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#### Abstract

Analytical solutions with effective CPT-violating spin-gravity corrections to the neutron's gravitational bound states are obtained. The helicity-dependent phase evolution due to $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\tilde{b}}$ and $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}}$ couplings not only leads to spin precession, but also to transition-frequency shifts between different gravitational bound states. Utilizing transition frequencies measured in the qBounce experiment, ${ }^{1}$ we obtain the rough bound $|\overrightarrow{\tilde{b}}|<6.9 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{GeV}$. Incorporating known systematic errors may lead to more robust and tighter constraints.


## 1. Introduction

Lorentz-violating matter-gravity couplings ${ }^{2}$ open a broad and interesting avenue for testing Lorentz symmetry. Recently, spin-independent Lorentzviolating neutron-gravity couplings have been thoroughly studied ${ }^{3}$ in an attempt to analyze the GRANIT experiment. ${ }^{4}$ However, to our best knowledge, an extensive study of spin-dependent fermion-gravity couplings is still under development. ${ }^{5}$ Here, we provide a first glimpse at CPT-violating spin-dependent neutron-gravity couplings. A more detailed and complete analysis can be found in Ref. 6.

## 2. LV corrections due to spin-dependent interactions

The main vertical hamiltonian after averaging over the horizontal degrees of freedom is ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{\hat{p}_{z}^{2}}{2 m_{I}}+m_{G} g z-\vec{\sigma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\tilde{b}}\left(1+\Phi_{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have started with the hamiltonian in Ref. 7 and performed a series of redefinitions and approximations. The stationary solution of (1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\perp}(t, z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{\left[\cos \left(\frac{\Omega}{2} t\right)+i r_{+} \sin \left(\frac{\Omega}{2} t\right)\right] e^{-\frac{i \omega}{2} t}}{\left[\cos \left(\frac{\Omega}{2} t\right)-i r_{-} \sin \left(\frac{\Omega}{2} t\right)\right] e^{+\frac{i \omega}{2} t}} \phi_{n}(z) e^{-i E_{n} t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{n}(z) \equiv \frac{\operatorname{Ai}\left[\frac{z}{L_{c}}-x_{n+1}\right]}{L_{c}^{1 / 2}\left|\mathrm{Ai}^{\prime}\left[-x_{n+1}\right]\right|}, \Omega \equiv \sqrt{\omega^{2}+4 \omega B_{0} \cos \theta+4 B_{0}^{2}}$, and $r_{ \pm} \equiv$ $\left[\omega+2 B_{0}\left(\cos \theta \pm \sin \theta e^{-i \phi}\right)\right] / \Omega$; the initial state is assumed to be an eigenstate of $\sigma_{x},|X \uparrow\rangle$.


Fig. 1. (a) Probability to remain in the initial state $|X \uparrow\rangle$. We have chosen an unrealistically large $B_{0}=\pi / 120$ to make the probability variation apparent. The other parameters are $\theta=\pi / 3$ and $\omega=0$; the $\phi$ dependence is clearly discernible from the three curves. (b) Evolution of the spin vector for the initial state $|X \uparrow\rangle$. Again, an unrealistically large $B_{0}=\pi / 13$ has been chosen. The other parameters are $\theta=\pi / 6$ and $\phi=\omega=0$.

The probability profile for unrealistically large $B_{0} \equiv|\vec{b}|$ as well as the spin-precession on the Bloch sphere are shown in Fig. 1. The eigensolution of $\hat{H}=\hat{p}_{z}^{2} /\left(2 m_{I}\right)+m_{G} g z-\bar{b}_{\text {eff }}\left(1+\Phi_{0}\right) \sigma_{z} \hat{p}_{z} / m_{I}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t, z)=\binom{c_{1} e^{i\left[\bar{b}_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(1+\Phi_{0}\right)\right] z}}{c_{2} e^{-i\left[\bar{b}_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(1+\Phi_{0}\right)\right] z}} \operatorname{Ai}\left[\frac{z}{L_{c}}-x_{n+1}\right] e^{-i\left\{E_{n}-\frac{\left[\bar{b}_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(1+\Phi_{0}\right)\right]^{2}}{2 m_{I}}\right\} t}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parity-odd nature of $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}}$ again dictates opposite phase evolutions for the two helicity components, and $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants to be determined.

For $\hat{H}_{L V}=-\vec{\sigma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\tilde{b}}\left(1+\Phi_{0}+g z\right)-\bar{b}_{\text {eff }}\left(1+\Phi_{0}\right) \sigma_{z} \hat{p}_{z} / m_{I}$, we can use the matrix elements

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{\langle n+| \hat{H}_{L V}|n,+\rangle\langle n+| \hat{H}_{L V}|n,-\rangle}{\langle n-| \hat{H}_{L V}|n,+\rangle\langle n-| \hat{H}_{L V}|n,-\rangle} \\
& \quad=-B_{0}\left[\left(1+\Phi_{0}\right)+\frac{2}{3} g L_{c} x_{n+1}\right]\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta e^{-i \phi} \\
\sin \theta e^{i \phi} & -\cos \theta
\end{array}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

to calculate the shift in the eigenenergies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta E_{n}=\mp B_{0}\left[\left(1+\Phi_{0}\right)+\frac{2}{3} g L_{c} x_{n+1}\right], \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the upper or lower sign depends on whether the spin state is $|\hat{n}+\rangle=$ $\left(e^{-i \phi} \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta, \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)$ or $|\hat{n}-\rangle=\left(\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta,-e^{i \phi} \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta\right)$, respectively. From (5), the transition-frequency shift is given by $\delta \nu_{m n}^{\pi}=\mp \frac{2 g}{3 h}|\overrightarrow{\tilde{b}}| L_{c}\left(x_{m+1}-x_{n+1}\right)$. Comparing $\delta \nu_{m n}^{\pi}$ with the precisely measured frequencies in the qBounce experiment, ${ }^{1}$ we obtain the rough upper bound $|\overrightarrow{\vec{b}}|<6.946 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{GeV}$.

## 3. Summary

In this work, we have discussed CPT-violating spin-gravity corrections on the neutron's gravitational bound states. With several analytical solutions, we have demonstrated that the phase evolution depends on the helicity of the wave-function components. The resulting phenomena are spin precession and $\theta$ - and $\phi$-dependent probability variations. Using degenerate perturbation theory, we have also calculated the transition-frequency shift. Comarison with the measurements in Ref. 1 has yielded the rough bound $|\overrightarrow{\vec{b}}|<6.9 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{GeV}$, which can be improved further if systematic errors from known physics are taken into account, or if polarized neutrons are used in the future.
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