

Pair State Transfer

Qiuting Chen, Chris Godsil

January 13, 2020

Abstract

Let L denote the Laplacian matrix of a graph G . We study continuous quantum walks on G defined by the transition matrix $U(t) = \exp(itL)$. The initial state is of the pair state form, $e_a - e_b$ with a, b being any two vertices of G . We provide two ways to construct infinite families of graphs that have perfect pair transfer. We study a “transitivity” phenomenon which cannot occur in vertex state transfer. We characterize perfect pair state transfer on paths and cycles. We also study the case when quantum walks are generated by the unsigned Laplacians of underlying graphs and the initial states are of the plus state form, $e_a + e_b$. When the underlying graphs are bipartite, plus state transfer is equivalent to pair state transfer.

1 Introduction

The concept of a quantum walk was introduced by Farhi and Gutmann [10] as a quantum mechanical analogue of a classical random walk on decision trees. Exploiting the interference effects of quantum mechanics, quantum walks outperform classical random walks for some computational tasks [4].

In the field of quantum information processing, Christandl et al. [6] brought our attention to the topic of perfect state transfer. Using the tool of quantum scattering theory, Childs [3] proved that continuous time quantum walk can be regarded as a universal computational primitive and any desired quantum computation can be encoded in some underlying graph of the quantum walk. Quantum walks have become powerful tools to improve existing quantum algorithms and

develop new quantum algorithms. In this paper, we use graphs to represent networks of interacting qubits and study quantum state transfer during quantum communication over the network.

Let G be a graph. The evolution of a continuous quantum walk on G is given by the matrices

$$U(t) = \exp(itH), \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Here H is a matrix, called the Hamiltonian of the walk, and is usually either the adjacency matrix, the Laplacian, or the signless Laplacian of G . In any case, H is Hermitian and its rows and columns are indexed by the vertices of G . If $n = |V(G)|$, then the walk represents a quantum system with an n -dimensional state space. We identify the states of the system by density matrices, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace 1. The physically meaningful questions are the form: “Given that the quantum system is initially in state represented by a density matrix D_0 , what is the probability that, at time t , its state is D_1 ?”.

Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^n . Then $D_r = e_r e_r^T$ is a density matrix, and the mathematical questions reduce to question about the absolute value of $U(t)_{r,s}$ (for given vertices r and s). Thus if $r \neq s$ and $|U(t)_{r,s}| = 1$ at some time t , we say that have perfect state transfer from vertex r to vertex s . Perfect state transfer is a potentially useful tool in quantum computation, and so there is a considerable literature on the topic [1, 3, 5]. In [13], it was observed that most of the results on the topic only require the fact that the density matrices $e_e e_r^T$ are real. This suggests strongly that there may be other density matrices of interest. In this paper we focus on density matrices of the form

$$(e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T,$$

for vertices a and b in some graph; we call this a *pair state*. Such a density matrix is the Laplacian matrix for a graph formed from a single edge and isolated vertices and hence it seems natural to consider continuous walks using graph Laplacians as Hamiltonians. The goal of this paper is to investigate the properties of these walks.

We prove that perfect pair state transfer is preserved under complementations and under taking Cartesian product. This helps us to construct more examples of graphs with perfect pair state transfer.

Transitivity is one phenomenon that only can occurs when the initial state is a pair state that will be discussed in Section 6.

Although pair state transfer also has monogamy and symmetry properties as vertex state transfer has, because our initial state involves two vertices, rather than just one, we have more flexibility. One consequence is that we have more examples of perfect state transfer using pair states as the initial state. The following table computationally indicates that perfect pair state transfer occurs more often than perfect vertex state transfer in the same set of graphs.

G_n	Total	vertex PST	Prop.	pair PST	Prop.
G_5	21	1	4.8%	6	28.6%
G_6	112	1	0.9%	27	24.1%
G_7	853	1	0.1%	104	12.2%
G_8	11117	5	0.004%	779	7.0%

Table 1: the number of graphs with vertex PST versus the number of graphs with pair PST

Let G_n denote the set of connected graphs on n vertices and the second column shows the cardinality of the corresponding G_n . The third column shows the number of graphs that have perfect vertex state transfer in G_n when the adjacency matrices are the Hamiltonians and the fourth column shows the corresponding proportion. The fifth column shows the number of graphs that have perfect pair state transfer in G_n when the Laplacians are the Hamiltonians and the last column shows the corresponding proportion. In Section 5.1, we prove that perfect pair state transfer is preserved under taking the complement of the underlying graphs. So to avoid “overcounting”, the all the tables in this paper only shows the number of graphs with perfect pair state transfer between pairs such that at least one of them is an edge.

Perfect state transfer is a significant phenomenon in quantum communication but quite rare in quantum walks. We always want to find more graphs with perfect state transfer. On a fixed number of vertices, there are more graphs with perfect pair state transfer than graphs with perfect vertex state transfer. This is a huge advantage of Laplacian pair state transfer and this is also why Laplacian pair state transfer is interesting.

We prove that perfect edge state transfer occurs on P_n if and only if $n = 3$ or 4 . We also prove that C_4 is the only cycle that has perfect

edge state transfer.

We also study the case when the unsigned Laplacian of the underlying graph is used as Hamiltonian and the initial state of the form $e_a + e_b$. We prove that perfect pair state transfer is equivalent to perfect plus state transfer when the underlying graph is bipartite. This allows to prove the same result for perfect plus state transfer. That is, P_3, P_4 are the only paths and C_4 are the only cycles that have perfect plus state transfer.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Pair State Transfer

Let G be a graph with n vertices. Let A denote the adjacency matrix of G and let Δ denote the degree matrix of G . Then the Laplacian of G is the matrix such that

$$L = \Delta - A.$$

When L is the Hamiltonian associated to the quantum walk on G , the transition matrix is

$$U(t) = \exp(itL).$$

By Schrödinger's equation, the probability of the state at $|a_2\rangle$ starting at $|a_1\rangle$ after time t is

$$|\langle a_2|U(t)|a_1\rangle|^2.$$

The quantum pair state associated with a pair of vertices (a, b) of G is represented by

$$e_a - e_b,$$

where $e_a, e_b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are the characteristic vectors of a, b respectively. We want quantum states to be represented by unit vectors, so when we perform computations about pair state transfer, we use the normalized pair state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_a - e_b),$$

but except for that, in this paper we always use $e_a - e_b$ to denote our pair states for convenience. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, the initial state is a pair state in the continuous quantum walk on G generated by the Laplacian of G .

There is perfect pair state transfer between (a, b) and (c, d) if there exists a complex scalar γ with $|\gamma| = 1$ satisfying that

$$U(t)(e_a - e_b) = \gamma(e_c - e_d)$$

for some non-negative time t and probabilistically

$$\left| \frac{1}{2}(e_c - e_d)U(t)(e_a - e_b) \right|^2 = 1.$$

We say edge (a, b) is periodic with period τ if it has perfect state transfer to itself at time τ .

Another way to represent a quantum state is density matrices. A density matrix is a semidefinite matrix of trace 1. A density matrix D represents a pure state if $\text{rk}(D) = 1$. If e_a denotes the standard basis vector in $\mathbb{C}^{|V(G)|}$ indexed by the vertex a in graph G , then

$$D = \frac{1}{2}(e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T$$

is a pure state associated with a pair of vertices (a, b) in G , which we call the density matrix of pair (a, b) .

Given a density matrix D as the initial state of a continuous quantum walk, then the state that D is transferred to at time t is given by

$$D(t) = U(t)DU(-t),$$

where $U(t) = \exp(itL)$ is the usual transition matrix associated with G whose Laplacian matrix is L . There is perfect state transfer between density matrices P and Q means that there is a time t such that

$$Q = U(t)PU(-t).$$

We say a state P is periodic if there is a time t such that

$$P = U(t)PU(-t).$$

Here, we want to emphasize that for the pair of vertices (a, b) associated with the pair state $e_a - e_b$, they do not have to be an edge between them in the graph. We say that $e_a - e_b$ is an edge state only when (a, b) is an edge. There is perfect state transfer between (a, b) and (c, d) where (a, b) is an edge while (c, d) is not.




Figure 1: Smallest graph with PST from an edge pair to a non-edge pair

There is perfect state transfer between $(0, 3)$ and $(4, 5)$ in the graph G shown in Figure 1. The laplacian matrix of G is

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and it has Laplacian eigenvalues $\{3 \pm \sqrt{3}, 0, 2, 4\}$. The pair state $e_0 - e_3$ and $e_4 - e_5$ both have eigenvalue support $\{2, 4\}$. Then we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_0 - e_3)^T U(t)(e_4 - e_5) \\ &= (e_0 - e_3)^T \exp(itL)(e_4 - e_5) \\ &= e^{2it}(e_0 - e_3)^T E_2(e_4 - e_5) + e^{4it}(e_0 - e_3)^T E_4(e_4 - e_5) \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix},$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (e_0 - e_3)^T U(t)(e_4 - e_5) &= e^{2it} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \right) + e^{4it} \left(-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \\ &= e^{2it} - e^{4it}. \end{aligned}$$

So at time $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2}(e_0 - e_3)^T U\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)(e_4 - e_5) \right|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{2}(e^{\pi i} - e^{2\pi i}) \right|^2 = 1.$$

It shows that at $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$, there is perfect state transfer between $e_0 - e_3$ and $e_4 - e_5$.

2.2 Transition Matrices

Let G be a graph with the Laplacian matrix L . We assume that the eigenvalues of L are $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$. We know that L is a real symmetric matrix. Then the spectral decomposition of L is

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i E_i, \quad (1)$$

where the matrices E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n satisfy:

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n E_i = I$,
- (ii) $E_r E_s = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r \neq s; \\ E_r, & \text{if } r = s. \end{cases}$

A matrix E is an idempotent if $E^2 = E$. The matrices E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n in Equation 1 are called spectral idempotents and E_r represents the orthogonal projection onto the θ_r -eigenspace of L .

2.1 Theorem. *Let M be a real symmetric matrix and let $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i E_i$ denote the spectral decomposition of M . If $f(x)$ is an analytic function defined on the eigenvalues of M , then*

$$f(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\theta_i) E_i. \quad \square$$

This standard algebraic graph theory result helps us to obtain the spectral decomposition of the transition matrix $U(t)$, which brings the spectrum of the underlying graph into the picture of continuous quantum walk.

Let $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i E_i$ denote the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian matrix of a graph G . Then the transition matrix of pair state transfer on G is

$$U(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{it\theta_i} E_i. \quad (2)$$

For example, the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian L of P_3 is

$$L = 0 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} + 1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + 3 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the transition matrix associated is

$$\begin{aligned} U(t) &= e^{0it} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} + e^{it} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + e^{3it} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}e^{it} + \frac{1}{6}e^{3it} & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}e^{3it} & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}e^{it} + \frac{1}{6}e^{3it} \\ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}e^{3it} & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}e^{3it} & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}e^{3it} \\ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}e^{it} + \frac{1}{6}e^{3it} & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}e^{3it} & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}e^{it} + \frac{1}{6}e^{3it} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: P_3

When $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the transition matrix of P_3 is

$$U\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}i \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i & \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}i & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i \\ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}i & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2)U\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)(e_0 - e_1) \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i - \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}i \right) - \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}i \right) + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}i \right) \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2}(2i) \right|^2 \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that there is perfect pair state transfer from $e_0 - e_1$ to $e_1 - e_2$ at time $\frac{\pi}{2}$ in P_3 . Later we will prove actually P_3 and P_4 are the only paths that have perfect edge state transfer.

2.3 Eigenvalue Supports

From Equation 2, we also can see that the Laplacian eigenvalues of G play a large role in the pair state transfer. Let E_r be a spectral idempotent such that

$$E_r(e_a - e_b) = 0.$$

Then we can see that when we talk about the state transfer started in the state $e_a - e_b$, the eigenvalue θ_r and its idempotent E_r contribute nothing to the evolution.

The eigenvalue support of the state $e_a - e_b$ is the set of Laplacian eigenvalues θ_r such that the corresponding idempotent E_r satisfies

$$E_r(e_a - e_b) \neq 0.$$

Thus, when we talk about quantum state transfer initialized in the state $e_a - e_b$, we only care about the eigenvalues in the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$. Recall the example of P_3 in previous section. From the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian of P_3 , we can see that the eigenvalue supports of pair states $e_0 - e_1$ and $e_1 - e_2$ are the same, that is, $\{1, 3\}$.

We say two states $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ are strongly cospectral in G if and only if for each spectral idempotent E_r of the Laplacian of G , we have

$$E_r(e_a - e_b) = \pm E_r(e_c - e_d).$$

Thus, we can see that if two states are strongly cospectral in graph G , then their eigenvalue supports are the same. From the definition of strong cospectrality, the theorem and the corollary below follows immediately.

2.2 Theorem. *If there is a perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ in graph G , then $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ are strongly cospectral. \square*

2.3 Corollary. *If there is perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ in G , then $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ have the same eigenvalue support. \square*

Now we let $\Lambda_{ab,cd}^+$ denote the set of eigenvalues such that

$$E_r(e_a - e_b) = E_r(e_c - e_d)$$

and let $\Lambda_{ab,cd}^-$ denote the set of eigenvalues such that

$$E_r(e_a - e_b) = -E_r(e_c - e_d).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\Lambda_{ab} = \Lambda_{cd} = \Lambda_{ab,cd}^+ \cup \Lambda_{ab,cd}^-, \quad \Lambda_{ab,cd}^+ \cap \Lambda_{ab,cd}^- = \emptyset.$$

Using strong cospectrality, we can derive a characterization of perfect pair state transfer. Since the proof is similar to the proof of perfect vertex state transfer, we omit the proof here. One can refer to [7, Theorem 2.4.2] for details.

2.4 Lemma. *Let X be a graph and $(a, b), (c, d) \in E(X)$. Perfect pair state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ occurs at time τ if and only if all of the following conditions hold.*

- (a) *Pair states $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ are strongly cospectral. Let $\theta_0 \in \Lambda_{ab,cd}^+$.*
- (b) *For all $\theta_r \in \Lambda_{ab,cd}^+$, there is a k such that $\tau(\theta_0 - \theta_r) = 2k\pi$.*
- (c) *For all $\theta_r \in \Lambda_{ab,cd}^-$, there is a k such that $\tau(\theta_0 - \theta_r) = (2k+1)\pi$. \square*

3 Perfect State Transfer & Periodicity

In this section, we introduce symmetry and monogamy properties of perfect pair state transfer and give a characterization of periodicity in terms of eigenvalues. We also give a characterization of a fixed state in pair state transfer, which is a special case of periodicity.

3.1 Basic Properties

The original results in this section can be found in [12] stated and proved in terms of vertex states. Since proofs of the results using pair states are very similar to the proofs using vertex states, here we just state the results without proof. One can see [2] for detailed proofs using pair states.

Just like perfect vertex state transfer, symmetry and monogamy are two basic properties of perfect pair state transfer.

3.1 Theorem. *There is perfect state transfer from $e_a - e_b$ to $e_c - e_d$ in graph G at time τ if and only if there is perfect state transfer from $e_c - e_d$ to $e_a - e_b$ at time τ .* \square

3.2 Theorem. *Suppose that $e_a - e_b$ has perfect state transfer at time τ in graph G . Then $e_a - e_b$ is periodic at time 2τ .* \square

Thus, being periodic is a necessary condition for a state to have perfect state transfer.

3.3 Theorem. *If there is perfect state transfer between edge (a, b) and (c, d) in graph G , then both (a, b) and (c, d) are periodic with the same minimum period. If the minimum period is σ , then perfect state transfer between the two edges occurs at time $\frac{1}{2}\sigma$.* \square

Since perfect state transfer occurs exactly at half of the period, the monogamy property of perfect state transfer follows immediately.

3.4 Corollary. *For any pair (a, b) , there is at most one pair (c, d) such that there is perfect state transfer from (a, b) to (c, d) .* \square

Being periodic is the necessary condition for a state to have perfect state transfer and the period of a state involved in perfect state transfer can tell us the exact time when the perfect state transfer occurs. So periodicity of states provides a useful tool for analysis of perfect state transfer.

3.5 Theorem (the Ratio Condition). *Let $U(t)$ be the transition matrix corresponding to a graph G . Let $\sum_r \theta_r E_r$ be the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian of G . Then $e_a - e_b$ is periodic in G if and only if*

$$\frac{\theta_r - \theta_s}{\theta_k - \theta_l} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

for any $\theta_r, \theta_s, \theta_l, \theta_k$ in the eigenvalue support of $(e_a - e_b)$ with $\theta_l \neq \theta_k$. \square

The following theorem can be viewed as a corollary of the ratio condition. The original proof can be found in Coutinho and Godsil [8] stated in terms of vertex states. Detailed proof using pair state transfer can be found in [2].

3.6 Theorem. Let G be a graph with the Laplacian matrix L and let (a, b) be an edge of G with eigenvalue support S . Then $e_a - e_b$ is periodic in G if and only if either:

- (i) All the eigenvalues in S are integers;
- (ii) There is a square-free integer Δ such that all eigenvalues in S are quadratic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$, and the difference of any two eigenvalues in S is an integer multiple of $\sqrt{\Delta}$. \square

3.7 Corollary. If $e_a - e_b$ is periodic in graph G , then any two distinct eigenvalues in the eigenvalue supports of $e_a - e_b$ differ by at least one. \square

3.8 Corollary. If a pair state is periodic in graph G with period τ , then $\tau \leq 2\pi$. \square

Using the ration condition, we give the following necessary and sufficient conditions for perfect pair state transfer. Due to the similarity of the proof, we omit the proof here. One can refer to [7, Theorem 2.4.4] for details.

3.9 Theorem. Let X be a graph. Then X admits perfect pair state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ if and only if all of the following conditions hold.

- (i) Pair states $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ are strongly cospectral. Let θ_0 be an eigenvalue in $\Lambda_{ab,cd}^+$.
- (ii) The eigenvalues in the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$ are either all integers or all quadratic integers. Moreover, there is a square-free integer Δ such that all eigenvalues in the eigenvalue support are quadratic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$, and the difference of any two eigenvalues in the eigenvalue support is an integer multiple of $\sqrt{\Delta}$.
- (iii) Let $g = \gcd\left(\left\{\frac{\theta_0 - \theta_r}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\right\}_{r=0}^k\right)$. Then
 - (a) $\theta_r \in \Lambda_{ab,cd}^+$ if and only if $\frac{\theta_0 - \theta_r}{g\sqrt{\Delta}}$ is even, and
 - (b) $\theta_r \in \Lambda_{ab,cd}^-$ if and only if $\frac{\theta_0 - \theta_r}{g\sqrt{\Delta}}$ is odd. \square

3.2 Fixed Pair States

Let v be a vertex of graph G . We use $N(v)$ to denote the neighbours of v in G . We say a pair state $e_a - e_b$ is fixed if for all non-negative $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$U(t)(e_a - e_b) = \gamma(e_a - e_b)$$

with γ being a norm-one complex scalar. We prove that a pair (a, b) is fixed if and only if vertices a, b are twins in G , which means that

$$N(a) \setminus \{b\} = N(b) \setminus \{a\}.$$

A pair state $e_a - e_b$ is fixed implies that it can never have perfect pair state transfer. Notice that a fixed state can be viewed as a state that is periodic at t for any non-negative $t \in \mathbb{R}$

3.10 Lemma. *The pair state $e_a - e_b$ is fixed in G if and only if the density matrix of $e_a - e_b$ and the Laplacian matrix L of G commute.*

Proof. Let D denote the density matrix of $e_a - e_b$. For any non-negative t , we have

$$U(t)DU(-t) = D$$

if and only if

$$U(t)D = DU(t),$$

which means that D commutes with $U(t)$ for all t . This is equivalent to that D commutes with L as $U(t) = \sum_m^\infty \frac{(it)^m}{m!} L$. \square

3.11 Lemma. *Let D denote the density matrix of $e_a - e_b$ and let L denote the Laplacian matrix of graph G . Then $LD = DL$ if and only if vertices a, b are twins in G .*

Proof. We know that $DL = LD$ if and only if LD is symmetric, which is equivalent to $N(a) = N(b)$. \square

The theorem below follows immediately.

3.12 Theorem. *The pair state $e_a - e_b$ is fixed if and only if vertices a, b are twins in G .* \square

3.13 Corollary. *Let a, b be two vertices in G . If $N(a) = N(b)$, then $e_a - e_b$ has no perfect pair state transfer.*

Proof. From previous theorem, we know that $e_a - e_b$ is fixed. \square

3.14 Theorem. *Pair states $e_a - e_b$ are fixed if and only if there is only one eigenvalue in the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$ and the eigenvalue must be an integer.*

Proof. Let $\sum_r \theta_r E_r$ denote the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian of the graph G . For any time t , we have

$$U(t)(e_a - e_b) = \sum_r \theta_r E_r(e_a - e_b) = \gamma(e_a - e_b)$$

for some complex scalar γ with $|\gamma| = 1$. This is equivalent to that for all eigenvalues θ_r , we have

$$e^{it\theta_r} E_r(e_a - e_b) = \gamma E_r(e_a - e_b),$$

which gives us that

$$\gamma = e^{it\theta_r}$$

for all θ_r at any time t .

It follows that $e_a - e_b$ is fixed if and only if all the eigenvalues in the eigenvalue support coincide. If θ_r is an eigenvalue in the eigenvalue support, then all the algebraic conjugates of θ_r are also in the eigenvalue support. So we can conclude that $e_a - e_b$ is fixed if and only if the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$ is $\{\theta\}$ for some integer θ . \square

3.15 Corollary. *In graph G , vertices a and b are twins if and only if the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$ consists of one integer eigenvalue. \square*

This is a feature that distinguish vertex state transfer and pair state transfer. In a connected graph with at least two vertices, the eigenvalue support of a vertex state must have size at least two while the eigenvalue support of a pair state can have size one.

4 Algebraic Properties

In this section, we show how algebraic properties of the underlying graphs can help us to get more information about state transfer.

4.1 Theorem. *Let G be a graph. If there is a permutation $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\sigma(e_a - e_b) = e_c - e_d$, then $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ have the same eigenvalue support.*

Proof. Let P denote the permutation matrix associated with $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Since $\text{Col}(A)$ is invariant under P , we have that

$$PA = AP.$$

Let Δ denote the degree matrix of G . We know that Δ is a diagonal matrix, so that P commutes with Δ . Let L denote the Laplacian matrix of G . Thus, we have that

$$LP = (\Delta - A)P = P(\Delta - A) = PL.$$

Let $\sum_r \theta_r E_r$ denote the spectral decomposition of L . Since E_r is a polynomial in L and hence, we know that L commutes with E_r . Then we have that

$$PE_r(e_a - e_b) = E_rP(e_a - e_b) = E_r(e_c - e_d).$$

We know that θ_r is not in the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$ if and only if

$$E_r(e_a - e_b) = 0.$$

Since P acts on $E_r(e_a - e_b)$ by permuting its entries, we can see that $E_r(e_a - e_b) = 0$ if and only if

$$PE_r(e_a - e_b) = E_r(e_c - e_d) = 0.$$

Thus, we can conclude that θ_r is not in the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$ if and only if θ_r is not in the eigenvalue support of $e_c - e_d$. \square

One immediate consequence is that all the edge states in an edge-transitive graph have the same eigenvalue support. Actually the eigenvalue support of an edge state of a edge-transitive graph is consist of all the non-zero eigenvalues.

4.2 Theorem. *If G is a connected edge-transitive graph, then the eigenvalue support of edge states of G consists of all the non-zero eigenvalues.*

Proof. Let $\sum_r \theta_r E_r$ denote the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian matrix of G . Assume towards contradictions that E_s is the spectral idempotent corresponding to a non-zero eigenvalue θ_s such that

$$E_s(e_a - e_b) = 0$$

for all $(a, b) \in E(G)$. Since G is connected, for any $u, v \in V(G)$, there exist a path P from u to v . Then for any two vertices i, j on P , we must have

$$E_s e_i = E_s e_j.$$

We can conclude that all the columns of E_s are equal, which contradict to that θ_s is a non-zero eigenvalue. Thus, we know that a non-zero eigenvalue θ_r must in the eigenvalue support of some edge state of G . Since G is edge-transitive, we know that the eigenvalue supports of $e_a - e_b$ for all $(a, b) \in E(G)$ are equal. Therefore, all the non-zero eigenvalues are in eigenvalue support of edge states of G . \square

In the proof of Theorem 4.1, we prove that

$$LP = PL.$$

The transition matrix associated with graph G is a polynomial in L . So for any permutation matrix P from $\text{Aut}(G)$, we have

$$U(t)P = PU(t).$$

Using this, the following Lemma is proved in [11, Corollary 9.2].

4.3 Lemma. *If a graph G admits perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ to $e_c - e_d$, then the stabilizer of $e_a - e_b$ is the same as the stabilizer of $e_c - e_d$ in $\text{Aut}(G)$.* \square

4.4 Lemma. *If graph G admits perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ to $e_c - e_d$, then all the pair states in the orbit of $e_a - e_b$ under $\text{Aut}(G)$ have perfect state transfer.*

Proof. Assume there exist time τ such that $U(\tau)(e_a - e_b) = \gamma(e_c - e_d)$ for some $|\gamma| = 1$. Let P denote a permutation matrix associated with a $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $P(e_a - e_b) = e_{a'} - e_{b'}$. By Lemma 4.3, we know P does not fix $(e_c - e_d)$ and assume $P(e_c - e_d) = e_{c'} - e_{d'}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(\tau)P(e_a - e_b) &= \gamma P(e_c - e_d) \\ U(\tau)(e_{a'} - e_{b'}) &= \gamma(e_{c'} - e_{d'}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is also perfect state transfer between $e_{a'} - e_{b'}$ and $e_{c'} - e_{d'}$ at time τ . \square

By the monogamy of perfect state transfer, the following results follow immediately.

4.5 Corollary. *If there is perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ in graph G , then the orbit of $e_a - e_b$ and the orbit of $e_c - e_d$ under $\text{Aut}(G)$ must have the same size. \square*

4.6 Corollary. *Given an edge-transitive graph G , if perfect edge state transfer occurs in G , then all the edges have perfect state transfer. \square*

By monogamy property of perfect state transfer, we know that perfect state edge transfer in an edge-transitive graph partition edges into pairs.

4.7 Corollary. *Let G be an edge-transitive graph with n edges. If n is odd, there is no edge perfect state transfer in G . \square*

5 Constructions

In this section, we show how to use complements and Cartesian products to build infinite families of graphs with perfect pair state transfer.

5.1 Complements

We use standard algebraic graph theory result to show that complementation preserves perfect Laplacian pair state transfer. Let \overline{G} denote the complement of a graph G .

5.1 Lemma. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and L denote the Laplacian matrix of G . Then every Laplacian eigenvector of G with non-zero eigenvalue θ is a Laplacian eigenvector of \overline{G} with eigenvalue $n - \theta$. \square*

5.2 Theorem. *There is perfect state transfer between $(e_a - e_b)$ and $(e_c - e_d)$ in graph G if and only if there is perfect state transfer between $(e_a - e_b)$ and $(e_c - e_d)$ in \overline{G} .*

Proof. Let $S = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_r\}$ denote the eigenvalue support of $(e_a - e_b)$ and $(e_c - e_d)$ in G and $\sum_r \theta_r E_r$ denote the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian of G . Let

$$a_j = (E_j)_{ac} + (E_j)_{ad} - (E_j)_{bc} + (E_j)_{bd}$$

for all eigenvalue $\theta_j \in S$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{2}(e_c - e_d)^T U(t)(e_a - e_b) \right|^2 \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r e^{it\theta_j} ((E_j)_{ac} + (E_j)_{ad} - (E_j)_{bc} + (E_j)_{bd}) \right|^2 \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2} (a_1 e^{it\theta_1} + a_2 e^{it\theta_2} + \dots + a_r e^{it\theta_r}) \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} ((a_1 \cos(\theta_1 t) + a_2 \cos(\theta_2 t) + \dots + a_r \cos(\theta_r t))^2 \\
&\quad + (a_1 \sin(\theta_1 t) + a_2 \sin(\theta_2 t) + \dots + a_r \sin(\theta_r t))^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_r^2 + \sum_{r \neq s} 2a_r a_s \cos((\theta_r - \theta_s)t) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1, we know that the eigenvalue support \bar{S} of $(e_a - e_b)$ and $(e_c - e_d)$ in \bar{G} is $\{n - \theta_1, n - \theta_2, \dots, n - \theta_r\}$. Since zero is never in the eigenvalue support, the spectral idempotent \bar{E}_r of the Laplacian \bar{L} of \bar{G} with eigenvalue $n - \theta_r$ is the same as E_r with eigenvalue θ_r of L for all eigenvalues in the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$ in G . Let $\bar{U}(t) = \exp(it\bar{L})$ be the transition matrix associated with \bar{G} . We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{2}(e_c - e_d)^T \bar{U}(t)(e_a - e_b) \right|^2 \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r e^{it(n-\theta_j)} ((E_j)_{ac} + (E_j)_{ad} - (E_j)_{bc} + (E_j)_{bd}) \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_r^2 + \sum_{r \neq s} 2a_r a_s \cos((n - \theta_r)t - (n - \theta_s)t) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_r^2 + \sum_{r \neq s} 2a_r a_s \cos((\theta_s - \theta_r)t) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Since cosine is an even function, we get that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2}(e_c - e_d)^T \bar{U}(t)(e_a - e_b) \right|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{2}(e_c - e_d)^T U(t)(e_a - e_b) \right|^2.$$

Therefore, there is perfect state transfer between $(e_a - e_b)$ and $(e_c - e_d)$ in graph G if and only if there is perfect state transfer between them in the complement of G . \square

Let G_1, G_2 be two graphs. Let E' denote the set of all the edges with one end in $V(G_1)$ and the other end in $V(G_2)$. The join graph of G_1 and G_2 is a graph G such that

$$V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2), E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup E'$$

and the with vertex set $V(G)$.

5.3 Corollary. *Let G be a graph and a, b, c, d are vertices in G . There is perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ in G if and only if there is perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c - e_d$ in the join graph of G and H for a graph H .*

Notice that when H is a simple graph with one vertex, the join graph of a graph G and H is a cone graph G . So we can see that if there is perfect pair state transfer in a graph G , using Theorem 5.2, we can easily construct a cone graph of G to obtain a new graph that admits perfect pair state transfer.

Theorem 5.2 also allows us to characterize perfect state transfer in some graphs with special structure.

5.4 Corollary. *Let K_n be a complete graph on n vertices and $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Let G denote the graph obtained from K_n by deleting edge (v_1, v_2) . Then there is perfect state transfer between $e_1 - e_i$ and $e_2 - e_i$ for all $i \in \{3, 4, \dots, n\}$.*

5.2 Cartesian Products

Cartesian product is also an operator that we can use to construct infinite families of graphs with perfect pair state transfer.

If G, H are two graphs, their Cartesian product has vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, where (g_1, h_1) is adjacent to (g_2, h_2) if and only if either

- (i) $g_1 = g_2$ in G and h_1 is adjacent to h_2 in H , or
- (ii) g_1 is adjacent to g_2 in G and $h_1 = h_2$ in H .

5.5 Lemma. *Let G, H be graphs with Laplacian matrices L_G of order $n \times n$, L_H of order $m \times m$ respectively. Let $G \square H$ denote the Cartesian product of G and H with the Laplacian matrix $L_{G \square H}$. Then $L_{G \square H} = L_G \otimes I + I \otimes L_H$. \square*

5.6 Lemma. Let G, H be two graphs with transition matrices $U_G(t) = \exp(itL_G)$ and $U_H(t) = \exp(itL_H)$ respectively. Let $U_{G \square H}(t) = \exp(itL_{G \square H})$ denote the transition matrix of $G \square H$. Then $U_{G \square H}(t) = U_G(t) \otimes U_H(t)$.

Proof. Let L_G be a matrix of order $n \times n$ and let L_H be a matrix of order $m \times m$. If M is a matrix of order m and N is a matrix of order $n \times n$, the Kronecker sum of M and N is

$$M \oplus N = M \otimes I_n + I_m \otimes N.$$

Using the Kronecker sum and previous lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{G \square H}(t) &= \exp(itL_{G \square H}) \\ &= \exp(it(L_G \otimes I_m + I_n \otimes L_H)) \\ &= \exp(it(L_G \oplus L_H)) \\ &= \exp(itL_G) \otimes \exp(itL_H) \\ &= U_G(t) \otimes U_H(t). \end{aligned}$$

□

5.7 Theorem. Let G, H be two graphs, let $(a, b), (c, d)$ be two pairs of vertices in G and let $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \kappa)$ be two pairs of vertices in H . There is perfect state transfer between the pair $\{(a, \alpha), (b, \beta)\}$ and the pair $\{(c, \gamma), (d, \kappa)\}$ in $G \square H$ at time t if and only if both of the following conditions hold:

- (i) There is perfect pair state transfer between the pair (a, b) and (c, d) in G at time t .
- (ii) There is perfect pair state transfer between edges (α, β) and (γ, κ) in H at time t .

Proof. The state associated with the pair $\{(a, \alpha), (b, \beta)\}$ is

$$\frac{1}{2}((e_a - e_b) \otimes (e_\alpha - e_\beta))$$

and then we can see that the density matrix of this edge is

$$D_{ab} \otimes D_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Similarly, the density matrix of the edge $\{(c, \gamma), (d, \kappa)\}$ is $D_{cd} \otimes D_{\gamma\kappa}$. There is perfect state transfer between $\{(a, \alpha), (b, \beta)\}$ and $\{(c, \gamma), (d, \kappa)\}$ at time t if and only if

$$U_{G \square H}(t) \cdot D_{ab} \otimes D_{\alpha\beta} \cdot U_{G \square H}(-t) = D_{cd} \otimes D_{\gamma\kappa}.$$

By previous corollary, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_{G \square H}(t) \cdot D_{ab} \otimes D_{\alpha\beta} \cdot U_{G \square H}(-t) \\
&= U_{G \square H}(t) \cdot D_{ab} \otimes D_{\alpha\beta} \cdot (U_G(-t) \otimes U_H(-t)) \\
&= (U_G(t) \otimes U_H(t)) \cdot (D_{ab} U_G(-t) \otimes D_{\alpha\beta} U_H(-t)) \\
&= (U_G(t) D_{ab} U_G(-t)) \otimes (U_H(t) D_{\alpha\beta} U_H(-t)) \\
&= D_{cd} \otimes D_{\gamma\kappa},
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to that there is perfect Laplacian state transfer between $(a, b), (c, d)$ in G at time t and at the same time there is perfect state transfer between edge (α, β) and (γ, κ) in H . \square

Notice that in the case that the pair $\{(a, \alpha), (b, \beta)\}$ and the pair $\{(c, \gamma), (d, \kappa)\}$ are both edges, which means that $a = b$ and $c = d$, perfect pair state transfer in $G \square H$ is a combination of perfect vertex state transfer and perfect pair state transfer.

5.8 Corollary. *Let G, H be two graphs, let a, b be two vertices in G and let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa$ be vertices in H . There is perfect state transfer between the edge $\{(a, \alpha), (a, \beta)\}$ and the edge $\{(b, \gamma), (b, \kappa)\}$ in $G \square H$ at time t if and only if both of the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *There is perfect Laplacian vertex state transfer between vertices a and b in G at time t .*
- (ii) *There is perfect pair state transfer between edges (α, β) and (γ, κ) in H at time t .*




Figure 3: $P_2 \square P_3$

The example given by Coutinho in [7, Section 2.4] shows that P_2 admits perfect state transfer with respect to its Laplacian matrix between its vertices at time $\frac{\pi}{2}$. As the example shown in Section 2.2, there is perfect pair state transfer between its edges in P_3 at time $\frac{\pi}{2}$. By Theorem 5.8, there is perfect state transfer from $e_3 - e_5$ to $e_1 - e_0$ and from $e_2 - e_3$ to $e_1 - e_4$ in Figure 3.




Figure 4: the complement of the graph in Figure 3

6 Transitivity

The graph in Figure 4 is the complement of the graph in Figure 3. We know that there is perfect pair state transfer from $e_3 - e_5$ to $e_1 - e_0$ and also from $e_2 - e_3$ to $e_1 - e_4$ in the graph shown in Figure 3. By Theorem 5.2, we know that there are also perfect pair states transfer from $e_3 - e_5$ to $e_1 - e_0$ and from $e_2 - e_3$ to $e_1 - e_4$ the graph shown in Figure 4.

Actually the graph in Figure 4 also admits perfect pair state transfer between $e_2 - e_5$ and $e_0 - e_4$. This is what we call “the transitivity phenomenon”. This phenomenon can never happen in the case of vertex state transfer due to the monogamy and symmetry properties of perfect state transfer.

6.1 Theorem. *Suppose there is perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_b$ and $e_\alpha - e_\beta$ at time τ in G and there is also perfect state transfer between $e_b - e_c$ and $e_\beta - e_\gamma$ at the same time τ in G . Then there is perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_c$ and $e_\alpha - e_\gamma$ at time τ in G .*

Proof. Let D_{ab} denote the density matrix of $e_a - e_b$ and D_{bc} denote

the density matrix of $e_b - e_c$. We have that

$$D_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T, \quad D_{bc} = \frac{1}{2}(e_b - e_c)(e_b - e_c)^T.$$

Using that

$$(e_a - e_b)^T(e_b - e_c) = (e_b - e_c)^T(e_a - e_b) = -1,$$

we can write the density matrix of $e_a - e_c$ in terms of D_{ab} and D_{bc} in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ac} &= \frac{1}{2}(e_a - e_c)(e_a - e_c)^T \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((e_a - e_b) + (e_b - e_c))((e_a - e_b) + (e_b - e_c))^T \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T + (e_b - e_c)(e_b - e_c)^T \\ &\quad + (e_a - e_b)(e_b - e_c)^T + (e_b - e_c)(e_a - e_b)^T) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T + (e_b - e_c)(e_b - e_c)^T \\ &\quad - (e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T(e_b - e_c)(e_b - e_c)^T \\ &\quad - (e_b - e_c)(e_b - e_c)^T(e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T) \\ &= D_{ab} + D_{bc} - 2D_{ab}D_{bc} - 2D_{bc}D_{ab} \end{aligned}$$

As the above shows, we have

$$D_{ac} = D_{ab} + D_{bc} - 2D_{ab}D_{bc} - 2D_{bc}D_{ab}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$D_{\alpha\gamma} = D_{\alpha\beta} + D_{\beta\gamma} - 2D_{\alpha\beta}D_{\beta\gamma} - 2D_{\beta\gamma}D_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Now consider $U(\tau)D_{ac}U(-\tau)$. Since we know that

$$U(\tau)D_{ab}U(-\tau) = D_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad U(\tau)D_{bc}U(-\tau) = D_{\beta\gamma},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(\tau)D_{ac}U(-\tau) &= U(\tau)(D_{ab} + D_{bc} - 2D_{ab}D_{bc} - 2D_{bc}D_{ab})U(-\tau) \\ &= D_{\alpha\beta} + D_{\beta\gamma} - 2U(\tau)D_{ab}D_{bc}U(-\tau) - 2U(\tau)D_{bc}D_{ab}U(-\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Using $U(-\tau) \cdot U(\tau) = 1$, we get

$$U(\tau)D_{ab}D_{bc}U(-\tau) = U(\tau)D_{ab}U(-\tau) \cdot U(\tau)D_{bc}U(-\tau) = D_{\alpha\beta}D_{\beta\gamma}$$

and similarly,

$$U(\tau)D_{bc}D_{ab}U(-\tau) = U(\tau)D_{bc}U(-\tau) \cdot U(\tau)D_{ab}U(-\tau) = D_{\beta\gamma}D_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Thus, we get that

$$U(\tau)D_{ac}U(-\tau) = D_{\alpha\beta} + D_{\beta\gamma} - 2D_{\alpha\beta}D_{\beta\gamma} - 2D_{\beta\gamma}D_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha\gamma}.$$

Therefore, there is perfect state transfer between $e_a - e_c$ and $e_\alpha - e_\gamma$ at time τ . \square

7 Special Classes

This section we discuss pair state transfer on paths and cycles. Since we have proved that perfect pair state transfer are equivalent up to taking complements of underlying graphs, here we exclude the case of perfect pair state transfer between pairs that are both non-edges.

We show that C_4 is the only cycle and P_3, P_4 are the only paths that have perfect pair state transfer. We observe an interesting correspondence of perfect state transfer between graphs and their line graphs when graphs are paths and cycles.

7.1 Cycles

We use C_n to denote the cycle on n vertices and $A(C_n), L(C_n)$ to denote the adjacency and Laplacian matrix of C_n respectively.

Since here we only consider the case when at least one of the pairs that has perfect pair state transfer is an edge, we use a bound on n such that C_n can have a periodic edge state to eliminate the cases when C_n can have perfect pair state transfer. We show that C_4 is the only cycle that has perfect pair state transfer.

7.1 Lemma. *Laplacian eigenvectors of C_n are*

$$v_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \omega^k \\ \omega^{2k} \\ \omega^{3k} \\ \vdots \\ \omega^{(n-1)k} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ where $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi}{n}i}$ with eigenvalues

$$2 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. \square

Since we have

$$\cos \frac{2\pi(n-r)}{n} = \cos \left(2\pi - \frac{2\pi r}{n} \right) = \cos \frac{2\pi r}{n},$$

we know that $k = r$ and $k = n - r$ produce the same eigenvalue for $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Thus, we can conclude that $L(C_n)$ has $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ distinct non-zero eigenvalues.

Using Theorem 4.2, the Lemma below follows immediately.

7.2 Lemma. *every edge state of C_n has eigenvalue support of size $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.* \square

7.3 Theorem. *There is perfect pair state transfer in C_n if and only if $n = 4$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.1, we know that the Laplacian eigenvalues of C_n are

$$0 \leq 2 - 2 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi k}{n} \right) \leq 4$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. By Corollary 3.7, we know that for an edge state to be periodic, the size of eigenvalue support must be at most 4. Then by Lemma 7.2, we know that for C_n to have a periodic edge state, we must have $3 \leq n \leq 9$.

Using Theorem 3.6, we can find that there are no periodic edge states in C_n when $n = 7, 8, 9$ which implies that there is no perfect edge state transfer in C_n . Since cycles are edge-transitive, by Corollary 4.7, we know there is no perfect state transfer in C_3 and C_5 .

Computing

$$\left| \frac{1}{2}(e_a - e_b)^T U(t)(e_c - e_d) \right|^2$$

for all vertex-pairs $(a, b), (c, d)$ in $V(C_n)$ when $n = 4, 6$, we can conclude that the only cycle that has perfect pair state transfer is C_4 . \square

At time $\frac{\pi}{2}$, there is perfect state transfer between the opposite edges in C_4 .

7.2 Paths

Let P_n denote the path on n vertices such that $V(P_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We show that P_3, P_4 are the only two paths where perfect pair state transfer occurs.

7.4 Lemma. *The Laplacian eigenvector with eigenvalue $2 - 2 \cos \frac{\pi r}{n}$ of P_n is*

$$2 \sin \left(\frac{r\pi}{2n} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \left(\frac{1 \cdot r\pi}{2n} \right) \\ \cos \left(\frac{3 \cdot r\pi}{2n} \right) \\ \cos \left(\frac{5 \cdot r\pi}{2n} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \cos \left(\frac{(2n-3) \cdot r\pi}{2n} \right) \\ \cos \left(\frac{(2n-1) \cdot r\pi}{2n} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. □

Using automorphisms of path graphs and Theorem 4.1, we can prove the symmetry of the eigenvalue supports of the edge states of P_n .

7.5 Lemma. *Let $(k, k+1)$ be an edge of P_n with $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then the eigenvalue supports of the edge states associated with $(k, k+1)$ and $(n-k, n-k+1)$ are the same. □*

7.6 Lemma. *Let S denote the eigenvalue support of an edge state in P_n . Then*

$$|S| \geq \frac{n}{2}.$$

Proof. We want to prove that there are at most $n/2$ eigenvalues that are not in the eigenvalue support of an edge state in P_n .

Let E_r denote the spectral idempotent of $L(P_n)$ with eigenvalue $2 - 2 \cos(\pi r/n)$. Since 0 is never in the eigenvalue support of any edge state, we may assume that $2 - 2 \cos(\pi r/n)$ is a non-zero eigenvalue that is not in the eigenvalue support of $e_k - e_{k+1}$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let v_r denote the eigenvector of $L(P_n)$ such that

$$v_r v_r^T = E_r.$$

Assume that $2 - 2 \cos(\pi r/n)$ is not in the eigenvalue support of $(k, k+1)$, which means that

$$E_r(e_k - e_{k+1}) = 0.$$

Then we know that

$$v_r e_k^T = v_r e_{k+1}^T.$$

By Lemma 7.4, we must have that

$$\cos\left((2k-1)\frac{r\pi}{2n}\right) = \cos\left((2k+1)\frac{r\pi}{2n}\right).$$

Using the trigonometric identity

$$\cos(x) - \cos(y) = -2 \sin\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right),$$

we know that r must satisfy

$$\cos\left((2k-1)\frac{r\pi}{2n}\right) - \cos\left((2k+1)\frac{r\pi}{2n}\right) = -2 \sin\left(4k\frac{r\pi}{4n}\right) \sin\left(\frac{r\pi}{2n}\right) = 0.$$

Thus, we know that either kr/n or $r/2n$ is an integer. But $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ and so

$$\frac{kr}{n} = z$$

for some positive integer z .

Since $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, we know that z must satisfy that

$$1 \leq \frac{n}{k}z \leq n-1.$$

The number of values of z satisfying the inequality above is the number of non-zero eigenvalues not in the eigenvalue support of $e_k - e_{k+1}$. By Lemma 7.5, we only need to consider the cases when $k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Since the number of valid z increases as the value of k increases and when $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, the values that z can take is at most

$$\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1 \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1.$$

As stated before, zero is never in the eigenvalue support of a pair state and so, we can conclude that there are at most $n/2$ eigenvalues that are not in the eigenvalue support of an edge state in P_n . Therefore, the size of the eigenvalue support of an edge state is at least $n/2$. \square

Since we only consider the case when perfect pair state transfer between pair states that at least one of them is an edge state, we conclude the following theorem.

7.7 Theorem. *A path graph on n vertices has perfect pair state transfer if and only if $n = 3, 4$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.4, we know that P_n has Laplacian eigenvalue

$$0 \leq 2 - 2 \cos \frac{\pi r}{n} \leq 4$$

for $r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. By Corollary 3.7, we know that if an edge state of P_n is periodic, then its eigenvalue support has size at most four. Lemma 7.6 tells us that the eigenvalue support of an edge state of P_n is at least $n/2$. Thus, we know that for $n \geq 9$, there is no periodic edge states in P_n , which implies that there is no perfect pair state transfer in P_n when $n \geq 9$. Thus, we only need to consider the cases when $n = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$.

Using Theorem 3.6 we find that when $n = 5, 7, 8, 9$, there is no periodic edge states in P_n . Since if an edge state has perfect state transfer, then it must be periodic, which tells us that when $n = 5, 7, 8, 9$, there is no perfect pair state transfer in P_n .

By computing

$$\left| \frac{1}{2}(e_a - e_b)^T U(t)(e_c - e_d) \right|^2$$

for all different vertex-pairs $(a, b), (c, d)$ in P_3, P_4 and P_6 , we find that there is perfect state transfer in P_3 and P_4 . Therefore, there is perfect state transfer in P_n if and only if $n = 3, 4$. \square

When $n = 3$, there is perfect state transfer between its edges in P_3 at time $\pi/2$. When $n = 4$, perfect state transfer occurs between two edges on its ends in P_4 at time $\sqrt{2}\pi/2$.

7.3 Comments

Stevanović [14] and Godsil[11] prove that P_n admits perfect vertex state transfer relative to adjacency matrices if and only if $n = 2$ or 3 . Perfect vertex state transfer in P_2 happens between its two vertices at time $\pi/2$ and perfect vertex state transfer in P_3 happens between its end-vertices at time $\sqrt{2}\pi/2$.

We proved that P_n admits perfect pair state transfer only when $n = 3$ or 4 and

- (i) there is perfect state transfer between its edges in P_3 at time $\pi/2$,
- (ii) when $n = 4$, perfect state transfer occurs between two edges on its ends in P_4 at time $\sqrt{2}\pi/2$.

Later in Section 8, we will prove an analogous result for quantum walks relative to the unsigned Laplacians in paths with initial states of the form $e_a + e_b$. That is, P_3, P_4 are the only paths where perfect state transfer relative to the unsigned Laplacians occurs and it occurs between the end-edges of P_3, P_4 at time $\pi/2, \sqrt{2}\pi/2$ respectively .

Notice also that P_2, P_3 are the line graphs of P_3, P_4 respectively. In P_3 and its line graph P_2 , perfect state transfer always occurs at the same time $\pi/2$ between the same pair of edges and their corresponding pair of vertices in the line graph. This happens regardless of our choice of Hamiltonian or form of the initial state. We can make the same observations about perfect state transfer in P_4 and its line graph P_3 .

We know that C_4 is the only cycle that admits perfect state transfer relative to adjacency matrices, Laplacians. We will show in next section that C_4 is the only cycle that admits perfect state transfer relative to the unsigned Laplacians. No matter our choice of Hamiltonians and form of initial state, perfect state transfer in C_4 happens at the same time $\pi/2$ between pairs of opposite edges or vertices.

Let G be a regular graph with valency k , then the Laplacian matrix of G is

$$L = kI - A.$$

Then the transition matrix for pair state transfer is

$$U(t) = \exp(it(kI - A)) = e^{itk} e^{-itA}.$$

A similar argument works for the unsigned Laplacians. Thus we can conclude that the continuous quantum walks generated by the adjacency matrices, the Laplacians and the unsigned Laplacians are equivalent up to a phase factor.

Although the transition matrices with respect to the adjacency matrices, the Laplacians and the unsigned Laplacians of cycles are equivalent, it is still surprising that different forms of initial states actually do not affect perfect state transfer in C_4 . Also, notice that C_4 is the line graph of itself and there is a correspondence between pairs of PST-edges and pairs of PST-vertices.

It may seem that there is a correspondence between perfect edge state transfer in a graph and perfect vertex state transfer in its line graph. However, that is not true for most graphs. So far, paths and cycles are the only examples we have found where the correspondence can be observed.

8 Unsigned Laplacian

Let G be a graph. The unsigned Laplacian of G is matrix $L_+(G)$ such that

$$L_+(G) = \Delta(G) + A(G).$$

When we use $L_+(G)$ as Hamiltonian in a quantum walk, the pair of vertices (a, b) of G is associated with the state

$$e_a + e_b,$$

which we call “plus state”.

Every time we refer to plus states, we use the unsigned Laplacian of a graph as Hamiltonian unless stated explicitly otherwise. We define analogously that there is perfect plus state transfer between $e_a + e_b$ and $e_c + e_d$ if and only if

$$U(t)(e_a + e_b) = \exp(itL_+)(e_a + e_b) = \gamma(e_c + e_d),$$

for some complex constant γ with norm 1. Also, a plus state $e_a + e_b$ is periodic if and only if it has perfect plus state transfer to itself at some time t .

Since the main case of interest in this paper is the case when the Laplacian of a graph is used as Hamiltonian, it is natural to question if there will be perfect state transfer between a pair state and a plus state when we use the Laplacian as Hamiltonian. The answer is no.

8.1 Theorem. *Let G be a graph with $a, b, c, d \in V(G)$. There is no perfect state transfer between a state of the form $e_a + e_b$ and a state of the form $e_c - e_d$ in G when the Laplacian of G is used as Hamiltonian of the quantum walk.*

Proof. We know that 0 will always be an eigenvalue of the Laplacian of G with the all-ones vector being its eigenvector. Thus, we know 0 will never be in the eigenvalue support of $e_a - e_b$ while 0 is always in the eigenvalue support of $e_c + e_d$. It follows that $e_a - e_b$ and $e_c + e_d$ do not have the same eigenvalue support, which implies that they are not strongly cospectral. By Theorem 2.2, we can conclude that there is no perfect state transfer between a state of the form $(e_a - e_b)$ and a state of the form $(e_c + e_d)$ using Laplacian as Hamiltonian. \square

G_n	total	Lap. PED	Lap. PST	Unsigned PED	Unsigned PST
G_5	21	18 (85.7%)	6 (28.6%)	4 (19.0%)	0 (0%)
G_6	112	86 (76.8%)	25 (22.3%)	21 (18.8%)	4 (3.6%)
G_7	853	513 (60.1%)	94 (11.0%)	23 (2.7%)	2 (0.2%)
G_8	11117	5164 (46.5%)	673 (6.0%)	55 (0.5%)	14 (0.1%)

Table 2: the Number of Graphs with PST and Periodic States

Despite the huge gap between the number of PST pairs in terms of pair state transfer and plus state transfer, when the underlying graph is a bipartite graph, perfect state transfer in terms of pair states and plus states are equivalent.

8.2 Lemma. *Let G be a bipartite graph with two parts B_1, B_2 and A, Δ denote the adjacency matrix and the degree matrix of G respectively. Let D be block matrix such that*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -I & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I \end{pmatrix}$$

indexed by the vertices of B_1, B_2 in the order . Then we have

$$D(\Delta - A)D = \Delta + A. \quad \square$$

8.3 Theorem. *Let G be a bipartite graph with parts B_1, B_2 and vertices $a, c \in B_1$ and $b, d \in B_2$. There is perfect pair state transfer between $(e_a - e_b)$ and $(e_c - e_d)$ if and only if there is perfect plus state transfer between $(e_a + e_b)$ and $(e_c + e_d)$.*

Proof. Let Δ denote the degree matrix of G and A denote the adjacency matrix of G . From the Lemma 8.2, we know that

$$D(\Delta - A)D = \Delta + A$$

and inserting $DD = I$ between m copies of $\Delta - A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\Delta - A)^m D &= D(\Delta - A)DD(\Delta - A)DD \cdots (\Delta - A)D \\ &= (\Delta + A)^m \end{aligned}$$

for any non-negative integer m . Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
DU(t)D &= D \exp(itL)D = D \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(it)^m}{m!} (\Delta - A)^m \right) D \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^m}{m!} D (\Delta - A)^m D \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^m}{m!} (\Delta + A)^m \\
&= \exp(itL_+)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since $a, c \in B_1$ and $b, d \in B_2$, we have that

$$D(e_a - e_b) = -(e_a + e_b), \quad D(e_c - e_d) = -(e_c + e_d).$$

There is perfect state transfer between $(e_a - e_b)$ and $(e_c - e_d)$ using Laplacian if and only if there exist τ such that

$$U(\tau)(e_a - e_b) = \gamma(e_c - e_d)$$

for some $|\gamma| = 1$. Applying D on both sides of the equation above, we have that

$$DU(\tau)(e_a - e_b) = D(\gamma(e_c - e_d)).$$

Again using $DD = I$, we can rewrite the equation above as

$$DU(\tau)DD(e_a - e_b) = \gamma D(e_c - e_d).$$

This gives us that

$$-\exp(i\tau L_+)(e_a + e_b) = -\gamma(e_c + e_d),$$

$$\exp(i\tau L_+)(e_a + e_b) = \gamma(e_c + e_d),$$

which is equivalent to perfect plus state transfer between $(e_a + e_b)$ and $(e_c + e_d)$ using unsigned Laplacian. This completes our proof. \square

Next we discuss perfect plus state transfer in cycles and paths. Like the case in pair state transfer, we exclude the perfect state transfer between both non-edge state.

Since we proved that C_4 is the only cycle where perfect pair state transfer occurs, one immediate result from the theorem above is that there is no perfect plus state transfer in C_n when n is even and the only exception is when $n = 4$. In C_4 , there is perfect plus state transfer between opposite edges at time $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

A plus-state analogue of Corollary 4.7 implies that there is no perfect plus state transfer in C_n when n is odd. Therefore, we get the following theorem.

8.4 Theorem. *There is perfect plus state transfer in C_n if and only if $n = 4$.* \square

Since P_3, P_4 are the only paths where perfect pair state transfer occurs, Theorem 8.3 gives the result below.

8.5 Theorem. *A path graph on n vertices has perfect plus state transfer if and only if $n = 3, 4$.* \square

Perfect pair state transfer and perfect plus state transfer happen between the same pairs of edges at the same time in P_3 and P_4 . When $n = 3$, there is perfect plus state transfer between its edges in P_3 at time $\pi/2$. When $n = 4$, perfect plus state transfer occurs between two edges on its ends in P_4 at time $\sqrt{2}\pi/2$.

9 Open Questions

Checking all the trees on up to 16 vertices, there is no perfect pair state transfer and there are only four types of graphs that contain periodic pair states:

1. Star graphs $K_{1,n}$;
2. Double stars;
3. Paths;
4. The figure below.




Figure 5: $(0, 10)$ is the only periodic pair in this graph

Coutinho and Liu in [9] proved that there is no perfect vertex state transfer in trees with more than two vertices when the Laplacian is the Hamiltonian. We suspect a similar result for pair state transfer. Our personal feeling is that there is no perfect pair state transfer on trees, but we have not found a way to prove this.

Another question we would like to answer is that how different Hamiltonians and different initial states affect state transfer.

G_n	Total	$A(G)$ with vertex states	Prop.	$L(G)$ with edge states	Prop.	$L_+(G)$ with plus states	Prop.
G_5	21	1	4.8%	6	28.6%	0	0%
G_6	112	1	0.9%	27	24.1%	4	3.6%
G_7	853	1	0.1%	104	12.2%	2	0.2%
G_8	11117	5	0.004%	779	7.0%	14	0.1%

Table 3: the Number of graphs with PST in Different Settings

Table 3 shows that the number of graphs with adjacency periodic vertex states, Laplacian periodic edge states and unsigned Laplacian periodic plus states followed with the corresponding proportions from left to right in order.

From Table 3 as well as Table 1, we can see that different choices of Hamiltonian and different forms of initial state strongly affect the number of periodic states in a graph and hence, affect the number of states that have perfect state transfer.

However, as shown in Section 7 and Section 8, when the underlying graphs are bipartite graphs and odd cycles, perfect edge state transfer and perfect plus state transfer are equivalent. We can see that perfect state transfer in some certain classes of graphs is invariant under different Hamiltonians and initial states.

Given specific Hamiltonian, we are curious about which form of the initial state can give us the most perfect state transfer pairs. On the other hand, given specific initial state, we would like to know which Hamiltonian produces the most perfect state transfer pairs. Also, in order to get more perfect state transfer pairs, how the structures of graphs affects our choice of the Hamiltonian and the initial state.

References

- [1] Sougato Bose. Quantum Communication through an Unmodulated Spin Chain. *Physical Review Letters*, 91(20):207901, 2003.
- [2] Qiuting Chen. Edge State Transfer. Master’s thesis, University of Waterloo, 2018.
- [3] Andrew M Childs. Universal computation by quantum walk. *Physical Review Letters*, 102(18):180501, 2009.
- [4] Andrew M. Childs, Richard Cleve, Enrico Deotto, Edward Farhi, Sam Gutmann, and Daniel A. Spielman. Exponential algorithmic speedup by quantum walk. *Proc. 35th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC 2003)*, pages 59–68, 2002.
- [5] Andrew M Childs, David Gosset, and Zak Webb. Universal Computation by Multiparticle Quantum Walk. *Science*, 339(6121):791 LP – 794, feb 2013.
- [6] Matthias Christandl, Nilanjana Datta, Artur Ekert, and Andrew J. Landahl. Perfect state transfer in quantum spin networks. *Physical Review Letters*, 2004.
- [7] Gabriel Coutinho. *Quantum State Transfer in Graphs*. PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, 2014.
- [8] Gabriel Coutinho and Chris Godsil. *Graph Spectra and Quantum Walks*. In preparation.
- [9] Gabriel Coutinho and Henry Liu. No Laplacian perfect state transfer in trees. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 29(4):2179–2188, 2015.

- [10] Edward Farhi and Sam Gutmann. Quantum computation and decision trees. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 58(2):915–928, 1998.
- [11] Chris Godsil. State transfer on graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(1):129–147, 2012.
- [12] Chris Godsil. When can perfect state transfer occur? *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 23:877–890, 2012.
- [13] Chris Godsil. Real state transfer. 17 pages, 2017.
- [14] Dragan Stevanović. Applications of graph spectra in quantum physics. *Zbornik Radova*, (22):85–111, 2011.