\lambda)\alpha_{\mathbb{G}_a}(\lambda)\mu^\circ(p)).$$

Then (4.3) follows from Tannakian duality.

One checks using the definition of $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}_a}$ (cf. Construction 3.19) that $\beta_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)}$ is equivariant for the action (4.2), so $(Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}, \beta_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota)})$ determines an element of $[[L^+G \times_{m_\mu,c_\mu} LG]/L^+_\mu G](R)$. Therefore we have defined the desired morphism of stacks

$$\Psi : RZ_{G,\mu,b} \to [[L^+G \times_{m_\mu,c_\mu} LG]/L^+_\mu G].$$

That $\Psi$ is faithful follows from Corollary 3.14. Let us prove it is full. Suppose $(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota_1)$ and $(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota_2)$ are Tannakian $(G,\mu)$-displays over $R$, equipped with $G$-quasi-isogenies over $R/pR$, and that

$$\theta : (Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}, \beta_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota_1)}) \to (Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}, \beta_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota_2)})$$

is a morphism of the associated objects in $[[L^+G \times_{m_\mu,c_\mu} LG]/L^+_\mu G](R)$. This means, for any $\lambda \in Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}(R')$,

$$\beta_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota_2)}(\theta(\lambda)) = \beta_{(\mathbb{G}_a,\iota_1)}(\lambda).$$

By forgetting the $LG$ factor, we obtain a morphism of $G$-displays of type $\mu$, which we also denote by $\theta$,

$$\theta : (Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}, \alpha_{\mathbb{G}_a}) \to (Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}, \alpha_{\mathbb{G}_a}).$$

By Theorem 3.20, there is an isomorphism of Tannakian $(G,\mu)$-displays $\psi : \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$ inducing $\theta$. It remains to show that $\psi$ lifts the quasi-isogeny $(\iota_2)^{-1} \circ \iota$, i.e. that $\psi_{R/pR} = (\iota_2)^{-1} \circ \iota$. By descent it is enough to show $\psi_{R'/pR'} = (\iota_2)^{-1} \circ \iota_{R'}$ for some $R \to R'$ faithfully flat.

Let $R'$ be a faithfully flat extension of $R$ such that there is some $\lambda \in Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}(R')$. Denote by $\theta(\lambda)$ the corresponding element of $Q_{\mathbb{G}_a}(R')$. Because $\theta$ is induced by $\psi$, we have

$$\theta(\lambda)_\rho = (\psi_{R'})_\rho \circ \lambda^*_\rho$$

for every representation $(V,\rho)$ of $G$. Write $M_1(\rho)$ and $M_2(\rho)$ for the finite graded $W(R')^\circ$-modules underlying $(\mathbb{G}_a)_R(V,\rho)$ and $(\mathbb{G}_a)_R(V,\rho)$, respectively, and write $\overline{M}_1(\rho)$ and $\overline{M}_2(\rho)$ for their base changes to $W(R'/pR')^\circ$. For any $(V,\rho)$, consider the following diagram:
Composition across the top of this diagram is \( \rho(\mathcal{D}_L, t_\alpha) (\lambda) \), and composition across the bottom is \( \rho(\mathcal{D}_R, t_1) (\theta(\lambda)) \), so the outer square of the diagram commutes by (4.5). The left-hand triangle commutes by (4.6), so \( \psi_{R'/pR'}(\psi) = (t_2)_{R'}^{-1} \circ \iota_\rho \) for every \((V, \rho)\), as desired. Therefore \( \psi \) is a morphism in \( \mathbf{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}(R) \) and \( \Psi \) is full.

Finally let us verify the essential surjectivity of \( \Psi \). As usual, we can apply [Sta17, Lemma 046N], so it is enough to show that every object \((Q, \beta)\) of \([L^+ G \times_{m_\mu, c_0} LG)/L^+ G((R))\) is fpqc-locally in the essential image of \( \Psi \). Let \((Q, \beta)\) be an object over \( R \), and choose a faithfully flat extension \( R \to R' \) such that \( Q(R') \) is nonempty. Denote by \( \alpha \) the composition

\[
Q \xrightarrow{\beta} L^+ G \times_{m_\mu, c_0} LG \to L^+ G.
\]

Then \((Q, \alpha)\) is a \( G \)-display of type \( \mu \) over \( R \), so by Theorem 3.20, there is a Tannakian \((G, \mu)\)-display \( \mathcal{D} \) with \( T_R(\mathcal{D}) \cong (Q, \alpha) \). Moreover, we can make \( \mathcal{D} \) explicit after fpqc localization. Choose \( \lambda \in Q(R') \), and let \( U = \alpha(\lambda) \). Then the proof of Theorem 3.20 implies that \( \mathcal{D}_{R'} \cong \mathcal{D}_U \).

Now denote by \( g \) the composition

\[
Q \xrightarrow{\beta} L^+ G \times_{m_\mu, c_0} LG \to LG.
\]

Let \( \lambda \) be as above, let \( \mathcal{D}_U(V, \rho) = (M_0(\rho), F(\rho)) \), and as before let \( \mathcal{D}_0(V, \rho) = (M_0(\rho), F_0(\rho)) \). Then for every \((V, \rho)\), \( g(\lambda) \) defines a \( W(\rho)[1/p] \)-module isomorphism

\[
(M(\rho))^{\ast} \frac{1}{[1/p]}(\lambda) \to V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\rho)[1/p],
\]

which we will denote by \( \iota_\rho \). We claim the collections \( \{\iota_\rho\} \) defines an isomorphism of \( G \)-isodisplays \( \mathcal{D}_U[1/p] \cong (\mathcal{D}_0)[1/p] \). We need only see that \( \iota_\rho \) is compatible with the Frobenius on each of \( \mathcal{D}_U[1/p](V, \rho) \) and \( (\mathcal{D}_0)[1/p](V, \rho) \) for every \((V, \rho)\). By Lemma 3.31, this is equivalent to the condition \( \rho(\beta(\alpha)) = \rho(\lambda)U^{-1}(p)(\iota_\rho) \)

for all \((V, \rho)\). By Tannakian duality, this is in turn equivalent to \( c_0(\rho(\lambda)) = m_\mu(U) \), which holds by the assumption that \( \beta(\alpha) \in (L^+ G \times_{m_\mu, c_0} LG)((R')) \). Therefore \( (\mathcal{D}_U, \{\iota_\rho\}) \) is an element of \( \mathbf{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}(R') \) which satisfies \( \Psi_{R'}(\mathcal{D}_U, \{\iota_\rho\}) \cong (Q_R, \beta_R') \). We conclude \( \Psi \) is essentially surjective.

Suppose now that \( G \) is a reductive group scheme over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). In this case the framing object \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) corresponds to a \((G, \mu)\)-display \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) over \( k \) in the sense of [BP17]. In loc. cit. a functor \( \mathbf{RZ}^\text{BP}_{G, \mu, b} \) which classifies isomorphism classes of deformations of \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) up to \( G \)-quasi-isogeny is associated to the data \((G, \mu, b)\). Denote by \( \mathbf{RZ}_{G, \mu, b} \) the corresponding fpqc stack in groupoids. The analysis of [BP17, 4.2.3] combined with Theorem 4.7 immediately implies the following.

**Proposition 4.8.** The stacks \( \mathbf{RZ}^\text{BP}_{G, \mu, b} \) and \( \mathbf{RZ}_{G, \mu, b} \) are isomorphic.

5. Representability in some cases

5.1. Representability for \( \text{GL}_n \). In this section we reinterpret moduli spaces of deformations of \( p \)-divisible groups up to quasi-isogeny using Zink displays (equivalently, \( 1 \)-displays). This allows us to prove representability of the functor \( \mathbf{RZ}_{G, \mu, b} \) in the case \( G = \text{GL}_n \). The content of this section is well-known and alluded to in [BP17], but we felt it pertinent to work out the details here. Let us begin by recalling a few definitions from [RZ96].

**Definition 5.1.** Let \( X \) and \( X' \) be \( p \)-divisible groups over a base scheme \( S \).

- **An isogeny** of \( p \)-divisible groups \( f : X \to X' \) is an epimorphism of fppf sheaves such that the kernel of \( f \) is representable by a finite locally free group scheme over \( S \).
- **A quasi-isogeny** \( X \to X' \) is a global section \( \rho \) of the Zariski sheaf \( \text{Hom}_S(X, X') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \) such that, Zariski locally, there exists an integer \( n \) such that \( [p^n] \rho \) is an isogeny.
We will be primarily interested in the case where $S$ is affine. In this case we can simplify both definitions.

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose $S = \text{Spec } R$ is an affine scheme, and let $X$ and $X'$ be $p$-divisible groups over $S$. Then

(i) $\phi : X \to X'$ is an isogeny if and only if there exists a morphism $\psi : X' \to X$ and a natural number $m$ such that $\phi \circ \psi = [p^m]_X$, and $\psi \circ \phi = [p^m]_{X'}$.

(ii) $\rho : X \to X'$ is a quasi-isogeny if and only if it is an invertible element of $\text{Hom}_S(X, X') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

**Proof.** For (i), the “if” direction is [Lau14, Lemma 3.6], and the “only if” direction is [CCO13, Corollary 3.3.4].

For (ii), observe that any affine scheme is quasi-compact, so if $\rho$ is a quasi-isogeny we can choose some $n$ large enough that $[p^n]\rho$ is an isogeny globally on $S$, and hence $\rho$ is an invertible element of $\text{Hom}_S(X, X') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Conversely, if $\rho$ is an invertible element of $\text{Hom}_S(X, X') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, then $[p^n]\rho$ is an isogeny by the criterion in (i). □

The following lemma says that our notion of isogeny of 1-displays agrees with this notion via the functor $BT_R$.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ be a morphism of nilpotent 1-displays over $W(R)$, and let $\phi : BT_R(\mathcal{M}) \to BT_R(\mathcal{M}')$ be the corresponding morphism of formal $p$-divisible groups over $R$. Then $\alpha$ is an isogeny of displays if and only if $\phi$ is an isogeny of $p$-divisible groups.

**Proof.** First suppose $\phi$ is an isogeny of $p$-divisible groups. By Lemma 5.2 there is a natural number $m$ and a morphism $\psi : BT_R(\mathcal{M}') \to BT_R(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\phi \circ \psi = [p^m]_{BT_R(\mathcal{M})}$ and $\psi \circ \phi = [p^m]_{BT_R(\mathcal{M})}$. Since $BT_R$ is fully faithful, there exists some $\beta : M' \to M$ such that $BT_R(\beta) = \psi$. Then $p^m\beta$ defines a homomorphism of displays $M' \to M$ such that $BT_R(p^m\beta) = \psi$. Conversely, suppose $\alpha$ is an isogeny. By [ZR84, Corollary 5.12] and [ZR84, Corollary 5.14] we reduce to the case where $R$ is reduced and $M'$ is a free module over $W(R)$. In that case $W(R)$ is $p$-torsion free, so $M' \to M'^/[1/p]$ is injective. After inverting $p$, there is a $\beta : (M''/[1/p]) \to M'/[1/p]$ which is an inverse to $\alpha$. Then $p^m\beta$ defines a homomorphism of displays $M' \to M$ for some $m$. If we let $\psi = BT_R(p^m\beta)$, then $\psi$ and $m$ satisfy $\phi \circ \psi = [p^m]_{BT_R(\mathcal{M})}$ and $\psi \circ \phi = [p^m]_{BT_R(\mathcal{M})}$, so $\phi$ is an isogeny. □

If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ are 1-displays, by Lemma 2.28 and [Zin02, Proposition 66] we have $\text{Hom}_\text{Disp}_{W(R)}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \text{Hom}_\text{Disp}_{W(R)}([\mathcal{M}/[1/p]], [\mathcal{M}'/[1/p]])$, so a quasi-isogeny of 1-displays is an invertible element of $\text{Hom}_\text{Disp}_{W(R)}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. If $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$ are nilpotent, then by 2.31,

$$\text{Hom}_\text{Disp}_{W(R)}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \cong \text{Hom}(BT_R(\mathcal{M}), BT_R(\mathcal{M}')),$$

and in particular quasi-isogenies $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ correspond bijectively to quasi-isogenies $BT_R(\mathcal{M}) \to BT_R(\mathcal{M}')$.

Now we observe that in the above discussion it is enough to check either $\mathcal{M}$ or $\mathcal{M}'$ nilpotent, that is, the nilpotence condition for 1-displays behaves well with respect to isogenies.

**Lemma 5.4.** Suppose $p$ is nilpotent in $R$. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, F)$ and $\mathcal{M}' = (M', F')$ be 1-displays over $W(R)$, and suppose $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ are quasi-isogenous. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is nilpotent if and only if $\mathcal{M}'$ is nilpotent.

**Proof.** By [Zin02, Lemma 21] it is enough to check the nilpotence condition after base change along an extension $\alpha : R \to R'$ such that any element in $\text{ker } \alpha$ is nilpotent. Then we can reduce to the case where $R$ is a reduced ring and $pR = 0$. In that case $R$ embeds into a product of fields, so applying [Zin02, Lemma 21] again we can reduce to the case where $R$ is a product of algebraically closed fields of characteristic $p$. This in turn reduces to the case where $R$ is a single algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p$. But 1-displays over $k$ are equivalent to Dieudonné modules over $k$, and the nilpotence condition for $\mathcal{M}'$ corresponds to topological nilpotence of the Verschiebung operator on the Dieudonné module associated to $\mathcal{M}'$ (cf. Remark 2.30), which in turn is controlled by the slopes of the associated isocrystal. But since $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ are quasi-isogenous, their associated isocrystals are isomorphic. Hence $\mathcal{M}'$ is nilpotent if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is. □
Suppose now $k$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p$. Fix a $p$-divisible group $X_0$ over $k$. Then as in [RZ96, Definition 2.15] we associate to $X_0$ a space of deformations up to quasi-isogeny.

**Definition 5.5.** Define $\text{RZ}(X_0)$ to be the set-valued functor on the category of $W(k)$-schemes in which $p$ is locally nilpotent which associates to any such $S$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(X, \rho)$, where

- $X$ is a $p$-divisible group over $S$, and
- $\rho: X \times_S S \to X_0 \times_{\text{Spec}k} S$ is a quasi-isogeny.

Here $S$ is the closed subscheme of $S$ defined by $pO_S$, and we say two pairs $(X_1, \rho_1)$, $(X_2, \rho_2)$ are isomorphic if $\rho_2^{-1} \circ \rho_1$ lifts to an isomorphism $X_1 \to X_2$.

By [RZ96, Theorem 2.16], the functor $\text{RZ}(X_0)$ is representable by a formal scheme which is formally smooth and locally formally of finite type over $\text{Spf} W(k)$. We can define an exactly analogous functor using displays.

**Definition 5.6.** Define $\text{RZ}(M_0)$ to be the set-valued functor on $\text{Nilp}_{W(k)}$ which associates to a ring $R$ in $\text{Nilp}_{W(k)}$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(M, \gamma)$, where

- $M$ is a 1-display over $W(R)$, and
- $\gamma: M_{W(R/pR)} \to M_0_{W(R/pR)}$ is a quasi-isogeny.

We say two pairs $(M_1, \gamma_1)$ and $(M_2, \gamma_2)$ are isomorphic if $\gamma_2^{-1} \circ \gamma_1$ lifts to an isomorphism $M_1 \to M_2$.

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.31 and the discussion in this section.

**Proposition 5.7.** Let $M_0$ be a nilpotent 1-display, and let $X_0 = BT_k(M_0)$. Then the functor $\text{RZ}(M_0)$ and the restriction of $\text{RZ}(X_0)$ to $\text{Nilp}_{W(k)}$ are naturally isomorphic. In particular, $\text{RZ}(M_0)$ is representable by a formal scheme which is formally smooth and locally formally of finite over $\text{Spf} W(k)$.

Let $G = \text{GL}_n$, let $1 \leq d \leq n$, and let $\mu_{d,n}$ be the cocharacter $\mu_{d,n}: G_m \to \text{GL}_n, a \mapsto \text{diag}(a^d, a^{n-d})$.

Let $[b]$ be a $\sigma$-conjugacy class of elements in $GL_n(K)$, and choose a representative $b$ as in Section 4.1. This determines a $(GL_n, \mu_{d,n})$-display $\mathcal{D}_0$. By Remark 3.3, the stack of $(GL_n, \mu_{d,n})$-displays is isomorphic to the stack of displays of type $(\mu_{d,n}, (1^{d}, 1^{n-d}))$. Such a display has depth 0 and altitude 1, and is therefore a 1-display. Then the functor $\text{RZ}_{GL_n,\mu_{d,n}}$ is naturally isomorphic to the functor $\text{RZ}(M_0)$, where $M_0$ is the 1-display associated to $\mathcal{D}_0$. If the slopes of $b$ are all different from 0, then the resulting 1-display is nilpotent, so the functor is representable and isomorphic to $\text{RZ}(BT_k(M_0))$ by Proposition 5.7. This proves the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.8.** If the slopes of $b$ are all different from 0, then the functor $\text{RZ}_{GL_n,\mu_{d,n}}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{RZ}(BT_k(M_0))$. In particular, it is representable by a formal scheme which is formally smooth and locally of finite type over $W(k)$.

### 5.2. The determinant condition

In the next two sections our goal is to give a generalization of Corollary 5.8 when the local Shimura datum $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ is of EL-type. We begin by recalling the definition of EL data in the EL case, cf. [RZ96, 1.38], [RV14, 4.1]. As in 4.1, let $k$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$, and let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors over $k$. Write $K = W(k)[1/p]$, and let $\bar{K}$ be an algebraic closure of $K$.

**Definition 5.9.** An unramified integral EL-datum is a tuple $$D = (B, O_B, \Lambda)$$ such that

- $B$ is a semisimple $Q_p$-algebra whose simple factors are matrix algebras over unramified extensions of $Q_p$;
- $O_B$ is a maximal order in $B$;
- $\Lambda$ is a finite free $Z_p$-module with an $O_B$-action.

To an unramified integral EL-datum $D = (B, O_B, \Lambda)$ we associate a reductive algebraic group $G = G_D$ over $Z_p$ whose points in a $Z_p$-algebra $R$ are given by $$G(R) = GL_{O_B}(\Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} R).$$
Definition 5.10. An unramified integral RZ-datum of EL-type is a tuple

\((D, \{\mu\}, [b])\)

such that \(D\) is an EL-datum with associated group \(G\) and \((\{\mu\}, [b])\) is a pair as in §4.1 such that \(\{\mu\}\) satisfies Assumption 4.1 and \((G, \{\mu\}, [b])\) is a local integral Shimura datum. We require the following condition:

- For any \(\mu\) in \(\{\mu\}\), the only weights occurring in the weight decomposition for \(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p W(k)\) are 0 and 1, i.e.

\[
\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p W(k) = \Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^1.
\]

For the remainder of this section let us fix an unramified integral RZ-datum of EL-type \((D, \{\mu\}, [b])\).

Before we can formulate the corresponding moduli problem of \(p\)-divisible groups, we must first recall the determinant condition following [RZ96, 3.23]. Let \(\mathcal{V}\) be the scheme over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\) whose points in a \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebra \(R\) are given by

\[
\mathcal{V}(R) = \mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R.
\]

For any \(W(k)\)-algebra \(R\) define

\[
\delta_D : \mathcal{V}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_{W(k)}(R), \quad a \mapsto \det(a | \Lambda^0 \otimes_{W(k)} R).
\]

This determines a morphism of \(W(k)\)-schemes \(\mathcal{V}_{W(k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_{W(k)}\). Now, let \(R\) be a \(W(k)\)-algebra and \(L\) be a finite projective \(R\)-module endowed with an \(\mathcal{O}_B\)-action. Then we can define similarly, for any \(R\)-algebra \(R'\),

\[
\delta_L : \mathcal{V}_R(R') \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_R(R'), \quad a \mapsto \det(a | L \otimes_R R'),
\]

which determines a morphism of \(R\)-schemes \(\mathcal{V}_R \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_R\).

Definition 5.11. We say that \(L\) satisfies the determinant condition with respect to \(D\) if the morphisms of \(R\)-schemes \(\delta_D \otimes \text{id}_{\text{Spec}(R)}\) and \(\delta_L\) are equal.

Let \(X\) be a \(p\)-divisible group over a \(p\)-nilpotent \(W(k)\)-algebra \(R\) which is equipped with an action of \(\mathcal{O}_B\), i.e. a homomorphism \(\mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \text{End}(X)\). Then the Lie algebra \(\text{Lie}(X)\) is endowed with the structure of an \(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p\)-module, so one can ask whether \(\text{Lie}(X)\) satisfies the determinant condition with respect to \(D\).

Let \(R\) be a \(p\)-nilpotent \(W(k)\)-algebra, and suppose \(X\) is a formal \(p\)-divisible group with an action by \(\mathcal{O}_B\). Let \(M = (M, F)\) be the nilpotent 1-display with \(\mathcal{O}_B\)-action corresponding to \(X\), so \(X = BT_R(M)\). We would like to reinterpret the determinant condition as a condition on the projective graded \(W(R)^\oplus\)-module \(M\).

Suppose the height of \(X\) is equal to \(\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda\), so by Theorem 2.31,

\[
\text{rk}_{W(R)} M^\gamma = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda.
\]

By the recollections from Section 2.4, we have an identification

\[
M^\gamma \otimes_{W(R)} R \cong D(X)_R
\]

which identifies the Hodge filtrations, i.e.

\[
(M^\gamma \otimes_{W(R)} R \supset E_1 \supset 0) \cong (D(X)_R \supset \text{Lie}(X^\vee)^* \supset 0)
\]

is an isomorphism of filtered \(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p\)-modules. Here \(E_1\) is the image of \(M_1\) under the composition

\[
M_1 \xrightarrow{\theta_1} M^\gamma \rightarrow M^\gamma \otimes_{W(R)} R.
\]

In particular, we have an identification

\[
\text{Lie}(X) \cong M^\gamma / \theta_1(M_1).
\]

Viewing \(\mathcal{O}_B\) as a graded \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebra concentrated in degree zero, we can view \(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^\oplus\) as a graded ring. Then \(\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^\oplus\) inherits the structure of a graded \(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^\oplus\)-module.

Lemma 5.12. The following are equivalent:

1. For some faithfully flat extension \(R \rightarrow R'\) there is an isomorphism of graded \(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^\oplus\)-modules

\[
M_{W(R')}^\gamma \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^\oplus
\]
(ii) For some faithfully flat extension \( R \to R' \) there is an isomorphism of filtered \( \mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R' \)-modules

\[
(M' \otimes_{W(R)} R' \supset E_1 \otimes_{R} R' > 0) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R' \supset \Lambda^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R' > 0)
\]

(iii) \( \text{Lie}(X) \) satisfies the determinant condition with respect to \( D \).

Proof. We start by proving (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Suppose (i) holds, so for some faithfully flat \( R \to R' \) there is a \( \varphi : M_{W(R)[]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R') \) which is compatible with the \( \mathcal{O}_B \)-action and the grading. Then in particular, \( M'_1 \), the first graded piece of \( M_{W(R)[]} \), is carried by \( \varphi \) into the first graded piece of \( \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R') \). That is,

\[\varphi(M'_1) \cong (\Lambda^0 \otimes_{W(k)} I_{R'}) \oplus (\Lambda^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(R')).\]

By reducing modulo \( I_{R'} (M_{W(R)[]} \) we see that (ii) holds.

Now let us prove (ii) implies (i). Let

\[\tilde{\varphi} : M' \otimes_{W(R)} R' \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R'\]

be an isomorphism preserving the filtration. First we lift \( \tilde{\varphi} \) to an \( \mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R) \)-module isomorphism

\[\varphi : (M_{W(R)[]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R').\]

By restricting to simple factors and applying Morita equivalence we may assume \( \mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}_L \) is the ring of integers in an unramified extension \( L \) of degree \( n \) over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). In that case we have an isomorphism

\[\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) \cong \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{W}(k),\]

which gives decompositions

\[(M_{W(R)[]} \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} M'(j), \quad \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R') = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda'(j),\]

where \( M'(j) = \{ m \in (M_{W(R)[]}) | a \cdot m = \sigma^j(a) m, \ a \in \mathcal{O}_L \}, \) and similarly for \( \Lambda'(j) \). Since \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is an isomorphism of \( \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R'\)-modules, it induces isomorphisms

\[M'(j) \otimes_{W(R)[]} R' \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda'(j) \otimes_{W(k)} R'\]

for every \( j \). Each \( M'(j) \) is projective as a \( W(R') \)-module, so these isomorphisms can be lifted to \( W(R') \)-module homomorphisms

\[M'(j) \to \Lambda'(j),\]

which are surjective by Nakayama’s lemma. Summing these together we obtain a map

\[(M_{W(R)[]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R'),\]

which is an \( \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R') \)-module homomorphism since it preserves the decompositions above. At the same time, it is a surjective homomorphism between projective \( W(R') \)-modules of the same rank, so it must be an isomorphism.

If \( M' \) is a finite projective graded \( W(R') \)-module of non-negative depth and altitude one, then the assignment \( M' \mapsto ((M')^n, \theta^n(M'_j)) \) determines a functor \( F \) to the category \( \text{Pairs}(R) \) of pairs \( (P, Q) \), where \( P \) is a finite projective \( W(R) \)-module and \( Q \subset P \) is a submodule. By the proof of Lemma 2.28 this functor is fully faithful. In the case at hand, because \( \tilde{\varphi} \) preserves the filtration (5.1), it follows that \( \varphi \) sends \( \theta^1(M'_1) \) into \( (\Lambda^0 \otimes_{W(k)} I_{R'}) \oplus (\Lambda^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(R')) \), so \( \varphi \) determines an isomorphism

\[((M_{W(R)[]}^n, \theta^n(M'_j)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R'), (\Lambda^0 \otimes_{W(k)} I_{R'}) \oplus (\Lambda^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(R'))\]

in \( \text{Pairs}(R) \). Since the left-hand side is \( F(M_{W(R)[]}) \) and the right-hand side is \( F(\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^\oplus) \), full faithfulness of \( F \) implies the existence of an isomorphism of graded \( W(R')^\oplus \)-modules

\[\tilde{\varphi} : M_{W(R)[]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^\oplus\]

lifting \( \varphi \). Since the \( \mathcal{O}_B \)-action on \( (M_{W(R)[]}^n \) is induced by the given action on \( M_{W(R)[]}, \) we can once again apply full faithfulness of \( F \) to see \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is compatible with the respective \( \mathcal{O}_B \)-actions.
Now let us prove the equivalence of (ii) and (iii). Suppose (ii) holds. The determinant condition can be checked fpqc-locally because morphisms of schemes can be glued locally for the fpqc topology. But by (ii) and the above identifications there is an isomorphism of $O_B \otimes_{Z_p} R'$-modules
\[ \text{Lie}(X_{R'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^0 \otimes_{W(k)} R', \]
where $R \to R'$ is a faithfully flat extension. This implies the determinant condition holds over $R'$, and therefore over $R$ as well.

Conversely, suppose (iii) holds, i.e. $\text{Lie}(X)$ satisfies the determinant condition with respect to $D$. Again by restricting to simple factors and applying Morita equivalence we can assume $O_B = O_L$ is the ring of integers in an unramified extension $L$ of degree $n$ over $Q_p$. As in the proof of (ii) implies (i), we have decompositions
\[ \Lambda^0 = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^0(j), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lie}(X) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(j). \]
In this case the determinant condition is equivalent to
\[ \text{rk}_{W(k)} \Lambda^0(j) = \text{rk}_R \Lambda(j) \]
for every $j$, cf. [RZ96, 3.23(b)]. Hence $L(j)$ and $\Lambda^0(j) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ are projective $R$-modules of the same rank, so after some localization we can find an isomorphism $\alpha_j : L(j) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^0(j) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ for every $j$. Similarly we can decompose
\[ \Lambda^1 = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^1(j) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lie}(X^\vee)^* = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^1(j), \]
and, perhaps after another localization, we can find isomorphisms $\beta_j : L^\vee(j) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^1(j) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ for every $j$. Now, write
\[ D(X)_R = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z}} D(j) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(j). \]
Since $L(j)$ and $\Lambda^0(j)$ are projective, the short exact sequences
\[ 0 \to L^\vee(j) \to D(j) \to L(j) \to 0 \]
and
\[ 0 \to \Lambda^1(j) \otimes_{W(k)} R \to \Lambda(j) \otimes_{W(k)} R \to \Lambda^0(j) \otimes_{W(k)} R \to 0 \]
split over $R$. Hence we can piece together the isomorphisms $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_j$ to obtain $\phi_j : D(j) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda(j) \otimes_{W(k)} R$, preserving the filtration by direct summands. Combining these for all $j$ gives an isomorphism
\[ \varphi : D(X)_R \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} R. \]
By construction, $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of $O_L \otimes_{Z_p} R$-modules which identifies the Hodge filtrations of the two sides. By the remarks before the statement of the lemma we have a natural identification
\[ (M^r \otimes_{W(R)} R \supset E_1 \supsetneq 0) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Lie}(X^\vee)^* \supsetneq 0). \]
Combining this with $\varphi$ gives the desired isomorphism. \[ \square \]

5.3. Representability in the EL-type case. In this section we prove that $RZ_{G, \mu, b}$ is representable by a formal scheme when $(\mu, b)$ is a framing pair for a local integral Shimura datum $(G, \{ \mu \}, [b])$ associated to an unramified integral $RZ$-datum of EL-type. Throughout this section we continue to assume $k$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$, that $D = (B, \mathcal{O}_B, \Lambda)$ is an unramified integral EL-datum, and that $(D, \{ \mu \}, [b])$ is an unramified integral $RZ$-datum of EL-type. Let $X_0$ be a $p$-divisible group over $k$ equipped with an action by $\mathcal{O}_B$. Let us begin by recalling the definition of the unramified EL-type Rapoport-Zink space associated to $X_0$ and $D$.

Definition 5.13. Define $RZ_D(X_0)$ to be the set-valued functor on the category of $W(k)$-schemes in which $p$ is locally nilpotent which associates to any such $S$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(X, \rho)$, where
- $X$ is a $p$-divisible group over $S$ equipped with an action of $\mathcal{O}_B$, such that $\text{Lie}(X)$ satisfies the determinant condition with respect to $D$, and
- $\rho : X \times_S S \dasharrow X_0 \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} S$ is a quasi-isogeny which commutes with the action of $\mathcal{O}_B$. 


Here again $\mathfrak{S}$ denotes the closed subscheme of $S$ defined by $p\mathcal{O}_S$, and two pairs $(X_1, \rho_1)$ and $(X_2, \rho_2)$ are isomorphic if $\rho_2^{-1} \circ \rho_1$ lifts to an isomorphism $X_1 \to X_2$ respecting the $\mathcal{O}_B$-actions.

By [RZ96, Theorem 3.25], the inclusion $\mathcal{RZ}_D(X_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{RZ}(X_0)$ is a closed immersion, so the functor $\mathcal{RZ}_D(X_0)$ is representable by a formal scheme which is formally smooth and formally locally of finite type over $\text{Spf} \ W(k)$. If $M_0$ is a 1-display over $k$ equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_B$-action, we obtain an analogous subfunctor of $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0)$ by replacing all $p$-divisible groups that arise in Definition 5.13 with displays.

**Definition 5.14.** Let $\mathcal{RZ}_D(M_0)$ be the set-valued functor on $\text{Nilp}_{W(k)}$ which associates to a ring $R$ in $\text{Nilp}_{W(k)}$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(M, \gamma)$, where

- $M = (M, F)$ is a 1-display over $W(R)$ equipped with an action by $\mathcal{O}_B$ such that the underlying graded $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^{\oplus}$-module $M$ is fqc-locally isomorphic to $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R)^{\oplus}$, and
- $\gamma : M_{W(R/pR)} \to M_{\mathcal{O}(R/pR)}$ is a quasi-isogeny of displays which commutes with the $\mathcal{O}_B$-actions.

As in Definition 5.6, two pairs $(M_1, \gamma_1)$ and $(M_2, \gamma_2)$ are isomorphic if $\gamma_2^{-1} \circ \gamma_1$ lifts to an isomorphism $M_1 \to M_2$.

**Lemma 5.15.** Let $M_0$ be a nilpotent 1-display endowed with an $\mathcal{O}_B$-action, and let $X_0 = BT_k(M_0)$. Then the functor $\mathcal{RZ}_D(M_0)$ and the restriction of $\mathcal{RZ}_D(X_0)$ to $\text{Nilp}_{W(k)}$ are naturally isomorphic. In particular, $\mathcal{RZ}_D(M_0)$ is representable by a formal scheme which is formally smooth and formally locally of finite type over $\text{Spf} \ W(k)$.

**Proof.** The natural isomorphism $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0) \cong \mathcal{RZ}(X_0)$ from Proposition 5.7 restricts to a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{RZ}_D(M_0) \cong \mathcal{RZ}_D(X_0)$ by Lemma 5.12. □

Now, suppose $G$ is the group associated to $(D, \{\mu\}, [b])$, and denote by $\eta$ the natural closed embedding

$$\eta : G \hookrightarrow GL(\Lambda).$$

Let $(\mu, b)$ be a framing pair for $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$, and denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the associated framing object, cf. Definition 4.4. Evaluating $\mathcal{D}$ on $(\Lambda, \eta)$, we obtain a display over $W(k)$, which we will denote by $M_0$. The condition in Definition 5.10 implies $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, k}(\Lambda, \eta)$ is of type $(0^d, 1^{n-d})$, where $d = \text{rk}_W(k)\Lambda$ and $n = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda$. Hence $M_0$ is also of type $(0^d, 1^{n-d})$, and therefore $M_0$ is a 1-display. The following is the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 5.16.** The functors $\mathcal{RZ}_G, \mu, b$ and $\mathcal{RZ}_D(M_0)$ are naturally isomorphic.

**Proof.** Note that $\mathcal{RZ}_D(M_0)$ is the functor of isomorphism classes associated to a category fibered in groupoids $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0)$. By Lemma 2.25 (or [Zin02, Theorem 37]), $\mathcal{RZ}_D(M_0)$ is an fpqc stack.

Now, let $R$ be a $p$-nilpotent $W(k)$-algebra, and suppose $(\mathcal{D}, \iota)$ of $\mathcal{RZ}_G, \mu, b(R)$. We can view the embedding $\eta : G \hookrightarrow GL(\Lambda)$ as a representation $(\Lambda, \eta)$ of $G$. Applying $\mathcal{D}$ to $(\Lambda, \eta)$, we obtain a display $M = (M, F)$ over $W(R)$. After some faithfully flat extension $R \to R'$ there is an isomorphism of tensor functors

$$\nu_{R'} \circ \mathcal{D}_{R'} \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mu, R'}. $$

In particular, we have an isomorphism of graded $W(R')^{\oplus}$-modules

$$M_{W(R')}^{\oplus} = (\nu_{R'} \circ \mathcal{D}_{R'})(\Lambda, \eta) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mu, R'}(\Lambda, \eta) = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^{\oplus}.$$ 

It follows from Lemma 2.13 that $M$ is a 1-display. The endomorphism on $\Lambda$ induced by any $a \in \mathcal{O}_B$ is $G$-equivariant, so we obtain an action of $\mathcal{O}_B$ on $M$ by functoriality. Furthermore, for each $a \in \mathcal{O}_B$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{W(R')}^{\oplus} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^{\oplus} \\
(\nu_{R'} \circ \mathcal{D}_{R'})(a) & & \mathcal{D}_{\mu, R'}(a) \\
M_{W(R')}^{\oplus} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^{\oplus} 
\end{array}$$

In other words, the isomorphism $M_{W(R')}^{\oplus} \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^{\oplus}$ is compatible with the $\mathcal{O}_B$-action. Now the $G$-quasi-isogeny $\iota$ induces a quasi-isogeny

$$\gamma := \iota_{\eta} : M_{W(R/pR)} \to M_{\mathcal{O}(R/pR)}.$$
by evaluation on \((\Lambda, \eta)\). Again, because the action by \(O_B\) is \(G\)-equivariant, we see that \(g\) is compatible with the \(O_B\)-actions. Hence \((M, \gamma)\) is an element of \(\text{RZ}_G^D(M_0)(R)\). This construction is compatible with base change, so we have defined a morphism of stacks

\[(5.2) \quad \text{RZ}_{G, m, b} \to \text{RZ}_G^D(M_0).\]

It remains to show the morphism \((5.2)\) is an isomorphism. To see that it is faithful, let \(R\) be a \(p\)-nilpotent \(W(k)\)-algebra, and suppose \(\psi_1\) and \(\psi_2\) are two morphisms \((\mathcal{D}, \iota) \to (\mathcal{D}', \iota')\) in \(\text{RZ}_{G, m, b}\) which agree after applying \((5.2)\). By descent, it is enough to check that \(\psi_1\) and \(\psi_2\) are equal fpqc-locally. But after some faithfully flat \(R \to R'\), we have isomorphisms \(\mathcal{E}_{\mu, R} \cong v_{R'} \circ \mathcal{D}\) and \(\mathcal{E}_{\mu, R'} \cong v_R \circ \mathcal{D}'\), so \(\psi_1\) and \(\psi_2\) correspond to elements \(h_1, h_2 \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_{\mu, R'}) = L^G_{\mu}(R')\). That \(\psi_1\) and \(\psi_2\) agree after applying \((5.2)\) means \(\eta(h_1) = \eta(h_2)\), but \(\eta\) is a faithful representation, so this implies \(h_1 = h_2\). Hence \(\psi_1 = \psi_2\).

Now let \((M, \gamma)\) be an object in \(\text{RZ}_G^D(M_0)(R)\). Define

\[Q_M := \text{Isom}^\otimes(\Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} W(R)\otimes, M)\]

as the functor on \(R\)-algebras taking \(R'\) to the group of graded isomorphisms between \(M_{W(R')\otimes}\) and \(\Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} W(R'\otimes)\). Then \(Q_M\) is an \(L^G_{\mu}\)-torsor over \(R\). Indeed, because \(M\) is locally isomorphic to \(\Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} W(R)\otimes\), it is enough to show the group of graded \(O_B \otimes_{Z_p} W(R'\otimes)\) isomorphic to \(L^G_{\mu}(R')\). But this is true essentially by the definition of \(L^G_{\mu}\).

Now we can mimic Construction 3.19 to obtain a morphism

\[\alpha_F : Q_M \to L^G_{\mu} G.\]

Specifically, if \(h : \Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} W(R'\otimes) \to M_{W(R')\otimes}\) is an graded isomorphism of \(O_B \otimes_{Z_p} W(R'\otimes)\) modules for some \(R \to R'\), then the composition

\[\Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} W(R') \xrightarrow{h} M_{W(R')\otimes} \xrightarrow{F^\otimes} (M_{W(R')\otimes})^\tau \xrightarrow{(h^\tau)^{-1}} \Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} W(R')\]

comes from applying \(\eta\) to an element \(\alpha_F(h)\) of \(\text{GL}_{\mu}(\Lambda \otimes_{Z_p} W(R')) = L^G_{\mu}(G(R'))\). The association \(h \mapsto \alpha_F(h)\) is obviously \(L^G_{\mu}\)-equivariant for the action 3.1. All told, the pair \((Q_M, \alpha_F)\) determines a \(G\)-display of type \(\mu\) over \(R\).

Suppose now \((M, \gamma)\) is obtained from the morphism \((5.2)\), so there is some \((\mathcal{D}, \iota)\) which gives \((M, \gamma)\) upon evaluation at \((\Lambda, \eta)\). As in Construction 3.19, we can associate to \(\mathcal{D}\) an \(L^G_{\mu}\)-torsor \(Q_\mathcal{D}\), defined by

\[Q_\mathcal{D} := \text{Isom}^\otimes(\mathcal{E}_{\mu, R}, v_R \circ \mathcal{D}).\]

Evaluating on \((\Lambda, \eta)\) defines an isomorphism of \(L^G_{\mu}\)-torsors \(\delta : Q_\mathcal{D} \to Q_M\). We claim \(\delta\) is an isomorphism of \(G\)-displays of type \(\mu\), i.e. that \(\alpha_F \circ \delta = \alpha_F\). Let \(\lambda \in Q_\mathcal{D}(R')\) for some \(R\)-algebra \(R'\). Then \(\eta(\alpha_F \circ \delta) = \eta(\alpha_F(\lambda)) \in L^G_{\mu}(\lambda)\). On the other hand, by definition of \(\alpha_\mathcal{D}\), this is exactly \(\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda)\). But

\[\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda) = \eta(\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)) = \eta(\alpha_F(\lambda)).\]

so we have \(\eta(\alpha_F(\lambda)) = \eta(\alpha_F(\lambda))\). Hence \(\alpha_\mathcal{D}(\lambda) = \alpha_F(\delta(\lambda))\).

Now suppose \((\mathcal{D}, \iota), (\mathcal{D}', \iota')\) are objects in \(\text{RZ}_G^D(M_0)\). Write \((M, \gamma), (M', \gamma')\) for the corresponding objects in \(\text{RZ}_G^D(M_0)\), and suppose

\[\varphi : (M, \gamma) \congto (M', \gamma')\]

is a morphism in \(\text{RZ}_G^D(M_0)\). Corresponding to \(M\) and \(M'\) we have \(L^G_{\mu}(G)\)-torsors \(Q_M\) and \(Q_{M'}\), along with isomorphisms of \(G\)-displays of type \(\mu\)

\[\delta : (Q_\mathcal{D}, \alpha_\mathcal{D}) \congto (Q_M, \alpha_F)\]

and \(\delta' : (Q_{\mathcal{D}'}, \alpha_{\mathcal{D}'}) \congto (Q_{M'}, \alpha_F)\).

The map of underlying \(W(R)\otimes\)-modules \(\varphi : M \to M'\) induces a morphism \(\psi : Q_M \to Q_{M'}\). We claim \(\psi\) is a morphism of \(G\)-displays of type \(\mu\). For this we need \(\alpha_F \circ \psi = \alpha_F\). Let \(h \in Q_M(R')\) for some \(R\)-algebra \(R'\). Then

\[\eta(\alpha_F(h)) = (h^\tau)^{-1} \circ F^\otimes \circ h^\sigma.\]

Since \(\varphi\) is an isomorphism of displays, we have \(F^\otimes = (\varphi^\tau)^{-1} \circ (F^\otimes)^\varphi \circ \varphi^\sigma\), so

\[\eta(\alpha_F(h)) = (h^\tau)^{-1} \circ (\varphi^\tau)^{-1} \circ (F^\otimes)^\varphi \circ \varphi^\sigma \circ h^\sigma.\]
But this is $\eta(\alpha_F(\psi(h)))$ by definition.

Now the composition

$$(Q_{\varphi}, \alpha_{\varphi}) \xrightarrow{\delta} (Q_{M}, \alpha_F) \xrightarrow{\psi} (Q_{M'}, \alpha_{F'}) \xrightarrow{(\delta')^{-1}} (Q_{\varphi'}, \alpha_{\varphi'})$$

is a morphism of $G$-displays of type $\mu$. Call it $\zeta$. By Theorem 3.20, it is induced by a unique morphism of Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-displays $\xi: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D'}$. We claim $\xi$ induces $\varphi$ via (5.2), i.e. that $\xi_0 = \varphi$.

By descent it is enough to check they agree fpqc-locally. Suppose $R \to R'$ is a faithfully flat extension, and let $\lambda \in \text{Isom}^\sim((\mathcal{E}_{\mu, R'}, v_{R'} \circ \mathcal{D}R')).$ Then because $\xi$ induces $\zeta$, we have $\zeta(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda)$. On the other hand, by definition of $\zeta$, $\zeta(\lambda)$ is the unique morphism $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, R'} \xrightarrow{\sim} v_{R'} \circ \mathcal{D}R'$ such that $\zeta(\lambda)_R = \psi(\delta(\lambda)) = \varphi_{R'} \circ \lambda$. Hence

$$\varphi_{R'} \circ \lambda = \zeta(\lambda)_R = (\xi_{R'})_R \circ \lambda_R.$$

But $\lambda_R$ is an isomorphism, so $\varphi_{R'} = (\xi_{R'})_R$, i.e. $\varphi = \xi_R$.

Finally we observe that $\xi: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D'}$ must lift $(\iota')^{-1} \circ \iota$. Indeed, because $\xi$ induces $\varphi$, we know that $\xi$ lifts $(\iota')^{-1} \circ \iota$. But then we can argue as in the proof of faithfulness to show $\xi$ lifts $(\iota')^{-1} \circ \iota$. This shows (5.2) is full.

Last we prove (5.2) is essentially surjective. As usual, by [Sta17, Lemma 046N], it is enough to show any $(\underline{M}, \gamma)$ over $R$ is in the essential image of (5.2) fpqc-locally. But after some faithfully flat $R \to R'$ we have an isomorphism $\lambda: \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')^\wedge \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{W(R')^\wedge}$. Then the composition $$(\lambda')^{-1} \circ F_{R'}^\wedge \circ \lambda$$

is obtained by applying $\eta$ to some $U \in L^+G(R')$. Similarly, we obtain $g \in LG(R')$ such that $\eta(g)$ is given by the composition

$$\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')[1/p] \xrightarrow{\lambda} (M_{W(R')^\wedge})'[1/p] \xrightarrow{\sim} ((M_0)_{W(R')^\wedge})'[1/p] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')[1/p],$$

after identifying

$$\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R')[1/p] \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(R'/pR')[1/p]$$

as in the proof of Theorem 4.7. Let $\mathcal{D}_U$ be the basic Tannakian $(G, \mu)$-display obtained from $U$. Then $g$ induces a $G$- quasi-isogeny $t_g: (\mathcal{D}_U)_{R'/pR'} \dashrightarrow (\mathcal{D}_0)_{R'/pR'}$, and $(\mathcal{D}_U, t_g)$ is an object in $RZ_{G, \mu, b}(R')$ whose image under (5.2) is isomorphic to $(\underline{M}, \gamma)$.

Suppose now that all slopes of $\eta(b)$ are nonzero. Then the $1$-display $M_0$ obtained by evaluating $\mathcal{D}_0$ on $(\Lambda, \eta)$ is nilpotent. Hence $X_0 = BT_\Lambda(M_0)$ is a formal $p$-group, and both $M_0$ and $X_0$ inherit $O_B$-actions from the action of $O_B$ on $\Lambda$. Combining Lemma 5.15 and Theorem 5.16, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.17.** Suppose all slopes of $\eta(b)$ are different from 0. Then there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$RZ_{G, \mu, b} \xrightarrow{\sim} RZ_{G}(X_0).$$

In particular, $RZ_{G, \mu, b}$ is representable by a formal scheme which is formally smooth and formally locally of finite type over $\text{Spf} W(k)$.

### 5.4. Remarks on the Hodge-type case.

In this section we specialize the study of our $RZ$ functor to the Hodge-type case. In this case, our Tannakian approach further allows us to prove that the $RZ_{G, \mu, b}$ is a stack in setoids, i.e. that objects in $RZ_{G, \mu, b}(R)$ have no nontrivial automorphisms for any $R$.

**Definition 5.18.** A local Shimura datum $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ is of Hodge-type if there exists a faithful representation $\Lambda$ of $G$ on a finite free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module such that the corresponding closed embedding of group schemes $\eta: G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\Lambda)$ satisfies the following property: after a choice of basis $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_E^n$, the composite

$$\eta \circ \mu: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_E} \to \text{GL}_n, \mathcal{O}_E$$

is the cocharacter $a \mapsto \text{diag}(1^r, a^{\alpha(n-r)})$ for some $1 \leq r < n$.

**Definition 5.19.** A local Hodge embedding datum for a local Shimura datum $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ of Hodge-type consists of
• a group scheme embedding $\eta : G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(A)$ as above,
• a framing pair $(\mu, b)$ for $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$.

Let $(\Lambda, \eta, b)$ be a local Hodge embedding datum for a local Shimura datum $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ of Hodge-type. Let $R$ be a $p$-nilpotent $W(k)$-algebra and $(\mathcal{D}, \iota)$ be an object in $\mathcal{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}(R)$. By evaluating $\mathcal{D}$ on $(\Lambda, \eta)$, we obtain a display $M(\eta)$ over $W(R)$. Because $\psi_R \circ \mathcal{D}$ is fpqc-locally of type $\mu$, it follows from Lemma 2.13 that $M(\eta)$ is of type $(0^r, 1^{n-r})$. In particular, it is a $1$-display over $W(R)$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_0$ be the framing object associated to $(\mu, b)$, and denote by $M_0$ the evaluation of $\mathcal{D}_0$ on $(\Lambda, \eta)$. Then $\iota$ induces a quasi-isogeny of $1$-displays

$$\iota_\eta : M(\eta)_{W(R/pR)} \longrightarrow M_0_{W(R/pR)}.$$  

Hence the pair $(M(\eta), \iota_\eta)$ determines an object in $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0)(R)$, where $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0)$ is the fpqc-stack whose functor of isomorphism classes is $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0)$. This construction defines a morphism of stacks

$$\mathcal{RZ}_{G, \mu, b} \rightarrow \mathcal{RZ}(M_0).$$

If $\eta(b)$ has no slopes equal to zero, then $M_0$ is a nilpotent $1$-display over $W(k)$, so by Proposition 5.7, $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0)$ is represented by the classical $\mathcal{RZ}$-space $\mathcal{RZ}(X_0)$, where $X_0 = BT_k(M_0)$.

**Proposition 5.20.** Assume that $(G, \{\mu\}, [b])$ is a Hodge-type local Shimura datum with a local Hodge embedding datum such that $\eta(b)$ has no slopes equal to $0$. Then $\mathcal{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}$ is a stack in setoids.

**Proof.** Let $R$ be in $\text{Nilp}_W(k)$ and let $(\mathcal{D}, \iota)$ be an object in $\mathcal{RZ}_{G, \mu, b}(R)$. Suppose $\psi$ is an automorphism of the pair $(\mathcal{D}, \iota)$. Then $\psi$ is a natural transformation of tensor functors $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which lifts the identity $\mathcal{D}_{R/pR} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{R/pR}$. Evaluating $\mathcal{D}$ on $(\Lambda, \eta)$, we obtain an automorphism $\psi_0$ of $(M(\eta), \iota_\eta)$ in $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0)$. But by Proposition 5.7, $\mathcal{RZ}(M_0)$ is representable, hence its objects have no nontrivial automorphisms. Then $\psi_0 = \text{id}_{M_0}$. This implies that $\psi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$, since any endomorphism of an exact tensor functor from $\text{Rep}_{G}(G)$ to an exact rigid tensor category which is the identity on a faithful representation is itself the identity. \qed

**References**


