A comparative study between ESSnuSB and T2HK in determining the leptonic CP phase
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In this paper, we perform a comparative analysis between the future proposed long-baseline experiments ESSnuSB and T2HK in measuring the leptonic CP phase $\delta_{\text{CP}}$. In particular, we study the effect of the neutrino mass ordering degeneracy and the leptonic mixing angle $\theta_{23}$ octant degeneracy in the measurement of leptonic CP violation and precision for both experiments. Since the ESSnuSB (T2HK) experiment probes the second (first) oscillation maximum to study neutrino oscillations, the effect of these degeneracies are significantly different in both experiments. Our main conclusion is that for the ESSnuSB experiment, the information on the neutrino mass ordering does not play a major role in the determination of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$, which is not the case for the T2HK experiment. However, the information on the true octant compromises the CP sensitivity of the ESSnuSB experiment if $\theta_{23}$ lies in the lower octant. These conclusions are true for both the 540 km and 360 km baseline options for the ESSnuSB experiment. In addition, we investigate the effect of different running times in neutrino and antineutrino modes and the effect of $\theta_{23}$ precision in measuring $\delta_{\text{CP}}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Among the six parameters that describe the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations in the standard three-flavor framework, i.e., $\theta_{12}$, $\theta_{13}$, $\theta_{23}$, $\Delta m^2_{21}$, $\Delta m^2_{31}$, and $\delta_{\text{CP}}$, the remaining unknowns are the following: (i) the neutrino mass ordering, which can be either normal (NO, $\Delta m^2_{31} > 0$) or inverted (IO, $\Delta m^2_{31} < 0$), (ii) the octant of the leptonic mixing angle $\theta_{23}$, which can be either lower (LO, $\theta_{23} < 45^\circ$) or higher (HO, $\theta_{23} > 45^\circ$), and the leptonic Dirac CP-violating phase $\delta_{\text{CP}}$. The most recent global analysis of the world neutrino data shows a slight preference of NO over IO, whereas for the octant degeneracy all three possibilities are viable including $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$ [1–3]. Regarding $\delta_{\text{CP}}$, the current best-fit value is around 217° (280°) for NO (IO) and the allowed 3σ values lie between 135° and 366° (196° and 351°) for NO (IO) [1].

The ESSnuSB [4, 5] and the T2HK [6] are two future proposed neutrino long-baseline experiments, which are specifically designed to determine $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ with significantly large confidence level. Since both these experiments have baseline lengths less than 1000 km, their main goal will be solely focused on measuring $\delta_{\text{CP}}$. In the European ESSnuSB project, neutrinos will be produced with the power linac of the European Spallation Source (ESS) at Lund in Sweden. The two possible detector sites are the Garpenberg mine and the Zinkgruvan mine, which are located around 540 km and 360 km, respectively, from the neutrino source. On the other hand, the T2HK project will be an upgrade of the existing T2K experiment having a powerful beam and a large detector volume with a baseline of around 295 km. Both experiments will use megaton-class water-Čerenkov detectors. For T2HK, both the first oscillation maximum and the flux peak around 0.6 GeV, whereas for ESSnuSB, the flux peaks around 0.3 GeV, which is close to the second oscillation maximum for both baseline options. Since the variation of the neutrino oscillation probability with respect to $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ is much larger near the second oscillation maximum as compared to the first oscillation maximum, ESSnuSB has the advantage over T2HK to measure $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ with high precision despite of having lesser number of events. However, it is well known that the determination of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ depends on the information on the neutrino mass ordering and the octant of $\theta_{23}$. The existence of an ordering-$\delta_{\text{CP}}$ degeneracy [7, 8] and an octant-$\delta_{\text{CP}}$ degeneracy [9] can affect the determination of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ if matter effects are not significant and the number of events is less. As both ESSnuSB and T2HK have baseline lengths less than 1000 km, they experience a lack of matter effects, and thus, it is not unexpected to believe that their leptonic CP violation measurement capability will be compromised. Furthermore, as ESSnuSB will have lesser number of events than T2HK, its capability can also suffer from the octant degeneracy. Note that these facts have been investigated in great detail for T2HK [10–14] and it has been shown that if the ordering is unknown, then the CP violation discovery is significantly less in T2HK for $0^\circ < \delta_{\text{CP}} < 180^\circ$ ($–180^\circ < \delta_{\text{CP}} < 0^\circ$) if the true ordering is NO (IO) [6]. Moreover, there are a couple of studies regarding the $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ phase for ESSnuSB in the literature [15, 16]. However, to the best of our knowledge, a study of the effect of the ordering degeneracy and the octant degeneracy in measuring $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ for ESSnuSB has not been carried out yet. This gives us the opportunity for a comparative study of parameter degeneracies at different oscillation maxima. In this paper, we have performed a detailed analysis of the CP sensitivity.
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1 To solve this problem of T2HK, there is a proposal with the acronym T2HKK to place an additional detector in South Korea. This problem can also be solved by collecting atmospheric neutrino data in the Hyper-Kamiokande detector [6].

2 For a study regarding the CP asymmetry at the second oscillation maximum, see Ref. [17].
for both ESSnuSB and T2HK in terms of leptonic CP violation discovery and precision. Indeed, we have found that for ESSnuSB, the ordering-\(\delta_{\text{CP}}\) degeneracy behaves in a different way. For the first time, we have shown that unlike for T2HK, for ESSnuSB the information on the neutrino mass ordering does not play much role in determining \(\delta_{\text{CP}}\). However, the information on the octant of \(\theta_{23}\) plays some role in determining \(\delta_{\text{CP}}\), which is not the case for T2HK. We have also shown that these conclusions are true for both baseline options of ESSnuSB. Furthermore, we have studied how different neutrino running times affect the CP sensitivity for ESSnuSB and found that the dominant neutrino mode of ESSnuSB provides the best CP sensitivity. We have also studied the \(\theta_{23}\) measurement capability of both experiments and showed how it affects the CP measurement for these experiments.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Sec. II, we will give the experimental and simulation details, which we will use in our analysis. Next, in Sec. III, we will discuss our main results. Finally, in Sec. IV, we will summarize and conclude.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND SIMULATION DETAILS

We use the software GLoBES [18] for the simulation of the ESSnuSB and T2HK experiments. For ESSnuSB, we use the same specification as given in Ref. [19]. We consider a proton beam of energy 2.5 GeV and power 5 MW capable of delivering \(2.7 \times 10^{23}\) protons on target per year running for ten years. The far detector is a 507 kt water-\(\bar{C}\)erenkov tank located at either 540 km (Garpenberg mine) or 360 km (Zinkgruvan mine) away from the neutrino source (ESS). We also consider a near detector located at a distance of 500 m away from the neutrino source. We use correlated systematics between the near and far detectors. The details of the systematic errors are adopted from Ref. [20] and presented in Table 1.1 of Ref. [19]. For T2HK, we consider a beam power of 1.3 MW with a total exposure of \(27 \times 10^{21}\) protons on target, which corresponds to a ten-year running time. In this experiment, the neutrinos will be detected by two water-\(C\)erenkov tanks with 187 kt mass each located a distance of 295 km away from the J-PARC source. Systematic errors are adopted from Table VI of Ref. [6]. Note that in our analysis we consider equal running time in neutrino and antineutrino modes (i.e., five years in neutrino mode and five years in antineutrino mode) for both experiments, unless otherwise stated. Our numerical calculations successfully match with the results of Refs. [6, 19].

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

Throughout this paper, we calculate the sensitivity in terms of a CP violation discovery \(\chi^2\) function and a CP precision \(\chi^2\) function. On one hand, CP violation discovery refers to the capability of an experiment to distinguish a particular value of \(\delta_{\text{CP}}\) other than \(0^\circ\) and \(180^\circ\). On the other hand, CP precision refers to how well an experiment can separate between two different values of \(\delta_{\text{CP}}\). The statistical \(\chi^2\) function is defined as

\[
\chi^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ N_i^{\text{test}} - N_i^{\text{true}} - \frac{N_i^{\text{true}} \log \left( \frac{N_i^{\text{test}}}{N_i^{\text{true}}} \right)}{N_i^{\text{true}}} \right],
\]

where \(n\) corresponds to the number of energy bins, \(N_i^{\text{true}}\) is the number of true events, and \(N_i^{\text{test}}\) is the number of test events. We have incorporated the systematics by the method of pulls. In our analysis, the parameters \(\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \text{and} \Delta m^2_{21}\) are kept fixed in both the true and test spectrum of the \(\chi^2\) function. The values of these parameters are [1–3]

\[
\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.312, \\
\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.085, \\
\Delta m^2_{21} = 7.5 \times 10^{-5}\text{eV}^2.
\]

The value of \(\Delta m^2_{21} = 2.52 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2\) is fixed in the true spectrum and varied in its current 3\(\sigma\) range in the test one. In the whole paper, we assume the true neutrino mass ordering to be normal, i.e., NO. For the case of ‘ordering known’, the sign of \(\Delta m^2_{21}\) is kept fixed in the test and for ‘ordering unknown’, the sign is varied in the test. The canonical true values of \(\theta_{23}\) are \(42^\circ, 45^\circ, \text{and} 48^\circ\), corresponding to the LO, maximal mixing, and the HO, respectively. For ‘octant known’, the value of \(\theta_{23}\) is kept fixed (the value is the same for both test and true) and for ‘octant unknown’, it is varied in its 3\(\sigma\) range.

A. Results for \(\theta_{23} = 45^\circ\)

First, let us discuss the case of maximal mixing, where there is no octant degeneracy. In this case, we have varied \(\theta_{23}\) in its 3\(\sigma\) range in the test. In the left (right) panel of Fig. 1, we have plotted the CP violation discovery potential of T2HK (ESSnuSB) versus \(\delta_{\text{CP}}\) (true). From the left panel, we observe that if the neutrino mass ordering is known, the CP violation discovery sensitivity is around 7\(\sigma\) for both \(\delta_{\text{CP}} = \pm 90^\circ\). However, if the neutrino mass ordering is unknown, the CP violation discovery sensitivity drops to 3\(\sigma\) for \(\delta_{\text{CP}} = 90^\circ\). This is due to the well-known ordering-\(\delta_{\text{CP}}\) degeneracy. However, this is evidently not the case for ESSnuSB. For ESSnuSB, the drop in the sensitivity around \(\delta_{\text{CP}} = 90^\circ\) is much less significant compared to T2HK when the neutrino mass ordering is unknown. From the right panel, we note that for both the baseline options of ESSnuSB, the sensitivity falls from 9\(\sigma\) to 8\(\sigma\) if neutrino mass ordering is unknown. Thus, we conclude that the information on the neutrino mass ordering does not play much role for the determination of \(\delta_{\text{CP}}\) in ESSnuSB, and therefore, even if the ordering is unknown, it can discover CP violation with a significant confidence level in the unfavorable region of \(\delta_{\text{CP}}\). This difference between ESSnuSB and T2HK arises due to the fact that the sensitivity of T2HK comes from the first oscillation maximum, whereas the sensitivity of the ESSnuSB comes from the second oscillation maximum. This is even more clear from Fig. 2.

In Fig. 2, we have plotted the appearance channel probability \((\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau)\) as a function of energy. Since the physics of the ordering-\(\delta_{\text{CP}}\) degeneracy is same for both neutrinos and
antineutrinos, we only present the plots for neutrinos. The left panel is for T2HK, whereas the middle and right panels are for the ESSnuSB baseline options of 540 km and 360 km, respectively. In all three panels, we have given the product of the $\nu_\mu$ flux and the charged-current cross-section for $\nu_e$ (hereafter denoted ‘flux × cross-section’) in arbitrary units to depict energies which are relevant for our discussion. The different curves in the panels are displayed to specifically understand the results of Fig. 1. From Fig. 1, we have seen that for NO, the sensitivity is affected for $\delta_{\text{CP}}$(true) value of 90°. Therefore, in all three panels, the curve corresponding to $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 90°$ is the true reference curve. For CP violation discovery, the $\chi^2$ minimum appears at either $\delta_{\text{CP}}$(test) values of 0° or 180°. We have checked that for ESSnuSB, the $\chi^2$ minimum always appears when $\delta_{\text{CP}}$(test) equals 0° for both cases, i.e., ordering is known and ordering is unknown. For T2HK, if the ordering is known, the $\chi^2$ minimum appears for $\delta_{\text{CP}}$(test) = 0°, whereas if ordering is unknown, the $\chi^2$ minimum appears for $\delta_{\text{CP}}$(test) = 180°. Now, note that ‘ordering known’ implies the fact that the $\chi^2$ minimum comes in the true ordering, which is NO in our case, and ‘ordering unknown’ implies that the $\chi^2$ minimum comes in the wrong ordering, which is IO in our case. Therefore for test reference points, we have plotted the curves for (0°, NO) and (0°, IO) for ESSnuSB (middle and right panels) and (0°, NO) and (180°, IO) for T2HK (left panel). The separation between the $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 90°$ curve and the curve for NO corresponds to the sensitivity if the ordering is known and the separation between the $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 90°$ curve and the curve for IO corresponds to the sensitivity when the ordering is unknown. The first thing, which we observe from the panels, is that for T2HK, the flux × cross-section peaks at the first oscillation maximum, whereas for ESSnuSB, the
FIG. 3: Bi-event plots for $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$. The left and right panels correspond to T2HK and ESSnuSB (540 km), respectively. The purple ellipses are presented for normal neutrino mass ordering (NO) and the blue ellipses are presented for inverted neutrino mass ordering (IO).

FIG. 4: CP precision sensitivity of T2HK and ESSnuSB for $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$. The comparison in CP precision sensitivity is shown, when the neutrino mass ordering is known versus the neutrino mass ordering is unknown. All three panels are for normal neutrino mass ordering.

Another simple way to understand the above fact is that for ESSnuSB the oscillation probabilities for NO and IO are almost identical at the second oscillation maximum. We know that matter effects are proportional to neutrino energy and the second oscillation maximum for ESSnuSB occurs at relatively lower energies than the ones at the first oscillation maximum.
FIG. 5: CP violation sensitivity of ESSnuSB and T2HK for $\theta_{23} \in \{42^\circ, 48^\circ\}$ as a function of $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true})$ if ordering and octant are both unknown. All panels are for normal neutrino mass ordering (NO). The upper-left (lower-left) panel is for T2HK if the true octant is the lower (higher) octant LO (HO), whereas the upper-right (lower-right) panel is for ESSnuSB if the true octant is the lower (higher) octant LO (HO).

For this reason at the second oscillation maximum, matter effects are too weak to cause any separation between the two orderings. This fact will be even more evident from Fig. 3, where we have presented the bi-event plots. These plots will also depict the role of antineutrinos and event samples involved in both experiments. On the x-axis (y-axis), we have plotted the appearance channel events for neutrinos (antineutrinos). The trajectory of $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ in this plane produces an elliptical shape [21]. In the left panel, we have given the curves of T2HK, whereas in the right panel, we have given the curves of ESSnuSB for the baseline option of 540 km. In each panel, the purple and blue ellipses correspond to NO and IO, respectively. Similarly to Fig. 2, the $(90^\circ, \text{NO})$, $(0^\circ, \text{NO})$, and $(180^\circ, \text{IO})$ points are marked for T2HK and the $(90^\circ, \text{NO})$, $(0^\circ, \text{NO})$, $(0^\circ, \text{IO})$ points are marked for ESSnuSB. Immediately, we observe from the panels that although the number of events for ESSnuSB is significantly less than for T2HK, still the CP sensitivity of ESSnuSB is much higher than that of T2HK.

This is due to the variation of the probability with respect to $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ which is much larger at the second oscillation maximum than at the first oscillation maximum, which can be also understood by looking at the bi-event plots. From the panels we understand that for ESSnuSB, the ellipses for NO and IO are almost identical, and therefore, the separation between $(90^\circ, \text{NO})$ with $(0^\circ, \text{NO})$ and $(0^\circ, \text{IO})$ are not very different. However for T2HK, the ellipses for NO and IO are shifted and that is why the point $(90^\circ, \text{NO})$ is much closer to $(180^\circ, \text{IO})$ than to $(0^\circ, \text{NO})$. This causes the CP sensitivity of T2HK to be dependent on the information on the ordering but not for ESSnuSB.

Finally, in Fig. 4, we have plotted the $2\sigma$ CP precision contours in the $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true})$ versus $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{test})$ plane. The left panel is for T2HK and the middle (right) panel is for the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km (360 km). In each panel, the purple and blue curves correspond to the cases of ordering is known and ordering is unknown, respectively. The panels can be un-
This is due to the fact that for the 360 km baseline, the number of events is larger by a factor of 360/540 = \frac{2}{3}.

We understand in the following way. Each point on the x-axis is the true value and its corresponding width along the y-axis is the 2\sigma uncertainty associated with this value. In the ideal situation, the allowed points should be located along the diagonal, where $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = \delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{test})$. The common feature in all three panels is that the CP precision is best around $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 0^\circ$ and worst around $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = \pm 90^\circ$. In the left panel, we see that if ordering is unknown, the CP precision capability of T2HK gets deteriorated significantly compared to if ordering is known. There are off-diagonal spurious regions that are allowed if the information on the ordering is absent. This is the effect of the ordering-$\delta_{\text{CP}}$ degeneracy, which is present at the first oscillation maximum. However, as we discussed earlier, at the second oscillation maximum, the information on the ordering does not affect the CP measurement. From the right panel, we see that for the ESSnuSB baseline option of 360 km, the contours for ‘ordering known’ and ‘ordering unknown’ are exactly identical. However, from the middle panel, we see that for the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km, there is a small wiggle around $90^\circ$ if ordering is unknown. Therefore, we can also say that for ESSnuSB it is possible to measure CP precision at a very high confidence level, even without the information on the ordering. Note that the CP precision (also CP violation) capability of the ESSnuSB baseline option of 360 km is slightly better than the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km. This is due to the fact that for the 360 km baseline, the number of events is larger by factor of $(540/360) \approx 2$ as compared to the 540 km baseline.

### B. Results for $\theta_{23} \neq 45^\circ$

In this section, we will study how the information on the octant affects the CP measurement capability of ESSnuSB and T2HK. In Fig. 5, we have presented the CP violation discovery potential of ESSnuSB and T2HK if $\theta_{23} \neq 45^\circ$. The upper-row panels are for the LO and the lower-row panels are for the HO. In each row, the left panel is for T2HK and the right panel is for ESSnuSB. In these panels, we have compared the sensitivities if both ordering and octant are known versus if both ordering and octant are unknown. First, let us discuss the case of T2HK. From the left-column panels, we see that for the unknown case, there is a drop in the sensitivity around $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 90^\circ$, but there is no reduction in the sensitivity for $\delta_{\text{CP}} = -90^\circ$. From the earlier discussion, we understand that the drop in the sensitivity around $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 90^\circ$ is due to the ordering degeneracy. Therefore, we conclude that for T2HK the information on the octant does not play any role in the discovery of CP violation. This is true for both the LO and the HO. However, this is not the case for ESSnuSB. From the right-column panels, we see that except for the small drop in the sensitivity around $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 90^\circ$, there is also a reduction in the sensitivity around $\delta_{\text{CP}} = -90^\circ$ if the true octant is the LO. For the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km (360 km), the sensitivity falls from $9\sigma$ (9.5\sigma) to $7.5\sigma$ (8\sigma). However for the HO, the CP sensitivity of ESSnuSB does not depend on the information on the octant for both baseline options.

Now, we will try to understand these features from the probability plots. In Fig. 6, we have plotted the appearance channel probability as a function of energy for T2HK and the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km. Since our aim is to understand the effect of the octant degeneracy, all curves in the panels are presented for NO. From Fig. 5, we understood that the effect of the octant degeneracy affects the CP measurement capability around $\delta_{\text{CP}} = -90^\circ$. Therefore, in Fig. 6, the $(\delta_{\text{CP}}, \theta_{23}) = (-90^\circ, 42^\circ)$ and $(\delta_{\text{CP}}, \theta_{23}) = (-90^\circ, 48^\circ)$ curves represent the true points for the LO and the HO, respectively. From our understanding of the parameter degeneracy [22, 23], we know that for $(-90^\circ, 42^\circ)$ there is an octant degeneracy in the neutrino oscillation probability, while the antineutrino oscillation probability is free from such an octant degeneracy. This implies that for the LO antineutrinos are responsible for the removal of degeneracies. Therefore, in the left panel, we have given the antineutrino oscillation proba-
bility for T2HK in order to understand how the octant degeneracy is resolved in the particular case of the LO. Since we have checked that the $\chi^2$ minimum occurs for $\delta_{CP} = 180^\circ$, we have plotted the $(180^\circ, \mathrm{HO})$ band corresponding to the test point. The HO band is due to the variation of $\theta_{23}$ from 45° to 50°. The separation between the purple curve and the red band in the energy region where the flux peaks corresponds to the octant sensitivity. From the left panel, we see that there is a clear separation between the purple curve and the red band around 0.6 GeV and this is the reason why for T2HK the information on the octant does not play any role in the determination of CP violation discovery. However, for ESSnuSB, we see that for the LO (middle panel), there is an overlap between the purple curve and red band in the region where the flux $\times$ cross-section peaks. This is why the CP violation discovery is getting compromised around $\delta_{CP} = -90^\circ$ for the LO if the octant is unknown. However, let us understand why this is not happening for the HO. Again, from the knowledge on the octant degeneracy, we know that for $(-90^\circ, 48^\circ)$ there is an octant degeneracy in the antineutrino oscillation probability and the neutrino oscillation probability is free from such a degeneracy. This implies that for the HO neutrinos are responsible for the removal of degeneracies. Therefore, in the right panel, we have plotted the neutrino oscillation probability to understand the effect for the HO. The red band corresponds to $(180^\circ, \mathrm{LO})$. The LO band is due to the variation of $\theta_{23}$ from 40° to 45°. We have also checked that the $\chi^2$ minimum occurs at $\delta_{CP} = 180^\circ$. In addition, we see that there is an overlap between the purple curve and red band in some of the region where the flux $\times$ cross-section peaks. However, it is important to note that the values of the probability in the right panel are larger than the values of the probability in the middle panel. Also, since the neutrino cross-section is almost three times larger than the antineutrino cross-section, the neutrino run is sufficient to lift the degeneracy for the HO. Therefore, for the LO, the degeneracy is lifted by antineutrinos, whereas for the HO, the degeneracy is lifted by neutrinos. Henceforth the lack of events in the antineutrino run is insufficient to lift the degeneracy for the LO, and therefore, the CP sensitivity for ESSnuSB is affected by information on the octant for the LO. This does not happen for T2HK, since the number of antineutrino events is high because of (i) a clear removal of the octant degeneracy at the first oscillation maximum and (ii) large statistics.

Finally, let us see how the information on the octant affects the CP precision measurement capability of ESSnuSB. In Fig. 7, we have only presented the case of the LO for the baseline option of 540 km. The purple (blue) 2\sigma contour corresponds to the case if both ordering and octant are known (unknown). From this figure, we clearly see that at 2\sigma confidence level, the CP precision does not alter much, depending on the information on ordering and octant. Since this figure is similar to that of the middle panel in Fig. 4, we can definitely say that at 2\sigma confidence level, the information on the octant does not affect the CP precision of ESSnuSB even for the LO.

C. Effect of different neutrino running times for the lower octant

In the previous section, we have seen that for ESSnuSB, the CP violation discovery sensitivity around $\delta_{CP} = -90^\circ$ is compromised for the LO and we understood that this is because of the insufficient antineutrino events. In this section, we will study what happens if ESSnuSB runs in a dominant neutrino or antineutrino mode. We will study this for
\( \theta_{23} = 42^\circ \) and the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km. In Fig. 8, we have plotted the CP violation discovery \( \chi^2 \) function for three different running options for ESSnuSB, which are 2+8 (dominant antineutrino mode), 5+5 (equal neutrino and antineutrino modes), and 8+2 (dominant neutrino mode). Here, ‘a+b’ corresponds to ‘a’ years running time in neutrino mode and ‘b’ years running time in antineutrino mode. In this figure, the solid curves correspond to both ordering and octant known, whereas the dashed curves correspond to both ordering and octant unknown. For our discussion, we will focus around the \( \delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = -90^\circ \) region. We clearly observe that for the dominant antineutrino mode (2+8), the drop in the sensitivity if LO-NO is unknown as compared to if LO-NO is known is the smallest than for the other two cases of 5+5 and 8+2. This confirms our argument that for the LO, antineutrinos are necessary to remove the octant degeneracy. However, due to the dominant antineutrino mode, there is a reduction of overall statistics, and therefore, this running time option of ESSnuSB provides the lowest sensitivity. On the other hand, for the dominant neutrino mode (8+2), although the drop in the sensitivity is the largest if LO-NO is unknown as compared to if LO-NO is known but due to higher statistics, the sensitivity is also the highest if LO-NO is unknown. For equal neutrino and antineutrino modes (5+5), the sensitivity is better than 2+8 but worse than 8+2. Therefore, from this discussion, we can conclude that although the dominant antineutrino mode is necessary to remove the octant degeneracy, the dominant neutrino mode provides the best sensitivity of ESSnuSB.

### D. Effect of \( \theta_{23} \) precision for the lower octant

In this section, we will study the capability of ESSnuSB and T2HK to measure \( \theta_{23} \) and its effect on the CP violation discovery sensitivity. We will analyse this for \( \delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = -90^\circ \) and \( \theta_{23}(\text{true}) = 42^\circ \). We will assume equal running time in neutrino and antineutrino modes (5+5) for both experiments.

In Fig. 9, we have plotted the CP violation discovery \( \chi^2 \) function as a function of \( \theta_{23}(\text{test}) \). The left panel is for T2HK, whereas the right panel is for the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km. In the two panels, we have displayed the appearance channel \( (\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) \) \( \chi^2 \) function, the disappearance channel \( (\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu) \) \( \chi^2 \) function, and the combined appearance and disappearance \( (\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \text{ and } \nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu) \) \( \chi^2 \) function. The true ordering is assumed to be unknown. The global minimum of the combined appearance and disappearance curve is the CP violation discovery sensitivity corresponding to \( \delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = -90^\circ \) and \( \theta_{23}(\text{true}) = 42^\circ \) if both ordering and octant are unknown. Now, it is well known that the octant and CP sensitivity comes mainly from the appearance channel and the precision of \( \theta_{23} \) stems from the disappearance channel. From the panels, we observe that for T2HK, the disappearance channel \( \chi^2 \) function is very sharp around \( \theta_{23}(\text{test}) = 42^\circ \) and \( 49^\circ \). This provides a good measurement of \( \theta_{23} \). On the other hand, as mentioned earlier, the appearance channel provides a good CP sensitivity. Note that the appearance channel \( \chi^2 \) function increases for \( \theta_{23}(\text{test}) > 45^\circ \). This is due to the removal of the octant degeneracy by the antineutrino run. Then, if both channels are combined, because of the steep nature of the disappearance channel \( \chi^2 \) function, there appears two local minima and the global minimum materializes at the correct value of \( \theta_{23} \). However, for ESSnuSB, the disappearance channel \( \chi^2 \) function is very shallow, and therefore unlike the one for T2HK, it does not provide a good measurement of \( \theta_{23} \). On the other hand, the appearance channel provides a good CP sensitivity, but this is a decreasing function of \( \theta_{23}(\text{test}) \). This is due to the fact that the antineutrinos are not sufficient to lift the octant degeneracy. However, note that because of the shallow nature of the disappearance channel \( \chi^2 \) function, the combined \( \chi^2 \) function does not have any local minimum and the \( \chi^2 \) minimum occurs at \( \theta_{23}(\text{test}) = 50^\circ \). Therefore,
if the disappearance channel $\chi^2$ function had a steeper nature like the one for T2HK, it would have caused two $\chi^2$ minima around 42º and 49º, resulting in an enhancement of the CP sensitivity. Thus, from this discussion, we understand that the $\theta_{23}$ precision measurement capability of ESSnuSB is inferior to that of T2HK and this also affects the CP sensitivity of ESSnuSB. One way to improve the $\theta_{23}$ measurement capability of ESSnuSB is by adding the atmospheric neutrino data sample [24].

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have performed a thorough comparative study between the ESSnuSB and T2HK experiments to measure the leptonic CP phase $\delta_{CP}$. In particular for the first time, we have studied the effect of both the ordering and octant degeneracies on the CP measurement capability of these two experiments. We have presented our results in terms of both CP violation and CP precision. It is well known that the capability of T2HK to discover CP violation is limited due to its lack of information on the neutrino mass ordering. However, our analysis show that for ESSnuSB the CP measurement capability is almost independent of the ordering. This is mainly due to the fact that T2HK is designed to study neutrino oscillations at the first oscillation maximum, whereas ESSnuSB is designed to study neutrino oscillations at second oscillation maximum. At the first oscillation maximum, the separation between the CP conserving phases for inverted ordering and the CP violating phase $\delta_{CP} = 90^\circ$ for normal ordering is very small, but this separation is quite high near the second oscillation maximum. We have found that this is true for both baseline length options of ESSnuSB, which are 540 km and 360 km. Regarding the effect of the octant degeneracy, we have found that the CP sensitivity of T2HK is not affected by the information on the octant. However, our study shows that for ESSnuSB the CP sensitivity around $\delta_{CP} = -90^\circ$ is compromised for the lower octant if the octant is unknown. For the higher octant, the information on the octant does not play any role. This is due to the fact that for the lower octant, the octant degeneracy is resolved by antineutrinos at the first oscillation maximum, whereas at the second oscillation maximum, there is some overlap between the probability for $\delta_{CP} = -90^\circ$ and the higher octant. The small number of antineutrino events of ESSnuSB for the lower octant is not capable of removing the octant degeneracy at the second maximum and this is why the sensitivity is reduced if the octant is unknown. However, this degeneracy does not affect the CP precision capability of ESSnuSB at 2$\sigma$ confidence level. To understand this point further, we have analysed the sensitivity of the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km for different running times in neutrino and antineutrino modes for the lower octant. We have found that for the dominant antineutrino mode, i.e., two years running time in antineutrino mode and eight years running time in neutrino mode (2+8), the drop in the CP sensitivity around $\delta_{CP} = -90^\circ$ is minimal as compared to other options if both ordering and octant are unknown. However, due to lack of overall statistics, 2+8 provides the worst sensitivity among the other options. The best sensitivity of the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km comes from the dominant neutrino mode, i.e., 8+2. Furthermore, we have shown that the $\theta_{23}$ precision capability of the ESSnuSB baseline option of 540 km is inferior to that of T2HK and it also affects the CP sensitivity of ESSnuSB. The results and analysis presented in this work help to understand the behavior of the ordering and octant degeneracies around the first and second oscillation maxima.
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