Optimal two-mode coherent states in lossy quantum-enhanced metrology
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We investigate an optimal distance of two components in a two-mode coherent state for quantum phase estimation in lossy interferometry. The optimal difference is obtained by an economical point, representing the quantum Fisher information that we can extract per input energy. Using the formula of the quantum Fisher information over an input mean photon number, we show that the more loss there is in the interferometry, the less entanglement of the two-mode coherent state we need to prepare initially. It means that the optimal distance of the two-mode components decreases with more loss in the interferometry. We also show that the corresponding optimal measurement is not a simple detection scheme but it is necessary to have correlation measurement bases.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum-enhanced metrology is the most feasible quantum protocol under current technology, compared to quantum communication and quantum computation. It can outperform any classical strategies by using entangled or squeezed states as an input signal [1], due to their nonclassical behaviors. For example, N00N state exhibits N-time oscillation in a single run whereas coherent state does single-time oscillation in the same run. In comparison to coherent state which represents the number-phase uncertainty with equality, squeezed state reduces the uncertainty of phase while it enlarges one of number (intensity). It is known that, as the standard quantum state, one utilizes coherent state that is a minimum uncertainty state and imitates the oscillatory behavior of classical harmonic oscillator.

Here we focus on two-mode input state for quantum phase estimation in lossy interferometry. In lossless scenario, N00N state [2] and N00N-type states [3–8] demonstrate the Heisenberg limit (HL) which is the fundamental limit by quantum mechanics, representing a scaling of 1/N. The state provides enhancement of √N by entanglement in precision whereas coherent state represents a scaling of 1/√N, i.e., called shot-noise limit (SNL) or standard quantum limit (SQL). N is the input photon number, which can be replaced by the mean photon number of the input state. In lossy scenario, however, the N00N state and N00N-type states are fragile against photon loss, such that people studied a class of path-entangled photon state [9], Holland-Burnett states [10, 11], general pure states with definite photon number N [12, 13] in order to be resilient to photon loss. Experimentally, the pure state with definite photon number N = 2 showed better performance than the other states in the presence of photon loss [14]. In spite of the result, we do not know what is the optimal distance between two components in the two-mode states for quantum phase estimation in lossy interferometry. Here we raise a question as to how the optimal distance of the two-mode state components varies with photon loss in the interferometry.

In this work, we propose two-mode coherent states |α⟩_a|β⟩_b + |β⟩_a|α⟩_b for quantum phase estimation in the presence of photon loss, as shown in Fig. 1. We investigate the optimal distance of the α and β for quantum phase estimation depending on the photon loss rate R. The optimal distance is obtained by an economical point, representing the maximum information that we can extract per input energy. Using the formula of quantum Fisher information over the input mean photon number, we show how the possible distance of α and β varies with photon loss rate in the interferometry. The result is also explained with the degree of entanglement for the input two-mode coherent state. Furthermore, we propose how to generate the input two-mode coherent state and its corresponding optimal measurement.

This paper is organized as follows. We propose a generation scheme of a two-mode coherent state with analyzing its degree of entanglement. Then, we investigate optimal distance of the coherent state components α and β with photon loss. Next, we discuss the corresponding optimal measurement. Finally, we summarize our results and discuss some issues.

II. STATE GENERATION AND DEGREE OF ENTANGLEMENT

An input two-mode coherent state is produced by impinging a coherent state and an even cat state into a 50 : 50 beam splitter which takes the transformation
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FIG. 1. Two-mode coherent state for quantum phase estimation in the presence of photon loss. R is a photon loss rate. φ represents a phase shifter, exp(iφn). The input two-mode coherent state |α⟩_a|β⟩_b + |β⟩_a|α⟩_b is prepared after a 50 : 50 beam splitter.
as \( \hat{a}^\dagger \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{b}^\dagger) \) and \( \hat{b}^\dagger \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger) \). In Fig. 1, we inject a coherent state \( |\alpha+b\rangle_a \) and an even cat state \( |\alpha-b\rangle_a \) into the 50:50 beam splitter. Then, we can produce a two-mode coherent state \( |\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \). Assuming that \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are real values, we can transform the two-mode coherent state into

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_T}} & \left( |\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N_T}} \left[ (A_+ |A_+\rangle |\bar{A}_+\rangle |\bar{A}_+\rangle_b - (A_- |A_-\rangle |\bar{A}_-\rangle |\bar{A}_-\rangle_b, \right]
\end{align*}
\]

where \( |A_\pm\rangle = |\alpha\rangle \pm |\beta\rangle \), \( |\bar{A}_\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_T}} |A_\pm\rangle \), \( \langle A_+ |A_+\rangle = 0 \), and \( N_T = 2(1 + \exp[-(\beta-a)^2]) \). Using the von Neumann entropy, we derive the degree of entanglement (DOE) as

\[
\text{DOE} = - \sum_{k=+,-} \frac{\langle A_k | A_k^\dagger \rangle^2}{4N_T} \log_2 \left( \frac{\langle A_k | A_k^\dagger \rangle^2}{4N_T} \right), \tag{2}
\]

where \( \langle A_\pm | A_\pm\rangle = 1 \pm \exp[-(\beta-a)^2/2] \). In Fig. 2, we show that the degree of entanglement increases with \( |\alpha-\beta| \). Since we assumed that \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are real values, we take \( |\alpha-\beta| \) as the distance between the two components \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). It implies that the two different states \( |2\alpha\rangle_a |0\rangle_b + |0\rangle_a |2\alpha\rangle_b \) and \( |\alpha\rangle_a - |\alpha\rangle_b \) have the same degree of entanglement which counted their normalization factors.

In superconducting circuit-QED systems, experimentally, \( |\alpha+b\rangle_a + |\alpha-b\rangle_a \) state was prepared with the fidelity more than 99%, and \( |\alpha\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b + |\alpha\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b - |\alpha\rangle_b \) state was prepared with 81% fidelity at \( \alpha = 1.92 \) [15]. It is expected that \( |\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \) state can be also prepared with the fidelity more than 80% in the superconducting circuit-QED.

III. OPTIMAL DISTANCE OF THE COHERENT STATE COMPONENTS \( \alpha \) AND \( \beta \)

Using the two-mode coherent state \( |\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \), we study quantum phase estimation in lossy interferometry. It can be quantified by means of the quantum Fisher information (QFI) that is the optimal measure of how well we can detect small changes in a parameter, which is the maximized classical Fisher information with positive-operator valued measures (POVMs). From an information-theoretic perspective, the inverse of the QFI can determine the ultimate limit of quantum phase estimation [16]. It means that the more the QFI is, the better the precision limit of a phase is. The QFI is defined as \( F_Q = Tr (\rho_\phi L_\phi^2) \), where \( \rho_\phi \) contains a phase information of \( \phi \) and \( L_\phi \) is the symmetric logarithmic derivative operator [16]. Using an output state \( \rho_\phi = \sum_n \lambda_n |\lambda_n\rangle \langle \lambda_n| \), we can obtain the QFI by a formula of \( F_Q = 4 \sum_n \lambda_n f_n - \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{8\lambda_n \lambda_m}{\lambda_n + \lambda_m} |\lambda_n\rangle \langle \lambda_m| \langle \lambda_m| \lambda_n\rangle |^2 \), where \( f_n = (\lambda_n' |\lambda_n|^2) - (|\lambda_n'\rangle |\lambda_n\rangle |^2) \) and \( |\lambda_n'\rangle = \frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial \phi} \).

Here we are interested in the quantum phase estimation for the cost-effective use of the input two-mode coherent state. It implies that we want to acquire more information of a phase parameter per input energy. As a way of the cost-effectiveness, we define an economical point as

\[
\text{Eco}(R, \alpha, \beta) \equiv \max \left( \frac{F_Q}{\langle \tilde{n}_a \rangle} \right), \tag{3}
\]

where \( F_Q \) is the QFI of the output state in Fig. 1 and \( \langle \tilde{n}_a \rangle \) is the input mean photon number in mode a after the state preparation. Since the total input mean photon number is satisfied with the condition \( \langle \tilde{n}_a + \tilde{n}_b \rangle = 2 \langle \tilde{n}_a \rangle \), it is enough for us to consider either of the two-modes. Given a value of \( \alpha \), we find the optimal value of \( \beta \) in the range of \( -\alpha \leq \beta \leq \alpha \) to maximize \( F_Q / \langle \tilde{n}_a \rangle \).

After experiencing a phase shifting operation and photon loss, the output state is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
|\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b, \\
\text{as} \hat{a}^\dagger \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{b}^\dagger) \text{ and } \hat{b}^\dagger \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger). \\
\text{In Fig. 1, we inject a coherent state } |\alpha+b\rangle_a \text{ and an even cat state } |\alpha-b\rangle_a \text{ into the 50:50 beam splitter. Then, we can produce a two-mode coherent state } |\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b. \text{ Assum-}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2[1 + e^{-(\beta-\alpha)^2}]} \left[ (\sqrt{T}a e^{i\phi}_a \sqrt{T}a e^{i\phi}_a) + (\sqrt{T}b e^{i\phi}_b \sqrt{T}b e^{i\phi}_b) + (\sqrt{T}\beta e^{i\phi}_a \sqrt{T}\beta e^{i\phi}_b) + (\sqrt{T}\beta e^{i\phi}_b \sqrt{T}\beta e^{i\phi}_a) \right] \\
+ e^{-R(\alpha-\beta)^2} \left[ (\sqrt{T}a e^{i\phi}_a \sqrt{T}b e^{i\phi}_b) + (\sqrt{T}b e^{i\phi}_a \sqrt{T}a e^{i\phi}_b) \right],
\]

where \( T = 1 - R \). The corresponding quantum Fisher information is derived as

\[
F_Q = 4 \left[ \sum_{k=+,-} \lambda_k (\langle \lambda'_k | \lambda_k \rangle - |\langle \lambda'_k | \lambda_k \rangle|^2) \\
- \frac{2\lambda_+ \lambda_-}{\lambda_+ + \lambda_-} \left( |\langle \lambda'_+ | \lambda_- \rangle|^2 + |\langle \lambda'_- | \lambda_+ \rangle|^2 \right) \right],
\]

where

\[
\langle \lambda'_\pm | \lambda_\pm \rangle = \frac{T}{2[1 + e^{-T(\beta-\alpha)^2}]} \left[ \alpha^2 (T\alpha^2 + 1) + \beta^2 (T\beta^2 + 1) \right] \\
\pm 2\alpha\beta (T\alpha\beta + 1) e^{-T(\beta-\alpha)^2} \right],
\]

\[
\langle \lambda'_\pm | \lambda_\pm \rangle = \langle \lambda'_\pm | \lambda_\pm \rangle = \frac{-iT}{2[1 + e^{-T(\beta-\alpha)^2}]} \left[ \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\alpha\beta e^{-T(\beta-\alpha)^2} \right],
\]

\[
\lambda_\pm = \left( \frac{1 + e^{-R(\beta-\alpha)^2}}{2[1 + e^{-T(\beta-\alpha)^2}]} \right) \left( 1 \pm e^{-T(\beta-\alpha)^2} \right).
\]

In a lossless condition \((R = 0)\), the optimal value of \( \beta \) is negative at \( \alpha < 1.8 \) but it increases with \( \alpha \) and approaches zero at \( \alpha \geq 1.8 \), as shown in Fig. 3. At \( \alpha < 0.4 \), the optimal value of \( \beta \) is derived as \( -\alpha \) but we did not show it in Fig. 3. For small values of \( \alpha \), the optimal two-mode coherent state is close to a state of \( |\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \). For large values of \( \alpha \), the optimal two-mode coherent state becomes \( |\alpha\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b + |0\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \). Although the optimal two-mode coherent states exhibit maximum entanglement for different values of \( \alpha \), the former state is close to a scaling of the SQL and the latter state approaches a scaling of the HL. With increasing parameter \( \alpha \), the ultimate limit of the optimal two-mode coherent state proceeds from the SQL to the HL, while the optimal distance of \(|\alpha\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \) varies from \(|2\alpha\rangle a\) to \(|\alpha\rangle_a\).

In a lossy condition \((R \neq 0)\), the optimal value of \( \beta \) increases with the photon loss rate \( R \). It represents that the optimal distance of \(|\alpha\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \) decreases with the photon loss rate. In Fig. 4, we show that the optimal distance is getting smaller (larger) with the increasing (decreasing) photon loss rate. It implies that initially we need to prepare less (more) entangled two-mode coherent states under the increasing (decreasing) photon loss rate. At \( \alpha = 0.6 \), the optimal value of \( \beta \) increases approximately from \(-0.30 \) to \(0.21 \). At \( \alpha = 1 \), it moves from \(-0.16 \) to \(0.39 \). At \( \alpha = 1.8 \), it starts to move from \(0 \) to \(0.92 \). Then, at \( \alpha = 3 \), it shifts from \(0 \) to \(1.84 \). We note that, in Fig. 4 (\(a\)-\(c\)), the optimal value of \( \beta \) can be any value of \( \alpha \) under \( R > 0.8 \) so that the optimal two-mode coherent state can be a separable state \(|\alpha\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \). It is the same as the case of Fig. 4 (\(d\)) under \( R > 0.6 \).

The results of the two-mode coherent state \(|\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b \) can be compared with ones of a coherent state \(|\alpha\rangle \). Given a mean photon number of \(|\alpha\rangle^2 \) for a coherent state, the quantum Fisher information (QFI) is derived as \(4(1 - R)|\alpha|^2 \) in the presence of photon loss rate \( R \). Under the constraint of the input mean photon number, the two-mode coherent state presents the better performance than the coherent state by means of the QFI. In a lossless condition \((R = 0)\), the two-mode coherent state with \( \beta \sim -\alpha \) exhibits higher QFI than the coherent state at the small mean photon number, whereas the two-mode coherent state with \( \beta = 0 \) does higher QFI than the coherent state at the large mean photon number. In a lossy condition \((R \neq 0)\), the two-mode coherent state with \( \beta = \gamma \alpha \) shows higher QFI than the coherent state for different photon loss rates \( R \), where the ratio \( \gamma \) increases from \(-1 \) to less than \( 1 \) with the increasing \( R \).

IV. OPTIMAL MEASUREMENT

Now we turn into the optimal measurement for the quantum Fisher information. The corresponding optimal measurement is derived by means of the symmetric logarithmic derivative (SLD) whose eigenbasis rep-
Fisher information of a single parameter is independent of the CFI using the PNRD [8]. The CFI of the state $|\alpha\rangle$ is defined as $\hat{L}_\phi = 2 \sum_n |\lambda_n| \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial \alpha} |\lambda_n\rangle \langle \lambda_n|$), where $\rho_\phi = \sum_n |\lambda_n| |\lambda_n\rangle \langle \lambda_n|$, and $\partial_\alpha \rho_\phi = \sum_n (\partial_\phi |\lambda_n\rangle |\lambda_n\rangle + |\lambda_n\rangle \langle \lambda_n| + \lambda_n |\lambda_n\rangle \langle \lambda_n| + \lambda_n |\lambda_n\rangle (\partial_\phi |\lambda_n\rangle)$. Using the SLD formula, we derive the symmetric logarithmic derivative of the output state $\rho_\phi$ as

$$\hat{L}_\phi = A(|\lambda_-\rangle \langle \lambda_+| - |\lambda_+\rangle \langle \lambda_-|),$$

where $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+e^{-2\beta^2})}}(\sqrt{1-e^{-2\beta^2}}|\alpha\rangle \langle \beta| + \sqrt{1-e^{-2\alpha^2}}|\beta\rangle \langle \alpha|)$.

One of the corresponding eigenbases is $|\lambda_\pm\rangle = i|\lambda_-\rangle$. Thus, the optimal measurement basis of the output state is a correlated measurement basis. It is not a simple detection scheme.

We can raise a question about whether the classical Fisher information (CFI) using photon number resolving detection (PNRD) can approach the quantum Fisher information (QFI) bound in the presence of photon loss. An example of the two-mode coherent states, i.e., $|\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b - |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b$, cannot attain the QFI bound by the CFI using the PNRD [8]. The CFI of the state depends on a phase parameter. It is known that quantum Fisher information of a single parameter is independent of the parameter $|\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b$ in the condition of the economical point. Moreover the state can exhibit even worse performance than the separable coherent state $|\alpha\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b$ not only under the constraint of the economical point but also under the constraint of the input mean photon number. That is why we only considered the state $|\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b$ in the lossy quantum-enhanced metrology.

As a further work, we expect that the two-mode coherent state can be manifested as microwave-optical photon pairs in lossy environments.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We investigated an optimal distance of two components ($\alpha$ and $\beta$) in a two-mode coherent state for quantum phase estimation in lossy interferometry. Defining the economical point as the quantum Fisher information over the mean photon number of the input mode $\alpha$, we found that the optimal distance of the two components is getting smaller with photon loss rate. It represents that initially we need to prepare less entangled two-mode coherent state with the increasing photon loss rate. It is summarized in Fig. 5. Then we derived the corresponding optimal measurement which is not a simple detection scheme but requires correlation measurement bases.

We may also consider the other type of two-mode coherent states, i.e., $|\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b - |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b$. Since the state does not contain vacuum probability, it is less energy-efficient than $|\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b$ in the condition of the economical point. Moreover the state can exhibit even worse performance than the separable coherent state $|\alpha\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b$ not only under the constraint of the economical point but also under the constraint of the input mean photon number. That is why we only considered the state $|\alpha\rangle_a |\beta\rangle_b + |\beta\rangle_a |\alpha\rangle_b$ in the lossy quantum-enhanced metrology.
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FIG. 5. Economical point as a function $R$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$. Given a value of $\alpha$, we find the optimal value of $\beta$ in the range of $-\alpha \leq \beta < \alpha$ to maximize $F_Q(\phi)$. The CFI of the state $\hat{L}_\phi$ using the PNRD is independent of the CFI using the PNRD. The CFI of the state $\hat{L}_\phi = 2 \sum_n |\lambda_n| \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial \alpha} |\lambda_n\rangle \langle \lambda_n|$.)