

Heat kernel: proper time method, Fock-Schwinger gauge, path integral representation, and Wilson line

A. V. Ivanov^{*1} and N. V. Kharuk^{†2}

¹*St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, 27 Fontanka, St. Petersburg 191023, Russia*

²*ITMO University, St. Petersburg 197101, Russia*

Dedicated to the memory of L. D. Faddeev on the occasion to his 85th anniversary

Abstract

The proper time method plays an important role in modern mathematics and physics. It includes many approaches, each of which has its pros and cons. This work is devoted to the description of one model case, which reflects the subtleties of construction and can be extended to a more general cases (curved space, manifold with boundary), and contains two interrelated parts: asymptotic expansion and path intergal representation. The paper discusses in details the importance of gauge conditions and role of the ordered exponentials, gives the proof of a new non-recursive formula for the Seeley–DeWitt coefficients on the diagonal, as well as the equivalence of the two main approaches using the exponential formula.

^{*}E-mail: regul1@mail.ru

[†]E-mail: natakharuk@mail.ru

1 Introduction

The proper time method has been developing for more than eighty years and today it is an essential tool in theoretical and mathematical physics. This approach was first proposed by Fock [1] in 1937 when working with the Dirac equation. Only 15 years later after the formulation of quantum field theory in covariant form, the proper time method appeared in the works of Nambu [2] for the construction of the Green function for the Dirac equation, and Schwinger [3] in the study of gauge invariance. Since then, the approach has been actively used in theoretical physics.

The next important step in the development of the method is the DeWitt works [4–8], wherein he discussed quantum corrections in a curved space-time, Seeley’s works [9–11] for the study of boundary value problems, as well as Gilkey’s one [12] on spectral geometry. These works moved the method to a qualitatively higher level. Examples of using the approach in theoretical physics are the Casimir effect [13, 14], research of anomalies of chiral gauge theories [15], calculation of the first correction for a black hole entropy [16], renormalization the Yang–Mills quantum theory in the background field formalism in two and three loops [17, 18], as well as many others.

The main interest of mathematicians to the heat kernel appeared due to the index theorem, which was first proved by Atiyah and Singer in their work [19] in 1963. With the release of Patodi’s paper [20], in which some reduction were shown, the connection between the index theorem and the asymptotic decomposition of the heat kernel began to be actively used in the proofs [21], which has a tendency to this day.

Speaking about the construction of the heat kernel, it is important to distinguish manifolds without boundary from one with boundary. In the second case, the problem is supplemented with boundary conditions that the heat kernel must satisfy. For the first time this statement appeared in the McKean and Singer work [22]. Further, in the McAvity and Osborn articles [23–25] cases with different boundary conditions were considered including generalized ones.

As examples of applications in mathematics and mathematical physics, one can cite the study of the spectral density of the Klein-Gordon operator [12], the calculation of the late time asymptotics of the heat kernel [26], researching of the problems with singular potential [27], domain walls [28] or on the ball [29]. Many other applications can be found in the fairly detailed review [30].

Due to the emergence of functional integration [31] and the concept of Feynman diagrams [32] in theoretical physics, many mathematical objects have acquired a clear physical meaning. In particular, this approach found its application in the theory of the heat kernel [33–35]. As known, the work with the path integral requires the determination of the operator determinant, however, in most cases, such values diverge. One way to bring clarity was proposed in [36, 37] and is based on regularization by analytic continuation of the Riemann zeta function.

An explicit formula for the heat kernel can be obtained only in some special cases [39], therefore the calculation of the coefficients of the asymptotic series and their diagonal elements became

a separate important task. In addition to the long-known results for the first three coefficients [12, 22], it is important to recall the calculation of the fourth [40, 41] and the fifth [42] coefficients in the most general formulation on manifolds without boundary. In this case, the approaches can be divided into two parts: non-recursive [43, 44] and recursive. A description of the last one can be found in [45–47], where the technique is based on the expansion of the operator exponent, or in [48], which is using the decomposition of the unit, and in [44, 49], which is based on the formula for differentiating the Wilson line along a geodesic line. When one add a boundary and boundary conditions, the task acquires a more intricate view, so the counting method becomes more complicated. Some examples of the first terms calculations for the asymptotics can be found in [51–53].

From a brief historical background, it follows that in addition to an exact mathematical description, there is a more physical approach, which consists both in the formal construction of asymptotics and in the use of functional integration.

This paper is devoted to a detailed description of a model problem in a domain without boundary with the Euclidean metric and arbitrary smooth components of the gauge connection and potential. Such a model problem can be further used to work with more complex cases (curved metric, manifold with a boundary). The purpose of the article is to show the connection of two approaches, the first of which is based on the asymptotic expansion and is mainly used to find the diagonal elements $a_n(x, x)$ (see (3)), and the second one uses the path intergation that clarifies the physical meaning. The paper includes a new non-recursive formula and proofs.

The work contains two main parts. Firstly, in the Section 3, we study the formulae for the differentiation of the ordered exponentials, the covariant expansion and the Fock-Schwinger gauge. Thereafter the simplest way is offered to obtain $a_n(x, x)$, based on combining previously known methods, as well as the derivation of the non-recursive formula in the general formulation. In view of this, readers who are interested only in calculations, we immediately redirect to Theorems 3.7 and 3.8.

Further, in the Section 4, we give the original derivation (without taking the limit) of the formula with the path integral (Theorem 4.4) and the proof of equality of approaches at small times using the exponential formula (Theorem 4.1). The paper also discusses the dependence on gauge, the presence of a curved metric, and examples of calculations.

2 Problem statement

Let d be the dimension of a space, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth convex domain without a boundary of dimension $\dim \Omega = d$. By the Greek letters μ, ν, ρ, \dots we denote elements from $\{1, \dots, d\}$. Let also a compact group G and its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} be given, then we can introduce a gauge connection whose elements $B_\mu \in \mathfrak{g}$ are smooth functions on Ω . In this case, the covariant derivative is $D_{x^\mu} = I_G \partial_{x^\mu} + B_\mu(x)$, where $I_G \in G$ is the unit in the group. Next, we define a potential $v(x)$, that is square matrix of order m , smooth on Ω , where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Laplace operator has the form

$$A(x) = -ID_{x_\mu}D_{x^\mu} - v(x), \quad (1)$$

where I is the unit matrix of order m , and $x \in \Omega$. Further, I_G and I will be omitted. From the general theory it is well known that the problem for finding the heat kernel is the following

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + A(x)\right) K(x, y; \tau) = 0; \\ K(x, y; 0) = \delta(x - y), \quad x, y \in \Omega, \quad \tau \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In this case, the ansatz is chosen as a series

$$K(x, y; \tau) = (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4\tau}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau^n \hat{a}_n(x, y), \quad (3)$$

where in the right part in front of the sum the fundamental solution of the operator $-\partial_{x_\mu} \partial_{x^\mu}$ is allocated, which is a δ -sequence as $\tau \rightarrow +0$. Substituting the ansatz into the problem, one can find a system of recurrence equations:

$$(x - y)^\mu D_{x^\mu} \hat{a}_0(x, y) = 0, \quad \hat{a}_0(x, x) = 1; \quad (4)$$

$$(n + (x - y)^\mu D_{x^\mu}) \hat{a}_n(x, y) = -A(x) \hat{a}_{n-1}(x, y), \quad n > 0. \quad (5)$$

It is important to note that in a formal construction, we can choose an arbitrary matrices with a size of $n \times n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as elements of connection. This will have no effect on formal constructions, but the meaning of the covariant derivative and the choice of the gauge condition may be lost (see [56, 58]). You can also assume that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$.

3 First approach

To find the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion (3), we propose to use the recurrence relations (4) and (5). As noted in the introduction, there are many variations of building techniques. This section will show the simplest way, which, moreover, provides a non-recursive answer for diagonal contributions $\hat{a}_n(x, x)$, $n > 0$, $x \in \Omega$.

3.1 Path-ordered exponential

When working with the heat kernel, the ordered exponential (see [59]) is crucial and can appear in a wide of variations (see formulae (6), (26), (53), or (73)). As a rule, it is the function not only of the integration limits, but also of a contour γ along which the ordering is performed. Let us consider the simplest case when a straight line is chosen as the parameterization. Let $x, y \in \Omega$ and $z^\mu(s) = (1 - s)y^\mu + sx^\mu$, where $s \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\Phi(x, y) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \int_0^1 dz^{\mu_1}(s_1) \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} dz^{\mu_k}(s_k) B_{\mu_1}(z(s_1)) \dots B_{\mu_k}(z(s_k)). \quad (6)$$

This function has a number of important properties. Let $x, y, z \in \Omega$ and $\exists s \in \mathbb{R} : z^\mu(s) = (1 - s)y^\mu + sx^\mu$, then

$$\Phi(x, x) = 1, \quad \Phi(x, y) = \Phi^{-1}(y, x), \quad \Phi(x, z)\Phi(z, y) = \Phi(x, y). \quad (7)$$

Taking into account the latter properties, one can write out the solution of the recurrence system (see [44]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$ and $z^\mu(s) = (1 - s)y^\mu + sx^\mu$, where $s \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$\hat{a}_0(x, y) = \Phi(x, y), \quad \hat{a}_n(x, y) = - \int_0^1 ds s^{n-1} \Phi(x, z(s)) A(z(s)) \hat{a}_{n-1}(z(s), y), \quad n > 0. \quad (8)$$

3.2 Covariant expansion

The covariant expansion is an analogue of the Taylor series for the noncommutative case and is realized by analyzing $\Phi(y, x)f(x)\Phi(x, y)$ instead of $f(x)$. Due to the cumbersome formulae, it is convenient to introduce additional notation. Let $f \in C(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$ and $x \in \Omega$, then

$$\vec{D}_{x^\mu} f(x) = \partial_{x^\mu} f(x) + B_\mu(x)f(x), \quad f(x)\overleftarrow{D}_{x^\mu} = \partial_{x^\mu} f(x) - f(x)B_\mu(x).$$

To prove the basic Lemma on expansion into the covariant series, the first derivatives of $\Phi(x, y)$ are required in a special form.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$, $s \in [0, 1]$, $z^\mu(s) = (1 - s)y^\mu + sx^\mu$, $w^\mu(s) = (1 - s)x^\mu + sy^\mu$, and $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = [D_{x^\mu}, D_{x^\nu}]$, then*

$$\vec{D}_{x^\mu} \Phi(x, y) = \int_0^1 ds s(x - y)^\nu \Phi(x, z(s)) F_{\nu\mu}(z(s)) \Phi(z(s), y), \quad (9)$$

$$\Phi(x, y) \overleftarrow{D}_{y^\mu} = - \int_0^1 ds s(y - x)^\nu \Phi(x, w(s)) F_{\nu\mu}(w(s)) \Phi(w(s), y). \quad (10)$$

The formula (10) follows from the equality $\partial_{y^\mu} \Phi(x, y)\Phi(y, x) = 0$ and the formula (9), the proof of which (see [61, 62]) can be obtained by direct differentiation of the equality (6) and further integration by parts.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(\Omega, \mathfrak{g})$, then*

$$\Phi(y, x)f(x)\Phi(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}}{n!} \nabla_{y^{\mu_1}} \dots \nabla_{y^{\mu_n}} f(y), \quad (11)$$

where $\nabla_{x^\mu} \cdot = \partial_{x^\mu} \cdot + [B_\mu(x), \cdot]$ and $(x - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = (x - y)^{\mu_1} \dots (x - y)^{\mu_n}$.

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider a derivative of order n and use the formulae (9) and (10), then the following expansion is performed

$$\partial_{x^{\mu_1}} \dots \partial_{x^{\mu_n}} \Phi(y, x)f(x)\Phi(x, y) = \Phi(y, x) (\nabla_{x^{\mu_1}} \dots \nabla_{x^{\mu_n}} f(x)) \Phi(x, y) + \dots,$$

where dots denote terms containing $F_{\mu\nu}$ and its derivatives. The expansion shows that after multiplying by $(x - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}$ all terms except the first one are zero because of the contraction $(x - y)^{\mu_i} (x - y)^{\mu_j} F_{\mu_i \mu_j} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\frac{(x - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}}{n!} \left(\partial_{x^{\mu_1}} \dots \partial_{x^{\mu_n}} \Phi(y, x)f(x)\Phi(x, y) \Big|_{x=y} \right) = \frac{(x - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}}{n!} \nabla_{y^{\mu_1}} \dots \nabla_{y^{\mu_n}} f(y),$$

from what the statement of the Lemma follows. ■

3.3 Fock-Schwinger gauge

For certainty, we introduce two functions of two variables, which are from $\mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega$:

$$f_\mu(x - y, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}}{(k+1)!} (\nabla_{y^{\mu_1}} \dots \nabla_{y^{\mu_{k-1}}} F_{\mu_k \mu}(y)); \quad (12)$$

$$f_\mu^{FS}(x - y, y) = (x - y)^\nu \partial_{x^\nu} f_\mu(x - y, y). \quad (13)$$

From the definitions it is clear that both functions are mappings from $\mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega$ to \mathfrak{g} for fixed μ and satisfy the Fock-Schwinger gauge condition, that is

$$(x - y)^\mu f_\mu(x - y, y) = 0, \quad (x - y)^\mu f_\mu^{FS}(x - y, y) = 0.$$

Sometimes such gauge condition is called the radial [63] or relativistic Poincaré [64, 65] one.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$ and $\mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then we have the equalities*

$$\vec{D}_{x^\mu} \Phi(x, y) = \Phi(x, y) f_\mu^{FS}(x - y, y), \quad (14)$$

$$\Phi(x, y) \overleftarrow{D}_{y^\mu} = -f_\mu^{FS}(y - x, x) \Phi(x, y), \quad (15)$$

$$\Phi(x, y) \overleftarrow{D}_{y^\mu} = \Phi(x, y) f_\mu(x - y, y), \quad (16)$$

$$\vec{D}_{x^\mu} \Phi(x, y) = -f_\mu(y - x, x) \Phi(x, y). \quad (17)$$

Proof: Let us apply Lemma 3.3 to the function $F_{\nu\mu}$ at the point $z^\mu(s) = (1 - s)y^\mu + sx^\mu$:

$$\Phi(y, z) F_{\nu\mu}(z) \Phi(z, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}}{k!} \nabla_{y^{\mu_1}} \dots \nabla_{y^{\mu_k}} F_{\nu\mu}(y).$$

Then we can rewrite the integral from the formula (9) as

$$\int_0^1 ds s(x - y)^\nu \Phi(x, z) F_{\nu\mu}(z) \Phi(z, y) = \Phi(x, y) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}}{(k+1)!} k \nabla_{y^{\mu_1}} \dots \nabla_{y^{\mu_{k-1}}} F_{\mu_k \mu}(y),$$

from which the equality (14) follows. Further, choosing the parameterization in the following form $w^\mu(s) = (1 - s)x^\mu + sy^\mu$, we obtain the relation (15). Analyzing in a similar way the second integral from Lemma 3.2, one can verify the equalities (16) and (17). ■

Corollary 3.4.1. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$ and $\mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then we have*

$$\Phi(x, y) f_\mu(x - y, y) = -f_\mu^{FS}(y - x, x) \Phi(x, y), \quad (18)$$

$$\Phi(x, y) (f_\mu(x - y, y) + f_\mu^{FS}(x - y, y)) = - (f_\mu(y - x, x) + f_\mu^{FS}(y - x, x)) \Phi(x, y). \quad (19)$$

Corollary 3.4.2. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$ and $\mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then we have*

$$B_\mu(x) = \Phi(x, y) [(x - y)^\nu \partial_{x^\nu} \Phi(y, x) \partial_{y^\mu} \Phi(x, y)] \Phi(y, x) - [\partial_{x^\mu} \Phi(x, y)] \Phi(y, x). \quad (20)$$

The first consequence follows from the combination of the formulae (14) - (17), the second one follows from the equations (14) and (16), and the definition (13). Let us rewrite the relation (14) in the form

$$f_\mu^{FS}(x - y, y) = \Phi^{-1}(x, y) \partial_{x^\mu} \Phi(x, y) + \Phi^{-1}(x, y) B_\mu(x) \Phi(x, y). \quad (21)$$

It shows that $f_\mu^{FS}(x - y, y)$ is the gauge transformation of the connection component $B_\mu \in \mathfrak{g}$ by using $\Phi(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}$, where \mathcal{G} is a group of gauge transformations.

3.4 Solution of the recurrence system

Let us return to the Seeley–DeWitt coefficients. Using the substitution $\hat{a}(x, y) = \Phi(x, y)a(x, y)$ we move in the Fock-Schwinger gauge. Applying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, and Theorem 3.4, the recurrence system of the equations (4) - (5) can be rewritten in the following form

$$a_0(x, y) = 1, \quad (n + (x - y)^\mu \partial_{x^\mu})a_n(x, y) = -A(x - y, y)a_{n-1}(x, y), \quad n > 0, \quad (22)$$

where

$$A(x - y, y) = \Phi(y, x)A(x)\Phi(x, y) = -(\partial + f^{FS}(x - y, y))_\mu (\partial + f^{FS}(x - y, y))^\mu - V(x - y, y) \quad (23)$$

and the relation holds

$$V(x - y, y) = \Phi(y, x)v(x)\Phi(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x - y)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}}{k!} \nabla_{y^{\mu_1}} \dots \nabla_{y^{\mu_k}} v(y). \quad (24)$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$, then the solution of the system (22) is*

$$a_n(x, y) = (-1)^n \int_0^1 ds_n \dots \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 A(s_n(x - y), y) \dots A(s_1(x - y), y) \cdot 1, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (25)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau^n a_n(x, y) = P_s e^{-\tau \int_0^1 ds A(s(x - y), y)} \cdot 1, \quad \tau \rightarrow +0. \quad (26)$$

For the proof it is necessary to use the induction and the definition of the ordered exponential.

3.5 The method of calculating

Notations: We introduce the objects by which operators will be numbered. Using the italicized Greek symbol with the index $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$ we denote the pair (μ_i, i) , where $\mu_i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the equation $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i = \boldsymbol{\mu}_j$ is equivalent to the relations $\mu_i = \mu_j$ and $i = j$. Also, if double repeated indices $\mu_i \mu_i$ appear the summation from 1 to d is implied. Further, using the round brackets we denote the symmetrization. For example, a tensor with index $(\mu_{i_k} \dots \mu_{i_1})$ is equal to the sum of tensors over all possible index permutations divided by $k!$. In this case, the allocation of a group of indices by the symbol $|$ means that they are not symmetrized. Also, if $\sigma = \{\sigma(p), \dots, \sigma(1)\}$ is a set of numbers, then by definition we denote $\mu_\sigma = \mu_{\sigma(p)} \dots \mu_{\sigma(1)}$.

Operator definitions: Let us introduce a set of operators and define the necessary properties among them. In total, four types are required: \boldsymbol{A}^{μ_j} is a differentiation operator, \boldsymbol{S}^k is an integration operator, where $k > 0$, an operator of multiplication $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mu_{i_k} \dots \mu_{i_1}}$, where $i_k \geq \dots \geq i_2 \geq i_1 > 0$, $k > 0$, as well as the operator $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$, which maps the tensor to the multiplication operator. So, first we define the initial element $\mathbb{1}$ by relation

$$\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \mathbb{1} = 1, \quad \boldsymbol{A}^{\mu_j} \mathbb{1} = 0, \quad (27)$$

and then we give sufficient to work relations:

$$\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mu_{i_k} \dots \mu_{i_1}} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{\mu_{i_k} \dots \mu_{i_1}} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \boldsymbol{S}^k = \frac{1}{k} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}, \quad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mu_j} \tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_k \dots \mu_{i_1}} = \Omega^{\mu_j \mu_k \dots \mu_{i_1}} + \Omega^{\mu_k \dots \mu_{i_1}} \mathbf{A}^{\mu_j}, \quad \mathbf{A}^{\mu_j} \mathbf{S}^k = \mathbf{S}^{k+1} \mathbf{A}^{\mu_j}, \quad (29)$$

where $k > 0$, $j \geq i_k \geq \dots \geq i_2 \geq i_1 > 0$, and tensors $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_k \dots \mu_{i_1}}$ are determined by: if $i_2 > i_1$, then for $k > 1$ we have

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_k \dots \mu_{i_1}} = \frac{2(k-1)}{k} \nabla_{(\mu_k} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{i_3}} F_{\mu_{i_2}) \mu_{i_1}}(x); \quad (30)$$

if $i_2 = i_1$, then

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{i_2} \mu_{i_1}} = v(x); \quad (31)$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{i_3} \mu_{i_2} \mu_{i_1}} = \frac{2}{3} \nabla_{(\mu_{i_3}} F_{\mu_{i_1}) \mu_{i_1}}(x) + \nabla_{\mu_{i_3}} v(x); \quad (32)$$

and for $k > 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_k \dots \mu_{i_1}} &= \frac{k-1}{k} \nabla_{(\mu_k} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{i_3}} F_{\mu_{i_1}) \mu_{i_1}}(x) + \nabla_{(\mu_k} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{i_3}}) v(x) + \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{k-4} \frac{(n+1)(k-n-3)(k-2)!}{(n+2)!(k-n-2)!} \nabla_{(\mu_k} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{i_{n+5}}} F_{\mu_{i_{n+4}} | \mu_{i_1}}(x) \nabla_{\mu_{i_{n+3}}} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{i_4}} F_{\mu_{i_3}) \mu_{i_1}}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

If there is only one index, the function is identically zero $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_i} = 0$. It is also convenient to add notations by $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu^\sigma} = 1$, if $\sigma = \emptyset$, this allows us to write the answer in a more compact form.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $x \in \Omega$ and $n > 0$, then, taking into account the definitions (27)-(33), we have*

$$a_n(x, x) = \Upsilon \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbf{S}^k (\mathbf{A}^{\mu_{2k}} \mathbf{A}^{\mu_{2k}} + \Omega^{\mu_{2k}} \mathbf{A}^{\mu_{2k}} + \Omega^{\mu_{2k} \mu_{2k}}) \mathbb{1}. \quad (34)$$

Proof: The statement follows from substitution of the definitions (23) and (24) into the formula (25) and considering the Laplace operator in the form

$$\begin{aligned} -A(x-y, y) &= \partial_{x_\mu} \partial_{x^\mu} + 2f_\mu^{FS}(x-y, y) \partial_{x_\mu} + \\ &+ \partial_{x_\mu} f_\mu^{FS}(x-y, y) + f_\mu^{FS}(x-y, y) f^{FS\mu}(x-y, y) + V(x-y, y). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The property (29) of the integration operator takes into account the relationship between the degree of parameterization parameter and the number of derivatives acting on the integrand in the formula (25). ■

In the formula (34) the symbol μ_{2k} has even index. This is done specifically for the convenience of the following theorem and does not affect the answer, since μ_{2k} are dumb and the ordering, which is important in the definitions (30) - (33), is not violated.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $I_{2n} = \{2n, \dots, 1\}$ and σ_n is a set of all sets $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n$, such that $\sigma_i = \{\sigma_i(p_i), \dots, \sigma_i(1)\}$, where $p_i = \sharp \sigma_i$, satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $\sigma_i(k) > \sigma_i(j)$ for $p_i \geq k > j \geq 1$;
2. $\cup_{j=1}^n \sigma_j = I_{2n}$;
3. $\sigma_i \subset \{2n, \dots, 2i-1\} \setminus (\cup_{j=i+1}^n \sigma_j)$;

4. either $\{2i - 1\} \subset \sigma_i$ or $\sigma_i = \emptyset$,

where $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the diagonal part of $\hat{a}_n(x, y)$ from the formula (3) is equal to

$$a_n(x, x) = \sum_{\sigma_n} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_n}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i - n} \cdots \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_2}}}{p_1 + p_2 - 2} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_1}}}{p_1 - 1} \Bigg|_{\mu_{2j-1}=\mu_{2j}, \forall j}. \quad (36)$$

Proof: In order to show the equality of the formulae (34) and (36), it is convenient to prove a more general relation. We show that for $n > 0$ we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n S^i (A^{\mu_{2i}} A^{\mu_{2i}} + \Omega^{\mu_{2i}} A^{\mu_{2i}} + \Omega^{\mu_{2i}\mu_{2i}}) \mathbb{1} = \\ = \sum_{\sigma_n} S^{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i - n} \Omega^{\mu_{\sigma_n}} \cdots S^{p_1 + p_2 - 2} \Omega^{\mu_{\sigma_2}} S^{p_1 - 1} \Omega^{\sigma_1} \mathbb{1} \Bigg|_{\mu_{2j-1}=\mu_{2j}, \forall j}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

then, applying the operator Υ , we obtain the statement of the Lemma. The proof is convenient to produce by induction. Indeed, for $n = 1$ the formula is obvious. Assume that the equality is true for $n = k - 1$ and prove it for $n = k$. To do this, one can apply the operator $S^k (A^{\mu_{2k}} A^{\mu_{2k}} + \Omega^{\mu_{2k}} A^{\mu_{2k}} + \Omega^{\mu_{2k}\mu_{2k}})$. Identification mark $\mu_{2j-1} = \mu_{2j}$ on the right side (37) works for $j \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$, so we can add $\mu_{2k-1} = \mu_{2k}$ and put the operator under the identification mark in the form $S^k (A^{\mu_{2k}} A^{\mu_{2k-1}} + \Omega^{\mu_{2k-1}} A^{\mu_{2k}} + \Omega^{\mu_{2k}\mu_{2k-1}})$. Let us consider separately each term in order to understand the transition $\sigma_{k-1} \rightarrow \sigma_k$:

- 1) The term with $S^k \Omega^{\mu_{2k}\mu_{2k-1}}$ is described by permutations $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$, where $\sigma_k = \{2k, 2k - 1\}$ and $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1} \in \sigma_{k-1}$. Considering that $p_k = 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i = 2k - 2$, we can write $S^k = S^{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i - k}$;
- 2) The term with $S^k \Omega^{\mu_{2k-1}} A^{\mu_{2k}}$ corresponds to the set in which $\sigma_k = \{2k - 1\}$ and $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$ is constructed using a set from σ_{k-1} by combining one of its elements with $\{2k\}$. Wherein $p_k = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i = 2k - 1$;
- 3) The term with $S^k A^{\mu_{2k}} A^{\mu_{2k-1}}$ responds to $\sigma_k = \emptyset$ and $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$, which is constructed by adding $2k$ and $2k - 1$ in the elements of the set from σ_{k-1} . Wherein $p_k = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i = 2k$.

■

3.6 Examples

As a small exercise, we give a calculation of the diagonal parts of the coefficients $a_n(x, y)$ of the heat kernel for $n = 1, 2, 3$.

$n = 1$: in this case there is only one term, and it is equal to: $a_1(x, x) = \tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_1\mu_1} = v(x)$.

$n = 2$: then the formula is

$$a_2(x, x) = \sum_{\sigma_2} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_2}}}{(p_1 + p_2 - 2)} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_1}}}{(p_1 - 1)} \Bigg|_{\mu_{2j-1}=\mu_{2j}, \forall j}. \quad (38)$$

Let us consider all possible subsets $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^2$ from the set σ_2 :

$$\{4, 3\}\{2, 1\}, \{3\}\{4, 2, 1\}, \emptyset\{4, 3, 2, 1\}.$$

Since $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_3} = 0$ and $\nabla_{(\mu_4} \nabla_{\mu_4} F_{\mu_2)\mu_2} = 0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_4 \mu_4} \tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_2 \mu_2} + \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_4 \mu_4 \mu_2 \mu_2} = \frac{1}{2} v^2(x) + \frac{1}{12} F_{\mu_4 \mu_2}(x) F_{\mu_4 \mu_2}(x) + \frac{1}{6} \nabla_{\mu_4} \nabla_{\mu_4} v(x). \quad (39)$$

$n = 3$: the general formula for the coefficient is

$$a_3(x, x) = \sum_{\sigma_3} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_3}}}{(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - 3)} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_2}}}{(p_1 + p_2 - 2)} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_1}}}{(p_1 - 1)} \Big|_{\mu_{2j-1}=\mu_{2j}, \forall j}. \quad (40)$$

Taking into account the relations $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_1} = 0$ and $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_6 \mu_4} \tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_6 \mu_4 \mu_2 \mu_2} = 0$, we write out all nonzero sets $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \emptyset \emptyset \{6, 6, 4, 4, 2, 2\}, \emptyset \{4, 4\} \{6, 6, 2, 2\}, \emptyset \{6, 6, 4\} \{4, 2, 2\}, \emptyset \{6, 4, 4\} \{6, 2, 2\}, \\ & \emptyset \{6, 4, 4\} \{6, 2, 2\}, \emptyset \{6, 6, 4, 4\} \{2, 2\}, \{6, 6\} \emptyset \{4, 4, 2, 2\}, \{6, 6\} \{4, 4\} \{2, 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla_{(\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_4} \nabla_{\mu_4} F_{\mu_2)\mu_2} = 0$ and $\nabla_{\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_4} F_{\mu_6 \mu_4} = 0$, as well as using the properties

$$\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} f = \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} f + F_{\mu\nu} f + f F_{\nu\mu}, \quad \nabla_{\mu} F_{\nu\rho} + \nabla_{\nu} F_{\rho\mu} + \nabla_{\rho} F_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (41)$$

we get the final result for the coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned} a_3(x, x) = & \frac{1}{45} \nabla_{\mu_6} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} \nabla_{\mu_6} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} + \frac{1}{180} \nabla_{\mu_6} F_{\mu_2 \mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_4} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} + \\ & + \frac{1}{60} \nabla_{\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_6} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} - \frac{1}{30} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} F_{\mu_4 \mu_6} F_{\mu_6 \mu_2} + \frac{1}{60} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} \nabla_{\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_6} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} + \\ & + \frac{1}{6} v^3 + \frac{1}{12} \nabla_{\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_6} v v + \frac{1}{12} \nabla_{\mu_6} v \nabla_{\mu_6} v + \frac{1}{12} v \nabla_{\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_6} v + \\ & + \frac{1}{30} v F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} + \frac{1}{60} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} v F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} + \frac{1}{30} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} F_{\mu_2 \mu_4} v - \\ & - \frac{1}{60} \nabla_{\mu_2} v \nabla_{\mu_4} F_{\mu_4 \mu_2} + \frac{1}{60} \nabla_{\mu_4} F_{\mu_4 \mu_2} \nabla_{\mu_2} v + \frac{1}{60} \nabla_{\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_6} \nabla_{\mu_4} \nabla_{\mu_4} v. \quad (42) \end{aligned}$$

3.7 General case

Similarly, by repeating step-by-step of the proof of Theorem 3.7, one can formulate a more general result.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$, $c^{\mu}, b \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, where $\mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $I_{2n} = \{2n, \dots, 1\}$ and σ_n is the set of all subsets $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n$, satisfying four conditions from Theorem 3.7. Then the diagonal part for the solution of the system of differential equations*

$$(n + (x - y)^{\mu} \partial_{x^{\mu}}) a_n(x, y) = (\partial_{x^{\mu}} \partial_{x^{\mu}} + c^{\mu} \partial_{x^{\mu}} + b) a_{n-1}(x, y), \quad n > 0, \quad (43)$$

with $a_0(x, y) = 1$ is the following function

$$a_n(x, x) = \sum_{\sigma_n} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_n}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i - n} \cdots \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_2}}}{p_1 + p_2 - 2} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{\sigma_1}}}{p_1 - 1} \Big|_{\mu_{2j}=\mu_{2j-1}, \forall j}, \quad (44)$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_i} = c_{\mu_i}(x)$, $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu\sigma} = 1$, if $\sigma = \emptyset$, and for $i_k \geq \dots \geq i_2 \geq i_1 > 0$, $k > 1$:

if $i_2 > i_1$, then $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{i_k} \dots \mu_{i_1}} = \partial_{(\mu_{i_k}} \dots \partial_{\mu_{i_2}}) c_{\mu_{i_1}}(x)$;

if $i_2 = i_1$, then $\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu_{i_k} \dots \mu_{i_1}} = \partial_{(\mu_{i_k}} \dots \partial_{\mu_{i_3}}) b(x)$.

For interest, we give the answers for $n = 1, 2$:

$$a_1(x, x) = b(x), \quad a_2(x, x) = \frac{1}{2}b^2(x) + \frac{1}{4}c_\mu \partial_{x_\mu} b(x) + \frac{1}{6} \partial_{x_\mu} \partial_{x_\mu} b(x). \quad (45)$$

4 Second approach

The approach to which this part of the work is devoted is focused on compact recording and clarification of physical meaning. The path integral is in some way a Golden mean, since it retains the necessary mathematical properties to work with physical phenomena, although it still contains a lot of open questions. The transition from the previously studied asymptotic expansion (3) to the path integral representation is carried out in two stages. First, we prove that at small times the asymptotic series can be written as an exponential formula (53), and then, based on the basic formula (70), we make the transition to the functional integration (73).

4.1 Sturm–Liouville problem

Let $\tau > 0$. We consider the Sturm–Liouville problem $-\frac{1}{2}y''_{ss}(s) = \lambda y(s)$ on the interval $[0, \tau]$ with the homogeneous boundary conditions $y(0) = y(\tau) = 0$. It is known that $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2}(\pi n/\tau)^2$ and $\psi_n(s) = \sqrt{2/\tau} \sin(\sqrt{2\lambda_n}s)$ are its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, respectively. Green's function $g(s, t)$ for such problem is a solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}g''_{ss}(s, t) = \delta(s - t); \\ g(0, t) = g(\tau, t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, \tau], \end{cases}$$

and has the following form (see [66])

$$g(s, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2s}{\tau}(\tau - t), & s \leq t; \\ \frac{2t}{\tau}(\tau - s), & s \geq t. \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

In a further work its derivatives with respect to the arguments will be required. In addition to the obvious calculations, we need to determine the derivative at the break point

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} g(s, t) \right|_{t=s} = \left. \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} g(s, t) \right|_{t=s+0} + \left. \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} g(s, t) \right|_{t=s-0} = 1 - \frac{2s}{\tau}, \quad (47)$$

as well to find the second derivative

$$\frac{d}{ds} \frac{d}{dt} g(s, t) = -\frac{2}{\tau} + 2\delta(s - t). \quad (48)$$

4.2 Basic notations

Let $\{\eta_\mu\}_{\mu=1}^d$: $\eta_\mu \in C^\infty(0, \tau)$ for all $\mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, is a set of external sources. We denote $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d)$ and assume that they satisfy the relation

$$\frac{\delta \eta_\mu(s)}{\delta \eta_\nu(t)} = \delta_\mu^\nu \delta(s - t), \quad (49)$$

which is called the functional derivative. Next, it is convenient to introduce the functional

$$b(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \int_0^\tau ds dt \eta_\mu(s) g(s, t) \eta^\mu(t), \quad (50)$$

whose time derivative τ , taking into account the Green's function (46), has the following form

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} b(\eta) = \frac{1}{\tau^2} \int_0^\tau ds s \eta_\mu(s) \int_0^\tau dt t \eta^\mu(t). \quad (51)$$

Also, for brevity, it is necessary to introduce an additional notation:

$$M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta}, x-y, t, \tau\right) = -f_\mu^{FS}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(t)} + \frac{t(x-y)}{\tau}\right) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\mu(t)} + \frac{(x-y)^\mu}{\tau}\right) + \\ + V\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(t)} + \frac{t(x-y)}{\tau}\right), \quad (52)$$

where $t \in [0, \tau]$, $x, y \in \Omega$, and in $f_\mu^{FS}(x-y, y)$ and $V(x-y, y)$ the second argument is omitted, since it is not important in the proof.

4.3 Exponential formula

Theorem 4.1. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$, $a_k(x, y)$ are functions from (25), and $\tau > 0$ is quite small, then, using the definitions (49)-(52), we have*

$$P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta}, x-y, t, \tau\right) b(\eta)} \Big|_{\eta=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau^k a_k(x, y). \quad (53)$$

Proof: We first consider the case without the potential. Regarding the left hand side of the formula (53), it is important to make an observation. Let us do the change of variables in the form $t \rightarrow \tau t$ in all integrals, then given the δ -function property $\delta(\tau t - \tau s) = \tau^{-1} \delta(t - s)$, we can go to a set of new external sources $\eta_\mu(\tau t) \rightarrow \hat{\eta}_\mu(t)$ on the interval $[0, 1]$. At the same time, to preserve the appearance of the relations (49), it is convenient to make substitutions on the left hand side of the formula (53) as

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\mu(\tau t)} \rightarrow \frac{\delta}{\delta\hat{\eta}_\mu(t)}, \quad \eta_\mu(\tau t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\tau} \hat{\eta}_\mu(t). \quad (54)$$

Thus, it is easy to verify that the degree of the parameter τ is equal to the degree of the functional $b(\eta)$. Hence the expansion is just

$$P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta}, x-y, t, \tau\right) \frac{1}{k!} b^k(\eta)} \Big|_{\eta=0} = \tau^k c_k(x, y). \quad (55)$$

Let us verify that the coefficients $c_k(x, y)$ satisfy the same equations (22) as the coefficients $a_k(x, y)$, from which their equality will follow. The course of proof will be as follows. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is necessary to show that $(k + (x-y)^\mu \partial_{x^\mu}) c_k(x, y)$ can be represented as the sum of four terms

$$\partial_{x^\mu} \partial_{x^\mu} c_{k-1}(x, y) + (\partial_{x^\mu} f_\mu^{FS}(x-y)) c_{k-1}(x, y) + \\ + f_\mu^{FS\mu}(x-y) f_\mu^{FS}(x-y) c_{k-1}(x, y) + 2 f_\mu^{FS}(x-y) \partial_{x^\mu} c_{k-1}(x, y). \quad (56)$$

At this stage, it is convenient to note that the operator $(k + (x - y)^\mu \partial_\mu) \tau^{k-1}$ can be represented as a product of three operators $\tau^{-(x-y)^\mu \partial_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \tau^{(x-y)^\mu \partial_\mu} \tau^k$. It is clear that the first operator $\tau^{(x-y)^\mu \partial_\mu}$ multiplies $(x - y)^\mu$ by τ . Then the derivative of τ is taken and the last operator divides $(x - y)^\mu$ by τ . After calculations, using the formula (51), the definition of the ordered exponential, and the equality

$$\tau^{(x-y)^\mu \partial_\mu} M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, t, \tau\right) = M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, t, 1\right), \quad (57)$$

one can obtain that the result on the left hand side (55) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, t, \tau\right)} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} b^{k-1}(\eta) \int_0^\tau ds \frac{s \eta_\mu(s)}{\tau} \int_0^\tau d\hat{s} \frac{\hat{s} \eta^\mu(\hat{s})}{\tau} \Big|_{\eta=0} + \\ + M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, \tau, \tau\right) P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, t, \tau\right)} \frac{1}{k!} b^k(\eta) \Big|_{\eta=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

First of all, it is necessary to pay attention to the first term. Considering that

$$f_\rho^{FS} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t)} + \frac{tx}{\tau} \right) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_\rho(t)} + \frac{x^\rho}{\tau} \right) = f_\rho^{FS} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(t)} + \frac{tx}{\tau} \right) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_\rho(t)} - \frac{1}{t} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_\rho(t)} \right) \quad (59)$$

due to antisymmetry, and

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_\mu(t)} - \frac{1}{t} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_\mu(t)} \right) \int_0^\tau ds s \eta_\nu(s) = 0, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta^\mu(t)} \int_0^\tau ds \frac{s}{\tau} \eta^\nu(s) = \partial_{x^\mu} \frac{tx^\nu}{\tau}, \quad (60)$$

it can be written as $\partial_{x^\mu} \partial_{x^\mu} \tau^{k-1} c_{k-1}(x, y)$. As a result, we need to show that the second expression in (58) corresponds to the other three terms from (56). Taking into account permutation, we can assume that one end of the Green's function lies in the leftmost M -function. Considering the definition and the property (48) of the Green's function, as well as the relation (60), the second term (58) can be rewritten like

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, \tau, \tau\right) b(\eta) \Big|_{\eta=0} \tau^{k-1} c_{k-1}(x, y) + \\ + M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, \tau, \tau\right) \int_0^\tau dt M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, t, \tau\right) b(\eta) \Big|_{\eta=0} \tau^{k-1} c_{k-1}(x, y) + \\ + 2M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, \tau, \tau\right) \int_0^\tau dt (\tau - t) \eta_\mu(t) \partial^\mu \tau^{k-1} c_{k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

The theorem follows from Lemma 4.2. To prove the case with the potential, a similar reasoning can be made if we take into account the facts that after scaling the term in the exponential (53) with the potential is proportional to τ , and that after differentiation an additional term will appear

$$V\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(\tau)} + (x - y)\right) P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, x - y, t, \tau\right)} e^{b(\eta)} \Big|_{\eta=0}. \quad (62)$$

Further, from the definition of Green's function (46) it follows that the functional derivative $\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(\tau)}$ in the left multiplier can be removed. In this case, the multiplication operator $V(x - y)$ will arise and the proof will be completed. ■

Lemma 4.2. Let $\tau > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $f_\rho^{FS}(x)$ and $b(\eta)$ taken from the definitions (13) and (50), then we have the relations

$$-f_\rho^{FS} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(\tau)} + x \right) \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} + \frac{x^\rho}{\tau} \right) b(\eta) = \partial_{x_\mu} f_\mu^{FS}(x); \quad (63)$$

$$f_\rho^{FS} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(\tau)} + x \right) \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} + \frac{x^\rho}{\tau} \right) \int_0^\tau dt f_\sigma^{FS} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(t)} + \frac{t}{\tau} x \right) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\sigma(t)} + \frac{x^\sigma}{\tau} \right) b(\eta) = f_\rho^{FS}(x) f^{FS\rho}(x); \quad (64)$$

$$-f_\rho^{FS} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(\tau)} + x \right) \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} + \frac{x^\rho}{\tau} \right) \int_0^\tau dt (\tau - t) \eta_\mu(t) = f_\mu^{FS}(x). \quad (65)$$

Proof: To prove the first equality, it suffices to consider an arbitrary term

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(t)} + x \right)^{\rho_1 \dots \rho_k} \nabla_{\rho_1} \dots \nabla_{\rho_{k-1}} F_{\rho_k \rho}(y)$$

from the expansion of $f_\rho^{FS}(x)$ and, using the formulae (47) and (59), use the equality

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(\tau)} + x \right)^{\rho_i} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} \right) b(\eta) \Big|_{\eta=0} = -\delta^{\rho_i \rho}. \quad (66)$$

The second and third relations follow, taking into account (59), from the equalities

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} \right) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\sigma(t)} - \frac{1}{t} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\sigma(t)} \right) b(\eta) = 2\delta^{\rho\sigma} \delta(t - \tau), \quad (67)$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\delta}{\delta\eta_\rho(\tau)} \right) \int_0^\tau dt (\tau - t) \eta_\mu(t) = -\delta_\mu^\rho, \quad (68)$$

where the property $2 \int_0^\tau ds \delta(s - \tau) = 1$ was used. ■

4.4 Path integral

Let $W_{y,x}$ be a set of continuous $\gamma : [0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ paths with a beginning at the point y and an end at the point x . Let $\int_0^\tau dt u(t) v(t)$ be a scalar product on $C(0, \tau)$. Next, consider $\mathcal{W}_{y,x} = W_{y,x}^{\times d}$, so, if $u \in \mathcal{W}_{y,x}$, then $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$ and $u_\mu \in W_{y,x}$ for $\forall \mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and the scalar product has the form $(u, v) = \int_0^\tau dt u_\mu(t) v^\mu(t)$. We also introduce the action

$$S[u] = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\tau dt \frac{du_\mu(t)}{dt} \frac{du^\mu(t)}{dt}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{W}_{y,x}. \quad (69)$$

To build on the physical level of rigor it is enough to know only functional integral over $W_{0,0}$ with the action $\frac{1}{4} \int_0^\tau dt \left(\frac{du(t)}{dt} \right)^2$. It is known from the general theory that such quantities diverge and one of the ways to give them meaning is to use regularization by means of analytical continuation of the Riemann zeta function (see [37, 38, 54]). In view of this, the integral acquires a finite value. Then, by changing the normalization of the measure, the basic formula takes the following form

$$\int_{W_{0,0}} \mathcal{D}u e^{-\frac{1}{4} \int_0^\tau dt \left(\frac{du(t)}{dt} \right)^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau}}. \quad (70)$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\tau > 0$, b and S are defined in the formulae (50) and (69), then we have*

$$(4\pi\tau)^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{0,0}} \mathcal{D}u e^{-S[u] + (\eta, u)} = e^{b(\eta)}. \quad (71)$$

Proof: Let $v_\mu(t) = \int_0^\tau ds g(t, s) \eta_\mu(s)$, then $u + v \in \mathcal{W}_{0,0}$ for $\forall u \in \mathcal{W}_{0,0}$ and one can make a shift. In this case, the statement follows from the formula (70) and the equality $S[u + v] = S[u] + (\eta, u) + b(\eta)$. ■

Theorem 4.4. *Let $x, y \in \Omega$, $\tau > 0$ is quite small, and K , b , and S are defined in the formulae (2), (50), and (69) respectively, then we have*

$$P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta}, x-y, t, \tau)} e^{b(\eta)} \Big|_{\eta=0} = (4\pi\tau)^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{0,0}} \mathcal{D}u e^{-S[u]} P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M(u, x-y, t, \tau)} \quad (72)$$

and

$$K(x, y, \tau) = \Phi(x, y) \int_{\mathcal{W}_{y,x}} \mathcal{D}v e^{-S[v]} P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M(v-y, 0, t, \tau)}. \quad (73)$$

Proof: The first formula follows from the relation $\phi[u] = \phi \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} \right] e^{(\eta, u)} \Big|_{\eta=0}$ for an arbitrary polynomial ϕ and Lemma 4.3. The second equation follows from the formula (3), Theorem 4.1, replacing a variable in the form $v(t) = u(t) + y + \frac{t}{\tau}(x - y)$, where $u \in \mathcal{W}_{0,0}$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}_{y,x}$, and the relation $S[u] = S[v] - \frac{1}{4\tau}(x - y)^2$. ■

Corollary 4.4.1. *Let $x \in \Omega$, $\tau > 0$ is quite small, then we have*

$$K(x, x, \tau) = \int_{\mathcal{W}_{0,0}} \mathcal{D}u e^{-S[u]} P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt M(u, 0, t, \tau)}. \quad (74)$$

5 Conclusion

As it was shown, Theorems 3.7 and 3.8 allow us to construct diagonal elements for an arbitrary second order operator on a manifold with a flat metric and without a boundary. Suppose now that the domain under consideration Ω is endowed with a smooth Riemannian metric ($g^{\mu\nu}$). In this case, it is convenient locally, in some neighborhood $U \subset \Omega$ of a point y , to go to normal coordinates, which are characterized by the following conditions (see [55]): at the point y the metric tensor is $\delta_{\mu\nu}$ and for any vector z^μ with the condition $\delta_{\mu\nu} z^\mu z^\nu = 1$ and a sufficiently small t curve $x^\mu = y^\mu + tz^\mu$ is geodesic, and t is its length.

Then (see [63]) the recurrence relations for the coefficients are reduced to the form (43) with the only change that $\partial_{x_\mu} \partial_{x^\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}(x) \partial_{x^\mu} \partial_{x^\nu}$, where

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}(y) (x - y)^{\rho\sigma} + \dots \quad (75)$$

and $R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor. Thus, it is necessary to additionally take into account the metric tensor in the second derivative (see [60]). However, you can stay in a curved space, if you go to the exponent, ordered along the geodesic (see [61, 62]). In the same way, you can upgrade the diagram technique [44] to work with coefficients $a_n(x, y)$ for arbitrary $x, y \in \Omega$.

One can also use Feynman diagrams for calculations by using the path integral representation (73), but loop calculations are much more complicated.

Practically all calculations in this work were carried out by using Fock-Schwinger gauge fixing. In particular, it played a key role in the proof of Theorem 4.1. However, the formula can be generalized to the case of an arbitrary gauge. Let $x, y \in \Omega$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{G}$, then the gauge transformations have the form

$$B_\mu^\omega(x) = \omega^{-1}(x)B_\mu(x)\omega(x) + \omega^{-1}(x)\partial_{x^\mu}\omega(x), \quad v^\omega(x) = \omega^{-1}(x)v(x)\omega(x), \quad (76)$$

and for small τ the following formula is correct

$$K(x, y, \tau) = \omega(x) \int_{\mathcal{W}_{y,x}} \mathcal{D}u e^{-S[u]} P_t e^{\int_0^\tau dt (-\dot{u}^\mu B_\mu^\omega(u) + v^\omega(u))} \omega^{-1}(y). \quad (77)$$

In the particular case when $\omega(x) = \Phi(x, y)$, we obtain $\omega(y) = 1$, $f_\mu^{FS}(x - y, y)$ and $V(x - y, y)$ are from the formulae (13) and (24). It is important to note that when discussing physical phenomena it is not necessary to leave a smallness of time. For example, in physics ordered exponentials for arbitrary parameters are discussed: the Wilson line (as in (73)) or the Wilson loop (as in (74)).

6 Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to A. G. Pronko for the discussion of the text. One of the authors, A. V. Ivanov, is a Young Russian Mathematics award winner and would like to thank its sponsors and jury. This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project № 18-11-00297).

References

- [1] V. Fock, *Die Eigenzeit in der Klassischen- und in der Quanten- mechanik*, Sow. Phys., **12**, 404–425 (1937)
- [2] Y. Nambu, *The use of the proper time in quantum electrodynamics*, Progr. Theor. Phys. **5**, 82–94 (1950)
- [3] J. Schwinger, *On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization*, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664–679 (1951)
- [4] B. S. DeWitt, *Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields*, Gordon and Breach, NewYork (1965)
- [5] B. S. DeWitt, *Quantum theory of gravity. 1. The canonical theory*, Phys. Rev. **160**, 1113–1148 (1967)
- [6] B. S. DeWitt, *Quantum theory of gravity. II. The manifestly covariant theory* Phys. Rev. **162**, 1195–1239 (1967)
- [7] B. S. DeWitt, *Quantum theory of gravity. III. Applications of the covariant theory*, Phys. Rev. **162**, 1239–1256 (1967)
- [8] B. S. DeWitt, *Quantum field theory in curved spacetime*, Phys. Rep. **19**, 295–357 (1975)
- [9] R. T. Seeley, *Singular integrals and boundary value problems*, Am. J. Math. **88**, 781–809 (1966)
- [10] R. T. Seeley, *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., 288–307 (1967)
- [11] R. T. Seeley, *The resolvent of an elliptic boundary value problem*, Am. J. Math. **91**, 889–920 (1969)
- [12] P. B. Gilkey, *The spectral geometry of a Riemannian manifold*, J. Differ. Geom. **10**, 601–618 (1975)
- [13] J. Schwinger, *Casimir effect in source theory*, Lett. Math. Phys. **1**, 43–47 (1975)
- [14] M. Bordag, U. Mohideen, V. M. Mostepanenko, *New developments in the Casimir effect*, Phys. Rept. **353**, 1–205 (2001)
- [15] R. D. Ball, *Chiral gauge theory*, Phys. Rept. **182**, 1–186 (1989)
- [16] C. Callan, F. Wilczek, *On geometric entropy*, Phys. Lett. B **333**, 55–61 (1994)
- [17] I. Jack, H. Osborn, *Two-loop background field calculations for arbitrary background fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **207**, 474–504 (1982)
- [18] J. P. Börnsen, A. E. M. van de Ven, *Three-loop Yang–Mills β -function via the covariant background field method*, Nucl. Phys. B **657**, 257–303 (2003)
- [19] M. F. Atiyah, I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators on compact manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69**, 422–433 (1963)

- [20] V. K. Patodi, *Curvature and the eigenforms of the Laplace operator*, Diff. Geometry **5**, 233–249 (1971)
- [21] P. B. Gilkey, *Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah–Singer Index Theorem*, CRC Press, Boca Raton (1994)
- [22] H. P. McKean, I. M. Singer, *Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, J. Differential Geom. **1**, 43–69 (1967)
- [23] D. M. McAvity, H. Osborn, *A DeWitt expansion of the heat kernel for manifolds with a boundary*, Class. Quant. Grav. **8**, 603–638 (1991)
- [24] D. M. McAvity, H. Osborn, *Asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel for generalized boundary conditions*, Class. Quant. Grav. **8**, 1445–1454 (1991)
- [25] D. M. McAvity, *Heat kernel asymptotics for mixed boundary conditions*, Class. Quant. Grav. **9**, 1983–1997 (1992)
- [26] A. O. Barvinsky, Yu. V. Gusev, V. F. Mukhanov, D. V. Nesterov, *Nonperturbative late time asymptotics for heat kernel in gravity theory*, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 105003 (2003)
- [27] M. Bordag, D. V. Vassilevich, *Heat kernel expansion for semitransparent boundaries*, J. Phys. A **32**, 8247–8259 (1999)
- [28] D. V. Vassilevich, *Index Theorems and Domain Walls*, J. High Energ. Phys., 108 (2018)
- [29] K. Kirsten, *Heat kernel asymptotics: more special case calculations*, Nuclear Physics B (Proc. Suppl.) **104**, 119–126 (2002)
- [30] D. V. Vassilevich, *Heat kernel expansion: user’s manual*, Phys. Rep. **388**, 279–360 (2003)
- [31] R. P. Feynman, *Space-Time Approach to Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, Review of Modern Physics **20**, 367–387 (1948)
- [32] R. P. Feynman, *The Theory of Positrons*, Physical Review **76**, 749–759 (1949)
- [33] Matthias Ludewig, *Heat Kernels as Path Integrals*, arXiv:1810.07898 (2018)
- [34] F. Bastianelli, O. Corradini, P. A. G. Pisani, *Worldline approach to quantum field theories on flat manifolds with boundaries*, JHEP 0702, 059 (2007)
- [35] J. R. Norris, *Path integral formulae for heat kernels and their derivatives*, Probability Theory and Related Fields **94**, 525–541 (1993)
- [36] J. S. Dowker, R. Critchley, *Effective Lagrangian and energy momentum tensor in de Sitter space*, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 3224 (1976)
- [37] S. W. Hawking, *Zeta function regularization of path integrals in curved space-time*, Commun. Math. Phys. **55**, 133–148 (1977)
- [38] L. A. Takhtajan, *Quantum Mechanics for Mathematicians*, American Mathematical Society, Graduate Series in Mathematics **95** (2008)

- [39] A. A. Bytsenko, G. Cognola, L. Vanzo, S. Zerbini, *Quantum fields and extended objects in space-times with constant curvature spatial section*, Phys. Rept. **266**, 1–126 (1996)
- [40] P. Amsterdamski, A. L. Berkin, D. J. O’Connor, b_8 ‘Hamidew’ coefficient for a scalar field, Class. Quantum Grav. **6**, 1981–1991 (1989)
- [41] I. G. Avramidi, *The covariant technique for the calculation of the heat kernel asymptotic expansion*, Phys. Lett. B **238**, 92–97 (1990)
- [42] A. E. M. van de Ven, *Index-free heat kernel coefficients*, Class. Quantum Grav. **15**, 2311–2344 (1998)
- [43] S. A. Fulling, G. Kennedy, *The resolvent parametrix of the general elliptic linear differential operator: a closed form for the intrinsic symbol*, Amer. Math. Soc. **310**, 583–617 (1988)
- [44] A. V. Ivanov, *Diagram technique for the heat kernel of the covariant Laplace operator*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **198**:1, 100–117 (2019) arXiv:1905.05455
- [45] A. O. Barvinsky, G. A. Vilkovisky, *Beyond the Schwinger-DeWitt technique: Converting loops into trees and in-in currents*, Nucl. Phys. B **282**, 163–188 (1987)
- [46] A. O. Barvinsky, G. A. Vilkovisky, *Covariant perturbation theory (II). Second order in the curvature. General algorithms*, Nucl. Phys. B **333**, 471–511 (1990)
- [47] A. O. Barvinsky, G. A. Vilkovisky, *Covariant perturbation theory (III). Spectral representations of the third-order form factors*, Nucl. Phys. B **333**, 512–524 (1990)
- [48] I. G. Avramidi, *Heat Kernel and Quantum Gravity*, Lect. Notes Phys. Monogr. **64**, New York: Springer, 1–149 (2000)
- [49] A. V. Ivanov, *About renormalization of the Yang–Mills theory and the approach to calculation of the heat kernel*, EPJ Web Conf. **158**, 07004 (2017)
- [50] I. G. Moss, D. J. Toms, *Invariants of the heat equation for non-minimal operators*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**, 215401 (2014)
- [51] J. S. Dowker, K. Kirsten, *Heat kernel coefficients for oblique boundary conditions*, Class. Quant. Grav. **14**, L169–L175 (1997)
- [52] J. S. Dowker, K. Kirsten, *The $a_{3/2}$ heat kernel coefficient for oblique boundary conditions*, Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, 1917–1936 (1999)
- [53] I. G. Avramidi, G. Esposito, *New invariants in the 1-loop divergences on manifolds with boundary*, Class. Quant. Grav. **15**, 281–297 (1998)
- [54] K. Kirsten, A. J. McKane, *Functional determinants in the presence of zero modes*, Norman 2003, Quantum field theory under the influence of external conditions, 146–151 (2003)
- [55] D. Fursaev, D. V. Vassilevich, *Operators, Geometry and Quanta: Methods of Spectral Geometry in Quantum Field Theory*, Springer, Dordrecht (2011)

- [56] L. D. Faddeev, A. A. Slavnov, *Gauge Fields: An Introduction To Quantum Theory*, The Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Company (1980)
- [57] A. V. Ivanov, *On the application of matrix formalism of heat kernel to the number theory*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI **473**, POMI, St. Petersburg, 147–160 (2018)
- [58] S. P. Novikov, I. A. Taimanov, *Modern Geometric Structures and Fields*, American Mathematical Society, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **71** (2006)
- [59] A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, London, UK, Harwood Academic Publishers (1987)
- [60] Aleksandr V. Ivanov, Natalia V. Kharuk, *Non-recursive formula for trace of heat kernel*, Proceedings of the International Conference "Days on Diffraction 2019", 74–77 (2019)
- [61] P. A. J. Liggatt, A. J. Macfarlane, *Phase factors and point splitting for non-Abelian gauge theories*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. **4**, 633–645 (1978)
- [62] G. M. Shore, *Symmetry restoration and the background field method in gauge theories*, Annals of Physics **137**, 262–305 (1981)
- [63] M. Lüscher, *Dimensional regularisation in the presence of large background fields*, Annals of Physics **142**, 359–392 (1982)
- [64] B. K. Skagerstam, *A note on the Poincaré gauge*, American Journal of Physics **51**, 1148–1149 (1983)
- [65] J. D. Jackson, *From Lorenz to Coulomb and other explicit gauge transformations*, American Journal of Physics **70**, 917–928 (2002)
- [66] V. S. Vladimirov, *Equations of mathematical physics*, Moscow, Mir Publishers (1983)