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Abstract

An optimal evaluation of the resilience in financial portfolios implies having initial hypotheses about the causal influence between the macroeconomic variables and the risk parameters. In this paper, we propose a graphical model for to infer the causal structure that links the multiple macroeconomic variables and the assessed risk parameters, Stress Testing Network, in which the relationships between the macroeconomic variables and the risk parameter define a “relational graph” among their time-series, where related time-series are connected by an edge. Our proposal is based on the temporal causal models, but unlike, we incorporate specific conditions in the structure which correspond to intrinsic characteristics to this type of networks. Following the proposed model and given the high-dimensional nature of the problem, we used regularization methods to efficiently detect causality in the time-series and reconstruct the underlying causal structure. In addition, we illustrate the use of model in credit risk data of a portfolio.
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1 Introduction

Stress testing resurfaced as a key tool for financial supervision after the 2007-2009 crisis; before the crisis these tests were rarely exhaustive and rigorous and financial institutions often considered them only as a regulatory exercise with no impact at capital. The lack of scope and rigor in these tests played a decisive role in the fact that financial institutions were not prepared for the financial crisis. Currently, the regulatory demands on stress tests are strict and the academic interest for related issues has increased considerably. Stress tests were designed to assess the resilience of financial institutions to possible future risks and, in some cases, to help establish policies to promote resilience. Stress tests generally begin with the specification of stress scenarios in macroeconomic terms, such as severe recessions or financial crisis, which are expected to have an adverse impact on banks. A variety of different models are used to estimate the impact of scenarios on banks’ profits and balance sheets. Such impacts are measured through the risk parameters corresponding to the portfolios evaluated. for a more detailed on the uses and stages of the stress tests, see Dent et al. (2016) and Henry et al. (2013).

An optimal evaluation of resilience, consequently also the process of constructing candidate models, implies having initial hypotheses about the causal influence between multiple macroeconomic variables and the assessed risk parameters, which must be inferred from their respective temporal observations. For example, we might be interested in testing the causal influence of PBI to predetermined risk parameter. Suppose that, taking into account the lag effects, the respective time series show a clear correlation, which would suggest a causal relationship between
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both series. To exclude other possible hypotheses that may explain this correlation and confirm a direct causality relationship, we will include other relevant macroeconomic variables. In a highly interconnected macroeconomic system, there are many variables that must be tested, which quickly leads to high-dimensional causal discovery problems.

Identifying causality in multivariate time-series is a topic that has been showing much interest in the last decade due to its applications to fields as diverse as climate sciences, microbiology, neurosciences and economics. The causal discovery problem in time-series from complex dynamical systems is to reliably reconstruct the causal links, including time lags since, for example, the macroeconomic shocks effects can last for months or even years. The challenge lies in the commonly multidimensional and highly interdependent data type comprising from dozens to hundreds of variables where correlations in some cases arise due to direct causal effects, but also due to a lot of other reasons, including autocorrelation within each time series, indirect links and common elements which form a highly correlated system in which it is more difficult to identify direct causal relationships.

Our main goal in this paper is to reliably reconstruct the causal links between a risk parameter and the macroeconomic variables, i.e., reconstruct the causal structure that links a risk paramater and the multiple macroeconomic variables, this structure is what we refer to as Stress Testing Network (STN). The notion of causality that we use in this paper is of temporal sense, i.e., the cause must precede the effect, therefore, it is consistent with the "Granger Causality" Granger (1980). In this paper we propose a graphical model for the STNs in which the relationships between the macroeconomic variables and the risk parameter define a "relational graph" among their time-series, where related time-series are connected by an edge. Our proposal is based on the temporal causal models, see Eichler (2012), Lozano et al. (2009) and Mukhopadhyay and Chatterjee (2006), but unlike, we incorporate specific conditions in the structure which correspond to intrinsic characteristics of the STNs. Following the proposed model and given the high-dimensional nature of the problem, we used regularization methods to efficiently detect Granger's causality in the time series and reconstruct the underlying causal structure. We also illustrate the use of model in credit risk data of a portfolio.

Outline

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the characteristics of the STNs. In section 3 we present a case study. Section 4 we present the proposed model and the procedure for estimating model. In section 5 we present results of the model applied to study case. Finally, in section 6 we discuss how STN can be used in stress testing modeling.

2 Stress Testing Networks

The risk of possible losses in the portfolios of financial institutions is monitored through what are known as risk parameters. Each risk dimension (for example credit risk, interest rate risk, market risk and others) is monitored by different risk parameters. The main objective in stress testing is to infer the behavior of the risk parameters in hypothetical downturn macroeconomic scenarios, based on historical series of the risk parameter and macroeconomic variables, therefore, in this context it is important to understand the causal structure that links them. As mentioned above, this causal structure between a risk parameter and the macroeconomic variables is what we call STN.
The risk parameters are calculated individually for each financial institution, in addition, are calculated for each predefined portfolio within the financial institution, therefore, the aggregation level of this variable is much lower compared to the macroeconomic variables. This fact leads to the absence of a causality relationship between risk parameters to macroeconomic variables, i.e., the risk parameters individually do not significantly impact the macroeconomic context. This absence of causality is included as conditions on graphic structure which we propose to model the STNs, see section 4.1. Another common feature in networks are sparse nature, i.e., not all variables are connected by causality links. This fact is due to that, although the variables represent a highly correlated system, the causality relations underlying these variables represent a system of less complexity than that observed in the correlations. Therefore, sparsity is assumed in the reconstruction of the STNs, see section 4.2.

In general, the macroeconomic variables considered for the reconstruction of STNs, both parameters of risk as the multiple macroeconomic variables, follow random walks within the period in which they are observed simultaneously, which are usually only from 20 to 30 trimonthly observations. This stylized fact in the reconstruction of STNs emphasizes the high-dimensional context in which our problem is configured. It should be noted that all the variables considered in the reconstruction are observed in level, avoiding transformations that can exclude relevant information about their dynamic behaviors. Only in the case of risk parameters that are considered monotonic transformations, which represent an isomorphism between the domain of the parameter and the real line, so that they can be analyzed using linear models.

3 Case Study: Credit Risk Data

Credit risk is a component with great potential to generate losses on its assets and, therefore, has significant effects on capital adequacy. In addition, the credit risk is possibly the dimension of risk with the bigger bank regulation regarding stress tests. The most relevant credit risk parameters to assess resilience are: Probability of Default (PD) and Loss Given Default (LGD). Other risk parameters can be considered, but in general these other parameters have a definition superimposed with the parameters already mentioned. Furthermore, PD and LGD are used explicitly in the calculation of regulatory capital required from banking institutions.

The definition of default and of the parameters mentioned above is given below:

**Definition 1** In Stress Testing, it is considered to be the default the borrower who does not fulfill the obligations for determined period of time.

**Definition 2** Probability of default (PD) is a financial term describing the likelihood of a default over a particular time horizon (this time horizon depends of the financial institution). It provides an estimate of the likelihood that a borrower will be unable to meet its debt obligations. The most intuitive way to estimate PD is through the Frequency of Observed Default (ODF), which is given by number of bad borrower (or borrower in default) in time t and under total number borrower (number of bad and good borrower) in time t.

**Definition 3** Loss Given Default or LGD is the share of an asset that is lost if a borrower defaults. Theoretically, LGD is calculated in different ways, but the most popular is ‘Gross’ LGD, where total losses are divided by Exposure at Default (EAD). Thus, the LGD is the total debt% minus recuperate of the debt%, i.e., the percentage of debt that was recovered by the financial institution with the borrower’s payments.
For a better understanding of the different parameters of credit risk and their impacts in the calculation of regulatory capital, see Henry et al. (2013). Without loss of generality, since they can be applied to other risk dimensions, we focus on the credit risk data, in particular, in the PD of a portfolio monitored from the second quarter of 2009 to the first quarter of 2015, observed quarterly. Macroeconomic variables that are considered for reconstruction, see Appendix A, are also observed in the same period and with the same frequency. The transformation considered for the PD is the logistic.

4 Graphical Causal Model

4.1 Definition Model

Let \( V = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p\} \) be the set of variables of interest, i.e., the risk parameter in the first coordinate and the \( p - 1 \) macroeconomic variables located in the remaining coordinates. The causal structure can be conveniently represented by a unweighted directed graph \( G = (V, E) \), where \( V \) is the set of nodes and \( E \) is the set of edges in \( V \times V \). A pair \((i, j)\), denoted by \( i \rightarrow j \), is contained in the edge set \( E \), if and only if, \( X_i \) has a causal effect on \( X_j \) given all the causal effects of remaining variables \( X_{V \setminus \{i,j\}} = \{X_k; k \in V \setminus \{i,j\}\} \). Let \( A \) denote the adjacency matrix of \( G \), with \( A_{ij} = 1 \) if \( i \rightarrow j \) and \( A_{ij} = 0 \) otherwise. Note that every configuration not contained in the edge set does not represent a causality relationship. Let us denote by \((X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}\) the \( \mathbb{R}^p \)-valued stochastic process that represents the discrete-time evolution of the variables contained in \( V \). Based on empirical facts regarding the response of risk parameters to macroeconomic shocks that show a Markovian dynamic, see Rojas and Dias (2018), we assume that the underlying process that generates \( X_t \) is the following way

\[
(\mathbb{I} - \Psi)X_t = \Phi X_{t-1} + b + \omega_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}^*
\]

where \( \Psi = (\psi_{ij})_{i,j \in V} \) and \( \Phi = (\phi_{ij})_{i,j \in V} \) are \( p \times p \) matrix that incorporate, in the temporal evolution of the process, the contemporaneous effects and the lag effects respectively, the intercept \( b \) is a size-\( p \) vector and \( \omega_t \) is a white Gaussian process. Namely, \( \omega_t \sim GP(0, D) \) where is a diagonal matrix such as \( D_{ii} = \sigma_i^2 \) and \( \text{cov}(\omega_t, \omega_s) = 1_{\{s = t\}}D \) for all \( s, t > 0 \). Moreover, \( X_0 \sim GP(\mu, \Sigma) \), with \( \mu \) a size-\( p \) vector of means and \( \Sigma \) a covariance matrix. Also assume that \( \text{cov}(X_t, \omega_s) = 0 \) for all \( s > t \); hence, \( X_t \) is obviously a first-order Markov process.

Due to the acyclic nature of the causal structure and the inexistence of causal relationships of the risk parameters to macroeconomic variables, it is necessary to establish conditions in (1) as follows:

\[
\psi_{ii} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, p \quad \text{(2a)}
\]

\[
\psi_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad j = 2, \ldots, p \quad \text{(2b)}
\]

\[
\phi_{i1} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, p \quad \text{(2c)}
\]

where (2a) and (2b) correspond to contemporary effects conditions, on the other hand, (2c) corresponds to the lag effects conditions. The nonzero entries in \( \Psi \) or \( \Phi \) correspond to nonzero entries in \( A \), likewise, the null entries in \( \Psi \) and \( \Phi \) correspond to null entries in \( A \). Therefore, inferring \( \Psi \) and \( \Phi \) is equivalent to reconstructing this graph which is the main issue of this paper.
In this graph, the in-degree of a node \( i \in V \) is given by \( \mathcal{N}^{in}(i) := \{ k \in V \setminus \{ i \} : k \to i \} \) which represent the nodes set that have a causal relationship to \( i \), while its out-degree \( \mathcal{N}^{out}(i) := \{ k \in V \setminus \{ i \} : i \to k \} \) represents the nodes nodes for which \( i \) has a causal effect. we define also \( \mathcal{N}(i) := \mathcal{N}^{in}(i) \cup \mathcal{N}^{out}(i) \) which represent of nodes set that has a direct causality relationship with \( i \). Denote the close of \( i \) by \( \mathcal{C}(i) := \mathcal{N}(i) \cup \{ i \} \), then \( V \setminus \mathcal{C}(i) \) represent the nodes set that do not have a direct causality relationship with \( i \).

### 4.2 Graph Sparse Estimation

Obtain a estimation of graph, denoted by \( \hat{G} = (V, \hat{E}) \), is equivalent to estimating the parameters of the equation (1). To this end, assume that \( X_i \) is observed on the time space \( t = 1, 2, \ldots, T \). Define \( p \times T \) matrix \( X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_T) \), whose \( t^{th} \) column contains the information relative to the \( p \) variables at time \( t \). Let \( \Theta = (\Psi, \Phi) \), which is of dimension \( p \times 2p \). Define a \( 2p \times 1 \) vector \( Z_t \) as \( Z_t = (X_t', X_{t-1}) \), and let \( Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_T) \), which has dimension \( 2p \times T \). Finally, define a \( p \times T \) matrix \( \Omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_T) \), then Equation (1) may also be expressed as

\[
X = b1' + \Theta Z + \Omega \tag{3}
\]

with \( 1 \) denoting a \( T \times 1 \) vector of ones.

Under a sparsity assumption on \( \Theta \), an initial approach to reduce the dimensionality of the parameter space, introduced by Tibshirani (1996), is to apply an \( L_1 \)-penalty (Lasso penalty) to the convex least squares objective function. Therefore, the sparse approximation to a Equation (3) is given by

\[
\min_{\Theta: \psi_{i1}=0, \psi_{i2}=0, \phi_{i1}=0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}||X - b1' - \Theta Z||_2^2 + \lambda||\Theta||_1 \right\} \tag{4}
\]

in which \( || \cdot ||_F \) is the Frobenius norm, \( || \cdot ||_1 \) is the \( L_1 \) norm, and \( \lambda \geq 0 \) is a penalty parameter. An \( L_1 \)-penalty will induce sparsity in the coefficient matrix \( \Theta \), consequently also in the coefficients matrix \( \Psi \) and \( \Phi \), by zeroing individual entries. Said sparsity in \( \Theta \) is controlled with \( \lambda \). In this case, an estimate of \( \lambda \) is obtained by cross-validation procedure.

The (4) solution can be obtained by convex optimization procedures that are computationally efficient and at the same time work in high-dimensional contexts, for example, see Davis et al. (2016) and Tibshirani et al. (2015). Theoretical properties and performance of \( L_1 \)-penalty estimates, in similar contexts described here, are presented in Basu et al. (2015). It is known that solution to penalized formulation is equivalent to solving \( p \) independent LASSO problems on each row of \( \Theta \), which is an efficient and fairly simple solution to the problem formulated in (4), for more detail see Meinshausen et al. (2006).

Given that the variables of interest summarize a highly correlated system, in this paper we will consider the elasticity net penalty as a complementary analysis. The Elastic-Net makes a compromise between the Ridge and the Lasso penalty, see Zou and Hastie (2005); it solves the convex program

\[
\min_{\Theta: \psi_{i1}=0, \psi_{i2}=0, \phi_{i1}=0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}||X - b1' - \Theta Z||_2^2 + \lambda\left[\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)||\Theta||_2^2 + \alpha||\Theta||_1\right] \right\} \tag{5}
\]

in which \( || \cdot ||_2 \) is the Euclidean norm and \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \) is a parameter that can be varied, in this case \( \alpha = 0.5 \). The solution (5) is obtained with the same procedure described for the problem (4). Note that the restrictions included
both Equations, (4) and (5), correspond to the conditions in (2).

We could use other functions of penalization in the graph reconstruction, see for example Charbonnier et al. (2010) and Nicholson et al. (2014), but that would imply having an a priori idea of the graph structure which deserves further investigation.

5 Application: Case study

In this section, we illustrate the use of the proposed model in credit risk data that was presented in section ??.

We focus in the PD which was monitored from the second quarter of 2009 to the first quarter of 2015, observed quarterly. Macroeconomic variables that are considered for reconstruction, see Appendix A, are also observed in the same period and with the same frequency.

![Directed graph considering the lags as nodes. Left, reconstruction with Lasso penalty. Right, reconstruction with Elastic-Net penalty.](image1)

![Adjacent matrix of graph shown in Fig. 1. Left, reconstruction with Lasso penalty. Right, reconstruction with Elastic-Net penalty. The color blue represent 1 and white 0.](image2)
Figure 3: Estimation of graph, i.e., $\hat{G} = (V, \hat{E})$. Left, estimation via Lasso penalty. Right, estimation via Elastic-Net penalty.

Figure 4: Adjacent matrix $A$ of graph shown in Fig. 3. Left, reconstruction with Lasso penalty. Right, reconstruction with Elastic-Net penalty. The color blue represent 1 and white 0. Lead represents loops, generated by the variables and their corresponding lags, which represent persistence effects within each variable.

6 STNs in Stress Testing Modeling

As we mentioned before, the objective in stress testing is to assess the resilience of the portfolios, consequently a specific objective in stress testing modeling is to model the behavior of risk parameters in terms of macroeconomic variables and to use these dependency relationships to extrapolate the behavior to the risk parameters in hypothetical downturn scenarios. To this end, it is possible to use the characteristics of the transmission process of macroeconomic shocks to the risk parameters to design models that allow us to carry out a better risk assessment, for more details see Rojas and Dias (2018). Since this modeling proposal is based on the information contained in the decay of the shocks of each macroeconomic variable in isolation, multiple effects of shocks can interfere and
distort the information contained in the transmission. In addition, we believe that the information contained in the transmission is more clear between variables that maintain a direct causality relationship, this is because, for example, the transmission between variables linked by a third variable suffers more interference.

Therefore, we recommend the use of STN when selecting candidate variables for stress test models, the criterion is to prioritize the neighbors of the parameter in the network. For example, in the case study this paper, the set $\mathcal{N}(PD)$ make up the possible candidates. Based on our experience in multiple portfolios and risk parameters, this criterion of selection of variables gives very good results. A complementary criterion in the selection of variables is to consider the partial correlations, which is obtained previously standardized all time-series and later solving Equations (4) and (5). The partial correlations presented in the Fig. 5 can be interpreted as a scale of importance of the shocks, which can be useful in the identification and control of systematic risk.

7 Conclusions

In this document, we have proposed a graphical model to infer the causal structure that links the multiple macroeconomic variables and the risk parameters evaluated in the stress tests. This model is quite flexible and allows to incorporate specific characteristics on this type of networks. The estimation of the model in high-dimensional contexts is carried out efficiently through regularization methods. The reconstruction of this type of networks using this methodology yields results consistent with economic and business criteria.
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## A Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Probability of Default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>Real Total Payroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross domestic product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEMP</td>
<td>Unemployment Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Oficial Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI</td>
<td>Consumer Confidence Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI</td>
<td>Consumer Expectation Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICI</td>
<td>Industry Confidence Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>Burden Income (average of the period)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td>Housing Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>Consumer Price Index (CPI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Stock Market (en of the period)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FX</td>
<td>BRL/USD (end of period)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Household Debt (average of the period)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sufix</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable-L1</td>
<td>Variable in Lag 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>