

Rindler horizons in the Schwarzschild spacetime

Kajol Paithankar* and Sanved Kolekar†

UM-DAE Centre for Excellence in Basic Sciences,
Mumbai 400098, India

June 2019

Abstract

We investigate the past and future Rindler horizons for radial Rindler trajectories in the Schwarzschild spacetime. We assume the Rindler trajectory to be linearly uniformly accelerated (LUA) throughout its motion, in the sense of the curved spacetime generalisation of the Letaw-Frenet equations. The analytical solution for the radial LUA trajectories along with its past and future intercepts \mathcal{C} with the past null infinity \mathcal{J}^- and future null infinity \mathcal{J}^+ are presented. The Rindler horizons, in the presence of the black hole, are found to depend on both the magnitude of acceleration $|a|$ and the asymptotic initial data h , unlike in the flat Rindler spacetime case wherein they are only a function of the global translational shift h . The horizon features are discussed. The Rindler quadrant structure provides an alternate perspective to interpret the acceleration bounds, $|a| \leq |a|_b$ found earlier in arXiv:1901.04674.

1 Introduction

The Rindler trajectory in the presence of a black hole reveals some curious features. In a general curved spacetime, a linearly uniformly accelerated (LUA) trajectory is defined as a trajectory described with a constant curvature equal to the magnitude of its 4-vector acceleration $|a|$, with vanishing torsion and hyper-torsion as defined in the sense of the Letaw-Frenet equations [1, 2]. The LUA trajectories are then, by construction, locally Rindler at every point along the trajectory when viewed from a localized inertial frame. A class of such radial LUA trajectories were investigated in [3] in the background of a Schwarzschild Black hole. A radially inward moving trajectory, starting from spatial infinity, approaches a closest distance r_{min} from the black hole and then returns back

*kajol.paithankar@cbs.ac.in

†sanved.kolekar@cbs.ac.in

to infinity. Interestingly, for finite asymptotic initial data h , an upper bound on the magnitude of acceleration $|a|_b$ was found to exist for the trajectory to turn back. For all values of acceleration $|a| > |a|_b$, the trajectory always falls into the black hole horizon. The distance of closest approach r_{min} then has a lower bound $r_b > 2M$ greater than the Schwarzschild radius. An upper bound on acceleration and lower bound on distance of closest approach were shown to exist for all finite asymptotic initial data h .

In flat spacetime, the Rindler trajectory is confined to the right hand wedge of the Minkowski spacetime, the Rindler quadrant, formed by the past null infinity, future null infinity, past horizon null surface $X = -T$ and future horizon null surface $X = T$, where X and T are Minkowski coordinates [4]. The particular casual structure of the quadrant in the background Minkowski spacetime along-with the time-like boost Killing trajectories leads to the celebrated result of Unruh that the Minkowski vacuum is thermal with a temperature proportional to the magnitude of acceleration of the Rindler trajectory [5, 6]. In a general curved spacetime, it has been argued that one can construct, in principle, trajectories which are locally Rindler and associate a first law of thermodynamics with the corresponding local Rindler horizon by analysing the flow of matter flux through it [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]; although an explicit analytical solution for such trajectories in the literature is not known. It would then be interesting to obtain a solution for the Rindler trajectory in a curved spacetime and further analyse the corresponding Rindler quadrant structure in the presence of a black hole. The role of the flat spacetime uniformly accelerated hyperbolic trajectory being replaced by the LUA trajectory in the black hole spacetime, one can generally expect the accompanying Rindler horizon and the Rindler quadrant too to be affected due to the background curvature. We consider the formal definition for the future horizon, namely the causal past of the intercept of the LUA trajectory at future null infinity. Similarly, the past horizon is the future of the intercept of the LUA trajectory with the past null infinity. As is evident from the acceleration bound, only trajectories with finite asymptotic initial data h and acceleration $|a| < |a|_b$ have a turning point resulting in an interception with the future null infinity and hence, the Rindler quadrant exists only for the LUA trajectories satisfying the acceleration bound $|a| < |a|_b$.

The paper is presented as follows: we investigate the structure of the Rindler horizons and the corresponding Rindler quadrants for radial LUA trajectories in the Schwarzschild background satisfying the acceleration bound. In section 2, we briefly summarize the earlier results in [3] on acceleration bounds for radial LUA trajectories in the Schwarzschild spacetime and then compare them with uniformly accelerated stationary observers at fixed spatial Schwarzschild co-ordinates. The different possible parametrisations of the LUA trajectories in terms of $(|a|, h)$, $(|a|, r_{min})$ and $(|a|, \mathcal{C})$ are also discussed in section 2.2. In section 3.1, we determine the explicit analytical solution for the radial LUA trajectory and find its asymptotic expansion in section 3.2. We then investigate the features associated with the Rindler horizons and discuss the structure of Rindler quadrant in section 3.3. In section 3.4, we present a form of the metric for the quadrants in the co-moving frame of LUA observer. The conclusions are presented in section 4.

The signature of the metric is taken to be $(+, -, -, -)$.

2 Acceleration bounds

We briefly summarise below the results in [3] pertaining to acceleration bounds for radial LUA trajectories in a Schwarzschild spacetime.

A LUA trajectory in a curved spacetime is essentially locally Rindler, that is, locally it is a hyperbolic planar trajectory, at every point along the trajectory when viewed from a local inertial frame. The LUA trajectory, in addition to the constancy condition on the magnitude of acceleration, satisfies a further constraint of *linearity* having vanishing torsion and hyper-torsion. In [2], a construction based on the Letaw-Frenet equations and their corresponding geometrical scalar invariants was shown to lead to such a co-variant definition of the linear uniformly accelerated (LUA) trajectory satisfying the following constraint equation

$$w^i - |a|^2 u^i = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

where $w^i = u^j \nabla_j a^i$ and a^i and u^i are the acceleration and velocity four vectors respectively. The solution $x^i(\tau)$ consistent with the above constraint equation and a constant $|a|$ in a given background curved spacetime is the trajectory of the linear uniformly accelerated (LUA) observer vis-a-vis the generalised Rindler trajectory.

In [3], we analysed the radial LUA trajectories in a spherically symmetric general background metric of the form

$$ds^2 = f(r) dt^2 - f(r)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2\theta d\phi^2. \quad (2.2)$$

The solution for the radial LUA trajectory consistent with Eq.(2.1) was found in terms of it's four velocity as,

$$u^0 = \frac{dt}{d\tau} = f(r)^{-1} (|a|r + h) \quad (2.3)$$

$$u^1 = \frac{dr}{d\tau} = \pm \sqrt{(|a|r + h)^2 - f(r)} \quad (2.4)$$

$$u^2 = u^3 = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

where, $|a|$ is the constant magnitude of acceleration and h specifies the initial data at spatial infinity which accounts for the non linear shift in the trajectory along the radial direction. Here the spacetime considered is a black hole for a class of smooth differentiable functions $f(r)$ such that $f(r_s) = 0$ at some radius r_s and the spacetime is asymptotically flat, $f(r) \rightarrow 1$ at spatial infinity, $r \rightarrow \infty$. To obtain the explicit solution $x^i(\tau)$, one needs to provide the form of $f(r)$ along-with a suitable boundary or a initial condition for the trajectory. We consider radial LUA trajectories with finite asymptotic initial data h starting from a large radial distance moving towards the black hole having a outward pointing acceleration 3-vector. The trajectory approaches a closest radial

distance $r = r_{min}$ to the black hole which is the turning point of the trajectory and then returns back to radial infinity. However, due to the curvature effects of the black hole, there is an upper bound $|a|_b$ on the magnitude of acceleration for such a turning point r_{min} to exist. The trajectory having acceleration greater than the bound value, $|a| > |a|_b$ does not have a turning point and must fall into the horizon at r_s . Since the metric being considered is static with the Killing vector $\Xi = \partial_t$, we choose $t = 0$ when $r = r_{min}$ as our boundary condition. For the Schwarzschild metric

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \quad (2.6)$$

the radial LUA trajectory in Eqs.(2.3) and (2.4) can be written as

$$\frac{dt}{dr} = \pm \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)^{-1} \frac{(|a|r + h) \sqrt{r}}{|a| \sqrt{(r - r_{min})(r - r_{max})(r - r_n)}} \quad (2.7)$$

where r_{min} , r_{max} and r_n are the roots of the cubic polynomial, $r(|a|r + h)^2 - r + r_s$ and are given as,

$$r_{min} = \frac{2}{3|a|} \left[\frac{\sqrt{3 + h^2}}{2} \left(\cos(\xi/3) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\xi/3) \right) - h \right] \quad (2.8)$$

$$r_n = \frac{-2}{3|a|} \left[\sqrt{3 + h^2} \cos(\xi/3) + h \right] \quad (2.9)$$

$$r_{max} = \frac{2}{3|a|} \left[\frac{\sqrt{3 + h^2}}{2} \left(\cos(\xi/3) - \sqrt{3} \sin(\xi/3) \right) - h \right] \quad (2.10)$$

where, $\xi = \tan^{-1}(B/A)$ with, $A = (27|a|^4 r_s + 18|a|^3 h - 2|a|^3 h^3)$ and $B = \sqrt{4|a|^6 (3 + h^2)^3 - (A)^2}$. These three roots of the cubic polynomial satisfy the following relations:

$$r_{min} + r_n + r_{max} = -\frac{2h}{|a|} \quad (2.11)$$

$$r_{min} r_{max} + r_{min} r_n + r_{max} r_n = \frac{h^2 - 1}{|a|^2} \quad (2.12)$$

$$r_{min} r_n r_{max} = -\frac{r_s}{|a|^2} \quad (2.13)$$

The root r_{min} gives the turning point of the LUA trajectory initially moving towards the black hole starting from radius $r_i > r_{min}$ while r_{max} gives the turning point of the trajectory moving away from black hole starting from $r_i < r_{max}$. The root r_n being negative does not have any physical significance. Further, the roots r_{min} and r_{max} are positive real only for values of acceleration $|a| \leq |a|_b$, the bound value, while they become imaginary for $|a| > |a|_b$ indicating that a LUA trajectory violating the

acceleration bound always falls into the black hole. The radius r_{min} corresponding to the bound value of acceleration gives the lower bound on the distance of closest approach r_b for the return trajectory. The expressions for the bound on acceleration and on the distance of closest approach are

$$|a|_b = \frac{2 \left(-9h + h^3 + \sqrt{(3 + h^2)^3} \right)}{27 r_s} \quad (2.14)$$

$$r_b = \frac{2}{3|a|_b} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3 + h^2}}{2} - h \right) \quad (2.15)$$

The value of the bound on the distance of closest approach r_b is positive only for asymptotic initial data $h < 1$. Hence, altogether, in the Schwarzschild spacetime for a radial LUA trajectory to turn back at r_{min} it should have initial data $h < 1$ and magnitude of acceleration $|a| < |a|_b$.

The lower bound on the distance of closest approach r_b is found to be greater than the Schwarzschild radius r_s for all finite boundary data $h < 1$. A comparison with the Rindler trajectory in flat spacetime further elucidates the interesting character of this result.

Consider the $h = 0$ case wherein the LUA trajectory matches with the Rindler hyperbola in flat spacetime at asymptotic infinity, with $(t, r) = (0, 0)$ being the bifurcation point of the Rindler horizon. By increasing $|a|$ all the way upto infinity, the turning point of the Rindler trajectory $r_{rindler} = 1/|a|$ can be brought arbitrary closer to $r = 0$ or to the Rindler horizon at $t = r$. In the present case, one has introduced a black hole centred at $r = 0$. Here too, one would have expected in general, the turning point r_{min} to approach the Schwarzschild radius r_s for a continuous increase in the magnitude of acceleration. The lower bound r_b is still inversely proportional to $|a|$ in Eq.(2.15). However, increasing the acceleration $|a|$ beyond the bound $|a| \leq 1/(\sqrt{27}M)$, simply thrusts the trajectory into the black hole horizon on crossing the lower bound radius $r_b = 3M$.

Having summarised the results in [3], we obtain the analytical solution to the LUA trajectories and describe the structure of the Rindler quadrant in the next section. Before proceeding, it is instructive to compare the LUA trajectories described above with the stationary trajectories at fixed spatial co-ordinates in the Schwarzschild spacetime and discuss the different possible parametrizations of the trajectory space.

2.1 Comparison with the stationary observer

The trajectory of a stationary observer in the Schwarzschild spacetime at the fixed spatial point (r, θ, ϕ) is also a LUA trajectory satisfying the linearity constraints in Eq.(2.1), with the corresponding constant magnitude of acceleration dependent on the fixed radial coordinate r and mass M of the black hole through the relation

$$|a|_f = \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r} \right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{r_s}{2r^2} \right) \quad (2.16)$$

A comparison of the fixed radius r versus $|a|_f$ for the stationary trajectory and the distance of closest approach r_{min} versus $|a|$ for the turning point LUA trajectories is shown in Figure 1 for $r_s = 1$.




Figure 1: The black curve represents the fixed radius r versus $|a|_f$ for the stationary trajectory. The red, blue and green curves represent the distance of closest approach r_{min} versus $|a|$ for the turning point LUA trajectories for $h = 0.5$, $h = 0$ and $h = -0.5$ respectively. The values of the bound on acceleration $|a|_b$ are 0.109927, 0.3849 and 0.758076 for the red, blue and green curves respectively. Here $r_s = 1$.

For a non-stationary LUA trajectory, to have a turning point at r_{min} , its magnitude of constant acceleration at the turning point, when it is momentarily at rest, has to be greater than the magnitude of acceleration of the stationary observer at the same fixed radius $r = r_{min}$. In the contrary case, if the acceleration magnitude of the non-stationary LUA trajectory at any radius is less than the corresponding acceleration magnitude of the stationary trajectory at the same radius, then the inward *gravity* of the black hole dominates the outward acceleration of the LUA trajectory and hence no turning point exists. From Figure 1, it is evident from the r_{min} versus $|a|$ curves that the non-stationary radial LUA trajectories always intersect the fixed radius r versus $|a|_f$ curve for the stationary trajectory, at a finite value of $|a|_f$ with the corresponding fixed radius r_{min} greater than the Schwarzschild radius r_s . Beyond the intersection point, $|a|$ for the non-stationary LUA trajectory is less than $|a|_f$ and hence no turning point exists. The finite value of $|a|_f$ at the intersection points is then equal to the acceleration bound $|a|_b$ for a given asymptotic initial data h ; and the corresponding fixed radius is the lower bound r_b on the distance of closest approach r_{min} which will always exist for all finite values of h . For the special case, when $|a| = |a|_b$, the acceleration of the non-stationary LUA trajectory at $r_{min} = r_b$ is equal to that of stationary observer at $r = r_b$. Hence, once the LUA trajectory reaches the distance of closest approach r_b , it remains stationary at radius r_b .

2.2 Alternate Parametrisation

The trajectory space can be equivalently parametrized by either of the pairs $(|a|, h)$, $(|a|, r_{min})$ or $(|a|, \mathcal{C})$ where \mathcal{C} is the future (past) intercept of the trajectory with the future (past) null infinity as found in Eq.(3.17). Here, we have used the algebraically transparent pair $(|a|, h)$ for our investigation, as the integration constant h appears quite naturally in the expressions Eq.(2.3 , 2.4) for the four velocity of the radial LUA trajectory in a general background metric of the Schwarzschild form in Eq.(2.2). Nevertheless, h has the physical interpretation of the parameter which accounts for the non linear shift in the trajectory along the radial direction as discussed in our earlier work [3]. It was also shown, that for finite initial asymptotic data, that is keeping h fixed, there exists an upper bound $|a|_b$ on the value of acceleration for the radially inward moving LUA trajectory to return back to infinity. The range of acceleration in this parametrization is $0 \leq |a| \leq |a|_b$ for a fixed h which can have values $-\infty \leq h < 1$. For $h \rightarrow -\infty$, the upper bound value $|a|_b \rightarrow \infty$ while $r_{min} = r_b \rightarrow r_s$ and for $h \rightarrow 1$ the upper bound value $|a|_b \rightarrow 0$ while $r_{min} = r_b \rightarrow \infty$.

One could instead choose the geometrically transparent pair $(|a|, r_{min})$ to parametrize the trajectory. Then Figure 1 suggests that keeping r_{min} fixed there exist an infinite set of pairs $(|a|, h)$ satisfying Eq.(2.8) for a particular value of r_{min} and the lowest value of $|a|$ from that set would correspond to $|a|_b$ such that the radial LUA trajectory can return to infinity. This bound value $|a|_b$ would now be a lower bound value on acceleration and equal to the magnitude of acceleration of the uniformly accelerated stationary observer $|a|_f$ at $r = r_{min}$; essentially where the $r_{min} = \text{constant}$ horizontal line cuts the graph of r versus $|a|_f$ and the chosen r_{min} would be equal to r_b in Eq.(2.15) for that value of $|a| = |a|_b$. The allowed range of acceleration in such parametrization is now instead $|a|_b \leq |a| \leq \infty$, for fixed r_{min} which can take values $r_s \leq r_{min} \leq \infty$. For $r_{min} = r_b = r_s$, the lower bound value $|a|_b \rightarrow \infty$ and for $r_{min} = r_b \rightarrow \infty$, the lower bound value $|a|_b \rightarrow 0$.

Hence, it is possible to describe the bound on acceleration in either way, by keeping h fixed or keeping r_{min} fixed. In the former parametrization $(|a|, h)$, the bound appears as an upper bound while in the latter parametrization $(|a|, r_{min})$ it appears as a lower bound. Both the descriptions are equivalent.

To work with $(|a|, r_{min})$ parametrization, one needs to eliminate h in favour of $|a|$ and r_{min} . This can be done by solving the cubic equation $r(|a|r + h)^2 - r + r_s = 0$ with $r = r_{min}$ as,

$$h(|a|, r_{min}) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}} - |a|r \quad (2.17)$$

Then using the relations in Eqs.(2.11), (2.13) and the expression for $h(|a|, r_{min})$, one

can write the roots r_{max} and r_n completely in terms of $|a|$ and r_{min} as,

$$r_{max}(|a|, r_{min}) = \frac{r_{min}}{2} - \frac{1}{|a|} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_s}{r_{min}}} + \frac{1}{2|a|} \sqrt{4 + |a|^2 r_{min}^2 - 4|a| r_{min} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_s}{r_{min}}}} \quad (2.18)$$

$$r_n(|a|, r_{min}) = \frac{r_{min}}{2} - \frac{1}{|a|} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_s}{r_{min}}} - \frac{1}{2|a|} \sqrt{4 + |a|^2 r_{min}^2 - 4|a| r_{min} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_s}{r_{min}}}} \quad (2.19)$$

The above expressions for r_{max} and r_n together with h in Eq.(2.17) then consistently satisfy the third relation given by Eq.(2.12). Also, one can further check that at the bound value of acceleration, $|a| = |a|_b$, r_{max} is equal to r_{min} as required. Thus it has been shown that the trajectory space can be re-parametrized by $(|a|, r_{min})$ simply by substituting $h = h(|a|, r_{min})$ with r_{max} and r_n as given by Eqs.(2.18) and (2.19) above, in the corresponding expressions with $(|a|, h)$ parametrization.

With the third possible parametrization $(|a|, \mathcal{C})$, choosing a fixed \mathcal{C} value one can study all the trajectories corresponding to one Rindler quadrant in the Schwarzschild spacetime. However, to proceed with $(|a|, \mathcal{C})$, one needs to find the expression for h as a function of $|a|$ and \mathcal{C} by inverting Eq.(3.17) which is possible in principle, but in practice it is algebraically quite complicated.

In the present work, the algebraic expressions have been dealt with the earlier chosen $(|a|, h)$ parametrization in the following sections, while the graphs of geometric quantities such as the intercept \mathcal{C} of the LUA trajectory with the null infinities, the bifurcation point of the Rindler horizons r_{null} and the LUA trajectory curves are plotted in both the parametrizations $(|a|, h)$ and $(|a|, r_{min})$.

3 Rindler Horizon in Schwarzschild spacetime

As in the case of a Rindler trajectory in the flat spacetime, the future (past) Rindler horizon for the LUA trajectory in the Schwarzschild spacetime is by definition, the causal past (future) of the future (past) intercept \mathcal{C}^+ (\mathcal{C}^-) of the trajectory with future (past) null infinity \mathcal{J}^+ (\mathcal{J}^-). The equations describing the future and past horizons are then given by the following outgoing and ingoing null geodesics having the same value for their corresponding intercepts respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} r + r_s \log \left| \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right| - t &= \mathcal{C}^+ \\ r + r_s \log \left| \frac{r}{r_s} - 1 \right| + t &= \mathcal{C}^- \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Taking $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit in the equation of motion in Eq.(2.7), one can note that the LUA trajectory asymptotes to null trajectories near radial infinity as expected and consistent with the fact that near spatial infinity, the trajectory tends to the usual hyperbolic Rindler trajectory in the flat spacetime. The leading terms in the series expansion near infinity of the equation of motion in Eq.(2.7) are identical with those of the first integral of motion of null trajectories in the spacetime. Thus the intercepts \mathcal{C}^+ and \mathcal{C}^- can be read-off formally as the constants in the asymptotic expansion of the solution $t(r)$ near $r \rightarrow \infty$.

In the following section 3.1, we proceed to determine the explicit solution $t(r)$ for the LUA trajectory and then take its asymptotic expansion in section 3.2. Using these results, we then investigate the structure of the corresponding Rindler quadrant in section 3.3.

3.1 Solution for a radial LUA trajectory

The explicit solution $t(r)$ for first integral of motion of the LUA trajectory in Eq.(2.7) can be expressed in terms of the elliptic integrals as

$$\begin{aligned}
t(r) = & \pm \sqrt{\frac{r(r-r_{min})(r-r_n)}{(r-r_{max})}} \\
& \pm \frac{1}{|a|(r_s-r_{max})(r_s-r_{min})\sqrt{r_{min}(r_{max}-r_n)}} \\
& \left(2(h+|a|r_{max})(r_{max})^2(r_{min}-r_s)F(\Phi, M) \right. \\
& - |a|(r_{max}-r_s)(r_{min}-r_s)(r_{max}-r_n) \\
& \left. (r_{min}E(\Phi, M) - (r_{min}-r_{max})F(\Phi, M)) \right. \\
& - 2(h+|a|r_s)(r_s)^2(r_{min}-r_{max})\Pi(N_1, \Phi, M) \\
& \left. + 2|a|r_s(r_{max}-r_s)(r_{min}-r_s)(r_{min}-r_{max})\Pi(N_2, \Phi, M) \right) \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

with the $+$, $-$ signs referring to the outgoing and ingoing phases of the trajectory and the functions Φ , M , N_1 and N_2 in terms of the radial co-ordinate r are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= \sin^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{(r-r_{min})(r_{max}-r_n)}{(r-r_{max})(r_{min}-r_n)}} \right) & M &= \frac{r_{max}(r_{min}-r_n)}{r_{min}(r_{max}-r_n)} \\
N_1 &= \frac{(r_{max}-r_s)(r_{min}-r_n)}{(r_{max}-r_n)(r_{min}-r_s)} & N_2 &= \frac{(r_{min}-r_n)}{(r_{max}-r_n)}
\end{aligned}$$

The incomplete elliptic integrals of the first, second and third kind are defined as

$$F(\phi, m) = \int_0^\phi (1 - m \sin^2 \theta)^{-1/2} d\theta \quad (3.3)$$

$$E(\phi, m) = \int_0^\phi (1 - m \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2} d\theta \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Pi(n, \phi, m) = \int_0^\phi (1 - n \sin^2 \theta)^{-1} (1 - m \sin^2 \theta)^{-1/2} d\theta \quad (3.5)$$

Since the metric is independent of the time co-ordinate t , the solution is invariant under a time translation apart from an overall constant. We choose $t = 0$ when the trajectory is at its turning point $r = r_{min}$. This fixes the overall constant of integration and owing to symmetry about the $t = 0$ axis, we then have $\mathcal{C}^+ = \mathcal{C}^-$.

To understand the broad nature of the trajectories, we have plotted them in the $(|a|, h)$ parametrisation for different values of acceleration $|a|$ and the asymptotic initial data h classified under three cases, $h < 0$, $h = 0$ and $h > 0$. In Figure 2a, the plots are for three different values of h with a fixed value of acceleration $|a| = 0.08$, while satisfying the bound, whereas in Figures 2b to 2f, the plots are for a fixed value of h with different values of acceleration $|a|$ less than the acceleration bound.

From the figures, one can observe that decreasing h shifts the trajectory away from the black hole horizon, as expected, with the values of the asymptotic intercepts \mathcal{C} being different for different values of h . However, unlike in the case of flat spacetime Rindler trajectories, in the Schwarzschild case for a fixed asymptotic initial data h , trajectories with different values of acceleration $|a|$, have different asymptotic intercepts implying the corresponding Rindler horizons to be different for each set $\{|a|, h\}$. Thus, in principle, we expect the formula for intercept \mathcal{C} to be dependent on the asymptotic initial data h as well as the magnitude of acceleration $|a|$ and the Schwarzschild radius r_s .

For a fixed $h \leq 0$, increasing the acceleration $|a|$ accounts to increasing the value of the intercept \mathcal{C} . However, for a fixed $h > 0$, increasing the value of acceleration $|a|$ increases the value of the intercept \mathcal{C} till only a certain range of $|a|$ as shown in Figures 2e and 2f. As the distance of closest approach r_{min} increases with decreasing acceleration, the trajectories with lower acceleration start to intersect the ones with higher acceleration and we then expect the intercept \mathcal{C} to again increase with decreasing acceleration for a lower range of $|a|$. (The corresponding quantitative plots of \mathcal{C} with respect to $|a|$ for a fixed $h > 0$ are shown in Figure 4.)

In Figure 3, we have also plotted the trajectories using the $(|a|, r_{min})$ parametrization and having same turning point r_{min} with different magnitude of acceleration $|a|$ satisfying the lower bound $|a| > |a|_b$, as explained in section 2.2. The trajectories with different magnitude of acceleration $|a|$ have different asymptotic intercepts \mathcal{C} , which will be dependent on the parameters $|a|$ and r_{min} as in the Rindler trajectories in the flat spacetime, but now the dependence being different than the flat case due to the effects of background curvature.




Figure 2: LUA trajectories $t(r)$ for (a) $|a| = 0.08$ and three different values of h for which $|a| < |a|_b$, (b) $h = 0$ with $|a|_b = 0.3849$, (c) $h = -1$ with $|a|_b = 1.1852$, (d) $h = -5$ with $|a|_b = 5.0490$, (e) $h = 0.6$ with $|a|_b = 0.0722$ and (f) $h = 0.8$ with $|a|_b = 0.0190$.

To explicitly obtain the expression of the intercept \mathcal{C} , we need the explicit asymptotic expansions of the elliptic integrals appearing in the solution $t(r)$ in Eq.(3.2). However, the elliptic integral of third kind $\Pi(N_2, \Phi, M)$ does not have a well defined expansion at radial infinity due to the ill-defined factor $(1 - n \sin^2 \theta)^{-1}$ which diverges at $r \rightarrow \infty$. We




Figure 3: LUA trajectories $t(r)$ for (a) $r_{min} = 2$ with $|a|_b = 0.1767$ and (b) $r_{min} = 8$ with $|a|_b = 0.0083$.

circumvent the problem by re-deriving the solution $t(r)$ in a different form, namely as a series expansion which has a well defined asymptotic value at $r \rightarrow \infty$. The procedure adopted is as follows. For a LUA trajectory starting from $r_i > r_{min}$, the relevant factors appearing in the denominator of the equation of motion in Eq.(2.7) are expanded around r_{max} and r_s and the expression is written as a double summation as

$$\frac{dt}{dr} = \pm \frac{1}{|a|} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-1}{i} \binom{-1/2}{j} \frac{(-r_s)^i (-r_{max})^j}{(r)^{i+j}} \frac{(|a|r + h)}{\sqrt{(r - r_{min})(r - r_n)}} \quad (3.6)$$

where the notation $\binom{x}{y}$ refers to binomial coefficients. Integrating the above equation, we can write the solution $t(r)$ in terms of Hypergeometric functions as,

$$t(r) = \pm \frac{2}{|a|} \sqrt{\frac{r_{min}(r - r_{min})}{r(r_{min} - r_n)}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(i, j) \left(|a|(r_{min} - r_n) \mathbf{F}_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 - i - j, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{r - r_{min}}{r}, \frac{-r_n(r - r_{min})}{r(r_{min} - r_n)} \right] + (h + |a|r_n) \mathbf{F}_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1 - i - j, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{r - r_{min}}{r}, \frac{-r_n(r - r_{min})}{r(r_{min} - r_n)} \right] \right) \quad (3.7)$$

where the coefficients $G(i, j)$ are defined to be

$$G(i, j) = \binom{-1}{i} \binom{-1/2}{j} \left(\frac{-r_s}{r_{min}} \right)^i \left(\frac{-r_{max}}{r_{min}} \right)^j \quad (3.8)$$

The \mathbf{F}_1 is the Appell Hypergeometric Function expressed as a double series through

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta', \gamma; x, y) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{p+q} (\beta)_p (\beta')_q}{(\gamma)_{p+q} p! q!} x^p y^q \quad (3.9)$$

which converges for $|x| < 1$ and $|y| < 1$ and for γ not having vanishing or negative integer values. It is straightforward to verify that each term of the double series solution, Eq.(3.7), satisfies the earlier chosen boundary condition $t(r_{min}) = 0$. At $r \rightarrow \infty$, the argument $(r - r_{min})/r \rightarrow 1$ and the Appell \mathbf{F}_1 function can be expressed in terms of a Hypergeometric function of a single variable using the relation,

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta', \gamma; 1, y) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \mathbf{F}(\alpha, \beta', \gamma - \beta; y) \quad (3.10)$$

where the Hypergeometric \mathbf{F} function is expressed as,

$$\mathbf{F}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; y) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_p (\beta)_p}{(\gamma)_p p!} y^p \quad (3.11)$$

For the two \mathbf{F}_1 functions in Eq.(3.7), the value of the argument $(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)$ is $(i + j - 1)$ and $(i + j)$ respectively. The gamma function in Eq.(3.10) is then ill-defined only for the cases $(i, j) = (0, 0)$ and $(i, j) = (1, 0), (0, 1)$ where its argument becomes a negative integer and zero respectively. Hence, the relation in Eq.(3.10) is valid for all sets of values of (i, j) except for $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)$. For these separate three cases, we integrate the Eq.(3.6), express these three terms in the solution $t(r)$ in terms of elementary functions and then find their asymptotic expansions. The three terms T_{ij} in the solution $t(r)$ can be simply written as,

$$T_{00} = \sqrt{(r - r_{min})(r - r_n)} + \frac{2h + |a|(r_{min} + r_n)}{2|a|} \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{r - r_n} + \sqrt{r - r_{min}}}{\sqrt{r - r_n} - \sqrt{r - r_{min}}} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

$$T_{01} + T_{10} = \frac{r_{max} + 2r_s}{|a|} \left(|a| \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{r - r_{min}} + \sqrt{r - r_n}}{\sqrt{r_{min} - r_n}} \right) + \frac{h}{\sqrt{-r_n r_{min}}} \sin^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{r_n(r_{min} - r)}{r(r_{min} - r_n)}} \right) \right) \quad (3.13)$$

Here, again, the overall constant of integration is fixed by the condition that every term of the double series vanishes at $r = r_{min}$, that is $t(r_{min}) = 0$. Collecting all the terms together, the solution $t(r)$ for LUA trajectory is then written as

$$t(r) = T_{00} + T_{01} + T_{10} + \frac{2}{|a|} \sqrt{\frac{r_{min}(r - r_{min})}{r(r_{min} - r_n)}} \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} G(i, j) H(i + j) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [G(0, k) + G(k, 0)] H(k) \right) \quad (3.14)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(k) &= |a|(r_{min} - r_n) \mathbf{F}_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 - k, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{r - r_{min}}{r}, \frac{-r_n(r - r_{min})}{r(r_{min} - r_n)} \right] \\
&+ (h + |a|r_n) \mathbf{F}_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1 - k, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{r - r_{min}}{r}, \frac{-r_n(r - r_{min})}{r(r_{min} - r_n)} \right] \quad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus found a series expansion form for the solution of the radial LUA trajectory in the Schwarzschild spacetime.

3.2 Asymptotic Solution

The asymptotic expansions of the terms T_{00} , T_{01} , T_{10} being well defined near $r \rightarrow \infty$ can now be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_{00} + T_{01} + T_{10}) \Big|_{r \rightarrow \infty} &= r + r_s \log \left(\frac{4r}{r_{min} - r_n} \right) - \frac{r_{min} + r_n}{2} \\
&+ \frac{h(r_{max} + 2r_s)}{|a|\sqrt{-r_n r_{min}}} \sin^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{-r_n}{r_{min} - r_n}} \right) \quad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Eq.(2.11), the third term in above expression can be re-expressed as $(h/|a|) + (r_{max}/2)$. Further, using Eqs.(3.10) and (3.16), the asymptotic solution for LUA trajectory can be written in the form, $t(r) = \pm (r + r_s \log(r/r_s) + \mathcal{C})$ which matches the asymptotic form of the null trajectories representing the future and past Rindler horizons in Eq.(3.1). The intercept \mathcal{C} can now be read-off to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} &= \frac{h}{|a|} + \frac{r_{max}}{2} + \frac{h(r_{max} + 2r_s)}{|a|\sqrt{-r_n r_{min}}} \sin^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{-r_n}{r_{min} - r_n}} \right) \\
&+ r_s \log \left(\frac{4r_s}{r_{min} - r_n} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{|a|} \sqrt{\frac{r_{min}}{r_{min} - r_n}} (S_d + S_s) \quad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

where S_d and S_s are double and single summation series respectively expressed as,

$$S_d = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} G(i, j) [|a|(r_{min} - r_n) H_1(i + j) + (h + |a|r_n) H_2(i + j)] \quad (3.18)$$

$$S_s = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (G(k, 0) + G(0, k)) [|a|(r_{min} - r_n) H_1(k) + (h + |a|r_n) H_2(k)] \quad (3.19)$$

with the functions being defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1(k) &= \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{\Gamma(k-\frac{1}{2})} \mathbf{F} \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, k - \frac{1}{2}; \frac{-r_n}{r_{min} - r_n} \right] \\
H_2(k) &= \frac{\Gamma(k)}{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{2})} \mathbf{F} \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, k + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{-r_n}{r_{min} - r_n} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

For \mathcal{C} to be a finite valued number, the series S_d and S_s need to be convergent for all allowed values of h and $|a|$. Since the single summation S_s is just a special case of the double summation series S_d , proving the convergence of the latter is sufficient to prove the convergence of the intercept \mathcal{C} . We prove the convergence of S_d using the comparison test as follows. From the definition of Hypergeometric functions, we write the following inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F} \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, i+j-\frac{1}{2}; x \right] &< \mathbf{F} \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; x \right] = \frac{\sin^{-1}\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \mathbf{F} \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, i+j+\frac{1}{2}; x \right] &< \mathbf{F} \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; x \right] = \frac{\sin^{-1}\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} < \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned} \quad \forall (i+j) \geq 2 \text{ and } |x| < 1 \quad (3.20)$$

Further, the gamma functions can be expressed in terms of the Pochhammer symbol as,

$$\binom{-n}{k} = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(n+k)}{k! \Gamma(n)} = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} (n)_k \quad (3.21)$$

Using the above relations in Eqs.(3.20) and (3.21) we arrive at the following inequalities,

$$G(i, j) H_1(i+j) < \frac{-(-1)_{i+j} (1)_i (1/2)_j}{(-1/2)_{i+j} i! j!} \left(\frac{r_s}{r_{min}} \right)^i \left(\frac{r_{max}}{r_{min}} \right)^j \quad (3.22)$$

$$G(i, j) H_2(i+j) < \frac{(1)_{i+j} (1)_i (1/2)_j}{(1/2)_{i+j} i! j!} \left(\frac{r_s}{r_{min}} \right)^i \left(\frac{r_{max}}{r_{min}} \right)^j \quad (3.23)$$

It is straightforward to check that the double summation over indices i and j of the left hand side of Eq.(3.22) and Eq.(3.23) is lesser than that of the right hand side which can be written as an Appell function of the form given in Eq.(3.9) and hence convergent since (r_s/r_{min}) and (r_{max}/r_{min}) are always less than 1, except for the saturated bound case $|a| = |a|_b$ where $(r_{max}/r_{min}) = 1$. However, in the saturated bound case the trajectory asymptotes to the r_{min} value and the value of the intercept is infinity as expected. Thus we have proved the double series S_d to be convergent and the intercept \mathcal{C} to be finite valued for $h < 1$ and acceleration $|a|$ satisfying the bound value, $|a| < |a|_b$. The other two cases, when \mathcal{C} diverges is when $h = 1$ and $h = -\infty$ as can be checked by taking the respective limits in Eq.(3.17).

We have also evaluated the values of intercept \mathcal{C} numerically by performing the single and double summation S_s and S_d in Mathematica by keeping terms upto 200 in each of the summations. The final result is found to be convergent in the order of 10^{-8} for the next 50 terms. The graphs of \mathcal{C} against h for a fixed value of acceleration $|a| = 0.08$, and against $|a|$ for fixed value of asymptotic initial data h for $h = -1$, $h = 0$ and $h = 0.1$ are shown in Figure 4. The Schwarzschild radius is taken to be $r_s = 1$ in all the cases. One can observe that for acceleration value close to the bound $|a|_b$, the value of intercept \mathcal{C} approaches a large number as expected, since the intercept asymptotes to infinity as the acceleration $|a|$ approaches the bound value $|a|_b$.




Figure 4: Variation of intercept \mathcal{C} with initial data h for acceleration $|a| = 0.08$ and with acceleration $|a|$ for three different h values, $h = 0$, $h = -1$ and $h = 0.1$. The bounds on the acceleration $|a|_b$ for $h = -1$, $h = 0$ and $h = 0.1$ are 1.1852, 0.3849 and 0.3202 respectively.

For $h \leq 0$, the value of intercept \mathcal{C} always increases monotonically with increasing value of acceleration $|a|$. While for the $0 < h < 1$ case, there exists a minimum value for \mathcal{C} , say \mathcal{C}_{min} corresponding to a $|a|_{min}$, such that all the trajectories having acceleration $|a| \neq |a|_{min}$ and $|a| < |a|_b$ have a broader shape than the one with acceleration $|a|_{min}$ at radial infinity, in the sense that a trajectory with acceleration $|a|_i < |a|_{min}$ will intersect all the trajectories having acceleration $|a|$ such that $|a|_i < |a| \leq |a|_{min}$. These observations are consistent with those in Figure 2 obtained using the elliptic integrals solution. The values of \mathcal{C}_{min} and $|a|_{min}$ are plotted in Figure 5 for particular values of h . From Figure 5b, we can see that with increasing h the range of acceleration $|a|_{min} < |a| < |a|_b$ decreases, that is, the number density of trajectories crossing the trajectory with acceleration $|a|_{min}$ decreases.

These observations are in contrast with those for a Rindler trajectory in the flat spacetime. In the latter, for a fixed asymptotic initial data h , the trajectories with different $|a|$ do not intersect and are the integral curves of a vector field, namely the




Figure 5: Variation of \mathcal{C}_{min} and $|a|_{min}$ with initial data value h for $h > 0$.

integral curves of the boost Killing vector constrained by common future and past horizons. In the Schwarzschild case, integral curves of the unique time-like Killing vector $\Xi = \partial_t$ correspond to the stationary LUA trajectories at fixed spatial co-ordinates whereas the non-stationary LUA trajectories which form the main focus of the present paper, neither have a one to one correspondence to a Killing vector nor do they even correspond to integral curves of any time-like vector *field*, for a fixed h .

The variation of intercept \mathcal{C} is also studied with $(|a|, r_{min})$ parametrization. The plots are shown below in Figure 6 with $r_s = 1$. Here \mathcal{C} is a monotonically decreasing function of both $|a|$ and r_{min} . In Figure 6a the intercept \mathcal{C} is plotted versus the turning point r_{min} for a fixed value of acceleration $|a| = 0.5$ which is a bound value of acceleration for $r_{min} = r_b = 1.38$. Thus for $|a| = 0.5$ as r_{min} approaches 1.38, the intercept \mathcal{C} approaches infinity. The variation of intercept \mathcal{C} with the magnitude of acceleration $|a|$ is shown in Figures 6b-6d for three different values of r_{min} , $r_{min} = 1.5, 1.125$ and 1.59772 . For these three values of r_{min} the lower bounds on the value of acceleration $|a|_b$ are 0.3849, 1.1852 and 0.3202 respectively.

3.3 Rindler Quadrant

The Rindler quadrant for the radial LUA trajectories in the Schwarzschild spacetime is then the union of the past and future null infinity with the past and future horizons in the Penrose diagram. The intersection points of these are the spatial infinity i^0 , the past and future intercepts \mathcal{C}^+ and \mathcal{C}^- and the bifurcation point of the past and future horizons. The intercepts \mathcal{C}^+ and \mathcal{C}^- were determined in the previous section 3.2.

To determine the bifurcation point, we solve for the intersection point of the null geodesics corresponding to the future and past Rindler horizons in Eq.(3.1), with \mathcal{C} given by Eq.(3.17). We get

$$r_{null} = r_s \left(1 + W \left[e^{-1 - \frac{\mathcal{C}}{r_s}} \right] \right) \quad (3.24)$$




Figure 6: Variation of intercept \mathcal{C} with r_{min} for $|a| = 0.5$ and with acceleration for three different r_{min} values, $r_{min} = 1.5$, $r_{min} = 1.125$, and $r_{min} = 1.598$.

where $W[x]$ is the productlog function. Thus, unlike in the case of the Rindler quadrant in the flat spacetime, for the Schwarzschild case, the bifurcation point r_{null} is dependent on the asymptotic initial data h as well as the acceleration magnitude $|a|$ and the Schwarzschild radius r_s .

In Figure 7, we have plotted the distance of closest approach r_{min} and the bifurcation point r_{null} for some particular values of asymptotic initial data h . (i) For $h \leq 0$ and for $h > 0$ with $|a| > |a|_{min}$, increasing acceleration $|a|$ decreases both the distance of closest approach r_{min} and the bifurcation point r_{null} . They approach the bound value r_b and Schwarzschild radius r_s respectively as $|a|$ approaches the bound value $|a|_b$. Further, the radial difference, $r_{min} - r_{null}$ between the turning point r_{min} and the bifurcation point r_{null} decreases with increasing acceleration. The plots of Rindler quadrants and their respective LUA trajectories are shown in Figure 8 for various values of asymptotic initial data h and for a fixed value of acceleration $|a| = |a|_b/5$ in each case. The corresponding values of r_{min} , r_{null} and $r_{min} - r_{null}$ are given in the Table 1. From the figures and the tabulated values, it is evident that shifting the trajectory closer to the black hole horizon by increasing the value of initial data h accounts to increase the value of intercept \mathcal{C} ,




Figure 7: Variation of r_{null} and r_{min} with magnitude of acceleration $|a|$ for four different values of h . The bounds on the value of acceleration $|a|_b$ are 0.3202, 0.3849, 0.4534 and 1.1852 for $h = 0.1, 0, -0.1$ and -1 respectively.

thereby decreasing the radial distance of the bifurcation point r_{null} from black hole horizon at r_s . (ii) For $h > 0$ with $|a| < |a|_{min}$, increasing $|a|$ decreases the value of r_{min} while the r_{null} increases upto its maximum value at $|a| = |a|_{min}$. The radial difference $r_{min} - r_{null}$ is again finite and non-zero in this case.

h	$ a $	r_{min}	r_{null}	$r_{min} - r_{null}$
0.1	0.06404	13.4611	1.18106	12.28004
0	0.07698	12.4581	1.61042	10.84768
-0.1	0.09068	11.646	2.14481	9.50119
-1	0.23704	8.17092	4.35977	3.8115

These observations provide an alternate perspective to look at the acceleration bounds in Eq.(2.14) in the $(|a|, h)$ parametrisation. A comparison with the flat space-time Rindler quadrant illustrates the case. In the flat spacetime, the acceleration of the Rindler trajectory can be increased all the way upto infinity, but still the trajectory




Figure 8: Rindler horizons and LUA trajectories for four different values of initial data h with acceleration $|a| = |a|_b/5$ and $r_s = 1$.

is constrained to lie in the same Rindler quadrant. This is due to the reason that the corresponding intercept \mathcal{C} , the corresponding Rindler horizons including the bifurcation point are all independent of $|a|$. In fact, for the limiting case $|a| \rightarrow \infty$, the Rindler trajectory coincides with the past and future null horizon trajectories, that is, the turning point r_{min} is then same as the bifurcation point. However, in the Schwarzschild case, the turning point r_{min} can never be the same as the bifurcation point r_{null} for finite asymptotic initial data h . This can be explained as follows: The intercept \mathcal{C} , the corresponding Rindler horizons and the bifurcation point are all functions of $|a|$ as well. Hence, increasing $|a|$ does decrease the turning point r_{min} , like in the flat Rindler case, however now the bifurcation point r_{null} also decreases. The closest, a radial trajectory can be *pushed*, say by increasing $|a|$ or varying h , towards the black hole is limited by how close the bifurcation point r_{null} can get to the black hole. Here the lowest possible value r_{null} can take is the Schwarzschild radius limited by the black hole horizon, which in turn limits the lowest possible value of r_{min} to $r_{min} = r_b$ and hence a maximum value for the acceleration $|a|$. Pushing the LUA trajectory further towards the black hole, that

is, by increasing $|a|$ and trying to lower r_{min} than r_b , then figuratively, causes the corresponding r_{null} to be inside the black hole horizon and hence no outgoing null geodesic exists which can reach the future null infinity \mathcal{J}^+ implying that there is no turning LUA trajectory in this case. Strictly, only for $|a| \rightarrow \infty$, can the LUA trajectory ever coincide completely with the past and future null horizon trajectories for the turning point r_{min} to be same as the bifurcation point r_{null} (which is true in the flat spacetime Rindler case only). Therefore, a difference $r_{min} - r_{null}$ must always exist in the Schwarzschild case which implies the acceleration bound $|a|_b$ must exist.

In Figure 9, we have plotted the bifurcation point r_{null} using the $(|a|, r_{min})$ parametrization, for particular values of turning point $r_{min} = 1.59772, 1.5, 1.42248$ and 1.125 with $r_s = 1$. The lower bounds on the value of acceleration $|a|_b$ for these four values of r_{min} are $0.3202, 0.3849, 0.4534$ and 1.1852 respectively. The bifurcation point r_{null} approaches the Schwarzschild radius r_s as acceleration $|a|$ approaches the bound value $|a|_b$ as expected.




Figure 9: Variation of bifurcation point r_{null} with magnitude of acceleration $|a|$ for four different values of r_{min} .

3.4 Metric for the Rindler quadrant

Using the null geodesic reflection method of Bondi [12], it is possible to write down the form of the metric of the Rindler spacetime corresponding to a particular Rindler quadrant in the co-moving frame of the radial LUA observer as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds^2 = & \frac{f(U, V)}{f(U) f(V)} \left[|a| R(U) + h + \sqrt{[|a| R(V) + h]^2 - f(V)} \right] \\
 & \left[|a| R(U) + h - \sqrt{[|a| R(U) + h]^2 - f(U)} \right] (dU dV) \\
 & - R^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where $U = \tilde{t} - \tilde{r}$, $V = \tilde{t} + \tilde{r}$ are the null co-ordinates and \tilde{t} and \tilde{r} are the co-moving co-ordinates such that the LUA observer is at rest at $\tilde{r} = 0$. Here $f(\tau) = (1 - r_s/R(\tau))$ is the Schwarzschild metric function (or any general function $f(q)$ as defined in the metric in Eq.(2.2)) and $R(\tau)$ is the radial trajectory solution of Eq.(2.4) as a function of the proper time τ along the trajectory. The explicit form of $R(\tau)$ is technically complex to write down but, in principle, one has to invert the expression of $\tau(R)$ in terms of elliptic integrals to arrive at one such analytical expression. The explicit co-ordinate transformation from the Schwarzschild co-ordinates (t, r) to the co-moving co-ordinates (\tilde{t}, \tilde{r}) can be found out from the following differential

$$dt = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{u^1(V)}{f(V)} + u^0(V) \right) dV - \left(\frac{u^1(V)}{f(U)} - u^0(U) \right) dU \right] \tag{3.26}$$

$$\frac{dr}{f(r)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{u^1(V)}{f(V)} + u^0(V) \right) dV + \left(\frac{u^1(U)}{f(U)} - u^0(U) \right) dU \right] \tag{3.27}$$

where the functions $u^0(\tau)$ and $u^1(\tau)$ are defined in Eqs.(2.3) and (2.4) for the components of the four velocity of the LUA trajectory. At a large distance from the black hole $r \rightarrow \infty$, the spacetime is asymptotically flat that is $f(r) \rightarrow 1$ and the LUA trajectory takes the usual hyperbolic form of Rindler trajectory with $r = (\cosh(|a|\tau) - h)/|a|$ and $t = \sinh(|a|\tau)/|a|$. In this limit, the metric in Eq.(3.25) reduces to the usual form of the Rindler metric,

$$ds^2 = \exp(2|a|\tilde{r}) (d\tilde{t}^2 - d\tilde{r}^2) \tag{3.28}$$

The metric in Eq.(3.25) is in general dependent on the time co-ordinate \tilde{t} as expected since the LUA observer is in motion with respect to the black hole and encounters different background curvature starting from zero value at $R \rightarrow \infty$ to its maximum encountered value at $R = r_{min}$. The future and past horizons correspond to $U \rightarrow \infty$ and $V \rightarrow -\infty$ curves respectively where the time-time component of the metric function vanishes and can be shown to lead to Eq.(3.1) for the null geodesics corresponding to the future and past Rindler horizons. Whereas, $U \rightarrow -\infty$ and $V \rightarrow +\infty$ correspond to past and future null infinity respectively.

4 Discussion

The future and past intercept \mathcal{C} of the radial LUA trajectory in a Schwarzschild spacetime with the future null infinity \mathcal{J}^+ and past null infinity \mathcal{J}^- depends on both the magnitude of acceleration $|a|$ and the asymptotic initial data h , unlike in the flat Rindler spacetime case where it is only a function of translational shift h . The background curvature of the black hole not only affects the monotonicity of \mathcal{C} due to h but also initiates bounds on the values of the acceleration $|a|$ for the future Rindler horizon to exist. For a chosen Rindler quadrant, having a particular value of \mathcal{C} , there are infinitely many different combinations of $\{|a|, h\}_{\mathcal{C}}$ with each set having a different value of both $|a|$ and h leading to the same intercept at the boundary. Furthermore, the turning point radius r_{min} is different for each such set and the corresponding trajectories belonging to these sets do not overlap. Thus the set of all $\{|a|, h\}_{\mathcal{C}}$ trajectories with the same intercept \mathcal{C} partially foliates the Rindler quadrant with the innermost trajectory, having the smallest r_{min} close to the bound value r_b , being the inner boundary for the family of such curves. The region between the bifurcation point r_{null} and r_b is a no-go region for the turning LUA trajectories due to the acceleration bounds discussed in section 2. Thus one could have a family of trajectories with constant acceleration ranging from zero to the maximum bound value $|a|_b$, which have the same Rindler horizon and hence a common Rindler quadrant, but each must have a different value of asymptotic initial data h . One caveat in the above discussion is that the analysis was restricted to the θ and ϕ constant hyperplane. The Rindler horizons in Eq.(3.1) were curves on the null surface which form the horizons. These would require a careful analysis of null geodesics which can travel in the transverse directions as well. Nevertheless, the analysis presented in the paper is an outset of a much required broader analysis in the $3 + 1$ case while it is complete for the $1 + 1$ dimension black hole.

Investigating quantum field effects perceived by the LUA observers in the Boulware and Hartle-Hawking states of the black hole would be the next interesting question to probe in the present context. The radially moving LUA observer in the Schwarzschild spacetime is analogous to a Rindler observer moving in an existing thermal bath, which in the present case is the Hawking thermal bath of the black hole corresponding to the Hartle-Hawking state. The two scales involved, the Hawking temperature inversely proportional to the mass of the black hole and the acceleration scale $|a|$ of the Unruh bath temperature, would both be relevant in such an investigation. In an earlier work [13, 14], the quantum field aspects for a uniformly accelerated observer moving in an inertial thermal bath were investigated. Here too, there are two temperature scales, the inertial thermal bath with temperature T_b and $T_u = |a|/(2\pi)$, the Rindler horizon temperature. It was shown that the reduced density matrix for the Rindler observer in a flat spacetime moving in an inertial thermal bath (instead of the usual inertial vacuum) with acceleration $|a| = 2\pi T_u$, is symmetric in T_u and T_b . It was argued that the Rindler observer is unable to distinguish between thermal and quantum fluctuations. It would be interesting to check whether a similar indistinguishability holds even in the Schwarzschild case.

Acknowledgments

SK and KP thank the Department of Science and Technology, India, for financial support.

References

- [1] J. Letaw, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1709-1714 (1981).
- [2] Sanved Kolekar and Jorma Louko, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 024054 (2017), [arXiv:1703.10619v3].
- [3] Kajol Paithankar and Sanved Kolekar, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 064012 (2019), [arXiv:1901.04674v2].
- [4] W. Rindler, Phys. Rev. **119**, 2082-2089 (1960).
- [5] P. C. W. Davies, J. Phys. A **8**, 609-616 (1975).
- [6] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976).
- [7] T. Padmanabhan and Aseem Paranjape, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064004, (2007), [arXiv:gr-qc/0701003].
- [8] T. Padmanabhan, Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 529-564 (2008), [arXiv:0705.2533].
- [9] T. Padmanabhan, Rept. Prog. Phys. **73**, 046901 (2010), [arXiv:0911.500].
- [10] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 044048 (2011), [arXiv:1012.0119].
- [11] Sanved Kolekar and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 024004 (2011), [arXiv:1109.5353].
- [12] H. Bondi, Relativity and Common Sense (Dover, New York, 1962).
- [13] Sanved Kolekar and T. Padmanabhan, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, 202001 (2015), [arXiv:1308.6289v3].
- [14] Sanved Kolekar, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 044036 (2014), [arXiv:1309.3261v1].