

Multi-level quantum Rabi model for anharmonic vibrational polaritons

Federico Hernández¹ and Felipe Herrera^{1,2,*}

¹*Department of Physics, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Av. Ecuador 3493, Santiago, Chile.*

²*Millennium Institute for Research in Optics MIRO, Chile.*

(Dated: April 8, 2024)

We propose a cavity QED approach to describe light-matter interaction between an individual anharmonic molecular vibration and an infrared cavity field. Starting from a generic Morse oscillator with quantized nuclear motion, we derive a multi-level quantum Rabi model to study vibrational polaritons beyond the rotating-wave approximation. We analyze the spectrum of vibrational polaritons in detail and compare with available experiments. For high excitation energies, the spectrum exhibits a dense manifold of true and avoided level crossings as the light-matter coupling strength and cavity frequency are tuned. These crossings are governed by a pseudo parity selection rule imposed by the cavity field. We also analyze polariton eigenstates in nuclear coordinate space. We show that the bond length of a vibrational polariton at a given energy is never greater than the bond length of a bare Morse oscillator with the same energy. This type of bond hardening of vibrational polaritons occurs at the expense of the creation of virtual infrared cavity photons, and may have implications in chemical reactivity.

Cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) has been intensely studied for the development of quantum technology over the last decade [1, 2]. Precision experiments under carefully controlled conditions have been implemented to reach the regime where quantum optical effects become relevant for applications [3–5]. Chemical systems and molecular materials at ambient conditions for long have been considered to be unnecessarily complex and uncontrollable to enable useful quantum optical effects. In recent years, the demonstration of reversible modifications of chemical properties in molecular materials via strong coupling to confined light has stimulated the study of cavity QED as an emerging research direction in chemical physics [6]. Light-matter interaction in the strong coupling (SC) and ultrastrong coupling (USC) regimes opens the possibility of creating novel hybrid photon-molecule states whose unique properties may enable novel applications in chemistry and material science.

In the infrared regime, the coupling of an intramolecular vibration to the quantized electromagnetic vacuum of a Fabry-Pérot cavity can lead to the formation of vibrational polaritons [7–21]. These hybrid light-matter states exhibit fundamentally novel properties in comparison with free-space vibrations. For instance, vibrational polaritons may enable the selective control of chemical reactions [21–23], a long-standing goal in physical chemistry [24]. Strong light-matter coupling provides a reversible way of modifying reactive processes without changing the chemical composition of materials, and also modify the radiative and non-radiative dynamics of molecular vibrations [25–31]. Several recent studies on vibrational strong coupling (VSC) within the ground electronic state have shown that chemical reactions can proceed through novel pathways in comparison with free space. Under VSC, reactions may be inhibited or catalyzed, or the product

branching ratios tilted [7, 16, 22, 23]. For instance, by strongly coupling the carbon-silyl (Si-C) bond stretching vibration of 1-phenyl-2-trimethylsilylacetylene to the electromagnetic vacuum of a resonant infrared microfluidic cavity, the rate of Si-C bond breakage has been shown to decrease by a moderate factor of order unity [16]. In another recent study [22], the catalytic effect of VSC on the hydrolysis of cyanate ions and ammonia borane, under coupling of a Fabry-Pérot cavity with the broad OH infrared absorption band of water was demonstrated. The measured increase on the reaction rate constant by two orders of magnitude relative to free space for cyanate and by four orders of magnitude for ammonia borane, is one of the first reports of cavity-enhanced reactivity, a possibility earlier predicted for electron transfer reactions in microcavities [32]. In another study, very precise chemical control was carried out on a compound having two available silyl bond cleavage sites, SiC and SiO. For this system, simultaneous VSC with three spectrally distinct vibrational modes was shown to modify the reactivity landscape such that the branching ratio of SiC and SiO cleavage was altered, by simply tuning the cavity resonance conditions [23].

The diverse experimental evidence on VSC represents a challenge for theoretical modeling, mainly due to the inherent complexity of potential energy landscapes of reactive species, as well as collective effects that are relevant in molecular ensembles. Despite recent theoretical progress [14, 28, 31, 33–40], it remains unclear whether there is a universal mechanism for the modification of ground state chemical reactivity under VSC, or the problem is system-specific. Common explanations for experimental observations are based on a traditional chemical concepts such as changes in the potential energy surface, modifications of an activation energy, or changes in the relative energy of reactants and products. However, as we discuss throughout, under VSC it is difficult to justify the conventional physical meaning assigned to these traditional concepts.

*Electronic address: felipe.herrera.u@usach.cl

In this work, we introduce a cavity QED approach to study anharmonic vibrational polaritons in the single-molecule limit. The method describes the molecular sub-system as an individual anharmonic polar bond with quantized nuclear motion. The vibration interacts with a vacuum field via electric dipole coupling. Discrete-variable representation (DVR) is used to describe the cavity-free anharmonic vibration, and the electric dipole interaction is treated with a cavity QED approach that includes counter-rotating terms. This total system Hamiltonian corresponds to a multi-level quantum Rabi (MLQR) model. By construction, vibrational polariton states can be analyzed in Hilbert space and also in coordinate space. The method can be scaled to the many-molecule regime.

The rest of the article is organized as follows: In Section I, we review the properties of Morse oscillators. The construction of the multi-level quantum Rabi model is discussed in Section II. In Section III, we describe the spectrum of vibrational polaritons arising from the model. In Section IV, we analyze the representation of vibrational polaritons in nuclear coordinate space. In Section V, we analyze the level crossings that occur in the excited polariton manifold. Section VI discusses the effect of the dipole self-energy term in the multipolar Hamiltonian. We conclude and discuss future developments in Section VII.

I. MORSE OSCILLATOR

We model the nuclear motion of an anharmonic polar vibration (e.g. carbonyl) with a Morse potential [41]

$$V(q) = D_e (1 - \exp[-a(q - q_e)])^2, \quad (1)$$

where D_e is the classical dissociation energy (without zero point motion), q_e is the equilibrium bond length, and a is a parameter. The vibrational Schrödinger equation with a Morse potential can be solved analytically in terms of associated Laguerre polynomials [41, 42], or numerically using grid-based methods [43]. We use DVR on a uniform grid with Fourier basis functions [44] to obtain the vibrational wavefunctions and eigenvalues of the Morse potential. For the dimensionless parameters $D_e = 12.0$, $q_e = 4.0$, and $a = 0.2041$, the corresponding potential is shown in Fig. 1a. For the dimensionless mass $\mu = 1$, this potential has 24 bound states and is used throughout. In the Supplemental Material, we show that our conclusions do not vary qualitatively for other Morse potential parameters.

As we explain below, the degree of anharmonicity in the potential has a profound effect in the behaviour of vibrational polaritons. For the Morse potential, the anharmonicity can be easily tuned by changing the parameters a and μ , for fixed binding energy D_e . The relation between these parameters and the degree of anharmonicity can be understood from the exact eigenvalues of the




FIG. 1: (a) Morse potential $V(q)$, in units of the classical dissociation energy D_e . We use $D_e = 12$, $q_e = 4$, $a = 0.204$ (dimensionless) to generate 24 bound states. (b) Anharmonicity of the energy spacing between adjacent Morse eigenstates $\Delta E \equiv \omega_{\nu, \nu-1} - \omega_{10}$. We use $D_e = 12.0$, $q_e = 4.0$ for all points, with $\mu = 3$ and $a = 0.204$ (circles), $\mu = 1$ and $a = 0.175$ (diamonds), and $\mu = 1$ and $a = 0.233$ (squares). The dashed line is the harmonic oscillator limit ($\Delta E = 0$).

Morse Hamiltonian [41]

$$E_\nu = -D_e + a\hbar\sqrt{\frac{2D_e}{\mu}}(\nu + 1/2) - \frac{a^2\hbar^2}{2D_e\mu}(\nu + 1/2)^2 \quad (2)$$

where ν is the vibrational quantum number. By comparing this expression with the Dunham expansion [45]

$$E_\nu = Y_{00} + \omega_0(\nu + 1/2) - \omega_0\chi_e(\nu + 1/2)^2 + \dots \quad (3)$$

where ω_0 is the vibrational frequency, the anharmonic coefficient χ_e can be written as

$$\chi_e = \frac{\pi\hbar^2 a}{(2\mu)^{1/2} D_e^{3/2}}. \quad (4)$$

Vibrations with lower μ and higher a therefore have stronger spectral anharmonicity, for fixed dissociation energy. We illustrate this dependence in Fig. 1b, where the change in the vibrational energy level spacing relative to the fundamental frequency ω_{10} for $\nu = 1 \leftarrow \nu = 0$ is shown for different values of μ and a . The level spacing between adjacent vibrational states can be significantly smaller than the harmonic oscillator value ω_{10} , even for relatively low values of ν .

The electronic wavefunction determines the contribution to the molecular dipole moment of the electron charge distribution, which in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation is a parametric function $d(\{\mathbf{q}\})$ of all nuclear coordinates $\{\mathbf{q}\}$. In general, the dipole function $d(\{\mathbf{q}\})$ can be obtained using *ab-initio* quantum chemistry for simple molecular species. Since we are interested in understanding universal features of anharmonic vibrational polaritons, we adopt a model functional form $d(q)$ that captures the correct physical behaviour of a one-dimensional polar bond. The function must be: (i) continuous over the entire range of q ; (ii) have a maximum at some value of q , not necessarily the equilibrium distance; (iii) asymptotically vanish as the neutral bond dissociates into neutral species. These requirements are satisfied by a Rayleigh distribution function of the form

$$d(q) = (q + c_0) \exp[-q^2/2\sigma^2], \quad (5)$$




FIG. 2: (a) Rayleigh distribution model for the electric dipole function of a polar bond $d(q)$ normalized to its maximum value. (b) Permanent dipole moment matrix elements $\langle \nu | d_e(q) | \nu \rangle$ as a function of the vibrational quantum number ν . (c) Dipole matrix elements $\langle \nu | d_e(q) | \nu' \rangle$ as a function of ν , for $\nu' = 0$ (circles) and $\nu' = 5$ (squares). Dipole matrix elements are normalized to the maximum of $d(q)$. The Morse parameters used are $D_e = 12.0$, $q_e = 4.0$, $a = 0.204$ and $\mu = 1$.

which we show in Fig. 2a for $c_0 = 0$ and $\sigma/q_e = 1.25$. These parameters are used throughout. σ is the coordinate at which the electronic dipole moment is greater. The parameter c_0 is the dipole moment for $q/q_e \ll 1$. In the Supplemental Material, we show that our results and conclusions do not qualitatively vary for different choices of σ and c_0 .

In order to describe light-matter coupling properly, we not only need a reasonable description of the electric dipole moment near the equilibrium distance q_e , but also in the long range up to the dissociation threshold. This is because strong light-matter coupling in a cavity can strongly admix several vibrational eigenstates with high ν . Furthermore, we are interested in studying how highly excited polaritons behave near the energy dissociation threshold of the free-space molecular sub-system. Therefore, dipole matrix elements between all bound and unbound states of the Morse potential must be accurately estimated. In Figs. 2b and 2c we show the scaling with ν of the diagonal and off-diagonal vibrational dipole matrix elements. The permanent dipole moments $\langle \nu | \hat{d}(q) | \nu \rangle$ decrease with ν (panel 2b), as expected from the behaviour of $d(q)$ on a neutral molecular system. This trend also holds for Morse oscillators with different a and μ parameters. The higher the oscillator's mass, the lower the rate of decrease. Fig. 2c shows that for a fixed vibrational eigenstate $|\nu'\rangle$, the transition dipole moments with neighbouring states $|\nu\rangle$ ($\nu \neq \nu'$) are not negligible, and must be taken into account in the light-matter coupling.

In linear infrared absorption of high-frequency modes (e.g., $\omega_{10} \approx 200$ meV for carbonyl), only the ground vibrational level $\nu = 0$ is populated at room temperature ($kT/\hbar\omega_{10} \ll 1$). The oscillator strength of the fundamental absorption peak ($\nu = 0 \rightarrow 1$) and its overtones ($|\Delta\nu| \geq 2$) are thus proportional to $|\langle \nu | \hat{d}(q) | 0 \rangle|^2$ with $\nu \geq 1$. Figure 2c (circles) captures the typical IR absorption pattern of decreasing overtone strength for higher $\Delta\nu$ [46]. This qualitatively correct behaviour validates the dipole model function $d(q)$ in Eq. (5).

Using strong infrared laser pulses, it is possible to prepare vibrational modes with high quantum numbers

$\nu \gg 1$, even when $kT/\hbar\omega_{10} \ll 1$. This off-resonant driving is known as vibrational ladder climbing, and has been used in nonlinear spectroscopic measurements [47]. Vibrational ladder climbing is determined by the matrix elements $\langle \nu | \hat{d} | \nu' \rangle$ with $\nu' \neq \nu \geq 1$, corresponding to dipole transitions between overtones. Fig. 2c (squares) shows that these high- ν matrix elements can be as strong as the first overtones of the fundamental transition (circles), over a range of neighbouring levels with $|\nu - \nu'| \leq 4$, for our choice of $d(q)$. We show below that ignoring dipole couplings between high- ν overtones fails to describe the rich and complex physics of the excited polariton manifold up to the dissociation threshold. Excited polariton levels can be expected to be relevant in the description of nonlinear cavity transmission signals, chemical reactions, and heat transport.

II. MULTI-LEVEL QUANTUM RABI MODEL

We derive the total Hamiltonian for the molecule-cavity system starting using the Power-Zineau-Wolley (PZW) multipolar formulation of light-matter interaction [48]. The PZW frame is equivalent to minimal-coupling by a unitary transformation that eliminates the vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$ from the Hamiltonian [48]. We divide the total Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ in the three terms of the form $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{H}_M + \hat{H}_C + \hat{H}_{LM}$. The molecular part is given by

$$\hat{H}_M = \hat{H}_{el} + \hat{H}_{vib} + \hat{H}_{rot} + \int d\mathbf{x} |\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})|^2, \quad (6)$$

where the first three terms represent the electronic, vibrational and rotational contributions, respectively. The last term corresponds to the dipole self-energy, with $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$ being the macroscopic polarization density.

The free cavity Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_C$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_C &= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \left(|\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_0} |\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{\xi} \hbar\omega_{\xi} \left(\hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\xi} + 1/2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})$ are the macroscopic displacement and magnetic fields, respectively. μ_0 is the magnetic permeability. In the second line, we imposed canonical field quantization into a set of normal modes with continuum label ξ , frequencies ω_ξ , and annihilation operators $\hat{a}_\xi$. Light-matter interaction in the PZW frame, ignoring magnetic moments, is given by [48]

$$\hat{H}_{\text{LM}} = \int d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (8)$$

We consider a non-rotating polar bond and therefore set $\hat{H}_{\text{rot}} = 0$. The solutions of the electronic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{el}}$ are assumed to be known within the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, such that they give the dipole function $d(q)$. The self-energy term in Eq. (6) will be shown to produce a state-dependent vibrational shift that does not qualitatively affect the polariton spectrum and eigenstates, and can be ignored to simplify the analysis. In Section VI we put the dipole self-energy back into the Hamiltonian and discuss its effect on the polariton spectrum. We adopt a point-dipole approximation for the polarization density, i.e. $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{d} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$, where $\mathbf{d}$ is the electric dipole vector and $\mathbf{x}_0$ is the location of the molecule.

We use a single-mode approximation for the cavity Hamiltonian in Eq. (7) by setting $\omega_\xi \equiv \omega_c$ for all ξ and defining the effective field operators $\hat{a} = \sum_\xi \hat{a}_\xi$ (up to a normalization constant). This simplification is justified in Fabry-Pérot cavities with a large free-spectral range (FSR $\sim 300 - 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [9]), and low transmission linewidths (FWHM $\sim 10 - 40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [9]). In this approximation, the intracavity displacement field operator can be approximated by $\hat{\mathbf{D}} \approx \mathcal{E}_0(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)$, where $\mathcal{E}_0$ can be considered as the amplitude of the vacuum field fluctuations, or the electric field per photon (ignoring vectorial character). $\mathcal{E}_0$ scales as $1/\sqrt{V_m}$ with the effective cavity mode volume V_m [49]. We thus write the light-matter interaction term as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{LM}} = \mathcal{E}_0 (\hat{d}_+ + \hat{d}_-) \otimes (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger), \quad (9)$$

where the up-transition operator $\hat{d}_+$ projected into the vibrational energy basis $|\nu\rangle$ is given by

$$\hat{d}_+ = \sum_{\nu, \nu' > \nu} \langle \nu' | d(q) | \nu \rangle | \nu' \rangle \langle \nu |, \quad (10)$$

with $\hat{d}_- = (\hat{d}_+)^\dagger$. By combining Eqs. (6) without self-energy, Eq. (7) in the single-mode approximation, and Eq. (9), we can arrive at the total system Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}} = & \omega_c \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \sum_\nu \omega_\nu | \nu \rangle \langle \nu | \\ & + \sum_\nu \sum_{\nu' > \nu} g_{\nu'\nu} (| \nu' \rangle \langle \nu | + | \nu \rangle \langle \nu' |) (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where ω_ν is the energy of the vibrational eigenstate $|\nu\rangle$, and $g_{\nu'\nu} = \mathcal{E}_0 \langle \nu' | d(q) | \nu \rangle$ for $\nu' > \nu$ is a state-dependent

Rabi frequency. The zero of energy is defined by the energy of the vibrational ground state ($\nu = 0$) in the cavity vacuum. Equation (11) corresponds to a multi-level quantum Rabi (MLQR) model, which reduces to the quantum Rabi model for a two-level system [50–52], when the vibrational space is truncated to $\nu = 0, 1$, and the energy reference rescaled.

The vacuum field amplitude $\mathcal{E}_0$ is considered here as a tunable parameter that determines the light-matter coupling strength. In a cavity with small mode volume, the mode amplitude $\mathcal{E}_0$ can be large and tunable by fabrication [12]. Moreover, the cavity detuning $\Delta \equiv \omega_c - \omega_{10}$ is another energy scale that can be tuned by fabrication.

For convenience, we define the state-independent Rabi frequency

$$g \equiv g_{10} = E_0 \langle 1 | d(q) | 0 \rangle. \quad (12)$$

Although we use the single parameter g to quantify light-matter coupling strength throughout, we emphasize that dipole transitions $\nu \leftrightarrow \nu'$ in Eq. (11) have in general different coupling strengths.

III. SPECTRUM OF VIBRATIONAL POLARITONS

In order to gain some physical intuition about the structure of vibrational polaritons, in Fig. 3 we illustrate the light-matter coupling scheme implied by the uncoupled basis $|\nu\rangle |n\rangle$, where $|n\rangle$ is a cavity Fock state. We can associate a complete vibrational manifold $\{|\nu\rangle; \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ to every Fock state of the cavity $|n\rangle$. The ground level in each vibrational manifold ($\nu = 0$) has energy $n\omega_c$ in the Fock state $|n\rangle$, and the dissociation energy E_∞ becomes

$$E_\infty = D_e + n\omega_c, \quad (13)$$

Only in the cavity vacuum ($n = 0$), the bond dissociation energy coincides with the value expected for a Morse oscillator in free space. In general, the energy required to break a chemical bond depends on quantum state of the cavity field.

Vibrational manifolds with different Fock states can couple each other via the light-matter term in Eq. (9). Since parity is broken for vibrational states due to anharmonicity, the only quasi selection rule that holds is $\Delta n = \pm 1$, because the free cavity Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_C$ commutes with parity. Therefore, vibrational states $|\nu\rangle$ and $|\nu'\rangle$ that differ by one photon number can admix due to light-matter coupling. Because of anharmonicity, admixing of vibrational states with $|\nu - \nu'| \geq 1$ is allowed. The amount of admixing that can occur between vibrational eigenstates in different manifolds is ultimately determined by the electric dipole function $d(q)$.

The number bare states $|\nu\rangle |n\rangle$ that can potentially admix to form vibrational polariton eigenstates grows as the total energy increases. Figure 3b shows that




FIG. 3: (a) Illustration of resonant light-matter coupling between a Morse oscillator with dissociation energy D_e and a quantized cavity field with photon number n (unbound). Each Morse potential corresponds to the uncoupled subspace $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$. (b) Low energy couplings involving the subspace $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{|1\rangle|0\rangle, |0\rangle|1\rangle\}$ at $E \approx \omega_{10}$, and $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{|2\rangle|0\rangle, |1\rangle|1\rangle, |0\rangle|2\rangle\}$ at $E \approx 2\omega_{10}$. Dipole coupling within $\mathcal{S}_1$ leads to the formation of the lower and upper polaritons, and coupling within $\mathcal{S}_2$ to the formation of a polariton triplet. (c) High energy couplings involving $\mathcal{S}_6$ at $E \approx 6\omega_{10}$. State $|6\rangle|0\rangle$ is red shifted with respect to $|0\rangle|6\rangle$ by δ_6 , for $\omega_{10} = \omega_c$. The highlighted levels can strongly admix.

for the lowest Fock states, resonant coupling at energy $E \approx \omega_{10}$ only involves the subspace $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{|1\rangle|0\rangle, |0\rangle|1\rangle\}$ for $g/\omega_{10} \ll 1$. This coupling results in the formation of the so-called lower polariton (LP) and upper polariton (UP), which are observable in linear spectroscopy [6, 9]. They can be written as

$$|\Psi_1\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle|1\rangle - \beta|1\rangle|0\rangle \quad (14a)$$

$$|\Psi_2\rangle = \beta|0\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha|1\rangle|0\rangle \quad (14b)$$

where $|\Psi_1\rangle$ and $|\Psi_2\rangle$ correspond to LP and UP, respectively. The orthonormal coefficients α and β depend on g and Δ . $|\Psi_1\rangle$ and $|\Psi_2\rangle$ in Eq. (14) coincide with the first excitation manifold of the Jaynes-Cummings model [53]. Figure 3b also shows that for $g/\omega_{10} \ll 1$, resonant coupling at energy $E \approx 2\omega_{10}$ only involves the subspace $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{|2\rangle|0\rangle, |1\rangle|1\rangle, |0\rangle|2\rangle\}$, leading to the formation of three polariton branches, as discussed below. For $g/\omega_{10} \sim 0.1$ coupling of bare states $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$ beyond $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ is allowed by counter-rotating terms in Eq. (9).

In Fig. 3c, we consider the coupling between vibrational manifolds around energy $E \approx 6\omega_{10}$. If the molecular vibrations were harmonic, vibrational states $|\nu\rangle$ would have energy $\nu\omega_{10}$. Due to anharmonicity, vibrational levels in free space have energy

$$\omega_\nu = \nu\omega_{10} - \delta_\nu,$$

where $\delta_\nu > 0$ is the shift from a harmonic oscillator level, shown in Fig. 1 for a Morse oscillator. For $\nu = 6$, the anharmonic shift δ_6 is not negligible in comparison with ω_{10} , which means that for the smaller couplings $g/\omega_{10} \ll 1$, the number of bare states $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$ that can resonantly admix is relatively limited. This resembles the role of anharmonicity in limiting the efficiency of vibrational ladder climbing using laser pulses [47, 54].

On the other hand, Fig. 3c suggests that for larger coupling ratios g/ω_{10} there is a greater number of quasi-degenerate bare states $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$ that are energetically available to admix within an energy range 2δ . As the total energy increases, the density of quasi-degenerate bare states that can strongly admix within a bandwidth δE grows. We show below that this complex coupling structure leads to a large density of true and avoided crossings in the excited polariton manifold, even for relatively low values of the coupling ratio g/ω_{10} .

In Fig. 4, we show the spectrum of anharmonic vibrational polaritons as a function of g and Δ . Figure 4a shows that the system has a unique non-degenerate ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ (GS). The first excited manifold features a LP-UP doublet that scales linearly with g over the range of couplings considered ($R^2 = 1.000$ for log-log fit). However, the LP-UP splitting is not symmetric around $E = \omega_{10}$, which is the energy of the degenerate bare states $|1\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|0\rangle|1\rangle$ for $\omega_c = \omega_{10}$. Fig. 4a shows the polariton triplet around $E = 2\omega_{10}$, associated with light-matter coupling within the subspace $\mathcal{S}_2$ discussed above (see Fig. 3b). Multiple true and avoided crossings occur at energies $E \geq 2\omega_{10}$ over the entire range of couplings considered. The density of energy crossings grows with increasing energy.

In Fig. 4b we compare the energies of the lowest five excited states obtained by three levels of theory: (i) the MLQR model in Eq. (11); (ii) the quantum Rabi model for a two-level vibration involving states $\nu = \{0, 1\}$; (iii) a three-level quantum Rabi model with $\nu = \{0, 1, 2\}$ which takes into account the anharmonicity shift of the transition $\nu = 1 \rightarrow \nu = 2$, i.e., $\omega_{21} = \omega_{10} - \delta_2$. The latter was used in Ref. [38] to interpret the intracavity differential absorption spectrum of $\text{W}(\text{CO}_2)_6$ [55]. By construction, the qubit model can qualitatively match the asymmetric LP-UP splitting around $E = \omega_{10}$ over the range $g/\omega_{10} \leq 0.1$, but deviations occur for larger coupling strengths. Since $g/\omega_{10} = 0.1$ is conventionally regarded as the onset of the ultrastrong coupling regime [31], the deviations of the two-level model from MLQR for $g/\omega_{10} > 0.1$, can be attributed to the inability of the truncated two-level model to capture counter-rotating overtone couplings properly. By increasing the dimensionality of the vibrational basis




FIG. 4: (a) Spectrum of anharmonic vibrational polaritons as a function of the coupling strength g/ω_{10} , for resonant coupling $\omega_c = \omega_{10}$. The ground state (GS), lower (LP) and upper (UP) polaritons are highlighted. (b) Spectrum of the lowest five excited polaritons obtained by three levels of theory: the multi-level quantum Rabi model of Eq. (11) (solid line), the quantum Rabi model for a two-level vibration $\nu = \{0,1\}$ (open circles) and an anharmonic three-level quantum Rabi model with $\nu = \{0,1,2\}$ (dashed line). (c) Vibrational polaritons spectrum as a function of the cavity detuning from the fundamental frequency $\Delta = \omega_c - \omega_{10}$. The GS and LP are highlighted. We set $g = 0.2\omega_0$. Energy is in units of ω_{10} .

by one additional state ($\nu = 2$), the three-level quantum Rabi model matches better the LP-UP spectrum predicted by the MLQR model, but is unable to correctly capture the splitting of the polariton triplet around energy $E = 2\omega_{10}$, except for the smallest coupling ratios ($g/\omega_{10} \ll 1$).

The comparison between models in Fig. 4b suggests that for the excited vibrational polaritons considered, the onset of ultrastrong coupling—where counter-rotating terms in the light-matter interaction becomes important—occurs at much smaller values of g than those expected for a qubit, and can involve off-resonant coupling to higher vibrational levels with $\nu \geq 3$. For excited polaritons with energies $E \geq 3\omega_{10}$, few-level truncations of the material Hamiltonian (e.g. Ref. [38]) fail to capture the multiple true and avoided crossings that the Hamiltonian allows. We further discuss these excited state crossings in Section V.

In Fig. 4c, we show the polariton spectrum as a function of detuning $\Delta \equiv \omega_c - \omega_{10}$, for $g/\omega_{10} = 0.2$. Several true and avoided crossings develop in the excited manifold. When $\Delta \sim g$, the energetic ordering of the excited polaritons can change in comparison with the resonant regime ($\Delta/g \ll 1$). For example, there is an avoided crossing at $E \approx 2.1\omega_{10}$ near $\Delta \approx -0.1\omega_{10}$. The upper polariton (UP) also crosses with the next excited polariton level at $\Delta \approx -0.28\omega_{01}$. This raises concerns regarding the assignment of spectral lines in linear and nonlinear cavity transmission spectroscopy for light-matter coupling in the dispersive regime $|\Delta|/g \gtrsim 1$.

IV. VIBRATIONAL POLARITONS IN NUCLEAR COORDINATE SPACE

In molecules and materials, the strength of a chemical bond is commonly associated with its vibration frequency ω_0 via the relation

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{k/\mu}, \quad (15)$$

where k is the bond spring constant and μ is the reduced mass of the vibrating nuclei. Stronger bonds (higher k) thus lead to higher vibrational frequencies. This simple argument has also been used to discuss the bonding character of vibrational polaritons under strong coupling [6]. In this Section, we show that the description of the bonding strength of vibrational polaritons is far more complex than the commonly used spring model suggests.

In order to analyze vibrational polaritons in nuclear coordinate space, keeping photons in Hilbert space, let us expand the eigenstates of Eq. (11) in the uncoupled basis $\{|\nu\rangle|n\rangle\}$ as

$$|\Psi_j\rangle = \sum_{\nu,n} c_{\nu n}^j |\nu\rangle|n\rangle, \quad (16)$$

where $c_{\nu n}^j$ are orthonormal coefficients associated with the j -th eigenstate. We can rewrite Eq. (16) by combining vibrational components associated with a given photon number n as

$$|\Psi_j\rangle = \sum_n |\Phi_n^j\rangle|n\rangle, \quad (17)$$

where $|\Phi_n^j\rangle = \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu n}^j |\nu\rangle$. The state $|\Phi_n^j\rangle$ can be interpreted as a vibrational wavepacket conditional on the cavity photon number. Its nuclear coordinate representation is simply given by the projection

$$\Phi_{jn}(q) = \langle q|\Phi_n^j\rangle. \quad (18)$$




FIG. 5: Conditional probability densities $|\Phi_{6n}(q)|^2$ for the excited polariton eigenstate $|\Psi_6\rangle$, for coupling strengths $g/\omega_{10} = 0.002$ (a) and $g/\omega_{10} = 0.2$ (b). Coordinates are in units of the bare equilibrium bond length q_e . All densities are normalized.

For concreteness, we show in Fig. 5 a set of normalized conditional probability distributions $|\Phi_{jn}(q)|^2$ with $n \leq 4$, for the excited polariton eigenstate $|\Psi_6\rangle$ under resonant light-matter coupling. Since the energy of excited polariton $|\Psi_6\rangle$ tends asymptotically to $E_6 \approx 3\omega_{10}$ as $g/\omega_{10} \rightarrow 0$, one could expect the normalized probability distribution $|\Phi_{6n}(q)|^2$ to resemble the behaviour of the Morse oscillator eigenfunction with $\nu = 3$ for $g/\omega_{10} \ll 1$. Figure 5 (lower panel) shows that indeed the vacuum component ($n = 0$) of $|\Psi_6\rangle$ qualitatively matches the node structure of the bare Morse oscillator state $|\nu = 3\rangle$ for $g/\omega_{10} = 0.002$. However, for the coupling ratio $g/\omega_{10} = 0.2$, the nuclear density of the cavity vacuum $|\Phi_{60}(q)|^2$ behaves qualitatively different from a Morse eigenfunction. Similar deviations from the bare Morse behavior occurs also for nuclear components with higher photon numbers ($n \geq 1$).

Figure 5 also shows that the nuclear densities $|\Phi_{6n}(q)|^2$ associated with $n \geq 1$ can also approximately resemble the node pattern of a bare Morse oscillator with the ap-




FIG. 6: Probability amplitudes $|c_{\nu n}|^2$ in the uncoupled basis $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$ for excited polariton eigenstates $|\Psi_6\rangle$ (a) and $|\Psi_8\rangle$ (b), as a function the coupling strength g/ω_{10} . Curves are labelled by the quantum numbers (ν, n) . We set $\omega_c = \omega_{10}$.

propriate number of excitations, for small values of g/ω_{10} . For example, since the energy of $|\Psi_6\rangle$ tends to $E \approx 3\omega_{10}$ as $g \rightarrow 0$, its wave function should have components in the uncoupled basis $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$ such that $\nu + n = 3$ at zero detuning ($\omega_c = \omega_{10}$). For $g/\omega_{10} = 0.002$, Fig. 5 shows that indeed for $n = 1$ the nuclear density $|\Phi_{61}(q)|^2$ of state $|\Psi_6\rangle$ has a node structure similar to the bare Morse eigenstate $|\nu = 2\rangle$, i.e., it has two nodes. The nuclear densities associated with $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ also seem to satisfy a conservation rule for the total number of excitations ($\nu + n$). This rule however is broken for the $n = 4$ nuclear wave packet $\Phi_{64}(q)$ (Fig. 5, upper panel), which has a node structure similar to the bare Morse eigenstate $|\nu = 1\rangle$, corresponding to a total number of excitations $\nu + n = 5$ for all values of g/ω_{10} considered.

In order to assess the contribution of each photon-number-dependent nuclear wave packet $\Phi_{jn}(q)$ on the j -th polariton eigenstate $|\Psi_j\rangle$, we show in Fig. 6 the probability amplitudes $|c_{\nu n}|^2$ [see Eq. (16)] as a function of the coupling ratio g/ω_{10} , for the excited polariton eigenstates $|\Psi_6\rangle$ and $|\Psi_8\rangle$. These two excited states tend asymptotically to the energy $E \approx 3\omega_{10}$ as $g \rightarrow 0$, and therefore can be expected to be mainly composed of uncoupled states $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$ such that $\nu + n = 3$, for resonant coupling. Figure 6 shows that indeed occurs for $g/\omega_{10} \ll 1$. In this small coupling regime, the selected




FIG. 7: Mean bond length $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$ and mean cavity photon number $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle$ as a function of coupling strength g/ω_{10} (a,c) and cavity detuning Δ (b,d), for the system ground state (dashed line), lower polariton (solid line) and upper polariton (dot-dashed line). We set $\Delta = 0$ in panels a,c and $g/\omega_{10} = 0.1$ in panels b,d. Energy is in units of ω_{10} .

polariton eigenstates can be approximately written in the basis $|\nu\rangle |n\rangle$ as

$$|\Psi_6\rangle \approx |3\rangle |0\rangle \quad (19)$$

and

$$|\Psi_8\rangle \approx a |0\rangle |3\rangle + b |1\rangle |2\rangle, \quad (20)$$

where $|a|^2 \approx |b|^2 = 0.5$. As the coupling strength reaches the regime $g/\omega_{10} \sim 0.1$, the near resonant coupling between vibrational manifolds with higher photon numbers in Fig. 3 leads to the emergence of wave function components with lower vibrational quantum numbers. For instance, for $g/\omega_{10} = 0.2$ the excited state $|\Psi_6\rangle$ is approximately given by

$$|\Psi_6\rangle \approx a |1\rangle |2\rangle + b |0\rangle |3\rangle + c |2\rangle |0\rangle + d |1\rangle |4\rangle \quad (21)$$

where $|a|^2 \sim |b|^2 > |c|^2 \gg |d|^2$. In other words, the state evolves from a bare Morse oscillator $|\nu = 3\rangle$ in vacuum [see Eq. (19)], into a state with a lower mean vibrational excitation and higher mean photon number as g/ω_{10} grows. On the other hand, the state $|\Psi_8\rangle$ at $g/\omega_{10} = 0.2$ can be written as

$$|\Psi_8\rangle \approx a |3\rangle |0\rangle + b |2\rangle |1\rangle + c |0\rangle |3\rangle + d |1\rangle |4\rangle, \quad (22)$$

where $|a|^2 \approx 1/2 > |b|^2 > |c|^2 \gg |d|^2$, which also develops components with lower vibrational quanta and higher




FIG. 8: Mean bond length $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$ and mean cavity photon number $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle$ as a function of coupling strength g/ω_{10} (a,c) and cavity detuning Δ (b,d), for excited polaritons $|\Psi_6\rangle$ (solid line) and $|\Psi_8\rangle$ (dashed line). We set $\Delta = 0$ in panels a,c and $g/\omega_{10} = 0.1$ in panels b,d. Energy is in units of ω_{10} .

photon numbers in comparison with Eq. 20. The emergence of uncoupled components with $\nu + n \neq 3$ in Eqs. (21) and (22) is a consequence of the counter-rotating terms in Eq. (11). Although the results in Figs. 5 and 6 were obtained for specific polariton eigenstates, we find that they qualitatively describe the behavior of most excited polaritons $|\Psi_j\rangle$ with energies $E_j \gg \omega_{10}$, i.e., above the LP and UP frequency region.

We can also understand the structure of vibrational polaritons in coordinate space and Fock space by analyzing the dependence of the mean mean bond distance $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$ and the mean photon number $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle$ with the coupling parameter g and cavity detuning Δ , for selected polariton eigenstates. In Fig. 7, we compare the evolution of these observables with g and Δ for the system ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ (GS), the lower polariton state $|\Psi_1\rangle$ and the upper polariton state $|\Psi_2\rangle$. In the regime $g/\omega_{10} \ll 1$, both LP and UP have the approximately the same bond length, given by

$$\langle \hat{q} \rangle \approx \frac{1}{2} (\langle 0|\hat{q}|0\rangle + \langle 1|\hat{q}|1\rangle), \quad (23)$$

with expectation value taken with respect to Morse eigenstates $|\nu\rangle$.

Figure 7a shows that as the coupling strength increases, the bond length of the LP decreases, reaching values even lower than the bond length of the Morse ground state $|\nu = 0\rangle$. On the other hand, the bond length of the UP grows with increasing coupling strength.




FIG. 9: (a) Spectral region with an avoided crossing (circled in grey) involving the excited polaritons $|\Psi_9\rangle$ (blue) and $|\Psi_{10}\rangle$ (red). (b) and (c) Main components of $|\Psi_9\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{10}\rangle$, respectively, in the uncoupled basis $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$. Curves are labelled by the quantum numbers (ν, n) . We set $\omega_c = \omega_{10}$.

The value of $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$ for the UP is upper bounded by the bond length of the first excited Morse state $|\nu = 1\rangle$. In other words, for resonant coupling the molecular bond in the LP state becomes stronger relative to the UP with increasing coupling strength, although both polariton states experience *bond hardening* in comparison with the Morse eigenstate $|\nu = 1\rangle$, which is in the same energy region as LP and UP ($E/\omega_{10} \approx 1$).

Bond hardening should be accompanied by the creation of virtual cavity photons, and bond softening by the decrease in the mean photon number. Figure 7b shows that the GS, LP and UP states follow this behaviour as a function of g/ω_{10} , for resonant coupling. We show in panels 7b,d that for detuned cavities, the compromise between bond strength and cavity photon occupation also holds. Within the range of system parameters considered, we find that this compromise also holds for higher excited vibrational polaritons, as Fig. 8 shows for states $|\Psi_6\rangle$ and $|\Psi_8\rangle$.

Bond hardening of vibrational polaritons can be understood by recalling that an eigenstate $|\Psi_j\rangle$ in the vicinity of a bare Morse energy level $E_{\nu'}$ in general has non-vanishing components in the uncoupled basis $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$ with $\nu < \nu'$ [see Eq. (16)]. These low- ν components contribute to the stabilization of the molecular bond even at high excitation energies.

V. ENERGY CROSSINGS IN THE EXCITED POLARITON MANIFOLD

We discussed in Section III how the density of polariton levels increases with energy, ultimately due to the large number of near-degenerate uncoupled subspaces $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$ (see Fig. 3). Light-matter coupling leads to the formation of closely-spaced polariton levels that can become quasi-degenerate at specific values of g and Δ . As the Hamiltonian parameters (g, Δ) are tuned across the de-

generacy point, the polariton levels may undergo true or avoided crossing. For a Hamiltonian like the quantum Rabi model for the qubit [51, 52, 56] and its multi-level generalizations [57], parity is a conserved quantity. Therefore polaritons in the quantum Rabi model have well-defined parity and level crossings are analyzed in the usual way: states with opposite parity undergo true crossing under variation of a Hamiltonian parameter. In particular, the crossing of the ground state with the lower polariton state at $g/\omega_c = 1$ marks the onset of the deep strong coupling regime [31, 58, 59].

Parity conservation in the quantum Rabi model ultimately emerges from the even character of the underlying microscopic Hamiltonians that describe the material system and the cavity field. The harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian that describes the cavity field is invariant under the transformation $\hat{a} \rightarrow -\hat{a}$, and therefore commutes with parity (as any harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian). For the material system, let $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ represent position and momentum operators in the material Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_M$. Then polariton eigenstates of the coupled light-matter system would only have well-defined parity if $\hat{H}_M$ is invariant under the parity transformation $\hat{q} \rightarrow -\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p} \rightarrow -\hat{p}$. The Morse potential in Eq. (1) is not invariant under the transformation $q \rightarrow -q$ and $q_e \rightarrow -q_e$, and therefore breaks parity, which is the origin of vibrational overtones. Polariton eigenstates of the MLQR model therefore do not have well-defined parity.

Even parity is not a good quantum number, the vibrational polariton spectrum still exhibits true and avoided level crossings as the Hamiltonian parameters g and Δ vary. We can track the origin of these crossings into an effective photonic parity selection rule imposed by the light-matter interaction term in the total Hamiltonian [Eq. (11)], which reads $\Delta n = \pm 1$. For two near-degenerate polariton levels E_j and E_k ($k \neq j$), there will be a strong avoided crossing between them only if the largest probability amplitudes $c_{\nu n}$ of their wavefunctions




FIG. 10: (a) Spectral region with true crossings involving the excited polaritons $|\Psi_{22}\rangle$ (blue) $|\Psi_{23}\rangle$ (red), and $|\Psi_{21}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{23}\rangle$ (both crossing regions circled in grey). (b) and (c) Main components of $|\Psi_{22}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{23}\rangle$, respectively, in the uncoupled basis $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$. Curves are labelled by the quantum numbers (ν, n) . We set $\omega_c = \omega_{10}$.

$|\Psi_j\rangle$ and $|\Psi_k\rangle$ in the uncoupled basis $|\nu\rangle|n\rangle$, differ by one photon number [see Eq. 16]. Otherwise, the levels will cross as the Hamiltonian is varied through the degeneracy. We show this explicitly in Figs. 9 and 10, with examples of avoided and true crossings, respectively, in the excited polariton manifold.

In Figure 9a we highlight an avoided crossing between excited polaritons $|\Psi_9\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{10}\rangle$, as the coupling strength is $g/\omega_{10} \approx 0.19$. Panels 9b and c show that the largest uncoupled components to the left of the avoided crossing are $\{|1\rangle|2\rangle, |0\rangle|3\rangle\}$ for $|\Psi_9\rangle$ and $\{|1\rangle|3\rangle, |0\rangle|4\rangle\}$ for $|\Psi_{10}\rangle$, which indeed differ by one photon number. Past the avoided crossing, the state $|\Psi_{10}\rangle$ dominantly acquires $|0\rangle|3\rangle$ character.

In Fig. 10a we highlight a pair of level crossings as g/ω_{10} increases. For $g/\omega_{10} \simeq 0.1$, the excited polariton states $|\Psi_{22}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{23}\rangle$ undergo the first crossing. Fig. 10b shows that to the left of the crossing point, the largest uncoupled components of $|\Psi_{22}\rangle$ is $|5\rangle|1\rangle$, while for $|\Psi_{23}\rangle$ the largest components are $\{|1\rangle|5\rangle, |2\rangle|4\rangle\}$. Since $|\Psi_{22}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{23}\rangle$ thus predominantly satisfy $\Delta n > 1$, they do not interact via by the light-matter term as g is varied across the degeneracy. Note that Fig. 10b,c the state does undergo a small change of character at the two crossing points in Fig. 9a. This occurs because states $|\Psi_{22}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{23}\rangle$ do have uncoupled components that interact via the photonic selection rule $\Delta n \pm 1$, but their weight in the eigenfunction is comparatively small.

VI. DIPOLE SELF-ENERGY

For simplicity, we have neglected the dipole self-energy term throughout. This term which arises via the transformation from minimal-coupling light-matter interaction to the multipolar interaction through the Power-Zineau-Wolley (PZW) transformation $U_{\text{PZW}} = \exp[i \int d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})]$ which eliminates the vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$ from

the theory. Even though the multipolar formalism is non-covariant, it has been widely used to describe light-molecule interaction in the non-relativistic regime [48].

For light-matter coupling in which counter-rotating terms become important, it has been argued that the dipole self-energy contribution should be taken into account in order to describe polaritons correctly [31]. In molecular polariton problems, self-energy terms have been given ad-hoc model treatments in previous work [14, 39]. There, the dipole self-energy is considered to be proportional to the Rabi frequency g , which is in remarkable contrast with the PZW frame, in which a material Hamiltonian [see Eq. (6)] contains a dipole self-energy contribution even when light-matter coupling is perturbative.

In principle, relating the macroscopic polarization density $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$ with the molecular electric dipole operator $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ at position $\mathbf{x}_0$ in the medium would require an *ab-initio* quantum electrodynamics formulation of field quantization in dispersive and absorptive media [60], which is beyond the scope of our work. We ignore the contribution of the dielectric background and assume that for a single polar vibration the following ansatz holds

$$\hat{H}_{\text{self}} = \int |\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})|^2 dx \equiv \gamma \sum_{\nu} \langle \nu | \hat{d}(q) | \nu \rangle^2 | \nu \rangle \langle \nu |, \quad (24)$$

where $|\nu\rangle$ are the anharmonic vibrational eigenstates. In other words, we assume that self-energy leads to a state-dependent blue shift of every vibrational level. We can thus build a new total Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}' = \hat{\mathcal{H}} + \hat{H}_{\text{self}}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the MLQR model from Eq. (11). The parameter γ is introduced to control the numerical magnitude of $\hat{H}_{\text{self}}$ relative to $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$.

In Fig. 11a, we show the polariton spectrum with increasing γ , for g and Δ fixed. As expected [14, 39], $\hat{H}_{\text{self}}$ only results in a state-dependent positive energy shift in the polariton levels. Once the dipole-shifted ground state




FIG. 11: (a) Vibrational polariton spectrum as a function of the dipole self-energy parameter γ , for $g = 0.2\omega_{10}$ and $\omega_c = \omega_{10}$. (b) Comparison of the polariton spectrum as a function of the coupling strength g/ω_{10} using the MLQR model without (solid line) and with (dashed line) the dipole self-energy term with $\gamma = 0.15$. Energy is given relative to the ground state (GS), in units of ω_{10} .

energy E_{GS} is subtracted from the energies of $\mathcal{H}'$, Fig. 11b shows that the energy spectrum of $\mathcal{H}'$ has the same qualitative behavior with increasing g as the spectrum of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Quantitative deviations from the MLQR spectrum due to self-energy become important for relative energies $(E - E_{GS}) \gtrsim 2\omega_{10}$, when the magnitude of the parameter γ is comparable with the coupling ratio g/ω_{10} .

VII. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In order to understand the microscopic behaviour of an individual anharmonic molecular vibration coupled to a single infrared cavity mode, we introduce and analyze the multi-level quantum Rabi (MLQR) model of vibrational polaritons [Eq. (11)]. We derive the model Hamiltonian starting from the exact anharmonic solutions of a free-space Morse oscillator, and treat light-matter interaction within the Power-Zienau-Woolley multipolar framework [48], which includes the dipole self-energy. The model takes into account counter-rotating terms in the light-matter coupling and allows the analysis of vibrational polaritons both in Hilbert space and nuclear coordinate space. Phase-space representations of the photon state follow directly from the QED formulation of the model [61]. Such phase-space analysis would be closely related to previous coordinate-only treatments of photon-nuclei coupling [28, 39, 62], although a systematic comparison has yet to be done.

The model is consistent with previous work based on few-level vibrational systems [38], and therefore is also able to describe the spectral features observed in linear and nonlinear transmission spectroscopy [26, 55, 63], which due to the relatively weak intensities involved, can only probe up to the second excited polariton triplet around $E \approx 2\omega_{10}$, where ω_{10} is the fundamental vibration frequency. Few-level vibrational truncations are

however unable to capture the dense and complex polariton level structure predicted by the MLQR model at energies $E \gtrsim 3\omega_{10}$. The system Hamiltonian allows the emergence of an ensemble of avoided and true crossings as the Rabi frequency g and cavity detuning Δ are tuned. The density of these level crossings increases with energy. These crossings are governed by a pseudo-parity selection rule in the photonic degree of freedom (details in Sec. V).

The nuclear coordinate analysis of vibrational polaritons within the MLQR model unveils a few general trends across the entire energy spectrum. First, it is no longer possible to define a unique bond dissociation energy in an infrared cavity as is commonly done in free space. The dissociation energy depends on the quantum state of the cavity field. Second, within any given energy range $E_j + \Delta E$, it is always possible to find a vibrational polariton eigenstate with small mean photon number $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle$ and large mean bond distance $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$, and vice-versa. Third, the bond distance $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$ of an arbitrary vibrational polariton state with energy E_j , never exceeds the bond length of a free-space Morse eigenstate $|\nu\rangle$ with similar energy ($E_\nu \approx E_j$). In other words, the formation of vibrational polaritons inside the cavity leads to a type of *bond-hardening* effect that may have consequences in the reactivity of chemical bonds.

The generalization of the multi-level quantum Rabi model developed here to the many-molecule and multi-mode scenarios is straightforward. Since it is formulated in the energy eigenbasis, treating the dissipative dynamics of vibrational polaritons due to cavity photon decay and vibrational relaxation is also straightforward to formulate within a Markovian approach [64]. The dynamics of vibrational polaritons in the many-body regime has been previously discussed in Refs. [33, 65], using truncated vibrational subspaces. The main qualitatively new effect that the many-body system introduces to the problem, is the formation of collective molecular states that are not symmetric with respect to particle permutations. These so-called “dark exciton states” [66] arise naturally from state classification by permutation symmetry in the Hilbert space of the Dicke model [67, 68]. It has been shown originally within a quasi-particle approach for systems with macroscopic translational invariance [69], and later using a cavity QED approach [32, 70–72], that totally-symmetric and non-symmetric collective molecular states can strongly admix due to ever-present inhomogeneous broadening of molecular energy levels, inhomogeneities in the light-matter interaction energy across the medium, or any local coherent term such as intramolecular electron-vibration coupling (in the case of electronic strong coupling [72]). In general, the role of quasi-dark collective states in determining the rate of chemical reactions and also spectroscopic signals of vibrational polaritons is yet to be fully understood.

Acknowledgments

We thank Guillermo Romero, Blake Simpkins and Jeffrey Owrutsky for discussions. This work is supported by CONICYT through the Proyecto REDES ETAPA INI-

CIAL, Convocatoria 2017 no. REDI 170423, FONDECYT Regular No. 1181743, and also thank support by Iniciativa Científica Milenio (ICM) through the Millennium Institute for Research in Optics (MIRO).

-
- [1] H. Jeff Kimble. The quantum internet. *Nature*, 453(7198):1023, 2008.
 - [2] Jeremy L. O’Brien, Akira Furusawa, and Jelena Vučković. Photonic quantum technologies. *Nature Photonics*, 3(12):687–695, December 2009.
 - [3] H. Mabuchi and A. C. Doherty. Cavity quantum electrodynamics: Coherence in context. *Science*, 298(5597):1372–1377, 2002.
 - [4] Alexandre Blais, Ren-Shou Huang, Andreas Wallraff, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf. Cavity quantum electrodynamics for superconducting electrical circuits: An architecture for quantum computation. *Phys. Rev. A*, 69:062320, Jun 2004.
 - [5] R. Miller *et al.* Trapped atoms in cavity qed: coupling quantized light and matter. *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.*, 38(9):S551, 2005.
 - [6] Thomas W Ebbesen. Hybrid Light-Matter States in a Molecular and Material Science Perspective. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 49:2403–2412, 2016.
 - [7] Antoine Canaguier-Durand, Eloïse Devaux, Jino George, Yantao Pang, James A. Hutchison, Tal Schwartz, Cyriaque Genet, Nadine Wilhelms, Jean Marie Lehn, and Thomas W. Ebbesen. Thermodynamics of molecules strongly coupled to the vacuum field. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, 52(40):10533–10536, 2013.
 - [8] J. P. Long and B. S. Simpkins. Coherent coupling between a molecular vibration and fabry–perot optical cavity to give hybridized states in the strong coupling limit. *ACS Photonics*, 2(1):130–136, 2015.
 - [9] B. S. Simpkins, Kenan P. Fears, Walter J. Dressick, Bryan T. Spann, Adam D. Dunkelberger, and Jeffrey C. Owrutsky. Spanning Strong to Weak Normal Mode Coupling between Vibrational and FabryPérot Cavity Modes through Tuning of Vibrational Absorption Strength. *ACS Photonics*, 2(10):1460–1467, 2015.
 - [10] Atef Shalabney, Jino George, Hidefumi Hiura, James A. Hutchison, Cyriaque Genet, Petra Hellwig, and Thomas W. Ebbesen. Enhanced Raman Scattering from Vibro-Polariton Hybrid States. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 54(27):7971–7975, 2015.
 - [11] A. Shalabney, J. George, J. Hutchison, G. Pupillo, C. Genet, and T. W. Ebbesen. Coherent coupling of molecular resonators with a microcavity mode. *Nature Communications*, 6(Umr 7006):1–6, 2015.
 - [12] Rohit Chikkaraddy, Bart de Nijs, Felix Benz, Steven J. Barrow, Oren A. Scherman, Edina Rosta, Angela Demetriadou, Peter Fox, Ortwin Hess, and Jeremy J. Baumberg. Single-molecule strong coupling at room temperature in plasmonic nanocavities. *Nature*, 535(7610):127–130, 07 2016.
 - [13] Felix Benz, Mikolaj K. Schmidt, Alexander Dreismann, Rohit Chikkaraddy, Yao Zhang, Angela Demetriadou, Cloudy Carnegie, Hamid Ohadi, Bart de Nijs, Ruben Esteban, Javier Aizpurua, and Jeremy J. Baumberg. Single-molecule optomechanics in picocavities. *Science*, 354(6313):726–729, 2016.
 - [14] Jino George, Thibault Chervy, Atef Shalabney, Eloïse Devaux, Hidefumi Hiura, Cyriaque Genet, and Thomas W. Ebbesen. Multiple Rabi Splittings under Ultrastrong Vibrational Coupling. *Physical Review Letters*, 117(15):153601, 2016.
 - [15] Robrecht M.A. Vergauwe, Jino George, Thibault Chervy, James A. Hutchison, Atef Shalabney, Vladimir Y. Torbeev, and Thomas W. Ebbesen. Quantum Strong Coupling with Protein Vibrational Modes. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 7(20):4159–4164, 2016.
 - [16] Anoop Thomas, Jino George, Atef Shalabney, Marian Dryzhakov, Sreejith J. Varma, Joseph Moran, Thibault Chervy, Xiaolan Zhong, Eloïse Devaux, Cyriaque Genet, James A. Hutchison, and Thomas W. Ebbesen. Ground-State Chemical Reactivity under Vibrational Coupling to the Vacuum Electromagnetic Field. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, 55(38):11462–11466, 2016.
 - [17] Manuel Hertzog, Per Rudquist, James A. Hutchison, Jino George, Thomas W. Ebbesen, and Karl Börjesson. Voltage-Controlled Switching of Strong Light-Matter Interactions using Liquid Crystals. *Chemistry - A European Journal*, 23(72):18166–18170, 2017.
 - [18] Daqing Wang, Hrishikesh Kelkar, Diego Martin-Cano, Tobias Utikal, Stephan Götzinger, and Vahid Sandoghdar. Coherent Coupling of a Single Molecule to a Scanning Fabry-Perot Microcavity. *Physical Review X*, 7(2):021014, apr 2017.
 - [19] Vivian F. Crum, Shaelyn R. Casey, and Justin R. Sparks. Photon-mediated hybridization of molecular vibrational states. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 20(2):850–857, 2018.
 - [20] Thibault Chervy, Anoop Thomas, Elias Akiki, Robrecht M. A. Vergauwe, Atef Shalabney, Jino George, Eloïse Devaux, James A. Hutchison, Cyriaque Genet, and Thomas W. Ebbesen. Vibro-Polaritonic IR Emission in the Strong Coupling Regime. *ACS Photonics*, 5(1):217–224, 2018.
 - [21] Matthew Du, Raphael F. Ribeiro, and Joel Yuen-Zhou. Remote control of chemistry in optical cavities. *Chem*, 5(5):1167 – 1181, 2019.
 - [22] Hidefumi Hiura, Atef Shalabney, and Jino George. Cavity Catalysis Accelerating Reactions under Vibrational Strong Coupling. *ChemRxiv.Org*, 2018.
 - [23] A. Thomas, L. Lethuillier-Karl, K. Nagarajan, R. M. A. Vergauwe, J. George, T. Chervy, A. Shalabney, E. Devaux, C. Genet, J. Moran, and T. W. Ebbesen. Tilting a ground-state reactivity landscape by vibrational strong coupling. *Science*, 363(6427):615–619, 2019.
 - [24] Robert J. Gordon, Langchi Zhu, and Tamar Seideman. Coherent control of chemical reactions. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 32(12):1007–1016, 1999.

- [25] Javier del Pino, Johannes Feist, and F. J. Garcia-Vidal. Signatures of vibrational strong coupling in raman scattering. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 119(52):29132–29137, 12 2015.
- [26] A. D. Dunkelberger, B. T. Spann, K. P. Fears, B. S. Simpkins, and J. C. Owrutsky. Modified relaxation dynamics and coherent energy exchange in coupled vibration-cavity polaritons. *Nature Communications*, 7:1–10, 2016.
- [27] Adam Dunkelberger, Roderick Davidson, Wonmi Ahn, Blake Simpkins, and Jeffrey Owrutsky. Ultrafast Transmission Modulation and Recovery via Vibrational Strong Coupling. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 122(4):965–971, feb 2018.
- [28] Johannes Feist, Javier Galego, and Francisco J. Garcia-Vidal. Polaritonic Chemistry with Organic Molecules. *ACS Photonics*, 5(1):205–216, 2018.
- [29] Raphael F. Ribeiro, Luis A. Martínez-Martínez, Matthew Du, Jorge Campos-Gonzalez-Angulo, and Joel Yuen-Zhou. Polariton chemistry: controlling molecular dynamics with optical cavities. *Chemical Science*, 9(30):6325–6339, 2018.
- [30] Manuel Hertzog, Mao Wang, Jürgen Mony, and Karl Börjesson. Strong light-matter interactions: a new direction within chemistry. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 48(3):937–961, 2019.
- [31] Anton Frisk Kockum, Adam Miranowicz, Simone De Liberato, Salvatore Savasta, and Franco Nori. Ultrastrong coupling between light and matter. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 1:19–40, 2019.
- [32] Felipe Herrera and Frank C. Spano. Cavity-controlled chemistry in molecular ensembles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116:238301, Jun 2016.
- [33] Javier del Pino, Johannes Feist, and Francisco J. Garcia-Vidal. Quantum theory of collective strong coupling of molecular vibrations with a microcavity mode. 2015.
- [34] Merav Muallem, Alexander Palatnik, Gilbert D. Nessim, and Yaakov R. Tischler. Strong Light-Matter Coupling and Hybridization of Molecular Vibrations in a Low-Loss Infrared Microcavity. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 7(11):2002–2008, 2016.
- [35] Prasoon Saurabh and Shaul Mukamel. Two-dimensional infrared spectroscopy of vibrational polaritons of molecules in an optical cavity. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 144(12), 2016.
- [36] Hoi Ling Luk, Johannes Feist, J. Jussi Toppari, and Gerrit Groenhof. Multiscale Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Polaritonic Chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 13(9):4324–4335, 2017.
- [37] Luis A. Martínez-Martínez, Raphael F. Ribeiro, Jorge Campos-González-Angulo, and Joel Yuen-Zhou. Can Ultrastrong Coupling Change Ground-State Chemical Reactions? *ACS Photonics*, 5(1):167–176, 2018.
- [38] Bo Xiang Wei Xiong Blake S. Simpkins Jeffrey C. Owrutsky Raphael Florentino Ribeiro, Adam D. Dunkelberger and Joel Yuen-Zhou. Theory for Nonlinear Spectroscopy of Vibrational Polaritons. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 9(13):3766–3771, 2018.
- [39] Johannes Flick, Michael Ruggenthaler, Heiko Appel, and Angel Rubio. Atoms and molecules in cavities, from weak to strong coupling in quantum-electrodynamics (qed) chemistry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(12):3026–3034, 2017.
- [40] Johannes Flick, Heiko Appel, Michael Ruggenthaler, and Angel Rubio. Cavity Born-Oppenheimer Approximation for Correlated Electron-Nuclear-Photon Systems. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 13(4):1616–1625, 2017.
- [41] Philip M. Morse. Diatomic Molecules According to the Wave Mechanics. II. Vibrational Levels. *Physical Review*, 34(1):57–64, jul 1929.
- [42] V. S. Vasan and R. J. Cross. Matrix elements for morse oscillators. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 78(6):3869–3871, 1983.
- [43] John C. Light and Tucker Carrington Jr. *Discrete-Variable Representations and their Utilization*, pages 263–310. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2007.
- [44] Daniel T. Colbert and William H. Miller. A novel discrete variable representation for quantum mechanical reactive scattering via the smatrix kohn method. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 96(3):1982–1991, 1992.
- [45] Wolfgang Demtröder. *Molecular physics: theoretical principles and experimental methods*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [46] P Jakob and BNJ Persson. Infrared spectroscopy of overtones and combination bands. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 109(19):8641–8651, 1998.
- [47] Marcus, G., Zigler, A., and Friedland, L. Molecular vibrational ladder climbing using a sub-nanosecond chirped laser pulse. *Europhys. Lett.*, 74(1):43–48, 2006.
- [48] David Parker Craig and Thiru Thirunamachandran. *Molecular quantum electrodynamics: an introduction to radiation-molecule interactions*. Courier Corporation, 1998.
- [49] Lukas Novotny and Bert Hecht. *Principles of nano-optics*. Cambridge university press, 2012.
- [50] T. Werlang, A. V. Dodonov, E. I. Duzzioni, and C. J. Villas-Bôas. Rabi model beyond the rotating-wave approximation: Generation of photons from vacuum through decoherence. *Phys. Rev. A*, 78:053805, Nov 2008.
- [51] D. Braak. Integrability of the rabi model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107:100401, Aug 2011.
- [52] F. A. Wolf, F. Vallone, G. Romero, M. Kollar, E. Solano, and D. Braak. Dynamical correlation functions and the quantum rabi model. *Phys. Rev. A*, 87:023835, Feb 2013.
- [53] Edwin T Jaynes and Frederick W Cummings. Comparison of quantum and semiclassical radiation theories with application to the beam maser. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 51(1):89–109, 1963.
- [54] Oliver Kühn, Jörn Manz, and Yi Zhao. Ultrafast ir laser control of photodissociation: single- vs. multi-pulse schemes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 1:3103–3110, 1999.
- [55] Bo Xiang, Raphael F. Ribeiro, Adam D. Dunkelberger, Jiayi Wang, Yingmin Li, Blake S. Simpkins, Jeffrey C. Owrutsky, Joel Yuen-Zhou, and Wei Xiong. Two-dimensional infrared spectroscopy of vibrational polaritons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(19):4845–4850, 2018.
- [56] Qing-Hu Chen, Chen Wang, Shu He, Tao Liu, and Ke-Lin Wang. Exact solvability of the quantum rabi model using bogoliubov operators. *Phys. Rev. A*, 86:023822, Aug 2012.
- [57] Victor V. Albert. Quantum rabi model for n -state atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108:180401, May 2012.
- [58] J. Casanova, G. Romero, I. Lizuain, J. J. García-Ripoll, and E. Solano. Deep strong coupling regime of the jaynes-cummings model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:263603, Dec 2010.
- [59] P. Forn-Díaz, L. Lamata, E. Rico, J. Kono, and E. Solano. Ultrastrong coupling regimes of light-matter

- interaction. *arXiv:1804.09275*, 2018.
- [60] Ludwig Knöll, Stefan Scheel, and Dirk-Gunnar Welsch. Qed in dispersing and absorbing media. In Jan Perina, editor, *Coherence and Statistics of Photons and Atoms*, Wiley Series in Lasers and Applications. Wiley-VCH, 2001.
 - [61] H Carmichael. *Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics 1: Master Equations and Fokker-Planck Equations*. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 1999.
 - [62] Johan F. Triana, Daniel Peláez, and José Luis Sanz-Vicario. Entangled Photonic-Nuclear Molecular Dynamics of LiF in Quantum Optical Cavities. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 122(8):2266–2278, 2018.
 - [63] Merav Muallem, Alexander Palatnik, Gilbert Daniel Nessim, and Yaakov R Tischler. Strong light-matter coupling and hybridization of molecular vibrations in a low-loss infrared microcavity. *The journal of physical chemistry letters*, 2016.
 - [64] H P. Breuer and F Petruccione. *The theory of open quantum systems*. Oxford University Press, 2002.
 - [65] Artem Strashko and Jonathan Keeling. Raman scattering with strongly coupled vibron-polaritons. *arXiv:1606.08343*, 2016.
 - [66] M. Litinskaya, P. Reineker, and V. M. Agranovich. Fast polariton relaxation in strongly coupled organic microcavities. *Journal of Luminescence*, 110(4 SPEC. ISS.):364–372, 2004.
 - [67] Barry M. Garraway. The dicke model in quantum optics: Dicke model revisited. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 369(1939):1137–1155, 2011.
 - [68] Peter Kirton, Mor M. Roses, Jonathan Keeling, and Emanuele G. Dalla Torre. Introduction to the dicke model: From equilibrium to nonequilibrium, and vice versa. *Advanced Quantum Technologies*, 2(1-2):1800043, 2019.
 - [69] Marina Litinskaya and Peter Reineker. Loss of coherence of exciton polaritons in inhomogeneous organic microcavities. *Phys. Rev. B*, 74:165320, Oct 2006.
 - [70] Felipe Herrera and Frank C. Spano. Absorption and photoluminescence in organic cavity qed. *Phys. Rev. A*, 95:053867, May 2017.
 - [71] Felipe Herrera and Frank C. Spano. Dark vibronic polaritons and the spectroscopy of organic microcavities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118:223601, May 2017.
 - [72] Felipe Herrera and Frank C. Spano. Theory of nanoscale organic cavities: The essential role of vibration-photon dressed states. *ACS Photonics*, 5:65–79, 2018.