Quantum memory assisted precision rotation sensing
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We propose to implement a solid-state rotation sensor by employing a many-body quantum spin system which takes the advantages of the easy controllability of the electron spin and the robustness provided by the collective nuclear spin state. The sensor consists of a central electron spin coupled to many surrounding nuclear spins. Previously, this central spin system has been suggested to realize a quantum memory. Here, we further utilize the collective nuclear spins, which store a certain quantum state, to detect the macroscopic rotation. Different from other nuclear spin based gyroscopes, our proposal does not directly manipulate nuclear spins via nuclear magnetic resonance technique. We also analytically and numerically investigate the effects of partial nuclear polarization and decoherence on the sensitivity in a full quantum mechanical fashion. Our proposal paves the way to the experimental realization of a compact solid-state, full-electrical and spin-based gyroscope.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum spin systems are attractive candidates to implement a quantum gyroscope, such as the well-explored nuclear magnetic resonance gyroscopes (NMRG) 1–3, which have manifested excellent sensitivity in a laboratory. However, these nuclear spin systems are usually gas based 2,4 (the active sensing volume > 10 mm³), that are difficult to be miniaturized which limits their practical applications. Recently, solid-state quantum spin systems, such as nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in a diamond, have been proposed to realize a rotation sensor via the geometric phase 2,5. These solid-state spin gyroscopes are promising to be miniaturized 8 (the active sensing volume < 1 mm³) and to reduce the power consumption. Moreover, the rotating solid-state quantum spin system has been investigated in recent experiments 3,10, and shown good prospect as a rotation sensor.

Unlike the spin-based magnetometry 11, the geometric phase change is independent of the gyromagnetic ratio in a spin-based gyroscope. Therefore, a nuclear spin is a better candidate than an electron spin as a rotation sensor, due to its stability to magnetic noise and long coherence time 6,11. However, since the nuclear gyromagnetic ratio is much smaller than the electronic gyromagnetic ratio, γ_n ≪ γ_e, as well as the nuclear spins are always well-isolated from the environment, the manipulation and measurement of nuclear spins are usually inefficient compared to electronic spins, which greatly limits the gyroscope sensitivity in practice 11. An attractive way is to utilize the hyperfine interaction to manipulate and measure the nuclear spin state through the electron. Single electronic spin coupled with single nuclear spin has been suggested to implement such a gyroscope in diamond 8. However, the required pulse sequences of this protocol are rather complicated, consisting of both nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and electron spin resonance (ESR). In addition, the single nuclear spin proximal to the NV electronic spin is also very sensitive to external perturbations 12.

The long-lived quantum memory proposed by use of a semiconductor quantum dot, takes the advantages of the fast electron spin manipulation and the long coherence time provided by nuclei 13. Specifically, this protocol circumvents the difficulty of controlling single nuclear spins by utilizing collective nuclear spin state. In this paper, we combine the quantum memory technique with the nuclear spin rotation sensing, to implement a quantum memory assisted rotation sensor. The most significant advantage of our protocol is that, it only needs the fast and high efficient electron spin manipulations and measurement instead of the slow and inefficient nuclear spin manipulations 14. Furthermore, we also show that, the sensitivity of the rotation sensor can be greatly enhanced when the nuclear spins are inhomogeneously polarized.

II. ROTATION SENSING PROTOCOL

We illustrate our proposal by use of the many-spin system in a semiconductor quantum dot, as depicted in Fig. 1 The protocol includes three stages, the encoding, the sensing and the retrieval stage. At the beginning of the encoding stage, an electron in a certain spin state |ψ_e(0)⟩ = (1/√2)(|↑⟩_e + |↓⟩_e) is injected into a quantum dot. With an external magnetic field properly tuned on resonance, the electron spin state is mapped into the nuclear spins' collective state after a half period of Rabi oscillation 15. This state mapping helps to build up the coherence of the collective nuclear spin state. Then, the electron is ejected from the quantum dot. The un-
clear spins experience a pseudo magnetic field due to the macroscopic rotation and undergo a coherent precession in the rotating reference frame during the sensing stage. After the rotation sensing, a new electron polarized along the \(-z\) axis \(|\downarrow\rangle_e\) is injected, along with the external magnetic field tuned on resonance again. After another half period of Rabi oscillation, the collective nuclear spin state with rotational information encoded, is mapped back into the electron spin and the electron spin state is measured subsequently.

We illustrate the protocol described above using the central spin model, which can describe a central electron spin coupled to many surrounding nuclear spins via hyperfine interaction in a semiconductor quantum dot. During the short encoding and retrieval stages, the Hamiltonian is,

\[
H = g_e \mu_B B_0 S_z + g_n \mu_n B_0 \sum_{j=1}^N I_{jz} + \sum_{k=1}^N A_k S_z I_{kz} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{A_k}{2} (S_+ I_{k-} + S_- I_{k+}).
\]  

The first term and the second term are the Zeeman energies of the electron spin and nuclear spins, respectively, where \(g_e (g_n)\) is the Landé g-factor of the electron (nucleus), \(\mu_B (\mu_n)\) is the Bohr magneton (nuclear magneton), and \(B_0\) is the magnitude of the external magnetic field applied along \(z\) axis. The third and fourth terms are the hyperfine contact interaction of the electron with \(N\) surrounding nuclei, where \(S (I_k)\) is the spin operator of the electron \((k\text{-th nucleus})\) with \(S_z = S_x + i S_y\) and \(I_{k\pm} = I_{kx} \pm i I_{ky}\). For simplicity but without generality, we only considering \(I_k = 1/2\) here. Typically, the hyperfine coupling coefficient \(A_k\) is nonuniform, for example, in a quantum dot, \(A_k \propto |\psi(r_k)|^2\), where \(|\psi(r_k)|^2\) is the electron profile density at site \(r_k\) of the \(k\text{-th nucleus}\). This nonuniformity \((A_k \neq A)\) intrinsically limits the fidelity of quantum memory and will also limit the sensitivity of the rotation sensing proposed in this paper. Specifically, for the encoding and retrieval processes to work, an external magnetic field needs to be applied to bring the system into resonance (spin-exchange part of the hyperfine interaction dominates),

\[
B_0 = P \sum_k A_k/(2g_e \mu_B) - M_i/(2M_2 g_e \mu_B),
\]

where \(M_i = \sum_k A_k^2\) is defined as the \(n\text{-th moment of the distribution of } A_k\), and \(P\) is the average nuclear polarization.

During the relatively long rotation sensing stage, the evolution of the system is governed by,

\[
H_S = g_n \mu_n \mu \cdot \sum_{k=1}^N I_k + \sum_{j<k} \Gamma_{jk}(3I_{jz}I_{kz} - I_j \cdot I_k).
\]  

The first term describes nuclear spins precessing in the common pseudo magnetic field, \(\mathbf{B}_0 = \Omega/(g_n \mu_n)\), where \(\Omega\) is the rotational vector, in the frame rotating at an angular frequency \(\Omega\). For simplicity, we assume the rotational vector to direct along the \(z\) axis, so the first term becomes, \(\Omega \sum_{k} I_{kz}\). The second term describes the dipole-dipole interaction between nuclear spins, where the coefficient \(\Gamma_{jk}\) is the dipolar coupling strength. This term is neglected in \(H\) (Eq. 1), since the rate of state transfer is many orders of magnitude faster than the typical decoherence rate induced by the nuclear dipolar interaction. However, during the rotation sensing stage, we can not neglect the nuclear dipolar interaction any more, since for high sensitivity purpose, the sensing time usually needs to be as long as possible, which is ultimately restricted by the decoherence.

To demonstrate the entire rotation sensing protocol, as shown in Fig. 1 we first consider the simplest case that nuclear spins are perfectly polarized, namely, \(P = 1\). In this case, the dipolar interaction between nuclear spins becomes greatly suppressed, so we can temporarily neglect the nuclear dipole-dipole term, \(H_S \approx \Omega \sum_{k=1}^N I_{kz}\). Because of the conservation of the total spin, \([J_z, H] = [J_z, H_S] = 0\), where \(J_z = S_z + \sum_{k=1}^N I_{kz}\), the Hamiltonians can be expanded in a set of orthonormal basis states: \(|\psi_0\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle_e \otimes |\phi_0\rangle\), \(|\psi_1\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle_e \otimes |\phi_0\rangle\), \(|\psi_2\rangle = (1/\sqrt{M_2}) \sum_{k} A_k |\uparrow\rangle_e \otimes |\phi_k\rangle\), and \(|\psi_3\rangle = |u'\rangle/||u'||\rangle\), where \(|\phi_0\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^N |\downarrow\rangle_n\) and \(|\phi_k\rangle = I_{k+} |\phi_0\rangle\) are collective nuclear spin states. The state,

\[
|u'\rangle = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{M_2}} \sum_{k=1}^N A_k^2 |\downarrow\rangle_e \otimes |\phi_k\rangle - \frac{M_i}{M_2}|\psi_2\rangle.
\]
stand for the leakage from the ideal two-level system (when only considering the spin-exchange term during state transfer $\text{(13)}$), and $||u'|| = \sqrt{M_1^2/M_2^2 - M_2^2/M_2^2}$ is the norm of $|u'||$. The Hamiltonian $H$ can be represented as,

$$H = \sqrt{M_2/2} \begin{bmatrix} \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}\delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2}\delta & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$ (3)

where $\delta = ||u'||/\sqrt{2}$ and $\theta = \sqrt{M_1^2/M_2^2}$. In realistic physical systems, for example, in a semiconductor quantum dot, $A_k$ is often supposed in a Gaussian distribution, then $\delta, \theta \sim 1/\sqrt{N}$, which are small quantities when $N$ is large. The initial state of the compound system is $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |\psi_{t}(0)\rangle \otimes |\phi_0\rangle$. After the encoding stage, the state of the total system evolves into,

$$|\Psi(t_E)\rangle = e^{-iH_{tE}}|\Psi(0)\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle_c \otimes |v_1\rangle_n + |\downarrow\rangle_c \otimes |v_2\rangle_n,$$ (4)

where $|v_1\rangle_n$ and $|v_2\rangle_n$ denote the nuclear spin state. Then the electron is ejected from the quantum dot (for example via tunneling into the adjacent electron reservoir in a gate-defined quantum dot $\text{(30)}$, which is equivalent to the Von Neumann’s projection $\text{(15)}$. After that, the system evolves into a mixed state,

$$\rho(t_E) = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| \otimes |v_1\rangle_n + |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| \otimes |v_2\rangle_n.$$ (5)

During the sensing stage, the nuclear spins evolve under the Hamiltonian $H_S$,

$$|v'_1\rangle_n = e^{-iH_{tS}}|v_1\rangle_n,$$

$$|v'_2\rangle_n = e^{-iH_{tS}}|v_2\rangle_n.$$ For the retrieval, another electron is injected and the state of the total system becomes,

$$\rho(t_E + t_S) = |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| \otimes (|v'_1\rangle_n + |v'_2\rangle_n).$$ (6)

Finally, the state after the retrieval process becomes,

$$\rho(t_E + t_S + t_R) = e^{-iH_{tR}}\rho(t_E + t_S)e^{iH_{tR}}.$$ (7)

The entire rotation sensing process introduced above, can be summarized as,

$$\rho_F = U_R P R U_S E P_0 U_E^{\dagger} U_S^{\dagger} U_R^{\dagger},$$

$$+ U_R S - U_S E P_0 U_E^{\dagger} U_S^{\dagger} S + U_R^{\dagger},$$ (8)

where $P_0 = |\Psi(0)\rangle \langle \Psi(0)|$ is the initial state of the total system and $\rho_F = \rho(t_E + t_S + t_R)$; $U_E = e^{-iH_{tE}}$, $U_S = e^{-iH_{tS}}$ and $U_R = e^{-iH_{tR}}$ are the evolution operators during the encoding, the sensing and the retrieval stage, respectively. The encoding (retrieval) time $t_{E(R)} = \pi/\sqrt{M_2}$, and $t_S$ is the rotation sensing time. The operators that describe electron ejection and injection are defined as, $P_\uparrow = |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| \otimes |v_1\rangle_n$ and $S_\pm = S_z \pm iS_y$. Then the expectation values of the electron spin can be calculated, $\langle S_i \rangle = \text{Tr}[\tau_i \rho_F]$, with $i = x, y, z$, where $\tau_x = \text{Tr}_n \rho_F$ is the reduced density matrix of the electron spin. Usually, the electron spin level population is measured in experiment and the corresponding signal (here the probability that $|\uparrow\rangle$ is populated after applying an electron spin $\pi/2$ pulse) is,

$$S = \frac{1}{2} + \text{Tr}[e^{-i\pi/2}S_z \rho_F e^{i\pi/2}S_z]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} - \sin^2(\lambda) \left[ 1 + (2\lambda^2 - 1) \cos(\lambda) \right] \cos(\pi\theta - \Omega t_S),$$ (9)

where $\lambda = \sqrt{1 + \delta^2}$. This analytical result demonstrates that the retrieved electron spin signal indeed oscillates at the rotational angular frequency $\Omega$. The finite value of $\delta$ prevents complete transfer of spin state, just as in the quantum memory protocol. Specifically, we note that the finite value of $\theta$ introduces an extra phase in the oscillation. This characteristic may be used to detect properties of the nuclear ensemble, such as estimating the size of the nuclear ensemble. This analytical result is plotted in Fig. 2(d), which shows great agreement with numerical simulations (see the following part).

III. EFFECTS OF PARTIAL POLARIZATION AND DECOHERENCE

However, in realistic situations, the nuclear polarization is far from perfect ($P < 1$), which limits the state transfer fidelity and reduces the signal contrast. At the same time, the nuclear dipolar interaction induced decoherence also intrinsically limits the sensitivity. In order to study these imperfections, we use exact numerical method, based on the Chebyshev polynomial expansion of the evolution operator $\text{(17)}$, to simulate the dynamics of this many-spin system. Here, we follow the configurations used in previous work $\text{(18)}$, where $N = 20$ nuclei are placed on a $4 \times 5$ 2D lattice, with the value of $A_k$’s in the range of 0.31 to 0.96, corresponding to a Gaussian $|\psi(r)|^2$, and obtain $\Gamma_{jk}$’s from uniformly distributed random numbers in the range of -0.01 to 0.01.

Specifically, we will consider two nuclear polarization circumstances in detail. Thermal nuclear polarization, which usually needs applying a large static magnetic field $\text{(15)}$, results in homogeneous nuclear polarization and the initial nuclear state is $\rho_n(0) = (1/Z) \exp(-\gamma \sum_k k_{zk})$, where $Z$ is the partition function and $\gamma = 2 \tanh^{-1}(P)$. On the other hand, dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP), for example, by passing a series of spin-polarized electrons through a quantum dot, leads to inhomogeneous nuclear polarization $\text{(19)}$ and the initial nuclear state is $\rho_n(0) = \otimes_{k=1}^N \rho_{nk}(0)$, with $\rho_{nk}(0) = (1/2) \pm p_k I_{z2}$, where $p_k = 1 - \exp(-2\beta E_k^2)$ is the polarization of the $k$-th nuclear spin ($\beta$ is a parameter reflecting an effective spin temperature) and the average nuclear polarization is defined as, $P = (1/N) \sum_{k=1}^N p_k$.
The evolution of the entire rotation sensing process can be calculated following Eq. 5 and here the initial state is
\[
\rho(0) = |\psi_e(0)\rangle \langle \psi_e(0)| \otimes \rho_n(0).
\]

The numerically calculated electron spin population \(S\) versus sensing time \(t_s\) is plotted in Fig. 2(a) and (b). Indeed, the nuclear dipole-dipole interaction results in a large oscillation amplitude, as the result shown in Fig. 2(a). Meanwhile, as shown in Fig. 2(b), with the same average nuclear polarization, the inhomogeneous case presents higher oscillation amplitude than the homogeneous case, indicating higher signal-to-noise ratio in experiment. These features are similar to that of the quantum memory protocol [18], since the increase of the state transfer fidelity naturally leads to an improvement of the signal contrast and the sensitivity.

Next, we plot the electron spin population \(S\) as a function of the rotational angular frequency \(\Omega\) with a fixed sensing time \(t_S\) for different nuclear polarizations in Fig. 2(a) and (b). The high degree of nuclear polarization leads to a large oscillation amplitude, as the result shown in Fig. 2(a). Meanwhile, as shown in Fig. 3(b), with the same average nuclear polarization, the inhomogeneous case presents larger oscillation amplitude than the homogeneous case, indicating higher signal-to-noise ratio in experiment. These features are similar to that of the quantum memory protocol [18], since the increase of the state transfer fidelity naturally leads to an improvement of the signal contrast and the sensitivity.

### IV. THEORETICAL ESTIMATION OF THE SENSITIVITY

We quantify the performance of this rotation sensing protocol by calculating the quantum Fisher information (QFI), which is defined as, \(F_Q = T(\tau_2 L^2)\), where \(L\) is the symmetric logarithmic derivative (determined by \(\partial \tau_2 / \partial \Omega = (1/2) [\tau_2 L + L \tau_2]\)). For the retrieved electron spin state here, the QFI has a simple expression
\[
F_Q = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\langle \partial \tau_2 \rangle^2 + \langle |\psi_{e}(0)|^2 \rangle^2}{\langle |\psi_{e}(0)|^2 \rangle} & \text{if } |v| < 1, \\
\langle \partial \tau_2 \rangle^2 & \text{if } |v| = 1.
\end{cases}
\]  
(10)

where \(v = ((S_z), (S_y), (S_x))\) is the Bloch vector representing the retrieved electron spin state. The QFI as a function of average nuclear polarization with fixed sensing time is depicted in Fig. 3(c). Apparently, the QFI increases as the average nuclear polarization increases, indicating an improvement in measurement precision. In addition, under the same \(P\), the inhomogeneous case exhibits much larger QFI than the homogeneous case, almost 2 times larger when \(P = 0.7\). We also find that the behavior of the homogeneous case is in accordance with the analytical model (by assuming \(A_k = A\), see Appendix A), whereas the inhomogeneous case shows nontrivial characteristics, which can be explained by the utilization of the nonuniformity of the hyperfine coupling.

Next, we focus on the effects of decoherence on the sensitivity. Using the quantum Cramér-Rao bound [23], we can estimate the sensitivity from the QFI, \(\delta \Omega = \sqrt{t_S + t_M}/(C \sqrt{F_Q})\), where \(C\) is the coefficient measuring the readout efficiency of the electron spin state; \(t_M\) is the dead time which is required for initialization, transfer, and readout of the electron spin state. To get a qualitative understanding, we first consider a simple situation, namely, during the encoding and retrieval stages the central electron spin interacts strongly with the nearest nuclear spin (with polarization \(P\)), which is decoupled by other surrounding nuclear spins during the rotation sensing stage (see Appendix B). We can obtain the sensitivity after a straightforward calculation,

\[
\delta \Omega = \frac{e^{(t_S/2)}}{4Ct_S \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{P^2 - 2P + 5} \right)}\sqrt{t_S + t_M(P^2 - 2P + 5)},
\]  
(11)

where \(T_2^*\) is the characteristic nuclear decoherence time. This equation demonstrates that the nuclear spin decoherence seems to transfer to the electron spin and there exists an optimal sensing time, \(t_S \approx T_2^*/2\) (when \(t_M \ll t_S\)). In Fig. 3(d), we present the estimated sensitivity from the exact numerical simulations. As we can see, there indeed exists an optimal sensing time, \(t_S \approx T_2^*/2\), where \(T_2^*\) can be obtained by fitting to a Gaussian decay (see Appendix B) of the corresponding signal in Fig. 2(b). Again, the inhomogeneous case always exhibits an enhanced sensitivity compared with the homogeneous case.
The sensitivity.

Comparison of different degree of nuclear polarization. The dotted lines reflect fixed sensing time $t$ in a slope detection measurement. The dashed line corresponds to the homogeneous case in (a). Comparison of homogeneous nuclear polarization (solid line) and inhomogeneous nuclear polarization (dash-dotted line) with the same $P = 0.8$ in (b). (c) Numerical results for the homogeneous (inhomogeneous) nuclear polarization. The dashed line corresponds to the analytical result of the uniformly coupling model ($A_k = A$, see Appendix A). (d) The estimated sensitivity for the inhomogeneous case (triangles) and the homogeneous case (circles) corresponding to the data in Fig. 2(b) by assuming $C = 0.8$, $T_2^* = 3$ ms, and $t_M = 100$ ns.

Our proposal can be implemented using a semiconductor quantum dot, where the measurement time $t_M$ of the electron spin can be as short as 100 ns [24], the readout visibility can be as high as 80% [25], and the maximum average nuclear polarization achieved so far is about 65% [26]. We estimate the nuclear decoherence time $T_2^* \approx 3$ ms [13, 27] and the sensitivity is estimated $\delta \Omega \sim 50$ rad s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1/2}$ per sensor unit (or per qubit).

V. DISCUSSION

Compared to proposals using NV centers in diamond [4, 8], our proposal shows substantial advantages. First, in a semiconductor quantum dot, single-shot readout of electron spin state can be realized via spin-to-charge conversion [25, 28], with a much higher readout efficiency (larger $C$) and much faster measurement speed ($t_M \ll t_S$) than the optical readout in diamond. Second, the single proximal nuclear spin in diamond has relatively short coherence time because of its strong interaction with the NV electronic spin, such as the optical illumination induced depolarization [12]. On the contrary, the electron can be removed from the quantum dot, so the hyperfine interaction can be turned off completely, resulting in a remarkably long nuclear coherence time [29]. Third, our proposal circumvents direct nuclear manipulations via NMR technique by exploiting the coherent spin state transfer, while it is widely known that the nuclear spin manipulation (especially for single nuclear spins) is usually inefficient and always brings extra noise into the system [14]. Fourth, by use of a gate-defined quantum dot [30], a full-electrical spin-based rotation sensor seems feasible, since nuclear spins can be electrically polarized by DNP [10] and the electron spin state can be electrically readout via spin-to-charge conversion [28]. The full-electrical solutions can be much more compact and easier to integrate with other quantum devices than the optical solutions.

In principle, the rotation sensing technique proposed in this paper is widely suited to various quantum systems, that can be implemented as a quantum memory, such as atomic ensembles [32] or other solid-state spin systems [33, 34]. Via the coherent state transfer, entanglement may be generated in this many-spin system, which can also be used to improve the sensitivity [35, 36]. Besides, this protocol combines a quantum sensor with a quantum memory, so it may allow us to implement the quantum non-demolition (QND) measurement to increase the sensitivity even further [37, 38].
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Appendix A: Analytical solution for the uniform coupling case ($A_k = A$)

In order to analytically investigate the effects of average nuclear polarization on sensitivity, we consider the case that the electron spin has a uniform coupling with nuclear spins, namely, $A_k = A$, so the Hamiltonian during the encoding and retrieval stage can be simplified as,

$$H = g_e \mu_B B_0 S_z + A S \cdot I,$$

where $I = \sum_{k=1}^N I_k$, is the collective nuclear spin operator. For simplicity, we also neglect the nuclear dipole-dipole interaction during the sensing stage,

$$H_S \approx \Omega I_z.$$  

Since $[I^2, H] = [I^2, H_S] = 0$, the magnitude of the collective nuclear spin angular momentum $I_0$ is the constant of
motion during the entire rotation sensing protocol. We first calculate the spin dynamics with the collective nuclear spin state, $|I_0, M_0\rangle$, where $I_0(I_0 + 1)$ is the eigenvalue of $I^2$ and $M_0$ is the eigenvalue of $I_z$, and then average over the distribution of $I_0$ and $M_0$ corresponding to the thermal nuclear spin state due to partial nuclear polarization. The Hamiltonian can be expanded in the basis $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0\rangle$, $|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0 + 1\rangle$, $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0 - 1\rangle$, as,

$$ H = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & 0 & q_2 & 0 \\ 0 & p_1 - \frac{1}{2} & 0 & q_1 \\ q_2 & 0 & -p_1 - \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & q_1 & 0 & -p_1 \end{bmatrix} $$

where,

$$ q_1 = \frac{A}{2} \sqrt{(I_0 + M_0)(I_0 - M_0 + 1)} ,$$
$$ q_2 = \frac{A}{2} \sqrt{(I_0 - M_0)(I_0 + M_0 + 1)} ,$$
$$ p_1 = \frac{A}{2} M_0 + \frac{1}{2} g_e \mu_B B_0 .$$

The spin dynamics during the entire rotation sensing procedure can be calculated as follows:

1. The initial state of the system is,

$$ |\psi(0) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |I_0, M_0\rangle. $$

2. After the encoding process, the state evolves into,

$$ |\psi(t_E) \rangle = e^{-iHt_E} |\psi(0) \rangle , $$

and we denote this state as,

$$ |\psi(t_E) \rangle = |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |v_1\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |v_2\rangle , $$

where $|v_1\rangle = \alpha_1 |I_0, M_0\rangle + \alpha_2 |I_0, M_0 + 1\rangle$ and $|v_2\rangle = \alpha_3 |I_0, M_0 - 1\rangle + \alpha_4 |I_0, M_0\rangle$.

3. After the ejection of the electron spin, the compound system evolves into a mixed state,

$$ \rho(t_E) = |\uparrow\rangle \langle |v_1\rangle | \downarrow\rangle \langle v_2 | $$

4. During the sensing stage, the nuclear spins precess in the common pseudo magnetic field, and after the rotation sensing the state evolves into,

$$ |\psi(t_R) \rangle = e^{-iH_{t_R}t_R} \rho(t_E) e^{iH_{t_R}t_R} |\psi(t_E) \rangle $$

5. After the injection of a new polarized electron spin, the state of the compound system becomes,

$$ \rho(t_E) = |\downarrow\rangle \langle |v_1\rangle | \downarrow\rangle \langle v_1| + |v_2\rangle \langle v_2| . $$

6. The evolution during the retrieval stage is again unitary,

$$ \rho(t_R) = e^{-iH_{t_R}t_R} \rho(t_E) e^{iH_{t_R}t_R} = |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1| + |\psi_2\rangle \langle \psi_2| , $$

where $|\psi_1\rangle = e^{-iH_{t_R}t_R} |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |v_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle = e^{-iH_{t_R}t_R} |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |v_2\rangle$. For the calculation of $|\psi_1\rangle$, the Hamiltonian can be expanded in the basis $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0 - 1\rangle$, $|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0 - 2\rangle$, $|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0 + 1\rangle$, and the matrix has the same form as Eq. (A3) except changing the entries by $M_0 \rightarrow M_0 - 1$; For the calculation of $|\psi_2\rangle$, the Hamiltonian can be expanded in the basis $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0 + 1\rangle$, $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle \otimes |I_0, M_0\rangle$, and the matrix has the same form as Eq. (A3) except changing the entries by $M_0 \rightarrow M_0 + 1$.

After the final state is obtained, the expectation value of the electron spin can be calculated,

$$ \langle S_i \rangle = \text{Tr}[\rho(t_R)S_i] = \langle \psi_1 \vert S_i \vert \psi_1 \rangle + \langle \psi_2 \vert S_i \vert \psi_2 \rangle , $$

with $i = x, y, z$ and $S_x = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| + |\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow|$, $S_y = -i (|\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| - |\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow|)$, $S_z = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| - |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow|$. The last step is the average over the distribution of $I_0$ and $M_0$,

$$ \langle S_i \rangle = \sum_{I_0 = 0}^{N/2} \sum_{M_0 = -I_0}^{I_0} w(I_0, M_0) \langle S_i \rangle , $$

where $w(I_0, M_0)$ is the probability distribution of $I_0$ and $M_0$. For the thermal state with average nuclear polarization $P$,

$$ w(I_0, M_0) = C_N^{N/2 - I_0} \left( \frac{1 + P}{2} \right)^N (\frac{1 - P}{2})^{N/2 + M_0} (\frac{2I_0 + 1}{N/2 + I_0 + 1}) . $$
where $C_N^{N/2-I_0}$ is the binomial coefficient. For the situation of large nuclear polarization ($P \sim 1$) and many nuclear spins ($N \to \infty$), the contribution of $I_0 = -M_0$ dominates, and the distribution $w(I_0, M_0)$ can be approximated by,

$$w(M_0) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(M_0-\bar{M})^2}{2\sigma^2}} \tag{A12}$$

$$\langle P \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-N/2}^{N/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(M_0-\bar{M})^2}{2\sigma^2}} 2M_0 \cos\left[\frac{(M_0-\bar{M})\pi}{2\sqrt{N}} - \Omega \sqrt{\frac{M_0+M_0(M+1)+M^2}{2\sqrt{N}}}\right] dM_0, \tag{A13}$$

$$\langle S_y \rangle$$ and $$\langle S_z \rangle$$ can be obtained similarly. After obtaining these electron spin expectation values, we can calculate the quantum Fisher information using Eq. 10.

**Appendix B: Analytical solution for the sensitivity with a phase damping process**

For simplicity, we consider analytically that, during the encoding and retrieval stages the central electron spin interacts strongly with the nearest nuclear spin which is decohered by other surrounding nuclear spins during the rotation sensing stage. The effect of the surrounding nuclear spins (bath spins) to the nearest nuclear spin can be approximated by a random field $B_N(t)$, which can be described by the Ornstein-Uhlenbek process [39], with the correlation function,

$$\langle B_N(t)\rangle = b^2 \exp(-Rt), \tag{B1}$$

where $b = \sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{N} \Gamma_{1k}}$ (we assume the nearest nuclear spin to be the first nuclear spin), and $R$ is the correlation decay rate, which is determined by the internal dynamics of the bath spins. The Hamiltonian that describes the decoherence process of the nearest nuclear spin is,

$$H_d = B_N(t)I_{1z}, \tag{B2}$$

then the evolution of the nearest nuclear spin can be calculated,

$$i\frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial t} = [H_d, \rho_n], \tag{B3}$$

with $\bar{M} = -\frac{N}{2}P$ and $\sigma^2 = \frac{N}{2}(1-P^2)$. Under this approximation, Eq. (A10) becomes,

The solution of this decoherence process can be expressed as a phase damping channel [22],

$$\rho_n = s\rho_{n0} + p(\rho_{n01} |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| + \rho_{n02} |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow|) \tag{B4}$$

where $\rho_{n0}$ and $\rho_n$ are the density matrix of the nearest nuclear spin before and after the phase decoherence process; $s$ describes the phase decoherence process and $p = 1 - s$. And here, by using the cumulant expansion method [40], we get,

$$s = \langle e^{-i \int_{t_0}^{t} B(s) ds} \rangle = \exp\left[\frac{b^2}{R^2}(1 - e^{-Rt - Rt})\right]. \tag{B5}$$

For a slow bath $(R \ll b)$, we get $s \approx e^{-b^2t^2/2}$, which shows as a Gaussian decay. For clarity, we denote $s \approx e^{-(t/T_{2o})^2}$, with decoherence time $T_{2o} = \sqrt{2}/b$.

After analyzing the phase dephasing process, the quantum Fisher information can be calculated following the rotation sensing procedure introduced in the main text,

$$F_Q = \frac{16t_0^2 e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\gamma^2}} \sin^4\left(\frac{2\pi}{\gamma} \sqrt{P^2 - 2P + 5}\right)}{(P^2 - 2P + 5)^2}. \tag{B6}$$

From this result, we see that the decoherence of the nuclear spin seems to transfer to the electron spin and the performance of the rotation sensor is now limited by the nuclear decoherence time, instead of by the electron spin decoherence time. Using the quantum Cramér-Rao bound, we can estimate the sensitivity from the quantum Fisher information and the result is Eq. 11 in the main text.
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