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Abstract

Theoretical approaches to earthquake instabilities propose shear dominated instabilities as a source mechanism. Here we take a fresh look at the role of possible volumetric instabilities preceding a shear instability. We investigate the phenomena that may lead to earthquake instabilities using coupling of Thermo-Hydro-Mechano-Chemical (THMC) reaction-diffusion equations in a THMC diffusion matrix. We show that the off-diagonal cross-diffusivities can give rise to cross-diffusion slow (no inertia) pressure waves and propose that a resonance phenomenon of constructive interference triggers the seismic moment release.
INTRODUCTION

We present the hypothesis that the universality of cross-diffusion phenomena based on multiscale, multiphysics coupling underpins the scale invariance of the Gutenberg, Richter frequency-magnitude relationship of earthquakes [1]. We propose a new mathematical approach for coupling the rates of chemical reactions, failure and fluid flow instabilities and thermo-mechanical instabilities of materials. The approach gives theoretical insights into the processes that are commonly described by empirical relationships.

In our multiphysics approach to earthquakes we start with molecular-scale processes, which are defined by the chemical reactions and mass exchange processes under thermodynamic driving forces triggering thermodynamic fluxes. The approach emphasises the important role of emergent patterns (microstructure) triggering energy-geometry interactions that cascade through space and time via the link of cross-diffusion coefficients introduced in this paper. These coefficients can be activated in far from equilibrium conditions, which allows coupling between the scales. The important factor of cross-scale coupling by cross-diffusion was overlooked in earlier reviews of multiscale coupling and multiphysics approaches [2, 3]. We propose here that earthquakes can appear if the large-scale geodynamic driver fires the full cascade of THMC cross-diffusion coefficients through reaching a critical magnitude of reactive THMC source terms.

MULTISCALE CROSS-DIFFUSION MODEL

Thermodynamic forces and fluxes in a THMC-system are defined in Table 1. Thermodynamic forces are the spatial gradients of a THMC-system. They drive time gradient thermodynamic fluxes. At the molecular scale, the thermodynamic force is, for instance, the gradient of a chemical species, which drives a chemical flux (C) parameterized by Ficks law, where $D_C$ is the chemical diffusivity. Such chemical reactions can in turn become a driver for the next scale up in the multiscale system. For example, a chemical fluid release reaction in an HMC-coupled system can create mechanical pressure gradients due to the associated volume change and fluid release/precipitation, which in turn induces mechanical (M) stress diffusion $D_M$ with a Beltrami-Michell-type compatibility condition [4] and Darcy-type fluid (H) diffusion $D_H$. In the mechanical sense, the chemical reaction creates an overpressure
TABLE I. THMC Thermodynamic Forces and Fluxes and Conservation Laws ($\mathcal{D}(\cdot)/\mathcal{D}t$ denotes the material derivative considering the convective effects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermodynamic Force (1-D)</th>
<th>Thermodynamic Flux (1-D)</th>
<th>Reaction-Diffusion Equations (1-D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_T = \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$</td>
<td>$q_T = -\frac{\mathcal{D}T}{\mathcal{D}t}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\mathcal{D}T}{\mathcal{D}t} = D_T \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + r_T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_H = \frac{\partial p_H}{\partial x}$</td>
<td>$q_H = -\frac{\mathcal{D}p_H}{\mathcal{D}t}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\mathcal{D}p_H}{\mathcal{D}t} = D_{TH} \frac{\partial^2 p_H}{\partial x^2} + r_H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_M = \frac{\partial p_M}{\partial x}$</td>
<td>$q_M = -\frac{\mathcal{D}p_M}{\mathcal{D}t}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\mathcal{D}p_M}{\mathcal{D}t} = D_{MH} \frac{\partial^2 p_M}{\partial x^2} + r_M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_C = \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}$</td>
<td>$q_C = -\frac{\mathcal{D}C}{\mathcal{D}t}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\mathcal{D}C}{\mathcal{D}t} = D_{CC} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + r_C$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\bar{p}_M$ in the matrix $[5]$. The endo-/exo-thermal chemical reaction also induces a gradient in temperature which in turn drives a thermal Fourier flux ($T$), with thermal diffusivity $D_T$.

The afore mentioned cross-scale coupling introduces source/sink terms in the individual conservation laws identified by $r_T, r_H, r_M$ and $r_C$ respectively. The conservation laws must also be extended to allow a multitude of THMC processes to occur simultaneously, which can introduce cross-diffusion fluxes.

**THMC cross-diffusion model formulation**

In a chemical system with two species, for instance, cross-diffusion is the phenomenon, in which a flux of species $A$ is induced by a gradient of species $B$ $[6]$. In more general THMC terms, cross-diffusion is the phenomenon where a gradient of one generalised thermodynamic force of species $C_j$ drives another generalised thermodynamic flux of species $C_i$, described
by not using the Einstein notation as

\[ \frac{D C_i}{D t} = D_{ii} \nabla^2 C_i + \sum_{j \neq i} D_{ij} \nabla^2 C_j + r_i \quad . \]  

(1)

The species \( j \) is identified as the cross diffusion species other than the species \( i \). Introducing a fully populated \((N \times N)\) diffusion matrix \(D_{ij}\), equation (1) can also be written as

\[ \frac{D C_i}{D t} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} D_{ij} \nabla^2 C_k + r_i \quad , \]  

(2)

The diffusion matrix \(D_{ij}\) for the equivalent THMC processes is

\[ (3) \]

The classical (self-)diffusive length scale of each THMC process is defined by \(\sqrt{D_{ii} t}\). The reactive source source term \( r_i \), corresponding to each thermodynamic flux \( C_i \), can be zero or non-zero. The approach presented here introduces a new cross-scale coupling length scale that provides a link between the self-diffusion length scales of the THMC processes. Although not essential to the formulation we propose that this cross-diffusive length scale \(\sqrt{D_{ij} t}\) is quantized and defined by discrete material properties which can be evaluated by measuring the velocity of cross-diffusion waves (see discussion). These (quantized) cross-diffusion coefficients link the gradient of a thermodynamic force \( C_j \) of one THMC process to the flux of another kind.

Under such circumstances, the criterion for nucleation of diffusion waves is fully characterized by the diffusion matrix \(D_{ij}\) with the diagonal elements \(D_{ii}\) describing the normal self-diffusion and the off-diagonal elements the cross-diffusion processes enabling coupling across scales. For consistency with the second law, all eigenvalues of the diffusion matrix must be real and positive, and hence the determinant of the diffusion matrix \(\det (D_{ij}) > 0\) as well as the trace of the diffusion matrix must be larger than zero.

The criterion for instability can now be expressed by two matrices, the self- and cross-diffusion matrices, respectively. The cross-diffusion matrix must satisfy the Onsager-Casimir
symmetry condition which also acknowledges antisymmetry $D_{ij} = \pm D_{ji}$ [8, 9]. Onsager [8] assumed that the parameters characterizing the system have identical sign in their kinetic coefficients while Casimir [9] extended Onsagers considerations to include kinetic parameters that have opposite sign as can be the case for multiphysics cross-diffusion coupling. Any local perturbation that leads to complex eigenvalues of $D_{ij}$ must lead to oscillatory instabilities relaxing to the equilibrium state [6]. This statement is at the heart of the nucleation of the cross-diffusional wave phenomenon. We will show in the following sections that the above described cross-diffusion formulation [6] can be extended to develop a generic multiphysics and multiscale THMC coupling approach to earthquake instabilities. This formulation implies a coupled cascade of THMC feedbacks over multiple diffusional length scales honouring the reciprocal multiscale interplay of thermodynamic forces and fluxes.

**Cross-diffusion and its role in coupling of instabilities across scales**

By extending the diagonal diffusion matrix through the cross-diffusion coefficients in equation (2), a new class of mechanical instabilities is revealed that incorporates chemical instabilities at its lowest scale. If the determinant of the diffusion matrix is zero or negative, the criterion for potential nucleation of an instability is met. For the simple case of hydro-mechanical (HM) coupling we have recently reported discovery of the cross-diffusional pressure wave phenomenon [5].

The important element of cross-diffusion waves for earthquake physics is their capability to link one thermodynamic force with a thermodynamic flux at a different scale, thus synchronising the dynamics over vastly different diffusional time and length scales. This important aspect of earthquake physics was previously overlooked. The approach raises the possibility that dissipative waves can be detected prior to earthquake instabilities.

**APPLICATION TO EARTHQUAKE PHYSICS**

Theoretical approaches to the physics of earthquake instabilities originally were conceived as a shear instability on a frictional sliding surface [10]. The role of pressure on the dynamics of the slider was derived empirically by laboratory experiments defining a rate-and-state variable friction law [11,15]. The friction law was first considered to be an isothermal,
Arrhenius activated shear process [15]. Instabilities through shear heating feedback were later considered to play an important role [16,18]. Additionally, a thermally induced fluid pressurization term was found to be an important component for accelerated creep [19, 20]. Another important ingredient of the earthquake instability was thought to be the coupling of scales, where at least two different processes, operating at different time and length scales, interact [21]. The approach presented here can encompass all of the mechanisms in the matrix $D_{ij}$ and offers the opportunity to develop an upscaling approach using renormalization group theory [2, 22].

**Cross-diffusion waves**

A fully populated diffusion matrix provides an extension to the two-scale formulation of earthquake mechanics [21]. We present a high level application of the basic principles in order to transfer knowledge from other disciplines to this new field of research (e.g. mathematical biology, computational chemistry, quantum optics). We use closed form solutions developed in these fields, supplemented by our own solutions [3, 23], for schematic illustrations and in-depth discussions of how the cross-diffusion concept may be applied to the earthquake phenomenon.

Exact analytical propagating wave solutions of the cross-diffusion wave phenomenon are rare. Ref. [24] considered a piece-wise linear polynomial function as a kinetic term to make the problem analytically solvable. This solution has been developed for the FitzHugh-Nagumo oscillator which is a prototype of an excitable system with short lived-spikes and a slow recovery. The FitzHugh-Nagumo oscillator has originally been developed to describe the propagation of electrical impulses in nerves and we chose to discuss more generic formulations of similar systems in order to illustrate the superposition of just two dominant wavegroups. The quantum harmonic-oscillator [25] is one of the few solutions available for a mathematically similar quantum-mechanical system. We use this oscillator in Figure 2 as an illustration for the superposition of two dominant wavenumbers. For the time-independent stationary case we use the Korteweg-de Vries equation [3, 23]. We emphasize here that the solutions are for illustrative purposes only. The real waveforms of the transient cross-diffusion waves are expected to be more complex.
Cross-diffusion wavenumbers

The wavenumbers of the cross-diffusion waves are controlled by the competition of the diffusion processes determining the diffusion length and number of possible oscillations per unit length. Of particular interest for the earthquake instabilities is the resonant mode with the longest possible wavelength.

Below, we discuss the results of a 1-D linear stability analysis for just two coupled reaction-diffusion equations to illustrate the role of the wavelength (or its inverse the wavenumber) for the nucleation of cross-diffusion waves. Laboratory evidence of cross-diffusion waves with HM coupling and a detailed derivation of the equations can be found in ref. [5]. For HM coupling, we set thermal and chemical self- and cross-diffusion coefficients to zero in equation (2) and using a single source term characterized by the ratio of the self-diffusivities of the two processes:

\[
\frac{DC_1}{Dt} = D_{HH} \frac{\partial^2 C_1}{\partial x^2} + D_{HM} \frac{\partial^2 C_2}{\partial x^2} \quad (4a)
\]

\[
\frac{DC_2}{Dt} = D_{MM} \frac{\partial^2 C_2}{\partial x^2} + D_{MH} \frac{\partial^2 C_1}{\partial x^2} - \lambda C_2 \quad (4b)
\]

where \( \lambda = D_{MM}/D_{HH} \), is a non-dimensional parameter denoting the ratio of mechanical diffusivity over the fluid diffusivity. The response of the system to wave perturbations is tested by applying a small plane wave perturbation of the type

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C_1 \\
C_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
C_1 \exp(ikx + \omega t) \\
C_2 \exp(ikx + \omega t)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (5)
\]

where \( k \) is the wavenumber and \( \omega \) the angular frequency. If the determinant of the system becomes smaller than zero, cross-diffusional waves are expected. This is the case when [5]

\[
(D_{HH} - D_{MM} - \frac{D_{MM}}{D_{HH} k^2})^2 + 4D_{HM}D_{MH} < 0. \quad (6)
\]

A cross-diffusional wave can nucleate if \( D_{HM} \) and \( D_{MH} \) are nonzero, of opposite sign and sufficiently large to overcome the self-diffusion processes in the first term, which is always positive. The instability criterion also suggests a critical lower limit \( k_{\text{min}} \) (longest
wavelength) for which no cross-diffusion wave can nucleate as the first term becomes dominant. This minimum wavenumber (longest wavelength) can be constrained further by the long wavelength limit of the system size. We argue that this limit is not the system size itself but a fraction of the system size, defined by resonant constructive interference of the cross-diffusional waves in normal resonance mode.

Resonance on the lowest possible wavenumber

In the context of an earthquake instability, shorter wavelength cross-diffusional waves might lead to a small dispersion limit of a coordinated long-wavelength instability. This resonance mode may arise through incident cross-diffusional waves interfering positively with reflected cross-diffusional waves in a finite width around the future fault core. On the grounds of the above theoretical considerations we postulate a series of evolutionary steps that may lead to this macro-scale instability. These are:

- **Step 1** (illustrated in Figure 1): Upon ongoing geodynamic loading a zone may form, where the rock is loaded past the elastic limit, and the plastic yield stress of the rock is reached. This defines the system size of reflecting cross-diffusion waves.

- **Step 2** (illustrated in Figure 2): If the criterion in equation (6) is met, cross-diffusional waves are expected to initially bounce back and forth inside the plastically deforming domain as wave packets with dominant finite wavenumbers [24, 26], described in the next chapter on waveforms. In the hydromechanically coupled problem (equation 4), these waves are propagating fronts of internal volumetric fluid-solid mass exchange and appear as local fluid overpressure.

- **Step 3** (illustrated in Figure 3): The long wavelength limit of cross-diffusional waves is defined by a fraction of the width of the plastic zone. A large scale instability is then understood as the longest wavelength normal (resonance) mode to form a standing wave. For extremely slow deformation this waveform can fully develop (see Figure 4). In cases where the fault becomes seismogenic, it is likely that the large scale instability forms before full resonance, due to the impact of kinetic energy. In both cases, fault damage zones appear as elongated sometimes complex oscillatory patterns with the future fault core at its centre.
FIG. 1. Step 1 development of a plastic zone. The size of the zone is dependent on the boundary conditions and the micro-physics accommodating plastic deformation. We assume in our discussion that the zone is deforming pervasively by a power-law $r_2 = -\frac{D_M}{D_H} C^m_2$.

- **Step 4**: Fluid release along the periodic channels lubricates the large scale seismic or slow slip shear instability as described in the afore mentioned models [20] and an earthquake double couple moment release occurs. The volumetric deformation preceding the onset of the instability only constitutes a small energy contribution to the total moment release of an earthquake, however we have argued here that cross-diffusion P-waves constitute an important ingredient for the large scale event.

The earthquake trigger for positive interference necessary for the appearance of a normal mode standing wave is defined by substituting $k_{\min} = \sqrt{\frac{D_{MM}}{D_{HH}}}$, obtained from the standing wave-limit, into the instability criterion [6]:

$$(D_{HH} - D_{MM} - 1)^2 + 4D_{HM}D_{MH} < 0. \quad (7)$$

This limit also applies for the case of a nonlinear source term defined by a power law, as it coincides with the standing solitary wave of the Korteweg-de Vries equation [3, 23]. Note that the Step 3 is not necessarily required for a large scale instability. If the fluid release by
Cross-diffusion waves nucleate on both boundaries and travel towards the future fault core. This happens when the interaction between diffusion and the source term, in equation (6), results in a negative determinant. Cross-diffusion waves propagate as quasi-solitons with frequency dependent velocity at a dominant wavenumber due to the compensation between non-linear and dispersion effects. The waveforms depend on the coefficients in the diffusion matrix including the source term and can be complicated (see next chapter). The illustration shows a simplification with time-dependent frequency evolution of the quantum harmonic oscillator just before the collision of two wavefronts [25].

When the cross-diffusional waves in Step 2 is sufficiently large, the earthquake shear instability may also nucleate directly from Step 2. In this case one would expect an irregular pattern with significant shear component in the fault zone damage zone.

Cross-diffusion waveforms

Cross-diffusion waves appear as quasi-solitons [27]. These are often encountered in optical systems where chromatic dispersion is strong, leading to anomalous dispersion patterns that, unlike solitons, come in discrete portions [28]. A classification of the solution of equation (2)
FIG. 3. Time evolution, inside the fault plane with collision of a slow and a fast wave. Only two waves travelling from the left to the right are shown in this example. The rich spectral content of the cross-diffusion waves (see waveform chapter) is upwards wavelength limited through equation (7). This leads to stationary constructive interference (standing wave) and a large scale instability [3, 23, 25].

for the discussed case of linear cross-diffusion has been presented recently [26]. The solution differs from the classical soliton solution in that it features complex dispersion relationships where the wave velocity of individual waves (phase velocity) have different velocity to their smooth envelope wave groups (group velocity). This leads to complex phenomena similar to envelope solitons in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation shown [26] to be akin to the linear cross-diffusion equation in equation (2).

Wave packet solutions emerge when the wave energy is concentrated around a finite wavenumber. When the dispersion is weak, the envelope travels at approximately uniform group velocity. The addition of linear cross-diffusion can create strong non-linear dispersion, where - depending on the coefficients - three different wave types have been classified: (1) fixed-shape propagating waves, (2) envelope waves, (3a) multi-envelope waves, and (3b) intermediate regimes appearing as multi-envelope waves propagating as fixed shape most of
FIG. 4. In the case that the instability proceeds slowly, no earthquake occurs. The lowest wavenumber on the $k_{\text{min}}$ resonance mode can fully develop and the static solution can be reduced to lower dimension by considering only the self diffusion coefficients. The solution is then described by the Korteweg-de Vries equation [31, 23] and a strictly periodic pattern of overpressured fluid channels is expected. A good example is the periodic fracture pattern stemming from slow diagenitic reactions in unconventional shale gas reservoirs or coal cleats in coal seams [32].

the time but undergoing restructuring from time to time [26].

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have introduced a new approach to THMC instabilities using the simple concept of cross-diffusion. The analysis suggests that cross-diffusion provides a crucial link to allow cross-scale coupling of the multiphysics processes potentially leading to earthquake instabilities. For critical cross-diffusion coefficients and associated reaction terms, an energy cascade of acceleration cross-diffusion pressure waves (diffusion P-waves), from small scale thermo-chemical (TC) and chemo-mechanical (CM) dissipative waves to meso-scale hydro-mechanical (HM) dissipative waves, can be triggered by a geodynamic driving force. This in turn may nucleate the only shear-wave cross-diffusion process, a thermo-mechanical (TM) shear-wave instability. The multiscale THMC-waves initially are low-energy release volumetric diffusion P-waves free of kinetic energy, but as they trigger thermo-mechanical cross-
diffusion shear waves (diffusion S-waves), they can tap into a significant portion of the stored elastic energy around the fault. This process may, for a critical set of reaction-diffusion parameters, ultimately lead to a substantial energy release sufficient to communicate via cross-diffusion with their elastic counterparts \[29\], and an earthquake instability occurs.

Numerical solutions of this stiff problem are difficult, and it may be useful to consider weak-formulations by a reduction procedure through adiabatic elimination of the fast cross-diffusion process into an effective cross-diffusion formulation by time integration to the slow self diffusion time scale \[24\]. Specifically, the upscaled solutions can be regarded as long-wavelength (infinite/quasistatic time-scale limits) of the travelling cross-diffusion wave solutions. The dominant wave number is described by a critical ratio of effective self-diffusion coefficients. The relationship between the long wavelength soliton cnoidal solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation \[3, 23\] and the quasi-soliton solution with oscillating tails can be derived by the Jacobi elliptic functions method of solution. If the modulus of the Jacoby elliptic function asymptotically approaches to zero the solutions shown in Fig. 4 can be obtained \[30\].

These quasistatic spatially inhomogeneous solutions are also known as Turing patterns \[31\]. The upscaled equations do not necessarily honour the co-dependence between the diffusion and reaction rate constants of the cross-diffusion process \[5, 6\]. Turing patterns appear because around the bifurcation point in the reaction-self diffusion system, linearly unstable eigenfunctions exist that are growing exponentially with time. In order to regularize the problem, finely tuned non-linear terms need to be introduced in the reaction-diffusion equation or, more conveniently, cross-diffusion-like terms need to be added. We postulate here that THMC-Turing patterns are indeed the multiscale patterns observed in nature \[1\]. They offer themselves as an ideal tool for inversion of the effective self-diffusion THMC coefficients and their implicit reaction rates. Interpreting geological structures in terms of these process parameters will allow identification of principal processes underpinning the earthquake mechanism.

Theoretical considerations on the nature of cross-diffusion waves may help in the design and analysis of laboratory experiments. Quasi solitons are similar to real solitons in that they can penetrate through each other and reflect from boundaries. Differences are that the amplitudes of the true solitons do not change after impact while the dynamics of quasi-solitons on impact is often naturally seen as a temporary diminution of the amplitude with
subsequent gradual recovery [26]. Another important difference is that the amplitude and speed of a true soliton depend on initial conditions, while for the quasi-soliton they depend on the material parameters. This property offers new avenues for earthquake physics. We are currently investigating these wave phenomena in controlled laboratory experiments and attempt to use the unique relationship of amplitude and wave speed dependence on material properties as a diagnostic tool for data assimilation.
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