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Abstract

To follow up recent work of Xiao-Song Yang\textsuperscript{1} on the Nosé-Hoover oscillator\textsuperscript{2–5} we consider Dettmann’s harmonic oscillator\textsuperscript{6,7}, which relates Yang’s ideas directly to Hamiltonian mechanics. We also use the Hoover-Holian oscillator\textsuperscript{8} to relate our mechanical studies to Gibbs’ statistical mechanics. All three oscillators are described by a coordinate $q$ and a momentum $p$. Additional control variables ($\zeta, \xi$) govern the energy. Dettmann’s description includes a time-scaling variable $s$, as does Nosé’s original work\textsuperscript{2,3}. Time scaling controls the rates at which the ($q, p, \zeta$) variables change. The ergodic Hoover-Holian oscillator provides the stationary Gibbsian probability density for the time-scaling variable $s$. Yang considered qualitative features of Nosé-Hoover dynamics. He showed that longtime Nosé-Hoover trajectories change energy, repeatedly crossing the $\zeta = 0$ plane. We use moments of the motion equations to give two new, different, and brief proofs of Yang’s long-time limiting result.
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I. BACKGROUND

Nosé-Hoover dynamics was developed in 1984 as a consequence of a Centre Européen de Calcul Atomique et Moléculaire (“CECAM”) Workshop on Constrained Dynamics organized by Carl Moser. The workshop was held at Orsay, about 20 miles southwest of Paris. In France to attend the Workshop, Shuichi Nosé and Bill Hoover met by chance at the Orly airport a few days prior to the Orsay meeting and were able to spend several hours together near Notre Dame cathedral, discussing Nosé’s recent work on the thermal control of molecular dynamics simulations using a novel Hamiltonian, $H_N(q, p, s, p_s)^{2,3}$.

Seeking better to understand Nosé’s innovative work Hoover applied Nosé’s ideas to the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator problem$^{4–11}$, solving the nonlinear set of three ordinary differential equations of motion for the oscillator:

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{q} &= p \\
\dot{p} &= -q - \zeta p \\
\dot{\zeta} &= \left[ p^2 - 1 \right]/\tau^2
\end{align*}$$

Provided that the mean value of $\zeta$ is finite the last equation implies that the mean oscillator temperature $\langle p^2 \rangle$ is unity for long times. Posch, Hoover, and Vesely explored the detailed nature of the oscillator solutions$^5$ for a variety of response times $\tau$. They found periodic, toroidal, and fat-fractal chaotic trajectories, depending upon $\tau$ and the initial values of $(q, p, \zeta)$. In the present work we choose $\tau = 1$.

II. CONSEQUENCES OF TIME SCALING

Carl Dettmann discovered a vanishing Hamiltonian which precisely reproduces the time-dependence of the Nosé-Hoover flow where the Nosé-Hoover momentum becomes $(p/s)^{6,7}$.

$$H_D(q, p, s, \zeta) \equiv sH_N \equiv (s/2)[ q^2 + (p/s)^2 + \zeta^2 + \ln(s^2) ] \equiv 0 .$$

The “time-scaling variable” $s$ has the conjugate momentum $p_s = \zeta$. Evidently $|s|$ must be less than unity for $H_D$ to vanish. We select positive values, $0 < s < 1$, in our numerical work. The various resulting flows can occupy one-, two-, or three-dimensional subspaces of the full stationary solution, Gibbs’ Gaussian function:

$$(2\pi)^{-3/2} \exp[-(q^2 + (p/s)^2 + \zeta^2)/2] .$$
FIG. 1: Gibbs’ stationary distribution for the time-scaling variable $s$ as computed analytically from $H_D = 0$ (smooth curve) and determined numerically using Gaussian distributions from the Hoover-Holian oscillator model (jagged data).

With this Gaussian distribution known the normalized probability density for $s$ follows easily,

$$\text{prob}(s) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \ln(s^{-2}).$$

Figure 1 compares this analytic distribution to a thousand-point histogram from a fourth-order Runge-Kutta solution of the (ergodic) Hoover-Holian oscillator equations:

$$\{ \dot{q} = p ; \dot{p} = -q - \zeta p - \xi p^3 ; \dot{\zeta} = p^2 - 1 ; \dot{\xi} = p^4 - 3p^2 \}.$$

For the Figure $q$ and $p$ were initially unity and the two control variables were zero. Ten million timesteps, $dt = 0.001$, were used. The stationary distribution for the Hoover-Holian equations is the complete four-dimensional Gaussian $(2\pi)^{-2} \exp\left[ (-q^2 - p^2 - \zeta^2 - \xi^2)/2 \right]$. 
Because this model is ergodic, covering the entire four-dimensional Gaussian, we were able to use the three-dimensional Hoover-Holian subset \((q^2, p^2, \zeta^2)\) data as a convenient surrogate for the stationary Gibbs’ distribution corresponding to Dettmann’s Hamiltonian,

\[
\text{prob}(q, (p/s), \zeta) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \exp\left[-\left(q^2 + \frac{(p/s)^2 + \zeta^2}{2}\right)\right].
\]

We could then determine \(s\) from the condition \(\mathcal{H}_D \equiv 0\). The numerical data following this idea confirm our analysis, as is shown in Figure 1. Of course this Gibbs’ distribution is much simpler than the various disjoint portions of it corresponding to particular initial conditions for the Nosé-Hoover oscillator, some giving regular toroidal solutions and others giving the chaotic sea\(^5\).

III. PROFESSOR YANG’S RESEARCH

Following up his earlier investigations of oscillator trajectories (including knots\(^8,9\)) Professor Xiao-Sung Yang has recently proved that the oscillator motion, independent of the chosen initial conditions, must nearly always cross the \(\zeta = 0\) plane\(^1\). The only exceptions are parallel lines at constant \(p\) and \(\zeta\):

\[
q(t) = q(0) \pm t; \quad p(t) = p(0) = \pm 1; \quad \zeta(t) = \zeta(0).
\]

In the present work we first consider oscillator trajectories from the standpoint of the continuity equation in \((q, p, \zeta)\) space. Because the Nosé-Hoover equations are not strictly Hamiltonian, except in the \(\mathcal{H}_D \equiv 0\) case discovered by Dettmann, the Nosé-Hoover flow is compressible – the three-dimensional divergence is locally nonzero, responding linearly to the control variable \(\zeta\):

\[
\left(\frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial \dot{p}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \dot{\zeta}}{\partial \zeta}\right) = 0 - \zeta + 0.
\]

Consider a longtime trajectory. Evidently a positive longtime average of \(\zeta\) would correspond to a vanishing of the comoving volume and a dimensionality loss. A negative average would correspond to divergence, a numerical instability. In fact, the continuity equation has been used to explain the fractal nature of chaotic flows with positive friction\(^12\).

In \((q, p, \zeta)\) space the continuity equation shows that the comoving volume element \(\otimes\) vanishes and can become fractal if the longtime-averaged control variable is positive. The
volume diverges, and the simulation stops, if that averaged variable is negative. The motion
equations for the oscillator, in either \((q, p, \zeta)\) space or \((q, p, s, p_s = \zeta)\) space, can also be used
to prove Professor Yang’s zero-crossing result. We turn to that next.

IV. TWO PROOFS OF PROFESSOR YANG’S \(\zeta = 0\) PLANE RESULT

Yang’s recent contribution\(^1\) considers the three-variable Nosé-Hoover oscillator and shows
algebraically that any longtime trajectory – other than the special constant \((p, \zeta)\) straight
lines – must repeatedly cross the \(\zeta = 0\) plane. This is a handy result as the Poincaré sections
at that plane are commonly used to diagnose the fractal character of nonlinear flows. Yang’s
proof-of-crossing is relatively long, thirty pages, mainly algebra. Let us provide two simpler
demonstrations of this result. Multiply the three Nosé-Hoover motion equations by \(q\), \(p\),
and \(\zeta\) respectively and compute the longtime averages \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) assuming that \(\langle q^2, p^2, \zeta^2 \rangle\) are
finite (so that their time derivatives average to zero). The three differential equations then
give three identities:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \langle qp \rangle &= 0 ; \\ \langle qp \rangle &= \langle -\zeta p^2 \rangle ; \\ \langle \zeta \rangle &= \langle \zeta p^2 \rangle \}
\end{align*}
\]

Combining the three shows that the mean value of \(\zeta\) vanishes, equivalent to Professor Yang’s
result.

An even simpler demonstration follows from Nosé’s original Hamiltonian\(^{2,3}\), \(H_N \equiv (1/s)H_D\), which gives a simple evolution equation for \(s : \dot{s} = p_s\). Because \(p_s\) and \(\zeta\) are
identical, as shown by Dettmann, the time-average of the \(\dot{s}\) equation shows directly (assum-
ing numerical convergence of the motion) that the control variable \(\zeta\) has mean value zero, a
second proof of Yang’s result.
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