

Resonant algebras in Chern-Simons model of topological insulators

Remigiusz Durka ^{*1} and Jerzy Kowalski-Glikman ^{†1,2}

¹*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, pl. M. Borna 9, 50-204 Wrocław, Poland*

²*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Pateura 7, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*

January 28, 2022

Abstract

This paper explores the possibility of using Maxwell algebra and its generalizations called resonant algebras for the unified description of topological insulators. We offer the natural action construction, which includes the relativistic Wen-Zee and other terms, with adjustable coupling constants. By gauging all available resonant algebras formed by Lorentz, translational and Maxwell generators $\{J_a, P_a, Z_a\}$ we present six Chern-Simons Lagrangians with various terms content accounting for different aspects of the topological insulators.

Additionally, we provide complementary actions for another invariant metric form, which might turn out useful in some generalized (2+1) gravity models.

1 Introduction

Chern-Simons (CS) model plays an important role in the theory of Quantum Hall systems [1]. As an effective field theory, it is capable of describing various physical effects appearing in the intriguing materials called topological insulators [2, 3, 4, 5, 6], which behave like insulators in the bulk but render non-trivial conducting on the edges. In the topological insulator action, the Hall current is described by the $CS[A]$ term, where A_μ is the electromagnetic potential. One can also introduce the torsional term, accounting for Hall fluid viscosity [7, 8, 9], and a term of the form of $CS[\hat{\omega}]$ called "gravitational" by the Hall effect community, where $\hat{\omega}$ is another $O(2)$ connection. In addition, we include the so-called Wen-Zee term [10] encoding direct interaction between A and $\hat{\omega}$.

The Chern-Simons action configuration is fixed when one chooses the relevant gauge symmetry. The problem is that the usual "gravitational" (ω) and "electromagnetic" (A) actions do not offer a natural interaction between these two different theories. In the literature, such interaction is included by hand in the form of the so-called Wen-Zee term [10]

$$S_{WZ} = \frac{\nu \bar{s}}{4\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma} A_\mu \partial_\rho \hat{\omega}_\sigma \quad (1)$$

^{*}remigiusz.durka@ift.uni.wroc.pl

[†]jerzy.kowalski-glikman@ift.uni.wroc.pl

where $\widehat{\omega}_\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}\omega_\sigma^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}$ represents $O(2)$ spin connection transforming as a $U(1)$ gauge field, while A_μ is electromagnetic gauge field with ν being filling factor and \bar{s} describing average orbital spin coming from the angular momentum contribution due to the cyclotron orbits in the Hall conductance. Note that presence of (1) in the action is merely due to some heuristic motivation and not the result of direct CS construction.

In the recent paper [11] G. Palumbo delivers an interesting take on that subject, exploring the application of the so-called Maxwell gauge symmetry to the topological insulators. The basic idea is to embed the two abelian gauge groups into a larger gauge group in order to obtain the description of Quantum Hall system in terms of a single Chern-Simons theory. Unfortunately, in the paper [11] this goal is only partially achieved. The aim of this letter to complete this construction.

To incorporate the Wen-Zee term into the unified framework it has been proposed in [11] to use the Chern-Simons theory of the so-called the Maxwell algebra. This algebra was introduced in the 1970's [12, 13] and is defined by generators $\{J_{ab}, P_a, Z_{ab}\}$. It was thoroughly analyzed in a wide range of contexts (see [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22], along with many further generalizations [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29]). Its novelty concerns the commutator of two translations, which takes the form

$$[P_a, P_b] = Z_{ab}, \quad (2)$$

where Z_{ab} are additional Maxwell algebra generators satisfying

$$[Z_{ab}, P_c] = 0, \quad [Z_{ab}, Z_{cd}] = 0 \quad (3)$$

(Notice the difference between Maxwell algebra and the (A)dS one, where the right hand side of (2) is proportional to the Lorentz generator J_{ab} and it vanishes for the Poincaré algebra.)

In [11], the electromagnetic gauge field A_μ has to be immersed in the $\frac{1}{2}\hat{A}_\mu^{ab}Z_{ab}$, part of the full connection describing the new non-abelian *Maxwell algebra*-valued field. This immersion made it possible to reproduce the Wen-Zee term, moreover, in the relativistic form. In such a formulation of the topological insulator model, the Maxwell algebra provided essential structure and field content, assuring the interaction term between the geometry and gauge field. Unfortunately, at the same time, it failed in reproducing directly the gauge field contribution,

$$\frac{\nu}{4\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma} A_\mu \partial_\rho A_\sigma \quad (4)$$

since the vanishing of the corresponding invariant tensor $\langle Z_a, Z_b \rangle = 0$ does not allow for such term. As this scenario corresponds to the trivial topological insulator, to resolve this apparent obstacle, the final action in [11] was composed as a sum of two Chern-Simons theories.

In this paper, we offer a generalization of this construction capable of resolving some of the issues. We argue, that to obtain a more suitable description, one needs to go through a standard (single) Chern-Simons formulation. The only difference lies in exploiting other algebra of a similar type. Such algebra was introduced by Soroka and Soroka [30, 31] and eventually will represent the case with the most general term content.

Moreover, the semigroup expansion framework [32] showed that one can have altogether 6 algebras [33] resulting from adding the new generator Z_{ab} to J_{ab} and P_a . These different algebras will lead to the various configuration of the existing terms in the Lagrangians, as the particular algebras give different invariant tensors.

2 Gauging the resonant algebras

We start with the extended definition of the gauge connection

$$\mathcal{A} = \omega^a J_a + \frac{1}{\ell} e^a P_a + \hat{A}^a Z_a, \quad (5)$$

where gauge algebra indices are given by $a = 0, 1, 2$. The resonant algebra in 2+1 dimensions is defined by three groups of generators. J_a are generators of Lorentz transformations (rotations and boosts), P_a are the generators of spacetime translations, while Z_a are the new Maxwell generators. Such definition naturally requires an introduction of the length parameter ℓ to make the dimensions right for the dreibein term. Since we are dealing with the $(2+1)D$ theory, we can consider the dual generators $J_a = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abc}J^{bc}$ as well as the redefinition of the spin connection $\omega_a = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abc}\omega^{bc}$ and, analogously, $Z_a = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abc}Z^{bc}$ and the gauge field $\hat{A}_a = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abc}\hat{A}^{bc}$.

For a given set of generators $X_A = \{J, P, Z\}$ the commutation relations can be written as $[X_a^A, X_b^B] = (f^{AB}_C X_c^C) \epsilon_{ab}{}^c$. Depending on the right hand side of the $[P_a, P_b]$ commutator we can organize algebras according to the resulting sub-algebras of $\{J_a, P_a\}$ (see details concerning derivation and the notation in [33]). Gathering schematically the outcomes $f^{AB}_C X^C$ in the table

$[\cdot, \cdot]$	J	P	Z
J	.	.	.
P	.	.	.
Z	.	.	.

(6)

gives us

- $4\times$ Poincaré-like algebras (i.e. having $[P_a, P_b] = 0$), which we denote as type: $B_4, \tilde{B}_4, \tilde{C}_4$, and $C_4 \equiv \text{Poincare} \oplus \text{Lorentz}$

B_4	J	P	Z	\tilde{B}_4	J	P	Z	\tilde{C}_4	J	P	Z	C_4	J	P	Z
J	J	P	Z	J	J	P	Z	J	J	P	Z	J	J	P	Z
P	P	0	0	P	P	0	P	P	P	0	0	P	P	0	P
Z	Z	0	0	Z	Z	P	0	Z	Z	0	Z	Z	Z	P	Z

(7)

- no AdS-like algebra, which would contain $[P_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{ab}{}^c J_c$
- $2\times$ Maxwell-like algebras (i.e. containing $[P_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{ab}{}^c Z_c$): of type \mathfrak{B}_4 (original Maxwell algebra introduced in the 70's) and type $\mathfrak{C}_4 \equiv \text{AdS} \oplus \text{Lorentz}$ (introduced by Soroka-Soroka and shown to represent under change of basis the direct sum of two algebras)

\mathfrak{B}_4	J	P	Z	\mathfrak{C}_4	J	P	Z
J	J	P	Z	J	J	P	Z
P	P	Z	0	P	P	Z	P
Z	Z	0	0	Z	Z	P	Z

(8)

We thus have in total 6 algebras, of which we are now going to focus solely on the last one, as it offers maximal content of terms, postponing commenting about other possibilities to the end of this section. The algebra \mathfrak{C}_4 is defined explicitly in (2+1)-dimensions by the following commutators

$$[J_a, J_b] = \epsilon_{abc} J^c, \quad [J_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{abc} P^c, \quad (9)$$

$$[P_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{abc} Z^c, \quad [J_a, Z_b] = \epsilon_{abc} Z^c, \quad (10)$$

$$[P_a, Z_b] = \epsilon_{abc} P^c, \quad [Z_a, Z_b] = \epsilon_{abc} Z^c, \quad (11)$$

where $a, b, c = 0, 1, 2$. This algebra differs from the Maxwell one in the last two relations, i.e. $[P_a, Z_b]$ and $[Z_a, Z_b]$, which are zero. Note that the four other cases of the "Poincaré-like" algebras share $[P_a, P_b] = 0$, but they have other outcomes of commutators P_a with Z_b and Z_a with Z_b .

The (internal) invariant metric for the Soroka-Soroka \mathfrak{C}_4 algebra, given by a bilinear form $\Omega_{AB} = \langle X_A, X_B \rangle$, is identified through the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_a J_b \rangle &= \alpha_0 \eta_{ab}, & \langle P_a P_b \rangle &= \alpha_2 \eta_{ab}, \\ \langle J_a Z_b \rangle &= \alpha_2 \eta_{ab}, & \langle Z_a Z_b \rangle &= \alpha_2 \eta_{ab}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where α_0 and α_2 are the arbitrary real parameters. Particular set of α constants can be seen as the result of the semigroup expansion procedure applied to the original (A)dS invariant metric (see [14, 15, 29, 33]), or just by the realization of $\langle [X_A, X_B], X_C \rangle = \langle X_A, [X_B, X_C] \rangle$.

In general, there is yet another type of the invariant metric, namely

$$\langle J_a P_b \rangle = \alpha_1 \eta_{ab}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Z_a P_b \rangle = \alpha_1 \eta_{ab}, \quad (13)$$

which leads to the appearance of terms like $R_a e^a = \frac{1}{2} R^{ab} e^c \epsilon_{abc}$. We set it to zero by the means of fixing $\alpha_1 = 0$, as they are irrelevant to the subject of the topological insulators [11]. However, for the completeness, we will include separately a complementary action construction based on these invariants too, which might find use in the generalized $(2+1)$ -gravity models.

The gauge connection \mathcal{A}_μ defined in Eq. (5) takes values in a given algebra (which we specified here to be \mathfrak{C}_4), whereas the field strength tensor $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^A X_A = R_{\mu\nu}^a J_a + \frac{1}{\ell} T_{\mu\nu}^a P_a + H_{\mu\nu}^a Z_a. \quad (14)$$

We denote the standard Riemann two-form as $R^a = d\omega^a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \omega_b \wedge \omega_c$, while particular H^a form will depend on the specific algebra. For the Soroka-Soroka algebra H^a will be expressed as

$$H^a = D_\omega \hat{A}^a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{bc}^a \hat{A}^b \wedge \hat{A}^c + \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \epsilon_{bc}^a e^b \wedge e^c, \quad (15)$$

whereas for the Maxwell algebra it will be reduced to $H^a = D_\omega \hat{A}^a = d\hat{A}^a + \epsilon_{bc}^a \omega^b \wedge \hat{A}^c$. Depending on the algebra, also notion of the *torsion* two-form T^a can be altered. Every time the algebra delivers $[Z_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{abc} P^c$ (as it happens for \mathfrak{C}_4) it will result in the extra $\epsilon_{bc}^a \hat{A}^b \wedge e^c$ term added to the standard part of $de^a + \epsilon_{bc}^a \omega^b \wedge e^c$.

We choose our Lagrangian to be that of Chern-Simons model [34, 35, 36]

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS}^{2+1} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \langle \mathcal{A} \wedge d\mathcal{A} + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}] \rangle, \quad (16)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the particular invariant tensor. Note that, the Palumbo's final action [11] for the Maxwell algebra was written as:

$$S_{Palumbo}[e, \omega, \hat{A}] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \text{tr} [\varrho_1 \text{CS}(\omega) + \varrho_2 e \wedge D_\omega e + \varrho_3 \hat{A} \wedge (R + \varrho_4 e \wedge e) + \varrho_5 \hat{A} \wedge D_\omega \hat{A}]. \quad (17)$$

This was, however, achieved by including the additional inverse of bilinear form Ω_{AB}^{-1} (which can not be used as the internal metric) along with some further complications regarding the constants. The proper action for the Maxwell \mathfrak{B}_4 algebra can be found in [21, 22].

In turn, we find that (up to the boundary terms) the Chern-Simons action gauged straightforwardly using the Soroka-Soroka algebra [15, 28, 29] is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathfrak{C}_4}[e, \omega, \hat{A}] &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \alpha_0 CS(\omega) \\
&+ \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \alpha_2 \left(\frac{1}{\ell^2} e_a \wedge D_\omega e^a + 2\hat{A}_a \wedge (R^a + \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \epsilon^{abc} e_b \wedge e_c) + \hat{A}_a \wedge D_\omega \hat{A}^a \right) \\
&+ \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \alpha_2 \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{A}_a \wedge \hat{A}_b \wedge \hat{A}_c.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Interestingly, the set of the resulting constants is reduced just to k, α_0, α_2 and ℓ . The variations over fields provide the field equations

$$\delta e_a : \quad 0 = \frac{2}{\ell^2} \alpha_2 \left(D_\omega e^a + \epsilon^{abc} \hat{A}_b \wedge e_c \right) \tag{19}$$

$$\delta \hat{A}_a : \quad 0 = 2\alpha_2 \left(R^a + (D_\omega \hat{A}^a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{A}_b \wedge \hat{A}_c + \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \epsilon^{abc} e_b \wedge e_c) \right) \tag{20}$$

$$\delta \omega_a : \quad 0 = 2\alpha_0 R^a + 2\alpha_2 \left(D_\omega \hat{A}^a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{A}_b \wedge \hat{A}_c + \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \epsilon^{abc} e_b \wedge e_c \right). \tag{21}$$

In action above, one finds the standard torsional Chern-Simons term $e_a \wedge D_\omega e^a$ along with the Chern-Simons term for the ω

$$CS(\omega) = \omega^a \wedge d\omega_a + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{abc} \omega^a \wedge \omega^b \wedge \omega^c. \tag{22}$$

These two terms in the gravity context form the "exotic" Chern-Simons action [35], which might be added to the standard 3D gravity $CS(\omega, e) = \frac{1}{2} R^{ab} \wedge e^c \epsilon_{abc} = R_a \wedge e^a$ [37]. Here they will play a leading role in describing Hall viscosity.

Having the \mathfrak{C}_4 action (18) we can now relate it to the topological insulators. Before doing so, let us first gather all other resonant algebras cases and summarize them in a single table (with "-" meaning lack of a particular term)

Table 1: Lagrangian content for the $\{J_a, P_a, Z_a\}$ resonant algebras

	B_4	\tilde{B}_4	\tilde{C}_4	C_4	\mathfrak{B}_4	\mathfrak{C}_4
$C(\omega)$	α_0	α_0	α_0	α_0	α_0	α_0
$2\hat{A}_a R^a$	α_2	α_2	α_2	α_2	α_2	α_2
$\hat{A}_a D_\omega \hat{A}^a$	-	-	α_2	α_2	-	α_2
$\frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{A}_a \hat{A}_b \hat{A}_c$	-	-	α_2	α_2	-	α_2
$\frac{1}{\ell^2} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{A}_a e_b e_c$	-	-	-	-	-	α_2
$\frac{1}{\ell^2} e_a T^a$	-	-	-	-	α_2	α_2

Already at this point (still before using an ansatz relating the gauge field A_μ to the non-abelian \hat{A}_μ^a field), it is obvious that the original Maxwell algebra, denoted as \mathfrak{B}_4 , is not able to produce non-trivial description of topological insulators. An algebra with $[Z_a, Z_b] = 0$ and $\langle Z_a Z_b \rangle = 0$ simply cannot produce the AdA term. Interestingly, two other algebras, \tilde{C}_4 and C_4 , are able to deliver required $\langle Z_a Z_b \rangle \neq 0$, but they can not account for the torsional Hall viscosity part

carried by the missing $e_a \wedge T^a$ term. Only the use of Soroka-Soroka \mathfrak{C}_4 algebra assures the maximal term content and the widest scope of described phenomena.

Similarly, we can supplement a complementary action representing a counterpart with non-vanishing $\langle J_a P_b \rangle = \langle Z_a P_b \rangle = \alpha_1 \eta_{ab}$, which might be used for $(2+1)$ -gravity models.

Table 2: Lagrangian counter-content for the $\{J_a, P_a, Z_a\}$ resonant algebras

	B_4	\tilde{B}_4	\tilde{C}_4	C_4	\mathfrak{B}_4	\mathfrak{C}_4
$\frac{2}{\ell} e_a R^a$	α_1	α_1	α_1	α_1	α_1	α_1
$\frac{2}{\ell} e_a D_\omega \hat{A}^a$	-	α_1	-	α_1	-	α_1
$\frac{1}{\ell} e_a \hat{A}_b \hat{A}_c \epsilon^{abc}$	-	-	-	α_1	-	α_1
$\frac{1}{3\ell^3} e_a e_b e_c \epsilon^{abc}$	-	-	-	-	-	α_1

When it comes for the second table, applied in AdS gravity context, we would set $k = \frac{1}{4G}$ for $\mathcal{L} = \frac{k}{4\pi} CS[e, \omega]$, which obviously would settle down the constants as $\alpha_1 = \frac{\ell}{16\pi G}$ and $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\ell^2}$. Looking at the tables separately, we face similarity of term content between some algebras (for example between B_4, \tilde{C}_4 and \mathfrak{B}_4 in the first table, as well as between B_4 and \mathfrak{B}_4 in the second one). This is just apparent because taking both tables together always produces unique action construction. So far only \mathfrak{B}_4 and \mathfrak{C}_4 configurations had a fair share of thorough analysis in the literature. Other cases might provide a simpler setup in some applications and find use for example in supergravity.

3 From Chern-Simons model to topological insulators

Obtaining the topological insulators description from the action (18) requires additional immersion of the standard $U(1)$ gauge field A_μ into the Maxwell field \hat{A}_μ^a . Following [11] we do that by choosing a gauge breaking ansatz

$$\hat{A}_\mu^0 = A_\mu, \quad \hat{A}_\mu^1 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{A}_\mu^2 = 0. \quad (23)$$

Such explicit gauge symmetry breaking is far from being satisfactory, and eventually should be replaced by some dynamical mechanism. It seems natural to employ the Higgs mechanism in this context. This mechanism would produce a massless gauge field and massive ones, whose interpretation in the context of the topological insulators is not clear. We leave the detailed discussion of the Higgs mechanism to the future publication.

We are left now with the identification of the coupling constants. In standard case of $S_{CS}[A] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma} A_\mu \partial_\rho A_\sigma$ one computes the current that arises from the Chern-Simons term $J_i = \frac{\delta S_{CS}[A]}{\delta A_i} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \epsilon_{ji} E_j$ and the charge density $J_0 = \frac{\delta S_{CS}[A]}{\delta A_0} = \frac{k}{2\pi} B$ (see [1]). This means that the Hall conductivity takes the value of $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{k}{2\pi}$. Then the Chern-Simons level corresponds to the filling factor ν of the Landau levels, accordingly to $k = \frac{e^2 \nu}{h}$.

In most of the conventions: $\hbar = e = 1$, so in our case the corresponding part of the action

$$\frac{k}{4\pi} \alpha_2 \int \hat{A}_a \wedge D_\omega \hat{A}^a = \frac{k}{4\pi} \alpha_2 \int \hat{A}_0 \wedge D_\omega \hat{A}^0 = \frac{k}{4\pi} \alpha_2 \int A \wedge dA, \quad (24)$$

after setting $k\alpha_2 = \nu$, restores the expected term

$$\frac{\nu}{4\pi} \int AdA. \quad (25)$$

The same happens for the Wen-Zee term $S_{WZ} = \frac{\nu\bar{s}}{2\pi} \int A d\hat{\omega}$, now appearing in a generalized form

$$\frac{k}{2\pi} \alpha_2 \int \hat{A}_0 \wedge (R^0 + \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \epsilon^{0bc} e_b \wedge e_c) = \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int A \wedge (d\omega^0 + \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + \frac{1}{\ell^2} e_1 \wedge e_2), \quad (26)$$

forcing the mean orbital angular momentum $\bar{s} = 1$. We point out that ω_μ^0 is equal to $\hat{\omega}_\mu$. All these reduce the action to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathfrak{C}_4}[e, \omega, A] = & \frac{\nu}{4\pi} \int AdA + \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \int A \wedge (d\omega^0 + \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + \frac{1}{\ell^2} e_1 \wedge e_2) \\ & + \frac{\nu}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2} \int CS(\omega) + \frac{\nu}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int e_a \wedge D_\omega e^a. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the torsion is now fueled by $D_\omega e_a = -e_b \wedge A \epsilon_a^{b0}$, which means contribution to the normally torsionless connection ω used in the Wen-Zee term [8].

The stress-energy tensor is obtained by the variation of the dreibein

$$\mathfrak{T}_a^\mu = \frac{\delta S_{\mathfrak{C}_4}}{\delta e_\mu^a} = \frac{\nu}{4\pi} \frac{2}{\ell^2} \epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma} (\frac{1}{2} T_{a\rho\sigma} + e_{b\rho} A_\sigma \epsilon_a^{b0}). \quad (27)$$

The Hall viscosity coefficient, standing in front of the torsional term and describing the response of the quantum Hall fluid, is defined as $\eta_H = \frac{\nu\bar{s}B_0}{4\pi}$ [7, 8]. This is in agreement with our framework after relating ℓ to the magnetic length $\ell = \sqrt{\hbar c/|e|B_0}$ with B_0 being an external magnetic field [9]. Then we have $\frac{\nu}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\ell^2} = \frac{\nu B_0}{4\pi} = \eta_H$ with once again $\bar{s} = 1$.

Lastly, the value of the constant α_0 in front of the $CS(\omega)$ term can be a subject of considerations related to framing anomaly of the Chern-Simons theory and the redefinition related to the central charge of a conformal boundary [38]. Notice, however, that the field equations in the case of $\alpha_0 \neq \alpha_2$ offer splitting into $R^0 = 0$ and $(dA + \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \epsilon^{0bc} e_b \wedge e_c) = 0$, whereas $\alpha_0 = \alpha_2$ leads to $R^0 = -(dA + \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \epsilon^{0bc} e_b \wedge e_c)$.

To conclude, we see from the analysis of the six gauge algebras that it is not the Maxwell algebra \mathfrak{B}_4 (which misses $\frac{1}{\ell^2} \epsilon^{abc} \hat{A}_a e_b e_c$ and more importantly $\hat{A}_a \wedge D_\omega \hat{A}^a$ terms) but the \mathfrak{C}_4 generalization of it that gives the widest scope of the topological insulators framework. Not only it makes the straightforward construction possible, but it also provides a concise set of constants. Different algebras deliver limited setups but they might be useful in some contexts, for instance, only \mathfrak{C}_4 has a non-vanishing torsion. Configurations like B_4, \tilde{B}_4 , and \mathfrak{B}_4 do not have AdA term (therefore we are without the normal Hall 'E' and 'B' current responses), while, interestingly, they still do have the Wen-Zee term present.

4 Conclusions

In the recent years, we have observed a growing number of works exploring the framework and geometrical methods originally introduced in the context of the General Relativity, being now applied to the various phenomena in the field of condensed matter physics. In this paper, we dealt with the construction of the effective action that exploits the notion of the

Maxwell/Poincaré/(A)dS algebra enlargements carried by the Chern-Simons model. This seems to provide interesting structure capturing crucial elements of the description of the topological insulator. The resonant algebras [33] resulting from the semigroup expansion (S-expansion) were a very convenient tool to carry the whole action construction extending the results of [11].

5 Acknowledgment

We would like to thank prof. Jerzy Lukierski for bringing to our attention the publication [11], which was the starting point of this article. For RD this work is supported by Institute Grant for Young Researchers 0420/2716/18 and for JKG this work is supported by funds provided by the National Science Center, projects number 2017/27/B/ST2/01902.

References

- [1] D. Tong, “Lectures on the Quantum Hall Effect,” arXiv:1606.06687 [hep-th].
- [2] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, “Topological Insulators,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 3045 (2010) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045 [arXiv:1002.3895 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
- [3] A. G. Abanov and A. Gromov, “Electromagnetic and gravitational responses of two-dimensional noninteracting electrons in a background magnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, no. 1, 014435 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.90.014435 [arXiv:1401.3703 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [4] A. Gromov, K. Jensen and A. G. Abanov, “Boundary effective action for quantum Hall states,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, no. 12, 126802 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.126802 [arXiv:1506.07171 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [5] A. Blasi, A. Braggio, M. Carrega, D. Ferraro, N. Maggiore and N. Magnoli, “Non-Abelian BF theory for 2+1 dimensional topological states of matter,” *New J. Phys.* **14**, 013060 (2012) doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/1/013060 [arXiv:1106.4641 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
- [6] P. Salgado-Rebolledo, “The Maxwell group in 2+1 dimensions and its infinite-dimensional enhancements,” arXiv:1905.09421 [hep-th].
- [7] T. L. Hughes, R. G. Leigh and O. Parrikar, “Torsional Anomalies, Hall Viscosity, and Bulk-boundary Correspondence in Topological States,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, no. 2, 025040 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.025040 [arXiv:1211.6442 [hep-th]].
- [8] M. Geracie, S. Golkar and M. M. Roberts, “Hall viscosity, spin density, and torsion,” arXiv:1410.2574 [hep-th].
- [9] A. Cappelli and E. Randellini, “Multipole Expansion in the Quantum Hall Effect,” *JHEP* **1603**, 105 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2016)105 [arXiv:1512.02147 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [10] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, “Shift and spin vector: New topological quantum numbers for the Hall fluids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 953 (1992) Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 3000 (1992)]. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.3000, 10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.953
- [11] G. Palumbo, “Geometric Model of Topological Insulators from the Maxwell Algebra,” *Annals Phys.* **386**, 15 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2017.08.018 [arXiv:1610.04734 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].

- [12] H. Bacry, P. Combe and J. L. Richard, “Group-theoretical analysis of elementary particles in an external electromagnetic field. 1. the relativistic particle in a constant and uniform field,” *Nuovo Cim. A* **67**, 267 (1970). doi:10.1007/BF02725178
- [13] R. Schrader, “The maxwell group and the quantum theory of particles in classical homogeneous electromagnetic fields,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **20** (1972) 701. doi:10.1002/prop.19720201202
- [14] P. Salgado and S. Salgado, “ $\mathfrak{so}(D-1, 1) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(D-1, 2)$ algebras and gravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **728**, 5 (2014).
- [15] J. Diaz, O. Fierro, F. Izaurieta, N. Merino, E. Rodríguez, P. Salgado and O. Valdivia, “A generalized action for $(2+1)$ -dimensional Chern-Simons gravity,” *J. Phys. A* **45**, 255207 (2012) [arXiv:1311.2215 [gr-qc]].
- [16] J. D. Edelstein, M. Hassaine, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, “Lie-algebra expansions, Chern-Simons theories and the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian,” *Phys. Lett. B* **640**, 278 (2006) [hep-th/0605174].
- [17] J. A. de Azcarraga, K. Kamimura and J. Lukierski, “Generalized cosmological term from Maxwell symmetries,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 124036 (2011) [arXiv:1012.4402 [hep-th]].
- [18] K. Kamimura and J. Lukierski, “Supersymmetrization Schemes of D=4 Maxwell Algebra,” *Phys. Lett. B* **707**, 292 (2012) [arXiv:1111.3598 [math-ph]].
- [19] J. A. de Azcarraga, J. M. Izquierdo, J. Lukierski and M. Woronowicz, “Generalizations of Maxwell (super)algebras by the expansion method,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **869**, 303 (2013) [arXiv:1210.1117 [hep-th]].
- [20] P. K. Concha, D. M. Peñafiel, E. K. Rodríguez and P. Salgado, “Chern-Simons and Born-Infeld gravity theories and Maxwell algebras type,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2741 (2014) [arXiv:1402.0023 [hep-th]].
- [21] P. Concha, N. Merino, O. Miskovic, E. Rodríguez, P. Salgado-Rebolledo and O. Valdivia, “Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional Chern-Simons gravity for the Maxwell algebra,” *JHEP* **1810**, 079 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2018)079 [arXiv:1805.08834 [hep-th]].
- [22] L. Avils, E. Frodden, J. Gomis, D. Hidalgo and J. Zanelli, “Non-Relativistic Maxwell Chern-Simons Gravity,” *JHEP* **1805**, 047 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2018)047 [arXiv:1802.08453 [hep-th]].
- [23] R. Durka, J. Kowalski-Glikman and M. Szczachor, “Gauged AdS-Maxwell algebra and gravity,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26**, 2689 (2011) [arXiv:1107.4728 [hep-th]].
- [24] R. Durka and J. Kowalski-Glikman, “Local Maxwell symmetry and gravity,” arXiv:1110.6812 [hep-th].
- [25] R. Durka, “Deformed BF theory as a theory of gravity and supergravity,” arXiv:1208.5185 [gr-qc].
- [26] P. K. Concha, R. Durka, N. Merino and E. K. Rodríguez, “New family of Maxwell like algebras,” *Phys. Lett. B* **759**, 507 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.06.016 [arXiv:1601.06443 [hep-th]].

- [27] P. K. Concha, R. Durka, C. Inostroza, N. Merino and E. K. Rodríguez, “Pure Lovelock gravity and Chern-Simons theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no. 2, 024055 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.024055 [arXiv:1603.09424 [hep-th]].
- [28] P. Concha, D. M. Peñafiel and E. Rodríguez, “On the Maxwell supergravity and flat limit in 2+1 dimensions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **785**, 247 (2018) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.08.050 [arXiv:1807.00194 [hep-th]].
- [29] P. Concha, N. Merino, E. Rodríguez, P. Salgado-Rebolledo and O. Valdivia, “Semi-simple enlargement of the \mathfrak{bms}_3 algebra from a $\mathfrak{so}(2,2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2,1)$ Chern-Simons theory,” *JHEP* **1902**, 002 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2019)002 [arXiv:1810.12256 [hep-th]].
- [30] D. V. Soroka and V. A. Soroka, “Tensor extension of the Poincaré’ algebra,” *Phys. Lett. B* **607**, 302 (2005) [hep-th/0410012].
- [31] D. V. Soroka and V. A. Soroka, “Semi-simple extension of the (super)Poincaré algebra,” *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2009**, 234147 (2009) [hep-th/0605251].
- [32] F. Izaurieta, E. Rodríguez and P. Salgado, “Expanding Lie (super)algebras through Abelian semigroups,” *J. Math. Phys.* **47**, 123512 (2006) [hep-th/0606215].
- [33] R. Durka, “Resonant algebras and gravity,” *J. Phys. A* **50**, no. 14, 145202 (2017) doi:10.1088/1751-8121/aa5c0b [arXiv:1605.00059 [hep-th]].
- [34] A. H. Chamseddine, “Topological gravity and supergravity in various dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **346**, 213 (1990). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(90)90245-9
- [35] J. Zanelli, “Chern-Simons Forms in Gravitation Theories,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29**, 133001 (2012) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/13/133001 [arXiv:1208.3353 [hep-th]].
- [36] E. Witten, “(2+1)-Dimensional Gravity as an Exactly Soluble System,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **311**, 46 (1988). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(88)90143-5
- [37] E. W. Mielke and P. Baekler, “Topological gauge model of gravity with torsion,” *Phys. Lett. A* **156**, 399 (1991). doi:10.1016/0375-9601(91)90715-K
- [38] A. Gromov, G. Y. Cho, Y. You, A. G. Abanov and E. Fradkin, “Framing Anomaly in the Effective Theory of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, no. 1, 016805 (2015) Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, no. 14, 149902 (2015)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.149902, 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.016805 [arXiv:1410.6812 [cond-mat.str-el]].