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The information loss problem in black hole evaporation is one of fundamental issues. Its resolution requires more profound understanding of information storage mechanism in quantum systems. In this Letter, we argue that when multiple unknown parameters are stored in large entangled qudits, strong chaos generated by fast scrambling in high temperature limit yields an ordered information storage structure with decoupled quantum information capsules (QICs). A rotational isometry emerges in the quantum Fisher information metric. The isometry is expected to be observed in future experiments on cold atoms in a pure entangled state. We provide a QIC speculation of black hole evaporation.

Introduction.— Fast scrambling is supposed to be crucial for understanding of the information loss problem in black hole evaporation [1, 2]. If unitarity is maintained in quantum gravity, black hole systems store information of infalling matters without destroying it. The fast scrambling inside the horizons is rapid information scrambling described by random unitary operations. Hayden and Preskill [1] argued that such information mixing generates black hole mirrors, and forces the stored information to be retrieved outside in a much shorter duration than the black hole lifetime. Besides, many other interesting properties of black holes as information storages have been reported including no-hiding theorem [3] and no-masking theorem [4]. As well as quantum black holes, general quantum macroscopic systems in entangled pure states are capable of storing information of unknown parameters. Investigating general structures of information storage for general many-body systems sheds light on the black hole information loss problem. In recent development of quantum technology, the systems in pure entangled states are becoming available experimentally [5, 6]. Especially, entanglement detection was achieved using cold atoms in optical lattice [5]. Similarly, quantum Fisher information metric of a pure state described by random unitary operations is an element of $SU(d)$ algebra. It is worth stressing that for the fixed system, the fixed state $|\theta\rangle_{1\ldots N}$, and the fixed write operation $W(\theta)_{1\ldots N}$, there exist three different pictures for the information storage of parameters and no-masking theorem [4]. One of them is the standard one, and is referred to as Schmidt partner picture in this Letter. Consider the Schmidt decomposition of $|\Psi\rangle_{1\ldots N}$ between the first qudit and other qudits

$$|\Psi\rangle_{1\ldots N} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sqrt{p_k} |u_k\rangle_1 |v_k\rangle_{2\ldots N},$$

where $p_k$ is a probability distribution, and $|u_k\rangle_1$ are orthonormal basis vectors of the first qudit, and $|v_k\rangle_{2\ldots N}$ are orthonormal vectors for the remaining system. Using a local unitary operation $I_1 \otimes \tilde{U}_{2\ldots N}$, the state can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle_{1\ldots N} = \left( I_1 \otimes \tilde{U}_{2\ldots N} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sqrt{p_k} |u_k\rangle_1 |\varphi_k\rangle_{2\ldots N} \right) |\chi\rangle_{3\ldots N},$$

where $|\varphi_k\rangle_{2\ldots N}$ are orthonormal basis vectors of the second qudit, and $|\chi\rangle_{3\ldots N}$ is a decoupled pure state of $N-2$ qudits. Then its corresponding pure composite state of the target $A$ (the first qudit) and its Schmidt partner $B$ is given by $|\Psi_S\rangle_{AB} = \sum_k \sqrt{p_k} |u_k\rangle_A |\varphi_k\rangle_B$. After the write operation, we get the target-partner state for $\theta$ as $|\Psi_S(\theta)\rangle_{AB} = \sum_k \sqrt{p_k} (\exp(i\theta |u_k\rangle_A) |\varphi_k\rangle_B)$. This is the first picture of quantum information storage of $\theta$. The second picture is referred to as generalized partner picture, which was proposed in [5, 10]. Let us introduce

$$L_j = \left[ \langle \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n | \frac{1}{2} \left( L_j L_j' + L_j' L_j \right) | \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \rangle \right],$$

where symmetric logarithmic derivative operators $L_j$ are given by

$$L_j = (\partial_{\theta_j} |\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\rangle \langle \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n| + |\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\rangle \langle \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n| \partial_{\theta_j} |\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\rangle), \quad (1)$$

It is pointed out that the entire family of quantum Fisher information can be determined from linear-response theory through generalized covariances [6]. By observing the covariances, the quantities may be determined by experiments. The quantum Fisher information metric elucidates information storage structures for quantum systems.

As an information storage of an unknown continuous parameter $\theta$, let us consider $N$ qudits in a pure state $|\Psi\rangle_{1\ldots N}$. A write operation $W(\theta)_{1\ldots N} = \exp(i\theta |\varphi\rangle \langle \varphi|) \otimes \cdots \otimes I_N$ of $\theta$ is performed to the first qudit as its target subsystem, where $\sigma$ is an element of $SU(d)$ algebra. It is worth stressing that for the fixed system, the fixed state $|\Psi\rangle_{1\ldots N}$, and the fixed write operation $W(\theta)_{1\ldots N}$, these exist three different pictures for the information storage of $\theta$ in quantum mechanics. One of them is the standard one, and is referred to as Schmidt partner picture in this Letter. Consider the Schmidt decomposition of $|\Psi\rangle_{1\ldots N}$ between the first qudit and other qudits

$$|\Psi\rangle_{1\ldots N} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sqrt{p_k} |u_k\rangle_1 |v_k\rangle_{2\ldots N},$$

where $p_k$ is a probability distribution, and $|u_k\rangle_1$ are orthonormal basis vectors of the first qudit, and $|v_k\rangle_{2\ldots N}$ are orthonormal vectors for the remaining system. Using a local unitary operation $I_1 \otimes \tilde{U}_{2\ldots N}$, the state can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle_{1\ldots N} = \left( I_1 \otimes \tilde{U}_{2\ldots N} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sqrt{p_k} |u_k\rangle_1 |\varphi_k\rangle_{2\ldots N} \right) |\chi\rangle_{3\ldots N},$$

where $|\varphi_k\rangle_{2\ldots N}$ are orthonormal basis vectors of the second qudit, and $|\chi\rangle_{3\ldots N}$ is a decoupled pure state of $N-2$ qudits. Then its corresponding pure composite state of the target $A$ (the first qudit) and its Schmidt partner $B$ is given by $|\Psi_S\rangle_{AB} = \sum_k \sqrt{p_k} |u_k\rangle_A |\varphi_k\rangle_B$. After the write operation, we get the target-partner state for $\theta$ as $|\Psi_S(\theta)\rangle_{AB} = \sum_k \sqrt{p_k} (\exp(i\theta |u_k\rangle_A) |\varphi_k\rangle_B)$. This is the first picture of quantum information storage of $\theta$. The second picture is referred to as generalized partner picture, which was proposed in [5, 10]. Let us introduce

$$L_j = \left[ \langle \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n | \frac{1}{2} \left( L_j L_j' + L_j' L_j \right) | \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \rangle \right],$$

where symmetric logarithmic derivative operators $L_j$ are given by

$$L_j = (\partial_{\theta_j} |\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\rangle \langle \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n| + |\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\rangle \langle \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n| \partial_{\theta_j} |\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\rangle), \quad (1)$$

It is pointed out that the entire family of quantum Fisher information can be determined from linear-response theory through generalized covariances [6]. By observing the covariances, the quantities may be determined by experiments. The quantum Fisher information metric elucidates information storage structures for quantum systems.
a picture-change unitary operator $V_{AB}(\beta)$ with a real continuous parameter $\beta$, which satisfies $V_{AB}(\beta = 0) = I_A \otimes I_B$ and commutes with the write operation:

$$[V_{AB}(\beta), \exp(i\sigma) \otimes I_B] = 0.$$  (2)

Performing $V(\beta)_{AB}$ to $|\Psi_S\rangle_{AB}$ generates other information storage states given by $|\tilde{\Psi}(\theta, \beta)\rangle_{AB} = (\exp(i\sigma) \otimes I_B) V(\beta)_{AB} |\Psi_S\rangle_{AB}$, $V(\beta)_{AB} |\Psi_S(\theta)\rangle_{AB}$.

In case, the entanglement entropy $S_{EE}$ between target $A$ and partner $B$ depends on $\beta$. If $S_{EE} \neq 0$ after performing the unitary $V(\beta)$, the new picture is referred to as generalized partner picture. If $S_{EE}$ vanishes for a certain value $\beta = \beta_*$, $V(\beta_*)|\Psi_S(\theta)\rangle_{AB} = |\tilde{\Psi}(\theta)\rangle_A |\Psi_S\rangle_B$ holds. Then, $A$ after the picture change operation is referred to as quantum information capsule (QIC) for $\theta$ [11]. This is QIC picture for information storage of $\theta$. Surprisingly, even under the constraint in eq. (2), there exists a value $\beta_*$ for an arbitrary $|\Psi_S\rangle_{12}$. Hence the QIC picture can always be adopted. As opposed to the QIC existence, it is not always possible to find a value of $\beta$ such that $S_{EE}$ takes its maximum value ($S_{EE} = \ln d$). Only specific $|\Psi_S\rangle_{12}$ allows to attain the maximum. This emphasizes the non-triviality of QIC existence again. In the QIC picture, only a single qubit is required, though two qubits are needed in both Schmidt partner picture and generalized partner picture. Hence, in dynamical situations, QIC pictures make analysis of information flow of multiple parameters much simpler.

In this Letter, we show that strong chaos generated by fast scrambling yields a counterintuitive phenomenon. Ordered structure of information storage emerges for $n$ parameters $\theta_j$ ($j = 1, \cdots, n$) in double limits of large $N$ and high temperature $T$ for pure thermal states $|\Psi(T)\rangle_{1\cdots N}$ of $N$ qubits. The pure thermal states $|\Psi(T)\rangle_{1\cdots N}$ are defined to exhibit the same thermodynamical behaviors for macroscopic observables as the Gibbs states at temperature $T$. Their construction is given as follows. Let us consider a free Hamiltonian $H_j$ for each qubit. The eigenstates of total Hamiltonian $H = \sum_{j=1}^N H_j$ are denoted by $|E_{1\cdots N}\rangle$ with corresponding eigenvalue $E$. For a fixed value of total energy $E_{tot}$ and small energy difference $\delta E$, the microcanonical energy shell (MES) is defined in a standard way [12] as the Hilbert space spanned by energy eigenstates $|E_{1\cdots N}\rangle$ satisfying $E \in [E_{tot} - \delta E, E_{tot}]$. Taking MES ensemble average in the uniform distribution of MES subHilbert space, it is proven that the reduced state of small subsystems for a typical state of the total system in MES becomes a Gibbs state with temperature $T$ [13]. In high temperature limit, typical states in strong chaos are generated by random unitary operators $U$ of the total system as $|\Psi(T \to \infty)\rangle_{1\cdots N} = U|0\rangle_{1\cdots N}$, where $|0\rangle_{1\cdots N}$ is an arbitrarily fixed initial state. Note that the high temperature limit is equivalent to zero Hamiltonian limit in which all the eigenstates of $H$ are degenerated. Such a system is experimentally available in spinor Bose gases [18, 19] and Fermi gases in an optical lattice [20, 21]. For example, the effective Hamiltonian of cold atoms is given by $H = 0$.

The main setup of our problem is the following. First, let us perform the write operation $W(\theta_1)$ for $\theta_1$ to a typical state $|\Psi_{12}\rangle_{12}$ belonging to MES at high temperature. After that, a scrambling operation $U_j$ among MES is performed. By repeating these processes $n$ times, we get the following encoded state:

$$|\Psi(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n)\rangle_{1\cdots N} = U_n W(\theta_n) U_{n-1} W(\theta_{n-1}) \cdots U_1 W(\theta_1)|\Psi_{12}\rangle_{12}.$$  (3)

In general, QICs of the parameters are extremely tangled with each other. The quantum state has a very complicated structure of information storage. A tangled $\theta_j$-QIC is constructed by

$$|\Psi(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n)\rangle_{1\cdots N} = S_{code}(\theta_{j+1}, \cdots, \theta_n) |\psi_{01, \cdots, j-1, j}(\theta_j)\rangle_1 |\Upsilon(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{j-1})\rangle_{2\cdots N},$$  (4)

where $S_{code}(\theta_{j+1}, \cdots, \theta_n)$ is a unitary operation depending on $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{j-1}$. $|\psi_{01, \cdots, j-1, j}(\theta_j)\rangle_1$ is a $\theta_j$-QIC state for the first qudit, and $|\Upsilon(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{j-1})\rangle_{2\cdots N}$ is a quantum state of the other qudits depending on $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{j-1}$. Interestingly, by taking the large $N$ limit and high temperature limit, the structure is drastically simplified. Decoupled QICs emerge in high precision, and each QIC confines the information of each $\theta_j$ as

$$|\Psi(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n)\rangle_{1\cdots N} = U_{code}|\varphi(\theta_1)\rangle_1 |\varphi(\theta_2)\rangle_2 \cdots |\varphi(\theta_n)\rangle_n |\Psi_{n+1\cdots N}\rangle,$$  (5)

where $U_{code}$ is a unitary operation independent of $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n$. Its corresponding quantum Fisher information metric is a constant metric proportional to unit matrix as $[g_{jj'}]$ = $F\delta_{jj'}$ with $\theta_0 F = 0$. Thus, a rotational isometry emerges in the parameter space. For an arbitrary rotation matrix $R = [R_{jj'}]$, the redefinition of parameters as $\theta_j' = \sum_{j''} R_{jj''} \theta_j$ in $|\Psi(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n)\rangle_{1\cdots N}$ provides the same quantum Fisher information metric $[F\delta_{jj'}]$. At low temperature, the isometry is broken. Our results may be verified in future experiments on cold atoms in pure states.

Figure 1 and 2 are schematic figures which describe a water solution analogy of the above QIC picture. In Figure 1, water at low temperature is in a box and is stirred up by a scrambling machine. Droplets of different density $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ of a chemical are injected to the box. The droplets make a quite tangled cloud in the water carrying the information of $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$, corresponding to the state in Eq. (1). In Figure 2, a case with high temperature water in strong chaos is depicted. The decoupled QICs emerge and confine the information separately. This highlights the counterintuitive feature of the ordered QIC structure.
in eq. (5) generated by strong chaos in high temperature regime.

In this Letter, we adopt the natural units: $c = \hbar = k_B = 1$.

Random Unitary Operations.— In order to describe strong chaos of $N$ qudits in the double limits of large $N$ and high $T$, $U(d^N)$-group random unitary operators are introduced. The error for using the operators with respect to finite temperature is $O(\Delta E/T)$ where $\Delta E$ is difference between the maximum and minimum of eigenvalues of $H$. Suppose that $m$ state vectors $|\lambda\rangle_{1\ldots N}$ with $\lambda = 1, \ldots, m$ satisfies $\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}$. Dividing the system into the first qudit and the other $N-1$ qudits, let us introduce an orthonormal basis $\{|a\rangle_{1\ldots N} | a = 1, \ldots, d, b = 1, \ldots, d^{N-1}\}$. Then the ensemble average of random unitary operators $U$ are given by $U_{ab,\lambda} = \langle a | b(U) | \lambda \rangle$, and the ensemble averages satisfy the following relations [17]:

$$U_{a_1b_1,\lambda_1}U_{a_2b_2,\lambda_2}^* = \frac{1}{d^N} \delta_{a_1a_2} \delta_{b_1b_2} \delta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}. \quad (6)$$

$$U_{a_2b_1,\lambda_1}U_{a_2b_2,\lambda_2}^* U_{a_3b_3,\lambda_3}U_{a_4b_4,\lambda_4}^* = \frac{1}{d^2N - 1} \left[ \delta_{a_3a_4} \delta_{b_3b_4} \delta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4} \delta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} + \delta_{a_3a_4} \delta_{b_1b_3} \delta_{b_2b_4} \delta_{\lambda_1\lambda_3} \delta_{\lambda_2\lambda_4} \right] - \frac{1}{d^N (d^2N - 1)} \left[ \delta_{a_1a_2} \delta_{a_3a_4} \delta_{b_1b_3} \delta_{b_4b_2} \delta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \delta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4} \right]. \quad (7)$$

For the reduced state $\rho^{(\lambda)}_{2\ldots N} = \text{Tr}_1 \left[ U|\lambda\rangle_{1\ldots N} \langle \lambda |_{1\ldots N} U^\dagger \right]$ of $N - 1$ qudits, the following relation holds:

$$\text{Tr}_{2\ldots N} \left[ \rho^{(\lambda)}_{2\ldots N} p(\lambda')_{2\ldots N} \right] = \sum_{a,a' = 1}^d \sum_{b,b' = 1}^d U_{a'b,\lambda} U_{a'b',\lambda}^* U_{a'b,\lambda}^* U_{a'b',\lambda}. \quad (8)$$

The Schmidt decomposition of $|\psi^{(\lambda)}\rangle_{1\ldots N} = U|\lambda\rangle_{1\ldots N}$ is given by

$$|\psi^{(\lambda)}\rangle_{1\ldots N} = \sum_{a=1}^d \sum_{a' = 1}^d p(a,\lambda)p(a',\lambda') \langle \psi(a',\lambda') | \psi(a,\lambda) \rangle \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

By taking $\lambda' = \lambda$, we get

$$\text{Tr}_B \left[ \rho^{(\lambda)}_{2\ldots N} \rho^{(\lambda)}_{2\ldots N} \right] = \text{Tr}_B \left[ \rho^{(\lambda)}_{2\ldots N} \rho^{(\lambda)}_{2\ldots N} \right] = \frac{1}{d^2N - 1} \left[ d^2N - 1 + d^2N-1 \right] - \frac{1}{d^N (d^2N - 1)} \left[ d^2N - 1 + d^2N-1 \right] = \frac{1}{d} \left( 1 + O \left( d^{-2(N-1)} \right) \right).$$

Note that $1/d$ is the maximum value of $\sum_{a=1}^d p(a,\lambda)^2$, which is attained at $p(a,\lambda) = 1/d$. This yields essentially the same result of the Page theorem [22][24] such that

$$p(a,\lambda) = 1/d + O \left( d^{-(N-3)} \right). \quad (10)$$

This implies that $|\psi^{(\lambda)}\rangle_{1\ldots N}$ typically becomes a maximally entangled state in large $N$ limit.

By taking the ensemble average of $\text{Tr}_{2\ldots N} \left[ \rho^{(\lambda)}_{2\ldots N} \rho^{(\lambda)}_{2\ldots N} \right]$, the following identity is obtained:

$$\sum_{a=1}^d \sum_{a' = 1}^d p(a,\lambda)p(a',\lambda) |\psi(a',\lambda') | \psi(a,\lambda) \rangle \langle \psi(a',\lambda') | \psi(a,\lambda) \rangle $$

$$= \sum_{a=1}^d \sum_{a' = 1}^d \sum_{b=1}^d U_{a'b,\lambda} U_{a'b',\lambda}^* U_{a'b',\lambda}^* U_{a'b,\lambda}. \quad (12)$$
Substitution of eq. (7) and eq. (11) into the above equation yields
\[ \frac{1}{d} \sum_{a=1}^{d} \sum_{a' = 1}^{d} |\langle \psi(a', \lambda) |\psi(a, \lambda) \rangle|^2 = O \left( d^{-(N-1)} \right) \]
for \( X \neq \lambda \). Therefore we obtain a upper bound of \( |\langle \psi(a', \lambda) |\psi(a, \lambda) \rangle| \) as
\[ |\langle \psi(a', \lambda) |\psi(a, \lambda) \rangle| = O \left( d^{-(N-3)/2} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty). \] (13)
This concludes that \( |\psi(a, \lambda)\rangle \) in the Schmidt decomposition in eq. (9) is typically orthogonal to another \( |\psi(a, X \neq \lambda)\rangle \) in large \( N \) limit. These results derive decoupled QICs.

**Strong Chaos Yields Decoupled QICs.**— Let us take independent random unitary operators which satisfy the above relations in eqs (10) and 4 for \( U_k \) \((k = 1, \ldots, n)\) in eq. (4). The spectral decomposition of the write operator is given as \( W(\theta) = \sum_{s=1}^{d} \exp(iw_s \theta) |s1\rangle |s1\rangle \otimes I_{2 \cdots 2}\), where \( \sigma = \sum_{s=1}^{d} w_s \langle s | s \rangle \) is the eigenvalue decomposition. Let us take the initial typical state as an almost maximally entangled state
\[ |\Psi\rangle_{12 \cdots N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{s_{1}=1}^{d} |s_{1}\rangle |\psi(s_{1})\rangle_{2 \cdots N} + O \left( d^{-(N-3)/2} \right), \]
which is generated by a random unitary operator \( U_0 \) acting on \( |0\rangle_{1 \cdots N} \equiv |0\rangle_{1} \cdots |0\rangle_{N} \). Performing \( W(\theta_{1}) \) and a random unitary \( U_{1} \) to the initial state provides the following equation:
\[ U_{1}W(\theta_{1}) |\Psi\rangle_{12 \cdots N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} d \sum_{s_{1}=1}^{d} \exp(iw_{s_{1}} \theta_{1}) U_{1}|s_{1}\rangle |\psi(s_{1})\rangle_{2 \cdots N} + O \left( d^{-(N-3)/2} \right). \]
Let \( |\psi(s_{1})\rangle_{12 \cdots N} \) denote \( |s_{1}\rangle |\psi(s_{1})\rangle_{2 \cdots N} \). Since \( \langle \psi(s_{1}) |\psi(s_{2}) \rangle = \delta_{s_{1}s_{2}} \) holds, \( U_{1}|\psi(s_{1})\rangle_{12 \cdots N} \) \((s_{1} = 1, \ldots, d)\) are orthonormal to each other. \( U_{1}|\psi(s_{1})\rangle_{12 \cdots N} \) is typically a maximally entangled state
\[ U_{1}|\psi(s_{1})\rangle_{12 \cdots N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{s_{2}=1}^{d} |s_{2}\rangle |\psi(s_{1}s_{2})\rangle_{2 \cdots N}. \]
Note that \( |\langle \psi(s_{1}s_{2}) |\psi(s_{1}'s_{2}') \rangle| = \delta_{s_{1}s_{1}'} \delta_{s_{2}s_{2}'} \) is guaranteed from eq. (13). The post-scrambling state is given by
\[ U_{1}W(\theta_{1}) |\Psi\rangle_{12 \cdots N} = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \right)^{2} \sum_{s_{1}=1}^{d} \sum_{s_{2}=1}^{d} \exp(iw_{s_{1}} \theta_{1}) |s_{2}\rangle |\psi(s_{1}s_{2})\rangle_{2 \cdots N} + O \left( d^{-(N-3)/2} \right), \] (14)
Repeating the procedure \( n \) times generates the encoded state as follows:
\[ |\Psi(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n})\rangle_{1 \cdots N} = U_{n}W(\theta_{n}) U_{n-1}W(\theta_{n-1}) \cdots U_{1}W(\theta_{1}) |\Psi\rangle_{12 \cdots N}. \] (15)
From the same calculation as in Eq.(14), we get the following expression:
\[ |\Psi(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n})\rangle_{1 \cdots N} = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \right)^{n+1} \sum_{w_{1}=1}^{d} \exp \left[ i \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} \theta_{j} \right] \sum_{s=1}^{d} w_{s} \langle \phi(s_{1} \cdots s_{n}\rangle_{2 \cdots N} + O \left( d^{-(N-3)/2} \right) \right], \]
where
\[ \langle \phi(s_{1} \cdots s_{n}\rangle_{2 \cdots N} = \delta_{s_{1}s_{1}'} \cdots \delta_{s_{n}s_{n}'} \delta_{s1s2} \cdots \delta_{sn'sn}. \] (17)
holds. Eq. (17) guarantees existence of unitary operator \( U_{\text{code}} \) which is independent of \( (\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}) \) and satisfies
\[ |\phi(s_{1} \cdots s_{n}\rangle_{2 \cdots N} = U_{\text{code}} |s_{1}\rangle |s_{2}\rangle \cdots |s_{n}\rangle |\chi(n+1)\rangle_{n+2 \cdots N}. \] (18)
Substituting the above equation into eq. (15), our main result of decoupled QIC state is derived:
\[ |\Psi(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n})_{\text{AB}} = U_{\text{code}} |\varphi(\theta_{1}) \rangle_{1} |\varphi(\theta_{2}) \rangle_{2} \cdots |\varphi(\theta_{n}) \rangle_{n} |\varphi(0) \rangle_{n+1} |\chi(n+1)\rangle_{n+2 \cdots N} + O \left( d^{-(N-3)/2} \right). \] (19)
where each QIC state is given by
\[ |\varphi(\theta_{j}) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{s_{j}=1}^{d} \exp(iw_{s_{j}} \theta_{j}) |s_{j}\rangle. \]
The quantum state in Eq.(19) can be obtained by permuted multi-attribute write operations and different random unitary operations:
\[ |\Psi(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n})_{1 \cdots N} = U_{n}W(\theta_{n}) U_{n-1}W(\theta_{n-1}) \cdots U_{1}W(\theta_{1}) |\Psi_{0} \rangle_{01 \cdots N} + O \left( d^{-(N-3)/2} \right), \] (20)
where \((a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n})\) is a permutation of \((1, \ldots, n-1, n)\). This result is obtained in the case of the write operations to the first qubit. It can be extended to the cases of port-changed write operations. At every step of write operations, the location change of input port is allowed such that
\[ |\Psi(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n})_{1 \cdots N} = U_{n}W_{p_{n}}U_{n-1}W_{p_{n-1}}(\theta_{n-1}) \cdots U_{1}W_{p_{1}}(\theta_{1}) |\Psi_{0} \rangle_{01 \cdots N} + O \left( d^{-(N-3)/2} \right), \] (21)
where $W_p(\theta_j)$ is the write operation on the $p$-th qudit:

$$W_p(\theta_j) \equiv I_1 \otimes I_{p-1} \otimes e^{i\theta_j \sigma} \otimes I_{p+1} \otimes I_N.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Moreover, we get the same result in Eq.(16) even if we change the generator of the write operations at each step as $u_j \sigma u_j^\dagger$, where $u_j$ is a single-qudit unitary operator. The decoupled QIC state in Eq.(19) gives the rotational isometry in the Fisher information metric.

**QIC Speculation of Black Hole Evaporation.**— An interesting application of the decoupled QIC state may be small black hole creation in future particle colliders [25]. Figure 3 depicts the setup. Suppose that particles with high energy carry different information $\theta_j$. A small black hole in a high temperature may be created, and decay into the Hawking radiation. If the black hole temperature is high enough, the information of each $\theta_j$ may be confined in each QIC of the radiation. The model roughly predicts the emergence of rotational isometry of quantum Fisher information metric $[g_{jj'}] = F \delta_{jj'}$. Even though this conjecture of emergent isometry is merely a bold argument, investigation of quantum Fisher information of Hawking radiation about unknown multiple parameters is promising.

**Conclusion.**— We have proven the emergence of decoupled QICs in the double limits of large $N$ and high temperature as shown in eq. [19]. The results may be applied to small black hole creation and roughly predicts the rotational isometry of quantum Fisher information metric. The conjecture may be tested in future experiments of cold atoms in an entangled state under fast scrambling.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Ensemble formula

Here, we derive formulas used in this Letter to calculate the ensemble average over the unitary matrices distributed according to the Haar measure.

Let $U$ be a unitary operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Introducing an orthonormal basis $\{ |\Psi_i\rangle \}_{i=1}^D$ with $D \equiv \dim \mathcal{H}$, the unitary matrix is expanded as $U = \sum_{i,j=1}^D U_{ij} |\Psi_i\rangle \langle \Psi_j|$, where $U_{ij} \equiv \langle \Psi_i|U|\Psi_j\rangle$. Since the Haar measure is both left and right invariant, its average takes the following form:

$$U_{ij}U_{k,l}^* = a \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \quad (S1)$$

with some number $a$, where the over-line denotes the ensemble average over the Haar measure. From the normalization condition $1 = 1$, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^D U_{i,j}U_{k,j}^* = \delta_{ik} \quad (S2)$$

On the other hand, from Eq. (S1),

$$\sum_{j=1}^D U_{i,j}U_{k,j}^* = a \sum_{j=1}^D \delta_{ij} \delta_{jk} = a D \delta_{ik} \quad (S3)$$

holds, implying that

$$U_{i,j}U_{k,l}^* = \frac{1}{D} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \quad (S4)$$

Repeating the above arguments, we can derive the ensemble average formula for higher moments. From the symmetry, it holds that

$$U_{i,j}U_{k,l}U_{x,y}^*U_{z,w}^* = b \left( \delta_{iy}\delta_{jx}\delta_{kw}\delta_{lz} + \delta_{iw}\delta_{jz}\delta_{kx}\delta_{ly} \right) + c \left( \delta_{iy}\delta_{jz}\delta_{kw}\delta_{lx} + \delta_{iw}\delta_{jx}\delta_{ky}\delta_{lz} \right) \quad (S5)$$

for numbers $b, c$. The coefficients are determined from constraints

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^D U_{i,j}U_{k,l}U_{x,y}^*U_{z,w}^* = D \delta_{tz} \quad (S6)$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^D U_{i,j}U_{k,l}U_{x,y}^*U_{z,w}^* = \delta_{jz}. \quad (S7)$$

Combining eqs. (S5), (S6), (S7), we get

$$U_{i,j}U_{k,l}U_{x,y}^*U_{z,w}^* = \frac{1}{D^2 - 1} \left( \delta_{iy}\delta_{jx}\delta_{kw}\delta_{lz} + \delta_{iw}\delta_{jz}\delta_{kx}\delta_{ly} \right) - \frac{1}{D(D^2 - 1)} \left( \delta_{iy}\delta_{jz}\delta_{kw}\delta_{lx} + \delta_{iw}\delta_{jx}\delta_{ky}\delta_{lz} \right). \quad (S8)$$

Canonical typicality

For macroscopic systems, it is known that an overwhelming majority of pure states are almost indistinguishable locally \[12\]–\[16\]. We review this result here, based on the arguments in \[13\]–\[16\]. Define a set of traceless Hermitian operators $\{ \lambda_i \}_{i=1}^{D^2-1}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{s}$ satisfying $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{s}} (\lambda_i \lambda_j) = d_s \delta_{ij}$, where $d_s$ is the dimension of Hilbert space: $d_s \equiv \dim \mathcal{H}_{s}$. To investigate a macroscopic system, let us consider $N(\gg 1)$ copies of the system. A basis of the traceless Hermitian operators on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$ can be constructed by $\{ \lambda_{\mu} \}_{\mu}$, where we have defined

$$\lambda_{\mu} \equiv \lambda_{\mu_1} \otimes \lambda_{\mu_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{\mu_N} = \bigotimes_{n=1}^N \lambda_{\mu_n} \quad (\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N), \mu_n = 0, \ldots, d_s^2 - 1) \quad (S9)$$
and \( \lambda_0 \equiv I \). Since this basis is orthonormal
\[
\text{Tr}_H (\lambda_{\mu} \lambda_{\mu}) = D \delta_{\mu \mu}, \quad D \equiv d^N, \tag{S10}
\]
any density operator \( \rho \) can be expanded as
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{\mu=0}^{D^2-1} (\lambda_{\mu}) \lambda_{\mu}, \tag{S11}
\]
where we have defined \( \langle \lambda_{\mu} \rangle \equiv \text{Tr}_H (\rho \lambda_{\mu}) \). For macroscopic systems, it is practically impossible to perform measurements on the whole \( N \)-body system. Therefore, the reduced state for \( m \ll N \)-body system plays an important role. As an example, consider the reduced state for the subsystem \( A \) composed of the first \( m \) body. The reduced state for the subsystem \( A \) is given by
\[
\rho_A = \frac{1}{d^m} \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{d^2-1} \cdots \sum_{\mu_m=0}^{d^2-1} \text{Tr}_{\nu=0} (\rho \lambda_{(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m,0,\ldots,0)}) \lambda_{(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m)}. \tag{S12}
\]
Let \( H \) be the Hamiltonian of the system. By using the energy eigenvectors \( H |E_i\rangle = E_i |E_i\rangle \), the microcanonical ensemble asserts that the system is in a pure state randomly chosen from an energy shell \( [E - \delta E, E] \) \((\delta E/E \ll 1)\). To show the typicality of the reduced state, let us calculate the expectation value and the variance a Haar-random pure state distributed over \( \mathcal{H}_{[E-\delta E,E]} \), where \( \mathcal{H}_{[E-\delta E,E]} \) is the Hilbert space spanned by energy eigenstates \( E_i \) satisfying \( E_i \in [E - \delta E, E] \). A Haar-random pure state is generated by \( \ket{\Psi(U)} \equiv U \ket{\Psi_0} \), where \( \ket{\Psi_0} \in \mathcal{H}_{[E-\delta E,E]} \) is a fixed pure state and \( U \) is a unitary operator on \( \mathcal{H}_{[E-\delta E,E]} \) randomly chosen according to the Haar measure. For any Hermite operator \( \lambda \), the ensemble average is calculated by using Eq. \( (S1) \) as
\[
\langle \Psi(U) | \lambda | \Psi(U) \rangle = \frac{1}{d_E} \sum_{i=1}^{d_E} \langle E_i | \lambda | E_i \rangle,
\]
where we have defined \( d_E \equiv \mathcal{H}_{[E-\delta E,E]} \) and \( \{ |E_i\rangle \}_{i=1}^{d_E} \) are energy eigenstates in \( \mathcal{H}_{[E-\delta E,E]} \). Similarly, from eq. \( (S7) \), we get
\[
\langle \Psi(U) | \lambda_1 | \Psi(U) \rangle \langle \lambda | \Psi(U) \rangle = \frac{1}{d_E(d_E + 1)} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_E} \langle E_i | \lambda_1 | E_i \rangle \langle E_j | \lambda | E_j \rangle + \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_E} \langle E_i | \lambda | E_i \rangle \langle E_j | \lambda_1 | E_j \rangle \right). \tag{S14}
\]
Combining eqs. \( (S13) \) and \( (S14) \), we obtain the upper-bound for the variance as follows:
\[
\frac{\langle \Psi(U) | (\lambda - \langle \Psi(U) | \lambda | \Psi(U) \rangle)^2 | \Psi(U) \rangle}{\langle \Psi(U) | \lambda_1 | \Psi(U) \rangle \langle \lambda | \Psi(U) \rangle} = \frac{1}{d_E(d_E + 1)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_E} \langle E_i | \lambda_1 | E_i \rangle \langle E_j | \lambda | E_j \rangle \leq \frac{1}{d_E(d_E + 1)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d_E} \langle E_i | \lambda_1^2 | E_i \rangle \right), \tag{S15}
\]
where \( |\lambda_1^2| \) denotes the maximum eigenvalue of \( \lambda^2 \). For a fixed \( U \), the reduced state for a \( m \)-body system is calculated as
\[
\rho(U) = \frac{1}{d^m} \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{d^2-1} \cdots \sum_{\mu_m=0}^{d^2-1} \langle \Psi(U) | \lambda_{(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m,0,\ldots,0)} | \Psi(U) \rangle \lambda_{(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m)}. \tag{S16}
\]
The averaged state is given by
\[
\rho_A^{(ave.)} = \text{Tr}_H \left( \frac{1}{d_E} \sum_{i=1}^{d_E} |E_i\rangle \langle E_i| \right), \tag{S17}
\]
where $\mathcal{H}_A$ denotes the Hilbert space for the complement system of the subsystem $A$. By using the bound in eq. (S15), we get

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_A} \left( \left( \rho_A(U) - \rho_A^{(\text{ave.})} \right)^2 \right) \leq \frac{d_{2m}}{d_E + 1},$$

(S18)

where we have used the fact that $|\lambda_{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m, 0, \ldots, 0}| \leq d_{2m}$. For thermodynamically normal system, $d_E$ grows exponentially as $N$ increase. Therefore, for large $N$, the reduced state for $m$-body system is well approximated by $\rho_A^{(\text{ave.})}$. Now, suppose that the Hamiltonian of the system is given by $H = H_A \otimes I_{\bar{A}} + I_A \otimes H_{\bar{A}}$. Then, the energy eigenstate is constructed as $\{|E_A\rangle \otimes |E_{\bar{A}}\rangle\}_{E_A, E_{\bar{A}}}$, where $|E_A\rangle$ and $|E_{\bar{A}}\rangle$ are eigenstates for $H_A$ and $H_{\bar{A}}$, respectively. By using the density of states $\Omega_{\bar{A}}(E_{\bar{A}})$ for the subsystem $\bar{A}$, the average reduced state is given by

$$\rho_A^{(\text{ave.})} = \text{Tr}_{\bar{A}} \left( \frac{1}{d_E} \sum_{E_A, E_{\bar{A}}; E_A + E_{\bar{A}} \in [E-\Delta, E]} |E_A\rangle \langle E_A| \otimes |E_{\bar{A}}\rangle \langle E_{\bar{A}}| \right)$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{d_E} \sum_{E_A} \Omega_A(E - E_A) |E_A\rangle \langle E_A| \approx \frac{1}{Z_{\beta}} \sum_{E_A} e^{-\beta E_A} |E_A\rangle \langle E_A|,$$

(S19)

where $\beta \equiv \frac{\beta}{d_E} \ln \Omega_A(E)$ is the inverse temperature and $Z_\beta \equiv \sum E_A e^{-\beta E_A}$ is the partition function. Therefore, the reduced state for a small subsystem $A$ of an overwhelming majority of overall pure states distributed uniformly over an energy shell is approximately given by a Gibbs state.