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Abstract. In this paper, we proposed a novel method using the elementary number theory to investigate the discrete nature of the screw dislocations in crystal lattices, simple cubic (SC) lattice and body centered cubic (BCC) lattice, by developing the algebraic description of the dislocations in the previous report (Hamada, Matsutani, Nakagawa, Saeki, Uesaka, Pacific J. Math. for Industry 10 (2018), 3). Using the method, we showed that the stress energy of the screw dislocations in the BCC lattice and the SC lattice are naturally described; the energy of the BCC lattice was expressed by the truncated Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function of the Eisenstein integers, whereas that of SC lattice is associated with the truncated Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function of the Gauss integers.
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1. Introduction

Since the dislocations in crystal lattices play critical roles in the properties of the materials, e.g., elasticity, plasticity and fracture, the screw dislocations have been studied from several viewpoints [10, 24]. Though the origin of the screw dislocations is a discrete nature of crystals, the dislocations have been studied in the continuum picture because 1) there was no proper method to describe their discrete structure and 2) the continuum picture is appropriate for the concerned phenomena of the concerned scale related to the dislocations. In the geometrical description of the dislocations [23, 11, 15], which Kondo and Amari started to investigate [10, 2, 3], the global behavior of the dislocations is expressed well in the continuum picture with the inner states to describe the dislocations.

Further since the positions of atoms in the crystal in the micro- or meso-scopic scale are fluctuated, the discrete description of the screw dislocations must be introduced as an approximate expression of the dislocations if it exists. Thus, instead of the discrete descriptions, the micro-scopic properties of the dislocation has been investigated by means of the molecular dynamics or molecular mechanics in classical level and in the level of the first principle, e.g., [7].

However if the discrete description method exists, it enables us to investigate their discrete properties parametrically and the behavior of the crystals with dislocations in the meso-scopic scale; the meso-scopic scale cannot represented by the continuum picture.
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neither by the molecular mechanics nor the first principle approaches. In the continuum picture, we cannot investigate the dependence of the dislocations on the type of crystals. Ariza and Ortiz [4], Ramasubramaniam, Ariza and Ortiz [1], and Ariza, Tellechea, Menguiano and Ortiz [5] studied the discrete nature of the dislocations in terms of modern mathematics, i.e., homology theory, graph theory, group theory and so on. Especially [4] provided a geometrical method to reveal the discrete nature of dislocations and [1] studied the core energy of the dislocations of the BCC (body centered cubic) lattice.

The crystal lattice has high symmetries, translational and rotational symmetries governed by the crystal group, which is studied in the framework of crystallography. The dislocations should be regarded as a symmetry breaking of the group [29]. It means that the lattice with dislocations is not stable for the crystal group in general but is stable for its subgroup, at least, approximately. In the previous report [9] by Hamada, Matsutani, Nakagawa, Saeki and Uesaka, we focused on the fiber structure of the dislocations as an essential of dislocations. The algebraic approach describes well the fiber structure of the screw dislocation of the SC (simple cubic) and BCC lattices because these approaches are natural tools of the algebraic geometry even for both the continuum picture (characteristic 0) and the discrete picture (non-vanishing characteristic). Further as the crystal group represents the perfect crystals, by considering the fiber structure, we describe an ideal property of the discrete nature of the dislocations from a viewpoint of (classical) statistical mechanics. Under a certain assumption, the stress energy of the dislocation in the SC lattice was also obtained in [9].

In this paper, we propose a novel method to investigate the discrete nature of the screw dislocation in crystal lattices, the SC and the BCC lattices, using the elementary number theory since the crystal lattice is closely related to the number theory. This method is based on the algebraic description in the previous report [9]. Though the crystallography has been for the perfect crystals, the crystal lattice is a mathematical object and is surely connected with the profound mathematics [8, 26]. Oishi-Tomiyasu provided an algebraic algorithm in topograph based on the number theory [25]. Due to the recent developments of the material technology, more precise description of the related matters is necessary and it requires applications of number theory, which had not been related to problems of the real physical world, to the problems of the crystal lattices. The interface of the crystal lattices is also represented well by the quadratic field in the elementary number theory [11].

Following the previous approaches in [9], we go on to focus on the fiber structure; it is one of the essentials of the dislocations, at least, as the first step of an approximation of the discrete nature of the dislocation. By considering the statistical average for the fiber direction, we can avoid the problem of the fluctuation of the positions of atoms in the discrete picture and can argue an ideal structure of the dislocations as a topological excitation [23] in a discrete system; it is a model of the statistical mechanics. In this picture, the dislocation leaves the symmetry of the base space, or the two-dimensional lattices as the projected space as the first step of an approximation. The relation between two-dimensional space and discrete groups, especially subgroups of the SL(2, Z),
has been studied in the elementary number theory [12, 17]. Lemma 1.1 is well-known in the elementary number theory but crucial in this study, because the discrete nature of lattice (an orbit of the discrete translation group and the discrete rotation group) should be represented by the algebraic numbers. It is worth noting that the SC lattice is related to the Gauss integers \( \mathbb{Z} \sqrt{-1} \) whereas the BCC lattice is related to the Eisenstein integers \( \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_6] = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}] \) of \( \omega_p = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} / p} \) [28].

We will use, in this paper, these results in the elementary number theory and show the stress energy of screw dislocations in the SC and the BCC crystal lattices. It will turn out that the energy is described in terms of the truncated Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function approximately [27]; the stress energy of the SC-lattice is given by the truncated Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function of the Gauss integers \( \mathbb{Z} \sqrt{-1} \) in Theorem 3.4 whereas those of the BCC-lattice is given by the truncated Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function of the Eisenstein integers \( \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] \) in Theorem 4.10.

Though the pure mathematics is sometimes thought as the farthest field from the real world, it is a kind of belief. Although it is not well known, the origin of elliptic functions and elliptic curves, which have significant effects on modern mathematics including number theory and algebraic geometry, is the study of shape of architectural beams, known as problem of elastica (elastic curve) studied by Bernoulli’s and Euler [18]. Though it is known that the number theory has been applied to the elementary particle physics [30], due to the development of the technology in this century, the practical problems also need modern mathematics; abstract mathematics is necessary to express the complicated phenomena precisely, though conservative researchers might not accept the facts. It reminds that some students would consider Newton equations without the differential equation theory. For examples, as we showed in [20], the Gauss sum in the elementary number theory expresses well an optical phenomenon, the fractional Talbot effect. The singularity theory has an effect on the numerical modeling of the trijunction in the micro fluid dynamics for the design of the ink-jet printer [19]. In [21], it is shown that the zeta function of graph governs the thermal property of the conductivity of the disordered carbon fiber. The percolation theory and quasi-conformal theory for the fractal geometry determine the conductivity of nano-materials [22]. In order to describe essentials of phenomena, we should use proper mathematics even if it is abstract and not familiar in the fields of the studies.

In this paper, using the truncated Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function, and the elementary number theory [27], we show the difference of the dislocations between the SC-lattice and the BCC-lattice. Wadati, Matsumoto, Takahashi and Umezawa investigated the quantum field theoretic properties of the crystals and the dislocation [29]. The acoustic phonons are regarded as the massless Nambu-Goldston bosons of the Euclidean group SE(3) and topological excitations. The operation to fix the point of lattice in our euclidean space \( \mathbb{E}^3 \) (mathematically speaking, fixing an embedding of the lattice into \( \mathbb{E}^3 \)) should be regarded as a symmetry breaking of the translational symmetry modulo the lattice length. In this
paper, we distinguish the euclidean space (the set of the position vector) and the vector space.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 and 3 review the previous report \[9\]. In Section 2 we show the screw dislocation in the continuum picture. Section 3 reviews the results of the SC lattice case in \[9\] in terms of Gauss integers $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. In Section 4, after we also show the configuration of the screw dislocation in the BCC lattice in terms of the Eisenstein integers $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$ following \[9\], we will give its stress energy of the BCC lattice, which is our main results in this paper. In Section 5, we discuss these results.
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1.1. Notations and Conventions. The translational symmetry is very important in a physical lattice \[29\]. In this paper, we distinguish the euclidean space $\mathbb{E}$ from the real vector space $\mathbb{R}$: we regard that $\mathbb{R}$ is a vector space, whereas $\mathbb{E}$ is the space consisting of the position vectors, though both $\mathbb{E}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ are topological spaces with the ordinary euclidean topology. Similarly we distinguish the set of the complex position vector, the affine space $\mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C}$, from the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}$. We basically identify the 2-dimensional euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^2$ with $\mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathbb{C}$. The group $U(1)$ naturally acts on the set $S^1$. For a fiber bundle $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{M}$ over a base space $\mathcal{M}$, the set of continuous sections $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$.

The following are well-known facts:

**Lemma 1.1.** For a point $\tau \in \mathbb{H} := \{x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} \mid y \geq 0\}$, the stabilizer subgroup $G_\tau$ of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, \{g \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid g\tau = \tau\} becomes a cyclic group $\mathfrak{C}_n$ of the order $n$, i.e.,

1. $\tau = \sqrt{-1} = \omega_4$, $G_\tau = \mathfrak{C}_4$
2. $\tau = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2} = \omega_6$, $G_\tau = \mathfrak{C}_6$, and
3. otherwise, $G_\tau = \mathfrak{C}_2$. 
In this paper, the both $\omega_4$ and $\omega_6$ play the crucial role. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\tau] := \{\ell_1 + \ell_2 \tau \mid \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ as a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}$. The set of the Gauss integers is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ and the set of the Eisenstein integers is by $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_6] = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$ for $\omega_3 = \omega_6^2$ noting $\omega_3 + 1 = \omega_6$.

The truncated Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function of $\tau \in H$, $\zeta^A_\tau(s, z_0)$ is defined by [27]

\[
\zeta^A_\tau(s, z_0) := \sum_{\ell \in A} \frac{1}{(|\ell + z_0|^2)^{s/2}},
\]

where $z_0 := x_0 + y_0 \sqrt{-1}$ and $A$ is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$.

2. Screw Dislocations in Continuum Picture

In this section, we will review the previous report [9] and show the screw dislocations in continuumpicture.

2.1. Celebrated Exact Sequence and Sequence of Maps. We consider the celebrated exact sequence of groups (see [6])

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\exp 2\pi \sqrt{-1}} U(1) \rightarrow 1,
\]

which is essential in this paper. $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ are additive groups, $U(1)$ is a multiplicative group, $i(n) = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $(\exp 2\pi \sqrt{-1})(x) = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The parameter $d > 0$ is given as $d = a$ in Section 3 and $d = \sqrt{3}a/2$ in Section 4. For $\delta \in \mathbb{E}$, we define the shifted maps,

\[
\tilde{i}_{d, \delta} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}, \quad (x \mapsto d \cdot x + \delta),
\]

\[
i_{d, \delta} : U(1) \rightarrow S^1, \quad (\exp(\sqrt{-1}\theta) \mapsto \exp \sqrt{-1}(\theta + 2\pi \delta/d))
\]

satisfying the commutative diagram,

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\exp 2\pi \sqrt{-1}} U(1) \xrightarrow{i_{d, \delta}} S^1, \quad \varphi_\delta \circ \tilde{i}_{d, \delta} = \psi_d \circ \tilde{i}_{d, \delta}.
\]

where $\psi_d(y) = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}y/d)$, $y \in \mathbb{E}$, and $\varphi_\delta = \tilde{i}_{d, \delta} \circ i$. It means that we have the sequence of maps

\[
\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi_\delta} \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\psi_d} S^1,
\]

where

\[
\varphi_\delta(\mathbb{Z}) = \psi_d^{-1}(\exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}\delta/d)).
\]
2.2. Fiber Structures of Crystals in Continuum Picture. Let us consider the two-dimensional euclidean space \( \mathbb{E}^2 \) and some trivial bundles over \( \mathbb{E}^2 \); \( \mathbb{Z} \)-bundle \( \pi_\mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2} \to \mathbb{E}^2 \), \( \mathbb{E} \)-bundle \( \pi_\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{E}^2} \to \mathbb{E}^2 \) and \( S^1 \)-bundle \( \pi_{S^1} : S^1_{\mathbb{E}^2} \to \mathbb{E}^2 \). The sequence of maps (2.2) induces the sequence of bundle maps \( \hat{\varphi}_d \) and \( \hat{\psi}_d \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2} \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}_d} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{E}^2} \xrightarrow{\hat{\psi}_d} S^1_{\mathbb{E}^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

It is obvious that \( \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{E}^2} \) is identified with our three-dimensional euclidean space \( \mathbb{E}^3 = \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}^2 \) whereas \( \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{E}^2 \) is a covering space of \( \mathbb{E}^2 \). \( \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2} \) expresses the geometrical objects which consist of sheets.

First let us consider the image of \( \hat{\varphi}_d \). We identify \( \mathbb{E}^2 \) with the complex plane \( \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C} \); \( \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C} \) is regarded as an affine space or the space consisting of the position vectors \( z = x + \sqrt{-1} y \). For \( \gamma \in S^1 \), let us consider the global constant section \( \sigma_\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathbb{E}^2, S^1_{\mathbb{E}^2}) \) of \( S^1_{\mathbb{E}^2} \) defined by

\[
\sigma_\gamma(z) = \gamma \in S^1_{\mathbb{E}^2} = S^1 \times \mathbb{E}^2 = S^1 \times \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C},
\]

for \( z \in \mathbb{E}^2 = \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C} \). The following lemma is obvious:

**Lemma 2.1.** For \( \gamma = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} \delta / d) \), we have

\[
\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2, \gamma} = \hat{\varphi}_d(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2}),
\]

where

\[
\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2, \gamma} := \hat{\psi}_d^{-1}(\sigma_\gamma(\mathbb{E}^2)) \subset \mathbb{E}^3.
\]

Here we note that \( \hat{\varphi}_d(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2}) \) is the system consisting of sheets realized in the three-euclidean space \( \mathbb{E}^3 \).

2.3. Single Screw Dislocation in Continuum Picture. For \( z_0 \in \mathbb{E}^2 = \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C} \), let us consider the non-trivial bundles \( \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}} \) and \( S^1_{\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}} \) over \( \mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\} \). For \( \gamma \in S^1 \), let us consider the section \( \sigma_{z_0, \gamma} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}, S^1_{\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}}) \) defined by

\[
\sigma_{z_0, \gamma}(z) = \gamma z - z_0 \frac{1}{z - z_0} \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\} = \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\},
\]

and a natural universal covering of \( \mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\} \),

\[
\text{for } z \in \mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\} = \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\},
\]

\[
\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}, \gamma} := \hat{\psi}_d^{-1}(\sigma_{z_0, \gamma}(\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\})) \subset \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}} \subset \mathbb{E}^3
\]

by letting the restriction \( \pi_{z_0, \gamma} = \pi_\mathbb{E}|_{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}, \gamma}} \), i.e., \( \pi_{z_0, \gamma} : \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}, \gamma} \to \mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\} \). This covering is a screw dislocation in a continuum picture which is realized as a subset of \( \mathbb{E}^3 \).

As in [9], it is not difficult to extend this expression of the single screw dislocation to one of multi-screw dislocations.

**Remark 2.2.** For the simply connected neighborhood \( U_p \subset \mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\} \) of a point \( p \) of \( \mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\} \),

\[
\pi_\mathbb{E}^{-1} U_p \cong \mathbb{Z} \times U_p,
\]

as a covering space of \( U_p \).
Remark 2.3. $Z_{E^2\setminus\{z_0\},\gamma}$ can be obtained by the following the operation on the trivial covering $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\})$ with the embedding $\iota_E : \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$, such that $\pi_E : \iota_E(\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\})) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}$. We regard it as the set of sheets indexed by the integers $n$. The third position component of the $n$-th sheet is given by $nd + \delta$. Let us consider $L := \{(x, y_0) \mid x \geq x_0\}$, and $\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus L$ as a simply connected open set of $\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}$. First we cut $\iota_E(\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}))$ at the inverse $\pi_E^{-1}(L) \subset \mathbb{E}^3$. In other words, we consider $\pi_E^{-1}(\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus L)$ noting Remark 2.2. We deform the $n$-th sheet in $\mathbb{E}^3$ such that the third component is given by $nd + \delta + \frac{\text{arg}(z - z_0)}{2\pi}\left|z - z_0\right|$. After then, we connect the $n$-th sheet to the $(n + 1)$-th sheet at the place $\pi_E^{-1}(L)$. Then we obtain $Z_{E^2\setminus\{z_0\},\gamma}$ in (2.6). It means that this is a construction of $Z_{E^2\setminus\{z_0\},\gamma}$ as the deformation of $Z_{E^2,\gamma}$.

3. Screw Dislocation in Simple Cubic Lattice

In this section, we will show the algebraic description of the screw dislocation in the SC lattice and its stress energy in terms of the Gauss integers $\mathbb{Z}[-1] \subset \mathbb{C}$.

3.1. SC Lattice as Covering Space of $\mathbb{Z}[-1]$. For the SC lattice in the three euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$,

$$Z_{SC,\delta} := \{(\ell_1a, \ell_2a, \ell_3a) + \delta \mid \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \in \mathbb{E}^3$, and $a$ is the lattice length ($a > 0$), we find its fiber structure as in the previous section. Let $Z_{SC} := \{n_1a + n_2a\sqrt{-1} \mid n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, which can be expressed by the Gauss integers $Z[\sqrt{-1}] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-1}$,

$$Z_{SC} = \mathbb{Z}[-1]a \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

For $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \in \mathbb{E}^3$, we define the embedding

$$\iota^{SC}_{ \delta} : Z_{SC} \rightarrow Z_{SC} + \delta \subset \mathbb{E}^3 = \mathbb{E}_C,$$

where, $\delta_c = (\delta_1 + \delta_2\sqrt{-1}) \in \mathbb{E}_C$. The embedding $\iota^{SC}_{ \delta}$ induces the bundle map $\iota^{SC}_{ \delta}$.

Using $\sigma_\gamma(z)$ in Lemma 2.1 of $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\delta_3/a)$, we reconstruct $Z_{SC,\delta}$ the SC-lattice by

$$Z_{ZSC,\delta} := \psi^a_\gamma^{-1}(\sigma_\gamma(\iota^{SC}_{ \delta}(Z_{SC}))),$$

which is realized in $\mathbb{E}^3$, $Z_{SC,\delta} = Z_{ZSC,\delta} \subset \mathbb{E}^3$.

3.2. Graph related to $Z_{ZSC,\delta}$. We will consider the infinite graph $G^{SC}_{\delta}$ whose nodes are given by $Z_{ZSC,\delta} \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$.

We will consider the edges among the nodes in $G^{SC}_{\delta}$. As $G^{SC}_{\delta}$ is parameterized by $\mathbb{Z}^3$, we consider the edges

$$[(n_1, n_2, n_3), (n_1 \pm 1, n_2, n_3)], [(n_1, n_2, n_3), (n_1, n_2 ± 1, n_3)], [(n_1, n_2, n_3), (n_1, n_2, n_3 ± 1)],$$

$$[(n_1, n_2, n_3), (n_1, n_2 ± 1, n_3 ± 1)], [(n_1, n_2, n_3), (n_1 ± 1, n_2, n_3 ± 1)],$$

$$[(n_1, n_2, n_3), (n_1 ± 1, n_2 ± 1, n_3)].$$
for every point $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}^3} \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. The first and the second components correspond to the horizontal directions whereas the third one does to the vertical direction.

3.3. Dislocation in SC Lattice as Covering Space of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. A screw dislocation in the simple cubic lattice appears along the $(0,0,1)$-direction [24] up to automorphisms of the SC lattice. The Burgers vector is parallel to the $(0,0,1)$-direction.

Using the fibering structure of $\mathbb{E}^2 \setminus \{z_0\}$, we can describe a single screw dislocation in the SC lattice as in [9].

For $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \in \mathbb{E}^3$, we also let $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\delta_3/a) \in S^1$ and $\delta_C = (\delta_1 + 2\sqrt{-1}).$

Using (3.1), let us define the section $\hat{\sigma}_{z_0, \delta} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, S^1_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}})$ by

$$\hat{\sigma}_{z_0, \delta} = \iota_{\delta}^{\mathbb{I}^3} \circ \sigma_{z_0, \gamma} = \sigma_{z_0, \gamma} \circ \iota_{\delta}^\mathbb{I^3},$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0, \delta}(n) = \left(\gamma \frac{na + \delta_C - z_0}{na + \delta_C - z_0}, \frac{na}{|na + \delta_C - z_0|}\right), \quad na \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]a.$$  

Proposition 3.1. For a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{E}_C$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{E}^3$ such that the image of the embedding $\iota_{\delta}^{-1} : \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3} + \delta_C$ is a subset of $\mathbb{E}_C \setminus \{z_0\}$, $\iota_{\delta}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}) \subset \mathbb{E}_C \setminus \{z_0\}$, the screw dislocation around $z_0$ given by,

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta} := \left(\tilde{\psi}^{-1}_a(\hat{\sigma}_{z_0, \delta}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}))\right) = \left(\frac{a}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \exp^{-1}(\sigma_{z_0, \delta}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}))\right),$$

is realized in $\mathbb{E}^3$.

It is worth while noting that $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$ can be regarded as a ‘covering space’ of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}$ and thus there is a natural projection,

$$\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}}^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta} : \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}.$$  

Here each fiber is $\mathbb{Z} = \pi_{\mathbb{I}^3}^{-1}(\mathbb{I}^3)$ for every $\mathbb{I}^3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}$.

3.4. Graph of Screw Dislocation in SC Lattice. We basically consider the local structure of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$, i.e., $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta} \cap \pi_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}}^{-1} U_{\iota_{\delta}}$ for a simply connected neighborhood $U_{\iota_{\delta}}(\ell)$ of $\iota_{\delta}(\ell) \in \mathbb{E}_C \setminus \{z_0\}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. The $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}}^{-1} U_{\iota_{\delta}}(\ell)$ can be regarded as a “trivial covering” as in the sense of Remark 2.2. We can continue to consider the edges as in Subsection 3.2. The horizontal edges in [32] can be determined as a set on the same sheet as in Remark 2.2. Thus we can consider the graph $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$ as a natural extension of $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$.

3.5. Energy of Screw Dislocation in SC Lattice. Let us consider the graphs $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$ and $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$ as the subsets of $\mathbb{E}^3$. Due to the dislocation, the length of each edge in $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$ is different from $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$. Since $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$ is stable mechanically, the energy of $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$ is higher than that of $G^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$. We compute the energy following [9]. Further we basically consider the local structure of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}^3}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}, z_0, \delta}$ in this section.

In the following, we also assume that $\delta = (0,0,0)$ and $\gamma = 1$, and identify $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}^3}$ and its image of $\iota_{\delta}^{\mathbb{I}^3}$ for simplicity. Further we will denote $\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0, \delta}$ etc. by $\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0}$ etc. by suppressing $\delta$.  

For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$, we define the relative height differences $\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\ell}$, $\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\ell}$ and $\varepsilon^{(\pm)}_{\ell}$ by

\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\ell} &= \frac{a}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \log(\tilde{\sigma}_0((\ell + 1)a) - \log(\tilde{\sigma}_0(\ell a))), \\
\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\ell} &= \frac{a}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \log(\tilde{\sigma}_0(\ell a + \sqrt{-1}a)) - \log(\tilde{\sigma}_0(\ell a))), \\
\varepsilon^{(\pm)}_{\ell} &= \frac{a}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \log(\tilde{\sigma}_0((\ell + 1)a \pm \sqrt{-1}a)) - \log(\tilde{\sigma}_0(\ell a)),
\end{align*}

(3.3)

respectively. It is obvious that for this dislocation of the simple cubic lattice, $-a/2 < \varepsilon^{(i)}_{\ell} < a/2$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\pm$. It is easy to obtain

\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\ell} &= \frac{a}{4\pi \sqrt{-1}} \log(1 + a/(\ell a - \alpha)) - \log(1 + a/(\ell a - \alpha)), \\
\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\ell} &= \frac{a}{4\pi \sqrt{-1}} \log(1 + a\sqrt{-1}/(\ell a - \alpha)) - \log(1 + a\sqrt{-1}/(\ell a - \alpha)), \\
\varepsilon^{(\pm)}_{\ell} &= \frac{a}{4\pi \sqrt{-1}} \log(1 + a(1 \pm \sqrt{-1}/(\ell a - \alpha)) - \log(1 + a(1 \pm \sqrt{-1}/(\ell a - \alpha))).
\end{align*}

(3.4)

Here $\overline{z}$ is the complex conjugate of $z$. The difference of length $\Delta$ in each segment from the natural length of $G_{\delta}^{SC}$ is obtained by,

(1) for $[(\ell + 1, \ell_3)a, (\ell, \ell_3)a]$ and $[(\ell, \ell_3)a, (\ell + \sqrt{-1}, \ell_3)a],$

\[ \Delta^{(i)}_{\ell} = \sqrt{a^2 + (\varepsilon^{(i)}_{\ell})^2} - a, \quad (i = 1, 2). \]

(2) for $[(\ell, \ell_3), (\ell + 1, \ell_3 \pm 1)]$ or $[(\ell, \ell_3), (\ell + \sqrt{-1}, \ell_3 \pm 1)]$

\[ \Delta^{d(\pm)}_{\ell} = \sqrt{(a \pm \varepsilon^{(i)}_{\ell})^2 + a^2 - \sqrt{2}a}, \quad (i = 1, 2). \]

(3) for $[(\ell, \ell_3), (\ell + 1 \pm \sqrt{-1}, \ell_3)],$

\[ \Delta^{d(\pm)}_{\ell} = \sqrt{2a^2 + (\varepsilon^{(\pm)}_{\ell})^2} - \sqrt{2}a. \]

(4) for $[(\ell, \ell_3), (\ell, \ell_3 + 1)], \Delta^{(3)} = 0.$

**Lemma 3.2.** For $\ell a \in \mathcal{Z}_{SC}$ satisfying that $\frac{a}{\sqrt{|\ell a - \alpha|}} \ll 1$, $\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\ell}$, $\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\ell}$ and $\varepsilon^{(\pm)}_{\ell}$ are approximated by

\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\ell} &= -\frac{a}{2\pi} \frac{a(\ell_2 a - y_0)}{|\ell a - \alpha|^2} + o \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{|\ell a - \alpha|}} \right), \\
\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\ell} &= -\frac{a}{2\pi} \frac{a(\ell_1 a - x_0)}{|\ell a - \alpha|^2} + o \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{|\ell a - \alpha|}} \right), \\
\varepsilon^{(\pm)}_{\ell_1, \ell_2} &= -\frac{a}{2\pi} \frac{a(\pm a(\ell_1 a - x_0) + a(\ell_2 a - y_0))}{|\ell a - \alpha|^2} + o \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{|\ell a - \alpha|}} \right),
\end{align*}

(3.7)
respectively, whereas $\Delta^{(i)}_\ell$ $\Delta^{d(i,\pm)}_\ell$ and $\Delta^{d(\pm)}_\ell$ are approximated by

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(i)}_\ell &= \frac{1}{2a}(\varepsilon^{(i)}_\ell)^2 + o\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{|a - z_0|^2}}\right), \\
\Delta^{d(i,\pm)}_\ell &= \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{(i)}_\ell + o\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{|a - z_0|^2}}\right), \\
\Delta^{d(\pm)}_\ell &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}a}(\varepsilon^{(\pm)}_\ell)^2 + o\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{|a - z_0|^2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

respectively, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. They are obvious by noting $\log(1 + z) = z + o(z^2)$. \qed

Following [9], let us introduce the system,

\begin{equation}
A^{\sqrt{-1}}_\rho,N := \left\{ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}] \mid \rho a < |\ell a - z_0| < Na \right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]
\end{equation}

for $N > \rho$, which is bounded and is a finite set. The core region $C^{\sqrt{-1}}_\rho$ is given by

\begin{equation}
C^{\sqrt{-1}}_\rho := \left\{ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}] \mid |\ell a - z_0| < \rho a \right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]
\end{equation}

and $A^{\sqrt{-1}}_\rho := \lim_{N \to \infty} A^{\sqrt{-1}}_{\rho,N}$.

Let us evaluate the stress energy of the elastic energy caused by the screw dislocation. Since the screw dislocation is invariant under the translation from $\ell_3$ to $\ell_3 + 1$, we will compute the energy density for unit length in the $(0,0,1)$-direction using Remark 2.3 and call it simply the elastic energy of dislocation again.

Let $k_p$ and $k_d$ be spring constants of the horizontal springs and the diagonal springs respectively. Then, the elastic energy of dislocation in the annulus region $A^{\sqrt{-1}}_{\rho,N}$ is given by

\begin{equation}
E^{SC}_{\rho,N}(z_0) := \sum_{\ell \in A^{\sqrt{-1}}_{\rho,N}} E^{SC}_\ell,
\end{equation}

where $E^{SC}_\ell$ is the energy density defined by

\begin{equation}
E^{SC}_\ell := \frac{1}{2}k_p\left(\left(\Delta^{(1)}_\ell\right)^2 + \left(\Delta^{(2)}_\ell\right)^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}k_d\left(\left(\Delta^{d(1,\pm)}_\ell\right)^2 + \left(\Delta^{d(2,\pm)}_\ell\right)^2 + \left(\Delta^{d(1,\pm)}_\ell\right)^2 + \left(\Delta^{d(2,\pm)}_\ell\right)^2\right).
\end{equation}

We recall Proposition 9 in [9] in terms of our convention.
Proposition 3.3. (1) For $\ell \in A^\sqrt{-1}$, the energy density $E^\text{SC}_\ell$ is expressed by a real analytic function $E^\text{SC}(w, \bar{w})$ of $w$ and $\bar{w} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|w| < 1/\sqrt{2}$ in such a way that

$$E^\text{SC}_\ell = E^\text{SC} \left( \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0}, \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0} \right).$$

(2) For the power series expansion

$$E^\text{SC}(w, \bar{w}) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} E^\text{SC}_s(w, \bar{w}), \quad E^\text{SC}_s(w, \bar{w}) := \sum_{i+j,s, i,j \geq 0} C_{i,j} w^i \bar{w}^j,$$

with $C_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$, the following holds

(a) $E^\text{SC}_0(w, \bar{w}) = E^\text{SC}_1(w, \bar{w}) = 0$,

(b) The leading term is given by

$$E^\text{SC}_2(w, \bar{w}) = \frac{a^2}{8\pi^2} k_d w \bar{w}, \quad E^\text{SC}_2 \left( \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0}, \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0} \right) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} k_d \left[ \frac{a^4}{|\ell a - z_0|^2} \right],$$

(c) $C_{i,j} = \bar{C}_{j,i}$, and

(d) for every $s \geq 2$, there is a constant $M_s > 0$ such that

$$|E^\text{SC}_s(w, \bar{w})| \leq M_s |w|^s.$$

As the summation in (3.10) is finite, we have

$$E^\text{SC}_{\rho,N}(x_0, y_0) = \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell \in A^\sqrt{-1}_{\rho,N}} E^\text{SC}_s \left( \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0}, \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0} \right).$$

Following [9], the “principal part” of the elastic energy of SC-lattice is given as the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.4.** The principal part of the elastic energy $E_{\rho,N}(x_0, y_0)$, defined by

$$E^\text{SC}_{\rho,N}(x_0, y_0) := \sum_{\ell \in A^\sqrt{-1}_{\rho,N}} E^\text{SC}_2 \left( \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0}, \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0} \right) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} k_d \sum_{\ell \in A^\sqrt{-1}_{\rho,N}} \left[ \frac{a^4}{|\ell a - z_0|^2} \right],$$

is given by

$$E^\text{SC}_{\rho,N}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} k_d a^2 \zeta^\sqrt{-1}_{A^\sqrt{-1}_{\rho,N}}(2, -z_0/a).$$

By Proposition 3.3 (2) (d), we can estimate each of the other terms appearing in the power series expansion (3.12) by the truncated Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function as follows.

**Proposition 3.5.** For each $s \geq 3$, there exists a positive constant $M'_s$ such that

$$\sum_{\ell \in A^\sqrt{-1}_{\rho,N}} E^\text{SC}_s \left( \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0}, \frac{a}{\ell a - z_0} \right) \leq M'_s \zeta^\sqrt{-1}_{A^\sqrt{-1}_{\rho,N}}(s, -z_0/a).$$
4. Screw Dislocation in BCC Lattice and its Energy

In this section, we consider the screw dislocation in BCC lattice. We summarize the algebraic descriptions of the BCC-lattice and its screw dislocation in \[9\]. In this paper, we employ the novel description of the screw dislocation in terms of the elementary number theory. We show that the screw dislocation of BCC-lattice is expressed well in terms of the Eisenstein integers. Using this description, we compute its stress or the elastic energy as the extension of that of the SC-lattice.

4.1. Preliminary: Eisenstein Integers. We show the basic properties of the Eisenstein integers \([\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]]\) \[28\]. For the primitive sixth root of unit, \(\omega_6\), we have the following relations:

**Lemma 4.1.**

\[
1 + \omega_6^2 + \omega_6^4 = 0, \quad -\omega_6 = \omega_6^4, \quad \overline{\omega_6} = \omega_6^5.
\]

We introduce \(\nu_i\) and \(\mu_i\) by

\[
\nu_i := \frac{1}{3}(\omega_6^i + \omega_6^{i+1}), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 5, \quad \mu_0 := 0, \quad \mu_1 := \nu_0, \quad \mu_2 := \nu_1.
\]

**Lemma 4.2.**

1. \(\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_3 = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_6].\)
2. \(\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] + \nu_0 \ni \nu_2, \nu_4.\)
3. \(\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] + \nu_1 \ni \nu_3, \nu_5.\)
4. For \(z \in \mathbb{E}_C,\)

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{2} \left( \frac{\nu_{2i}}{z} - \frac{\overline{\nu}_{2i}}{\overline{z}} \right)^2 = -2 \frac{1}{|z|^2}.
\]

(5) For \(z \in \mathbb{E}_C,\)

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{2} \left( \frac{\nu_{2i+1}}{z} - \frac{\overline{\nu}_{2i+1}}{\overline{z}} \right)^2 = -2 \frac{1}{|z|^2}.
\]

**Proof.** The relations (1)-(3) are geometrically obvious but it can, also, be proved by the cyclotomic properties in Lemma 4.1. In (4), the left hand side is equal to

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{(\nu_{2i}z - \overline{\nu_{2i}}\overline{z})^2}{(|z|^2)^2} = \frac{1}{(|z|^2)^2} \left( z^2 \sum_{i=0}^{2} \nu_{2i}^2 - 2|z|^2 \sum_{i=0}^{2} |\nu_{2i}|^2 + z^2 \sum_{i=0}^{2} \nu_{2i}^2 \right).
\]

From Lemma 4.1, we have

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{2} \nu_{2i}^2 = 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2} \nu_{2i}^2 = 0
\]

and thus the left hand side gives the right hand side. (5) is similarly obtained. \(\square\)
4.2. Algebraic Structure of BCC Lattice. We recall the algebraic descriptions of the BCC-lattice [9]. We assume that \( a_1 = (a, 0, 0), a_2 = (0, a, 0), a_3 = (0, 0, a) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) for a positive real number \( a \) as shown in Figure 1. The generator \( b \) corresponds to the center point of the cube generated by \( a_1, a_2 \) and \( a_3 \). The BCC lattice is the lattice in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) generated by \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \) and \( b = (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/2 \). Algebraically, it is described as an additive group (or a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module) by

\[
\mathbb{B}^a := \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, b \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} / \langle 2b - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}},
\]

where \( \langle 2b - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \) is the subgroup generated by \( 2b - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 \) (see [8, p. 116], for example).

The lattice \( \mathbb{B}^a \) is group-isomorphic to the multiplicative group

\[
\mathbb{B} := \{ \alpha_1^{\ell_1} \alpha_2^{\ell_2} \alpha_3^{\ell_3} \beta^{\ell_4} | \text{abelian, } \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4 \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_3^{-1} = 1 \}.
\]

Let us denote by \( A_4 \) the multiplicative free abelian group of rank 4 generated by \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) and \( \beta \), i.e.,

\[
A_4 := \{ \alpha_1^{\ell_1} \alpha_2^{\ell_2} \alpha_3^{\ell_3} \beta^{\ell_4} | \text{abelian, } \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4 \in \mathbb{Z} \}.
\]

Then, \( \mathbb{B} \) is also described as the quotient group

\[
\mathbb{B} = A_4 / \langle \beta^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_3^{-1} \rangle,
\]

where \( \langle \beta^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_3^{-1} \rangle \) is the (normal) subgroup generated by \( \beta^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_3^{-1} \). We shall consider the group ring \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}] \) of \( \mathbb{B} \),

\[
\mathcal{R}_6 := \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}] = \mathbb{C}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1^{-1}, \alpha_2^{-1}, \alpha_3^{-1}, \beta, \beta^{-1}] / (\beta^2 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3).
\]
Figure 2. BCC lattice and its projection along the (1, 1, 1)-direction. (a) shows the panoramic view of the unit cell of the BCC lattice which contains two triangles whose normal direction is (1, 1, 1). (b) shows its projection along the (1, 1, 1)-direction corresponding to (a).

Figure 3. BCC lattice. The black, gray and white dots correspond to the three sheets \( B^{(0)}, B^{(1)} \) and \( B^{(2)} \), which are associated with \( Z^{(0)}_{\text{BCC}}, Z^{(1)}_{\text{BCC}} \) and \( Z^{(2)}_{\text{BCC}} \) respectively.

4.3. **Algebraic Structure of BCC Lattice for (1, 1, 1)-Direction.** It is known that a screw dislocation in the BCC lattice is basically given by the (1, 1, 1)-direction since the Burgers vector is parallel to the (1, 1, 1)-direction [24].
In this subsection, we consider the algebraic structure of the BCC lattice of (111)-direction to describe its fibering structure by noting Figure 2(a) and (b). Let us consider the subgroup of $\mathbb{B}$, which corresponds to the translation in the plane vertical to (1,1,1)-direction,

$$\mathbb{B}_H := \{(\alpha_1\alpha_3^{-1})^\ell_1(\alpha_2\alpha_3^{-1})^\ell_2 \mid \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H]$-modules.

**Lemma 4.3.** There are isomorphisms as $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H]$-modules:

$$\mathcal{R}_6/(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 - 1) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H] \oplus \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H]\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H]\alpha_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H]a_1\alpha_2$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3 := \mathcal{R}_6/(\beta - 1) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H] \oplus \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H]a_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{B}_H]a_2.$$

These decomposition mean that the BCC-lattice has the triple different fiber structures of three sheets. We should note that $\mathcal{R}_6$ can be regarded as a double covering of $\mathcal{R}_3$. The interval between the sheets is now given by $\sqrt{3}a/6$, and let us denote the set of $\mathcal{R}_6$ of $\mathbb{B}^a$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ corresponding to the three sheets:

**Lemma 4.4.** As a set, $\mathbb{B}^a$ is also decomposed as

$$\mathbb{B}^a = \mathbb{B}^{(0)} \bigsqcup \mathbb{B}^{(1)} \bigsqcup \mathbb{B}^{(2)},$$

where

$$\mathbb{B}^{(0)} := \{\ell_1(a_1 - a_3) + \ell_2(a_2 - a_3) + \ell_3b \mid \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$\mathbb{B}^{(1)} := \{\ell_1(a_1 - a_3) + \ell_2(a_2 - a_3) + a_1 + \ell_3b \mid \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$\mathbb{B}^{(2)} := \{\ell_1(a_1 - a_3) + \ell_2(a_2 - a_3) + a_1 + a_2 + \ell_3b \mid \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

### 4.4. Fiber Structure of BCC Lattice and Eisenstein Integers

We can regard $\mathbb{B}^a$ as trivial covering space of $\ell_3$-direction. On the other hand, the additive group of $\mathbb{B}_H$,

$$\mathbb{B}_H := \{\ell_1(a_1 - a_3) + \ell_2(a_2 - a_3) \mid \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

can be expressed by the the Eisenstein integers.

We define

$$d_0 := \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a = |b|, \quad d_1 := \sqrt{2}a, \quad d_2 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}d_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}a, \quad d_3 := \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}a = \frac{d_0}{3},$$

and $\mathcal{Z}^{(c)}_{\text{BCC}} := (\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] + \mu_c)d_1 (a = 0, 1, 2)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(0)}_{\text{BCC}} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]d_1, \quad \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}_{\text{BCC}} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]d_1 + \nu_0d_1, \quad \mathcal{Z}^{(2)}_{\text{BCC}} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]d_1 + \nu_1d_1,$$

which correspond to $\mathbb{B}^{(0)}, \mathbb{B}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{B}^{(2)}$ respectively as in Figure $\mathbf{B}$.

For a point $\delta_C = \delta_1 + \sqrt{3}\delta_2 \in \mathbb{E}_C$, let us consider the embedding $i^{\text{BCC}}_{\delta_c} : \mathcal{Z}^{(c)}_{\text{BCC}} \to \mathcal{Z}^{(c)}_{\text{BCC}} + \delta_C \in \mathbb{E}_C \setminus \{z_0\}$ and the bundle maps $i^{\text{BCC},c}_{\delta_c}$. 
Figure 4. Spiral Structure in Graph of BCC Lattice: (a) is a panoramic view of a part of $G_{\delta}^{\text{BCC}}$ consisting of two unit cells of the BCC lattice with edges, where the gray line shows the (1, 1, 1)-direction, and the black lines means the edges of $G_{\delta}^{\text{BCC}}$. The edges drawn by the short dotted line, long dotted line and solid line corresponds to those of the projected graph $\pi G_{\delta}^{\text{BCC}}$ as in (b). The arrows of solid lines show the ascendant triangles whereas the arrows of dot lines correspond to the descendant triangles.

In the following, for $\gamma = e^{\sqrt{-1} \delta/d_0} \in S^1$ and a constant section $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathbb{E}^2, S_1^{E_2})$, we consider $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma(Z_{\text{BCC}}, S_1^{Z_{\text{BCC}}})$ as

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ i_{\text{BCC}}^{Z_{\text{BCC}}}.$$ 

where $S_1^{Z_{\text{BCC}}}$ is the trivial $S^1$ bundle over $Z_{\text{BCC}}$.

Proposition 4.5. The BCC lattice $\mathbb{B}^a$ is expressed by

$$\bigcup_{c=0}^2 i_{\text{BCC}}^{Z_{\text{BCC}}, c} \left( \tilde{\psi}_d \left( \omega_{\delta}^{-c}(\tilde{\gamma})(Z_{\text{BCC}}^c) \right) \right).$$

4.5. Spiral Structure in Graph of BCC Lattice. In the BCC-lattice, let us consider the graph $G_{\delta}^{\text{BCC}}$ whose nodes are given as the lattice points of the BCC-lattice and edges are given as the shortest connections of the nodes as shown in Figure 4 (a). We regard $G_{\delta}^{\text{BCC}}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{E}^3$. The 0-th sheet $\mathbb{B}^{(0)}(Z_{\text{BCC}}^{(0)})$ whose nodes are denoted by the black dots is connected with the first sheet $\mathbb{B}^{(1)}(Z_{\text{BCC}}^{(1)})$ which corresponds to the gray dots, via the long dots lines in Figure 4 (a). The short dot line connects $\mathbb{B}^{(2)}(Z_{\text{BCC}}^{(2)})$ and $\mathbb{B}^{(0)}(Z_{\text{BCC}}^{(0)})$ whereas the black lines connect $\mathbb{B}^{(1)}(Z_{\text{BCC}}^{(1)})$ and $\mathbb{B}^{(2)}(Z_{\text{BCC}}^{(2)})$.

The graph $G_{\delta}^{\text{BCC}}$ has a projection to a plane $\mathbb{E}_C$ as in Figure 4 (b): $\pi_G : G_{\delta}^{\text{BCC}} \to \mathbb{E}_C$. These edges give the paths which connect these covering sheets. As in Figure 4 (b), let us consider the path whose projection is a cycle $\pi_G(G_{\delta}^{\text{BCC}})$ consisting of tree edges, which
Figure 5. Spiral Paths in BCC lattice: (a) and (c) are ascendant spiral paths and (b) and (d) decedent spiral paths. (a) and (b) are normal cases whereas (c) and (d) are the behavior when in the center, the screw dislocation exists.

is called "spiral path" because the end pint $p$ and the start point $q$ exist on the different covering sheets but $q \in \pi^{-1}_G(\pi_G(p))$ if the start point is $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$ in $\mathbb{B}^{(a)}$, the end point is given as $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell'_3)$ in $\mathbb{B}^{(a)}$ for $|\ell'_3 - \ell_3| = 1$. Thus the path shows the spiral curve in $\mathbb{E}^3$.

The set of the spiral paths is classified by two types. We assign an orientation on $\mathbb{E}_C$ and the orientation of the arrowed graph $[\pi_G(G_{BCC}^\delta)]$ is naturally induced from it. For the oriental cycle in $\pi_G(G_{BCC}^\delta)$, the spiral path is ascendant or decedent with respect to $\ell_3$. We will call these triangle cells ascendant cell and descendant cell respectively. They are illustrated in Figure 5 (a) and (b) respectively.

For a center point $z_c$ of a ascendant triangle cell of $\pi_G(G_{BCC}^\delta)$, the nodes of $G_{BCC}^\delta$ are given by

\begin{equation}
\psi^{-1}_{d0} \left( \gamma \frac{z - z_c}{|z - z_c|} \right),
\end{equation}

whereas for a center point $z_c$ of a descendant triangle cell of $\pi_G(G_{BCC}^\delta)$, the nodes are expressed by

\begin{equation}
\psi^{-1}_{d0} \left( \gamma \frac{z - z_c}{|z - z_c|} \right).
\end{equation}

These pictures are well-described in the works of Ramasubramaniam, Ariza and Ortiz [1] and [4] using the homological investigations more precisely.
4.6. Algebraic Description of Screw Dislocations in BCC Lattice. As defined in Subsection 4.5, we use the embedding $\iota_{\delta C}^{BCC}: \mathcal{Z}_{BCC}^{(i)} \to \mathcal{Z}_{BCC}^{(i)} + \delta_C \in \mathbb{E}_C \setminus \{z_0\}$, where $\delta_C = \delta_1 + \sqrt{-1}\delta_2 \in \mathbb{E}_C$. For $\gamma = e^{\sqrt{-1}\delta_3/d_0} \in S^1$ and the bundle maps $\tilde{\psi}_{d_0}$, the description of the screw dislocation is obtained as follows. Let us consider the non-trivial $S^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{Z}^{BCC}$ induced from the embedding $\iota_{\delta C}^{BCC}$. Using the section of $\sigma_{z_0,\gamma} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{E}_C \setminus \{z_0\}, S^1_{\mathbb{E}_C})$ in (2.5), we define the section $\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0,\delta}$ in $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_{BCC}; S^1_{\mathbb{Z}^{BCC}})$, $\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0,\delta} = \iota_{\delta C}^{BCC*} \sigma_{\gamma,z_0} = \sigma_{z_0,\gamma} \circ \iota_{\delta C}^{BCC}$. It implies that $\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0,\delta}(\ell) = \gamma \frac{d_1\ell + \delta_C - z_0}{|d_1\ell + \delta_C - z_0|}$, for $\ell \in \mathcal{Z}_{BCC}^{(i)}$. (i = 0, 1, 2).

**Proposition 4.6.** The single screw dislocation expressed by

$$\bigcup_{c=0}^{2} \iota_{\delta C}^{BCC,c} \left( \tilde{\psi}_{d_0}^{-1} \left( (\gamma \omega_3^{-c} \tilde{\sigma}_{z_0})(\iota_{\delta C}^{BCC} \mathcal{Z}_{BCC}^{(c)}) \right) \right)$$

around $z_0 \in \mathbb{E}^2$ is a subset of $\mathbb{E}^3$.

As in the SC-lattice, we also consider the graph $G_{z_0,\delta}^{BCC}$. In the following, we also assume that $\gamma = 1$ and $\delta_C = 0$ for simplicity.

4.7. Note on the Core Region of Screw Dislocations in BCC Lattice. Noting the equations (1.1) and (1.2), we consider the core region of the screw dislocation. The core region is the cells neighborhood of $z_0$.

If $z_0$ is the center of the ascendant triangle, for a vertex $z$ of the triangle, the set of the fiber direction is

$$D(z) = \psi_{d_0}^{-1} \left( \frac{(z - z_c)^2}{|z - z_c|^2} \right) = \psi_{d_0}^{-1} \left( \frac{z - z_c}{|z - z_c|} \right).$$

On the other hand, if $z_0$ is the center of the decedent triangle, the set of the fiber direction is

$$D(z) = \psi_{d_0}^{-1} (\gamma)$$

for each vertex $z$ of the triangle. They are illustrated in Figure 5 (c) and (d) respectively. In the former case, there might exist different connections illustrated by the dotted lines. Thus the screw dislocation in the BCC-lattice shows the quite different aspect from the case of SC-lattice.

The operation in Remark 2.3 can be applied to this system so that we have Figure 5 (c) and (d). By the operation, the connected spiral paths are deformed the disjointed paths. The disjoint subgraphs characterize the direction of the screw dislocations.
4.8. **Energy of Screw Dislocation in BCC Lattice.** In this section, we will estimate the elastic energy of the screw dislocation in the BCC-lattice. We basically investigate the energy in parallel with the computations in the SC-lattice.

For simply convention, we will denote $\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0}$ etc. simply by $\tilde{\sigma}$ etc. by suppressing $\gamma$.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega] + \mu_c$ ($c = 0, 1, 2$), we define the relative height differences $\varepsilon_{\ell}^{(c,j)}$ ($j = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$),

$$
\varepsilon_{\ell}^{(c,j)} = \frac{d_0}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \left( \log(\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0}(d_1(\ell + \nu_j)a) - \log(\tilde{\sigma}_{z_0}(\ell d_1))) \right) \\
= \frac{d_0}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{d_1\nu_j}{\ell d_1 - z_0} \right) - \log \left( 1 + \frac{d_1\nu_j}{\ell d_1 - z_0} \right) \right).
$$

(4.3)

Here we require that $-d_3/2 < \varepsilon_{\ell}^{(c,j)} < d_3/2$. Let us introduce a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ and using it, we define the core region $C_{\varepsilon,I}^{BCC(c)}$ of type I,

$$
C_{\varepsilon,I}^{BCC(c)} := \{ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega] + \mu_c | \exists j = 0, 1, \ldots, 5 \text{ such that } |\varepsilon_{\ell}^{(c,j)}| > \varepsilon \}
$$

For an element $v$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{BCC}^{(c)}$, the difference of length in each segment between $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{BCC}^{(c)}$ and its nearest neighbor lattice points is given by

$$
\Delta_{\ell}^{(c,j)} = \sqrt{\left( d_3 + (-1)^j\varepsilon_{\ell}^{(c,j)} \right)^2 + d_2^2} - \sqrt{d_3^2 + d_2^2},
$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$. Here we note that $\sqrt{d_3^2 + d_2^2} = \sqrt{3}a/2$.

We have the following.

**Lemma 4.7.** If $\frac{d_1}{\sqrt{\ell d_1 - z_0}^2}$ for an $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega] + \mu_c$ ($c = 0, 1, 2$) is sufficiently small, $\varepsilon_{\ell}^{(c,j)}$’s are approximated by

$$
\varepsilon_{\ell}^{(c,j)} = \frac{d_0d_1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \left( \frac{\nu_j}{\ell d_1 - z_0} - \frac{\nu_j}{(\ell d_1 - z_0)} \right) + o \left( \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{\ell d_1 - z_0}^2} \right),
$$

(4.4)

respectively, whereas $\Delta_{\ell}^{(c,j)}$ are approximated by

$$
\Delta_{\ell}^{(c,j)} = \frac{(-1)^jd_3\varepsilon_{\ell}^{(c,j)}}{\sqrt{3}a/2} + o \left( \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{\ell d_1 - z_0}^2} \right).
$$

(4.5)

**Proof.** It is obvious by noting $\log(1 + z) = z + o(z^2)$ and $\sqrt{d_3^2 + d_2^2} = \sqrt{3}a/2$. \qed

Due to the properties of the Eisenstein integers, we have the simple expression:
Lemma 4.8. If \( \frac{d_1}{\sqrt{|\ell d_1 - z_0|^2}} \) for an \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] + \mu_c \) \((c = 0, 1, 2)\) is sufficiently small,

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{5} (\Delta^{(c,j)}_{\ell})^2 = \frac{1}{112\pi^2} \frac{d_1^4}{|\ell d_1 - z_0|^2} + o\left(\frac{d_1}{\sqrt{|\ell d_1 - z_0|^2}}\right).
\]

Proof. The left hand side is equal to

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{5} \left(\frac{\nu_j}{\ell d_1 - z_0} - \frac{\nu_j}{(\ell d_1 - z_0)}\right)^2 + o\left(\frac{d_1}{\sqrt{|\ell d_1 - z_0|^2}}\right),
\]

and thus using Lemma 4.2 it becomes

\[
= 4 \frac{d_1^4}{112\pi^2} \frac{1}{|\ell d_1 - z_0|^2} + o\left(\frac{d_1}{\sqrt{|\ell d_1 - z_0|^2}}\right).
\]

Let us define another core region \( C_{BCC}^{(j)} \) of type II,

\[
C_{\rho,II}^{(c)} := \{ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] + \mu_c \mid |\ell d_1 - z_0| < \rho d_1 \}.
\]

For a node \( v \) in \( \pi_G(G_{20}^{BCC}) \), we denote the set of the adjacent nodes of \( v \) by \( \text{Ad}(v) \) and we define

\[
\text{dist}_{z_0}(\{v_i\}) = \max_i |v_i - z_0|.
\]

In order to avoid to count doubly, we should concentrate one of \( Z_{BCC}^{(c)} \)'s and choose \( Z_{BCC}^{(0)} \) in this paper, Let

\[
C_{\varepsilon,II}^{BCC(0)} := \{ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] \mid \ell \in C_{\varepsilon,I}^{BCC(0)} \cup C_{\rho,II}^{BCC(0)} \text{ or } \text{Ad}(\ell d_1) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{2} C_{\varepsilon,I}^{BCC(0)} \}
\]

and

\[
A_{\varepsilon,\rho}^{(c)} := Z[\omega_3] \setminus C_{\varepsilon,II}^{BCC(0)}, \quad A_{\varepsilon,\rho,N}^{(c)} := \{ \ell \in A_{\varepsilon,\rho}^{(c)} \mid \text{dist}_{z_0}(\text{Ad}(\ell d_1)) < N d_1 \}.
\]

For the case that \( \rho \) is sufficiently large for given \( \varepsilon \) so that \( C_{\varepsilon,II}^{BCC(0)} \subset C_{\rho,II}^{BCC(0)} \), we also define

\[
A_{\rho}^{(c)} := A_{\varepsilon,\rho}^{(c)}, \quad A_{\rho,N}^{(c)} := A_{\varepsilon,\rho,N}^{(c)}.
\]

We compute the elastic energy caused by the screw dislocation of BCC-lattice as in the SC-lattice case. We compute the energy density for unit length in the \((1, 1, 1)\)-direction, and call it simply the elastic energy of dislocation again.
Let \( k_d \) be the spring constant of the edges. The elastic energy of dislocation in the annulus region \( A_{\varepsilon, \rho, N}^{\omega_3} \) is given by
\[
E_{\varepsilon, \rho, N}(x_0, y_0) := \sum_{\ell \in A_{\varepsilon, \rho, N}^{\omega_3}} \mathcal{E}_\ell^{BCC},
\]
where \( \mathcal{E}_\ell^{BCC} \) for every \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] \) is the energy density defined by
\[
\mathcal{E}_\ell^{BCC} := \frac{1}{2} k_d \sum_{j=0}^{5} \left( \Delta_j^{(j)} \right)^2.
\]

As in the SC-lattice, we have the stress energy of the dislocation of the BCC-lattice case as follows.

**Proposition 4.9.**

(1) For \( \ell \in A_{\varepsilon, \rho, N}^{\omega_3} \), the energy density \( \mathcal{E}_\ell \) is expressed by a real analytic function \( \mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(s)}(w, \overline{w}) \) of \( w \) and \( \overline{w} \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( |w| < 1/\sqrt{2} \) in such a way that
\[
\mathcal{E}_\ell^{BCC} = \mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(1)} \left( \frac{a}{\ell d_1 - z_0}, \frac{a}{\ell d_1 - z_0} \right).
\]

(2) Let us consider the power series expansion
\[
\mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(s)}(w, \overline{w}) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(s)}(w, \overline{w}), \quad \mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(s)}(w, \overline{w}) := \sum_{i+j=s, i, j \geq 0} C_{i,j} w^i \overline{w}^j,
\]
for some \( C_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C} \). Then, we have the following:
(a) \( \mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(0)}(w, \overline{w}) = \mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(1)}(w, \overline{w}) = 0 \),
(b) The leading term is given by
\[
\mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(2)}(w, \overline{w}) = \frac{d_1^4}{112\pi^2} k_d w \overline{w}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(2)} \left( \frac{d_1}{\ell d_1 - z_0}, \frac{d_1}{\ell d_1 - z_0} \right) = \frac{1}{112\pi^2} k_d \left[ \frac{d_1^4}{|\ell d_1 - z_0|^2} \right],
\]
(c) \( C_{i,j} = \overline{C}_{j,i} \), and
(d) for every \( s \geq 2 \), there is a constant \( M_s > 0 \) such that
\[
|\mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(s)}(w, \overline{w})| \leq M_s |w|^s.
\]

**Proof.** It is obvious as in Proposition 9 in [9] using Lemma 4.8. \( \square \)

As the summation in (4.7) is finite, we have
\[
E_{\varepsilon, \rho, N}(x_0, y_0) = \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell \in A_{\varepsilon, \rho, N}^{\omega_3}} \mathcal{E}_{BCC}^{(s)} \left( \frac{a}{\ell d_1 - z_0}, \frac{a}{\ell d_1 - z_0} \right).
\]

In particular, we have the following theorem for the “principal part” of the elastic energy.
Theorem 4.10. For the case that \( \rho \) is sufficiently large for given \( \varepsilon \) so that \( C^{\text{BCC}(0)}_{\varepsilon, \rho, \text{III}} \subset C^{\text{BCC}(0)}_{\rho, \text{III}} \). Let \( A^{\omega_3}_{\rho, N} := A^{\omega_3}_{\varepsilon, \rho, N} \). The principal part of the elastic energy \( E^{\text{BCC}}_{\rho, N}(x_0, y_0) \), defined by

\[
E^{\text{BCC}}_{\rho, N}(x_0, y_0) := \sum_{\ell \in A^{\omega_3}_{\rho, N}} \varepsilon^{(2)}_{\text{BCC}} \left( \frac{a}{\ell d_1 - z_0}, \frac{d_1}{\ell a - z_0} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{112\pi^2} k_d \sum_{\ell \in A^{\omega_3}_{\rho, N}} \left[ \frac{d_1^4}{|\ell d_1 - z_0|^2} \right],
\]

is given by

(4.11) \[
E^{\text{BCC}}_{\rho, N}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{112\pi^2} k_d d_1^2 \zeta^{\omega_3}_{\rho, N}(2, -z_0/d_1).
\]

Proposition 4.11. For each \( s \geq 3 \), there exists a positive constant \( M'_s \) such that

(4.12) \[
\sum_{\ell \in A^{\omega_3}_{\rho, N}} \varepsilon^{(s)}_{\text{BCC}} \left( \frac{d_1}{\ell d_1 - z_0}, \frac{d_1}{\ell d_1 - z_0} \right) \leq M'_s \zeta^{\omega_3}_{A^{\omega_3}_{\rho, N}}(s, -z_0/d_1).
\]

5. Discussion

In this paper, we reconsider the number theoretic descriptions of the dislocations of the SC-lattice and the BCC-lattice in terms of the Gauss integers \( \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}] \) and the Eisenstein integers \( \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] \).

Using the properties of the Eisenstein integers, e.g., Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we computed the stress energy of the dislocation for the finite region except the core region. The dislocation of the BCC lattice is very complicated but can be expressed by algebraic expression. Even the core region of the dislocation can be expressed following the continuum picture of this dislocation as in Subsection 4.7.

We could estimate the dislocation of mesoscopic scale because the stress energy \( E_{\text{total}} \) is given by

\[
E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{core}} + E_{\text{meso}}.
\]

The effect in the core region should be investigated by the first principle computations but the mesoscopic energy could not be obtained. Even though we need more precise investigation for the estimation because there are some parameters, we have the formula to evaluate the mesoscopic energy. It is noted that the core energy is determined by the local data whereas the mesoscopic energy is determined by the mesoscopic data.

The energy of the mesoscopic scale essentially diverges and thus it is important to determine the cut-off parameter \( N \). Let \( \zeta^{\rho, N}(s, z_0) := \zeta^{A^{\omega_3}_{\rho, N}}(s, z_0) \). As we show the behavior of the...
Figure 6. The graph of $\zeta_{\rho,N}(2,0)$ v.s. $\log N$ for $\rho = 5.1$.

$\zeta_{\rho,N}(2,0)$ for $\tau = \sqrt{-1}$ or $\omega_3$ in Figure 6, which are approximated well by the logarithmic function because they are linear in the log-linear plot. It means that the continuum theory, in which the dislocation energy $E_{\text{total}}(z)$ is written by the logarithmic function with respect to the radius from the dislocation line, describes well the dislocations, $E_{\text{total}}(z) \propto \log |z - z_0|$.

Further we show the density of the $\zeta_{\rho,N}(2, x + y\tau')$ as in Figure 7 by numerical computations; the region of $z_0/d$ is divided by $20 \times 20$ blocks. These aspects in the regions are different though the differences are not large due to the divergent properties like the logarithmic function.

As we computed the double dislocations case in SC lattice in the previous work [9 Appendix], they are described well by the Green function in the statistical field theory like vortexes as in [11][13]. In the computations of the Green function, there appear the quadratic form $k\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ modulo $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Q}(\tau)\pi/d$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}(\tau)d$ for $d = a$ or $d = d_1$. These computations are very crucial in the quadratic number theory [28]. If the distance between the dislocation is larger enough, the behavior of the dislocations are determined by the continuum theory. However otherwise, it implies that the prime numbers in the Gauss integer or the Eisenstein integer (Gauss primes or Eisenstein primes) might have effects on the configurations of the dislocations if the meso-scopic energy plays crucial in
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Figure 7. The graph of \( \zeta_{\rho,N}(2,x+y\sqrt{-1}) \) for. \((\rho,N) = (7.2,75): (a) \zeta_{\rho,N}^{\sqrt{-1}}(2,x+y\sqrt{-1}) \) with gray scale: black = 14.664, white = 14.779 and (b) \( \zeta_{\rho,N}^{\omega_3}(2,x+y\omega_6) \) with gray scale: black =16.061, white = 16.907

the total energy. In fact, the interface of crystals is described well by the quadratic form in the number theory \([11]\).

In order to evaluate such criterion, we should investigate this system from number theoretic view points more precisely. This paper provides the novel tools to estimate such effects.
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