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Abstract. In the setting of the geometric Langlands conjecture, we argue that the phenomenon of divergence at infinity on $\text{Bun}_G$ (that is, the difference between !-extensions and ∗-extensions) is controlled, Langlands-dually, by the locus of semisimple $\check{G}$-local systems. To see this, we first rephrase the question in terms of Deligne-Lusztig duality and then study the Deligne-Lusztig functor $DL_G^\text{spec}$ acting on the spectral Langlands DG category $\text{IndCoh}_N(\text{LS}_G)$.

We prove that $DL_G^\text{spec}$ is the projection $\text{IndCoh}_N(\text{LS}_G) \to \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)$, followed by the action of a coherent $D$-module $\text{St}_G \in D(\text{LS}_G)$, which we call the Steinberg $D$-module. We argue that $\text{St}_G$ might be regarded as the dualizing sheaf of the locus of semisimple $G$-local systems. We also show that $DL_G^\text{spec}$, while far from being conservative, is fully faithful on the subcategory of compact objects.

1. Introduction and main results

The subjects of the present paper are:

- the phenomenon of divergence at infinity on the stack $\text{Bun}_G$;
- the locus of semisimple $\check{G}$-local systems;
- the Deligne-Lusztig functors on the two sides of the geometric Langlands correspondence.

In the introduction we explain how these items are related and state our main results: Theorems A, C, D, E, F, as well as the conditional proof of Conjecture B.

1.1. Divergence at infinity on the stack of $G$-bundles.

1.1.1. Denote by $\text{Bun}_G := \text{Bun}_G(X)$ the stack of $G$-bundles on a smooth complete curve $X$ defined over $k$. Here and always in this paper, $k$ denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $G$ a connected reductive group over $k$. Note that $\text{Bun}_G$ is never quasi-compact (unless $G$ is the trivial group): by bounding the degree of instability of $G$-bundles, one obtains an exhausting sequence of quasi-compact open substacks of $\text{Bun}_G$.

The failure of quasi-compactness leads to the phenomenon of divergence at infinity on $\text{Bun}_G$, to be explained below. The goal of this paper is to describe this phenomenon from the Langlands dual point of view.

1.1.2. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ the DG category of $D$-modules on an algebraic stack $\mathcal{Y}$, see e.g. [20]. In particular, we are interested in $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)$ and in its variants discussed below.

Given $U \subseteq \text{Bun}_G$ a quasi-compact open substack, we always denote by $j_U$ the inclusion functor. Let $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{*,\text{gen}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)$ generated under colimits by objects of the form $((j_U)^*)^!(\mathcal{F}_U)$, for all quasi-compact opens $U \subseteq \text{Bun}_G$ and all $\mathcal{F}_U \in \mathcal{D}(U)$. Similarly, let $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{!,\text{gen}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)$ generated under colimits by objects of the form $(j_U)_!(\mathcal{F}_U)$, for all quasi-compact opens $U \subseteq \text{Bun}_G$ and all $\mathcal{F}_U \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ for which $(j_U)_!(\mathcal{F}_U)$ is defined.
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1.1.3. It is proven in [11] that $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{!*\text{gen}} \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)$: that is, any object can be written as a colimit of $!$-extensions from quasi-compact opens. The phenomenon of divergence at infinity on $\text{Bun}_G$ is the fact that the inclusion $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{!*\text{gen}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)$ is strict, as soon as $G$ is not abelian. This statement is an immediate corollary of the following result, which we prove in the main body of the paper.

**Theorem A.** Let $G$ be a non-abelian reductive group. Any $!*$-extension $(j_U)_*,dR(\mathcal{F}_U)$ from a quasi-compact open substack $U \subset \text{Bun}_G$ is left orthogonal to the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}$:

$$\mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)}((j_U)_*,dR(\mathcal{F}_U),\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}) \simeq 0.$$ 

**Remark 1.1.4.** When $G = T$ is abelian, $\text{Bun}_T$ is an infinite disjoint union of quasi-compact open (and closed) substacks. Thus, in this case $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_T)^{!*\text{gen}} \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_T)$.

**Example 1.1.5.** For $G$ non-abelian (the case we tacitly assume from now on), the theorem implies in particular that $\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{!*\text{gen}}$, that is, there is no way to write $\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}$ as a colimit of $!*$-extensions. Recall by [6] that $\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}$ is also right orthogonal to the tempered subcategory $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{temp}}$.

**Example 1.1.6.** In the case $X = \mathbb{P}^1$, one can easily adapt the results of [6] to obtain the equivalence $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1))^{!*\text{gen}} \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1))^{\text{temp}}$ between the $!*$-generated and the tempered subcategory.

1.2. **Divergence on the Langlands dual side: the locus of semisimple $\hat{G}$-local systems.**

1.2.1. In view of the examples and the remark above, it might be tempting to conjecture that the $!*$-generated category $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{!*\text{gen}}$ and the tempered category $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{temp}}$ are equivalent for any curve $X$. This conjecture is false in higher genus: we still expect to have $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{!*\text{gen}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{temp}}$ (work in progress), but the inclusion is strict. As we explain next, the difference between $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{!*\text{gen}}$ and $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{temp}}$ is accounted for, Langlands dually, by the presence of non-semisimple $\hat{G}$-local systems on $X$.

In the case $X = \mathbb{P}^1$, there is only the trivial (hence semisimple) $\hat{G}$-local system: this is the reason for the “accidental” equivalence $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1))^{!*\text{gen}} \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1))^{\text{temp}}$.

1.2.2. Recall that the geometric Langlands conjecture is supposed to match $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)$ with $\text{IndCoh}_X(\text{LS}_\hat{G})$, where:

- $\hat{G}$ is the Langlands dual group of $G$;
- $\text{LS}_\hat{G}$ is the derived stack of $\hat{G}$-local systems on $X$;
- $\text{IndCoh}_X(\text{LS}_\hat{G})$ is a certain enlargement of $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_\hat{G})$, see [1].

We now explain how the phenomenon of divergence at infinity on $\text{Bun}_G$ is reflected in the geometry of $\text{LS}_\hat{G}$. For this, we need the following definition:

**Definition 1.2.3.** A $G$-local system $\sigma \in \text{LS}_G(k)$ is said to be semisimple iff it is of the form $\sigma \simeq \sigma_M \times^M G$, for some Levi subgroup $M \subseteq G$ and some irreducible $M$-local system $\sigma_M$. Alternatively, $\sigma$ is semisimple if, whenever it admits a reduction to a parabolic $P$, it admits a further reduction to the associated Levi $M$.

1.2.4. The locus $\text{LS}_G^{\text{ss}}$ of semisimple $\hat{G}$-local systems is only constructible in $\text{LS}_\hat{G}$, whence formally completing $\text{LS}_\hat{G}$ at $\text{LS}_G^{\text{ss}}$ does not make sense. Nevertheless, in Section 1.4 we will define a full subcategory $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_\hat{G})^{\text{ss}} \subseteq \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_\hat{G})$ which plays the role of the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on $\text{LS}_\hat{G}$ set-theoretically supported on $\text{LS}_G^{\text{ss}}$. With such definition, we propose:

**Conjecture B.** Under Langlands duality, $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{!*\text{gen}}$ is equivalent to $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_\hat{G})^{\text{ss}}$. 

We will “prove” this conjecture in Section 1.5 by first reformulating it as Conjecture B', and then by showing that the latter follows from the geometric Langlands conjecture, combined with a natural conjecture about Drinfeld’s compactification of the diagonal of Bun$_G$.

1.3. Cuspidal objects, -$\ast$-extensions, and tempered objects. This section, which can be skipped by the reader, explains how Conjecture B is related to some more standard versions of the geometric Langlands conjecture.

1.3.1. Denote by $\text{LS}^{\text{irred}}_{\check{G}} \subset \text{LS}_{\check{G}}$ the open substack of irreducible $\check{G}$-local systems. Any irreducible $\check{G}$-local system is obviously semisimple, hence, whatever the definition of $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_{\check{G}})^{\text{ss}}$, the inclusion $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}^{\text{irred}}_{\check{G}}) \subset \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_{\check{G}})^{\text{ss}}$ must hold. Under Langlands duality, the chain of obvious inclusions $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}^{\text{irred}}_{\check{G}}) \subset \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_{\check{G}})^{\text{ss}} \subset \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_{\check{G}}) \subset \text{IndCoh}_N(\text{LS}_{\check{G}})$ goes over (conjecturally) to the chain of non-obvious inclusions $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{cusp}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\ast\text{-gen}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{temp}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)$, where $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{cusp}}$ is the DG category of cuspidal D-modules on Bun$_G$.

1.3.2. Let us comment on the inclusions on the automorphic side. The third inclusion is the only tautological one. The first inclusion follows from [10, Proposition 1.4.6]. The second inclusion appears to be nontrivial$^2$: it says that any $\ast$-extension is tempered. As mentioned before, the proof of this fact is in progress: the plan is to attack it with the methods of [6]. This is a place where an obvious fact on the spectral side, namely the inclusion $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_{\check{G}})^{\text{ss}} \subset \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_{\check{G}})$, informs us about something that is not evident on the automorphic side. For an instance of the inverse direction, the reader might look ahead at Theorem E and the remark following it.

1.4. Quasi-coherent sheaves on semisimple local systems. Let us finally give the definition of $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_{G})^{\text{ss}}$ and state our main results about it. (Since there is no Langlands duality in this section, we change $\check{G}$ with $G$.) We actually have two definitions: the official one, given next, and the alternative characterization provided by Theorem C.

1.4.1. For a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, with Levi $M$, denote by $\text{LS}_M \overset{\text{i}_P}{\rightarrow} \text{LS}_P \overset{\text{p}_P}{\rightarrow} \text{LS}_G$ the induction functors. In spite of the notation, the map $\text{i}_P : \text{LS}_M \rightarrow \text{LS}_P$ is not at all an embedding. Yet, by the contraction principle, the functor $(\text{i}_P)_*: \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_P)$ is fully faithful. We will recall the contraction principle in Section 5.1.

1.4.2. As a preliminary step, we define the full subcategory $\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{\text{ss}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)$ of D-modules supported on $\text{LS}^{\text{ss}}_G$: an object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{\text{ss}}$ iff $$(\text{p}_P)^{\text{1,dir}}(\mathcal{F}) \in (\text{i}_P)_*: \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_M),$$ for any $P$.

Note that such definition mimics the definition of semisimple $G$-local systems: $\sigma$ is semisimple iff, whenever it is reducible to $P$, it is also reducible to $M$. Next, define $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{\text{ss}}$ to be the cocompletion of the

$^1$Such inclusion is tautological with our definition of $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_{\check{G}})^{\text{ss}}$.

$^2$The inclusion $\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{cusp}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\text{temp}}$ is nontrivial either.
essential image of the action functor
\[ \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)} \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \longrightarrow \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G). \]

1.4.3. Here are some facts that support this definition:

- If \( F \in \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \), then it is immediately checked that its (\(!, \text{dR}\))-fiber at \( \sigma \in \text{LS}_G(\mathbb{k}) \) is zero whenever \( \sigma \) is not semisimple. Similarly, if \( F \in \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \), then its \( * \)-fiber at \( \sigma \in \text{LS}_G(\mathbb{k}) \) is zero whenever \( \sigma \) is not semisimple.
- We will define an object, the Steinberg object \( \text{St}_G \), which plays the role of the structure sheaf of the non-existent formal completion \( \hat{\text{LS}_G} \). For instance, the geometric fibers \( \text{St}_G|_\sigma \) are zero for \( \sigma \) non-semisimple and 1-dimensional (but sitting in varying cohomological degree) if \( \sigma \) is semisimple.
- As a consequence of Theorem D', any skyscraper (in either the D-module or quasi-coherent sense) at a semisimple local system belongs to \( \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \) or \( \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \).

1.4.4. The next theorem provides an alternative characterization of \( \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \). Given a cocomplete monoidal symmetric DG category \( (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) \), recall the notion of “principal monoidal ideal generated by \( c \in \mathcal{C} \)”: this is the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{C} \) consisting of the essential image of \( c \otimes - : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \). Note that a principal monoidal ideal might not be closed under colimits.

**Theorem C.** The full subcategory \( \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \subseteq \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G) \) is a principal monoidal ideal, generated by the Steinberg object \( \text{St}_G \) (defined below).

**Remark 1.4.5.** Since \( \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \) is by construction closed under colimits, this theorem implies that so is the principal monoidal ideal generated by \( \text{St}_G \). This does not appear to be obvious, but it is an easy consequence of Theorem E.

**Remark 1.4.6.** Theorem C is inspired by [24, Theorem 1.1], which shows that, in the context of finite groups of Lie type, the Steinberg representation generates the ideal of projective representations.

1.4.7. The Steinberg object \( \text{St}_G \in \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \) is the precise analogue of a classical object in representation theory. By definition, \( \text{St}_G \) is the quasi-coherent sheaf underlying the Steinberg D-module \( \text{St}_G \in \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G) \). The latter is the coherent D-module defined as follows:

\[ \text{St}_G := \text{cone} \left( \colim_{P \in \text{Par}'(\text{B}, \text{std})} (p_P)_* \omega_{\text{LS}_P, \text{dR}} \rightarrow \omega_{\text{LS}_G, \text{dR}} \right) \in \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G), \]

where \( \text{Par}' \) be the poset of proper standard (relative to a chosen Borel \( B \), fixed throughout) parabolics of \( G \). See, e.g., [25], [9], [24], [21], for the classical version of this object.

1.4.8. From the formula, it is not even clear that \( \text{St}_G \) belongs to \( \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \), let alone a generator. To prove that \( \text{St}_G \in \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \), we will show that the Steinberg construction enjoys the following “functional equation”, which relates \( \text{St}_G \) with the Steinberg object for a Levi subgroup \( M \subseteq G \).

**Theorem D.** For any parabolic \( P \subseteq G \) with Levi \( M \), there is a canonical isomorphism

\[ (1.1) \quad p_P^{\text{dR}}(\text{St}_G) \simeq (i_P)_*(\text{St}_M)[\text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(M)] \]

in \( \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_P) \).

1.4.9. Formula (1.1) allows to compute the !-fibers of \( \text{St}_G \):
Theorem D’. For $\sigma \in \text{LS}_G$ a $k$-point, $\text{St}_G|_{\sigma} \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma$ is semisimple. If $\sigma \simeq \sigma_M \times^M G$ with $\sigma_M$ irreducible, then

$$\text{St}_G|_{\sigma} \simeq k[2 \dim H^0(X_{\text{dr}}, u_{\sigma_M}) + \text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(M)],$$

where $u$ is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of a parabolic $P$ with Levi $M$.

Example 1.4.10. In particular, $\text{St}_G|_{\sigma} \simeq k$ when $\sigma$ is irreducible. This is obvious from the definition, as $\text{St}_G|_{\text{LS}_G^\text{red}} \cong \omega_{\text{LS}_G^\text{red}}$.

Example 1.4.11. For $\sigma_{\text{triv}}$ the trivial $G$-local system, the above formula yields

$$\text{St}|_{\sigma_{\text{triv}}} \simeq k|R| + \text{rk}(G),$$

where $|R|$ is the number of roots of $G$. This can also be seen directly via the Springer theory: indeed,

$$\text{St}_G|_{\sigma_{\text{triv}}} \simeq \text{cone} \left( \lim_{\text{proj}} H_*(G/P) \to k \right).$$

1.4.12. The functor $\text{St}_G \otimes - : \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G) \to \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{\text{ss}}$ is very far from being an inclusion: as was shown above, it is not even conservative. Thus, the next result comes perhaps as a surprise.

Let $\text{Coh}_N(\text{LS}_G)$ be the (non-cocomplete) full subcategory of $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)$ consisting of coherent sheaves with nilpotent singular support, see [1]. By definition, the spectral Langlands DG category $\text{IndCoh}_N(\text{LS}_G)$ is the ind-completion of $\text{Coh}_N(\text{LS}_G)$, while $\text{Coh}_N(\text{LS}_G)$ is the subcategory of compact object of $\text{IndCoh}_N(\text{LS}_G)$.

Theorem E. The functor

$$\text{St}_G \otimes - : \text{Coh}_N(\text{LS}_G) \to \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{\text{ss}}$$

is fully faithful.

Remark 1.4.13. This statement is the Langlands dual of an evident statement on the automorphic side: the fact that the composition of the miraculous and the naive duality is fully faithful when restricted to compact objects. We will explain this, as well as the relation between miraculous duality, Deligne-Lusztig duality and the Steinberg object, in the next section.

1.5. Deligne-Lusztig duality and the proof of Conjecture B.

1.5.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a dualizable cocomplete DG category. Recall that functors from $\mathcal{C}^\vee \to \mathcal{C}$ are given by “kernels” in $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}$. In the case $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ with $\mathcal{Y}$ a quasi-compact algebraic stack, the kernel $\Delta_*(\omega_\mathcal{Y})$ provides a self-duality equivalence $\text{Ps-Id}_*: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})^\vee \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$. When $\mathcal{Y}$ is not quasi-compact, such functor $\text{Ps-Id}_*$ is not an equivalence (unless the closed of any quasi-compact open of $\mathcal{Y}$ is itself quasi-compact, see [11]). In particular, $\text{Ps-Id}_*: \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^\vee \to \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)$ is never an equivalence when $G$ is not abelian.

1.5.2. On the other hand, following V. Drinfeld, define $\text{Ps-Id}_1$ to be the functor $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})^\vee \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ determined by the kernel $\Delta_*(k_\mathcal{Y})$. The stack $\mathcal{Y}$ is said to be miraculous if $\text{Ps-Id}_1$ is an equivalence. By [11], $\text{Bun}_G$ is miraculous (and moreover it contains an exhausting sequence of miraculous quasi-compact opens).

1.5.3. Let us consider the composition of the miraculous and the naive duality, that is, the functor

$$\text{T}_{\text{Bun}_G} := \text{Ps-Id}_* \circ \text{Ps-Id}_1^{-1} : \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G).$$

The essential image of $\text{T}_{\text{Bun}_G}$ is easy to identify and relevant to our discussion: indeed, in Section 2.1, we will prove that

$$\text{T}_{\text{Bun}_G}(\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{*, \text{gen}}. \quad (1.2)$$

The correct technical condition is QCA, see [13].
1.5.4. Thus, Conjecture B is an immediate corollary of the following one. Let $d_G := 2 \dim(Bun_G) + \dim(Z_G)$.

Conjecture B'. Under Langlands duality, the functor $T_{Bun_G}[-d_G]$ goes over to the functor

$$\text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Qcoh}(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\oplus d_G} \text{Qcoh}(LS_G)^{ss} \xrightarrow{\Xi} \text{Qcoh}(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G),$$

where

$$\text{Qcoh}(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\Xi} \text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G)$$

is the standard adjunction. In short: the functor $T_{Bun_G}[-d_G]$ is Langlands dual to the composition of temperization with the action by $St_G$.

1.5.5. Let us explain how this statement ought to follow from the Langlands conjecture. It was conjectured in [14], for $G = SL_2$, and then by D. Gaitsgory, for any $G$, that

$$(1.3) \quad T_{Bun_G}[-d_G] \simeq DL_G,$$

where $DL_G$ is the Deligne-Lusztig functor

$$DL_G := \text{cone} \left( \text{colim}_{P \in \text{Par}} \text{Eis}^{\text{enh}}_P \circ \text{CT}^{\text{enh}}_P \longrightarrow \text{id}_{D(Bun_G)} \right) : D(Bun_G) \longrightarrow D(Bun_G).$$

Here, the functors $\text{Eis}^{\text{enh}}_P$ and $\text{CT}^{\text{enh}}_P$ are the enhanced Eisenstein series and constant term functors, see [17, Section 6.3]. We will not need their definition, hence we do not recall it.

Remark 1.5.6. As we learned from D. Gaitsgory, one way to prove (1.3) goes by expressing the LHS via $Bun_G$. Drinfeld’s compactification of the diagonal of $Bun_G$. It is known that $Bun_G$ is naturally stratified by $\text{Par}$, the (open) $G$-stratum yielding the identity functor. The question is then the prove that the $P$-stratum yields the functor $\text{Eis}^{\text{enh}}_P \circ \text{CT}^{\text{enh}}_P$.

1.5.7. The postulated compatibility of geometric Langlands with enhanced constant terms and Eisenstein series, see [17, Sections 6.6.4 and 6.6.5], predicts that $DL_G$ corresponds to the similar looking functor on the spectral side:

$$DL_G^{\text{spec}} := \text{cone} \left( \text{colim}_{P \in \text{Par}} \text{Eis}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P \circ \text{CT}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P \longrightarrow \text{id}_{\text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G)} \right) : \text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G) \longrightarrow \text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G).$$

In this case, we do need the definitions of $\text{Eis}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P$ and $\text{CT}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P$: they are recalled in Section 3.1. Using the techniques of [2] and [7], we will be able to simplify the functor $DL_G^{\text{spec}}$ to obtain:

**Theorem F.** The functor $DL_G^{\text{spec}}$ decomposes as

$$\text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Qcoh}(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\oplus d_G} \text{Qcoh}(LS_G)^{ss} \xrightarrow{\Xi} \text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G).$$

1.5.8. With this theorem proven, the assertion of Conjecture B' is a corollary of the combination of (1.2) and Theorem C.

Remark 1.5.9. In the course of the proof of (1.2), we will see that, while $T_{Bun_G}$ is not even conservative, it is nevertheless fully faithful on compact objects. Hence, the same property must be true for $DL_G$ and $DL_G^{\text{spec}}$. Combining this with the statement of Theorem F led us to the statement of Theorem E.

1.6. Restoring the “duality”. Theorem F implies that the Deligne-Lusztig functor $DL_G^{\text{spec}}$ is not a duality. However, Theorem E suggests a way to modify $DL_G^{\text{spec}}$ to make it into an equivalence.
1.6.1. Let
\[
\text{Coh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G) := \text{St}_G \otimes \text{Coh}_N(LS_G) \subseteq \text{QCoh}(LS_G).
\]
In other words, \(\text{Coh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G)\) is the essential image of the fully faithful functor appearing in Theorem E. We also define
\[
\text{IndCoh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G) := \text{Ind}(\text{Coh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G)).
\]
This DG category comes with a tautological essentially surjective functor \(\Psi^\text{St} : \text{IndCoh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G) \to \text{QCoh}(LS_G)^{ss}\), induced by inclusion \(\text{Coh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G) \subseteq \text{QCoh}(LS_G)^{ss}\).

1.6.2. Theorem E shows that the action of \(\text{St}_G\) yields an equivalence \(\text{DL}^{\text{spec,enh}}_G : \text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G) \simeq \text{IndCoh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G)\), which is ought to be Langlands dual to the inverse of the miraculous duality. Likewise, \(\Psi^\text{St}\) is Langlands dual to the naive duality.

Theorem F shows that the square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G) & \xrightarrow{\text{DL}^{\text{spec,enh}}_G} & \text{IndCoh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G) \\
\Psi & \downarrow & \Psi^\text{St} \\
\text{QCoh}(LS_G) & \xrightarrow{\text{St}_G \otimes -} & \text{QCoh}(LS_G)^{ss}
\end{array}
\]
is commutative. Langlands dually (and changing \(G\) with \(\hat{G}\)), the above commutative diagram ought to read as
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G) & \xrightarrow{\text{DL}^{\text{spec,enh}}_G} & \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^\vee \\
\Psi & \downarrow & \Psi^\text{St} \\
\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^\text{temp} & \xrightarrow{\text{St}_G \otimes -} & \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{+\text{gen}},
\end{array}
\]
where the tensor product on the bottom line denotes the action of \(\text{QCoh}(LS_G)\) on \(\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)\) given by the vanishing theorem of [17, Section 4.5].

1.7. Compatibility with Eisenstein series. Next, we ask how the enhanced Deligne-Lusztig duality interacts with Eisenstein series. In other words, we wish to describe the rightmost vertical functor in the following commutative diagram:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{IndCoh}_N(LS_G) & \xrightarrow{\text{DL}^{\text{enh}}_G} & \text{IndCoh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G) \\
\text{Eis}_p & \downarrow & \text{Eis}_p^\text{St} \\
\text{IndCoh}_N(LS_M) & \xrightarrow{\text{DL}^{\text{enh}}_M} & \text{IndCoh}^\text{St}_N(LS_M).
\end{array}
\]
To this end, consider the functor\(^4\)
\[
\text{QCoh}(LS_M) \to \text{QCoh}(LS_G), \quad \mathcal{F}_M \rightsquigarrow (p_p)_*(\mathcal{F}_M)^* \otimes \omega_{LS_M,dr} \otimes q_p^*(\mathcal{F}_M).
\]
Theorem D shows that such functor sends \(\text{Coh}^\text{St}_N(LS_M)\) to \(\text{Coh}^\text{St}_N(LS_G)\). Ind-completing, we obtain a functor \(\text{Eis}_p^\text{St}\) that makes the square commutative by inspection.

\(^4\)As usual, the notation \(\mathcal{F}\) denotes the quasi-coherent sheaf underlying the D-module \(\mathcal{F}\).
1.8. **Structure of the paper.** The rest of the paper is devoted to proving our main results, in a different order than the one presented in the introduction: Theorem A in Section 2, Theorem C in Section 6, Theorem D in Section 4, Theorem E in Section 5 and Theorem F in Section 3.

1.9. **Some notation.** We will mainly use the notation of [6] and [7].

1.9.1. To shorten formulas, in the sequel we will use the notation \( M := \text{oblv}_L(M) \) to indicate the quasi-coherent sheaf underlying a \( D \)-module \( M \).

1.9.2. We often write \( f^* \) instead of the more precise \( f^*_{*, \text{dR}} \), hoping that the real meaning will be clear from the context. For instance, in the expressions \( \text{Hom}_{D(U)}(f_*(M), N) \) and \( f_*(M) \), it should be clear that both push-forwards are de Rham ones.

1.10. **Acknowledgements.** I am grateful to I. Grojnowski for several useful discussions and K. McGerty for referring me to the paper [24], which prompted Theorem C. Thanks also to D. Gaitsgory and B. Toën for help with the notion of semisimplicity for local systems. Research supported by ERC-2016-ADG-74150.

## 2. Divergence at infinity

In this section, we give details on the phenomenon of divergence at infinity on the stack \( \text{Bun}_G \) and prove Theorem A.

### 2.1. Miraculous duality, \(!\)-extensions, \(*\)-extensions.

2.1.1. It is established in [11] that any quasi-compact open substack of \( \text{Bun}_G \) is contained in a quasi-compact open substack \( U \) with the following remarkable property: the \(!\)-pushforward \( (j_U)_! \) along the open embedding \( j_U : U \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G \) is well-defined on the entire \( D(U) \). Quasi-compact opens of \( \text{Bun}_G \) with this property are called cotruncative. The actual construction of such open substacks is not important for us: we refer to [11] for details.

We denote by Cotrnk the 1-category of cotruncative open substacks of \( \text{Bun}_G \); any finite union of cotruncative substacks is cotruncative, so that Cotrnk is filtered.

2.1.2. Another property of \( \text{Bun}_G \) of similar kind is the fact that the functor \( (p_{\text{Bun}_G})_* : D(\text{Bun}_G) \to \text{Vect} \) is well-defined. This follows from the contractibility of the space of rational maps into \( G \), together with the ind-properness of the Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian (see [19] for details).

2.1.3. **Terminology.** When we say that \( \mathcal{F} \in D(\text{Bun}_G) \) is a \(!\)-extension, we mean that there exist a quasi-compact open \( U \) such that \( \mathcal{F} \simeq (j_U)_!(\mathcal{F})_U \). Without loss of generality, we can assume such \( U \) to be cotruncative. The term \(*\)-extension is used accordingly.

2.1.4. It is clear that \( D(\text{Bun}_G) \) is generated by \(!\)-extensions, that is,

\[
D(\text{Bun}_G) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{U \in \text{Cotrnk}} (j_U)_!(D(U)).
\]

Moreover, any compact object of \( D(\text{Bun}_G) \) is of the form \( (j_U)_!(\mathcal{F})_U \) for some \( U \in \text{Cotrnk} \) and some compact \( \mathcal{F}_U \).

2.1.5. As already discussed in the introduction, denote by \( D(\text{Bun}_G)^{\ast\text{-gen}} \) the full subcategory of \( D(\text{Bun}_G) \) generated under colimits by \(*\)-extensions. Note that the functor \( (j_U)_*_{*, \text{dR}} : D(U) \to D(\text{Bun}_G) \) does not preserves compactness in general.
2.1.6. Recall now the miraculous duality of \( \text{Bun}_G \) and the functor \( T_{\text{Bun}_G} = \text{Ps-Id}_s \text{Ps-Id}^{-1}_s \). It is proven in [11, Lemma 4.5.7] that any cotruncative open substack of \( \text{Bun}_G \) is also miraculous. For any QCA stack \( y \), the functor \( \text{Ps-Id}_{s,y} \) is an equivalence: this is our standard way to identify \( \mathcal{D}(y) \) with its dual. Hence, for \( U \in \text{Cotrnk} \) (and in fact for any miraculous QCA stack), we regard the functor \( \text{Ps-Id}_{U_s} \) as a self equivalence of \( \mathcal{D}(U) \).

2.1.7. Thanks to [11, Lemma 4.4.12], for any \( U \in \text{Cotrnk} \), we have

\[
B_\text{Bun}_G((j_U)!(\mathcal{F}_U)) \simeq (j_!)^* (\text{Ps-Id}^{-1}_{U_s}(\mathcal{F}_U)).
\]

(2.1)

It follows that \( T_{\text{Bun}_G} \) is fully faithful on \(!\)-extensions (in particular: on compact objects), and thus, by taking colimits in the first variable, fully faithful on pairs (any \(!\)-ext). The latter means that, for any \( \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G) \) and any \(*\)-extension \( (j_U)!(\mathcal{F}_U) \), the functor \( T_{\text{Bun}_G} \) yields an isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)}(\mathcal{F}, (j_U)!(\mathcal{F}_U)) \simeq \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)}(T_{\text{Bun}_G}(\mathcal{F}), T_{\text{Bun}_G}((j_U)!(\mathcal{F}_U))).
\]

Remark 2.1.8. On the other hand, \( T_{\text{Bun}_G} \) is not fully faithful on the entire \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G) \). In fact, it is not even conservative, as

\[
T_{\text{Bun}_G}(\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}) \simeq 0.
\]

To show this, follow the argument of [18] and invoke [6, Corollary 1.4.2] when proving that \( \omega_{\text{Gr}_G} \) is infinitely connective.

Lemma 2.1.9. The essential image of \( T_{\text{Bun}_G} \) equals \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\ast\text{-gen}} \).

Proof. Any object of \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G) \) is a colimit of \(!\)-extensions from cotruncative (hence miraculous) open substacks: (2.1) then shows that the essential image is contained in \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\ast\text{-gen}} \). By the same formula, any \(*\)-extension belongs to the essential image of \( T_{\text{Bun}_G} \). It remains to show that such essential image is closed under colimits. In other words, we need to show that, for any index \( \infty \)-category \( Y \) and any functor \( i \hookrightarrow (j_U)_*(\mathcal{F}_i) \), there exists an object \( \mathcal{F} \) such that \( T_{\text{Bun}_G}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{colim}_i (j_U)_*(\mathcal{F}_i) \). Without loss of generality, we can assume that each \( U_i \) is cotruncative. Then the assertion follows from the fully faithfulness of \( T_{\text{Bun}_G} \) on compact objects. \( \square \)

2.2. Proof of Theorem A. The following observation shows that the inclusion \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\ast\text{-gen}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G) \) is actually very strict (for \( G \) non-abelian): any object of \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\ast\text{-gen}} \) has no de Rham cohomology with compact supports.

Theorem 2.2.1. Let \( G \) be a reductive group of semisimple rank \( \geq 1 \). For any quasi-compact open \( U \subseteq \text{Bun}_G \), the functor \( (p_{\text{Bun}_G})_! \circ (j_U)_*:\text{Vect} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(U) \) is identically zero.

Proof. We proceed in six steps. To simplify the notation, in the course of the proof we will write \( f_* \) instead on the more precise \( f^!,dR \).

Step 1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( U \) is cotruncative. By adjunction, we need to show that \( \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)}((j_U)_*\mathcal{F},\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}) \simeq 0 \) for any \( \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(U) \). Tautologically, we have

\[
\mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)}((j_U)_*\mathcal{F},\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}) \simeq \lim_{U' \in (\text{Cotrnk}_{G_s})^{op}} \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(U')}( (j_{U'\rightarrow U})_*\mathcal{F},\omega_{U'}),
\]

where \( j_{U'\rightarrow U} : U \hookrightarrow U' \) is the structure inclusion.
Step 2. Now, note that the functor \((j_{U\to U'})_* : \mathcal{D}(U) \to \mathcal{D}(U')\) admits a continuous right adjoint, which will be denoted by \((j_{U\to U'})^\omega\). This follows from the definition of cotruncativeness: indeed, the functor \((j_{U\to U'})_*\) is clearly defined and \((j_{U\to U'})^\omega\) is tautologically its dual (under the standard self dualities of the DG category of D-modules on a QCA stack, see [13]).

Step 3. Hence,
\[
\mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)}((j_U)_*\mathcal{F}_U, \omega_{\text{Bun}_G}) \simeq \lim_{U' \in (\text{Cotrnk}_{U'})^{op}} \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(U)}((\mathcal{F}, (j_{U\to U'})^\omega_{U'}).
\]

Thus, the theorem is equivalent to proving that, for any \(U\), we have:
\[
\lim_{U' \in (\text{Cotrnk}_{U'})^{op}} (j_{U\to U'})^\omega_{U'} \simeq 0.
\]

Step 4. Let \(k_{\text{Bun}_G}\) be the constant sheaf on \(\text{Bun}_G\), that is, the Verdier dual of \(\omega_{\text{Bun}_G}\). By smoothness, we have \(k_{\text{Bun}_G}[2 \dim(\text{Bun}_G)] \simeq \omega_{\text{Bun}_G}\). We claim that
\[
(2.2) \quad \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)}(k_{\text{Bun}_G}, (j_U)_*\mathcal{F}_U) \simeq 0.
\]

This is immediate from the discussion of Section 2.1.7 and the remark following it.

Step 5. Starting from (2.2), we obtain that
\[
0 \simeq \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)}(k_{\text{Bun}_G}, (j_U)_*\mathcal{F}_U) \simeq \lim_{U' \in (\text{Cotrnk}_{U'})^{op}} \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(U)}(k_{U'}, (j_{U\to U'})_*(\mathcal{F}_U)\omega_{U'}).
\]
The objects appearing on the RHS are all coherent: hence, we can apply Verdier duality to obtain
\[
\lim_{U' \in (\text{Cotrnk}_{U'})^{op}} \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(U)}((j_{U\to U'})_*((\mathcal{D}_U\mathcal{F}_U), \omega_{U'}) \simeq 0.
\]

Step 6. By adjunction (using cotruncativeness), we rewrite the LHS as
\[
\lim_{U' \in (\text{Cotrnk}_{U'})^{op}} \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(U)}((\mathcal{D}_U\mathcal{F}_U, (j_{U\to U'})^\omega_{U'})
\]
and further as
\[
\mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{D}(U)}((\mathcal{D}_U\mathcal{F}_U, U' \in (\text{Cotrnk}_{U'})^{op}) \omega_{U'}.
\]
Since \(\mathcal{D}_U\) is an involution on \(\mathcal{D}(U)^{\text{opt}}\), we deduce that
\[
\lim_{U' \in (\text{Cotrnk}_{U'})^{op}} (j_{U\to U'})^\omega_{U'} \simeq 0,
\]
which is what we were looking for. \(\square\)

2.2.2. As a corollary of the vanishing of \(p_! j_*\), we deduce that, for any \(\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)\) and any \(Z = \text{Bun}_G - U\) with \(U\) cotruncative, we have
\[
p!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq (p_! j_! i^!_Z(\mathcal{F})).
\]
This means that \(\mathcal{F}\) and any of its “tails” have the same cohomology with compact support. In particular, for any \(U \in \text{Cotrk}\), pullback in de Rham cohomology yields the isomorphism
\[
H^\dR_*(\text{Bun}_G) \simeq H^\dR_*(\text{Bun}_G - U).
\]
3. Proof of Theorem F

Since from now on we only consider the spectral side of geometric Langlands, let us switch $\hat{G}$ with $G$ and consider the endo-functor $DL^\text{spec}_G$ of $\text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G)$. First, we need to show that such functor kills the subcategory of $\text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G)$ right orthogonal to $\text{Qcoh}(LS_G)$. This will already imply that $DL^\text{spec}_G$ factors as

$$\text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \text{Qcoh}(LS_G) \to \text{Qcoh}(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\Xi} \text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G),$$

where the middle arrow is the action by a D-module on $LS_G$. Second, we will identify such D-module with the Steinberg D-module $St_G$.

3.1. Preliminaries. We assume familiarity with the theory of singular support for coherent sheaves on quasi-smooth stacks, see [1] and [2]. We also assume some familiarity with the theory of $H$, as developed in [5] and in [7]. The two latter references are not strictly necessary for the proof, but they help streamline the argument.

3.1.1. As the stack $LS_G$ is quasi-smooth, coherent sheaves on it get assigned a singular support in $\text{Sing}(LS_G)$. Recall that $\text{Sing}(LS_G)$ parametrizes pairs $(\sigma, A)$ where $\sigma$ is a $G$-local system and $A$ a horizontal section of the flat vector bundle $g^*$. Let $N \subset \text{Sing}(LS_G)$ denote the global nilpotent cone, that is, the closed conical locus cut out by the requiring that $A$ be nilpotent.

3.1.2. For a map $f : X \to Y$ of quasi-smooth stack, we denote by $Y \wedge X$ the formal completion and by $\text{IndCoh}(Y \wedge X)$ the DG category on ind-coherent sheaves on it. For $M \subset \text{Sing}(X)$ a closed conical subset, we denote by $\text{IndCoh}_M(Y \wedge X)$ the fiber product

$$\text{IndCoh}(Y \wedge X) \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(X)} \text{IndCoh}_M(X),$$

with notations as in [2].

3.1.3. The definition of the enhanced Eisenstein series functor

$$\text{Eis}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P : \text{IndCoh}_{N_{P,M}}((LS_G)_{LS_P}^\wedge) \xrightarrow{(\hat{p})_* \text{IndCoh}} \text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G)$$

goes as follows:

- the substack $N_{P,M} \subset \text{Sing}(LS_P)$ parametrizes pairs $(\sigma_P, A_M)$, where $\sigma_P$ is a $P$-local system and $A_M$ is a nilpotent horizontal section of $m^*_P$;
- the functor $(\hat{p})_* \text{IndCoh}$ is simply the IndCoh-pushforward along the map $(LS_G)_{LS_P}^\wedge \to LS_G$;
- the rules of propagations of singular support ([1, Section 7]) ensure that the functor in question lands in $\text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G)$.

3.1.4. The enhanced constant term functor $\text{CT}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P$ is the right adjoint to $\text{Eis}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P$. Tautologically, it can be expressed as the composition

$$\text{CT}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P : \text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G) \xrightarrow{\text{IndCoh}_{N_{P,M}}((LS_G)_{LS_P}^\wedge)} \text{IndCoh}(LS_G) \xrightarrow{(\hat{p})_* \text{IndCoh}} \text{IndCoh}_{N_{P,M}}((LS_G)_{LS_P}^\wedge),$$

where the rightmost functor is the natural projection (right adjoint to the obvious inclusion).

3.1.5. By adjunction, the assignment $P \mapsto \text{Eis}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P \circ \text{CT}^{\text{enh,spec}}_P$ upgrades to a functor

$$\text{Par}' \to \text{Fun}(\text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G), \text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_G)).$$
By adjunction again, we obtain a natural arrow
\[ \text{colim}_{P \in \text{Par}} \text{Eis}_{P}^{\text{enh,spec}} \circ CT_{P}^{\text{enh,spec}} \longrightarrow \text{id}_{\text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_{G})} \]
whose cone is by definition the functor $DL_{G}^{\text{spec}}$.

3.2. Proof of Theorem F. The proof rests on a contractibility statement proven in [2], to which we reduce via a “microlocal” argument as in [7].

3.2.1. By construction, any of the functors $\text{Eis}_{P}^{\text{enh,spec}} \circ CT_{P}^{\text{enh,spec}}$ commutes with the action of $H(LS_{G})$. Hence, we expect this functor to be given by the action of an object $\mathcal{F}_{DL} \in D(N)^{\triangleright}$: indeed, by a conjecture of [4] and [7], we expect to have
\[ \text{End}_{H(\mathfrak{g})}(\text{IndCoh}_{N}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq D(N)^{\triangleright}. \]

3.2.2. To work around this conjecture, we work on a smooth atlas of $LS_{G}^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow LS_{G}$, obtained by choosing a point $x \in X$ and by considering $G$-local systems with a trivialization at $x$. This is a global complete intersection scheme: for any such scheme $Y$, we do have an action of $D(\text{Sing}(Y))^{\triangleright}$ on $\text{IndCoh}(Y)$, which we denote by $\ast$. We consider the comonad on $\text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_{G})$ induced by $\text{Eis}_{P}^{\text{enh,spec}} \circ CT_{P}^{\text{enh,spec}}$, and the resulting $DL_{G}^{\text{spec,x}}$ functor. We will find an object $\mathcal{F}_{DL} \in D(LS_{G}^{\mathfrak{g}} \times LS_{G}N)^{\triangleright}$ such that $DL_{G}^{\text{spec,x}} \simeq \mathcal{F}_{DL} \ast \text{IndCoh}_{N}(\mathfrak{g})$.

3.2.3. We fix a $G$-equivariant identification $\mathfrak{g}^{\ast} \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ once and for all, so that $A$ will be always regarded as a horizontal section of the adjoint bundle.

Proposition 3.2.4. The comonad on $\text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_{G}^{\mathfrak{g}})$ induced by
\[ \text{Eis}_{P}^{\text{enh,spec}} \circ CT_{P}^{\text{enh,spec}} : \text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_{G}) \longrightarrow \text{IndCoh}_{N}(LS_{G}) \]
is given, up to shift of grading, by the object
\[ (\mathfrak{g}^{\text{Sing}}_{P})_{\ast} \circ r(\omega_{N_{P}}), \]
where:

- $N_{P} \subseteq LS_{P} \times LS_{G} \text{Sing}(LS_{G}^{\mathfrak{g}})$ consists of pairs $(\sigma_{P}, A_{P} \in H^{0}_{dR}(X, \mathfrak{p}_{\sigma_{P}}))$ for which $A_{P}$ is nilpotent;
- $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{Sing}}_{P} : N_{P} \rightarrow N$ is the induction map determined by $P \subseteq G$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Pulling back to our atlas $LS_{G}^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow LS_{G}$, we can pretend that both $LS_{P}$ and $LS_{G}$ are global complete intersection schemes.

We are then in the following general situation. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper map of quasi-smooth schemes, with $Y$ a global complete intersection, and let
\[ M \subseteq \text{Sing}(X) \quad N \subseteq \text{Sing}(Y) \]
be closed conical subsets with the property that $t_{f} \circ \sigma_{f}^{-1}(M) \subseteq N$. This assumption implies that the closed embedding $\sigma_{f}^{-1}(M) \times_{\text{Sing}(Y)} N \hookrightarrow \sigma_{f}^{-1}(M)$ is an isomorphism.

We need to compute the comonad of the adjunction
\[ \text{IndCoh}_{M}(Y_{X}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \overset{\delta_{\text{IndCoh}}}{\longrightarrow} \text{IndCoh}_{N}(Y). \]
Explicitly, this is given by the composition
\[ \text{IndCoh}_{N}(Y) \hookrightarrow \text{IndCoh}(Y) \overset{\delta_{1}}{\longrightarrow} \text{IndCoh}(Y_{X}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}_{M}(Y_{X}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \overset{\delta_{\text{IndCoh}}}{\longrightarrow} \text{IndCoh}_{N}(Y). \]
We use microlocality to write
\[
\text{IndCoh}_N(Y) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Sing}(Y))} \mathcal{D}(N)^{\text{op}},
\]
\[
\text{IndCoh}(Y^\chi) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Sing}(Y))} \mathcal{D}(X \times_Y \text{Sing}(Y))^{\text{op}},
\]
\[
\text{IndCoh}_M(Y^\chi) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(\text{Sing}(Y))} \mathcal{D}(s_f^{-1}(M))^{\text{op}}.
\]

Under these equivalences, the adjunction in question is tensored up (up to a shift of grading) from
\[
\mathcal{D}(s_f^{-1}(M)) \xrightarrow{\pi^* \ast \text{dR}} \mathcal{D}(N),
\]
where \( \pi : s_f^{-1}(M) \simeq s_f^{-1}(M) \times_{\text{Sing}(Y)} N \to N \) is the obvious (proper) projection.

Coming back to our case, we immediately\(^5\) see that \( s_f^{-1}(M) \) is indeed the base change of \( \mathcal{N}_P \) along the atlas \( U \to \text{LS}_G \), while \( \pi \) is \( \mathfrak{p}_P^\text{Sing} \) (again pulled back to the atlas).

3.2.5. Putting these equivalences together, we see that \( \text{DL}^\text{spec}_G \) corresponds (up to shift of grading) to the object
\[
\mathcal{F}_{\text{DL}} := \text{cone} \left( \colim_{P \in \text{Par}} (\mathfrak{p}_P^\text{Sing})_{*, \text{dR}}(\omega_{\mathcal{N}_P}) \to \omega_{\mathcal{N}} \right) \in \mathcal{D}(N).
\]

**Proposition 3.2.6.** When restricted to the complement of the zero section \( \mathcal{N}^o := \mathcal{N} - \text{LS}_G \), the above object is zero.

**Proof.** Let \((\sigma, A)\) be a geometric point of \( \mathcal{N} \). According to the notation of [2], we have \( \mathcal{N}_P \times_{\mathcal{N}} (\sigma, A) \simeq \text{Spr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\sigma, A} \), the scheme of \( P \)-reductions of \( \sigma \) with the property that \( A \in H^0(\text{X}_{\text{dR}}, \mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}) \). To prove the claim, it suffices to show that the \!-fiber of \( \mathcal{F}_{\text{DL}} \) at any \((\sigma, A) \in \mathcal{N}^o \) is zero. By base change, this is equivalent to checking that
\[
\text{Spr}_{\text{Glued}}^{\sigma, A} := \colim_{P \in \text{Par}} \text{Spr}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\sigma, A}
\]
is homologically contractible for any \( A \neq 0 \). This is exactly the statement of [2]. \( \square \)

3.2.7. It follows that \( \text{DL}^\text{spec}_G \) annihilates the category of singularities
\[
\text{IndCoh}_N(\text{LS}_G) \circ := \text{IndCoh}_N(\text{LS}_G)/\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G).
\]
Thus, \( \text{DL}^\text{spec}_G \) can be viewed as an endofunctor of \( \text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G) \). Now, any endo-functor on \( \text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G) \) that commutes with the \( \mathfrak{H}(\text{LS}_G) \)-action must be given by a D-module of \( \text{LS}_G \). Such D-module is readily available: it is given by the formula
\[
\mathfrak{i}^! \left( \text{cone} \left( \colim_{P \in \text{Par}} (\mathfrak{p}_P^\text{Sing})_{*, \text{dR}}(\omega_{\mathcal{N}_P}) \to \omega_{\mathcal{N}} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G),
\]
where \( \mathfrak{i} : \text{LS}_G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N} \) is the inclusion of the zero section. The latter simplifies as the **Steinberg object:**
\[
\text{St}_G := \text{cone} \left( \colim_{P \in \text{Par}} (\mathfrak{p}_P)_{*, \text{dR}}(\omega_{\text{LS}_P}) \to \omega_{\text{LS}_G} \right) \in \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G).
\]

4. **Proof of Theorem D**

In this section, we use some Weyl combinatorics to prove the main property of \( \text{St}_G \), that is, Theorem D. Let us recall the statement: for \( \mathcal{P}_0 \) a parabolic subgroup of \( G \) with Levi \( M_0 \), we need to construct a canonical

---

\(^5\)One needs to unravel the effect of the identification \( g^* \simeq g \): under such identification, the \( P \)-representation \( g^* \times_{\mathfrak{p}} m^* \) corresponds to \( g \times_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{u}} (\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \mathfrak{p} \), the adjoint \( P \)-representation.
isomorphism
\[ p_0^!(St_G) \simeq i_{P_0*}(St_{M_0})[\operatorname{rk}(G) - \operatorname{rk}(M_0)] \]
in \( \mathcal{D}(LS_{P_0}) \), where \( i_{P_0} : LS_{M_0} \to LS_{P_0} \) is the natural map and \( \operatorname{rk} \) denotes the semisimple rank of a reductive group. We will later deduce Theorem D' which describes the geometric fibers of \( St_G \).

4.1. The proof. Here, we need some results on Weyl combinatorics proven in [2].

4.1.1. In the proof below, we assume that \( P_0 \) is a proper standard parabolic. If \( P_0 \) is not standard, the strategy is the same, up to multiplying \( w_0' \) by an appropriate element of \( W \).

4.1.2. Let \( W' := \{ w \in W : w^{-1}(J_0) \subseteq R^+ \} \). The quotient stack \( P_0 \backslash G/P \) has strata indexed by \( W'_P := \{ w \in W' : w(J_P) \subseteq R^+ \} \). For \( w \in W' \) (but not necessarily in \( W'_P \)), the notations \( (P_0 \backslash G/P)_{\leq w} \) and \( (P_0 \backslash G/P)_{<w} \) have their evident meanings. We also set
\[
(P_0 \backslash G/P)^w := (P_0 \backslash G/P)_{\leq w} - (P_0 \backslash G/P)_{<w} \simeq \begin{cases} P_0 \backslash P_0 wP/P \simeq \pi/(P_0 \cap wPw^{-1}) & \text{if } w \in W'_P, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } w \in W' - W'_P. \end{cases}
\]

4.1.3. Recall that \( W' \) has a unique longest element \( w_0' \), characterized by the fact that \( w_0'(R^+) \cap R^+ = R^+_{J_0} \).

Alternatively, \( w_0' \) is the product \( w_0 P_0 w_0 \), where \( w_0 P_0 \) and \( w_0 \) are the longest elements of \( W_{M_0} \) and \( W \) respectively. From this expression, it is clear that \( (w_0')^{-1} \) sends the simple roots of \( S_{P_0} \) to simple roots; we define \( K_0 := (w_0')^{-1}(J_{P_0}) \subseteq S \).

Consequently,
\[
(P_0 \backslash G/P)^{w_0'} \simeq \begin{cases} \pi/(M_0 \cap P) & \text{if } J_P \subseteq K_0, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } J_P \nsubseteq K_0. \end{cases}
\]

4.1.4. Consider the stack \( \mathcal{Y}_P := \text{Maps}(X_{\text{dr}}, P_0 \backslash G/P) \) and its closed substacks \( \mathcal{Y}_{P_{\leq w}} := \text{Maps}(X_{\text{dr}}, (P_0 \backslash G/P)_{\leq w}) \).

Define also \( \mathcal{Y}_{P_{<w}} \) and \( \mathcal{Y}_{P,w} \) in a similar way. We have the following tautological expression:
\[
\mathcal{Y}_P \simeq \varinjlim_{w \in W'} \mathcal{Y}_{P_{\leq w}}.
\]

Denote by
\[
\pi_P : \mathcal{Y}_P \to LS_{P_0}, \quad \pi_{P_{\leq w}} : \mathcal{Y}_{P_{\leq w}} \to LS_{P_0}, \quad \pi_{P,w} : \mathcal{Y}_{P,w} \to LS_{P_0}
\]
the obvious maps. In particular, we have
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{P,w} \simeq \begin{cases} LS_{P \cap P_0} & \text{if } w(J_P) \subseteq R^+, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}
\]
in the former case, the map \( \pi_{P,w} \) is the induction map \( i_{P \cap P_0 \to P_0} \).

4.1.5. Set \( S := p_0^!(St_G) \in \mathcal{D}(LS_{P_0}) \). Obviously,
\[
S \simeq \text{cone} \left( \varinjlim_{P \in \text{Par}} (\pi_P)_* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}_P} \to \omega_{LS_{P_0}} \right).
\]
Hence, \( S \simeq \varinjlim_{w \in W'} S_{\leq w} \), where we have set:
\[
S_{\leq w} \simeq \text{cone} \left( \varinjlim_{P \in \text{Par}'} (\pi_{P_{\leq w}})_* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}_{P_{\leq w}}} \to \omega_{LS_{P_0}} \right).
\]
Lemma 4.1.6. The object $S \leq 1 \in \mathcal{D}(LS_{P_0})$ is isomorphic to the zero object.

Proof. Since $(P_0 \setminus G/P)^{\leq 1} = pt/(P_0 \cap P)$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, we obtain

$$\colim_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (\pi_{P, \leq 1})_{*} y_{P, \leq 1} \simeq \colim_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (i_{P_0 \cap P \to P_0})_{*} \omega_{LS_{P_0 \cap P}} \simeq \colim_{P \leq P_0} (i_{P_0 \cap P \to P_0})_{*} \omega_{LS_{P_0 \cap P}} \simeq \omega_{LS_{P_0}}.$$  

Then the assertion is clear. 

Lemma 4.1.7. For any $w \in W' - \{1, w_0'\}$, the natural map $S^{< w} \to S^{\leq w}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. It suffices to show that the map

$$\colim_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (\pi_{P, < w})_{*} y_{P, < w} \longrightarrow \colim_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (\pi_{P, \leq w})_{*} y_{P, \leq w}$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(LS_{P_0})$. This can be checked at the level of geometric points, that is, after pulling back to a $P_0$-local system $\sigma_{P_0} : LS_{P_0} \to LS_{P_0}$. Observe that

$$y_{P, < w} \times_{LS_{P_0}} \sigma_{P_0} \simeq \text{Spr}_{P}^{\sigma_{< w}} \quad y_{P, \leq w} \times_{LS_{P_0}} \sigma_{P_0} \simeq \text{Spr}_{P}^{\sigma_{\leq w}}$$

in the notation of [2] and [7]. Hence, we just need to show that the map

$$H_{*}(\text{Spr}_{\text{Glued}}^{\sigma_{< w}}) \longrightarrow H_{*}(\text{Spr}_{\text{Glued}}^{\sigma_{\leq w}})$$

is an isomorphism of complexes of vector spaces. Equivalently, we need to show that the prestack

$$\text{Spr}_{\text{Glued}}^{\sigma_{\leq w}} / \text{Spr}_{\text{Glued}}^{\sigma_{< w}}$$

is homologically contractible. The proof is the special case of [2, Section 8.5.1-8.5.5] for $A = 0$. (Compare with [2, Remark 8.3.2].) 

4.1.8. The two lemmas above imply that $S^{< w_0' } \simeq 0$: indeed, $S^{< w_0' } \simeq \colim_{u < w_0'} S^{< u}$. Hence,

$$S \simeq S^{\leq w_0'} \simeq \text{cone}(S^{< w_0'} \to S^{\leq w_0'}).$$

On the other hand, we tautologically have

$$\text{cone}(S^{< w_0'} \to S^{\leq w_0'}) \simeq \colim_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \text{cone} \left( \left( (\pi_{P, < w_0'})_{*} y_{P, < w_0'} \longrightarrow (\pi_{P, \leq w_0'})_{*} y_{P, \leq w_0'} \right) [1] \right).$$

Observe that $y_{P, w_0'} \simeq y_{P, \leq w_0'} - y_{P, < w_0'}$. Hence, the open-closed fiber sequence, together with Section 4.1.3, yields

$$\mathcal{F}_{P} := \text{cone} \left( (\pi_{P, < w_0'})_{*} y_{P, < w_0'} \longrightarrow (\pi_{P, \leq w_0'})_{*} y_{P, \leq w_0'} \right) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } P \not\subseteq P_{K_0} \\ (i_{P_0 \cap M_0 \to P_0})_{*} \omega_{LS_{P_0 \cap M_0}} & \text{if } P \subseteq P_{K_0}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $S \simeq \left( \colim_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{F}_{P} \right) [1]$. It remains to calculate the RHS. We claim that

$$\colim_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{F}_{P} \simeq (i_{M_0 \cap P_0 \to P_0})_{*} (\text{St}_{M_0})[\text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(M_0) - 1].$$

Indeed, the proof amounts to applying the following general lemma to the functor $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{D}(LS_{P_0})$.

Lemma 4.1.9. For a finite set $A$, denote by $\mathcal{P}(A)$ to poset of parts of $A$; set also $\mathcal{P}'(A) := \mathcal{P}(A) - \{A\}$. Let $A \subseteq B$ two finite sets and $\phi : \mathcal{P}'(B) \to \mathcal{C}$ a functor to a DG category $\mathcal{C}$. If $\phi(J) = 0$ for any $J \not\subseteq A$, then

$$\colim \phi \simeq \text{cone} \left( \colim \phi_{|\mathcal{P}'(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A)} \right) \left[ \#(B - A) - 1 \right].$$
Proof. Clearly, treating the case of \( \#A = \#B - 1 \) is enough. Let \( x \in B - A \) the only extra element. The decomposition \( \mathcal{P}'(B) = \mathcal{P}(A) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(A) - \{A\} \) shows that the square
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\colim \phi|_{\mathcal{P}'(A)} \\
\downarrow \\
\colim \phi|_{\mathcal{P}'(B) - \{A\}}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\colim \phi|_{\mathcal{P}(A)} \\
\downarrow \\
\colim \phi
\end{array}
\]

is a pushout. Since \( \mathcal{P}(A) \) has a final object (A itself), it remains to show that the colimit of the restriction of \( \phi \) to \( \mathcal{P}'(B) - \{A\} \) is zero. Since the inclusion
\[
\mathcal{P}'(B)_{x/} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}'(B) - A
\]
is cofinal, we have
\[
\colim \phi|_{\mathcal{P}'(B) - \{A\}} \simeq \colim \phi|_{\mathcal{P}'(B)_{x/}}
\]
and the RHS is zero (as \( \phi \) is identically zero on \( \mathcal{P}'(B)_{x/} \)). \( \square \)

4.2. Proof of Theorem D'. Let us deduce Theorem D' from Theorem D. We use the following corollary as the main ingredient.

**Corollary 4.2.1.** If \( \sigma \simeq \sigma_M \times^M G \), then
\[
\St_G|_\sigma \simeq \St_M|_{\sigma_M} \left[ 2 \cdot h^0(X_{\text{dR}}, U_{\sigma_M}) + \text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(M) \right],
\]
where \( U \) in the unipotent radical of a parabolic with Levi \( M \).

Proof. The map \( \sigma : \text{pt} \to \LS_G \) factors as \( \text{pt} \xrightarrow{\sigma_P} \LS_P \xrightarrow{p_P} \LS_G \), where \( \sigma_P \) is the \( P \)-local system induced by \( \sigma_M \). Then base change yields
\[
\St_G|_\sigma \simeq \Gamma_{\text{dR}}(\omega_Y) \otimes \St_M|_{\sigma_M} \left[ \text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(M) \right],
\]
where
\[
Y := \{ \sigma_M \times^M P \} \times_{\LS_P} \LS_M \simeq \text{Sect}(X_{\text{dR}}, U_{\sigma_M})
\]
is the DG scheme of \( M \)-reductions of \( \sigma_M \times^M P \). The classical scheme underlying \( Y \) is the vector space \( H^0(X_{\text{dR}}, U_{\sigma_M}) \). In particular, \( Y^\text{cl} \) is homologically contractible and smooth of dimension \( h^0(X_{\text{dR}}, U_{\sigma_M}) \). The assertion follows. \( \square \)

4.2.2. If \( \sigma \simeq \sigma_M \times^M G \in \LS_G(k) \) with \( \sigma_M \) irreducible, then Corollary 4.2.1 shows that
\[
\St_G|_\sigma \simeq k[2 \cdot h^0(X_{\text{dR}}, U_{\sigma_M}) + \text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(M)].
\]
Viceversa, suppose that \( \sigma \) is not semisimple: this means that \( \sigma \simeq \sigma_P \times^P G \) for some \( P \in \text{Par}' \) and some \( P \)-local system \( \sigma_P \) which is not \( M \)-reducible. Then \( \St_G|_\sigma = 0 \) by Theorem D.

5. Proof of Theorem E

Consider the functor
\[
\St_G \otimes - : \QCoh(\LS_G) \longrightarrow \QCoh(\LS_G).
\]
In this section, we will prove Theorem E, which states that such functor is fully faithful when restricted to \( \text{Coh}_N(\LS_G) \). As a key tool, we apply the second adjunction (an instance of Braden’s theorem) in the context of \( \mathcal{D}(\LS_G) \).
5.1. Braden’s theorem and contraction principle for local systems. In this section, we render some of the material of [8], [10], [12] to the setting of G-local systems.

5.1.1. Consider the Eisenstein series functor

$$\text{Eis}^D_{P,*} : \mathcal{D}(LS_M) \to \mathcal{D}(LS_G)$$

defined by \((p_P)_* \circ (q_P)^{dR}\). Note that de Rham push-forward \((p_P)_*\) is continuous since the map \(p_P\) is schematic. Our goal is to prove that \(\text{Eis}^D_{P,*}\) admits a left adjoint. Such left adjoint is at least partially defined: it is given by the formula

$$\text{CT}^D_{P,*} := (q_P)_* \circ (p_P)^{dR} : \mathcal{D}(LS_G) \to \mathcal{D}(LS_M).$$

The question is then to show that this functor is defined on the entire category \(\mathcal{D}(LS_G)\).

5.1.2. Consider the functor dual to \(\text{Eis}^D_{P,*}\): namely, the constant term functor

$$\text{CT}^D_{P,*} := (q_P)_* \circ (p_P)^{dR} : \mathcal{D}(LS_G) \to \mathcal{D}(LS_M).$$

The push-forward \((q_P)_*, \circ (p_P)^{dR}\) is continuous because the map \(q_P\) is safe in the terminology of [13].

Theorem 5.1.3 (Second adjunction). There is a natural isomorphism of functors: \(\text{CT}^D_{P,*} \simeq \text{CT}^D_{P,*}\). In particular, \(\text{CT}^D_{P,*}\) is well defined on the entire \(\mathcal{D}(LS_G)\).

Proof. The proof is an instance of Braden’s theorem. For instance, one might copy the one given in [10] for \(G\)-bundles.

5.1.4. Let us also record the following consequence of the contraction principle. For an appropriate cocharacter \(\gamma : \mathbb{G}_m \to Z(M)\), the resulting \(\mathbb{G}_m\)-action on \(LS_P\) is contracting (and trivializable), with fixed locus \(LS_M\). This implies that \((i_P)_*, \circ (dR)^{dR}\) is fully faithful, with left adjoint isomorphic to \((i_P)^{dR} \circ \simeq (q_P)_*, \circ (dR)^{dR}\). Similarly, \((q_P)^{dR}\) is fully faithful, with left adjoint isomorphic to \((i_P)^{dR}\). For the proofs, see [10, Section 4.1.6].

5.2. D-module functoriality. This is a quick reminder of the basic D-module functors on QCA algebraic stacks. Recall the conventions of Section 1.9.

5.2.1. We denote by \((\text{ind}_R, \text{oblv}_R)\) the induction/forgetful functors for right D-modules. Recall that \(\text{ind}_R\) is dual (as well as left adjoint) to \(\text{oblv}_R\), with respect to the standard self dualities of \(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})\) and \(\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{Y})\).

The forgetful functor \(\text{oblv}_R\) intertwines the two types of \(\star\)-pullbacks. By duality, \(\text{ind}_R\) intertwines \(\text{IndCoh}\)-\(\text{pushforwards with renormalized de Rham push-forwards}, see [13].

5.2.2. We also have the induction/forgetful adjunction \((\text{ind}_L, \text{oblv}_L)\) for left D-modules. This adjunction is valid only for bounded (aka: eventually cocomplete) stacks; we are not in danger, as we will only apply it to quasi-smooth stacks. The forgetful functor \(\text{oblv}_L\) intertwines \(\star\)-pullbacks of quasi-coherent sheaves with \(\dagger\)-pullbacks of D-modules.

5.2.3. It remains to discuss the interaction between \(\text{ind}_L\) and \((\text{Qcoh}, \star)\)-pushforwards. First off, we have \(\text{oblv}_R \simeq \Upsilon \circ \text{oblv}_L\) and \(\text{ind}_L \simeq \text{ind}_R \circ \Upsilon\). Thus, the dual of \(\text{ind}_L\) is

\[(\text{ind}_L)^{\star} \simeq \Psi \circ \text{oblv}_R.\]

For \(\mathcal{Y}\) a Gorenstein (for example, quasi-smooth) stack, we write \(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}\) for the shifted line bundle \(\Psi(\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}) \in \text{Qcoh}(\mathcal{Y})\). Abusing notation, for \(H\) an affine algebraic group, we set \(\mathcal{L}_H := \mathcal{L}_{LS_H}\).
Lemma 5.2.4. Let $f : Y \to Z$ be a map between Gorenstein QCA stacks. Then

\begin{align}
(5.1) \quad \text{ind}_L f_* & \simeq f_{*,\text{ren}} \text{ind}_R \Xi_Y (f^* (\mathcal{L}_Z) \otimes -) , \\
(5.2) \quad \text{ind}_L f_* & \simeq f_{*,\text{ren}} \text{ind}_L (\mathcal{L}_Y^{-1} \otimes f^* (\mathcal{L}_Z) \otimes -) .
\end{align}

Proof. To check the first formula, let us pass to dual functors on both sides: we need to establish a functorial isomorphism

$f^* \circ \Psi_Z \circ \text{obl}_R \simeq f^* (\mathcal{L}_Z) \otimes \Phi_Y \circ \text{obl}_R \circ f^!,$

or equivalently (thanks to $\text{obl}_R = \Upsilon \text{obl}_L$),

$f^* \circ \Psi_Z \circ \Upsilon_Z \circ \text{obl}_L \simeq f^* (\mathcal{L}_Z) \otimes \text{obl}_L \circ f^!.$

The assertion is now manifest, as $\Psi_Z \Upsilon_Z = \mathcal{L}_Z \otimes -$. The second formula is proven in exactly the same way. □

Corollary 5.2.5. Let $f : Y \to Z$ be a proper (in particular, schematic) map between Gorenstein QCA stacks. Then, for $Q \in \text{QCoh}(Y)$ and $F \in \mathcal{D}(Z)$, there is a natural isomorphism

\begin{equation}
(5.3) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{QCoh}(Z)} (f_* Q, F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{QCoh}(Y)} \left( f^* (\mathcal{L}_Z) \otimes Q, \mathcal{L}_Y \otimes f^! F \right).
\end{equation}

5.3. Setting up the proof.

5.3.1. It will be actually convenient to slightly reformulate the result. Let us introduce the following terminology: a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is fully faithful on a pair $(c, c') \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ iff it induces an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(c, c') \to \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(F(c), F(c'))$.

5.3.2. It is clear that following theorem implies (and in fact it is equivalent to) Theorem E.

Theorem 5.3.3. The functor

$\text{St}_G \otimes - : \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{L}_G) \to \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{L}_G)$

is fully faithful on pairs of the form $(c, c') \in \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{L}_G) \times \text{Coh}_N(\mathcal{L}_G)$.

We will prove this theorem by induction on the semisimple rank of $G$. For $T$, the assertion is obvious: this is the base of the induction. We henceforth assume that the theorem is true for any proper Levi subgroup of $G$.

5.3.4. Observe that the property of a continuous functor $F$ to be fully faithful on a pair $(c, c')$ is preserved by taking arbitrary colimits in the first variable, and Karoubi colimits (that is, finite colimits and retracts) in the second variable. Hence, it is enough to show that $(c, c')$ has the required property for $c'$ running through a fixed set of Karoubi generators of $\text{Coh}_N(\mathcal{L}_G)$.

5.3.5. Thanks to [1], we know that the objects

$(p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P), \quad \text{for all } P \in \text{Par} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_P \in \text{Perf}(\mathcal{L}_P),$

Karoubi-generate $\text{Coh}_N(\mathcal{L}_G)$. Thus, we need to show that the map

$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}') \to \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{St}_G, \mathcal{F}' \otimes \text{St}_G)$

is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{F}'$ as above and $\mathcal{F}$ arbitrary. Let us distinguish two cases: $P \neq G$ (to be treated next, in Section 5.4) and $P = G$ (to be treated later, in Section 5.5).
5.4. The first case: $P \neq G$.

5.4.1. Let $P$ be a proper parabolic. We need to show that, for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{QCoh}(LS_G)$ and $\mathcal{F}_P \in \mathbf{Perf}(LS_P)$, the natural map

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{QCoh}(LS_G)}(\mathcal{F}, (p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{QCoh}(LS_G)}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{St}_G, (p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P) \otimes \mathcal{St}_G)$$

is an isomorphism. By adjunction, we have:

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{QCoh}(LS_G)}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{St}_G, (p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P) \otimes \mathcal{St}_G) \simeq \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{QCoh}(LS_P)}((p_P)^*\mathcal{F} \otimes (i_P)_*\mathcal{St}_M, \mathcal{F}_P \otimes (i_P)_*\mathcal{St}_M).$$

Thus, the assertion reduces to the following one.

**Theorem 5.4.2.** The functor

$$(i_P)_*\mathcal{St}_M \otimes - : \mathbf{Perf}(LS_P) \rightarrow \mathbf{QCoh}(LS_P)$$

is fully faithful.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove that the map

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{QCoh}(LS_P)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{LS_P}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{IndCoh}(LS_P)}\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{oblv}_R((i_P)_*\mathcal{St}_M), \text{oblv}_R((i_P)_*\mathcal{St}_M)\right)$$

is an isomorphism for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Perf}(LS_P)$, where $\text{act}$ denotes the action of $\mathbf{QCoh}$ on $\mathbf{IndCoh}$.

**Step 1.** Let us start manipulating the RHS. By adjunction and then projection formula, it is isomorphic to

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(LS_P)}\left(\text{ind}_R(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}) \otimes (i_P)_*\mathcal{St}_M, (i_P)_*\mathcal{St}_M\right) \simeq \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(LS_P)}\left((i_P)_*(\text{ind}_R(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{St}_M, (i_P)_*\mathcal{St}_M\right).$$

Let us now recall that, by the contraction principle, the functor $(i_P)_*$ is fully faithful. Hence, the RHS of $(5.4)$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(LS_P)}\left(i_P^!(\text{ind}_R(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{St}_M, \mathcal{St}_M\right).$$

**Step 2.** Our next goal is to eliminate the two occurrences of $\mathcal{St}_M$ from the Hom space above. This will be done by a diagram chase, together with the induction hypothesis. Consider the following cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(LS_P)^{\mathbf{c}}_{LS_M} & \xrightarrow{\xi} & LS_M \\
\downarrow i_P & & \downarrow i_P \\
LS_P & \rightarrow & (LS_P)^{\mathbf{dR}}
\end{array}$$

Base-change along this diagram, together with the $(\text{ind}_R, \text{oblv}_R)$ adjunction, yields

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(LS_M)}\left(i_P^!(\text{ind}_R(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{St}_M, \mathcal{St}_M\right) \simeq \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{IndCoh}(LS_M)}\left(\xi_*^{\mathbf{IndCoh}}(i_P^!(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F})) \otimes \text{oblv}_R(\mathcal{St}_M), \text{oblv}_R(\mathcal{St}_M)\right).$$

Both objects appearing in the above Hom belong to the full subcategory $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{QCoh}(LS_M))$: this is obvious for the rightmost one; as for the leftmost one, it suffices to notice that $\xi_*^{\mathbf{IndCoh}}$ sends $\mathbf{QCoh}(\mathcal{O}_{LS_M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{QCoh}(LS_M)$ since $q_P$ is quasi-smooth. Hence, we can use the induction hypothesis (that is, Theorem 5.3.3 for the group $M$) to obtain

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{IndCoh}(LS_M)}\left(\xi_*^{\mathbf{IndCoh}}(i_P^!(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F})) \otimes \text{oblv}_R(\mathcal{St}_M), \text{oblv}_R(\mathcal{St}_M)\right) \simeq \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{IndCoh}(LS_M)}\left(\xi_*^{\mathbf{IndCoh}}(i_P^!(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F})), \omega_{LS_M}\right),$$

which is in turn isomorphic to

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(LS_M)}\left(i_P^!(\text{ind}_R(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F})), \omega_{LS_M}\right).$$
by reasoning backwards.

Step 3. Recall that, by the contraction principle again, the functor \( (q_P)_* : \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_P) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_M) \) is well-defined and isomorphic to \( i_P^! \). We conclude that

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_M)} \left( i_P^!(\text{ind}_R(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F})), \omega_{\text{LS}_M} \right) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_P)} \left( \text{ind}_R(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}), \omega_{\text{LS}_P} \right).
\]

The RHS is now manifestly isomorphic to \( \text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_P)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{LS}_P}) \), as desired. \( \square \)

5.5. **The second case: \( P = G \).**

5.5.1. The next case is the one with \( P = G \), so that \( \mathcal{F}' \) is perfect (while \( \mathcal{F} \) is still arbitrary). We need to show that the map

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}') \to \text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G)}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G} \otimes \mathcal{F}')
\]

is an isomorphism.

5.5.2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( \mathcal{F}' \cong \mathcal{O}_{\text{LS}_G} \). Thus, we are to prove that the arrow

(5.5)

\[
\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}) \to \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G})
\]

is an isomorphism for arbitrary \( \mathcal{F} \). It suffices to do this for \( \mathcal{F} \) running through a fixed collection of generators of \( \text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G) \). Thus we assume that either \( \mathcal{F} = j_*(\mathcal{F}_0) \), with \( j : \text{LS}_{G}^{\text{irred}} \to \text{LS}_G \) the open substack of irreducible \( G \)-local systems, or \( \mathcal{F} = (p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P) \) with \( P \in \text{Par}' \). We treat these two subcases separately.

5.5.3. Let \( \mathcal{F} = j_*(\mathcal{F}_0) \) for some \( \mathcal{F}_0 \in \text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_{G}^{\text{irred}}) \). Note that \( j_*(\mathcal{F}_0) \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G} \cong j_*(\mathcal{F}_0) \). Hence, we just need to show that the map

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G)}(j_*(\mathcal{F}_0), \mathcal{O}_{\text{LS}_G}) \to \text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G)}(j_*(\mathcal{F}_0), \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G})
\]

is an isomorphism. Equivalently, we need to show that

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G)}(j_*(\mathcal{F}_0), \text{colim}_{P \in \text{Par}'}(p_P)_* \omega_{\text{LS}_P}) \cong 0.
\]

This fact is a consequence of the next lemma.

**Lemma 5.5.4.** For any \( P \in \text{Par}' \) and any \( \mathcal{F} \in \text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_{G}^{\text{irred}}) \), we have

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G)}(j_*(\mathcal{F}), (p_P)_* \omega_{\text{LS}_P}) \cong 0.
\]

**Proof.** Consider the functor \( \text{Eis}_P^\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_M) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G) \) defined by \( p_P \circ q_P^! \). Adjunction, together with (5.2), gives

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G)}(j_*(\mathcal{F}), (p_P)_* \omega_{\text{LS}_P}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)}(j_*(\text{ind}_L(\mathcal{F})), (p_P)_* \omega_{\text{LS}_P}).
\]

Then we need to show that any object of \( \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_{G}^{\text{irred}}) \) is left orthogonal to \( \text{Eis}_P^\mathcal{D}(\omega_{\text{LS}_M}) \cong (p_P)_* \omega_{\text{LS}_P} \). This follows immediately from the “second adjunction”, that is, Theorem 5.1.3. \( \square \)

5.5.5. Finally, let us assume that \( \mathcal{F} = (p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P) \) in (5.5). We need to show:

**Proposition 5.5.6.** For any \( \mathcal{F}_P \in \text{Perf}(\text{LS}_P) \), the functor \( \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G} \otimes - \) yields an isomorphism

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Qcoh}(\text{LS}_G)}((p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P), \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)}(\text{ind}_L((p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P) \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G}), \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G}).
\]

**Proof.** By adjunction, we need to check that \( \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G} \otimes - \) yields an isomorphism

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)}(\text{ind}_L((p_P)_*(\mathcal{F}_P) \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G}), \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}^G}).
\]
Thanks to (5.1), which in our case looks like
\[ \text{ind}_L \circ (p_P)_* \simeq (p_P)_*, \text{dR} \text{ind}_R \xi_{LS_P}(p_P^*(\mathcal{L}_G) \otimes -), \]
the LHS becomes
\[ \text{Hom}_{D(LS_G)}\left((p_P)_*, \text{dR} \text{ind}_R \xi_{LS_P}(p_P^*(\mathcal{L}_G) \otimes \mathcal{F}_P), \omega_{LS_P}\right) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D(LS_P)}\left(\text{ind}_R \xi_{LS_P}(p_P^*(\mathcal{L}_G) \otimes \mathcal{F}_P), \omega_{LS_P}\right) \]
Similarly, the RHS side becomes
\[ \text{Hom}_{QCoh(LS_P)}\left(p_P^*(\mathcal{L}_G) \otimes \mathcal{F}_P \otimes (i_P)_*, \text{St}_M, \mathcal{L}_P \otimes (i_P)_*, \text{St}_M\right). \]
Then we are back to the statement of Theorem 5.4.2. □

6. Proof of Theorem C

We wish to show that St_G is a generator of the monoidal ideal QCoh(LS_G)ss. This fact turns out to be a quick consequence of the following D-module version of Theorem C.

**Theorem C’.** The DG category D(LS_G)ss is a principal monoidal ideal generated by St_G ∈ D(LS_G).

Since D(LS_G)ss is cocomplete, it follows that the same holds for the essential image of \( \text{St}_G \otimes - : D(LS_G) \to D(LS_G) \). This will be clear from the proof: specifically, from Theorem 6.1.1.

6.1. The D-module case. Let us recall the definition of D(LS_G)ss. An object \( \mathcal{F} \in D(LS_G) \) belongs to D(LS_G)ss iff
\[ (p_P)^{!, \text{dR}}(\mathcal{F}) \in (i_P)_*, \text{dR}(D(LM)), \] for any \( P \).
The goal of this section is to prove Theorem C’, which states that any object of D(LS_G)ss is “divisible” by St_G. In fact, we will prove the following more precise result, which identifies the “quotient” explicitly.

**Theorem 6.1.1** (Divisibility by the Steinberg object). The naturally defined\(^6\) functor
\[ \text{Div}_G := \ker \left( \text{id} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \lim_{P \in (\text{Par})^{op}} \text{Eis}_{P^{-1}}^D \text{CT}_{P^{-1}!}^D : D(LS_G) \to D(LS_G) \right) \]
is a section of \( \text{St}_G \otimes - : D(LS_G) \to D(LS_G)^{ss} \).

**Proof.** First, a notational convention: since we are only dealing with D-modules, we omit the decoration “dR” on pullback and pushforward functors.

The theorem states that any \( \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(LS_G)^{ss} \) is isomorphic to \( St_G \otimes \text{Div}_G(\mathcal{F}) \). To prove this, it suffices to exhibit an isomorphism
\[ \text{St}_G \otimes \lim_{P \in (\text{Par})^{op}} \text{Eis}_{P^{-1}}^D \text{CT}_{P^{-1}!}^D(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \left( \text{colim}_{P \in \text{Par}} (p_P)! (\omega_{LS_P}) \right)[1] \otimes \mathcal{F} \]
that intertwines \( St_G \otimes \epsilon \) with the arrow induced by the structure map \( St_G \to \left( \text{colim}_{P \in \text{Par}} (p_P)! (\omega_{LS_P}) \right)[1] \).

Let us proceed in steps.

---

\(^6\)using the units of the adjunctions (CT\(_{P^{-1}!}^D, \text{Eis}_{P^{-1}}^D)
Step 1. Theorem D yields
\[ \text{St}_G \otimes \text{Eis}_P^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(-) \simeq (i_{\text{MG}})_*(\text{St}_M \otimes -)[\text{rk} \, G - \text{rk} \, M], \]
where we denote by \( i_{\text{MG}} : \text{LS}_M \to \text{LS}_G \) the induction map. It follows that
\[ \text{St}_G \otimes \text{Eis}_P^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}} \cdot CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq (i_{\text{MG}})_*(\text{St}_M \otimes CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F}))[\text{rk} \, G - \text{rk} \, M]. \]

Step 2. By the second adjunction \( CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}} \simeq CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}} \), the latter is isomorphic to
\[ (i_{\text{MG}})_*(\text{St}_M \otimes CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F}))[\text{rk} \, G - \text{rk} \, M], \]
and further, by the definition of \( \text{St}_M \), to
\[ \text{cone} \left( \colim_{Q \leq P} (i_{\text{MG}})_*((p_Q \cap M \to M)_*(\omega_{\text{LS}_M}) \otimes CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F})) \to (i_{\text{MG}})_*CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F}) \right)[\text{rk} \, G - \text{rk} \, M]. \]
In the next two steps, we use the assumption \( \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{\text{st}} \) to simplify such expression.

Step 3. We have:
\[ (i_{\text{MG}})_*(CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq (p_P)_*(i_P)_*((q_P)_*(p_P)^1(\mathcal{F}) \simeq (p_P)_* \circ ((i_P)_*(q_P)_*) \circ (p_P)^1(\mathcal{F}). \]
Now recall that \( \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{\text{st}} \), so that \( (p_P)^1(\mathcal{F}) \simeq (i_P)_*(CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F})) \). It follows that the monad \( (i_P)_*(q_P)_* \) acts as the identity on \( (p_P)^1(\mathcal{F}) \). We conclude that
\[ (i_{\text{MG}})_*(CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq (p_P)_* \circ (p_P)^1(\mathcal{F}) \simeq (p_P)_*(\omega_{\text{LS}_M}) \otimes \mathcal{F}. \]

Step 4. A similar argument yields
\[ (i_{\text{MG}})_*((p_Q \cap M \to M)_*(\omega_{\text{LS}_M}) \otimes CT_{\text{st}}^\mathbb{P}_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq (p_Q)_*(\omega_{\text{LS}_M}) \otimes \mathcal{F}. \]

Step 5. Unwinding the constructions, we obtain that the LHS of (6.1) is isomorphic to the tensor product of \( \mathcal{F} \) with the object
\[ \mathcal{V} := \lim_{P \in \text{Par}} \text{cone} \left( \colim_{Q \leq P} (p_Q)_!(\omega_{\text{LS}_G}) \to (p_P)_!(\omega_{\text{LS}_P}) \right)[\text{rk} \, G - \text{rk} \, M]. \]
Thus, it suffices to exhibit an isomorphism
\[ \mathcal{V} \simeq \left( \colim_{P \in \text{Par}} (p_P)_!(\omega_{\text{LS}_P}) \right)[1]. \]
This is a completely formal fact about colimits/limits in a DG category, treated next.

Step 6. Denote by \( \phi : \text{Par} \to \mathfrak{D}(\text{LS}_G) \) the functor \( P \to (p_P)_!(\omega_{\text{LS}_P}) \). In the spirit of Lemma 4.1.9, consider the poset \( \mathcal{P}'(I \sqcup \infty) \) of proper subsets \( I \sqcup \infty \). Here, \( I \) is the set of nodes of the Dynkin diagram of \( G \) and \( \infty \) is an extra node. For any \( P \in \text{Par} \), corresponding to the subset \( J_P \subseteq I \), we define
\[ \hat{\phi}_P : \mathcal{P}'(I \sqcup \infty) \to \mathfrak{D}(\text{LS}_G) \]
as
\[ \hat{\phi}_P(J) = \begin{cases} \phi(J) & \text{if } J \subseteq J_P; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

Step 7. Note, in passing, that \( \colim \hat{\phi}_G \simeq \text{St}_G \) by definition. Similarly, by Lemma 4.1.9, we obtain that
\[ \colim \hat{\phi}_P \simeq \text{cone} \left( \colim_{Q \leq P} (p_Q)_!(\omega_{\text{LS}_G}) \to (p_P)_!(\omega_{\text{LS}_P}) \right)[\text{rk} \, G - \text{rk} \, M]. \]
This allows to rewrite $\mathcal{V}$ simply as

$$\mathcal{V} \simeq \lim_{P \in (\text{Par}')^{\text{op}}} \text{colim} \hat{\phi}_P.$$ 

**Step 8.** In a stable $\infty$-category, finite limits commute with finite colimits, whence

$$\mathcal{V} \simeq \text{colim}_{J \in \mathcal{P}'(I \cup \infty)} \lim_{P \in (\text{Par}')^{\text{op}}} \hat{\phi}_P(J).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{P \in (\text{Par}')^{\text{op}}} \hat{\phi}_P(J) = \begin{cases} \phi(J) & \text{if } J \subseteq I; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

From this, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{V} \simeq \text{colim}_{J \subseteq I} \phi(J)[1],$$

as desired. □

6.2. **The quasi-coherent case.**

6.2.1. By definition, $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss}$ is the cocompletion of the essential image of the functor

$$\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss}} \mathcal{D}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss} \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G).$$

Theorem C' implies that $\text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)^{ss}$ is generated under colimits by the essential image of the functor $\mathcal{S}_G \otimes - : \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\text{LS}_G)$. By the fully faithfulness result proven in Theorem E, any such colimit can be rewritten as a single tensor product $\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{St}$. 
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