LUSZTIG CORRESPONDENCE AND HOWE CORRESPONDENCE FOR
FINITE REDUCTIVE DUAL PAIRS

SHU-YEN PAN

Abstract. Let \((G, G')\) be a reductive dual pair of a symplectic group and an orthogonal group over a finite field of odd characteristic. The Howe correspondence establishes a correspondence between a subset of irreducible characters of \(G\) and a subset of irreducible characters of \(G'\). The Lusztig correspondence is a bijection between the Lusztig series indexed by the conjugacy class of a semisimple element \(s\) in the connected component \((G^*)^0\) of the dual group of \(G\) and the set of irreducible unipotent characters of the centralizer of \(s\) in \(G^*\). In this paper, we prove the commutativity (up to a twist of the sign character) between these two correspondences.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Let \((G, G')\) be a reductive dual pair of either

(1) two unitary groups, or
(2) one symplectic group and one orthogonal group

over a finite field \(f\) of odd characteristic. By restricting the Weil character to the dual pair \((G, G')\) with respect to a nontrivial additive character \(\psi\) of \(f\) defined in \([\text{G} \text{r} 77]\), we have...
the Weil character $\omega_{G,G'}^\psi$, which has non-negative integral decomposition

$$\omega_{G,G'}^\psi = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G), \rho' \in \mathcal{E}(G')} m_{\rho,\rho'} \rho \otimes \rho'$$

where $\mathcal{E}(G)$ denotes the set of irreducible characters of group of rational points $G$ of $G$. We say that $\rho \otimes \rho'$ occurs in the Howe correspondence for $(G,G')$ and denote $\rho \leftrightarrow \rho'$ if $m_{\rho,\rho'} \neq 0$. The main task of the Howe correspondence is to characterize those $\rho$ and $\rho'$ which occurs in the correspondence.

If $(G, G') = (U_n, U_n')$ or $(\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'})$ for some $n, n'$, it is known that the unipotent characters are preserved by the Howe correspondence (cf. [AM93] theorem 3.5). Moreover, the explicit description of the Howe correspondence of irreducible unipotent characters in terms of combinatorial parameters is in [AMR96] for unitary dual pairs and in [Pan19a] for symplectic/even-orthogonal dual pairs. Now we recall these results briefly in the following.

1.2. Recall that a $\beta$-set $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ is a finite subset of non-negative integers with elements written in a (strictly) decreasing order, i.e., $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_m$, and a (reduced) symbol is an ordered pair $\Lambda = (A, B)$ of two $\beta$-sets $A, B$ such that $0 \notin A \cap B$.

It is known that irreducible unipotent characters of $U_n(q)$ can be parametrized by partitions of $n$. Moreover, for each partition $\lambda$, we can associate it a symbol $\Lambda_\lambda$ (cf. Subsection 5.1). And we define a relation $\mathcal{B}_{U_n, U_n'}$ on these symbols (cf. (5.9)). Then [AMR96] théorème 5.15 can be rephrased as follows (cf. Proposition 5.13):

**Proposition.** The unipotent part $\omega_{U_n, U_n', 1}$ of the Weil character $\omega_{U_n, U_n'}^\psi$ has the decomposition:

$$\omega_{U_n, U_n', 1} = \sum_{(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{U_n, U_n'}} \rho_\lambda \otimes \rho_{\lambda'}$$

where $\rho_\lambda$ denotes the irreducible unipotent character of $U_n(q)$ parametrized by the partition $\lambda$ of $n$.

Next we consider the dual pair $(\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'})$. It is known in [Lus77] that irreducible unipotent characters of a symplectic group or an orthogonal group can be parametrized by symbols satisfying certain conditions. We can define a relation $\mathcal{B}_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}}$ on those symbols (cf. (2.19)). The following proposition is [Pan19a] theorem 3.35:

**Proposition.** The unipotent part $\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}, 1}$ of the Weil character $\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}}^\psi$ has the decomposition:

$$\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}, 1} = \sum_{(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}}} \rho_\Lambda \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'}$$

where $\rho_\Lambda$ denotes the irreducible unipotent character parametrized by the symbol $\Lambda$.

The above two propositions mean that we can give a very explicit description of the finite Howe correspondence of unipotent characters. Our next step is to reduce the general
finite Howe correspondence to the correspondence of unipotent characters via the Lusztig correspondence.

Let $\mathcal{E}(G)_s$ denote the Lusztig series associated to the conjugacy class $(s)$ of a semisimple element $s$ in the connected component $(G^*)^0$ of the dual group $G^*$ of $G$. In particular, $\mathcal{E}(G)_1$ is the set of irreducible unipotent characters of $G$. It is known that these Lusztig series partition the set of irreducible characters:

$$\mathcal{E}(G) = \bigsqcup_{(s) \subseteq (G^*)^0} \mathcal{E}(G)_s.$$ 

In [Lus77] Lusztig shows that there exists a bijection:

$$\mathfrak{L}_s : \mathcal{E}(G)_s \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(G^*_s(s))_1$$

where $C_{G^*_s}(s)$ denotes the centralizer of $s \in (G^*)^0$. The bijection $\mathfrak{L}_s$ is called the Lusztig correspondence. Note that $\mathfrak{L}_s$ is not uniquely determined.

1.3. Suppose that $(G, G')$ is a dual pair of two unitary groups. For each semisimple element $s$ of a unitary group, we can define two groups $G^{(1)}(s)$ and $G^{(2)}(s)$ depending on $(s)$, and the Lusztig correspondence

$$\Xi_s : \mathcal{E}(G)_s \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(G^{(1)}(s))_1 \times \mathcal{E}(G^{(2)}(s))_1.$$ 

Suppose that $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s$ and $\eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G')_{s'}$ for some semisimple elements $s, s'$. Then we write $\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)}$ and $\Xi_{s'}(\eta') = \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)}$ where $\eta^{(j)}$ (resp. $\eta'^{(j)}$) is an irreducible unipotent character of $G^{(j)}(s)$ (resp. $G'^{(j)}(s)$) for $j = 1, 2$. We know that $G^{(1)}(s)$ and $G'^{(1)}(s')$ are products of unitary groups or general linear groups, and $(G^{(2)}(s), G'^{(2)}(s'))$ is again a reductive dual pair of two unitary groups. The following proposition (cf. Proposition 5.15) is extracted from [AMR96] théorème 2.6:

**Proposition.** Let $(G, G') = (U_n, U_{n'})$ be a finite reductive dual pair of two unitary groups. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s$ and $\eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G')_{s'}$ for some $s, s'$. Then $\eta \otimes \eta'$ occurs in the Howe correspondence for $(G, G')$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

- up to conjugation, $s = (t, 1) \in U_k(q) \times U_{n-k}(q) \subseteq U_n(q)$ and $s' = (t, 1) \in U_{k'}(q) \times U_{n'-k}(q) \subseteq U_{n'}(q)$ for some $t \in U_k(q)$ and some $k \leq \min(n, n')$.
- $G^{(1)}(s) \simeq G'^{(1)}(s')$, and $\eta^{(1)} \simeq \eta'^{(1)}$.
- $\eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)}$ occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair $(G^{(2)}, G'^{(2)})$.

That is, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \eta' \\
\Xi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_{s'} \\
\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Theta} & \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)}
\end{array}$$

commutes.
This proposition means that the Howe correspondence of general irreducible characters can be reduced via Lusztig correspondence to the correspondence of irreducible unipotent characters. The main result of this article is to prove the analogue of the previous proposition for the case of a symplectic-orthogonal dual pair.

1.4. Now suppose that \((G, G')\) is a dual pair of a symplectic group and an orthogonal group. For a semisimple element \(s\) in a symplectic group or an orthogonal group, we can define three groups \(G^{(1)}(s), G^{(2)}(s)\) and \(G^{(3)}(s)\) depending on \(s\), and a modified Lusztig correspondence

\[
\Xi_s: \mathcal{E}(G)_s \to \mathcal{E}(G^{(1)}(s))_1 \times \mathcal{E}(G^{(2)}(s))_1 \times \mathcal{E}(G^{(3)}(s))_1
\]

(cf. Subsection 6.2 and Subsection 7.2). Then we have (cf. Theorem 6.9 and Theorem 7.9):

**Theorem.** Let \((G, G') = (\text{Sp}_{2n}, O'_{2n'})\), and let \(\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s\) and \(\eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G')_{s'}\) for some semisimple elements \(s \in G^*\) and \(s' \in (G'^*)^0\). Write \(\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}\) and \(\Xi_{s'}(\eta') = \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}\), and let \(\{\eta_i\}\) be defined in (6.5). Then \(\eta \otimes \eta_i'\) (for some \(i\)) occurs in \(\omega_{G,G'}\) if and only if the following conditions hold:

- \(G^{(1)} \simeq G^{(1)}(s)\), and \(\eta^{(1)} = \eta'^{(1)}\);
- \(G^{(2)} \simeq G^{(2)}(s')\), and \(\eta^{(2)} = \eta'^{(2)}\) or \(\eta'^{(2)} \cdot \text{sgn}\);
- either \(\eta^{(3)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}\) or \(\eta^{(3)} \otimes (\eta'^{(3)} \cdot \text{sgn})\) occurs in the Howe occurrence for the dual pair \((G^{(3)}(s), G'^{(3)}(s'))\).

That is, the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \eta' \\
\Xi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_{s'} \\
\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \Theta} & \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}
\end{array}
\]

commutes up to a twist of the \(\text{sgn}\) character.

**Theorem.** Let \((G, G') = (\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n+1})\), and let \(\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s\) and \(\eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G')_{s'}\) for some semisimple elements \(s \in G^*\) and \(s' \in (G'^*)^0\). Write \(\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}\), \(\Xi_{s'}(\eta') = \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)} \otimes \epsilon\), and let \(\{\eta_i\}\) be defined as in (7.5). Then \(\eta_i \otimes \eta'\) occurs in \(\omega_{G,G'}\) for some \(i\) if and only if the following conditions hold:

- \(G^{(1)} \simeq G^{(1)}(s)\), \(\eta^{(1)} = \eta'^{(1)}\);
- \(G^{(2)} \simeq G^{(2)}(s')\), \(\eta^{(2)} = \eta'^{(2)}\);
- either \(\eta^{(3)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}\) or \((\eta^{(3)} \cdot \text{sgn}) \otimes \eta'^{(3)}\) occurs in the Howe occurrence for the dual pair \((G^{(3)}(s), G'^{(3)}(s'))\).

That is, the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \eta' \\
\Xi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_{s'} \\
\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \Theta} & \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}
\end{array}
\]

commutes up to a twist of the \(\text{sgn}\) character.
Therefore, the description of general Howe correspondence for dual pair of a symplectic group and an orthogonal group is now completely characterized. The main difference between the correspondence for \((U_n, U_n')\) and the correspondence for \((\text{Sp}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n}')\) is that all irreducible unipotent characters of unitary groups are uniform but \(\omega^\psi_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n}'}\), and most of irreducible unipotent characters of symplectic groups or orthogonal groups are not. Therefore we need to make more efforts to analyze carefully the uniform projection \(\eta^\#\) of an irreducible character \(\eta\) of a symplectic group or an orthogonal group.

Note that it is shown in \([\text{Pan19a}]\) that the decomposition of \(\omega^\#_{G, G'}\) in \([\text{Sri79}]\) is still true without assuming that the order of \(f^q\) is large enough. Therefore the above two theorems and the proposition in Subsection 1.3 hold for any finite field of odd characteristic.

1.5. In the following, we have two applications of our main results: the (non-)preservation principle of finite Howe correspondence, and the notion of pseudo-unipotent characters (of a symplectic group).

For a \(\beta\)-set \(A\), we define
\[
\delta(A) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } A = \emptyset; \\
\max(A) - |A| + 1, & \text{if } A \neq \emptyset
\end{cases}
\]
where \(\max(A)\) denotes the maximal element of \(A\). For a symbol \(\Lambda = (\lambda)^{\beta}\), we define \(\delta(\Lambda) = \delta(A) + \delta(B)\). If \(G\) is symplectic or orthogonal, \(\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)\), and \(\eta^{(3)} = \rho_\Lambda\), then we define \(\delta(\eta) = \delta(\Lambda)\); if \(G\) is unitary, \(\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)\), and \(\eta^{(2)} = \rho_\Lambda\), then we define \(\delta(\eta) = \delta(\Lambda_{\Lambda})\). Then we have the following (non-)preservation principle (cf. Theorems 9.1, 9.3, 9.5, and 9.7):

(I) Suppose that \(v\) is a Hermitian space. Let \(\{v^+\}\) be the Witt series of even-dimensional Hermitian spaces, and let \(\{v^-\}\) be the Witt series of odd-dimensional Hermitian spaces. For \(\eta \in \mathcal{E}(U(v))\), let \(n_{++}^v(\eta)\) denote the minimal dimension of \(v^\pm\) such that \(\eta\) occurs in the Howe correspondence for the dual pair \((U(v), U(v^\pm))\). Then
\[
n_{++}^v(\eta) + n_{--}^v(\eta) = 2\dim(v) - 2\delta(\eta) + 1.
\]

(II) Suppose that \(v\) is an orthogonal space. Then \(\{v\}'\) is a Witt series of symplectic spaces. For \(\eta \in \mathcal{E}(O(v))\), let \(n_0^v(\eta)\) denote the minimal dimension of \(v'\) such that \(\eta\) occurs in the Howe correspondence for the dual pair \((O(v), \text{Sp}(v'))\). Then
\[
n_0^v(\eta) + n_0^v(\eta \cdot \text{sgn}) = 2\dim(v) - 2\delta(\eta)
\]
where \(\text{sgn}\) denotes the sign character of \(O(v)\).

(III) Suppose that \(v\) is a symplectic space. We have two possible situations:

(a) Let \(\{v^+\}\) the Witt series of orthogonal spaces with trivial anisotropic kernel; \(\{v^-\}\) the Witt series of orthogonal spaces with a two-dimensional anisotropic kernel. For \(\eta \in \mathcal{E}(\text{Sp}(v))\), let \(n_3^v(\eta)\) denote the minimal dimension of \(v^\pm\)
such that \( \eta \) occurs in the Howe correspondence for the dual pair \((\text{Sp}(v), \text{O}(v^\pm))\).

Then

\[
n_0^+ (\eta) + n_0^- (\eta) = 2 \dim(v) - 2 \delta(\eta) + 2.
\]

(b) Both \( \{v^+\} \) and \( \{v^-\} \) are Witt series of orthogonal spaces a one-dimensional anisotropic kernel but with non-isomorphic quadratic forms. We expect the above (non-)preservation principle is also true for any irreducible character \( \eta \) of a symplectic group. Unfortunately, we are not able to prove the principle for this case (cf. Subsection 9.3.2).

It is known that \( \delta(\eta) = 0 \) if \( \eta \) is cuspidal. Hence, the above principles are the generalization of the preservation principles for cuspidal characters proved in [Pan02] theorem 12.3.

1.6. Irreducible unipotent cuspidal characters of classical groups other than the general linear groups are characterized by Lusztig as follows:

1. A unitary group \( U_n(q) \) has an irreducible unipotent character if and only if \( n = \frac{1}{2} m (m + 1) \) for some non-negative integer \( m \). And in this case, there is a unique irreducible unipotent cuspidal character.

2. An odd orthogonal group \( O_{2n+1}(q) \) has an irreducible unipotent character if and only if \( n = m (m + 1) \) for some non-negative integer \( m \). And in this case, there are two irreducible unipotent cuspidal characters different by the sign character.

3. A symplectic group \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) \) has an irreducible unipotent character if and only if \( n = m (m + 1) \) for some non-negative integer \( m \). And in this case, there is a unique irreducible unipotent cuspidal character.

4. An even orthogonal group \( O_{2\epsilon}(q) \) has an irreducible unipotent character if and only if \( n = m^2 \) and \( \epsilon = \text{sgn}((-1)^m) \) for some non-negative integer \( m \). And in this case, there are two irreducible unipotent cuspidal characters different by the sign character.

When \((G, G') = (U_n, U_{n'})\) or \((\text{Sp}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n'+1})\), the unipotent characters are preserved by the Howe correspondence, and the first occurrence of irreducible unipotent cuspidal characters are completely determined in [AM93] theorems 4.1 and 5.2. However, it is known that the unipotent characters are not preserved for the dual pair \((\text{Sp}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n'+1})\). So we need some new notations. An irreducible character \( \eta \) of \( G \) is called pseudo-unipotent if \( \langle \eta, R_{T_w} \theta_w \rangle \neq 0 \) for some \( T_w \) where \( \theta_w \) is an order 2 character of \( T_w \) (cf. [Pan16] subsection 3.3).

When \( G \) is unitary or orthogonal, the notion of pseudo-unipotent characters is not interesting, in fact an irreducible character \( \eta \) of \( G \) is pseudo-unipotent if and only if \( \eta_{\chi G} \) (cf. 3.6) is unipotent. However, we show that a symplectic group \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) \) has a pseudo-unipotent cuspidal character if and only if \( n = m^2 \) for some nonzero integer \( m \). Moreover, each \( \text{Sp}_{2n^2}(q), n \neq 0 \), has exactly two irreducible pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters. Then finally we have a complete picture of the Howe correspondence of unipotent or pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters for the dual pair of a symplectic group and an orthogonal group as follows (cf. Propositions 10.5, 10.6 and 10.8). Let \( \eta \leftrightarrow \eta' \) be a first
occurrence of cuspidal characters for the dual pair $(\text{Sp}_{2n}, \text{O}_{\nu'}_n)$ such that at least one of \(\eta, \eta'\) is unipotent or pseudo-unipotent.

1. For \(n'\) even, we have
   
   (a) \(n = m(m + 1)\) and \(n' = 2m^2\) or \(2(m + 1)^2\) depending on \(\epsilon\) for some \(m\), both \(\eta\) and \(\eta'\) unipotent;
   
   (b) \(n = m^2\) and \(n' = 2m^2\) for some \(m\), both \(\eta\) and \(\eta'\) pseudo-unipotent;
   
   (c) \(n = m^2\) and \(n' = 2m^2 + 2\) for some \(m\), \(\eta\) pseudo-unipotent, \(\eta'\) neither unipotent nor pseudo-unipotent.

2. For \(n'\) odd, we have
   
   (a) \(n = m^2, n' = 2m(m - 1) + 1\) or \(2m(m + 1) + 1\) for some \(m\), \(\eta\) pseudo-unipotent and \(\eta'\) unipotent;
   
   (b) \(n = m(m + 1)\) and \(n' = 2m(m + 1) + 1\) for some \(m\), \(\eta\) unipotent and \(\eta'\) pseudo-unipotent;
   
   (c) \(n = m(m + 1) + 1\) and \(n' = 2m(m + 1) + 1\) for some \(m\), \(\eta\) neither unipotent nor pseudo-unipotent, \(\eta'\) pseudo-unipotent.

1.7. The contents of this article are as follows. In Section 2 we first give the definition and notation which are used in this paper. Then we recall some basic properties of Deligne-Lusztig virtual character and the characterization of unipotent characters by Lusztig. In Section 3 we provide some results on uniform projection of an irreducible character of a symplectic group or an orthogonal group. In Section 4 we focus on the Lusztig correspondence and the decomposition of the uniform projection of the Weil character. In Section 5 we rephrase the result by Aubert-Michel-Rouquier on the Howe correspondence of irreducible unipotent characters for the dual pair of two unitary groups, and we also recall the commutativity between the Howe correspondence and Lusztig correspondence for this case. In Section 6 we prove our main result: Theorem 6.9 on the (almost) commutativity of Howe correspondence and Lusztig correspondence on irreducible characters for the dual pair of a symplectic group and an even orthogonal group. In Section 7 we consider the analogous result (Theorem 7.9) for the dual pair of a symplectic group and an odd orthogonal group. In Section 8 we discuss the “(non-)preservation principle” for the Howe correspondence of irreducible unipotent characters. In Section 9 we deduce the (non-)preservation principle for general irreducible characters from the correspondence of irreducible unipotent characters discussed in Section 8. In the final section, we define “pseudo-unipotent characters” for a symplectic and give a complete description of the Howe correspondence on unipotent and pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters.

When this article is nearly complete, the author has a chance to read the preprint [LW19] by Liu and Wang in which some results are overlapped with the results in Section 10 of this article. In particular, the “pseudo-unipotent characters” here are called “\(\theta\)-representations” in [LW19]. However, the approaches in two articles are somewhat different.

2. Unipotent Characters
2.1. Basic notations. Let \( f_q \) be a finite field of \( q \) elements where \( q \) is a power of an odd prime. Let \( G \) be a classical group defined over \( f_q \), \( F \) the corresponding Frobenius endomorphism, \( G = G^F \) the group of rational points.

Let \( \mathcal{E}(G) \) be the set of the characters of irreducible representations of \( G \). Let \( \mathcal{V}(G) \) be the space of complex-valued class functions on \( G \). Then \( \mathcal{V}(G) \) is an inner product space with \( \mathcal{E}(G) \) as an orthonormal basis. Let \( 1 = 1_G \) denote the trivial character of \( G \), and let \( \text{sgn} = \text{sgn}_G \) denote the sign character if \( G \) is an orthogonal group.

Let \( T_0 \) be a fixed maximally split rational maximal torus of \( G \), \( W = W_G = N(T_0)/T_0 \) the Weyl group of \( G \). For \( w \in W \), choose an element \( g \in G \) such that \( g^{-1}F(g) \in N(T_0) \) whose image in \( W \) is \( w \), and define \( T_w = gT_0g^{-1} \), a rational maximal torus of \( G \). Every rational maximal torus of \( G \) is \( G \)-conjugate to \( T_w \) for some \( w \in W \).

The Weyl groups \( W_{Sp_{2n}} \) and \( W_{SO_{2n+1}} \) is identified with the group \( W_n \) of permutations on the set \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n, n^*, (n-1)^*, \ldots, 1^* \} \) which commutes with the involution

\[
(1, 1^*) (2, 2^*) \cdots (n, n^*)
\]

where \((i, j)\) denote the transposition of \( i, j \). For \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \), let \( \rho_i = (i, i+1) (i^*, (i+1)^*) \) and let \( \sigma_n = (n, n^*) \). It is known that \( W_n \) is generated by \( \{ \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1}, \sigma_n \} \). The kernel \( W_n^+ \) of the homomorphism \( \varepsilon : W_n \to \{ \pm 1 \} \) given by \( \rho_i \mapsto 1 \) and \( \sigma_n \mapsto -1 \) is subgroup of index two and is generated by \( \{ \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1}, \sigma_n \rho_{n-1} \sigma_n \} \). Let \( W_n^- = W_n \setminus W_n^+ \). The mapping \( W_n^+ \to W_n^- \) given by \( x \mapsto x \sigma_n \) is a bijection. The Weyl group \( W_{SO_{2n}} \), where \( \varepsilon = \pm \) is identified with \( W_n^+ \).

For a finite set \( S \), let \( |S| \) denote the number of elements of \( S \).

2.2. Centralizer of a semisimple element. From [AMR96] subsection 1.B, we know that the centralizer in \( G \) of a semisimple element \( s \in G^0 \) can be described as follows. Suppose that \( G \) is a classical group of rank \( l \), and \( T_l \cong T_q \times \cdots \times T_q \) is a rational maximal torus where \( T_q \) denotes a fixed algebraic closure of \( f_q \). For \( s = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l) \in T_q^l \), let \( \nu_\lambda(s) \) denote the number of the \( \lambda_i \)'s which are equal to \( \lambda \), and let \( \langle \lambda \rangle \) denote the set of all roots in \( T_q \) of the irreducible polynomial of \( \lambda \) over \( f_q \). Now the group \( C_G(s) \) decomposed as a product

\[
C_G(s) = \prod_{\langle \lambda \rangle \subset \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l\}} G_{[\lambda]}(s)
\]

where \( G_{[\lambda]}(s) \) is a reductive quasi-simple group of rank equal to \( |\langle \lambda \rangle| \nu_\lambda(s) \).

- If \( G = U_l \) or if \( \lambda \neq \pm 1 \), then \( G_{[\lambda]}(s) \) is either a general linear group or a unitary group.
- If \( G = SO_{2l+1} \), then \( G_{[-1]}(s) \simeq O_{2l+1}^\pm(s) \) and \( G_{[1]}(s) \simeq SO_{2l+1}(s)^+ \)
- If \( G = O_{2l}^\pm \), then \( G_{[-1]}(s) \simeq O_{2l-1}^\pm(s) \) and \( G_{[1]}(s) \simeq O_{2l}^\pm(s) \).
- If \( G = Sp_{2l} \), then \( G_{[-1]}(s) \simeq Sp_{2l-1}(s) \) and \( G_{[1]}(s) \simeq Sp_{2l}(s) \).
2.3. Deligne-Lusztig virtual characters. For a rational maximal torus $T$ in a connected group $G$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{E}(T)$ where $T = T^F$, let $R_{T,\theta} = R_{T,\theta}^G$ denote the Deligne-Lusztig (virtual) character of $G$ defined in \cite{DL76}. An irreducible character $\chi$ of a group $G$ is called unipotent if $\langle \eta, R_{T,1}\rangle_G \neq 0$ for some rational maximal torus $T$ of $G$. Let $\mathcal{E}(G)$ denote the set of irreducible unipotent characters of $G$.

Now we recall some definitions from \cite{Lus78} 3.17, 3.19. Suppose that $G$ is connected. For a rational maximal torus $T$ of $G$, let $\mathcal{E}(G)_T$ denote the set of irreducible unipotent characters of $G$.

The Frobenius map $F$ acts on the Weyl group $W = W_G$ and on the set $\mathcal{E}(W)$ of irreducible characters of $W$. The set of fixed points is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(W)^F$. An irreducible representation $(\pi, V)$ of $W$ is in $\mathcal{E}(W)^F$ if and only if there exists a vector space isomorphism $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ such that the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{\pi(w)} & V \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi \\
V & \xrightarrow{\pi(F(w))} & V
\end{array}
\]

commutes for all $w \in W$. The isomorphism $\phi$ is unique up to a nonzero constant multiple.

For $(\pi, V) \in \mathcal{E}(W)^F$, we define

\[
R_{\pi, V}^G = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \text{Tr}(\phi \circ \pi(w), V) R_{T,\theta}^G.
\]

It is known that these $R_{\pi, V}^G$’s, for $(\pi, V) \in \mathcal{E}(W)^F$, form an orthonormal basis of the space of unipotent uniform class functions on $G$.

If $G$ is $Sp_{2n}$ or $SO_{2n}$, the action of $F$ is trivial, then $\phi$ is trivial and for an irreducible character $\chi$ of $W = W_n$ or $W_n^+$, we have

\[
R_{\chi}^{Sp_{2n}} = \frac{1}{|W_n|} \sum_{w \in W_n} \chi(w) R_{T,\theta}^{Sp_{2n}};
\]

\[
R_{\chi}^{SO_{2n}^+} = \frac{1}{|W_n^+|} \sum_{w \in W_n^+} \chi(w) R_{T,\theta}^{SO_{2n}^+}.
\]

Now we consider that case $G = SO_{2n}^-$. Identify $W_G = W_n^+$. The action $F: W_n^+ \rightarrow W_n^+$ is given by $x \mapsto \sigma_n x \sigma_n$, where $\sigma_n$ is given in Subsection 2.1. If $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(W_n)$, then the restriction of $\chi$ to $W_n^-$ is fixed by $F$ (but might not be irreducible) and the isomorphism $\phi$ in (2.2) can be chosen to be $\chi(\sigma_n)$, therefore (2.3) becomes

\[
R_{\chi}^{SO_{2n}^-} = \frac{1}{|W_n^-|} \sum_{w \in W_n^-} \chi(w \sigma_n) R_{T,\theta}^{SO_{2n}^-}.
\]

Now the mapping $w \mapsto w \sigma_n$ gives a bijection between $W_n^+$ and $W_n^-$, and we define $R_{T,\theta}^{SO_{2n}^-} = R_{T,\theta}^{SO_{2n}^+}$ for $w \in W_n^+$ by convention. So finally we have

\[
R_{\chi}^{SO_{2n}^+} = \frac{1}{|W_n|} \sum_{w \in W_n} \chi(w) R_{T,\theta}^{SO_{2n}^+}
\]

for any $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(W_n)$. 


2.4. Symbols and unipotent characters. In this subsection, we recall some basic definitions and properties of “symbols” introduced by Lusztig [Lus77].

A \( \beta \)-set \( A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \) is a finite subset (possibly empty) of non-negative integers written in (strictly) decreasing order, i.e., \( a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_m \). A symbol

\[
\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \end{pmatrix}
\]

is an (ordered) pair of two \( \beta \)-sets. The first row \( A \) is also denoted by \( \Lambda^* \), similarly, the second row \( B \) is denoted by \( \Lambda^* \). A symbol \( \Lambda \) is called reduced if \( 0 \notin \Lambda^* \cap \Lambda^* \); it is called degenerate if \( \Lambda^* = \Lambda^* \), and nondegenerate otherwise. The rank and the defect of \( \Lambda \) are defined by

\[
\text{rank}(\Lambda) = \sum_{a_i \in \Lambda^*} a_i + \sum_{b_j \in \Lambda^*} b_j - \left\lfloor \frac{(|\Lambda^*| + |\Lambda^*| - 1)}{2} \right\rfloor,
\]

\[
\text{def}(\Lambda) = |\Lambda^*| - |\Lambda^*|.
\]

Note that the definition of \( \text{def}(\Lambda) \) here is different from the original definition in [Lus77]. It is easy to check that

\[
\text{rank}(\Lambda) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{\text{def}(\Lambda)}{2} \right\rfloor
\]

for any symbol \( \Lambda \). A symbol \( \Lambda \) is called cuspidal if the equality in (2.4) holds. It is not difficult to check that \( \Lambda^t = (B^t, A^t) \) which is called the transpose of \( \Lambda \). On the set of symbols we define an equivalence relation generated by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \{ a + 1 \mid a \in A \} \cup \{0\} \\ \{ b + 1 \mid b \in B \} \cup \{0\} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

It is clear that two equivalent symbols have the same rank and the same defect. Moreover, each symbol is equivalent to a (unique) reduced symbol.

Let \( S \) denote the set of all (reduced) symbols, and let \( S_{n, \delta} \) denote the set of symbols of rank \( n \) and defect \( \delta \). And we define

\[
S_{Sp} = \{ \Lambda \in S \mid \text{def}(\Lambda) \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \}, \quad S_{Sp2n} = \{ \Lambda \in S_{Sp} \mid \text{rank}(\Lambda) = n \};
\]

\[
S_{O^+} = \{ \Lambda \in S \mid \text{def}(\Lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \}, \quad S_{O^+_{2n}} = \{ \Lambda \in S_{O^+} \mid \text{rank}(\Lambda) = n \};
\]

\[
S_{O^-} = \{ \Lambda \in S \mid \text{def}(\Lambda) \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \}, \quad S_{O^-_{2n}} = \{ \Lambda \in S_{O^-} \mid \text{rank}(\Lambda) = n \}.
\]

Then we have the following parametrization of irreducible unipotent characters from [Lus77] theorem 8.2:

**Proposition 2.5** (Lusztig). Let \( G \) be a symplectic group or an even orthogonal group. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between \( \hat{E}(G) \), and \( S_G \).

Then the irreducible unipotent character associated to the symbol \( \Lambda \) is denoted by \( \rho_\Lambda \).

It is known that \( \rho_\Lambda^t = \rho_\Lambda \cdot \text{sgn} \) when \( G \) is an orthogonal group.
2.5. **Partitions and bi-partitions.** For a partition \( \lambda = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r] \) with \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r > 0 \), we define \( \| \lambda \| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r \) and \( \ell(\lambda) = r \). For a partition \( \lambda = [\lambda_1] \), we define its transpose \( \lambda^t = [\lambda_j^*] \) by \( \lambda_j^* = |\{ i \mid \lambda_i \geq j \}| \) for \( j \in \mathbb{N} \).

For a \( \beta \)-set \( A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \), we define

\[
\Upsilon: \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \mapsto [a_1 - (m - 1), a_2 - (m - 2), \ldots, a_{m-1} - 1, a_m].
\]

Then \( \Upsilon(A) \) is a partition. Then it is easy to check that the map

\[
(2.6) \quad \Upsilon(\Lambda) = \left[ \Upsilon(\Lambda^+), \Upsilon(\Lambda^-) \right]
\]

induces a bijection

\[
\Upsilon: S_{n, \delta} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_2(n - \left(\frac{\delta - 1}{2}\right)(\delta + 1)) & \text{if } \delta \text{ is odd;} \\ \mathcal{P}_2(n - \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^2) & \text{if } \delta \text{ is even.} \end{cases}
\]

where \( \mathcal{P}_2(n) \) denotes the set of bi-partitions of \( n \), i.e., the set of ordered pair \([\lambda, \mu]\) of two partitions \( \lambda, \mu \) such that

\[
\|\lambda\| + \|\mu\| = n.
\]

In particular, \( \Upsilon \) induces a bijection from \( S_{n, 1} \) onto \( \mathcal{P}_2(n) \) and a bijection from \( S_{n, 0} \) onto \( \mathcal{P}_2(2n) \).

It is known that \( \mathcal{E}(W_n) \) is parametrized by \( \mathcal{P}_2(n) \) (cf. [GP00] theorem 5.5.6) and so the irreducible character associated to \([\lambda, \mu]\) is denoted by \( \chi_{[\lambda, \mu]} \). If \( \Sigma \in S_{n, 1} \) or \( S_{n, 0} \) so that \( \Upsilon(\Sigma) = [\lambda, \mu] \), then \( R_{\chi_{[\lambda, \mu]}} \) is also denoted by \( R_{\Sigma} \).

2.6. **Unipotent characters of \( Sp_{2n}(q) \).** In this subsection, let \( G = Sp_{2n}(q) \). A symbol \( Z = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) \) of defect 1 is called special if

\[
a_1 \geq b_1 \geq a_2 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_m \geq b_m \geq a_{m+1}.
\]

Let \( Z \) be a special symbol of defect 1. Let \( Z_1 = Z \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) be the subsymbol of “singles” in \( Z \). The degree of a special symbol \( Z \) of defect 1 is defined to be the non-negative integer \( \left\lfloor \frac{|Z_1| - 1}{2} \right\rfloor \). For \( M \subset Z_1 \), we define

\[
A_M = (Z \cap M) \cup M^*;
\]

\[
\mathcal{S}_Z = \{ A_M \mid M \subset Z_1, |M^*| \equiv |M| \pmod{2} \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{S}_{Z, 1} = \{ A_M \mid M \subset Z_1, |M^*| = |M| \}.
\]

It is clear that \( \mathcal{S}_Z \subset \mathcal{S}_{Sp} \).

For a special symbol \( Z = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) \) of defect 1, we define (cf. [Lus81] (2.5.2))

\[
(2.7) \quad a_Z = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} \min(a_i, a_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \min(b_i, b_j)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m+1, 1 \leq j \leq m} \min(a_i, b_j) - \frac{1}{6}m(m - 1)(4m + 1).
\]

For a symbol \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{Sp} \), let \( a_\Lambda = a_Z \) if \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_Z \) for some special symbol \( Z \) of defect 1.
Lemma 2.8. Let $Z = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$ be a special symbol of defect 1. If $m \geq 1$, then $a_Z > 0$.

Proof. Let

$$Z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} m, m - 1, \ldots, 1, 0 \\ m, m - 1, \ldots, 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} m + 1, m, \ldots, 1 \\ m - 1, m - 2, \ldots, 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

It is easy to check that $a_{Z_0} = m^2$ from (2.7). Now for a special symbol $Z = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$, we know that either $a_i \geq i - 1$ and $b_j \geq j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m + 1$ and $j = 1, \ldots, m$; or $a_1 \geq i$ and $b_j \geq j - 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m + 1$ and $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Hence it is easy to check that $a_Z \geq a_{Z_0} = m^2 \geq 1$ form (2.7).

The following result is from [Lus81] theorem 5.8.

Proposition 2.9 (Lusztig). Let $G = S_{2n}(q)$, $Z$ a special symbol of rank $n$, defect 1 and degree $d$. For $\Sigma \in S_{Z,1}$, we have

$$(R_{\Sigma}, \rho_\Lambda)_{\xi} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(\Sigma, \Lambda)} 2^{-d}, & \text{if } \Lambda \in S_Z; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : S_{Z,1} \times S_Z \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is given by $\langle \Lambda_N, \Lambda_M \rangle = |N \cap M| \pmod{2}$.

Proposition 2.9 means that we have decompositions

$$\mathcal{V}(G)_1 = \bigoplus_Z \mathcal{V}(G)_Z, \quad \mathcal{V}(G)_Z^1 = \bigoplus_Z \mathcal{V}(G)_Z^2$$

where $Z$ runs over all special symbols of rank $n$ and defect 1, $\mathcal{V}(G)_Z$ is the span of $\{ \rho_\Lambda | \Lambda \in S_Z \}$ and $\mathcal{V}(G)_Z^1$ is the span of $\{ R_\Sigma | \Sigma \in S_{Z,1} \}$.

Let $Z$ be a special symbol of defect 1 and degree $d$. An arrangement of $Z_1$ is a partition $\Phi$ of the $2d + 1$ singles in $Z_1$ into $d$ (disjoint) pairs and one isolated element such that each pair contains one element in the first row and one element in the second row of $Z_1$. A set $\Psi$ of some pairs (possibly empty) in $\Phi$ is called a subset of pairs of $\Phi$ and is denoted by $\Psi \leq \Phi$. For a subset of pairs $\Psi$ of an arrangement $\Phi$, we define

$$(2.10) \quad C_{\Phi, \Psi} = \{ \Lambda_M \in S_Z | |M \cap \Psi'| \equiv |(\Phi \setminus \Psi) \setminus \Psi'| \pmod{2} \text{ for all } \Psi' \leq \Phi \}$$

where $\Psi'$ denotes the first row of $\Psi'$. Such a subset $C_{\Phi, \Psi}$ of $S_Z$ is called a cell. From (2.10) we see that a symbol $\Lambda_M \in S_Z$ is in $C_{\Phi, \Psi}$ if and only if $M$ satisfies the following two conditions:

- $M$ contains either none or two elements of each pair in $\Psi$; and
- $M$ contains exactly one element of each pair in $\Phi \setminus \Psi$.

The following lemma is from [Pan19a] subsection 5.2:

Lemma 2.11. Let $Z$ be a special symbol of rank $n$ and defect 1.

(i) The class function $\sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_\Lambda$ of $S_{2n}(q)$ is uniform.
(ii) Let \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \) be two distinct symbols in \( S_Z \). There exists an arrangement \( \Phi \) of \( Z \) with two subsets of pairs \( \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \) such that \( \Lambda_1 \in C_{\Phi, \Psi_i} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) and \( C_{\Phi, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi, \Psi_2} = \emptyset \).

(iii) For any given \( \Lambda \in S_Z \), there exist two arrangements \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \) of \( Z \) with subsets of pairs \( \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \) respectively such that \( C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2} = \{ \Lambda \} \).

2.7. Unipotent characters of \( O_{2n}^-(q) \). In this subsection, let \( G = O_{2n}^-(q) \). A symbol \( Z = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) \) of defect 0 is called special if

\[
a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq \cdots \geq a_m.
\]

Let \( Z \) be a special symbol of defect 0 and let \( Z_1 \) be the subsymbol of singles. The the degree of \( Z \) is defined to be \( \frac{|Z|}{2} \). Define

\[
S^e_Z = \{ \Lambda_M \mid M \subset Z_1, |M^*| \equiv |M_e| \pmod{2} \},
\]

\[
S^o_Z = \{ \Lambda_M \mid M \subset Z_1, |M^*| \not\equiv |M_e| \pmod{2} \},
\]

\[
S_{Z,0} = \{ \Lambda_M \mid M \subset Z_1, |M^*| = |M_e| \}.
\]

It is clear that \( S^e_Z \subset S_{O^e} \). The following proposition is a modification for \( O_{2n}^-(q) \) from [Lus82] theorem 3.15 (cf. [Pan19a] proposition 3.19):

**Proposition 2.12** (Lusztig). Let \( Z \) be a non-degenerate special symbol of defect 0 and degree \( d \geq 1 \). For any \( \Sigma \in S_{Z,0} \), we have

\[
(R_{Z,0}^\Sigma, R_{Z}^\Sigma)_{O^e} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|(\Sigma, \Lambda)|} 2^{-(d-1)}, & \text{if } \Lambda \in S^e_Z; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Similar to the case of symplectic groups, we have decompositions

\[
V(G)_1 = \bigoplus_Z V(G)_{Z}, \quad V(G)^\downarrow_1 = \bigoplus_Z V(G)^\downarrow_{Z,1}
\]

where \( Z \) runs over all special symbols of rank \( n \) and defect 0, \( V(G)_Z \) is the span of \( \{ \rho_\Lambda \mid \Lambda \in S^e_Z \} \) and \( V(G)^\downarrow_Z \) is the span of \( \{ R_\Sigma \mid \Sigma \in S_{Z,0} \} \).

Let \( Z \) be a special symbol of defect 0 and degree \( d \). An arrangement of \( Z_1 \) is a partition \( \Phi \) of the 2d singles in \( Z_1 \) into \( d \) pairs such that each pair contains one element in the first row and one element in the second row of \( Z_1 \). The following two lemmas are from [Pan19a] subsection 5.3:

**Lemma 2.13.** Let \( Z \) be a special symbol of rank \( n \) and defect 0, and let \( \Phi \) be an arrangement of \( Z_1 \) with subsets of pairs \( \Psi, \Psi' \).

(i) \( \Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi} \) if and only if \( \Lambda^* \in C_{\Phi, \Psi} \).

(ii) The class function \( \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_\Lambda \) of \( O_{2n}^-(q) \) is uniform.

(iii) If \( \Psi, \Psi' \) are two distinct subsets of pairs of \( \Phi \), then \( C_{\Phi, \Psi} \cap C_{\Phi, \Psi'} = \emptyset \).

(iv) We have

\[
S^e_Z = \bigcup_{\Psi \notin \Phi, |\Psi\setminus\Phi| \text{ even}} C_{\Phi, \Psi} \quad \text{and} \quad S^o_Z = \bigcup_{\Psi \notin \Phi, |\Psi\setminus\Phi| \text{ odd}} C_{\Phi, \Psi}
\]
where $|\Phi \smallsetminus \Psi|$ means the number of pairs in $\Phi \smallsetminus \Psi$.

**Lemma 2.14.** Let $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ be two symbols in $S_2'$ such that $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2, \Lambda_2'$. There exists an arrangement $\Phi$ of $Z_1$ with admissible subsets of pairs $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$ such that $\Lambda_i, \Lambda_i' \in C_{\Phi, \Psi_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $C_{\Phi, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi, \Psi_2} = \emptyset$.

A subset of pairs $\Psi$ of an arrangement $\Phi$ is called admissible if $|\Phi \smallsetminus \Psi|$ is even when $\epsilon = +$; and $|\Phi \smallsetminus \Psi|$ is odd when $\epsilon = -$.

**Lemma 2.15.** Suppose $Z$ is a special symbol with singles $Z_1 = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d \\ t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_d \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$ be two arrangements of $Z_1$ defined by

$$\Phi_1 = \{ (t_1, s_1), (t_2, s_2), \ldots, (t_d, s_d) \}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_2 = \{ (t_1', s_1), (t_2', s_2), \ldots, (t_d', s_d) \}$$

Then for any subsets of pairs $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$ of $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$ respectively such that $|\Psi_1| \equiv |\Psi_2| \pmod{2}$, we have

$$|C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2}| = 2.$$

**Proof.** Let $\Psi_1$ be a subset of pairs in $\Phi_1$, $\Psi_2'$ a subset of pairs in $\Phi_2 \smallsetminus \{ (t_1, s_1) \}$, and $\Psi_2'' = \Psi_2 \cup \{ (t_1, s_1) \}$. Then $|\Psi_2''| = |\Psi_2'| + 1$.

Suppose that $\Lambda_M$ is in $C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2}$ where $\Psi_2 = \Psi_2'$ or $\Psi_2''$ for $M \subset Z_1$ such that $|M^-| \equiv |M_+| \pmod{2}$. From the two conditions before Lemma 2.11 we have the following:

1. if $s_i \in M$ and $(t_i, s_i) \leq \Psi_1$, then $t_i \in M$;
2. if $s_i \not\in M$ and $(t_i, s_i) \leq \Psi_1$, then $t_i \not\in M$;
3. if $s_i \in M$ and $(t_i, s_i) \not\leq \Psi_1$, then $t_i \not\in M$;
4. if $s_i \not\in M$ and $(t_i, s_i) \not\leq \Psi_1$, then $t_i \in M$;
5. if $t_i \in M$ and $(t_i, s_i) \leq \Psi_2$, then $s_{i+1} \in M$;
6. if $t_i \not\in M$ and $(t_i, s_i) \leq \Psi_2$, then $s_{i+1} \not\in M$;
7. if $t_i \in M$ and $(t_i, s_i) \not\leq \Psi_2$, then $s_{i+1} \not\in M$;
8. if $t_i \not\in M$ and $(t_i, s_i) \not\leq \Psi_2$, then $s_{i+1} \in M$

for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. This means that for any $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$, the set $M$ is uniquely determined by the “initial condition” whether $s_i$ belongs to $M$ or not before we apply the final condition “whether $(t_i, s_i) \in \Psi_2$ or not”. Moreover, before we apply the final condition, the both possible choices of $M$ are complement subsets to each other in $Z_1$. Now the final condition is either consistent with the other conditions or contradicts to the other conditions. This means that exactly one of the following two situations holds:

1. $|C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2'}| = 2$ and $|C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2''}| = 0$; or
2. $|C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2'}| = 0$ and $|C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2''}| = 2$.

By (i) of Lemma 2.13 we know that $C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2} = \emptyset$ if $|\Psi_1| \not\equiv |\Psi_2| \pmod{2}$. Therefore we must have $|C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2'}| = 2$ if $|\Psi_1| \equiv |\Psi_2| \pmod{2}$. \(\square\)
Lemma 2.16. For any \( \Lambda \in S'_Z \), there exist two arrangements \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \) of \( Z \) with subsets of pairs \( \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \) respectively such that
\[
C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \cap C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2} = \{ \Lambda, \Lambda' \}.
\]

Proof. Let \( \Lambda \in S'_Z \), and let \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \) be arrangements given in Lemma \[2.15\]. By (i) and (iv) of Lemma \[2.15\] there are subsets of pairs \( \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \) of \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \) respectively such that \( \Lambda, \Lambda' \) belong to both \( C_{\Phi_1, \Psi_1} \) and \( C_{\Phi_2, \Psi_2} \). Then the lemma follows from Lemma \[2.15\] immediately. \( \square \)

2.8. Howe correspondence and symbol correspondence. Let \( \lambda = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k] \) and \( \mu = [\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l] \) be two partitions with \( \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k \) and \( \mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l \). We denote
\[
\lambda \sqsubseteq \mu \quad \text{if} \quad \mu_i - 1 \leq \lambda_i \leq \mu_i \quad \text{for each} \quad i.
\]
The following lemma is from [Pan19a] lemma 2.15 and lemma 2.20:

Lemma 2.17. Let \( A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}, B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{m'}\} \) be two \( \beta \)-sets. Then \( \Upsilon(A)^i \preceq \Upsilon(B)^i \) if and only if either
\[
\begin{align*}
& (i) \quad m' = m \text{ and } b_1 \geq a_1 > b_2 \geq a_2 > \cdots > b_m \geq a_m; \text{ or} \\
& (ii) \quad m' = m + 1 \text{ and } b_1 \geq a_1 > b_2 \geq a_2 > \cdots > b_m > a_m \geq b_{m+1}.
\end{align*}
\]

We define two relations on the set of symbols:
\[
B^+ = \{ (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in S \times S \mid \Upsilon(\Lambda)^i \preceq \Upsilon(\Lambda')^i, \Upsilon(\Lambda)^i \preceq \Upsilon(\Lambda')^i \};
B^- = \{ (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in S \times S \mid \Upsilon(\Lambda)^i \preceq \Upsilon(\Lambda')^i, \Upsilon(\Lambda')^i \preceq \Upsilon(\Lambda)^i \}.
\]

Then we define
\[
(2.18) \quad B_{Sp, O^\epsilon} = B^+ \cap (\mathcal{S}_P \times \mathcal{S}_O), \quad B_{Sp, O^2n^\epsilon} = B' \cap (\mathcal{S}_{P2n} \times \mathcal{S}_{O^2n^\epsilon})
\]
where \( \epsilon = \pm \).

Lemma 2.19. (i) If \( (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B_{Sp, O^+} \), then \( \text{def}(\Lambda') = -\text{def}(\Lambda) + 1 \).

(ii) If \( (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B_{Sp, O^-} \), then \( \text{def}(\Lambda') = -\text{def}(\Lambda) - 1 \).

Proof. Write
\[
\Lambda = \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m_1} \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m_2} \end{array} \right); \quad \Lambda' = \left( \begin{array}{c} a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_{m'_1} \\ b'_1, b'_2, \ldots, b'_{m'_2} \end{array} \right).
\]

Suppose that \( (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B^+ \). Then we see that \( m'_1 = m_2, m_2 + 1 \) and \( m'_2 = m_1, m_1 - 1 \) by Lemma \[2.17\]. Hence we have
\[
(2.20) \quad -\text{def}(\Lambda) \leq \text{def}(\Lambda') \leq -\text{def}(\Lambda) + 2.
\]

Now suppose that \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_P \) and \( \Lambda' \in \mathcal{S}_O \). Then \( \text{def}(\Lambda) \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( \text{def}(\Lambda') \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), and \[2.20\] implies that \( \text{def}(\Lambda') = -\text{def}(\Lambda) + 1 \).

Next suppose that \( (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B^- \). Then we have
\[
(2.21) \quad -\text{def}(\Lambda) - 2 \leq \text{def}(\Lambda') \leq -\text{def}(\Lambda).
\]
Now suppose that \( \Lambda \in S_{\text{Sp}} \) and \( \Lambda' \in S_{\text{O}^-} \). Then \( \text{def}(\Lambda) \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( \text{def}(\Lambda') \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \), and (2.21) implies that \( \text{def}(\Lambda') = -\text{def}(\Lambda) - 1 \).

Now we consider the dual pair \((S_{\text{Sp}}^{2n}, O_{2n'}^-)\) over a finite field of odd characteristic and its Weil character \( \omega_{S_{\text{Sp}}^{2n}, O_{2n'}^-} \). Recall that \( \omega_{S_{\text{Sp}}^{2n}, O_{2n'}^-}^\dagger \) denotes the unipotent part of \( \omega_{S_{\text{Sp}}^{2n}, O_{2n'}^-} \). The following proposition is from [Pan19a] theorem 3.35:

**Proposition 2.22.** We have the decomposition

\[
\omega_{S_{\text{Sp}}^{2n}, O_{2n'}^-}^\dagger = \sum_{(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B_{S_{\text{Sp}}^{2n}, O_{2n'}^-}} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'}.
\]

The similar formulation of the Howe correspondence of irreducible unipotent characters for a unitary dual pair will be given in Proposition 5.13.

3. Uniform projection of a unipotent character

3.1. Uniform projection. Let \( G \) be a finite classical group. Recall that the space of class functions \( \mathcal{V}(G) \) is an inner product space with an orthonormal basis \( \mathcal{E}(G) \) with respect to the inner product \( \langle , \rangle \). Let \( \mathcal{V}^\dagger(G) \) denote the subspace of \( \mathcal{V}(G) \) spanned by all Deligne-Lusztig virtual characters \( R_{T, \theta} \). For \( f \in \mathcal{V}(G) \), let \( f^\dagger \) denote the orthogonal projection of \( f \) over \( \mathcal{V}^\dagger(G) \). A class function \( f \in \mathcal{V}(G) \) is called uniform if \( f = f^\dagger \), i.e., if \( f \in \mathcal{V}^\dagger(G) \).

If \( G \) is connected, it is well known that the trivial character \( 1_G \) and the character \( \chi_G^\text{reg} \) of regular representation are both uniform. For \( O_{2n'}^- \), because \( \chi_{O_{2n'}^-}^\text{reg} = \text{Ind}_{\text{SO}_{2n'}^-}^{O_{2n'}^-} \chi_{\text{SO}_{2n'}^-}^\text{reg} \), we see that the regular character of \( O_{2n'}^- \) is uniform by (2.1) and the following identity (cf. [Car85], corollary 7.5.6):

\[
\chi_{\text{reg}} = \frac{|G|}{|W_G|} \sum_{w \in W_G} e_G^T \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{E}(T_w)} R_{T_w, \theta}.
\]

**Lemma 3.1.** If \( f \) is a class function on \( G \), then \( f^\dagger(1) = f(1) \). In particular, if \( \eta \) is an irreducible character of \( G \), then \( \eta^\dagger \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \chi_{\text{reg}} \) denote the character of the regular representation of \( G \). Then \( \chi_{\text{reg}}(1) = |G| \) and \( \chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = 0 \) if \( g \neq 1 \). Moreover, we know that \( \chi_{\text{reg}} \) is uniform, so

\[
f(1) = \langle f, \chi_{\text{reg}} \rangle = \langle f^\dagger, \chi_{\text{reg}} \rangle = f^\dagger(1).
\]

Let \( \eta \) be an irreducible character of \( G \). Then \( \eta^\dagger(1) = \eta(1) \neq 0 \). Hence \( \eta^\dagger \neq 0 \). \( \square \)

The following lemma is well-known (cf. [Car85], theorem 7.3.8).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( \eta \) be an irreducible character of \( G \).

(i) If \( \eta \) is unipotent, then every component of \( \eta^\dagger \) is also unipotent.

(ii) If \( \eta \) is not unipotent, then none of the components of \( \eta^\dagger \) is unipotent.
3.2. Uniform projection for a symplectic group.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \) be two irreducible unipotent characters of \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) \). If \( \rho_1^g = \rho_2^g \), then \( \rho_1 = \rho_2 \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \rho_1 = \rho_{\Lambda_1} \) and \( \rho_2 = \rho_{\Lambda_2} \) for some \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Sp}_{2n}} \). Since \( \rho_1^g = \rho_{\Lambda_1}^g \), by Proposition 4.6 we have \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{S}_Z \) for some special symbol \( Z \) of rank \( n \) and defect 1.

For any arrangement \( \Phi \) of \( Z_1 \) with a subset of pairs \( \Psi \), we have

\[
\left\langle \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_{\Lambda}, \rho_{\Lambda_1} \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_{\Lambda}, \rho_{\Lambda_2}^g \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_{\Lambda}, \rho_{\Lambda_2} \right\rangle
\]

because \( \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_{\Lambda} \) is uniform by Lemma 2.11.

If \( \Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2 \), by Lemma 2.11 we can find \( \Phi, \Psi \) such that \( \Lambda_1 \in C_{\Phi, \Psi} \) and \( \Lambda_2 \not\in C_{\Phi, \Psi} \), and hence

\[
\left\langle \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_{\Lambda}, \rho_{\Lambda_1} \right\rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\langle \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_{\Lambda}, \rho_{\Lambda_2} \right\rangle = 0.
\]

We get a contradiction. \( \square \)

3.3. Uniform projection for an orthogonal group. For an orthogonal group, both \( 1_{O_n} \) and \( \text{sgn}_{O_n} \) are not uniform and

\[
1_{O_n}^g = \frac{1}{2}(1_{O_n} + \text{sgn}_{O_n}) = \text{sgn}_{O_n}^g
\]

by the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose \( \eta \) is an irreducible character of \( \text{SO}_n(q) \) such that \( \text{Ind}_{\text{SO}_n(q)}^{O_n(q)} \eta \) is the direct sum \( \eta_1 + \eta_2 \) of two irreducible characters \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \) of \( O_n(q) \). Then \( \eta_1^g = \eta_2^g \).

**Proof.** Fix an element \( \sigma \in O_n(q) \setminus \text{SO}_n(q) \). Since \( \text{SO}_n(q) \) is a normal subgroup of index 2 of \( O_n(q) \), by the basic theory of induced characters (cf. [Ser77] proposition 20) we know that

\[
[\text{Ind}_{\text{SO}_n(q)}^{O_n(q)} \phi](y) = \frac{1}{[\text{SO}_n(q) : O_n(q)]} \sum_{t \in O_n(q), t^{-1}y \in \text{SO}_n(q)} \phi(t^{-1}yt)
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
\phi(y) + \phi(\sigma^{-1}y\sigma), & \text{if } y \in \text{SO}_n(q); \\
0, & \text{if } y \in O_n(q) \setminus \text{SO}_n(q)
\end{cases}
\]

for any class function \( \phi \) on \( \text{SO}_n(q) \). In particular, we have \( R_{T, 0}^{O_n} \eta_2 = 0 \) if \( y \in O_n(q) \setminus \text{SO}_n(q) \). Now we know that \( \eta_2 = \eta_1 \cdot \text{sgn} \) and

\[
\eta_1|_{\text{SO}_n(q)} = \eta_2|_{\text{SO}_n(q)} = \eta.
\]
Thus
\[
\langle \eta, R_{T,\theta}^{O_n^1} \rangle_{O_n^1(q)} = \frac{1}{|O_n^1(q)|} \sum_{y \in O_n^1(q)} \eta_1(y) R_{T,\theta}^{O_n^1}(y^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|O_n^1(q)|} \sum_{y \in SO_n^1(q)} \eta_1(y) R_{T,\theta}^{O_n^1}(y^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|O_n^1(q)|} \sum_{y \in SO_n^1(q)} \eta_2(y) R_{T,\theta}^{O_n^1}(y^{-1}) = \langle \eta_2, R_{T,\theta}^{O_n^1} \rangle_{O_n^1(q)}
\]
for any \((T, \theta)\). Because the subspace of uniform class function on \(O_n^1(q)\) is spanned the \(R_{T,\theta}^{O_n^1}\)’s, the proposition is proved.

\[\square\]

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \(\rho_1, \rho_2\) be two irreducible unipotent characters of \(O_{2n}^1(q)\) for \(n \geq 1\). If \(\rho_1^2 = \rho_2^2\), then either \(\rho_1 = \rho_2\) or \(\rho_1 = \rho_2 \cdot \text{sgn}\).

**Proof.** Suppose that \(\rho_1 = \rho_{\Lambda_1}\) and \(\rho_2 = \rho_{\Lambda_2}\) for some \(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in SO_{2n}\). By the similar argument in the proof of Proposition 3.3, we know that \(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in S_2^G\) for some special symbol \(Z\) of rank \(n\) and defect 0. Moreover, we have
\[
\left\langle \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_{\Lambda}, \rho_{\Lambda_1} \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}} \rho_{\Lambda}, \rho_{\Lambda_2} \right\rangle
\]
for any arrangement \(\Phi\) of \(Z_1\) with subset of pairs \(\Psi\).

If \(\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2, \Lambda_2^t\), then by Lemma 2.14 we can find \(\Phi, \Psi\) such that \(\Lambda_1 \in C_{\Phi, \Psi}\) and \(\Lambda_2 \notin C_{\Phi, \Psi}\), and we get a contradiction. Therefore we must have \(\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2\) or \(\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2^t\). If \(\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2\), then \(\rho_1 = \rho_2\); if \(\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2^t\), then \(\rho_1 = \rho_2 \cdot \text{sgn}\). \(\square\)

### 3.4. Uniform projection of \(\chi_G\).

Recall that in [Pan16] subsection 3.3, for \(w \in G\), we define a character \(\theta_w\) of \(T_w\) of order two. Then we show that the class function
\[
(3.6) \quad \chi_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{w \in G} R_{T_w, \theta_w}^G
\]
is in fact a linear character if \(G\) is a general linear, unitary or special orthogonal group, in particular, \(\chi_G\) is uniform for these groups. Note that \(\chi_G\) is the linear character of order 2 corresponding to the element \(-1\) in the center of \(G^*\) (cf. [DM91] proposition 13.30). We know that \(\chi_G|_{T_w} = \theta_w\), and hence
\[
(3.7) \quad R_{T_w, \theta_w}^G = \chi_G R_{T_w, \theta_w}^G
\]
for any \((T_w, \theta)\). Note that \(\chi_G\) is not a linear character if \(G\) is a symplectic group.

Now we consider an orthogonal group \(O_n^1\). We know that \(\text{Ind}_{SO_n^1}^{O_n^1} \chi_{SO_n^1}\) is the sum of two characters which differ by the \(\text{sgn}\) character. We will denote these two characters by \(\chi_{O_n^1}\) and \(\chi_{O_n^1} \cdot \text{sgn}\). Hence, we have
\[
\chi_{O_n^1} + \chi_{O_n^1} \cdot \text{sgn} = \text{Ind}_{SO_n^1}^{O_n^1} \left[ \frac{1}{|SO_n^1|} \sum_{w \in SO_n^1} R_{T_w, \theta_w}^{SO_n^1} \right] = \frac{1}{|SO_n^1|} \sum_{w \in SO_n^1} \text{Ind}_{SO_n^1}^{O_n^1} R_{T_w, \theta_w}^{SO_n^1} = \frac{1}{|SO_n^1|} \sum_{w \in SO_n^1} R_{T_w, \theta_w}^{SO_n^1}.
\]
The linear character $\chi_{O_n}$ is not uniform, in fact by Proposition 3.4 we have

$$\chi_{O_n}^\sharp = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_{O_n} + \chi_{O_n} \cdot \text{sgn}) = (\chi_{O_n} \cdot \text{sgn})^\sharp$$

**Lemma 3.8.** If $f$ is a uniform class function on $O_n^\prime(q)$, then $f\chi_{O_n}$ is also uniform.

**Proof.** Suppose that $f = \sum_{v \in W_{SO_n}} \sum_{\theta \in E(T_v)} a_{v,\theta} R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n}$ for some coefficients $a_{v,\theta}$. Then

$$f\chi_{O_n} = \sum_{v \in W_{SO_n}} \sum_{\theta \in E(T_v)} a_{v,\theta} \chi_{O_n} R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n} = \sum_{v \in W_{SO_n}} \sum_{\theta \in E(T_v)} a_{v,\theta} R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n}.$$

□

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $\eta$ be an irreducible character of $O_n^\prime(q)$. Then

$$(\eta \chi_{O_n})^\sharp = \eta^\sharp \chi_{O_n}.$$ 

**Proof.** For any $v \in W_{SO_n}$ and $\theta \in E(T_v)$, we have

$$\langle \eta \chi_{O_n}, R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n} \rangle_{O_n} = \frac{1}{|O_n(q)|} \sum_{y \in O_n(q)} \eta(y) \chi_{O_n}(y) R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n}(y^{-1}).$$

Because $\chi_{O_n}(y) = \chi_{O_n}(y^{-1})$ and $\chi_{O_n} R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n} = R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n}$ (cf. (3.4)), we have

$$\langle \eta \chi_{O_n}, R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n} \rangle_{O_n} = \langle \eta, R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n} \rangle_{O_n} = \langle \eta^\sharp, R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n} \rangle_{O_n} = (\eta^\sharp \chi_{O_n}, R_{T_v,\theta}^{O_n}).$$

This means that $\eta \chi_{O_n}$ and $\eta^\sharp \chi_{O_n}$ have the same uniform projection. Now by Lemma 3.8 we know that $\eta^\sharp \chi_{O_n}$ is already uniform, so the lemma is proved. □

3.5. **Uniform projection of $R_G$.** Let $R_G$ be the character of $G \times G$ given by

$$R_G = \sum_{\eta \in E(G)} \eta \otimes \eta$$

with unipotent part

$$R_{G,1} = \sum_{\eta \in E(G)_1} \eta \otimes \eta.$$ 

Hence we have the uniform projection $R_G^\sharp = \sum_{\eta \in E(G)} \eta^\sharp \otimes \eta^\sharp$. From [AMR96] proposition 1.9, when $G$ is connected, we have

$$R_G^\sharp = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{w \in W_G} \sum_{\theta \in E(T_w)} R_{T_w,\theta}^G \otimes R_{T_w,\theta}^G.$$ 

Then the unipotent part of $R_G^\sharp$ is

$$R_{G,1}^\sharp = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{w \in W_G} R_{T_w,1}^G \otimes R_{T_w,1}^G.$$ (3.10)
3.5.1. For a symplectic group. Let $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}$ and let $Z$ be a special symbol of rank $n$ and defect 1.

\[ R_{G,1} = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G)_{1}} \rho \otimes \rho = \sum_{Z} R_{G,Z} \]

where $R_{G,Z} = \sum_{\Lambda \in S_Z} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda}$ and $Z$ runs over all special symbols of rank $n$ and defect 1.

**Lemma 3.11.** Let $Z$ be a special symbol of defect 1. Then

\[ \left( \sum_{\Lambda \in S_Z} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} \right)^{\sharp} = \sum_{\Sigma \in S_{Z,1}} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma}. \]

**Proof.** Suppose that $\deg(Z) = d$. For $\Lambda \in S_Z$, we know that

\[ \rho_{\Lambda}^{\sharp} = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{\Sigma \in S_{Z,1}} (-1)^{(\Sigma,\Lambda)} R_{\Sigma} \]

by Proposition 2.9. Therefore, we can write

\[ \sum_{\Lambda \in S_Z} \rho_{\Lambda}^{\sharp} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda}^{\sharp} = \sum_{\Sigma \in S_{Z,1}} \sum_{\Sigma' \in S_{Z,1}} c_{\Sigma,\Sigma'} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma'} \]

where

\[ c_{\Sigma,\Sigma'} = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{\Lambda \in S_Z} (-1)^{(\Sigma,\Lambda) + (\Sigma',\Lambda)}. \]

Hence by [Pan19a] lemma 4.5, we have

\[ c_{\Sigma,\Sigma'} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \Sigma' = \Sigma; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

Then the proposition is proved. \(\square\)

Therefore, by Lemma 3.11, we have

\[ R_{G,1}^{\sharp} = \sum_{Z} R_{G,Z}^{\sharp} = \sum_{Z} \sum_{\Sigma \in S_{Z,1}} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma} = \sum_{\Sigma \in S_{n,1}} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma}. \]

**Lemma 3.12.** Let $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}$, and let $Z$ be a special symbol of rank $n$ and defect 1. Suppose that $\Omega_Z$ is a unipotent character of $G \times G$ such that $\Omega_Z^{\sharp} = R_{G,Z}^{\sharp}$. Then $\Omega_Z = R_{G,Z}$.

**Proof.** From the assumption and Lemma 3.11 we have

\[ \Omega_Z^{\sharp} = \left( \sum_{\Lambda \in S_Z} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} \right)^{\sharp} = \sum_{\Sigma \in S_{Z,1}} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma}. \]

By Proposition 2.9 we can write

\[ \Omega_Z = \sum_{\Lambda,\Lambda' \in S_Z} a_{\Lambda,\Lambda'} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'} \]

where $a_{\Lambda,\Lambda'} = \langle \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'}, \Omega_Z \rangle$. By (i) of Lemma 2.11 the class function

\[ \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\psi,\phi}} \sum_{\Lambda' \in C_{\psi',\phi'}} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'} \]
on \( G \times G \) is uniform for any arrangements \( \varPhi, \varPhi' \) of \( \mathcal{Z}_1 \) with subsets of pairs \( \varPsi, \varPsi' \) respectively. Then we have

\[
\sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi, \varPsi}} \sum_{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi', \varPsi'}} a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} = \left\langle \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi, \varPsi}} \sum_{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi', \varPsi'}} \rho_\Lambda \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'}, \Omega_Z \right\rangle
\]

by Lemma 3.11. For a symbol \( \Lambda'' \in \mathcal{S}_Z \) to contribute a multiplicity, we need that \( \Lambda'' = \Lambda \) for some \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi, \varPsi} \) and \( \Lambda'' = \Lambda' \) for some \( \Lambda' \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi', \varPsi'} \), i.e., we need \( \Lambda'' \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi, \varPsi} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi', \varPsi'} \). Therefore, we have

\[
(3.13) \quad \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi, \varPsi}} \sum_{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi', \varPsi'}} a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} = |\mathcal{C}_{\varPsi, \varPsi} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi', \varPsi'}|
\]

Now suppose that \( \Lambda' \neq \Lambda \), by (ii) of Lemma 3.11 we can find two arrangements \( \varPhi, \varPhi' \) with subset of pairs \( \varPsi, \varPsi' \) respectively such that \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi, \varPsi} \) and \( \Lambda' \in \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi', \varPsi'} \) and \( |\mathcal{C}_{\varPsi, \varPsi} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\varPsi', \varPsi'}| = 0 \). Because each \( a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} \) is non-negative, we conclude that \( a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} = 0 \) by (3.13). Moreover, for any \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_Z \), by (iii) of Lemma 2.11 (3.13) can be reduced to \( a_{\Lambda, \Lambda} = 1 \).

\[\square\]

**Proposition 3.14.** Let \( G = \text{Sp}_{2n} \). If \( \Omega \) is a unipotent character of \( G \times G \) such that \( \Omega'' = R_{G_1}^j \), then \( \Omega = R_{G_1} \).

**Proof.** Note that \( \mathcal{V}(G)_1 = \bigoplus_Z \mathcal{V}(G)_Z \) and hence

\[
\mathcal{V}(G)_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}(G)_1 = \bigoplus_{Z, Z'} \mathcal{V}(G)_Z \otimes \mathcal{V}(G)_{Z'}
\]

where \( Z, Z' \) run over all special symbols of rank \( n \) and defect 1. Then, as an element in \( \mathcal{V}(G)_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}(G)_1 \), write \( \Omega = \sum_{Z, Z'} \Omega_{Z, Z'} \) where \( \Omega_{Z, Z'} \) is the projection of \( \Omega \) over the subspace \( \mathcal{V}(G)_Z \otimes \mathcal{S}(G)_{Z'} \). Then \( \Omega'' = \sum_{Z, Z'} \Omega_{Z, Z'}^Z \). Therefore if \( Z \neq Z' \), then we have \( \Omega_{Z, Z'}^Z = 0 \) and hence \( \Omega_{Z, Z'} = 0 \). Now \( \Omega_{Z, Z}^Z = R_{G, Z}^j \). Then \( \Omega_{Z, Z} = R_{G, Z} \) by Lemma 3.12. Therefore

\[
\Omega = \sum_Z \Omega_{Z, Z} = \sum_Z R_{G, Z} = R_{G, 1}.
\]

\[\square\]
3.5.2. For an even orthogonal group. From [AMR96] proposition 1.15, we have
\[
R_{O_{2n},1}^0 = \frac{1}{|W_n|} \sum_{w \in W_n^0} R_{T_w,1}^{O_{2n}} \otimes R_{T_w,1}^{O_{2n}}.
\]

Let \( S_{n,0} \) be a complete set of representatives of subsets \( \{ \Sigma, \Sigma' \} \) in \( S_{n,0} \). Similarly, let \( \bar{S}_{O_{2n}} \) be a complete set of representatives of subsets \( \{ \Lambda, \Lambda' \} \) in \( S_{O_{2n}} \).

**Lemma 3.16.** Let \( Z \) be a special symbol of defect 0. Then
\[
\left( \sum_{\Lambda \in \bar{S}_{O_{2n}}} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} \right)^\sharp = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Sigma \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma}.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \deg(Z) = d \). For \( \Lambda \in \bar{S}_{Z,0} \), we know that
\[
\rho_{\Lambda}^\sharp = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{\Sigma \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} (-1)^{\langle \Sigma, \Lambda \rangle} R_{\Sigma}
\]
by Proposition 2.12. Therefore, we can write
\[
\sum_{\Lambda \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} \rho_{\Lambda}^\sharp \otimes \rho_{\Lambda}^\sharp = \sum_{\Sigma \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} \sum_{\Sigma' \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} c_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma'}
\]
where
\[
c_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{\Lambda \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} (-1)^{\langle \Sigma, \Lambda \rangle + \langle \Sigma', \Lambda \rangle}.
\]
Hence by [Pan19a] lemma 4.12, we have
\[
c_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \Sigma' = \Sigma; \\
0, & \text{otherwise}. 
\end{cases}
\]
Note that \( \Sigma, \Sigma' \) are chosen from \( \bar{S}_{Z,0} \), so \( \Sigma' \neq \Sigma \). Then the proposition is proved. \(\square\)

Similar to the case of symplectic groups, for \( G = O_{2n} \), by Lemma 3.16, we have
\[
R_{G,1}^0 = \sum_Z R_{G,Z}^0 = \sum_Z \left( \sum_{\Lambda \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} \right)^\sharp = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Sigma \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} \sum_{\Sigma' \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma'} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Sigma \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma'}.
\]

**Lemma 3.17.** Let \( G = O_{2n} \), and let \( Z \) be a special symbol of rank \( n \) and defect 0. Suppose that \( \Omega_Z \) is a unipotent character of \( G \times G \) such that
\[
\Omega_Z^\sharp = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Sigma \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} R_{\Sigma} \otimes R_{\Sigma}.
\]
Then
\[
\Omega_Z = \sum_{\Lambda \in \bar{S}_{Z,0}} \left[ a_{\Lambda, \Lambda} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda} \rho_{\Lambda'} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} + a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda'} \rho_{\Lambda'} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'} \right]
\]
such that each \( a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} \) is a non-negative integer and \( a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda} + a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda'} = 2 \).
Proof. Write
\[ \Omega_Z = \sum_{\Lambda, \Lambda' \in S_2} a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'} \]
where \( a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} = (\rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho^*_{\Lambda'}, \Omega_Z) \). By the same argument in the proof of Lemma 3.12 for any arrangements \( \Phi, \Phi' \) of \( Z_1 \) with subsets of pairs \( \Psi, \Psi' \) respectively, we have
\[ \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\phi, \psi}} \sum_{\Lambda' \in C_{\phi', \psi'}} a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} = \left( \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\phi, \psi}} \sum_{\Lambda' \in C_{\phi', \psi'}} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'}, \sum_{\Lambda'' \in S_2} \rho_{\Lambda''} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda''} \right) \]
by Lemma 3.16. Therefore, we have
\[ (3.18) \sum_{\Lambda \in C_{\phi, \psi}} \sum_{\Lambda' \in C_{\phi', \psi'}} a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} = |C_{\phi, \psi} \cap C_{\phi', \psi'}| \]
for any arrangements \( \Phi, \Phi' \) of \( Z_1 \) with subsets of pairs \( \Psi, \Psi' \) respectively.

Now suppose that \( \Lambda' \neq \Lambda, \Lambda' \), by Lemma 2.13 we can find two arrangements \( \Phi, \Phi' \) with subset of pairs \( \Psi, \Psi' \) respectively such that \( \Lambda, \Lambda' \in C_{\Phi, \Psi} \) and \( \Lambda', \Lambda'' \in C_{\Phi', \Psi'} \) and \( |C_{\Phi, \Psi} \cap C_{\Phi', \Psi'}| = 0 \). Because each \( a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} \) is non-negative, we conclude that
\[ a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} = a_{\Lambda', \Lambda'} = a_{\Lambda, \Lambda''} = a_{\Lambda', \Lambda''} = 0 \]
by (3.18). Moreover, for any \( \Lambda \in S_2 \), by Lemma 3.16 (3.18) can be reduced to
\[ a_{\Lambda, \Lambda} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda} + a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda'} = 2. \]

\[ \square \]

Proposition 3.19. Let \( G = O_{2n}^t \). If \( \Omega \) is a unipotent character of \( G \times G \) such that \( \Omega^G = R_{G,1}^G \), then
\[ \Omega = \sum_{\Lambda \in S_{10}} \left[ a_{\Lambda, \Lambda} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} + a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'} + a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda'} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda} \rho_{\Lambda'} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} \right] \]
such that each \( a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} \) is a non-negative integer and
\[ a_{\Lambda, \Lambda} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda} + a_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} + a_{\Lambda', \Lambda'} = 2. \]

Proof. We know that \( \Omega = \sum Z \Omega_{Z,Z} \) where \( Z \) runs over all special symbols of rank \( n \) and defect 0, and \( \Omega_{Z,Z} \) is the projection of \( \Omega \) over the subspace \( \mathcal{V}(G)_Z \otimes \mathcal{V}(G)_Z \). The the proposition follows from Lemma 3.17 immediately. \[ \square \]

4. Uniform Projection of the Weil Character

4.1. Lusztig correspondence. Let \( G^* \) denote the dual group of \( G \). The Frobenius endomorphism of \( G^* \) is still denoted by \( F \), and \( G^* = G^{*F} \) the group of rational points. It is known that there is a bijection between the set of \( G \)-conjugacy classes of \( (T, \theta) \) where \( \theta \in \mathcal{E}(T) \) and the set of \( G^* \)-conjugacy classes of \( (T^*, s) \) where \( T^* \) is a rational maximal torus in \( G^* \) and \( s \in T^* = T^{*F} \). If \( (T, \theta) \) is corresponding to \( (T^*, s) \), then \( R_{T, \theta} \) is also denoted by \( R_{T^*, s} \).

For a semisimple element \( s \in (G^*)^0 \), define
\[ \mathcal{E}(G)_s = \{ \chi \in \mathcal{E}(G) \mid \langle \chi, R_{T^*, s} \rangle \neq 0 \text{ for some } T^* \text{ containing } s \}. \]
The set $\mathcal{E}(G)_s$ is called a Lusztig series, and it is known that $\mathcal{E}(G)$ is partitioned into Lusztig series indexed by the conjugacy classes $(s)$ of semisimple elements $s$ in $(G^*)^0$, i.e.,

\[(4.1)\quad \mathcal{E}(G) = \bigsqcup_{(s) \subset (G^*)^0} \mathcal{E}(G)_s.\]

An irreducible character of $G$ is unipotent if it is in $\mathcal{E}(G)_s^1$. For any semisimple element $s \in G^*$, let $\mathcal{V}(G)_s$ denote the linear span of the set $\mathcal{E}(G)_s$. From (4.1), we have an orthogonal decomposition

\[\mathcal{V}(G) = \bigoplus_{(s) \subset (G^*)^0} \mathcal{V}(G)_s.\]

The following result (cf. [DM91] theorem 13.23, remark 13.24) is fundamental for the classification of $\mathcal{E}(G)_s$:

**Proposition 4.2** (Lusztig). There is a bijection $\mathfrak{L}_s : \mathcal{E}(G)_s \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(s))^1$ satisfying the condition

\[(4.3)\quad \langle \eta, \epsilon_G r \hat{\rho}_{T^*}^G \rangle_G = \langle \mathfrak{L}_s(\eta), \epsilon_{C_{G^*}(s)} r \hat{\rho}_{T^*}^{C_{G^*}(s)} \rangle_{C_{G^*}(s)}\]

for any rational maximal torus $T^*$ containing $s$ where $C_{G^*}(s)$ denotes the centralizer of $s$ in $G^*$. Moreover, we have

\[(4.4)\quad \dim \eta = \frac{|G|_{p'} - 1}{|C_{G^*}(s)|_{p'}} \dim \mathfrak{L}_s(\eta)\]

where $|G|_{p'}$ denotes greatest factor of $|G|$ not divided by $p$, $\epsilon_G = (-1)^r$ where $r$ is the $f_q$-rank of $G$.

The bijection $\mathfrak{L}_s$ is called the Lusztig correspondence. Note that the correspondence $\mathfrak{L}_s$ is usually not uniquely determined. The following proposition follows from [Lus84] (9.9.1) (see also [Pan19b] proposition 3.3):

**Proposition 4.5** (Lusztig). The Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(G)_s$ has a cuspidal representation if and only if $\mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(s))^1_1$ has a cuspidal representation and the largest $f_q$-split torus in the center of $C_{G^*}(s)$ coincides with the largest $f_q$-split torus in the center of $G^*$. In this case, $\eta$ is cuspidal if and only if $\mathfrak{L}_s(\eta)$ is cuspidal.

From (4.3) we see that the bijection $\mathfrak{L}_s$ can be extended by linearity to be an isometry, still denoted by $\mathfrak{L}_s$:

\[\mathfrak{L}_s : \mathcal{V}(G)_s \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}(C_{G^*}(s))^1_1.\]

Moreover, by (4.3) the isometry maps $\mathcal{V}(G)_s^\#$ onto $\mathcal{V}(C_{G^*}(s))^1_1^\#$, and we have

\[(4.6)\quad \mathfrak{L}_s(\eta^\#) = \mathfrak{L}_s(\eta)^\#\]

for any $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s$.
Suppose \( G \) is special orthogonal or orthogonal. Note that \( R_{T,s}^G \chi_G = R_{T^{-1},-s}^G \) from \([6.7]\). Hence, if \( \eta \) is in \( \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) for some \( s \), then \( \eta \chi_G \) is also an irreducible character and is in \( \mathcal{E}(G)_{-s} \). It is clear that \( C_{G^*}(s) = C_{G^*}(-s) \), and \([4.3]\) implies that
\[
\mathcal{L}_s(R_{T,s}^G) = \epsilon_G c_{C_{G^*}(s)} R_{C_{G^*}(-s)}^G = \mathcal{L}_{-s}(R_{T^{-1},-s}^G) = \mathcal{L}_{-s}(R_{T,s}^G \chi_G).
\]

Therefore the composition \( \mathcal{L}_{-s}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_s \) gives a bijection \( \mathcal{E}(G)_s \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)_{-s} \) by \( \eta \rightarrow \eta \chi_G \).

Clearly, \( \eta \) is cuspidal if and only if \( \eta \chi_G \) is cuspidal.

**4.2. Uniform projection of the Weil character.** Recall that we fix a nontrivial additive character \( \psi \) of \( f_q \). Other additive character of \( f_q \) is the form \( \psi_a(x) := \psi(ax) \). The Weil character \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}} \) depends on \( \psi \) and will be denoted by \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi \) if we want to emphasize the role of \( \psi \). It is known that \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi \simeq \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^{\psi_a} \) if \( a \) is a square in \( f_q \), and \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi \not\simeq \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^{\psi_a} \) otherwise. We shall see that the uniform projection \( (\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi)^d \) does not depend on the character \( \psi \) of \( f_q \).

It is known that \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi \) is the sum \( \chi_{\frac{a^n+1}{2}}^{(1)} + \chi_{\frac{a^n-1}{2}}^{(1)} \) of two irreducible characters of degree \( \frac{a^n+1}{2} \) and \( \frac{a^n-1}{2} \) respectively. Similarly, \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi = \chi_{\frac{a^n+1}{2}}^{(2)} + \chi_{\frac{a^n-1}{2}}^{(2)} \).

**Lemma 4.7.** The irreducible character \( \chi_{\frac{a^n+1}{2}}^{(i)} \), for \( i = 1, 2 \), of \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) \) is not unipotent.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \chi_{\frac{a^n+1}{2}}^{(i)} \) is unipotent. Then \( \chi_{\frac{a^n+1}{2}}^{(i)} = \rho_A \) for some symbol \( A \) of rank \( n \) and odd defect. Let \( Z \) be the special symbol associated to \( A \), and let \( d \) be the degree of \( Z \). Now we know that
\[
2^d \deg \rho_A \equiv q^a \pmod{q^{a+1}}
\]
by \cite{Lus81} lemma 5.2. So we must have \( d = 1 \) and \( a_A = 0 \). But now \( n \geq d = 1 \), we have \( a_A = a_Z > 0 \) by Lemma \([2.8]\) and we get a contradiction. Therefore \( \chi_{\frac{a^n+1}{2}}^{(i)} \) is not unipotent. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.9.** Let \( a \) be a non-square element in \( f_q^\times \). Then
\[
(\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi)^d = (\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi)^d = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}^\psi.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \eta = \chi_{\frac{a^n+1}{2}}^{(1)} \) or \( \chi_{\frac{a^n-1}{2}}^{(2)} \). Then \( \eta \) is in the Lusztig series \( \mathcal{E}(\text{Sp}_{2n}(q))_{s^+} \) for some semisimple element \( s^+ \) in \( \text{SO}_{2n+1}(q) \). Hence by \([4.4]\)
\[
\frac{q^n + 1}{2} = \frac{[\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)]}_{s^+} {[\text{C}_{\text{SO}_{2n+1}(q)}(s^+)]}_{s^+} \deg \mathcal{L}_{s^+}(\eta).
\]

We know that \( \eta \) is not unipotent by Lemma \([4.7]\) so \( s^+ \) is not in the center of \( \text{SO}_{2n+1}(q) \) and hence \( \text{C}_{\text{SO}_{2n+1}(q)}(s^+) \neq \text{SO}_{2n+1}(q) \). Because \( \deg \eta \) is so small, the only possibility is that \( \text{C}_{\text{SO}_{2n+1}(q)}(s^+) \simeq \text{SO}_{2n}^+(q) \times O_1(q) \) and \( \deg \mathcal{L}_{s^+}(\eta) = 1 \). Here \( \text{SO}_{2n}^+(q) \times O_1(q) \) denotes the group consisting of elements of the form \( (g_1, g_2) \) where \( g_1 \in O_{2n}^+(q) \), \( g_2 \in O_1(q) \) and \( \det(g_1) \det(g_2) = 1 \), and hence \( \text{SO}_{2n}^+(q) \times O_1(q) \) can be identified with
Proposition 3.4, we know that Corollary 4.11. we have an easier proof via the Lusztig correspondence.

1 is uniform, the class function \( O \)

Because \( 1_{O^+_{2n}} + \text{sgn}O^+_{2n} = \text{Ind}_{SO^+_{2n}}^{O^+_{2n}}(q) 1_{SO^+_{2n}} \) and the trivial character \( 1_{SO^+_{2n}} \) of \( SO^+_{2n}(q) \) is uniform, the class function \( 1_{O^+_{2n}} + \text{sgn}O^+_{2n} \) of \( O^+_{2n}(q) \) is then also uniform, and from Proposition 3.4, we know that

\[
1^\sharp_{O^+_{2n}} = \text{sgn}^\sharp_{O^+_{2n}} = \frac{1}{2} (1_{O^+_{2n}} + \text{sgn}O^+_{2n}).
\]

Hence by (4.6) the class function \( \chi^{(1)}_{n} + \chi^{(2)}_{n} \) of \( Sp_{2n}(q) \) is uniform, and

\[
\left( \chi^{(1)}_{n} \right)^\sharp = \left( \chi^{(2)}_{n} \right)^\sharp = \frac{1}{2} (\chi^{(1)}_{n} + \chi^{(2)}_{n}).
\]

Similarly, \( \chi^{(1)}_{n} \) and \( \chi^{(2)}_{n} \) are in the Lusztig series \( \mathcal{E}(Sp_{2n}(q)) \) where \( C_{SO^+_{2n+1}}(q) \) \((s^-) \approx O^-_{2n}(q) \), and hence the class function \( \chi^{(1)}_{n} + \chi^{(2)}_{n} \) of \( Sp_{2n}(q) \) is uniform. Moreover,

\[
\left( \chi^{(1)}_{n} \right)^\sharp = \left( \chi^{(2)}_{n} \right)^\sharp = \frac{1}{2} (\chi^{(1)}_{n} + \chi^{(2)}_{n}).
\]

Therefore,

\[
(\omega^\psi_{Sp_{2n}})^\sharp = \left( \chi^{(1)}_{n} + \chi^{(2)}_{n} \right)^\sharp = \frac{1}{2} (\chi^{(1)}_{n} + \chi^{(2)}_{n}) + \frac{1}{2} (\chi^{(1)}_{n} + \chi^{(2)}_{n})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \omega^\psi_{Sp_{2n}} + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{n}
\]

\[
= (\omega^\psi_{Sp_{2n}})^\sharp.
\]

The following result is originally proved in [Pan16] via some tedious computation. Now we have an easier proof via the Lusztig correspondence.

Corollary 4.11. For \( n \geq 1 \), we have the decomposition

\[
\omega^\psi_{Sp_{2n}} = \frac{1}{|W_{Sp_{2n}}|} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp_{2n}}} \epsilon_w R^\psi_{Sp_{2n}} T_{w}. \]

Proof. Identify \( W_{Sp_{2n}} = W_{n} = W_{n}^+ \cup W_{n}^- \). Then by [Car85] corollary 7.6.5, we have

\[
1_{SO^+_{2n}} = \frac{1}{|W_{n}|} \sum_{w \in W_{n}} R^\psi_{w, 1}.
\]

Therefore

\[
1_{O^+_{2n}} + \text{sgn}O^+_{2n} = \frac{1}{|W_{n}|} \sum_{w \in W_{n}} R^{O^+_{2n}}_{w, 1}.
\]

Now \( \epsilon_{Sp_{2n}} \epsilon_{O^+_{2n}} = 1 \), then by Proposition 4.2 and (4.10), we have

\[
\chi^{(1)}_{n} + \chi^{(2)}_{n} = \frac{1}{|W_{n}|} \sum_{w \in W_{n}} R^\psi_{Sp_{2n}} T_{w, \theta}. \]
Because $\epsilon_{Sp_{2n}}\epsilon_{O_{2n}^-} = -1$, we have

$$\chi_{S_{n+1}}^{(1)} + \chi_{S_{n+1}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{|W_n|} \sum_{w \in W_n} -R_{Sp_{2n}}^{T_{w,0}}w.$$

Since $\epsilon_w = \epsilon$ if $w \in W_n^e$ and $|W_n| = 2|W_n^e|$, the corollary follows from Theorem 4.9 immediately.

5. Compatibility for Unitary Groups

In this section, we consider a dual pair of two unitary groups over a finite field of odd characteristic.

5.1. Unipotent characters of a unitary group. The $\beta$-set $X$ associated to a partition $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m]$ is defined to be

$$X = \{\lambda_1 + (m - 1), \lambda_2 + (m - 2), \ldots, \lambda_m - 1 + 1, \lambda_m\},$$

i.e., $\lambda = \Upsilon(X)$ where $\Upsilon$ is given in Subsection 2.3.

A 2-hook of a $\beta$-set $X$ is a pair $(y, x)$ of non-negative integers such that $y \notin X$, $x \in X$ and $y + 2 = x$. If $(y, x)$ is a 2-hook of $X$, then the $\beta$-set $X_1 := \{y\} \cup (X \setminus \{x\})$ is said to be obtained from $X$ by removing the 2-hook $(y, x)$. It is easy to see that $\|\Upsilon(X_1)\| = \|\Upsilon(X)\| - 2$. For a $\beta$-set $X$, we obtain a sequence of $\beta$-sets $X = X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_h$ by removing a 2-hook successively, until we can not remove a 2-hook any more. The resulting $\beta$-set is denoted by $X_\infty$. Then $\lambda_\infty := \Upsilon(X_\infty)$ is called the 2-core of $\lambda$. It is easy to see that $X_\infty$ is of the form

$$(5.1) \quad \{2k - 1, 2k - 3, \ldots, 3, 1, 2l - 2, 2l - 4, \ldots, 2, 0\}$$

for some non-negative integers $k, l$, and $\lambda_\infty = [d, d - 1, \ldots, 2, 1]$ where

$$d = \begin{cases} k - l, & \text{if } k \geq l; \\ l - k - 1, & \text{if } k < l. \end{cases}$$

Let $X$ be the $\beta$-set associate to a partition $\lambda$, we define two $\beta$-sets

$$X(0) = \left\{ \frac{x}{2} \mid x \in X, x \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\},$$

$$X(1) = \left\{ \frac{x - 1}{2} \mid x \in X, x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \right\},$$

and the symbol

$$(5.2) \quad \Lambda_{\lambda} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X(0), & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \text{ is odd;} \\ X(1), & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \text{ is even.} \end{array} \right.$$}

It is easy to check that (cf. [FS90] p.223)

$$(5.3) \quad \|\lambda\| = \|\lambda_\infty\| + 2\|\Upsilon(\Lambda_{\lambda})\|.$$
Example 5.4. Consider the partition $\lambda = [7, 5, 4, 3, 2, 2]$ of 23. Then $X = \{12, 9, 7, 5, 3, 2\}$, $X(0) = \{6, 1\}$, $X(1) = \{4, 3, 2, 1\}$, $X_\infty = \{7, 5, 3, 2, 1, 0\}$, and hence $\lambda_\infty = [2, 1]$. Now $\ell(\lambda) = 6$ is even. Therefore $\Lambda_\lambda = \left( \frac{4, 3, 2, 1}{6, 1} \right)$ and $\Upsilon(\Lambda_\lambda) = \left[ \frac{1, 1, 1}{5, 1} \right]$.

Lemma 5.5. Let $\lambda$ be a partition.

$$\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \ell(\lambda_\infty), & \text{if both } \ell(\lambda), \ell(\lambda_\infty) \text{ are even}; \\
\ell(\lambda_\infty) + 1, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \text{ is odd and } \ell(\lambda_\infty) \text{ is even}; \\
-\ell(\lambda_\infty), & \text{if both } \ell(\lambda), \ell(\lambda_\infty) \text{ are odd}; \\
-\ell(\lambda_\infty) - 1, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \text{ is even and } \ell(\lambda_\infty) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. After removing all possible 2-hooks, we will obtain a $\beta$-set $X_\infty$ of the form $\left[ \frac{\mu}{\nu} \right]$ for some non-negative integers $k, l$. Moreover, we know that $\ell(\lambda) = |X| = |X_\infty| = k + l$, $|X(1)| = k$ and $|X(0)| = l$. Now we have the following situations:

1. Suppose that $k + l$ is even and $k \geq l$. Then $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = k - l$ and $\ell(\lambda_\infty) = k - l$.
   
   Now $\ell(\lambda_\infty) = \text{odd}$ and $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = \ell(\lambda_\infty)$.
2. Suppose that $k + l$ is even and $k < l$. Then $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = k - l$ and $\ell(\lambda_\infty) = l - k - 1$.
   
   Now $\ell(\lambda_\infty)$ is odd and $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = -\ell(\lambda_\infty) - 1$.
3. Suppose that $k + l$ is odd and $k \geq l$. Then $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = l - k$ and $\ell(\lambda_\infty) = k - l$.
   
   Now $\ell(\lambda_\infty)$ is odd and $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = -\ell(\lambda_\infty)$.
4. Suppose that $k + l$ is odd and $k < l$. Then $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = l - k$ and $\ell(\lambda_\infty) = l - k - 1$.
   
   Now $\ell(\lambda_\infty)$ is even and $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) = \ell(\lambda_\infty) + 1$.

Now given a non-negative integer $d$ and a bi-partition $\left[ \frac{\mu}{\nu} \right]$ such that $n = \frac{d(d+1)}{2} + 2\|\left[ \frac{\mu}{\nu} \right]\|$, we can associate a unique partition $\lambda$ of $n$ as follows. Write $\mu = [\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r]$ and $\nu = [\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s]$.

1. Suppose that $d$ is even and $r - s > d$. Define
   
   $X(0) = \{\mu_1 + (r - 1), \ldots, \mu_{r-1} + 1, \mu_r\}$,
   
   $X(1) = \{\nu_1 + (r - d - 2), \ldots, \nu_s + (r - s - d - 1), r - s - d - 2, \ldots, 1\}$.

2. Suppose that $d$ is even and $r - s \leq d$. Define
   
   $X(1) = \{\mu_1 + (s + d - 1), \ldots, \mu_r + (s - r + d), s - r + d - 1, \ldots, 1\}$,
   
   $X(0) = \{\nu_1 + (s - 1), \ldots, \nu_{s-1} + 1, \nu_s\}$.

3. Suppose that $d$ is odd and $r - s \geq -d$. Define
   
   $X(0) = \{\mu_1 + (r - 1), \ldots, \mu_{r-1} + 1, \mu_r\}$,
   
   $X(1) = \{\nu_1 + (r + d - 1), \ldots, \nu_s + (r - s + d), r - s - d - 1, \ldots, 1\}$. 
(4) Suppose that $d$ is odd and $r - s < -d$. Define 

$$X(1) = \{ \mu_1 + (s - d - 2), \ldots, \mu_{r + (s - r - d - 1)}, s - r - d - 2, \ldots, 1, 0 \},$$

$$X(0) = \{ \nu_1 + (s - 1), \ldots, \nu_{s - 1} + 1, \nu_s \}.$$ 

Let 

$$X = \{ 2x \mid x \in X(0) \} \cup \{ 2x' + 1 \mid x' \in X(1) \} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \Upsilon(X).$$

Then it is not difficult to check that $\|\lambda\| = n$, $\lambda_\infty = [d, d - 1, \ldots, 1]$ and $\Upsilon(A_\lambda) = [\mu]$. So we establish a one-to-one bijection 

$$\mathcal{P}(n) \longleftrightarrow \{ (d, [\mu]) \mid d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \frac{d(d + 1)}{2} + \|\mu\| = n \}.$$ 

**Example 5.6.** Suppose that $d = 2$ and the bi-partition is $[1, 1, 1, 1]$. Now $d$ is even, $r = 4$, $s = 2$ and $r - s \leq d$. Then $X(1) = \{4, 3, 2, 1\}$ and $X(0) = \{6, 1\}$. And therefore, $X = \{12, 9, 7, 5, 3, 2\}$ and $\lambda = [7, 5, 4, 3, 2, 2]$.

### 5.2. Howe correspondence for a dual pair of unitary groups.

Define $B_{U, U}$ to be the set consisting of pairs of symbols $(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B^+$ (cf. Subsection 2.8) such that 

$$\text{def}(\Lambda') = \begin{cases} 
\text{def}(\Lambda) + 1, & \text{if def}(\Lambda) \text{ is odd;} \\
\text{def}(\Lambda) + 2, & \text{if def}(\Lambda) \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}$$

Similarly, define $B_{U, U}$ to be the set consisting of pairs of symbols $(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B^-$ such that 

$$\text{def}(\Lambda') = \begin{cases} 
\text{def}(\Lambda) - 1, & \text{if def}(\Lambda) \text{ is even;}
\end{cases}$$

$$\text{def}(\Lambda') = \begin{cases} 
\text{def}(\Lambda) - 2, & \text{if def}(\Lambda) \text{ is even;}
\end{cases}$$

$$\text{def}(\Lambda') = \begin{cases} 
\text{def}(\Lambda) + 1, & \text{if def}(\Lambda) \text{ is odd;}
\end{cases}$$

For $\epsilon = +, -$, it is clear that the relation $B_{U, U}^\epsilon$ is symmetric, i.e., $(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B_{U, U}^\epsilon$ if and only if $(\Lambda', \Lambda) \in B_{U, U}^\epsilon$. Finally, we define 

$$B_{U, U, U'} = \{ (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B_{U, U}^+ \cup B_{U, U}^- \mid \|\lambda\| = n, \|\lambda'\| = n' \}.$$ 

**Lemma 5.10.** Let $\lambda, \lambda'$ be two partitions. 

(i) Suppose that $(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B_{U, U}^+$. Then 

$$\ell(\lambda_\infty) = \begin{cases} 
\ell(\lambda_\infty), & \text{if } \ell(\lambda_\infty) = 0;
\ell(\lambda_\infty) - 1, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda_\infty) \text{ is even and nonzero;}
\ell(\lambda_\infty) + 1, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda_\infty) \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}$$

(ii) Suppose that $(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B_{U, U}^-$. Then 

$$\ell(\lambda_\infty) = \begin{cases} 
\ell(\lambda_\infty) + 1, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda_\infty) \text{ is even;}
\ell(\lambda_\infty) - 1, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda_\infty) \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Suppose that $(\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B_{U, U}^\epsilon$. We have the following situations: 

1. Suppose that $\ell(\lambda_\infty) = 0$. Then def$(\Lambda) = 0, 1$ by Lemma 5.5 and hence def$(\Lambda') = 1, 0$ by 5.7. Then $\ell(\lambda_\infty') = 0$ by Lemma 5.5 again.
Lemma 5.11.

Suppose that $\ell(\lambda^-) = 2m$ for some positive integer $m$. Then $\text{def}(\Lambda^-) = 2m, 2m+1$ by Lemma 5.5 and hence $\text{def}(\Lambda^+X) = -2m + 1, -2m$ by 5.7. Then $\ell(\lambda^-) = 2m - 1 = \ell(\lambda^-) - 1$ by Lemma 5.5 again.

(3) Suppose that $\ell(\lambda^-) = 2m - 1$ for some positive integer $m$. Then $\text{def}(\Lambda^-) = -2m + 1, -2m$ by Lemma 5.5 and hence $\text{def}(\Lambda^+X) = 2m, 2m+1$ by 5.7. Then $\ell(\lambda^-) = 2m = \ell(\lambda^-) + 1$ by Lemma 5.5.

Suppose that $(\Lambda^-, \Lambda^+X) \in B^-_{U,V}$. We have the following situations:

(4) Suppose that $\ell(\lambda^-) = 2m$ for some non-negative integer $m$. Then $\text{def}(\Lambda^-) = 2m, 2m+1$ by Lemma 5.5 and hence $\text{def}(\Lambda^+X) = -2m - 1, -2m - 2$ by 5.8. Then $\ell(\lambda^-) = 2m + 1 = \ell(\lambda^-) + 1$ by Lemma 5.5.

(5) Suppose that $\ell(\lambda^-) = 2m - 1$ for some positive integer $m$. Then $\text{def}(\Lambda^-) = -2m + 1, -2m$ by Lemma 5.5 and hence $\text{def}(\Lambda^+X) = 2m - 1, 2m - 2$ by 5.8. Then $\ell(\lambda^-) = 2m - 2 = \ell(\lambda^-) - 1$ by Lemma 5.5.

□

Lemma 5.11.

$B^-_{U,V} \subseteq \begin{cases} B^+_{U,V}, & \text{if } n + n' \text{ is even}; \\ B^-_{U,V}, & \text{if } n + n' \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n), \lambda' \in \mathcal{P}(n')$ and $(\Lambda^-, \Lambda^+X) \in B^+_{U,V}$. Note that we have $\|\lambda\| \equiv \|\lambda^-\| \pmod{2}$ and $\|\lambda'\| \equiv \|\lambda^+\| \pmod{2}$.

(1) Suppose that both $n$ and $n'$ are even. So we have $\ell(\lambda^-) \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\ell(\lambda^+X) \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$. From Lemma 5.10 we see that $(\Lambda^-, \Lambda^+X) \in B^+_{U,V}$.

(2) Suppose that both $n$ and $n'$ are odd. So we have $\ell(\lambda^-) \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ and $\ell(\lambda^+X) \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. From Lemma 5.10 we see that $(\Lambda^-, \Lambda^+X) \in B^-_{U,V}$.

(3) Suppose that $n$ is even and $n'$ is odd. So we have $\ell(\lambda^-) \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\ell(\lambda^+X) \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. From Lemma 5.10 we see that $(\Lambda^-, \Lambda^+X) \in B^-_{U,V}$.

(4) Suppose that $n$ is odd and $n'$ is even. So we have $\ell(\lambda^-) \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ and $\ell(\lambda^+X) \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$. From Lemma 5.10 we see that $(\Lambda^-, \Lambda^+X) \in B^-_{U,V}$.

□

It is known that the irreducible unipotent characters of $U_n(q)$ are parametrized by partitions of $n$ (cf. [FS90] p.223), so we denote $\rho = \rho_\lambda$ for $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(U_n(q))$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$. It is well known that $\rho_\lambda$ is cuspidal if and only if $\lambda = \lambda^-$, i.e., $\rho_\lambda$ is cuspidal if and only if

$$A_\lambda = \begin{cases} (d-1, d-2, \ldots, 1, 0), & \text{if } d \text{ is even}; \\ (d-1, d-2, \ldots, 1, 0), & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for some non-negative integer $d$.

Remark 5.12. In the terminology of [AMR96] proposition 5.14, for a partition $\lambda$ of $n$, one associates $\rho_\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(U_n(q))_1$ a bi-rotation $\lambda(0) \otimes \lambda(1)$ where $\lambda(0), \lambda(1)$ are the 2-quotients of parameter 1 of $\lambda$. 
In our notation, write $\Upsilon(\Lambda_\lambda) = \left[ \mu^\circ \right]$. Then $\mu = \Upsilon(X(0)), \nu = \Upsilon(X(1))$ are the 2-quotient of parameter $\ell(\lambda)$ if $\ell(\lambda)$ is odd; and $\mu = \Upsilon(X(1)), \nu = \Upsilon(X(0))$ are the 2-quotient of parameter $\ell(\lambda)$ if $\ell(\lambda)$ is even. Since the 2-quotient depends only on parameter $t \pmod{2}$, we see that two notations are consistent, i.e., $\lambda(0) = \mu$ and $\lambda(1) = \nu$.

The following proposition on the Howe correspondence of unipotent characters for a dual pair of two unitary groups is rephrased from [AMR96] théorème 5.15. This form is consistent with Proposition 2.22.

**Proposition 5.13** (Aubert-Michel-Rouquier). Let $\rho_\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(U_n(q))_1$ and $\rho_{\lambda'} \in \mathcal{E}(U_{n'}(q))_1$. Then $\rho_\lambda \otimes \rho_{\lambda'}$ occurs in $\omega_{U_n,U_{n'},1}$ if and only if $(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{U_n,U_{n'},1}$, i.e.,

$\omega_{U_n,U_{n'},1} = \sum_{(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{U_n,U_{n'}}} \rho_\lambda \otimes \rho_{\lambda'}$.

**Proof.** Let $\lambda', \lambda''$ be two partitions of $\underline{n}, \underline{n'}$ respectively. Write $\ell(\lambda\infty) = d, \ell(\lambda'\infty) = d'$, $\Upsilon(\Lambda_\lambda) = \left[ \mu^\circ \right]$, and $\Upsilon(\Lambda_{\lambda'}) = \left[ \mu'^\circ \right]$. Now by [AMR96] proposition 5.6, we know that $\rho_\lambda \otimes \rho_{\lambda'}$ occurs in $\omega_{U_n,U_{n'},1}$ if and only if $\lambda', \lambda''$ are 2-transverse (cf. [AMR96] p.389).

Suppose that $\lambda', \lambda''$ are 2-transverse. Then by [AMR96] lemme 5.9, we know that $|d - d'| = 1$ or $d = d' = 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d' = d + 1$ or $d = d' = 0$. From the proof of [AMR96] théorème 5.15, we have:

1. Suppose that either $d$ is odd, or $(d,d') = (0,0)$. Then we have $\lambda(1)^{\circ} \leqslant (\lambda')^{\circ}$ and $\lambda'(1)^{\circ} \leqslant (\lambda)^{\circ}$, i.e., $\nu^{\circ} \leqslant \mu^{\circ}$ and $\nu'^{\circ} \leqslant \mu'^{\circ}$, which implies that $(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{U_n,U_{n'}}^+$.
2. Suppose that $d$ is even and $(d,d') \neq (0,0)$. Then we have $\lambda(0)^{\circ} \leqslant (\lambda')^{\circ}$ and $\lambda'(0)^{\circ} \leqslant (\lambda)^{\circ}$, i.e., $\mu^{\circ} \leqslant \nu^{\circ}$ and $\mu'^{\circ} \leqslant \nu'^{\circ}$, which implies that $(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{U_n,U_{n'}}^-$.

Conversely, suppose that $(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{U_n,U_{n'}}$. Then we have that $\Upsilon(\Lambda_\lambda)$ and $\Upsilon(\Lambda_{\lambda'})$ are 2-transverse by [AMR96] proposition 5.12 and the proof of [AMR96] théorème 5.15.

5.3. **Lusztig correspondence for unitary groups.** Let $G$ be a unitary group and we identify $G = G^*$. Then, for $s \in G^*$, we know that $G(s) = \prod_{(\lambda)} G_{(\lambda)}(s)$ where each $G_{(\lambda)}(s)$ is a unitary group or a general linear group. Define $G^{(1)} = \prod (\lambda), \lambda \neq 1 G_{(\lambda)}(s)$ and $G^{(2)}(s) = G_{(1)}(s)$ where $G_{(\lambda)}(s)$ is given in Subsection 2.2. Then $G(s) = G^{(1)} \times G^{(2)}$ and hence there exists a one-to-one correspondence

$$\Xi_s : \mathcal{E}(G)s \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(G^{(1)}(s))_1 \times \mathcal{E}(G^{(2)}(s))_1$$

by Proposition 2.2. So if $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)s$, then $\Xi_s(\eta)$ can be written as $\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)}$ where $\eta^{(j)}$ is an irreducible unipotent character of $G^{(j)}$ for $j = 1, 2$.

For $k \leq n$ and a semisimple element $s \in U_k(q)$, define $s_n = (s,1) \in U_k(q) \times U_{n-k}(q) \subset U_n(q)$. Now let $(G, G') = (U_n, U_{n'})$ be a dual pair of two unitary groups.
From [AMR96] theorem 2.6, we know that $G^{(1)}(s_n)$ and $G^{n(1)}(s_{n'})$ are isomorphic and $(G^{(2)}(s_n), G^{n(2)}(s_{n'}))$ is a dual pair of two unitary groups. The following can be extracted from [AMR96] théorème 2.6 (cf. [Pan19b] theorem 3.10):

**Proposition 5.15.** Let $(G, G') = (U_n(q), U_{n'}(q))$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s$ and $\eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G')_{s'}$ for some $s, s'$. Then $\eta \otimes \eta'$ occurs in the Howe correspondence for $(G, G')$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

- $G^{(1)} \simeq G'^{(1)}$ and $\eta^{(1)} \simeq \eta'^{(1)}$,
- $\eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)}$ occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair $(G^{(2)}, G'^{(2)})$.

That is, the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \eta' \\
\Xi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_{s'} \\
\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \Theta} & \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)}
\end{array}
$$

commutes.

So by Proposition 5.15, we can reduce the Howe correspondence of general irreducible characters for a pair of two unitary groups to the correspondence of irreducible unipotent characters via the Lusztig correspondence, and then by Proposition 5.13, we have a complete description of the correspondence of irreducible unipotent characters in terms of the correspondence of partitions.

### 6. Compatibility for Even Orthogonal Groups

In this section we consider the dual pair $(G, G')$ of a symplectic group and an even orthogonal group over a finite field of odd characteristic.

#### 6.1. Decomposition of the Weil character

The following result is modified from the main theorem of [Sri79]. See also [AMR96] proposition 2.1. Note that from [Pan19a] theorem 3.25, we do not assume the cardinality of the finite field to be large.

**Proposition 6.1** (Srinivasan). We have the following decomposition:

- if $n' > n$,

$$
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n},G_{n'}}^{(T,T')} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{SO}_{2(n'-k)}}|} \sum_{v \in W_k} \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{E}(T_v)} \sum_{\epsilon_{n',k} R_{\text{Sp}_{2n},\text{SO}_{2(n'-k)}}^T T_{\psi} \otimes 1} \epsilon_{n',k} R_{\text{Sp}_{2n},\text{SO}_{2(n'-k)}}^T T_{\psi} \otimes 1.
$$
if \( n' \leq n \),
\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}}^e = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n'-1} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \left| W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}} \right| \left| W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}} \right| \sum_{v \in W_k, \theta \in E(T_v)} \sum_{w \in W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}} \epsilon_v R_{T_v \times T_w, \theta \oplus 1}^\text{Sp}_{2n} \otimes R_{T_v \times T_w, \theta \oplus 1}^\text{O}_{2n'}.
\]

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [AMR96], proposition 2.1.

If \( s \) is a semisimple element in a maximal torus \( T^* \) of \( \text{SO}_{2k+1}(q) \), then the semisimple element \((s, 1)\) in \( \text{SO}_{2k+1}(q) \times \text{SO}_{2n+1}(q) \) is denoted by \( s_n \) for \( n \geq k \). Similarly, if \( s \) is a semisimple element in a maximal torus \( T^* \) of \( \text{O}_{2k}'(q) \), then \( s_{n'} = (s, 1) \) is a semisimple element in \( \text{O}_{2k}'(q) \times \text{O}_{2(n'-k)}'(q) \subseteq \text{O}_{2n}'(q) \) for \( n' \geq k \) with \( e'e'' = e \).

From Subsection 4.1, we know that \( R_{T, \theta}^\text{G} = R_{T, \theta}^\text{G} \) where \((T^*, s)\) is corresponding to \((T, \theta)\). Hence we can rewrite the decompositions in Proposition 6.1 as follows:

If \( n' > n \), then
\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}}^e = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \left| W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}} \right| \left| W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}} \right| \sum_{v \in W_k, s \in T_v^*} \sum_{w \in W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}} \epsilon_v R_{T_v \times T_w, s_n}^\text{Sp}_{2n} \otimes R_{T_v \times T_w, s_{n'}}^\text{O}_{2n'}.
\]

If \( n' \leq n \), then
\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}}^e = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n'-1} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \left| W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}} \right| \left| W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}} \right| \sum_{v \in W_k, s \in T_v^*} \sum_{w \in W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}} \epsilon_v R_{T_v \times T_w, s_n}^\text{Sp}_{2n} \otimes R_{T_v \times T_w, s_{n'}}^\text{O}_{2n'}.
\]

For \( k \leq \min(n, n') \), \( v \in W_k \), and \( l \leq k \), we define
\[
T_v^*, l = \{ s \in T_v^* | \nu_l(s) = k - l \},
\]
\[
X_{k, l} = \begin{cases} 
\bigcup_{v \in W_k} T_v^*, l & \text{if } k \leq n \text{ and } k < n'; \\
\bigcup_{v \in W_k} T_v^*, l & \text{if } k \leq n \text{ and } k = n'
\end{cases}
\]
where \( \nu_l(s) \) is given in Subsection 2.2. The set \( X_{k, l} \) is a subset of \( \text{SO}_{2k+1}(q) \) with an action by \( W_k \) (or \( W'_k \) when \( k = n' \leq n \)). For \( s \in X_{k, l} \), let \((s)\) denote the orbit of \( s \) under the action. If \( s \in X_{k, l} \) where \( l \leq \min(n, n') \), let \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'}}^e, s \) denote the orthogonal
projection of \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} \) over \( \mathcal{V}(\text{Sp}_{2n}(q))_{v,n} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\text{O}_{2n}^0(q))_{s_{n'}} \). Then we have (cf. [AMR96] proposition 2.4)

\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\text{O}_{2n}^0(q))_{s_{n'}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\min(n,n')} \sum_{(s) \in X_{l,l}} \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\text{O}_{2n}^0(q))_{s_{n'}}.
\]

(6.2)

The following lemma is a reformulation of [AMR96] proposition 2.5. Here we consider the two Weil characters \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} \) and \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} \) separately but in [AMR96] \( \omega_{n,n'} \) denotes the formal sum \( \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} + \omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} \).

**Lemma 6.3.** Suppose \( s \in X_{l,l} \) for some \( l \leq \min(n,n') \). Then

- if \( n' > n \),

\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=l}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_k(s_k)|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}|} \sum_{v \in W_k(s_k)} \sum_{w \in W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}} \epsilon_v R_{T_v \times T_w, s_n} \otimes R_{T_v \times T_{w'}, s_{n'}}
\]

- if \( n' \leq n \),

\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=l}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_k(s_k)|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}|} \sum_{v \in W_k(s_k)} \sum_{w \in W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}} \epsilon_v R_{T_v \times T_{w'}, s_n} \otimes R_{T_v \times T_{w'}, s_{n'}}
\]

where \( W_k(s_k) \) denotes the stabilizer of \( s_k \) in \( W_k \).

**Proof.** First suppose that \( n' > n \). For \( v \in W_k \), because \( T_v^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} T_{v,i}^* \), we have

\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, \mathcal{O}_{2n}^0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{v \in W_k} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}|} \sum_{v \in W_k} \sum_{w \in W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{\text{SO}_{2(n-k)}}} \epsilon_v R_{T_v \times T_w, s_n} \otimes R_{T_v \times T_{w'}, s_{n'}}
\]

Now we interchange the order of the summation

\[
\frac{1}{|W_k|} \sum_{v \in W_k} \sum_{s \in X_{k,l}} = \frac{1}{|W_k|} \sum_{s \in X_{k,l}} \sum_{v \in W_k(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{k=l}^{n}.
\]
then we have
\[
\omega_{Sp_{2n},O^\epsilon_{2n'}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=k}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \frac{1}{|W_{Sp(2n-k)}|} \frac{1}{|W_{SO(2n'-k)}|} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp(2n-k)}} \sum_{w' \in W_{SO(2n'-k)}} \epsilon_w R_{T_w}^\epsilon_{2n'} \otimes R_{T_{w'}}^{O^\epsilon_{2n'}}
\]
Because \(|(s)| = |W_k|/|W_{Sp}(n)|\), we have
\[
\omega_{Sp_{2n},O^\epsilon_{2n'}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=k}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_k(s)|} \frac{1}{|W_{Sp(2n-k)}|} \frac{1}{|W_{SO(2n'-k)}|} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp(2n-k)}} \sum_{w' \in W_{SO(2n'-k)}} \epsilon_w R_{T_w}^\epsilon_{2n'} \otimes R_{T_{w'}}^{O^\epsilon_{2n'}}
\]
For \(k \geq l\), each orbit in \(X_{k,l}\) by the action of \(W_k\) is of the form \((s_k)\) for some unique \((s) \subset X_{k,l}\), so
\[
\omega_{Sp_{2n},O^\epsilon_{2n'}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=k}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_k(s_k)|} \frac{1}{|W_{Sp(2n-k)}|} \frac{1}{|W_{SO(2n'-k)}|} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp(2n-k)}} \sum_{w' \in W_{SO(2n'-k)}} \epsilon_w R_{T_w}^\epsilon_{2n'} \otimes R_{T_{w'}}^{O^\epsilon_{2n'}}
\]
Because now (6.2) is an orthogonal decomposition, we must have
\[
\omega_{Sp_{2n},O^\epsilon_{2n'},s} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_k(s_k)|} \frac{1}{|W_{Sp(2n-k)}|} \frac{1}{|W_{SO(2n'-k)}|} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp(2n-k)}} \sum_{w' \in W_{SO(2n'-k)}} \epsilon_w R_{T_w}^\epsilon_{2n'} \otimes R_{T_{w'}}^{O^\epsilon_{2n'}}
\]
and the lemma for case \(n' > n\) is proved.

The proof for the other case is similar and omitted.

6.2. Lusztig correspondence of the Weil character. Let \(G\) be a member in a dual pair of a finite symplectic group and a finite even orthogonal group. Let \(s\) be a semisimple element in the connected component \((G^*)^0\) of dual group of \(G\). Define
\[
\begin{align*}
G^{(1)}(s) & = \prod_{\lambda \subset (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l), \lambda \neq \lambda} G^\lambda(s); \\
G^{(2)}(s) & = G^{(1)}([-1])s; \\
G^{(3)}(s) & = (G^{(1)}(s))^*, \text{ the dual group of } G^{(1)}(s),
\end{align*}
\]
where \(G^\lambda(s)\) is given in Subsection 2.2. Now \(C_{G^*}(s) \simeq G^{(1)}(s) \times G^{(2)}(s) \times G^{(3)}(s)^*\), hence we have a bijection
\[
\mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(s))_1 \simeq \mathcal{E}(G^{(1)}(s)) \times \mathcal{E}(G^{(2)}(s)) \times \mathcal{E}(G^{(3)}(s))_1.
\]
Therefore we have a one-to-one correspondence
\[
(6.4) \quad \Xi_s : \mathcal{E}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(G^{(1)}(s)) \times \mathcal{E}(G^{(2)}(s)) \times \mathcal{E}(G^{(3)}(s))_1
\]
by Proposition 4.2. This map $\Xi_s$ is also called a Lusztig correspondence. Now (6.4) can be extended linearly to be an isometry of inner product spaces:

$$\Xi_s: \mathcal{V}(G)_s \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(G(1)(s))_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}(G(2)(s))_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}(G(3)(s))_1.$$ 

Now if $\eta$ is an irreducible character in $\mathcal{E}(G)_s$ for some semisimple element $s$, $\Xi_s(\eta)$ can be written as $\eta(1) \otimes \eta(2) \otimes \eta(3)$ where $\eta(j) \in \mathcal{E}(G(j)(s))_1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover by Proposition 4.5, if $\eta$ is cuspidal, then each $\eta(j)$ is cuspidal. When $G$ is an even orthogonal group, then both $G(2)$ and $G(3)$ are even orthogonal groups, we will let $\{\eta_i\}$ denote the image under $\Xi_s^{-1}$ of the set

$$\{\eta(1) \otimes \eta(2) \otimes \eta(3), \eta(1) \otimes (\eta(2) \cdot \text{sgn}) \otimes \eta(3), \eta(1) \otimes \eta(2) \otimes (\eta(3) \cdot \text{sgn}), \eta(1) \otimes (\eta(2) \cdot \text{sgn}) \otimes (\eta(3) \cdot \text{sgn})\}. \tag{6.5}$$

So we have $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ if both $G(2)$ and $G(3)$ are nontrivial; $i = 1, 2$ if exactly one of $G(2)$ and $G(3)$ is nontrivial; and $i = 1$ if both $G(2)$ and $G(3)$ are trivial. Now suppose that $G(2)$ and $G(3)$ are non-trivial, so now the Lusztig correspondence $\Xi_s$ is a bijection from $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ to the set in (6.5). However, it seems that there is no natural choice to specify each $\Xi_s(\eta_i)$.

The following lemma is extracted from the proof of [AMR96] théorème 2.6.

**Lemma 6.6.** Let $G = Sp_{2n}$, $G' = O'_{2n'}$, and $s \in X_{l,l}$ for some $l \leq \min(n, n')$. Then

(i) $G(1)(s_n)$ and $G'^{(1)}(s_{n'})$ are products of unitary groups or general linear groups, and both are isomorphic;

(ii) $G(2)(s_n)$ and $G'^{(2)}(s_{n'})$ are isomorphic even orthogonal groups;

(iii) $(G^{(3)}(s_n), G'^{(3)}(s_{n'}))$ is a dual pair of a symplectic group and an even orthogonal group.

**Proof.** Now $s_n$ is a semisimple element in $SO_{2n+1}(q)$. From Subsection 2.2 we know that $G(1)(s_n)$ is a product of unitary groups or general linear groups, and $G(2)(s_n) = O_{2n-1}(s_n)(q)$ for some $s'$ depending on $s$. Moreover, $G^{(3)}(s_n)$ is the dual group of $SO_{2n-1}(s_n)(q)$, hence $G'^{(3)}(s_n) = Sp_{2n-1}(s_n)(q)$.

Similarly, $s_{n'}$ is a semisimple element in $O_{2n'+1}(q)$, and hence $G'^{(1)}(s_{n'})$ is a product of unitary groups, and $G'^{(2)}(s_{n'}) = O_{2n'-1}(s_{n'})(q)$. Moreover, $G'^{(3)}(s_{n'})$ is the dual group of $O_{2n'+1}(s_{n'})(q)$, i.e., $G'^{(3)}(s_{n'}) = O_{2n'-1}(s_{n'})(q)$ for some $s'$, $\epsilon'$ depending on $s$ and $\epsilon$.

Clearly $\nu_{\lambda}(s_n) = \nu_{\lambda}(s_{n'}) = \nu_{\lambda}(s)$ when $\lambda \neq 1$, so $G(1)(s_n) = G'^{(1)}(s_{n'})$ and $G(2)(s_n) = G'^{(2)}(s_{n'})$. Moreover, $n_1(s_n) = n - l$, $n_1(s_{n'}) = n' - l$, and hence

$$(G^{(3)}(s_n), G'^{(3)}(s_{n'})) = (Sp_{2(n-l)}(q), O_{2(n'-l)}(q)).$$

$\Box$

For $s \in X_{l,l}$ for some $l \leq \min(n, n')$, we will identify

$$G(1)(s_n) \simeq G'^{(1)}(s_{n'}) \quad \text{and} \quad G(2)(s_n) \simeq G'^{(2)}(s_{n'}).$$
Let $\Xi_{(s_n,s_{n'})}$ denote $\Xi_{s_n} \otimes \Xi_{s_{n'}}$. Then we have a linear transformation

$$\Xi_{(s_n,s_{n'})}(\omega^2_{\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{O}_{2n'}^*)}) = R^G_{(1),1} \otimes R^G_{(2),1} \otimes \omega^2_{\text{Sp}^2(\mathbb{O}_{2n'}^*)}$$

where $R^G_{G,1}$ is given in Subsection 3.5.

**Proof.** Suppose that $s \in X_{l,l}$ for some $l \leq \min(n,n')$. Then

$$\Xi_{s_n}(\rho^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}}) = \epsilon G^T(1) \times G(2) \times G(3) R^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}}$$

Suppose that $n < n'$. From Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\Xi_{s_n}(\omega^2_{\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{O}_{2n'}^*)}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |W_k(s_k)| |W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}| |W_{\text{SO}_{2(n')}}| \sum_{v \in W_k(s_k)} \epsilon_v \epsilon G^T(1) \times G(2) \times G(3) R^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}}$$

We know that $W_k(s_k) = W_{G(1)} \times W_{G(2)} \times W_{k-l}$ and write $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ for $v_1 \in W_{G(1)}$, $v_2 \in W_{G(2)}$, and $v_3 \in W_{k-l}$. Then $T^*_v = T^*_v \times T^*_v \times T^*_v$ with $T^*_v \subset G(1)$, $T^*_v \subset G(2)$, and $T^*_v \times T^*_v \subset G(3)$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $\epsilon G^T(1) = (-1)^{n-l}$, $\epsilon G^T(2) = (-1)^{n-l}$, $\epsilon G^T(3) = (-1)^{n-l}$, and $\epsilon G^T(1) = \epsilon G^T(2) = \epsilon G^T(3)$ by Lemma 6.6. Hence

$$\epsilon_v \epsilon G^T(1) \times G(2) \times G(3) \epsilon G^T(1) \times G(2) \times G(3) \epsilon G^T(1) \times G(2) \times G(3) = \epsilon_v \epsilon G^T(1) \epsilon G^T(2) \epsilon G^T(3) \epsilon G^T(1) \epsilon G^T(2) \epsilon G^T(3)$$

Therefore, (6.8) becomes

$$\Xi_{s_n}(\omega^2_{\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{O}_{2n'}^*)}) = \frac{1}{|W_{G(1)}|} \sum_{v_1 \in W_{G(1)}} R^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}}$$

$$\left[ \frac{1}{|W_{G(2)}|} \sum_{v_2 \in W_{G(2)}} R^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}} \otimes R^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}} \right] \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{|W_{k-l}|} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}|} \sum_{v_{k-l} \in W_{k-l}} \sum_{w \in W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{\text{SO}_{2(n')}}} \epsilon_{v_{k-l}} R^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}} \otimes R^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}} \right]$$

By 3.11 and 3.12, we have

$$\frac{1}{|W_{G(2)}|} \sum_{v_2 \in W_{G(2)}} R^G_{T^*_n \times T_{s_{n'}}} = R^G_{G,2}$$
for \( j = 1, 2 \). Apply Lemma 6.4, with \( s = 1 \in X_{0,0} \) and \( k' = k - l \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|W_{k-l}|} \frac{1}{|W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}^*}|} \frac{1}{|W_{SO_{2(n'-k)}^*}|} \sum_{\varphi \in W_{k-l}} \sum_{\psi' \in W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}^*}} \sum_{\psi'' \in W_{SO_{2(n'-k)}^*}} \epsilon_{\varphi, \psi', \psi''} R^{G(3)}_{T_{\psi'}, T_{\psi''}} R^{G(3)}_{T_{\psi}, T_{\psi'}, 1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k'=0}^{n'-l} \frac{1}{|W_{k'}|} \frac{1}{|W_{Sp_{2(n-l-k')}^*}|} \frac{1}{|W_{SO_{2(n'-l-k')}^*}|} \sum_{\varphi' \in W_{k'}} \sum_{\psi \in W_{Sp_{2(n-l-k')}^*}} \sum_{\psi'' \in W_{SO_{2(n'-l-k')}^*}} \epsilon_{\varphi', \psi, \psi''} R^{G(3)}_{T_{\psi'}, T_{\psi''}} R^{G(3)}_{T_{\psi}, T_{\psi'}, 1} = \omega_{G(3), G(3), 1}.
\]

Note that now \( G^{(3)} = Sp_{2(n-l)} \) and \( G^{(3)} = O_{2(n'-l)}^{(3)} \). Hence the proposition is proved for the case \( n < n' \).

The proof for the case \( n' < n \) is similar and omitted. \( \square \)

6.3. The main result I.

Now we have our main result of this section:

**Theorem 6.9.** Let \((G, G') = (Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'})^*\), and let \( \eta \in E(G)_s \) and \( \eta' \in E(G')_{s'} \) for some semisimple elements \( s \in G^* \) and \( s' \in (G'^*)_s \). Write \( \Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \) and \( \Xi_{s'}(\eta') = \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)} \), and let \( \{ \eta_i \} \) be defined in (6.3). Then \( \eta \otimes \eta'_i \) (for some \( i \)) occurs in \( \omega_{G, G'} \) if and only if the following conditions hold:

- \( G^{(1)} \cong G'^{(1)} \), and \( \eta^{(1)} = \eta'^{(1)} \);
- \( G^{(2)} \cong G'^{(2)} \), and \( \eta^{(2)} \) is equal to \( \eta'^{(2)} \) or \( \eta'^{(2)} \cdot sgn \);
- either \( \eta^{(3)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)} \) or \( \eta^{(3)} \otimes (\eta'^{(3)} \cdot sgn) \) occurs in the Howe occurrence for the dual pair \((G^{(3)}, G'^{(3)})\).

That is, the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \eta' \\
\Xi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_{s'} \\
\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} & \xrightarrow{id \otimes id \otimes \Theta} & \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}
\end{array}
\]

commutes up to a twist of the sgn character.

**Proof.** First suppose that \( \eta \otimes \eta'_i \) occurs in the Howe correspondence for the dual pair \((G, G')\) for some \( i \). Because the sgn character of \( G^{(2)} \) or \( G'^{(2)} \) is of order 2, without loss of generality, we may just assume that \( \eta \otimes \eta' \) occurs in \( \omega_{G, G'} \). By (6.4), \( \eta \otimes \eta' \) occurs in \( \omega_{G, G', t} \) for some \( t \in X_{1,t} \) for some \( t \leq \min(n, n') \). Therefore \( s = t_n \) and \( s' = t_{n'} \) up to conjugation. Write

\[
\Xi_{(s, s')}(\omega_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'}^*}) = \Omega^{(1)} \otimes \Omega^{(2)} \otimes \Omega^{(3)}
\]

where \( \Omega^{(j)} \in \mathcal{V}(G^{(j)} \times G'^{(j)}) \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). We know that \( \Omega^{(j)} \) is a character of \( G^{(j)} \times G'^{(j)} \) and we have \( \eta^{(j)} \otimes \eta'^{(j)} \) occurs in \( \Omega^{(j)} \) for each \( j = 1, 2, 3 \).
• Now $G^{(1)}$ is isomorphic to $G'^{(1)}$ by Lemma 6.6. We identify $G^{(1)}$ with $G'^{(1)}$ and by Proposition 6.7, we have $\Omega^{(1)} = R_{G^{(1)},1}^\sharp$. Because now $G^{(1)}$ is a product of unitary groups or general linear groups, every character of $G^{(1)} \times G^{(1)}$ is uniform, and hence $\Omega^{(1)} = R_{G^{(1)},1}^\sharp$. This implies that $\eta^{(1)} = \eta'^{(1)}$.

• By Lemma 6.6, $G'(2) = G^{(2)} = \Omega^\prime_{2n-1}(t)(\eta)$ where $\epsilon'$ depends on $t$. By Proposition 6.7, we have $\Omega^{(2)} = R_{G^{(2)},1}^\sharp$. Then by Proposition 6.19, we see that either $\eta^{(2)} = \eta'^{(2)}$ or $\eta^{(2)} = \eta'^{(2)} \cdot \text{sgn}$.

• By Lemma 6.6, $(G'^{(3)}, G^{(3)})$ is a dual pair of a symplectic group and an even orthogonal group. By Proposition 6.7, we have $\Omega^{(3)} = \omega_{G^{(3)},G^{(3)},1}^\sharp$. Now both $\Omega^{(3)}$ and $\omega_{G^{(3)},G^{(3)},1}$ are non-negative integral combinations of irreducible characters of $G^{(3)} \times G^{(3)}$ whose uniform projections are equal. Moreover, $(G^{(3)} \otimes G^{(3)})$ occurs in $\Omega^{(3)}$, from the proof in [Pan19a] subsection 10.3, we know that either $\eta^{(3)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}$ or $\eta^{(3)} \otimes (\eta'^{(3)}, \text{sgn})$ occurs in $\omega_{G^{(3)},G^{(3)},1}$, i.e., $(\eta^{(3)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)})$ or $(\eta^{(3)} \otimes (\eta'^{(3)}, \text{sgn}))$ occurs in the Howe correspondence for the dual pair $(G'^{(3)}, G^{(3)})$.

Conversely suppose that the following conditions hold:

• $G^{(1)} \simeq G'^{(1)}$, and $\eta^{(1)} = \eta'^{(1)}$;
• $G^{(2)} \simeq G'^{(2)}$, and $\eta^{(2)}$ is equal to $\eta'^{(2)}$ or $\eta'^{(2)} \cdot \text{sgn}$;
• either $\eta^{(3)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}$ or $\eta^{(3)} \otimes (\eta'^{(3)}, \text{sgn})$ occurs in the Howe occurrence for the dual pair $(G'^{(3)}, G^{(3)})$.

Then we see that $s = t_0$ and $s' = t_{n'}$ up to conjugacy for some $t \in X_{t,l}$ for some $l \leq \min(n, n')$. Now $\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(1)}$ occurs in $R_{G^{(1)},1}$, either $\eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(2)}$ or $\eta^{(2)} \otimes (\eta'^{(2)}, \text{sgn})$ occurs in $R_{G^{(2)},1}$, and either $\eta^{(3)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}$ or $\eta^{(3)} \otimes (\eta'^{(3)}, \text{sgn})$ occurs in $\omega_{G^{(3)},G^{(3)},1}$. Hence we have some $\eta \otimes \eta'$ occurs in

$$\Omega := \Xi^{-(s',s)}_{(s',s')}(R_{G^{(1)},1} \otimes R_{G^{(2)},1} \otimes \omega_{G^{(3)},G^{(3)},1}).$$

Now both $\Omega$ and $\omega_{G,G',t}$ are non-negative integral combinations of irreducible characters of $G \times G'$ whose uniform projection are the same by Proposition 6.7. Moreover, some $\eta \otimes \eta'$ occurs in $\Omega$, from the proof in [Pan19a] subsection 10.3, we see that some $\eta \otimes \eta'$ occurs in $\omega_{G,G',t}$. Therefore, some $\eta \otimes \eta'$ occurs in the Howe correspondence for the dual pair $(G, G')$.

**Remark 6.10.** Clearly, for a dual pair $(G, G')$ of a symplectic group and an even orthogonal group, we can specify the choices of $\Xi_s$ and $\Xi_{s'}$ so that the above diagram really commutes, i.e., $\eta \leftrightarrow \eta'$ for the dual pair $(G, G')$ implies that $\eta^{(1)} \simeq \eta'^{(1)}$, $\eta^{(2)} \simeq \eta'^{(2)}$, and $\eta^{(3)} \leftrightarrow \eta'^{(3)}$ for the dual pair $(G'^{(3)}, G^{(3)})$.

**Corollary 6.11.** Keep the notation and the setting in the above theorem. Suppose that $\eta \otimes \eta'$ occurs in the Howe correspondence. Then $\eta \leftrightarrow \eta'$ is a first occurrence of irreducible cuspidal characters if and only if the following conditions also hold:

• $G^{(1)}$ does not contain any general linear group;
• each $\eta^{(3)}$ is a cuspidal character;
• either \( \eta^{(3)} \leftrightarrow \eta^{(3)} \) or \( \eta^{(3)} \leftrightarrow \eta^{(3)} \cdot \text{sgn} \) is a first occurrence of irreducible unipotent cuspidal characters.

Proof. From Proposition 4.5 we know that \( \eta \) is an irreducible cuspidal character of \( G \) if and only if each \( \eta^{(j)} \) is an irreducible cuspidal unipotent character of \( G^{(j)} \) and \( G^{(1)} \) does not contain a general linear group. Then the corollary follows from Theorem 6.9 immediately.

Example 6.12. Let \( G = \text{Sp}_4(q) \) and so \( G^* = \text{SO}_5(q) \). According to [Sri68], we have the irreducible cuspidal characters of \( G \) as follows:

1. Let \( \eta = \chi_4^{(k)} \) where \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{4} \} \). It is of degree \((q^2 - 1)^2\) and is in \( \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) such that

   \[ C_{G^*}(s) \simeq U_1(q^2) \times O_4^+(q) \times (\text{Sp}_0(q))^* \]

   The trivial character of \( \text{Sp}_0(q) \) first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \((\text{Sp}_0(q), O_4^+(q))\) and \((\text{Sp}_0(q), O_2^+(q))\), so \( \eta \) is in the Lusztig series \( \mathcal{E}(G^*)_{s'} \) such that \( C_{G^*}(s') \) is isomorphic to \( U_1(q^2) \times O_4^+(q) \times (\text{Sp}_0(q))^* \) or \( U_1(q^2) \times O_6^+(q) \times (\text{Sp}_0(q))^* \). Note that \( U_1(q^2) \) can only be embedded as a subgroup of \( O_4^+(q) \) but not \( O_2^+(q) \), so \( \eta \) first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_4^-(q))\) and \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_6^+(q))\).

2. Let \( \eta = \chi_4^{(k,l)} \) where \( k, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{2} \} \). \( \chi_4^{(k,l)} = \chi_4^{(l,k)} \). It is of degree \((q - 1)^2(q^2 + 1)\) and is in \( \mathcal{E}(G^*)_s \) such that

   \[ C_{G^*}(s) \simeq (U_1(q) \times U_1(q)) \times O_4^+(q) \times (\text{Sp}_0(q))^* \]

   Note that \( U_1(q) \times U_1(q) \) can only be embedded as a subgroup of \( O_4^+(q) \) but not \( O_2^+(q) \), so \( \eta \) first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_4^+(q))\) and \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_6^+(q))\).

3. Let \( \eta = \xi_{21}^{(k)} \) or \( \xi_{22}^{(k)} \) where \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{2} \} \). It is of degree \( \frac{1}{2}(q - 1)^2(q^2 + 1) \) and is in \( \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) such that

   \[ C_{G^*}(s) \simeq U_1(q) \times O_2^-(q) \times (\text{Sp}_0(q))^* \]

   As in (2), \( \eta \) first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_4^+(q))\) and \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_6^+(q))\).

4. Let \( \eta = \theta_{10} \). The degree of \( \theta_{10} \) is \( \frac{1}{2}q(q - 1)^2 \). Now \( s = 1 \) and hence

   \[ C_{G^*}(s) \simeq U_0(q) \times O_6^+(q) \times (\text{Sp}_4(q))^* \]

   Now \( \eta \) is unipotent and first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_2^-\text{Sp}(q))\) and \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_6^+(q))\) by the result in [AM93] theorem 5.2.

Example 6.13. Let \( n \geq 1, G = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) \), and \( G' = \text{O}_{2n'}(q) \). It is known that \( G \) has two irreducible characters \( \chi_1^{(i)} \) of degree \( \frac{q^{2n+1}}{2} \), and two irreducible characters \( \chi_2^{(i)} \) of degree
\( \frac{q^n-1}{2}, i = 1, 2. \) Let \( \eta = \chi_{\epsilon'} \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) for \( \epsilon' = \pm \). Then \( G(1) = U_0(q), G(2) = O_{2n}^\epsilon(q) \) and \( G(3) = \text{Sp}_0(q), \) and

\[ \Xi_s : \{\chi_{\epsilon'}, \chi_{\epsilon''}\} \to \{1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes \text{sgn} \otimes 1\}, \]

i.e., \( \eta(2) \) is either \( 1 \) or \( \text{sgn} \) of \( O_{2n}^\epsilon(q) \), and \( \eta(3) \) is \( 1 \) of \( \text{Sp}_0(q) \). Then we see that \( \eta \) does not occur in the correspondence for the dual pair \( (\text{Sp}_{2n}(q), O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)) \) for any \( n' < n \).

So now we suppose that \( n' \geq n \). Then \( \eta \otimes \eta' \) occurs in the correspondence where \( \eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G')_{s'} \) such that \( G'(1) = U_0(q), G'(2) = O_{2n}^{\epsilon'}(q) \) and \( G'(3) = O_{2n'-1}^{\epsilon'}(q) \). Moreover, \( \Xi_{s'}(\eta') = \eta(1) \otimes \eta'(2) \otimes \eta'(3) \) where \( \eta(1) = 1, \eta(2) \) is either \( 1 \) or \( \text{sgn} \) of \( O_{2n}^{\epsilon'}(q) \), and \( 1 \otimes \eta'(3) \) occurs in the correspondence for \( (\text{Sp}_0(q), O_{2(n'-1)}^{\epsilon'}(q)) \), hence \( \eta(3) = 1 \).

7. Compatibility for Odd Orthogonal Groups

In this section, we consider a dual pair of a symplectic group and an odd orthogonal group over a finite field of odd characteristic. Most of the arguments in this section are parallel to those in the previous section and will be sketchy.

7.1. Decomposition of the Weil character. In this subsection, we consider dual pair \( (\text{Sp}_{2n}(q), O_{2n'+1}(q)) \). Because \( O_{2n'+1}(q) \simeq \text{SO}_{2n'+1}(q) \times \{\pm 1\} \), we have

\[ R_{T,\theta}^{O_{2n'+1}}|_{\text{SO}_{2n'+1}(q)} = 2 R_{T,\theta}^{\text{SO}_{2n'+1}}. \]

Hence the following proposition follows immediately from [Pan16] theorem 3.8:

**Proposition 7.1.** We have

\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}} : (1 \otimes \chi_{O_{2n'+1}})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n, n')} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{SO}_{2(n'-k)+1}}|} \sum_{v \in W_k} \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{E}(T_v)} \varepsilon_w R_{T_v \times T_w, \theta \otimes \theta_w}^{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)} \times T_w, \theta \otimes \theta_w} \otimes R_{T_v \times T_w, \theta \otimes \theta_w}^{O_{2(n'-k)+1}} \]

where \( \theta_w \) is given in Subsection 7.2.

For a semisimple element \( s \) in a maximal torus \( T^* \) of \( \text{SO}_{2k+1}(q), \epsilon = \pm \) and \( n \geq k \), let \( s_{n, \epsilon}^k \) denote the semisimple element \((s, -1) \in \text{SO}_{2k+1}(q) \times \text{SO}_{2(n-k)}(q) \subseteq \text{SO}_{2n+1}(q) \).

Similarly, if \( s \) is in \( \text{Sp}_{2k}(q) \), then \( s_{n'}^k \) = \((s, -1) \) is a semisimple element in \( \text{Sp}_{2k}(q) \times \text{Sp}_{2(n'-k)}(q) \subseteq \text{Sp}_{2n'}(q) \) for \( n' \geq k \). Similar to the case for even orthogonal groups, we can rewrite the decomposition in Proposition 7.1 as follows:

\[
\omega_{\text{Sp}_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}} : (1 \otimes \chi_{O_{2n'+1}})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n, n')} \frac{1}{|W_k|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}}|} \frac{1}{|W_{\text{SO}_{2(n'-k)+1}}|} \sum_{v \in W_k} \sum_{s \in T_v} \varepsilon_w R_{T_v \times T_w, s_{n, \epsilon}^k \otimes \theta_w}^{\text{Sp}_{2(n-k)} \times T_w, s_{n, \epsilon}^k} \otimes R_{T_v \times T_w, s_{n, \epsilon}^k}^{O_{2(n'-k)+1}}.
\]
For $k \leq \min(n, n')$, $v \in W_k$, and $l \leq k$, we define

\[ T_{v, l}^\nu = \{ s \in T_v^\nu | \nu(s) = k - l \}, \]

\[ X_{k, l}^\nu = \bigcup_{v \in W_k} T_{v, l}^\nu \]

where $\nu(s)$ is given in Subsection [7.2]. Then $X_{k, l}^\nu$ is a subset of $SO_{2k+1}(q)$ with an action by $W_k$.

For $s \in X_{k, l}^\nu$ with $l \leq \min(n, n')$, note that the two elements $s_{n,+}^k$ and $s_{n,-}^k$ in $SO_{2n+1}(q)$ are not conjugate. For $\epsilon = \pm$, let $\omega_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}, s, \epsilon}$ denote the orthogonal projection of $\omega_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}} \cdot (1 \otimes \chi_{O_{2n'+1}}) \text{ over } \mathcal{V}(Sp_{2n}(q))_{\epsilon, s} \otimes \mathcal{V}(O_{2n'+1}(q))_{\epsilon, s'}$. Similar to (6.2), we have the decomposition

\[ \omega_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}} \cdot (1 \otimes \chi_{O_{2n'+1}}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\min(n, n')} (\omega_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}, s, +} + \omega_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}, s, -}). \]

**Lemma 7.3.** Suppose $s \in X_{k, l}^\nu$ for some $l \leq \min(n, n')$ and $\epsilon = \pm$. Then

\[ \omega_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}, s, \epsilon}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\min(n, n')} \frac{1}{|W_k(s_{k, \epsilon})|} \frac{1}{|W_{Sp_{2n}(n-k)}|} \frac{1}{|W_{SO_{2(n'-k)+1}}|} \sum_{v \in W_k(s_{k, \epsilon})} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp_{2n}(n-k)}} \epsilon \rho_{Sp_{2n}}^{T_{v, s_{k, \epsilon}} \otimes T_{w, s_{n-k, \epsilon}} \otimes R_{O_{2(n'-k)+1}}^{O_{2n'+1}, s_{n-k, \epsilon}}}. \]

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Lemma [6.3]. In fact, we only need to notice that for $k \geq l$, each orbit in $X_{k, l}^\nu$ by the action of $W_k$ is of the form $(s_{k, \epsilon})$ for $\epsilon = \pm$ and some unique $(s) \subset X_{k, l}^\nu$. Note that $\epsilon_w = \epsilon$ if $w \in W_{Sp_{2n}(n-k)}$. \(\square\)

### 7.2. Lusztig correspondence of the Weil character.

Let $G$ be a member in a finite dual pair of a symplectic group and an odd orthogonal group. Let $s$ be a semisimple element in the connected component $(G^*)^0$ of the dual group of $G$.

1. Suppose that $G$ is a symplectic group. Then $G^*$ is a special odd orthogonal group.

We define

- $G^{(1)} = G^{(1)}(s) = \prod_{\lambda \subseteq \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l\}, \lambda \neq \pm 1} G_1|\lambda| (s)$;
- $G^{(2)} = G^{(2)}(s) = (G^{(1)}(s))^*$, the dual group of $G^{(1)}(s)$;
- $G^{(3)}(s) = G^{(3)}(s) = G_{[-1]}(s)$,

where $G_1|\lambda|$ is given in Subsection [2.3]. Then we have

\[ C_G(s) \simeq G^{(1)}(s) \times G^{(1)}(s)^* \times G^{(3)}(s), \]

where $G^{(2)}(s)$ is a symplectic group and $G^{(3)}(s)$ is an even orthogonal group. As in (5.4) we have a one-to-one correspondence

\[ \Xi : E(G)_s \longrightarrow E(G^{(1)}(s))_1 \times E(G^{(2)}(s))_1 \times E(G^{(3)}(s))_1. \]
So we will write $\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}$ where $\eta^{(j)}$ is in $E(G^{(j)})_1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\{\eta_i\}$ denote the image under $\Xi^{-1}$ of the set

\[
\{\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}, \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes (\eta^{(3)} \cdot \sgn)\}.
\]

Hence $i = 1, 2$ if $G^{(3)}$ is nontrivial, and $i = 1$ otherwise.

(2) Suppose that $G$ is an odd orthogonal group. Then $G^*$ is the product of a symplectic group and $\{\pm 1\}$ (a group of two elements). We define

- $G^{(1)} = G^{(1)}(s) = \prod_{n(\lambda_i) \leq \nu} G^{(1)}(s)$,
- $G^{(2)} = G^{(2)}(s) = G^{(2)}(s)$,
- $G^{(3)} = G^{(3)}(s) = G_{-1}(s)$,

Now

\[
C_{G^*}(s) \simeq G^{(1)}(s) \times G^{(2)}(s) \times G^{(3)}(s) \times \{\pm 1\}
\]

where $G^{(2)}(s)$ and $G^{(3)}(s)$ are both symplectic groups. Let

\[
\Xi_s: E(G)_s \longrightarrow E(G^{(1)}(s))_1 \times E(G^{(2)}(s))_1 \times E(G^{(3)}(s))_1 \times \{\pm 1\}
\]

be the modified Lusztig correspondence. Here, for simplicity, we identify the characters $\{1, \sgn\}$ of $\{\pm 1\}$ with $\{\pm 1\}$. So we will write $\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \otimes \epsilon$ where $\eta^{(j)}$ is in $E(G^{(j)})_1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$, and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Moreover, we have

\[
\Xi_s(\eta \cdot \sgn) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \otimes (-\epsilon).
\]

If $\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \otimes \epsilon$, we write $\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}$. Then we can extend $\Xi_s$ to be a linear transformation

\[
\Xi_s: V(O_{2n+1}(q))_s \rightarrow V(G^{(1)}(s))_1 \otimes V(G^{(2)}(s))_1 \otimes V(G^{(3)}(s))_1
\]

Lemma 7.7. Let $G = Sp_{2n}$, $G' = O_{2n'+1}$, $\epsilon = \pm$, and $s \in X_{l,l}$ for some $l \leq \min(n, n')$.

Then

(i) $G^{(1)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$ and $G^{(3)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon})$ are product of unitary groups or general linear groups, and both are isomorphic;

(ii) $G^{(2)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$ and $G^{(2)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon})$ are isomorphic symplectic groups;

(iii) $(G^{(3)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon}), G^{(3)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon}))$ is a dual pair of an even orthogonal group and a symplectic group.

Proof. Now $s^b_{n,\epsilon}$ is a semisimple element in $G^* = SO_{2n+1}(q)$. From Subsection 2.2 we know that $G^{(1)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$ is a product of unitary groups or general linear groups, and $G^{(2)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$ is the dual group of $SO_{2n}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$, i.e., $G^{(2)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon}) = Sp_{2n}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$. Moreover, $G^{(3)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon}) = O_{2n-1}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$. Similarly, $s^b_{n'}$ is a semisimple element in $G^{*} = Sp_{2n'}(q)$, and hence $G^{(1)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon})$ is a product of unitary groups or general linear groups, $G^{(2)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon}) = Sp_{2n'}(s^b_{n',\epsilon})$, and $G^{(3)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon}) = Sp_{2n'-1}(s^b_{n',\epsilon})$. Clearly $\nu_{\lambda}(s^b_{n,\epsilon}) = \nu_{\lambda}(s^b_{n',\epsilon}) = \nu_{\lambda}(s)$ when $\lambda \neq -1$, so $G^{(1)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$ and $G^{(1)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon})$ are isomorphic; $G^{(2)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon})$ and $G^{(2)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon})$ are also isomorphic. Finally, $\nu_{-1}(s^b_{n,\epsilon}) = n - l$, $\nu_{-1}(s^b_{n',\epsilon}) = n' - l$, and hence

\[
(G^{(3)}(s^b_{n,\epsilon}), G^{(3)}(s^b_{n',\epsilon})) = (O_{2(n-l)}(q), Sp_{2(n'-l)}(q)).
\]
Let $\Xi_{s_n, s'_n}$ denote $\Xi_{s_n} \otimes \Xi_{s'_n}$. Then we have a linear transformation

$$
\Xi_{s_n, s'_n} : V(Sp_{2n}(q))_{s_n} \otimes V(O_{2n'+1}(q))_{s'_n} \longrightarrow [V(G^{(1)})_1 \otimes V(G^{(1)})_1] \otimes [V(G^{(2)})_1 \otimes V(G^{(2)})_1] \otimes [V(G^{(3)})_1 \otimes V(G^{(3)})_1].
$$

Then again we should have the analogue of Proposition 6.7 for the dual pair of a symplectic group and an odd orthogonal group:

**Proposition 7.8.** Let $s \in X^2_{n,l}$ with $l \leq \min(n, n')$. Then

$$
\Xi_{s_n, s'_n} (w_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}, s, s}) = R^e_{G^{(1)}}, 1 \otimes R^e_{G^{(2)}}, 1 \otimes \omega_{G^{(3)}}, 1.
$$

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Proposition 6.7 Note that

$$
\Xi_{s_n, s'_n} (R^e_{T_{2n} \times T_{2n'}}) = \epsilon G^{(1)} \times G^{(2)} \times G^{(3)}, R^e_{T_{2n} \times T_{2n'+1}},
$$

$$
\Xi_{s_n, s'_n} (R^e_{T_{2n} \times T_{2n'}, s, s'}) = 2 \epsilon G^{(1)} \times G^{(2)} \times G^{(3)}, R^e_{T_{2n} \times T_{2n'+1}},
$$

Then by Lemma 7.3 and the same argument in the proof of Proposition 6.7 we have

$$
\Xi_{s_n, s'_n} (w_{Sp_{2n}, O_{2n'+1}, s, s}) = \left[ \frac{1}{W_{G^{(1)}}} \sum_{v_1 \in W_{G^{(1)}}} R^e_{T_{2n-1}, 1} \otimes R^e_{T_{2n'+1}, 1} \right] \otimes
$$

$$
\left[ \frac{1}{W_{G^{(2)}}} \sum_{v_2 \in W_{G^{(2)}}} R^e_{T_{2n}, 1} \otimes R^e_{T_{2n'}, 1} \right] \otimes \left[ \frac{n}{W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{W_{G^{(3)}}} \sum_{v_3 \in W_{G^{(3)}}} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{Sp_{2(n')}}} \epsilon_{v_3} R^e_{T_{2n} \times T_{2n'}}, 1 \otimes R^e_{T_{2n'} \times T_{2n'}, 1} \right].
$$

For the third component, we apply Lemma 6.3 with $s = 1 \in X_{0,0}$ and $k' = k - l$, $|W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}}| = |W_{Sp_{2(n'-k)}}|$, and identify

$$
W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}} = W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}} \quad \text{and} \quad W_{Sp_{2(n'-k)}} = W_{Sp_{2(n'-k)}},
$$

we conclude that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{W_{k-l}} \left| \frac{1}{W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}}} \frac{1}{W_{Sp_{2(n'-k)}}} \frac{1}{W_{Sp_{2(n'+k)}}} \right| \sum_{v_3 \in W_{k-1}} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{Sp_{2(n'-k)}}} \epsilon_{v_3} R^e_{T_{2n} \times T_{2n'}, 1} \otimes R^e_{T_{2n'} \times T_{2n'}, 1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k'=0}^{n-l} \left| W_{k'} \right| \frac{1}{W_{Sp_{2(n'-k')}}} \frac{1}{W_{Sp_{2(n'+k')}}} \sum_{v_3 \in W_{k'}} \sum_{w \in W_{Sp_{2(n-k)}}} \sum_{w' \in W_{Sp_{2(n'-k')}}} \epsilon_{v_3} R^e_{T_{2n} \times T_{2n'}, 1} \otimes R^e_{T_{2n'} \times T_{2n'}, 1} = \omega_{G^{(3)}}, 1,
$$

Note that now $G^{(3)} = O^{(3)}_{2(n-l)}$ and $G^{(3)} = Sp^{(3)}_{2(n'-l)}$. Then the proposition is proved. \(\square\)
7.3. The main result II. Now we have the compatibility of the Lusztig correspondence and the Howe correspondence for a dual pair of a symplectic group and an odd orthogonal group.

Theorem 7.9. Let $(G, G') = (\text{Sp}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n'+1})$, and let $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s$ and $\eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G')_{s'}$ for some semisimple elements $s \in G^*$ and $s' \in (G')^0$. Write $\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}$, $\Xi_{s'}(\eta') = \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)} \otimes \epsilon$, and let $\{\eta_i\}$ be defined as in (7.5). Then $\eta_i \otimes \eta'$ occurs in $\omega_{G,G'}$ for some $i$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

- $G^{(1)} \simeq G'^{(1)}$, $\eta^{(1)} = \eta'^{(1)}$;
- $G^{(2)} \simeq G'^{(2)}$, $\eta^{(2)} = \eta'^{(2)}$;
- either $\eta^{(3)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}$ or $(\eta^{(3)} \cdot \text{sgn}) \otimes \eta'^{(3)}$ occurs in the Howe occurrence for the dual pair $(G^{(3)}, G'^{(3)})$.

That is, the following diagram:

\[ \eta \xrightarrow{\Theta} \eta' \]

\[ \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \Theta} \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)} \]

commutes up to a twist of the sgn character.

Proof. It is clear that $\eta' \chi_{G'}$ is in $\mathcal{E}(G')_{-s'}$ and

\[ \Xi_{-s'}(\eta' \chi_{G'}) = \Xi_{s'}(\eta') = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \otimes \epsilon \]

(cf. Subsection 3.4).

First suppose that $\eta_i \otimes \eta'$ occurs in $\omega_{G,G'}$ for some $i$. Without loss of the generality, we may just assume that $\eta \otimes \eta'$ occurs in $\omega_{G,G'}$. So $\eta \otimes (\eta' \chi_{G'})$ occurs in $\omega_{G,G'} \cdot (1 \otimes \chi_{G'})$. By (7.2), we know that $\eta \otimes (\eta' \chi_{G'})$ occurs in $\omega_{G,G'} \cdot t_{-\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon = \pm$ and some $t \in X_{\Omega}^\#$ for some integer $l \leq \min(n, n')$. Then $s = t_{n,\epsilon}$ for $-s' = t_{n,\epsilon}^*$ up to a conjugation. Write

\[ \Xi_{(t_{n,\epsilon}, t_{n,\epsilon}^*)}(\omega_{G,G'} \cdot t_{-\epsilon}) = \Omega^{(1)} \otimes \Omega^{(2)} \otimes \Omega^{(3)} \]

where $\Omega^{(j)} \in \mathcal{V}(G^{(j)} \times G'^{(j)})$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then $\Omega^{(j)}$ is a character of $G^{(j)} \times G'^{(j)}$ and $\eta^{(j)} \otimes \eta'^{(j)}$ occurs in $\Omega^{(j)}$.

- Now $G^{(1)}$ is a product of unitary groups or general linear groups and isomorphic to $G'^{(1)}$ by Lemma (7.7). We identify $G^{(1)}$ and $G'^{(1)}$ and by Proposition 7.8 we have $\Omega^{(1)} = R_{G^{(1)},1}^d$. Because now $G^{(1)}$ is a product of unitary groups or general linear groups, every irreducible character of $G^{(1)} \times G^{(1)}$ is uniform, and hence $\Omega^{(1)} = R_{G^{(1)},1}^d$. This implies that $\eta^{(1)} = \eta'^{(1)}$.
- By Lemma (7.7) $G^{(2)} = G'^{(2)} = \text{Sp}_{2n',(1)}(\eta)$. By Proposition 7.8 we have $\Omega^{(2)} = R_{G^{(2)},1}^d$. Then by Proposition 3.19 we have $\eta^{(2)} = \eta'^{(2)}$.
- By Lemma (7.7) $(G^{(3)}, G'^{(3)})$ is a dual pair of an even orthogonal group and a symplectic group, and by Proposition 7.8 we have $\Omega^{(3)} = \omega_{G^{(3)},G'^{(3)},1}$. Now $\Omega^{(3)}$ is a non-negative integral combination of irreducible unipotent characters of $G^{(3)} \times G'^{(3)}$ whose uniform projection is equal to the uniform projection of
Example 7.12. Keep the notation in Example 6.12. Let \( \eta \) be one of the cuspidal representation of \( \text{Sp}_4(q) \). As in Example 6.12, we have the following four cases:

1. Let \( \eta = \chi_1^{(k)} \). It is in \( \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) such that

\[
C_{G^*}(s) \simeq U_1(q^2) \times (\text{Sp}_0(q))^* \times O_0^+(q).
\]

Since the trivial character of \( O_0^+(q) \) first occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair \((O_0^+(q), \text{Sp}_0(q))\), \( \eta \) first occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_5(q))\) (for both non-isomorphic forms of the 5-dimensional orthogonal spaces).

2. Let \( \eta = \chi_4^{(k,l)} \). It is in \( \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) such that

\[
C_{G^*}(s) \simeq (U_1(q) \times U_1(q)) \times (\text{Sp}_0(q))^* \times O_0^+(q).
\]

Again, \( \eta \) first occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair \((\text{Sp}_4(q), O_5(q))\).

3. Let \( \eta = \xi_2^{(k)} \) or \( \xi_2^{(k)} \). It is in \( \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) such that

\[
C_{G^*}(s) \simeq U_1(q) \times (\text{Sp}_0(q))^* \times O_2^-(q).
\]
Since one of the unipotent cuspidal characters of $O_2^-(q)$ first occurs in the correspondence for the pair $(O_2^-(q), \text{Sp}_{0}(q))$ and the other first occurs in the correspondence for the pair $(O_2^-(q), \text{Sp}_{4}(q))$, one of $\xi_{2,2}^{(k)}$, $\xi_{3,2}^{(k)}$ first occurs in the correspondence for the pair $(\text{Sp}_{4}(q), O_3(q))$ and the other first occurs in the correspondence for the pair $(\text{Sp}_{4}(q), O_7(q))$.

(4) Let $\eta = \theta_{10}$. It is in $\mathcal{E}(G)$ such that

$$C_{G'}(s) \simeq U_0(q) \times (\text{Sp}_{4}(q))^* \times O_6^+(q).$$

As in (1), $\eta$ first occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair $(\text{Sp}_{4}(q), O_{5}(q))$.

Example 7.13. Let $n \geq 1$, $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, $G' = O_{2n'+1}(q)$, and $\eta = \chi_0^{(i)}$ (cf. Example 6.13). Then $G^{(1)} = U_0(q)$, $G^{(2)} = \text{Sp}_0(q)$ and $G^{(3)} = O_{2n}^+(q)$, and

$$\Xi_{\eta} : \{\chi_1^{(1)}, \chi_2^{(2)}\} \to \{1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{sgn}\},$$

i.e., $\eta^{(1)} = 1$ of $U_0(q)$, $\eta^{(2)} = 1$ of $\text{Sp}_0(q)$, and $\eta^{(3)}$ is either 1 or $\text{sgn}$ of $O_{2n}^+(q)$.

Suppose that $\eta = \eta'$ for some $\eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G')_{s'}$. Then we see that $G^{(1)} = U_0(q)$, $G^{(2)} = \text{Sp}_0(q)$, $G^{(3)} = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ and $\eta^{(1)} = 1$ of $U_0(q)$, $\eta^{(2)} = 1$ of $\text{Sp}_0(q)$. Moreover, either 1 $\otimes \eta'^{(3)}$ or $\text{sgn} \otimes \eta'^{(3)}$ occurs in the correspondence for $(O_{2n}^+(q), \text{Sp}_{2n}(q))$.

(1) Suppose that $\epsilon' = +$. Note that $1 = \rho^{(n,a)}_{(n,a)}$ and $\text{sgn} = \rho^{(n,a)}_{(n,a)}$ for $G^{(3)} = O_{2n}^+(q)$.

Moreover by Lemma 6.14, we have

$$\left(\left(n'+k+1\right)_k, \left(n\right)_k\right) \in \mathcal{B}^+ \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \ldots, \min(n, n'),$$

$$\left(\left(n'-k+1\right)_k, \left(n\right)_k\right) \in \mathcal{B}^+ \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \ldots, \min(n, n' - n).$$

This means that one of $\{\chi_1^{(1)}, \chi_2^{(2)}\}$ occurs in the correspondence for any $n' \geq 0$ and corresponds to the trivial character of $SO_{2n'+1}(q)$ if $n' = 0$; and the other occurs in the correspondence only for $n' \geq n$.

(2) Suppose that $\epsilon' = -$. Note that $1 = \rho^{(n,-a)}_{(n,a)}$ and $\text{sgn} = \rho^{(n,0)}_{(n,0)}$. Now we have

$$\left(\left(n'-k+1\right)_0, \left(n\right)_0\right) \in \mathcal{B}^- \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, \min(n, n' + 1),$$

$$\left(\left(n'+k+1\right)_k, \left(n\right)_0\right) \in \mathcal{B}^- \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, \min(n, n' - n).$$

Again, one of $\{\chi_1^{(1)}, \chi_2^{(2)}\}$ occurs in the correspondence for any $n' \geq 0$ and corresponds to the trivial character of $SO_{2n'+1}(q)$ if $n' = 0$; and the other occurs in the correspondence only for $n' \geq n + 1$.

The following result is an easy consequence of Theorems 6.9 and 7.9.

Corollary 7.14. Let $\rho$ be an irreducible character of $G$. Suppose that both $\rho \otimes \rho'$ and $\rho \otimes \rho''$ occur in the correspondence for dual pair $(G, G')$. Then $\rho', \rho''$ are in the same Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(G')_{s'}$ for some $s'$. 
8. (Non-)Preservation Principle for Unipotent Characters

8.1. Preservation principle for unipotent cuspidal characters. The characterization of unipotent cuspidal characters is described in Subsection 1.6. Keep the notation and the setting of Subsection 1.6. From [AM93] theorem 4.1 and theorem 5.2, we have the following preservation principle of unipotent cuspidal characters for finite dual pairs:

(I) For the unipotent cuspidal character $\zeta^U_m$ of $U_{m(m+1)}(q)$, we have

$$n_0^+(\zeta^U_m) + n_0^-(\zeta^U_m) = \frac{m(m-1)}{2} + \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2} = m(m+1) + 1.$$

(II) For the unipotent cuspidal characters $\zeta^I_m, \zeta^H_m$ of $O_{2m^2}(q)$, we have

$$n_0^+(\zeta^I_m) + n_0^-(\zeta^H_m) = 2m(m-1) + 2m(m+1) = 4m^2.$$

(III.a) For the unipotent cuspidal character $\zeta^{Sp}_m$ of $Sp_{2m(m+1)}(q)$ and all the orthogonal spaces $\psi^\pm$ are even-dimensional, we have

$$n_0^+(\zeta^{Sp}_m) + n_0^-(\zeta^{Sp}_m) = 2m^2 + 2(m+1)^2 = 4m(m+1) + 2.$$

Note that unipotent characters are not preserved in the Howe correspondence for the dual pair $(Sp_{2n}(q), O_{2n+1}(q))$.

It is known that the above preservation principle also holds for any reducible cuspidal characters (cf. [Pan02] theorem 12.3) but it does not hold for general irreducible characters.

For the remaining of this section, we want to investigate the phenomena for any irreducible unipotent characters.

8.2. Extreme symbols. For a $\beta$-set $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$, we define

$$\delta(A) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A = \emptyset; \\ a_1 - m + 1, & \text{if } A \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\delta(A) \geq 0$, and $\delta(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$ or $A = \{m-1, m-2, \ldots, 0\}$ for some $m \geq 1$. For a symbol $\Lambda = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a \cr b \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, we define $\delta(\Lambda) = \delta(A) + \delta(B)$. Therefore

$$\delta\left( \begin{smallmatrix} a_1, \ldots, a_{m_1} \\ b_1, \ldots, b_{m_2} \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = 0; \\ a_1 - m_1 + 1, & \text{if } m_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } m_2 = 0; \\ b_1 - m_2 + 1, & \text{if } m_1 = 0 \text{ and } m_2 \neq 0; \\ a_1 + b_1 - (m_1 + m_2) + 2, & \text{if } m_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } m_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\delta(\Lambda) = \delta(\Lambda^t)$ and $\delta(\Lambda) = \delta(\Lambda')$ if $\Lambda$ is equivalent to $\Lambda'$.

Lemma 8.1. A symbol $\Lambda$ is cuspidal if and only if $\delta(\Lambda) = 0$.

Proof. It is clear that $\delta(\Lambda) = 0$ if $\Lambda$ is cuspidal.

On the other hand, write $\Lambda = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a \cr b \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ for some $\beta$-sets $A, B$. Now $\delta(\Lambda) = 0$ implies that $\delta(A) = \delta(B) = 0$. Hence $A$ is either empty or $A = \{m_1, m_1 - 1, \ldots, 1, 0\}$ for some $m_1 \geq 0$. Similarly, $B$ is either empty or $B = \{m_2, m_2 - 1, \ldots, 1, 0\}$ for some $m_2 \geq 0$. 


If \( A \) or \( B \) is empty, it is clear that \( \Lambda \) is cuspidal. If \( A \) and \( B \) are both non-empty, without loss of generality, may assume that \( m_1 \geq m_2 \), then
\[
\Lambda = \left( m_1, m_1 - 1, \ldots, 1, 0 \right) \sim \left( m_1 - m_2 - 1, m_1 - m_2 - 2, \ldots, 1, 0 \right).
\]
So \( \Lambda \) is cuspidal.

8.3. (Non-)preservation principle for symplectic groups. For a symbol \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{SP} \), define \( \theta_0^\Lambda(L) \) to be a symbol in
\[
\Theta^\Lambda(L) = \{ \Lambda' \in \mathcal{S}_{O'} \mid (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in \mathcal{B}' \}
\]
of minimal rank, i.e., \( \theta_0^\Lambda(L) \in \Theta^\Lambda(L) \) and \( \text{rank}(\theta_0^\Lambda(L)) \leq \text{rank}(\Lambda') \) for any \( \Lambda' \in \Theta^\Lambda(L) \).

**Lemma 8.2.** For \( \Lambda = \left( \frac{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m_1}}{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m_2}} \right) \in \mathcal{S}_{SP} \), we have
\[
\theta_0^\Lambda(L) = \begin{cases} 
\left( b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots, b_{m_2} \right) = \Lambda \setminus \left( \frac{a_1}{a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{m_1}} \right), & \text{if } m_1 > 0; \\
\left( b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1, \ldots, b_{m_2} + 1, 0 \right), & \text{if } m_1 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** It is known that \( \text{def}(\Lambda) \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) by definition, so it is easy to see that
\[
\text{def}(\theta_0^\Lambda(L)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{def}(\theta_0^{-\Lambda}(L)) \equiv 2 \pmod{4},
\]
i.e., \( \theta_0^\Lambda(L) \in \mathcal{S}_{O'} \). Moreover, by Lemma 2.17, it is not difficult to see that \( (\Lambda, \theta_0^\Lambda(L)) \in \mathcal{B}' \) and \( \theta_0^\Lambda(L) \) is of minimal rank in \( \Theta^\Lambda(L) \).

**Proposition 8.3.** For \( \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{SP} \), we have
\[
\text{rank}(\theta_0^\Lambda(L)) + \text{rank}(\theta_0^{-\Lambda}(L)) = 2 \text{rank}(\Lambda) - \delta(\Lambda) + 1.
\]

**Proof.** Write \( \Lambda = \left( \frac{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m_1}}{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m_2}} \right) \). Note that now \( m_1 + m_2 \) is odd and hence
\[
\left( \frac{m_1 + m_2}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2};
\]
\[
\left( \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 2}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2}.
\]

1. If \( m_1 = 0 \), then \( m_2 \neq 0 \) and
\[
\text{rank}(\theta_0^\Lambda(L)) = \text{rank}(\Lambda) + m_2 - \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2};
\]
\[
\text{rank}(\theta_0^{-\Lambda}(L)) = \text{rank}(\Lambda) - b_1 + \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2}.
\]

2. If \( m_2 = 0 \), then \( m_1 \neq 0 \) and
\[
\text{rank}(\theta_0^\Lambda(L)) = \text{rank}(\Lambda) + m_1 - \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2};
\]
\[
\text{rank}(\theta_0^{-\Lambda}(L)) = \text{rank}(\Lambda) - a_1 + \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2}.
\]
(3) If both $m_1, m_2$ are nonzero, then
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{rank}(\theta_0^+ (\Lambda)) &= \text{rank}(\Lambda) - a_1 + \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2}; \\
\text{rank}(\theta_0^- (\Lambda)) &= \text{rank}(\Lambda) - b_1 + \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]
For all three cases, we have
\[
\text{rank}(\theta_0^+ (\Lambda)) + \text{rank}(\theta_0^- (\Lambda)) = 2 \text{rank}(\Lambda) - \delta(\Lambda) + 1.
\]
\[\square\]

**Corollary 8.4.** For an irreducible unipotent character $\rho_\Lambda$ of $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, we have
\[
n_0^+ (\rho_\Lambda) + n_0^- (\rho_\Lambda) = 4n - 2\delta(\Lambda) + 2.
\]

**Proof.** We know that $\text{rank}(\Lambda) = n$ and $n_0^+ (\rho_\Lambda) = 2 \text{rank}(\theta_0^+ (\Lambda))$. So the corollary follows from Proposition 8.3 immediately. \[\square\]

**Remark 8.5.** Let $\Lambda \in S_{\text{Sp}_{2n}}$. It is not difficult to see that $\delta(\Lambda) \leq n$ and hence
\[
n_0^+ (\rho_\Lambda) + n_0^- (\rho_\Lambda) \geq 2n + 2
\]
from Corollary 8.4. Moreover, if $\delta(\Lambda) = n$, then it is not difficult to see that
\[
\Lambda = \Lambda_k := \left( n - k + 1, 0 \right)_k
\]
for some $0 \leq k \leq n$. This $\rho_{\Lambda_k}$ is the only irreducible character of $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ which both occurs in the Howe correspondences for $(\text{Sp}_{2n}(q), O_{2k}^+(q))$ and $(\text{Sp}_{2n}(q), O_{2(n-k)+2}^-(q))$.

This assertion is an refinement of [How] corollary 5.2.1.

### 8.4. (Non-)preservation principle for even orthogonal groups.

For a symbol $\Lambda' \in S_{\text{O}'}$, define $\theta_0(\Lambda')$ to be a symbol in
\[
\Theta(\Lambda') = \{ \Lambda \in S_{\text{Sp}} \mid (\Lambda, \Lambda') \in B' \}
\]
of minimal rank.

**Lemma 8.6.** For $\Lambda' = \left( \frac{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m_1}}{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m_2}} \right) \in S_{\text{O}'}$, we have
\[
\theta_0(\Lambda') = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m_2}}{a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{m_1}} \right) = \Lambda^n \setminus \left( \frac{a_1}{-} \right), & \text{if } \epsilon = + \text{ and } m_1 > 0; \\
\left( \frac{b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1, \ldots, b_{m_2} + 1}{a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{m_1}} \right), & \text{if } \epsilon = + \text{ and } m_1 = 0; \\
\left( \frac{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m_1}}{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m_2}} \right) = \Lambda^n \setminus \left( \frac{b_1}{-} \right), & \text{if } \epsilon = - \text{ and } m_2 > 0; \\
\left( \frac{a_1 + 1, a_2 + 1, \ldots, a_{m_1} + 1}{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m_2}} \right), & \text{if } \epsilon = - \text{ and } m_2 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** If $\epsilon = +$, then $\text{def}(\Lambda') \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and hence $\text{def}(\theta_0(\Lambda')) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If $\epsilon = -$, then $\text{def}(\Lambda') \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and then $\text{def}(\theta_0(\Lambda')) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, again. So we have $\theta_0(\Lambda') \in S_{\text{Sp}}$. Moreover, by Lemma 8.4, it is easy to see that \((\theta_0(\Lambda'), \Lambda') \in B' \)
and \(\theta_0(\Lambda')\) is of minimal rank in $\Theta(\Lambda')$. \[\square\]
Proposition 8.7. For $\Lambda \in S_{O^\vee}$, we have

$$\text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda)) + \text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda^t)) = 2 \text{rank}(\Lambda) - \delta(\Lambda).$$

Proof. Write $\Lambda = \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m \end{array}\right)_{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m}$. Note that now $m_1 + m_2$ is even. Suppose first that $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda^t = \left(\begin{array}{c} - \end{array}\right)$ and $\varepsilon = +$. It is clearly that $\theta_0(\Lambda) = \theta_0(\Lambda^t) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}\right)$, and the proposition is obvious.

Now we suppose that $m_1 + m_2 \geq 2$ and we have

$$\left(\frac{m_1 + m_2}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{m_1 + m_2}{2};$$

$$\left(\frac{m_1 + m_2 - 1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{m_1 + m_2 - 2}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 2}{2}.$$

(1) If $m_1 = 0$, then $m_2 \neq 0$ and

$$\text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda)) + \text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda^t)) = \text{rank}(\Lambda) + m_2 - \frac{m_1 + m_2}{2} + \text{rank}(\Lambda) - b_1 + \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 2}{2}.$$  

(2) If $m_2 = 0$, then $m_1 \neq 0$ and

$$\text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda)) + \text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda^t)) = \text{rank}(\Lambda) + m_1 - \frac{m_1 + m_2}{2} + \text{rank}(\Lambda) - a_1 + \frac{m_1 + m_2 - 2}{2}.$$  

(3) If both $m_1$, $m_2$ are nonzero, then

$$\text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda)) + \text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda^t)) = 2 \text{rank}(\Lambda) - (a_1 + b_1) + (m_1 + m_2 - 2).$$

For both three cases, we have

$$\text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda)) + \text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda^t)) = 2 \text{rank}(\Lambda) - \delta(\Lambda).$$

□

Corollary 8.8. For an irreducible unipotent character $\rho_\Lambda$ of $O^\vee_{2n}(q)$, we have

$$n'_0(\rho_\Lambda) + n'_0(\rho_\Lambda \cdot \text{sgn}) = 4n - 2\delta(\Lambda).$$

Proof. Now $\text{rank}(\Lambda) = \text{rank}(\Lambda^t) = n$, $\rho_\Lambda^t = \rho_\Lambda \cdot \text{sgn}$, and $n'_0(\rho_\Lambda) = 2 \text{rank}(\theta_0(\Lambda))$. So the corollary follows from Proposition 8.7 immediately. □

8.5. (Non-)preservation principle for unitary groups. Suppose that $\rho_\Lambda \otimes \rho_{\lambda', \varepsilon}$ occurs in the Howe correspondence for the dual pair $(U_n(q), U_{n'}(q))$ where $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$, $\lambda'^t$ is a partition of $n'$, $\varepsilon = \pm$ and $n'^\pm$ is even, $n'^-$ is odd.

(1) Suppose that $\ell(\lambda_\infty)$ is even. Then we know that $(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda^t}) \in B^+$ and $(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda^t}) \in B^-$ by Proposition 6.13. To achieve the minimal rank, we see that $\Lambda_{\lambda'}$ must be equal to $\theta^0_0(\Lambda_\lambda)$ given in Lemma 8.2 or equivalently, equal to $\theta_0(\Lambda_\lambda)$ with given $\varepsilon$ in Lemma 8.2.
(2) Suppose that $\ell(\lambda_\infty)$ is odd. Then we know that $(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda^-}) \in \mathcal{B}^+$ and $(\Lambda_\lambda, \Lambda_{\lambda^+-}) \in \mathcal{B}^-$ by Proposition 5.13. To achieve the minimal rank, we see that $\Lambda_{\lambda^-}$ must be equal to $\theta_0^{-\epsilon}(\Lambda)$ given in Lemma 8.2, or equivalently, equal to $\theta_0(\Lambda_\lambda)$ with given $-\epsilon$ in Lemma 8.6.

Then from Proposition 8.3 and Proposition 8.7 we know that

\begin{equation}
\text{rank}(\theta_0^+(\Lambda_\lambda)) + \text{rank}(\theta_0^-(\Lambda_\lambda)) = \begin{cases}
2 \text{rank}(\Lambda_\lambda) - \delta(\Lambda_\lambda) + 1, & \text{if } \text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) \text{ is odd}; \\
2 \text{rank}(\Lambda_\lambda) - \delta(\Lambda_\lambda), & \text{if } \text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) \text{ is even}.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Define $\delta(\lambda) = \delta(\Lambda_\lambda)$.

**Proposition 8.10.** For an irreducible unipotent character $\rho_\lambda$ of $\mathrm{U}_n(q)$, we have

\[ n_0^+(\rho_\lambda) + n_0^-(\rho_\lambda) = 2n - 2\delta(\lambda) + 1. \]

**Proof.** Suppose that $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$, and $\|\lambda_\infty\| = \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ for some integer $d$.

If $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda)$ is even, then

\begin{equation}
\left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) - 1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) + 1}{2}\right) + \left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) + 2}{2}\right) = 2 \left(\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda)}{2}\right)^2
\end{equation}

and then by (5.3), (8.9) and (8.11), we conclude that

\[ n_0^+(\rho_\lambda) + n_0^-(\rho_\lambda) = \frac{d(d-1)}{2} + \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2} + 2 \text{rank}(\theta_0^+(\Lambda_\lambda)) + 2 \text{rank}(\theta_0^-(\Lambda_\lambda)) - \left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) - 2}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda)}{2}\right) - \left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) + 2}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda)}{2}\right)
\]

\[ = 2 \cdot \frac{d(d+1)}{2} + 1 + 4 \text{rank}(\Lambda_\lambda) - 2 \left(\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda)}{2}\right)^2 - 2\delta(\lambda)
\]

\[ = 2 \|\lambda\| - 2\delta(\lambda) + 1.
\]

If $\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda)$ is odd, then

\begin{equation}
\left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) - 1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) + 1}{2}\right)^2 = 2 \left(\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) - 1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) + 1}{2}\right) + 1
\end{equation}

and then by (5.3), (8.9) and (8.12), we conclude that

\[ n_0^+(\rho_\lambda) + n_0^-(\rho_\lambda) = \frac{d(d-1)}{2} + \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2} + 2 \text{rank}(\theta_0^+(\Lambda_\lambda)) + 2 \text{rank}(\theta_0^-(\Lambda_\lambda)) - \left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) - 1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(-\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) + 1}{2}\right)^2
\]

\[ = 2 \cdot \frac{d(d+1)}{2} + 1 + 4 \text{rank}(\Lambda_\lambda) - 2 \left(\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) - 1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\text{def}(\Lambda_\lambda) + 1}{2}\right) - 2\delta(\lambda)
\]

\[ = 2 \|\lambda\| - 2\delta(\lambda) + 1.
\]
9. General (Non-)preservation Principle

Now we can deduce the (non-)preservation principle for general irreducible characters from Theorem 6.9, Theorem 7.9 and the result for unipotent characters in Section 8.

9.1. For unitary groups. Suppose \( G \) is a unitary group. Write \( \Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \) by (5.14). Then we can write \( \eta^{(2)} = \rho_{\Lambda_s} \) for some symbol \( \Lambda_s \) associated to a partition \( \lambda \).

Then we define \( \delta(\eta) = \delta(\eta^{(2)}) = \delta(\Lambda_s). \) If \( \eta \) is cuspidal, then \( \eta^{(j)} \) is also cuspidal for each \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), and hence \( \delta(\eta) := \delta(\eta^{(2)}) = 0. \)

**Theorem 9.1.** Let \( \eta \) be an irreducible character of a unitary group \( G(\mathfrak{v}) \). Then

\[
\eta^{(s)}_0(\eta) + \eta^{(s)}_o(\eta) = 2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}) - 2\delta(\eta) + 1.
\]

**Proof.** We identify \( G(\mathfrak{v}) \) with its dual group. Suppose that \( \eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) for some \( s \). Then we have decompositions

\[
\mathfrak{v} = \bigoplus_{(\lambda), \lambda \neq 1} \mathfrak{v}[\lambda] \oplus \mathfrak{v}[1], \quad \mathfrak{v}^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{(\lambda), \lambda \neq 1} \mathfrak{v}_{[\lambda]}^{\pm} \oplus \mathfrak{v}_{[1]}^{\pm}.
\]

From Subsection 5.3 we have \( \dim(\mathfrak{v}[\lambda]) = \dim(\mathfrak{v}_{[\lambda]}^{\pm}) \) for \( \lambda \neq 1 \). By Proposition 5.15 the unipotent character \( \eta^{(2)} \) first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \( (G(\mathfrak{v}[1]), G(\mathfrak{v}_{[1]}^{\pm})) \) of two unitary groups. Hence

\[
\dim(\mathfrak{v}[1]^{\pm}) + \dim(\mathfrak{v}[1]^{-}) = \begin{cases} 
2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}[1]) + 1, & \text{if } \eta^{(2)} \text{ is extreme;} \\
2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}[1]) - 2\delta(\eta^{(2)}) + 1, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

by Proposition 5.10. Therefore

\[
\dim(\mathfrak{v}^{+}) + \dim(\mathfrak{v}^{-}) = \left( \sum_{(\lambda), \lambda \neq 1} \dim(\mathfrak{v}_{[\lambda]}^{+}) \right) + \dim(\mathfrak{v}[1]^{+}) + \left( \sum_{(\lambda), \lambda \neq 1} \dim(\mathfrak{v}_{[\lambda]}^{-}) \right) + \dim(\mathfrak{v}[1]^{-})
\]

\[
= 2 \sum_{(\lambda), \lambda \neq 1} \dim(\mathfrak{v}[\lambda]) + \begin{cases} 
2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}[1]) + 1, & \text{if } \eta \text{ is extreme;} \\
2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}[1]) - 2\delta(\eta^{(2)}) + 1, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}) + 1, & \text{if } \eta \text{ is extreme;} \\
2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}) - 2\delta(\eta) + 1, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

\[ \square \]

9.2. For orthogonal groups. For a symplectic group or an orthogonal group \( G \), write

\[
\Xi_s(\eta) = \begin{cases} 
\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \otimes \epsilon, & \text{if } \mathbf{G} \text{ is odd orthogonal;} \\
\eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

by (5.4), (5.6) and (7.6). Then we can write \( \eta^{(3)} = \rho_{\Lambda} \) for some symbol \( \Lambda \). We define \( \delta(\eta) = \delta(\eta^{(3)}) = \delta(\Lambda). \) If \( \eta \) is cuspidal, then \( \eta^{(j)} \) is also cuspidal for each \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), and hence \( \delta(\eta) := \delta(\eta^{(3)}) = 0. \)
Lemma 9.2. Let \( \eta \) be an irreducible character of an odd-orthogonal group \( G(\mathfrak{v}) \). Suppose that \( \eta \otimes \eta' \) (resp. \( \eta \cdot \text{sgn} \otimes \eta'' \)) occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair \((G(\mathfrak{v}), G(\mathfrak{v}'))\) (resp. \((G(\mathfrak{v}), G(\mathfrak{v}''))\)), and \( \eta' \) (resp. \( \eta'' \)) is in \( \mathcal{E}(G(\mathfrak{v}'))_{s'} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{E}(G(\mathfrak{v}''))_{s''} \)). Then the two even orthogonal groups \( G^{(3)}(s') \) and \( G^{(3)}(s'') \) are in different Witt series.

Proof. Let \( G = G(\mathfrak{v}) \) and suppose that \( \eta \) is in \( \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) for some \( s \). Write \( \Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \otimes \epsilon \). Then we know that \( \Xi_s(\eta \cdot \text{sgn}) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \otimes (-\epsilon) \). From the proof of Theorem 7.9 we see that if \( -s = t' \), then \( s' = t''_{n', -\epsilon} \) and \( s'' = t''_{n', -\epsilon} \). Therefore \( G^{(3)}(s') \) and \( G^{(3)}(s'') \) are in different Witt series.

Theorem 9.3. Let \( \eta \) be an irreducible character of an orthogonal group \( G(\mathfrak{v}) \). Then
\[
n_0(\eta) + n'_0(\eta \cdot \text{sgn}) = 2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}) - 2\delta(\eta).
\]

Proof. (1) Suppose that \( \mathfrak{v} \) is an even-dimensional orthogonal space. Identify \( G(\mathfrak{v}) \) with its dual group and suppose that \( \eta \in \mathcal{E}(G)_s \) for some \( s \). We have a decomposition
\[
\mathfrak{v} = \left( \bigoplus_{(\lambda), \lambda \neq \pm 1} \mathfrak{v}_{[\lambda]} \right) \oplus \mathfrak{v}_{[1]} \oplus \mathfrak{v}_{[1]}
\]
such that
\[
G^{(1)} = \prod_{(\lambda), \lambda \neq \pm 1} G(\mathfrak{v}_{[\lambda]}), \quad G^{(2)} = G(\mathfrak{v}_{[1]}), \quad G^{(3)} = G(\mathfrak{v}_{[1]}^*),
\]
Now \( \mathfrak{v}^{\pm} \) are symplectic spaces. Let \( \mathfrak{v}^{*\pm} \) be the odd orthogonal spaces such that \( G(\mathfrak{v}^{*\pm}) \) is the dual group of \( G(\mathfrak{v}^{\pm}) \). Then we have
\[
\mathfrak{v}^{*\pm} = \left( \bigoplus_{(\lambda), \lambda \neq \pm 1} \mathfrak{v}^{*\pm}_{[\lambda]} \right) \oplus \mathfrak{v}^{*\pm}_{[-1]} \oplus \mathfrak{v}^{*\pm}_{[1]}.
\]
Now \( \mathfrak{v}^{*\pm}_{[1]} \) are odd orthogonal spaces. Let \( \mathfrak{v}^{*\pm}_{[1]} \) be the symplectic spaces such that \( G(\mathfrak{v}^{*\pm}_{[1]}) \) are the dual groups of \( G(\mathfrak{v}^{\pm}_{[1]}) \).

By Lemma 6.3 we have \( \dim(\mathfrak{v}_{[\lambda]}) = \dim(\mathfrak{v}_{[\lambda]}^{*\pm}) \) for \( \lambda \neq 1 \). Moreover, by Theorem 6.2 \( \eta^{(3)} \) first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \((G(\mathfrak{v}_{[1]}), G(\mathfrak{v}^{*\pm}_{[1]}))\).

Now \( G(\mathfrak{v}) \) is an even orthogonal group, so \( G^{(1)} \) is a product of unitary groups or general linear groups; and both \( G^{(2)} \) and \( G^{(3)} \) are even orthogonal groups. Then \( \Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \), and \( \Xi_s(\eta \cdot \text{sgn}) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes (\eta^{(2)} \cdot \text{sgn}) \otimes (\eta^{(3)} \cdot \text{sgn}) \). Hence by Corollary 8.8 we have
\[
\dim(\mathfrak{v}_{[1]}^{\pm}) + \dim(\mathfrak{v}_{[1]}^{*\pm}) = 2 \dim(\mathfrak{v}_{[1]}) - 2\delta(\eta^{(3)}),
\]
and therefore

\[ \dim(v'^+) + \dim(v'^-) = \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq 1} \dim(v'_{[\lambda]}^+) + \dim(v'_{[1]}^+) \right) + \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq 1} \dim(v'_{[\lambda]}^-) + \dim(v'_{[1]}^-) \right) \]

\[ = 2 \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq 1} \dim(v'_{[\lambda]}) \right) + 2 \dim(v_{[1]}) - 2 \delta(\eta^{(3)}) \]

\[ = 2 \dim(v) - 2 \delta(\eta). \]

(2) Suppose that \( v \) is a \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional orthogonal space. Then \( v^* \) is a symplectic space, and there is a decomposition

\[ v^* = \bigoplus_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq \pm 1} v^*_{[\lambda]} \oplus v^*_{[1]} \oplus v^*_{[-1]} \]

where \( v^*_{[1]} \) and \( v^*_{[-1]} \) are symplectic spaces, and hence

\[ \dim(v) = \dim(v^*) + 1 = \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq -1} \dim(v^*_{[\lambda]}) \right) + \dim(v^*_{[-1]}) + 1. \]

On the other hand, \( v'^{\pm} \) is a symplectic space, and then \( v'^{\ast \pm} \) are odd-dimensional orthogonal spaces, and there are decompositions

\[ v'^{\ast \pm} = \bigoplus_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq \pm 1} v'^{\ast \pm}_{[\lambda]} \oplus v'^{\ast \pm}_{[1]} \oplus v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]} \]

where \( v'^{\ast \pm}_{[1]} \) are odd orthogonal spaces and \( v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]} \) are even orthogonal spaces. Let \( v'^{\ast \pm}_{[1]} \) be the symplectic spaces such that \( G(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[1]}) \) are the dual groups of \( G(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]}) \). Then

\[ \dim(v'^{\pm}) = \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq 1} \dim(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[\lambda]}) \right) + \dim(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[1]}). \]

By Lemma 7.7, we have \( \dim(v'^{\ast}_{[\lambda]}) = \dim(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[\lambda]}) \) for \( \lambda \neq \pm 1 \), \( \dim(v'^{\ast}_{[1]}) = \dim(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[1]}) \), and \( \eta^{(3)} \) first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \( (G(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]}), G(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]})) \). Now \( v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]} \) are even orthogonal spaces, and by Lemma 9.2 the two spaces \( v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]} \) and \( v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]} \) are in different Witt series. Hence

\[ \dim(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]}) + \dim(v'^{\ast \pm}_{[-1]}) = 2 \dim(v'^{\ast}_{[-1]}) - 2 \delta(\eta^{(3)}) + 2 \]
by Corollary 8.4. Therefore
\[ \dim(v'^{+}) + \dim(v'^{-}) = \left( \sum_{\lambda, \lambda \neq 1} \dim(v^*_{\lambda} + \dim(v'^{+}_{[1]})) \right) + \left( \sum_{\lambda, \lambda \neq 1} \dim(v^*_{\lambda}) + \dim(v'^{-}_{[1]}) \right) \]
\[ = 2 \left( \sum_{\lambda, \lambda \neq \pm 1} \dim(v^*_{\lambda}) \right) + 2 \dim(v'^{+}_{[1]}) + 2 \dim(v'^{-}_{[1]}) - 2 \delta(\eta^{(3)}) + 2 \]
\[ = 2 \dim(v) - 2 \delta(\eta) \]
by (9.4).

\[ \square \]

9.3. For symplectic groups.

9.3.1. Even orthogonal spaces. In this subsection we consider a dual pair of a symplectic group and an even orthogonal group.

**Theorem 9.5.** Let \( \eta \) be an irreducible character of a symplectic group \( G(v) \). Suppose that \( \{ v'^{\pm} \} \) are Witt series of even-dimensional orthogonal spaces. Then

(9.6) \[ n^{+}_{0}(\eta) + n^{-}_{0}(\eta) = 2 \dim(v) - 2 \delta(\eta) + 2. \]

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \eta \) is in \( E(G) \) for some \( s \). Write \( \Xi_{s}(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)} \). From Subsection 6.2, we know that \( s \) determines a decomposition

\[ v^* = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \lambda \neq \pm 1} v^*_{\lambda} \oplus v^*_{[-1]} \oplus v^*_{[1]} \]

of Hermitian spaces or orthogonal spaces such that

\[ G^{(1)} = \prod_{\lambda, \lambda \neq \pm 1} G(v^*_{\lambda}), \quad G^{(2)} = G(v^*_{[-1]}), \quad G^{(3)} = G(v^*_{[1]}). \]

Let \( v_{[1]} \) be a 2\( \nu_{1} \)-dimensional symplectic space such that \( G(v_{[1]}) = G(v^*_{[1]})^* \). Note that

\[ \dim(v) = \dim(v^*) - 1 = \left( \sum_{\lambda, \lambda \neq 1} \dim(v^*_{\lambda}) \right) + \dim(v^*_{[1]}) - 1 \]
\[ = \left( \sum_{\lambda, \lambda \neq 1} \dim(v^*_{\lambda}) \right) + \dim(v_{[1]}). \]

Let \( v^*_{\lambda}, v^*_{[-1]}, \) and \( v^*_{[1]} \) be defined similarly. Now \( G(v^{\pm}) = G(v^*^{\pm}) \) are even orthogonal groups, and hence

\[ \dim(v^{\pm}) = \sum_{\lambda, \lambda \neq \pm 1} \dim(v^*_{\lambda} + \dim(v^*_{[1]}). \]

By Lemma 6.6, we know that \( \dim(v^*_{\lambda}) = \dim(v'^*_{\lambda}) \) for \( \lambda \neq 1 \). Moreover, by Theorem 6.9, \( \eta^{(3)} \) first occurs in the correspondences for the dual pairs \( (G(v_{[1]}), G(v^*_{[1]})). \)
Note that $v_{[1]}^\pm$ and $v^\pm$ might not be of the same parity, however, $v_{[1]}^+$ and $v_{[1]}^-$ are indeed of opposite parity. Hence by Corollary 8.4 we have
\[
\dim(v_{[1]}^+) + \dim(v_{[1]}^-) = 2 \dim(v_{[1]}) - 2\delta(\eta^{(3)}) + 2.
\]
Therefore,
\[
\dim(v^+) + \dim(v^-) = \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \not= \pm 1} \dim(v_{[\lambda]}^+) + \dim(v_{[\lambda]}^-) \right) + \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \not= \pm 1} \dim(v_{[\lambda]}^+) + \dim(v_{[\lambda]}^-) \right)
= 2 \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \not= \pm 1} \dim(v_{[\lambda]}^+) \right) + 2 \dim(v_{[1]}) - 2\delta(\eta^{(3)}) + 2
= 2 \dim(v) - 2\delta(\eta) + 2.
\]
□

9.3.2. Odd orthogonal spaces. In this subsection we consider a dual pair of a symplectic group and an odd orthogonal group. Let $\eta$ be an irreducible character in $E(\SP_{2n}(q))_s$ for some $s$ and write $\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}$. We know that now $G^{(3)}$ is an even-orthogonal group. Let $\eta^\#$ be the irreducible character in $E(\SP_{2n}(q))_s$ such that $\Xi_s(\eta^\#) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes (\eta^{(3)} \cdot \sgn)$. If we write $\eta^{(3)} = \rho_\Lambda$ for some $\Lambda$, then $\eta^{(3)} \cdot \sgn = \rho_{\Lambda^t}$. Then $\delta(\eta^{(3)}) = \delta(\eta^{(3)} \cdot \sgn)$, and we have $\delta(\eta) = \delta(\eta^\#)$.

Theorem 9.7. Let $\eta$ be an irreducible character of a symplectic group $G(v)$. Suppose that $\{v^\prime\}$ is a Witt series of odd-dimensional orthogonal spaces. Then
\[
n_0'(\eta) + n_0'(\eta^\#) = 2 \dim(v) - 2\delta(\eta) + 2.
\]
Proof. Let $v$ be a symplectic space, and let $v^*$ be an odd-dimensional orthogonal space such that $G(v^*) = G(v)^*$. We have a decomposition
\[
v^* = \bigoplus_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \not= \pm 1} v_{[\lambda]}^* \oplus v_{[1]}^* \oplus v_{[-1]}^*.
\]
Now $v_{[1]}^*$ is a $(2\nu_1 + 1)$-dimensional orthogonal space. Let $v_{[1]}$ the $2\nu_1$-dimensional symplectic space such that $G(v_{[1]})$ is the dual group of $G(v_{[1]}^*)$. Then we have
\[
G^{(1)} = \prod_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \not= \pm 1} G(v_{[\lambda]}), \quad G^{(2)} = G(v_{[1]}), \quad G^{(3)} = G(v_{[-1]}).
\]
So now,
\[
\dim(v) = \dim(v^*) - 1 = \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \not= \pm 1} \dim(v_{[\lambda]}^*) \right) + \dim(v_{[1]}),
\]
and $v_{[-1]}^*$ is an even orthogonal space.
Also $\mathbf{v}'$ is an odd-dimensional orthogonal space, then $\mathbf{v}'^{*}$ is a symplectic space, and we have a decomposition

$$\mathbf{v}'^{*} = \bigoplus_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq \pm 1} \mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[\lambda]} \oplus \mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[1]} \oplus \mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[-1]}.$$ 

Therefore, we have

$$\dim(\mathbf{v}') = \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq \pm 1} \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[\lambda]}) \right) + \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[1]}) + 1,$$

and $\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[-1]}$ is symplectic space.

Now suppose that $\eta$ (resp. $\eta^{2}$) first occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair $(G(\mathbf{v}), G(\mathbf{v}'^{*}))$ (resp. $(G(\mathbf{v}), G(\mathbf{v}''))$) where $\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{v}''$ are in the same Witt series of odd-dimensional orthogonal spaces. By Lemma 7.7, we have that $\dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[\lambda]}) = \dim(\mathbf{v}''^{*}_{[\lambda]}) = \dim(\mathbf{v}'_{[\lambda]}) = \dim(\mathbf{v}''_{[\lambda]})$ for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$. Moreover, by Theorem 7.8, $\eta^{(3)}$ (resp. $\eta^{(3)} \cdot \text{sgn}$) first occurs in the correspondence for the dual pair $(G(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[-1]}), G(\mathbf{v}''^{*}_{[-1]}))$ (resp. $(G(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[-1]}), G(\mathbf{v}''_{[-1]}))$). Hence

$$\dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[-1]}) + \dim(\mathbf{v}''^{*}_{[-1]}) = 2 \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[-1]}) - 2 \delta(\eta^{(3)})$$

by Corollary 8.8. Therefore

$$\dim(\mathbf{v}') + \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*})$$

$$= \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq \pm 1} \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[\lambda]}) + \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[1]}) + 1 \right) + \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq \pm 1} \dim(\mathbf{v}''^{*}_{[\lambda]}) + \dim(\mathbf{v}''^{*}_{[1]}) + 1 \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{\langle \lambda \rangle, \lambda \neq \pm 1} \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[\lambda]}) \right) + 2 \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[1]}) + 2 + 2 \dim(\mathbf{v}'^{*}_{[-1]}) - 2 \delta(\eta^{(3)})$$

$$= 2 \dim(\mathbf{v}') - 2 \delta(\eta) + 2.$$

Example 9.9. Suppose that $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $G'$ is in a Witt series of odd orthogonal groups. Let $\eta = \chi^{(1)}_{\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon = \pm$. Then $\eta^{2} = \chi^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}$.

1. Suppose that $\varepsilon = +$. From Example 7.13 we see that $\eta^{(3)} = \rho^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$ of $G^{(3)} = O^{+}_{2n}(q)$. Hence $\delta(\eta) = \delta(\rho^{(n)}) = n$. Moreover, from Example 7.13 we see that

$$n_{0}'(\eta) + n_{0}'(\eta^{2}) = 1 + (2n + 1) = 4n - 2 \delta(\eta) + 2.$$

2. Suppose that $\varepsilon = -$. From Example 7.13 we see that $\eta^{(3)} = \rho^{(-n,0)}(\varepsilon)$ of $G^{(3)} = O^{-}_{2n}(q)$. Hence $\delta(\eta) = \delta(\rho^{(-n,0)}) = n - 1$. Moreover, from Example 7.13 we see that

$$n_{0}'(\eta) + n_{0}'(\eta^{2}) = 1 + (2n + 3) = 4 - 2 \delta(\eta) + 2.$$

Suppose that $\{\mathbf{v}^{\pm}\}$ are two Witt series of odd-dimensional orthogonal spaces. We believe that the following should be true:

Conjecture 9.10. $n_{0}'(\eta^{2}) = n_{0}'(\eta)$. 
If the above conjecture is true, then (9.3) can be reduced to
\[(9.11)\quad n_0^+ (\eta) + n_0^- (\eta) = 2 \dim (v) - 2 \delta (\eta) + 2.\]

Note that (9.11) is true if \(\eta\) is cuspidal (cf. [Pan02] theorem 12.3). Therefore, Conjecture [9.10] holds if \(\eta\) is cuspidal.

10. Pseudo-unipotent Cuspidal Characters

10.1. Pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters. An irreducible character \(\eta\) of a classical group \(G\) is called pseudo-unipotent if \(\langle \eta, R_{T_w, \theta_w} \rangle_G \neq 0\) for some \(w \in W_G\) where \(\theta_w\) is given in Subsection 8.1. By convention, we regard the trivial character of the trivial group \(G\) as pseudo-unipotent if and only if it belongs to the Lusztig series \(E(G)_{-1}\).

**Lemma 10.1.** Let \(G\) be a general linear, unitary, special orthogonal or orthogonal group. Then an irreducible character \(\eta\) of \(G\) is pseudo-unipotent if and only if \(\eta \chi_G\) is pseudo-unipotent if and only if it belongs to the pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters. The two of degree \(q\) and the general result will be in Corollary 10.4.

**Proof.** For such a classical group \(G\), \(-1\) is in the center of \(G^*\) and in \((G^*)^0\), and hence is in every rational maximal torus \(T^*\) of \(G^*\). From (3.7) and the argument in the last paragraph of Subsection 3.4 we can see that \(R_{T_w, \theta_w} = R_{T_w, \chi_G}\) for any \(w \in W_G\), and the mapping
\[
E(G)_{-1} \longrightarrow E(G)_1 \quad \text{given by } \eta \mapsto \eta \chi_G
\]
is a bijection. Therefore, \(\langle \eta, R_{T_w, \theta_w} \rangle_G \neq 0\) if and only if \(\langle \eta \chi_G, R_{T_w, \chi_G} \rangle_G \neq 0\). \[\square\]

From the previous lemma we see a pseudo-unipotent cuspidal character of a unitary group or an orthogonal group is exactly of the form \(\zeta \chi_G\) where \(\zeta\) is \(\zeta_m^R\) (or \(\zeta_m^S, \zeta_{m+1}^S\)) for some \(m\) given in Subsection 8.1. The case for a symplectic group is more interesting. The following is the example for \(Sp_2(q)\) and the general result will be in Corollary 10.4.

**Example 10.2.** The group \(Sp_2(q)\) has two classes of maximal tori \(T_{w_1}\) and \(T_{w_2}\), which are of order \(q - 1\) and \(q + 1\) respectively. We know that \(R_{T_{w_1}, \theta_w}\) is the sum \(\chi_{\frac{q+1}{2}} + \chi_{\frac{q-1}{2}}\) of two irreducible characters of degree \(\frac{q+1}{2}\); and \(-R_{T_{w_2}, \theta_w}\) is the sum \(\chi_{\frac{q+1}{2}} + \chi_{\frac{q-1}{2}}\) of two irreducible characters of degree \(\frac{q-1}{2}\). Hence \(Sp_2(q)\) have exactly four irreducible pseudo-unipotent characters. The two of degree \(\frac{q-1}{2}\) are cuspidal.

**Lemma 10.3.** An irreducible character \(\eta\) of \(Sp_{2n}(q)\) is pseudo-unipotent if and only if it is in the Lusztig series \(E(G)_s\) where \(s \in G^* = SO_{2n+1}(q)\) such that \(C_G(\eta) \simeq O_{2n}^+(q)\).

**Proof.** Let \(\eta\) be an irreducible pseudo-unipotent character of \(G = Sp_{2n}(q)\). Then we have \(\langle \eta, R_{T_w, \theta_w} \rangle_G \neq 0\) for some \((T_w, \theta_w)\). Let \((T^*, s)\) be the pair corresponding to \((T_w, \theta_w)\) where \(T^*\) is a rational maximal torus of \(SO_{2n+1}\) and \(s \in T^*\). From the definition of \(\theta_w\), we know that each \(\lambda_i\) of \(s = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in T^*\) is \(-1\). Moreover, the maximal torus \(T^*\) is either a maximal torus of \(SO_2^+ \subset SO_{2n+1}\) or a maximal torus of \(SO_2^- \subset SO_{2n+1}\). Therefore, \(C_G(\eta) \simeq SO_2^+(q) \times O_1(q) \simeq O_{2n}^+(q)\). Hence the lemma is proved. \[\square\]
Proposition 10.4. A symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ has a pseudo-unipotent cuspidal character if and only if $n = m^2$ for some integer $m$. Moreover, $\text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$, $m \neq 0$, has exactly two pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters.

Proof. Let $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$. Consider the semisimple $s = s^\prime$ in $G^* = \text{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$ such that $C_{G^*}(s) \simeq O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$. Then a pseudo-unipotent character $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(\text{Sp}_{2n}(q))_s$ is cuspidal if and only if $\mathcal{E}_s(\eta) \in \mathcal{E}(O_{2n}^\epsilon(q))_1$ is cuspidal by Proposition 4.5. Therefore $n = m^2$ and $\epsilon = (-1)^m$ for some integer $m$. When $m \neq 0$, from Subsection 8.1, we know that $O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ has two unipotent cuspidal characters $\zeta_m, \zeta_{-m}$. Therefore $\text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ has exactly two pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters. \hfill \square

Let $\zeta_m$ be a pseudo-unipotent character of $\text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$. So $\mathcal{E}(\zeta_m) = \zeta_m^1 \circ \zeta_{-m}^1 \cdot \text{sgn}$ where $\epsilon = (-1)^m$, and by (4.4),

\[
\deg(\zeta_m) = \frac{\deg(c_m^1)}{\deg(c_m^1)_{|\mathcal{P}^{+}}} = \frac{|\text{Sp}_{2m}(q)|_{|\mathcal{P}^{+}}}{|O_{2m}^\epsilon(q)|_{|\mathcal{P}^{+}}} \cdot \frac{q^{2m^2} + (-1)^m}{2} \cdot \deg(\zeta_m).
\]

The degree of $\zeta_m$ is

\[
\deg(c_m^1) = \frac{|\text{SO}_{2m}^\epsilon(q)|_{|\mathcal{P}^{+}} q^{\left(\frac{2m^2}{2} + \left(\frac{2m^2}{2} - 1\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{2m^2}{2} - n\right)\right)}}{2^{m-1}(q + 1)^{2m-1}(q^2 + 1)^{2m-2} \cdots (q^{2m-1} + 1)}
\]

from [Lus78] theorem 3.22.

10.2. For even orthogonal groups. Now we want to investigate all the first occurrences $\eta \leftrightarrow \eta'$ of unipotent or pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters for symplectic-orthogonal dual pairs. In this subsection, we first consider a reductive dual pair $(G, G')$ of a symplectic group and an even orthogonal group.

Suppose that $\eta$ is a unipotent cuspidal character of $\text{Sp}_{2m}^\epsilon(q)$ for some integer $m$, and $\eta \leftrightarrow \eta'$ be a first occurrence of cuspidal representations for the dual pair

\[
(\text{Sp}_{2m+1}(q), O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)).
\]

Then from [AM93] theorem 5.2 we know that either

1. $n' = m^2$ and $\epsilon = (-1)^m$; or
2. $n' = (m + 1)^2$ and $\epsilon = (-1)^{m+1}$.

Moreover, $\eta'$ is a unipotent cuspidal character of $O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$. Now we consider the first occurrence of pseudo-unipotent cuspidal characters.

Proposition 10.5. Suppose that $\eta$ is a pseudo-unipotent cuspidal character of $\text{Sp}_{2m}^\epsilon$ and $\eta \leftrightarrow \eta'$ is a first occurrence of cuspidal characters for the dual pair

\[
(\text{Sp}_{2m}^\epsilon(q), O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)).
\]

Then $n' = m^2$ or $m^2 + 1$ (depending on $\epsilon$). Moreover, if $n' = m^2$, $\eta'$ is also pseudo-unipotent.
Proof. Suppose $\eta$ is in the series $E(G)$, and write $\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}$ as in (6.3), and similarly, $\eta' \in E(G')$, and $\Xi_s(\eta') = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}$. By Lemma 10.3, we know that $C_{O_{m^2+1}(q)}(s) = O_{2m^2}(q)$ and $\epsilon' = (-1)^m$. Moreover, by the definition in Subsection 6.2, we know that $G^{(1)}(s)$ is trivial, $G^{(2)}(s) = O_{2m^2}(q)$, $G^{(3)}(s) = Sp_2(q)$, and $\eta^{(2)}$ is an unipotent cuspidal character of $O_{2m^2}^+(q)$.

By Theorem 6.9, we know that both $G^\eta(1)(s)$ is trivial, $G^\eta(2)(s) = O_{2m^2}(q)$, $\eta^{(2)} = \eta^{(2)}$, and $\eta^{(3)} \leftrightarrow \eta'^{(3)}$ is a first occurrence of unipotent cuspidal characters for the dual pair $(G^{(3)}(s), G^{(3)}(s'))$. Then by [AM93] theorem 5.3, we know that $G^{(3)}(s')$ is either $O^+_m(q)$ or $O^-_2(q)$. So now we have the following two cases:

1. Suppose $G^{(3)}(s') = O^+_m(q)$. Then $s'$ is the element $-1 \in G^\ast = O_{2m^2}(q)$ with $\epsilon = \epsilon' = (-1)^m$. Hence $n' = m^2$ and $\eta'$ is pseudo-unipotent.

2. Suppose $G^{(3)}(s') = O^-_2(q)$. Then $s'$ is the element $(-1, 1) \in C_{G^\ast}(s') = O_{2m^2}(q) \times O_2(q) \subset G^\ast$ with $\epsilon = -\epsilon' = (-1)^{m+1}$. Hence $n' = m^2 + 1$ and $\eta'$ is not pseudo-unipotent (and not unipotent).

□

10.3. For odd orthogonal groups. In this subsection, let $(G, G')$ be a reductive dual pair of a symplectic group and an odd orthogonal group.

Proposition 10.6. Suppose $\eta$ is the unipotent cuspidal character of $Sp_{2m(m+1)}(q)$, and $\eta \leftrightarrow \eta'$ is a first occurrence for the pair

$$(Sp_{2m(m+1)}(q), O_{2n'+1}(q)).$$

Then $n' = m(m+1)$ and $\eta'$ is a pseudo-unipotent cuspidal character of $O_{2m(m+1)+1}(q)$.

Proof. Let $V$ be a $2m(m+1)$-dimensional symplectic space over $F_q$, and let $\{v^+\}$ and $\{v^-\}$ be the two related Witt towers of odd-dimensional orthogonal spaces. Let $2n^+ + 1$ (resp. $2n^- + 1$) the dimension of $v^+$ (resp. $v^-$) such that $\eta$ first occurs in the theta correspondence for the dual pair $(Sp(V), O(V^+))$ (resp. $(Sp(V), O(V^-))$). From [Pan02] theorem 12.3, we know that

$$(10.7)\quad 2n^+ + 1 + 2n^- + 1 = 4m(m+1) + 2.$$ 

Let $n'$ be one of $n^+, n^-$. Now $\eta \leftrightarrow \eta'$ is a first occurrence of cuspidal characters for the dual pair $(Sp_{2m(m+1)}(q), O_{2n'+1}(q))$. Hence $\eta \otimes (\eta' \chi_{O_{2n'+1}}) \in \omega_{Sp_{2m}, O_{2n'+1}} \cdot (1 \otimes \chi_{O_{2n'+1}})$.

Suppose that $n' < m(m+1)$. We see that each term $R_{Sp_{2m}}^{Sp_{2m}}$ in the decomposition in Theorem 12.1 has nontrivial $T_w$ and $\theta_w$. However, $\eta$ is unipotent, hence every component of $\eta'$ is also unipotent by Lemma 5.2 i.e., must be in $R_{T,w}^{Sp_{2m}}$ for some $T$. We get an contradiction. So we conclude that both $n^+$ and $n^-$ are greater than or equal to $m(m+1)$. Therefore $n' = n^+ = n^- = m(m+1)$ by (10.7).

Now $n' = m(m+1)$ and $\eta$ is unipotent, so every component of $\eta'$ only occurs in the terms $k = n$ and $\theta = 1$ in the expression in Theorem 7.1 i.e., every component
of \((\eta' \chi_{O_{2n'+1}})^i\) is unipotent. Then by Lemma 5.2, the irreducible character \(\eta' \chi_{O_{2n'+1}}\) of \(O_{2n'+1}(q)\) is unipotent. Hence \(\eta'\) of \(O_{2n'+1}(q)\) is pseudo-unipotent by Lemma 10.1. □

**Proposition 10.8.** Suppose that \(\eta\) is a pseudo-unipotent cuspidal character of \(\text{Sp}_{2m}(q)\), and \(\eta'\) is a first occurrence of cuspidal characters for the dual pair

\[ (\text{Sp}_{2m}(q), O_{2n'+1}(q)) \]

Then \(n' = m(m-1)\) or \(m(m+1)\), and \(\eta'\) is a unipotent cuspidal character of \(O_{2n'+1}(q)\).

**Proof.** As in the proof of Proposition 10.5, suppose that \(\eta\) is in the series \(\mathcal{E}(G)_s\), and write \(\Xi_s(\eta) = \eta^{(1)} \otimes \eta^{(2)} \otimes \eta^{(3)}, \eta' \in \mathcal{E}(G'_s)\), \(\Xi'_s(\eta') = \eta'^{(1)} \otimes \eta'^{(2)} \otimes \eta'^{(3)} \otimes \epsilon\), \(C_{\text{SO}_{2m'+1}}(q)(s) = O_{2m}(q)\), and \(\epsilon = (-1)^m\). Now both \(G^{(1)}(s)\) and \(G^{(2)}(s)\) are trivial, \(G^{(3)}(s) = O_{2m}(q)\), and \(\eta^{(3)}\) is a unipotent cuspidal character of \(O_{2n'+1}(q)\).

By Theorem 7.5, we know that both \(G^{(1)}(s')\) and \(G^{(2)}(s')\) are trivial, and \(\eta^{(3)} \leftrightarrow \eta'^{(3)}\) is a first occurrence of unipotent cuspidal characters for the dual pair \((G^{(3)}(s), G'^{(3)}(s'))\).

Then by [AM93] theorem 5.3, we know that \(G^{(3)}(s') = \text{Sp}_{2m(m-1)}(q)\) or \(\text{Sp}_{2m(m+1)}(q)\). From the definition in Subsection 2.3, we see that \(s'\) is the identity element in \(G^{*} = \text{Sp}_{2m}(q)\) and this implies that \(n' = m(m-1)\) or \(m(m+1)\), and \(\eta'\) is unipotent. □

**Remark 10.9.** Let \(\{\eta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\) be a chain of cuspidal characters of \(\{G(v_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\) respectively predicted by the preservation principle of the Howe correspondence for symplectic-orthogonal dual pairs (cf. [Pan17] subsection 2.3). Suppose some character in \(\{\eta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\) is unipotent or pseudo-unipotent. From Propositions 10.5, 10.6 and 10.8 we conclude the following:

(1) Suppose the orthogonal groups are even.

(a) If some term in \(\{\eta_i\}\) is unipotent, then every term is unipotent and there is only one such sequence \(\{\eta_i\}\) of unipotent cuspidal characters. Moreover, we know that \(v_i\) is symplectic and \(\dim(v_i) = 2(\frac{i-1}{2})(\frac{i+1}{2})\) if \(i\) is odd; \(v_i\) is orthogonal and \(\dim(v_i) = 2(\frac{i}{2})^2\) if \(i\) is even.

(b) If some term in \(\{\eta_i\}\) is pseudo-unipotent, then only \(\eta_{-1}, \eta_0, \eta_1\) are pseudo-unipotent and a such sequence \(\{\eta_i\}\) is associated to an unique integer \(m\). Moreover, \(v_i\) is symplectic and \(\dim(v_i) = 2m^2 + 2(\frac{i-1}{2})(\frac{i+1}{2})\) if \(i\) is odd; \(v_i\) is orthogonal and \(\dim(v_i) = 2m^2 + 2(\frac{i}{2})^2\) if \(i\) is even.

(2) Suppose the orthogonal groups are odd.

(a) If some term for a symplectic group in \(\{\eta_i\}\) is unipotent, then only \(\eta_0\) is unipotent, only \(\eta_{-1}, \eta_1\) are pseudo-unipotent, and each such a sequence \(\{\eta_i\}\) is associated to an unique integer \(m\). Moreover, \(v_i\) is orthogonal and \(\dim(v_i) = 2m(m+1) + 2(\frac{i-1}{2})(\frac{i+1}{2}) + 1\) if \(i\) is odd; \(v_i\) is symplectic and \(\dim(v_i) = 2m(m+1) + 2(\frac{i}{2})^2\) if \(i\) is even.

(b) If some term for a symplectic group in \(\{\eta_i\}\) is pseudo-unipotent, then the sequence \(\{\eta_i\}\) is unique. Moreover, we know that \(\eta_i\) is unipotent, \(v_i\) is orthogonal and \(\dim(v_i) = 2(\frac{i-1}{2})(\frac{i+1}{2}) + 1\) if \(i\) is odd; \(\eta_i\) is pseudo-unipotent, \(v_i\) is symplectic and \(\dim(v_i) = 2(\frac{i}{2})^2\) if \(i\) is even.
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