

Late time approach to Hawking radiation: terms beyond leading order

Paul R. Anderson^{1,*}, Raymond D. Clark¹, Alessandro Fabbri^{2,†} and Michael R.R. Good^{3,‡}

¹*Department of Physics, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27109, USA*

²*Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC, C. Dr. Moliner 50, 46100 Burjassot, Spain and*

³*Department of Physics and ECL, Nazarbayev University, Astana, Kazakhstan*

(Dated: April 2, 2024)

Black hole evaporation is studied using wave packets for the modes. These allow for approximate frequency and time resolution. The leading order late time behavior gives the well known Hawking radiation that is independent of how the black hole formed. The focus here is on the higher order terms and the rate at which they damp at late times. Some of these terms carry information about how the black hole formed. A general argument is given which shows that the damping is significantly slower (power law) than what might be expected from the stationary phase approximation (exponential). This result is verified by numerical calculations in the cases of 2D and 4D black holes that form from the collapse of a null shell.

Hawking's seminal work showed that particle production occurs when matter collapses to form a black hole and that at late times the particles are produced in a thermal spectrum (modulo the gray body factor) at a temperature that is related to the surface gravity at the event horizon of the black hole [1]. This gives rise to the well known information issue [2–5] which involves the apparent loss of information during the formation of the black hole.

Although the leading order late time thermal radiation has been thoroughly studied in many different ways, much less attention has been paid to the early time nonthermal radiation which at late enough times becomes negligible compared with the thermal radiation. In [6] a study was done on the time dependence of the particle production that occurs due to a massless minimally coupled scalar field when a spherically symmetric null shell collapses to form a black hole in both two and four spacetime dimensions. An exact correspondence [6–9] between the particle production that occurs due to the collapse of the shell and that which occurs in 2D for a mirror undergoing a particular trajectory in flat space was shown.

In this paper we study the late time behavior of the particle production process, focusing on the late time behavior of the terms that are beyond leading order. After deriving a general form for the equations that describe the particle production process, we show that a stationary phase approximation gives the late time leading order results found by Hawking with correction terms that are exponentially damped in time. We then show that the true next to leading order (and next to next to leading order, etc.) are power law damped at late times.

For simplicity, the derivation we show uses the form of the Bogolubov coefficients for a massless minimally coupled scalar field in a 2D black hole spacetime that forms from collapse in an asymptotically flat spacetime. However, the derivation can be generalized immediately and trivially to the case of 4D spherically symmetric collapse and almost certainly to the general case of 4D collapse to form a black hole in an asymptotically flat spacetime.

We begin by noting that in the case of collapse to form a black hole one can expand the quantum field in terms of modes that correspond to the natural *in* vacuum state. For an asymptotically flat spacetime these are positive frequency at past null infinity, \mathcal{I}^- , and regular at the origin, $r = 0$, inside the collapsing matter. (This is a necessity in 4D.) We denote these modes by f_{ω}^{in} . For asymptotically flat spacetimes the modes in the *out* vacuum state that we are concerned with are positive frequency at future null infinity, \mathcal{I}^+ , and vanish on the future horizon H^+ . We denote them by f_{ω}^{out} . Note that they do not form a complete set. Then in the usual way we write one of these modes in terms of the complete set of *in* modes as follows:

$$f_{\omega}^{\text{out}} = \int_0^{\infty} d\omega' [\alpha_{\omega\omega'} f_{\omega'}^{\text{in}} + \beta_{\omega\omega'} f_{\omega'}^{\text{in}*}] . \quad (1)$$

The Bogolubov coefficients are $\alpha_{\omega\omega'} = (f_{\omega}^{\text{out}}, f_{\omega'}^{\text{in}})$, $\beta_{\omega\omega'} = -(f_{\omega}^{\text{out}}, f_{\omega'}^{\text{in}*})$, with

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -i \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma \sqrt{|g_{\Sigma}|} n^a \phi_1 \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_a \phi_2^* , \quad (2)$$

*Electronic address: anderson@wfu.edu

†Electronic address: afabbri@ific.uv.es

‡Electronic address: michael.good@nu.edu.kz

where Σ is a Cauchy surface and n^a is the normal to that surface. The average number of particles produced at frequency ω is,

$$\langle \text{in} | N_\omega^{\text{out}} | \text{in} \rangle = \int_0^\infty d\omega' |\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2. \quad (3)$$

This number is divergent if backreaction effects are not taken into account since the black hole then radiates forever. To investigate the time-dependence of the particle production process Hawking used wave packets for the *out* modes,

$$f_{jn}^{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega e^{i2\pi n\epsilon^{-1}\omega} f_\omega^{\text{out}}, \quad (4)$$

with n an integer and j a nonnegative integer. Note that near future null infinity, \mathcal{I}^+ , $f_\omega^{\text{out}} \sim e^{-i\omega(t_s - r_*)}$, with r_* the usual tortoise coordinate, so the contribution to the integral is largest if $u_s = t_s - r_* = 2\pi n/\epsilon$. A packet with index j covers the range of frequencies $j\epsilon \leq \omega \leq (j+1)\epsilon$, and one with index n covers the approximate time range $(2\pi n - \pi)/\epsilon \lesssim u_s \lesssim (2\pi n + \pi)/\epsilon$.

One can also make packets out of the Bogolubov coefficients

$$\beta_{jn\omega'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega e^{i2\pi n\epsilon^{-1}\omega} \beta_{\omega\omega'}. \quad (5)$$

It is useful to write the Bogolubov coefficients in the following form¹

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega'}} e^{-i\kappa^{-1}\omega \log(\kappa^{-1}\omega')} B(\omega, \omega'), \quad (6)$$

with κ the surface gravity of the black hole and $B(\omega, \omega')$ a slowly varying function of ω' . Then one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{jn} &\equiv \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^\infty d\omega' |\beta_{jn\omega'}|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_1 \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_2 e^{i[2\pi n\epsilon^{-1} - \kappa^{-1} \log(\kappa^{-1}\omega')] (\omega_1 - \omega_2)} B(\omega_1, \omega') B^*(\omega_2, \omega'). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

A stationary phase approximation can be used to determine the leading order behavior with the stationary phase point,

$$\omega'_s = \kappa e^{2\pi n\kappa\epsilon^{-1}}. \quad (8)$$

At late times $\omega'_s \gg \kappa$ and one can expand $B(\omega, \omega')$ in inverse powers of ω' such that,

$$B(\omega, \omega') = e^{i\delta\omega'} \left[B_H(\omega) + \frac{B_1(\omega)}{\omega'} + \dots \right]. \quad (9)$$

Note that the factor $e^{i\delta\omega'}$ does not affect the particle number. Using this in (7) and keeping just the first term gives Hawking's result which is independent of n . Including higher order terms results in contributions that are exponentially damped in n .

This analysis might lead one to believe that the distribution of particles approaches exponentially in time the thermal one (modulo the graybody factor) predicted by Hawking. However, it turns out that there are contributions to the triple integral that go like inverse powers of n at late times. The procedure we have used to isolate these terms is given next.

First assume $2\pi n\kappa\epsilon^{-1} \gg 1$ and then break the integral over ω' into two parts with the first part going from $\omega' = 0$ to $\omega' = \Lambda$ and the second part going from $\omega' = \Lambda$ to $\omega' = \infty$. The cutoff Λ should be chosen so it is in the range

¹ This form can be used to isolate the most important dependence on the frequency ω' of the *in* modes in order to illustrate thermal behavior at late times in other cases as well such as the 2D mirror trajectories studied in [6–23]. The first order term in a large ω' expansion of $B(\omega, \omega')$ gives, in units of κ , the thermal distribution $|\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^{-2} = 2\pi\omega'(e^{2\pi\omega} - 1)$.

$(j+1)\epsilon \ll \Lambda \ll \omega'_s = \kappa e^{2\pi n \kappa \epsilon^{-1}}$. This will always work for fixed j and ϵ if large enough values of n are used. Then for the second term the same triple integral with $B(\omega, \omega') \rightarrow B_H(\omega)$ is subtracted off and added back on with the result

$$N_{jn} = N_1 + N_2 + N_3$$

$$N_1 = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_1 \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_2 e^{i2\pi n \epsilon^{-1}(\omega_1 - \omega_2)} \beta_{\omega_1 \omega'} \beta_{\omega_2 \omega'}^* \quad (10a)$$

$$N_2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_\Lambda^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_1 \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_2 e^{i(2\pi n \epsilon^{-1} - \kappa^{-1} \log(\kappa^{-1} \omega'))(\omega_1 - \omega_2)} \\ \times [B(\omega_1, \omega') B^*(\omega_2, \omega') - B_H(\omega_1) B_H^*(\omega_2)] \quad (10b)$$

$$N_3 = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_\Lambda^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_1 \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_2 e^{i(2\pi n \epsilon^{-1} - \kappa^{-1} \log(\kappa^{-1} \omega'))(\omega_1 - \omega_2)} B_H(\omega_1) B_H^*(\omega_2) \quad (10c)$$

Note that N_{jn} is independent of the value of Λ .

The leading and next to leading order behaviors for large values of n come from N_3 . To see this one can break this integral into two parts such that

$$N_3 = N_{3a} + N_{3b}$$

$$N_{3a} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_1 \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_2 e^{i\left(\frac{2\pi n}{\epsilon} - \frac{\log(\frac{\omega'}{\kappa})}{\kappa}\right)(\omega_1 - \omega_2)} B_H(\omega_1) B_H^*(\omega_2), \quad (11a)$$

$$N_{3b} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^\Lambda \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_1 \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_2 e^{i\left(\frac{2\pi n}{\epsilon} - \frac{\log(\frac{\omega'}{\kappa})}{\kappa}\right)(\omega_1 - \omega_2)} B_H(\omega_1) B_H^*(\omega_2). \quad (11b)$$

Integrating (11a) over ω' and then ω_2 , and dropping the subscript on ω_1 gives the leading order late time behavior found by Hawking [1]

$$N_{3a} = N_{jn}^H = \frac{2\pi\kappa}{\epsilon} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega |B_H(\omega)|^2, \quad (12)$$

which is independent of n .

The next to leading order is obtained from N_{3b} by integrating the integrals over both ω_1 and ω_2 by parts and noting that since j and n are integers, $e^{i2\pi n(j+1)} = e^{i2\pi n j} = 1$. With the change of variables $\kappa z = \log(\kappa^{-1} \omega')$, the result is

$$N_{3b} = -\frac{\kappa}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^Z \frac{dz}{(2\pi n \epsilon^{-1} - z)^2} [|B_H((j+1)\epsilon)|^2 + |B_H(j\epsilon)|^2 - e^{-i\epsilon z} B_H((j+1)\epsilon) B_H^*(j\epsilon) \\ - e^{i\epsilon z} B_H(j\epsilon) B_H^*((j+1)\epsilon)] , \quad (13)$$

where $\kappa Z = \log(\kappa^{-1} \Lambda)$. The first two terms can be integrated exactly and the last two terms can be integrated by parts to yield

$$N_{3b} = -\frac{\kappa}{2\pi n} [|B_H((j+1)\epsilon)|^2 + |B_H(j\epsilon)|^2] + O(n^{-2}). \quad (14)$$

It is easy to see by integrating the integrals over ω_1 and ω_2 in N_1 by parts that for large enough values of n , $N_1 = O(n^{-2})$. Note that the stationary phase point (8) lies outside of the integration range for this integral.

For N_2 the stationary phase point (8) is within the integration range. Further the expansion (9) can be used throughout the integration range. Substitution into (10c) shows that to leading order the integrand goes like ω'^{-1} . Thus the contribution to the integral from the stationary phase point is of order $e^{-2\pi n \kappa \epsilon^{-1}}$. This is negligible compared with the contributions from the end points. To see this first make the substitution $\kappa z = \log(\kappa^{-1} \omega')$ with the result

$$N_2 = \frac{\kappa}{\epsilon} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_1 \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_2 \int_Z^\infty dz e^{i[2\pi n \epsilon^{-1} - z](\omega_1 - \omega_2)} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\kappa z}}{\kappa} [B_H(\omega_1) B_1^*(\omega_2) \right. \\ \left. + B_1(\omega_1) B_H^*(\omega_2)] + O(e^{-2\kappa z}) \right\} \\ = \frac{\kappa}{\epsilon} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_1 \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} d\omega_2 e^{i[2\pi n \epsilon^{-1} - Z](\omega_1 - \omega_2)} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\kappa Z}}{[i(\omega_1 - \omega_2) + \kappa]} [B_H(\omega_1) B_1^*(\omega_2) \right. \\ \left. + B_1(\omega_1) B_H^*(\omega_2)] + O(e^{-2Z}) \right\} \quad (15)$$

Integration by parts for the remaining two integrals then shows that $N_2 = O(n^{-2})$.

It is important to note that some of the terms in N_1 and N_3 and all of the terms in N_2 that are of order n^{-2} at large n depend on the cutoff Λ . However, it is clear from (10) that the sum of these terms cannot depend on Λ .

We have checked these results for the case of particle production due to a massless minimally coupled scalar field that occurs when a black hole forms by the collapse of a null shell in 2D and 4D. In the latter case the shell is spherically symmetric. Previous studies of particle production when a null shell collapses in 4D to form a black hole were done in [5, 6, 24, 25]².

In the 2D case the Bogolubov coefficients were computed exactly in [6, 24] with the result

$$B^{2D}(\omega, \omega') = e^{-i\omega' v_H} B_H^{2D}(\omega) [1 + \omega(\omega')^{-1}]^{-1-i\kappa^{-1}\omega} . \quad (16a)$$

$$B_H^{2D}(\omega) = -e^{-i\omega v_H} \frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{2\pi\kappa} e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{2\kappa}} \Gamma(i\kappa^{-1}\omega) . \quad (16b)$$

The trajectory of the null shell is $v = v_0$ with $v = t + r$ inside the shell, $v = t + r_*$ outside the shell, and $r_* = r + 2M \log \frac{r-2M}{2M}$. Then $v_H \equiv v_0 - \kappa^{-1}$ with $\kappa^{-1} = 4M$. In the large ω' limit one finds to leading order that

$$|\beta_{\omega, \omega'}^{2D}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa\omega'} \frac{1}{e^{2\pi\kappa^{-1}\omega} - 1} . \quad (17)$$

Substitution of (16b) into (12) gives [6]

$$N_{3a} = N_{jn}^H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi\epsilon} \log \left[\frac{e^{2\pi(j+1)\epsilon\kappa^{-1}} - 1}{e^{2\pi j\epsilon\kappa^{-1}} - 1} \right] - 1 . \quad (18)$$

As shown in [14] for the case of the Carlitz-Willy mirror trajectory [13], the thermal distribution can be explicitly recovered by first writing (18) in terms of $\omega_j = (j + \frac{1}{2})\epsilon$, assuming $\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa} \ll 1$ while at the same time assuming that $\frac{j\epsilon}{\kappa} \gtrsim 1$ and then expanding in powers of $\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa}$. The result is

$$N_{3a} = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi\omega_j/\kappa} - 1} + O\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{\kappa^2}\right) . \quad (19)$$

Substitution of (16b) into (14) gives

$$N_{3b} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n} \left[\frac{1}{e^{2\pi(j+1)\epsilon\kappa^{-1}} - 1} + \frac{1}{e^{2\pi j\epsilon\kappa^{-1}} - 1} \right] + O(n^{-2}) . \quad (20)$$

The quantities $n^2 N_1$, $n^2 N_2$, and $n N_{3b}$ are plotted in Fig. (1). As predicted they each approach a constant value in the large n limit. For $n N_{3b}$ the constant can be obtained by multiplying (20) by n and taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In 4D the metric inside the shell is the flat space metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (21)$$

and outside the shell the metric is the Schwarzschild metric

$$ds^2 = -1 - \frac{2M}{r} dt_s^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (22)$$

with the surface gravity $\kappa = (4M)^{-1}$. The time coordinate is the only one that is not continuous across the shell.

Inside the shell the usual radial null coordinates are $u = t - r$ and $v = t + r$ while outside the shell they are $u_s = t_s - r_*$ and $v = t_s + r_*$ with $r_* = r + 2M \log \left(\frac{r-2M}{2M} \right)$. v is continuous across the shell and on the shell [5, 24]

$$u_s = u - 4M \log \left(\frac{v_H - u}{4M} \right) . \quad (23)$$

² In these studies the effective potential in the mode equation for the scalar field was ignored (with the exception in some cases of the gray body factor) allowing for analytic computation of the Bogolubov coefficients.




FIG. 1: The quantity $n^2 N_1$ is plotted versus n in the upper left hand plot and the quantity $n^2 N_2$ is plotted in the upper right hand plot for the case of the 2D collapsing null shell with $M = 1$, $j = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.1$, and $v_0 = 0$. The quantity $n N_{3b}$ is plotted in the lower plot. The solid line is the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ of $n N_{3b}$ which can be obtained from (14) and which is equal to -0.00239997 .

The mode functions for the massless minimally coupled scalar field in the region outside of the shell and the horizon can be written in the form

$$f_{\omega\ell m} = \frac{Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega}} \frac{\psi_{\omega\ell}(t, r)}{r} = \frac{Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega}} e^{-i\omega t} \frac{\chi_{\omega\ell}(r)}{r}, \quad (24)$$

with

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \psi_\ell}{\partial t_s^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_\ell}{\partial r_*^2} - V_{\text{eff}}(r) \psi_\ell = 0, \quad (25a)$$

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left[\frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}\right] \quad (25b)$$

In general they can be written in terms of two positive frequency mode functions ψ_R^∞ and ψ_L^∞ which are right and left moving respectively at infinity. Then the *out* modes, which are positive frequency right moving waves at future null infinity, can be written as

$$\psi_{\omega\ell}^{\text{out}} = \psi_R^\infty - \frac{F_R}{F_L} \psi_L^\infty, \quad (26)$$

with

$$\psi_R^\infty \rightarrow e^{-i\omega u_s} \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (27a)$$

$$\psi_R^\infty \rightarrow E_R e^{-i\omega u_s} + F_R e^{-i\omega v} \text{ as } r \rightarrow 2M, \quad (27b)$$

$$\psi_L^\infty \rightarrow e^{-i\omega v} \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (27c)$$

$$\psi_L^\infty \rightarrow E_L e^{-i\omega u_s} + F_L e^{-i\omega v} \text{ as } r \rightarrow 2M. \quad (27d)$$

The scattering coefficients E_R , E_L , F_R , and F_L must be computed numerically. Computing the Wronskian of χ_R^∞ and χ_L^∞ in both limits results in the condition

$$E_R F_L - E_L F_R = 1. \quad (28)$$

The gray body factor is given by $\Gamma = |E_R|^{-2} = |F_L|^{-2}$ [26].

The *in* modes are positive frequency left moving waves on past null infinity and inside the shell they have the boundary condition $\psi_{\omega\ell}^{\text{in}} = 0$ at $r = 0$.

The relevant Bogolubov transformation is

$$f_{\omega\ell m}^{\text{out}} = \sum_{\ell' m'} \int_0^\infty d\omega' [\alpha_{\omega\ell m \omega' \ell' m'} f_{\omega' \ell' m'}^{\text{in}} + \beta_{\omega\ell m \omega' \ell' m'} f_{\omega' \ell' m'}^{\text{in}*}] . \quad (29)$$

It was shown in [6] that $\alpha_{\omega\ell m \omega' \ell' m'} \propto \delta_{\ell, \ell'} \delta_{m, m'}$, $\beta_{\omega\ell m \omega' \ell' m'} \propto (-1)^m \delta_{\ell, \ell'} \delta_{m, -m'}$, and that

$$\langle in | N_{\omega\ell m}^{\text{out}} | in \rangle = \int_0^\infty d\omega' |\beta_{\omega\ell m \omega' \ell(-m)}|^2. \quad (30)$$




FIG. 2: Penrose diagram for a 4D black hole that forms from the collapse of a null shell. The trajectory is $v = v_0$ and the horizon is the dotted (red) curve. The short-dashed (blue) curve is the Cauchy surface used to compute the Bogolubov coefficients. This is Fig. (3) from Ref. [6]

Using the Cauchy surface shown in Fig. (2) and noting that $f_{\omega\ell m}^{\text{out}} = 0$ on the horizon, we find after some calculation that

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'\ell} = -\frac{i}{2\pi\sqrt{\omega\omega'}} \frac{F_R}{F_L} e^{-i(\omega+\omega')v_0} \frac{\omega}{(\omega+\omega'-i\epsilon_1)} + J \quad (31a)$$

$$J = \frac{i}{2\pi\sqrt{\omega\omega'}} \int_{-\infty}^{v_H} du \psi_{\omega\ell}^{\mathcal{I}^+} \partial_u \psi_{\omega'\ell}^{\text{in}}. \quad (31b)$$

The leading order late time behavior comes from J . For $\ell = 0$ on the shell trajectory

$$\psi_{\omega'0}^{\text{in}} = e^{-i\omega'v_0} - e^{-i\omega'u}. \quad (32)$$

Inserting this into (31b), using $t = v_0 - r$ on the shell and changing the integration variable from $u = t - r$ to $s = (r - 2M)/M$ one finds

$$J = -\frac{1}{4\pi\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\omega'}{\omega}} e^{-i\omega'v_H} \int_0^\infty ds e^{i\frac{\omega's}{2\kappa}} \psi_{\omega 0}^{\text{out}}. \quad (33)$$

An asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of ω' can be obtained by expanding $\psi_{\omega 0}^{\text{out}}$ in a power series in s . This is the near horizon approximation. The leading order term can be obtained by combining Eqs. (26), (27b), (27d), and (28) with the result that

$$\psi_{\omega 0}^{\text{out}} \rightarrow \frac{e^{-i\omega u_s}}{F_L} = \frac{e^{-i\omega v_H}}{F_L} \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}} [1 + O(s)] . \quad (34)$$

Substitution into (33) gives after some algebra

$$J = \frac{e^{-i\omega' v_H}}{F_L \sqrt{\omega'}} \left(\frac{\omega'}{\kappa}\right)^{-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}} B_H^{2D}(\omega) . \quad (35)$$

Substitution into (12) gives Hawking's result.

For Eqs. (10) it is assumed that $\Lambda \gg \omega$. As a result, one can in the first approximation simply use $B(\omega, \omega') = e^{-i\omega v_H \omega'} B_H(\omega)$ in N_2 with the result that to this approximation $N_2 = 0$. Then $N_{jn} \approx N_1 + N_3$. Further the late time leading and next to leading order terms come from N_3 and do not depend on Λ . We have numerically computed N_{jn}^H for the case $M = 1$, $j = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.1$, and $v_0 = 0$. We have also numerically computed in a direct way the value of N_3 for various values on n when $\Lambda = 10$. In Fig 3 the quantity nN_{3b} is plotted. As predicted it approaches a constant value in the large n limit. The constant can be obtained by multiplying (14) by n and taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$.




FIG. 3: The quantity nN_{3b} is plotted for the case of the 4D collapsing null shell with $M = 1$, $j = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.1$, and $v_0 = 0$. The solid line is the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ of nN_{3b} which can be obtained from (14) and which is equal to -0.00087655 .

We have shown that the contribution to the particle production from the next to leading order term goes like n^{-1} , with n related to the time, when wave packets are used for the *out* modes. This was unexpected since the stationary phase approximation would seem to predict that higher order terms are exponentially damped in n .

Interestingly the next to leading order term is still local in some sense since it depends on the leading order contribution to the Bogolubov coefficient $\beta_{\omega\omega'}$ in a large ω' expansion and, as Hawking showed, this is independent of the details about how the black hole formed. However, at the next order, n^{-2} , and for all higher orders n^{-a} for $a > 2$, there are cutoff independent contributions to N_1 in (10b) which are clearly nonlocal since they depend on much smaller values of ω' that require a full numerical computation of $\beta_{\omega\omega'}$ over the entire trajectory of the null shell as well as the contribution to $\beta_{\omega\omega'}$ from past null infinity. Therefore these terms carry information about how the black hole formed.

Our findings that at late times the terms beyond leading order in the Hawking effect have a powerlaw rather than an exponential decay and that the first nonlocal terms emerge at order n^{-2} put the information loss issue in a new perspective since the emitted particles in the black hole evaporation process are sensitive to the details of the collapsing matter for a significantly longer period of time than would have been expected from the stationary phase approximation. Of course for a macroscopic black hole one would still expect that the approach to a thermal state would occur well before a significant amount of evaporation has occurred.

Acknowledgments

P.R.A. thanks Amos Ori, Adam Levi, Ted Jacobson, and Abhay Ashtekar for helpful conversations, comments, and questions, and Adam Levi for sharing some of his numerical data. We would also like to thank Jose Navarro-Salas for helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. PHY-1505875 and PHY-1912584 to Wake Forest University. R.D.C. acknowledges financial support from the Wake Forest University URECA Center. A.F. acknowledges partial financial support by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad Grant No. FIS2017-84440-C2-1-P, the Generalitat Valenciana Project No. SEJI/2017/042 and the Severo Ochoa Excellence Center Project No. SEV-2014-0398. M.R.R.G acknowledges funding from state-targeted program “Center of Excellence for Fundamental and Applied Physics” (BR05236454) by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan and support by the ORAU FY2018-SGP-1-STMM Faculty Development Competitive Research Grant No. 090118FD5350 at Nazarbayev University. Some of the plots were made using the WFU DEAC cluster; we thank the WFU Provost’s Office and Information Systems Department for their generous support.

-
- [1] S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** 199 (1975).
 - [2] S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 2640 (1976).
 - [3] L. E. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime*, Cambridge University Press (2009).
 - [4] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press (1982).
 - [5] A. Fabbri and J. Navarro-Salas, *Modeling black hole evaporation*, London, UK: Imp. Coll. Pr. (2005).
 - [6] M. R. R. Good, P. R. Anderson and C. R. Evans, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 065010 (2016), [arXiv:1605.06635 [gr-qc]].
 - [7] M. R. R. Good, *2nd LeCoSPA Meeting*, [arXiv:1602.00683 [gr-qc]].
 - [8] P. R. Anderson, M. R. R. Good and C. R. Evans, *MG14*, [arXiv:1507.03489 [gr-qc]].
 - [9] M. R. R. Good, P. R. Anderson and C. R. Evans, *MG14*, [arXiv:1507.05048 [gr-qc]].
 - [10] S. A. Fulling and P. C. W. Davies, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **348** (1976) 393.
 - [11] P. C. W. Davies and S. A. Fulling, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **356** (1977) 237.
 - [12] M. R. R. Good, K. Yelshibekov and Y. C. Ong, *JHEP* **1703**, 013 (2017), [arXiv:1611.00809 [gr-qc]].
 - [13] R. D. Carlitz and R. S. Willey, *Phys. Rev. D* **36**, 2327 (1987).
 - [14] M. R. R. Good, P. R. Anderson and C. R. Evans, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 025023 (2013), [arXiv:1303.6756 [gr-qc]].
 - [15] M. R. R. Good, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1350008 (2013), [arXiv:1205.0881 [gr-qc]].
 - [16] M. Hotta, M. Shino and M. Yoshimura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **91**, 839 (1994), [arXiv:9403139 [hep-th]].
 - [17] M. R. R. Good and Y. C. Ong, *JHEP* **1507**, 145 (2015), [arXiv:1506.08072 [gr-qc]].
 - [18] M. Hotta, R. Schützhold and W. G. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 12, 124060 (2015), [arXiv:1503.06109 [gr-qc]].
 - [19] M. R. R. Good and E. V. Linder, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 125010 (2017), [arXiv:1707.03670 [gr-qc]].
 - [20] M. R. R. Good and E. V. Linder, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 065006 (2018), [arXiv:1711.09922 [gr-qc]].
 - [21] W. Cong, E. Tjoa and R. B. Mann, [arXiv:1810.07359 [quant-ph]].
 - [22] M. R. R. Good and E. V. Linder, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 025009 (2019), [arXiv:1807.08632 [gr-qc]].
 - [23] M. R. R. Good, *Kerson Huang Memorial*, World Scientific, (2017), [arXiv:1612.02459 [gr-qc]].
 - [24] S. Massar and R. Parentani, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 7426 (1996), [arXiv:9502024 [gr-qc]].
 - [25] G. Vilkovisky, in *Quantum theory of gravity; essays in honor of the 60th birthday of Bryce S. DeWitt*, edited by S.M. Christensen and Adam Hilger, Bristol [Avon] (1984).
 - [26] P. R. Anderson, A. Fabbri and R. Balbinot, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 6, 064061 (2015), [arXiv:1501.01953 [gr-qc]].