arXiv:1906.05829v1 [hep-ph] 13 Jun 2019

DESY 19–096, DO–TH 19/09, SAGEX-2019-13

Three loop heavy quark form factors and their asymptotic behavior

J. Ablinger[1], J. Blümlein[2], P. Marquard[2], N. Rana[2,3] and C. Schneider[1]

Abstract A summary of the calculation of the color–planar and complete light quark contributions to the massive three–loop form factors is presented. Here a novel calculation method for the Feynman integrals is used, solving general uni–variate first order factorizable systems of differential equations. We also present predictions for the asymptotic structure of these form factors.

1 Introduction

The detailed description of top quark pair production to high perturbative order is of importance in various respects, including precision studies of QCD, the measurement of the top-quark mass and other of its properties, and in the search for effects from potential physics beyond the Standard Model. The heavy quark form factors act as the basic building block of the related observables. In a series of publications [1–4], two–loop QCD contributions of these form factors for vector, axial-vector, scalar and pseudo-scalar currents, were first computed. In an independent calculation in [5], the $O(\varepsilon)$ terms were included for the vector form factors, where ε is the dimensional regularization parameter in $D = 4-2\varepsilon$ space-time dimensions. Later in [6], two-loop QCD contributions up to $O(\varepsilon^2)$ for all these form factors were obtained.

At the three-loop level, the color-planar contributions to the vector form factors were obtained in [7, 8] and the complete light quark contributions in [9]. We have computed both the color-planar and complete light quark contributions to the three-loop form factors for the axial-vector, scalar and pseudo-scalar currents in [10] and for the vector current in [11], which are the subject of the first part of this article. In Ref. [11], we have presented a detailed description of the method which we have used to obtain the master integrals in this case. The method is generic to compute any first order factorizable and uni-variate system of differential equations. In a parallel calculation, the same results have been obtained in [12].

Amplitudes for hard scattering processes in QCD provide a clear insight into underlying principles such as factorization or the universality of infrared (IR) singularities. In the case of massless QCD amplitudes, a plethora of work [13–16] has been performed to understand the structure of IR divergences which is due to the interplay of the soft- and collinear dynamics. In the case of two parton amplitudes,

e-mail: Narayan.Rana@mi.infn.it
[1]RISC, Johannes Kepler University, Altenbergerstraße 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria
[2]DESY, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany
[3]INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy

i.e. the form factors, the IR structure is more prominent. The interplay of the soft and collinear anomalous dimensions building up the singular structure of the massless form factors has first been noticed in [17] at two-loop order and has been later established at three-loop order in [18]. The generalization of this universal structure to the case of massive form factors is also of interest. First steps were taken in [19] in the asymptotic limit i.e. in the limit where the quark mass is small compared to the center of mass energy, followed by the proposition of a factorization theorem [20–22] in the asymptotic limit. Finally, in [23], a general solution was presented following a soft-collinear effective theory approach. While, the solution in [23] provides the structure of IR poles for the exact computation, the study of the Sudakov behavior in the asymptotic limit also elucidates the logarithmic behavior for the finite contributions. Following the method proposed for massless form factors in [24, 25], we have performed a rigorous study in [26] in the asymptotic limit to obtain all the poles and also all logarithmic contributions to finite pieces of the three-loop heavy quark form factors for vector, axial-vector, scalar and pseudo-scalar currents. A similar study has been performed in [27] obtaining the poles for the vector form factor. In the second part of this article, we summarize the contents of Ref. [26].

2 Heavy quark form factors

We consider a virtual massive boson of momentum q, which can be a vector (V), an axial-vector (A), a scalar (S) or a pseudo-scalar (P), decaying into a pair of heavy quarks of mass m, color c and d and momenta q_1 and q_2, at a vertex X_{cd}, where $X_{cd} = \Gamma^{\mu}_{V,cd}, \Gamma^{\mu}_{A,cd}, \Gamma_{S,cd}$ and $\Gamma_{P,cd}$. The general forms of the amplitudes are

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{u}_c(q_1)\Gamma^{\mu}_{V,cd}v_d(q_2) &\equiv -i\bar{u}_c(q_1)\Big[\delta_{cd}v_Q\Big(\gamma^{\mu}\ F_{V,1} + \frac{i}{2m}\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}\ F_{V,2}\Big)\Big]v_d(q_2),\\
\bar{u}_c(q_1)\Gamma^{\mu}_{A,cd}v_d(q_2) &\equiv -i\bar{u}_c(q_1)\Big[\delta_{cd}a_Q\Big(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\ F_{A,1} + \frac{1}{2m}q_{\mu}\gamma_5\ F_{A,2}\Big)\Big]v_d(q_2),\\
\bar{u}_c(q_1)\Gamma_{S,cd}v_d(q_2) &\equiv -i\bar{u}_c(q_1)\Big[\delta_{cd}s_Q\Big(\frac{m}{v}(-i)\ F_S\Big)\Big]v_d(q_2),\\
\bar{u}_c(q_1)\Gamma_{P,cd}v_d(q_2) &\equiv -i\bar{u}_c(q_1)\Big[\delta_{cd}p_Q\Big(\frac{m}{v}(\gamma_5)\ F_P\Big)\Big]v_d(q_2)\,. \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\bar{u}_c(q_1)$ and $v_d(q_2)$ are the bi–spinors of the quark and the anti–quark, respectively, with $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}]$. v_Q, a_Q, s_Q and p_Q are the Standard Model (SM) coupling constants for the vector, axial-vector, scalar and pseudo-scalar, respectively. $v = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-1/2}$ denotes the SM vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field, with the Fermi constant G_F. For more details, see [6]. The form factors can be obtained from the amplitudes by multiplying appropriate projectors [6] and performing the trace over the color and spinor indices.

2.1 Details of the computation

The computational procedure is described in detail in Ref. [6]. The Feynman diagrams are generated using `QGRAF` [28]. The packages `Q2e/Exp` [29,30], `FORM` [31,32], and `Color` [33] are used in the computation. By decomposing the dot products among the loop and external momenta into the combination of inverse propagators, each Feynman diagram can be expressed in terms of a linear combination of a large set of scalar integrals. These integrals are related to each other through integration-by-parts identities (IBPs) [34, 35], and are reduced to 109 master integrals (MIs) by using the package `Crusher` [36].

We apply the method of differential equations [37–40] to calculate the master integrals. The method and the corresponding algorithm is presented in detail in [11].[1] The principal idea of this method is to obtain a set of differential equations of the MIs by performing differentiation with respect to the variable x, with $q^2/m^2 = -(1-x)^2/x$ and then to use the IBP relations on the output to obtain a linear combination of MIs for each differentiated integral for general bases. One obtains a $n \times n$ system of coupled linear differential equations for n master integrals $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{M}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} + \mathcal{R}. \tag{2}$$

Here the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{M}$ consists out of entries from the rational function field $\mathbb{K}(D, x)$ (or equivalently from $\mathbb{K}(\varepsilon, x)$) where $\mathbb{K}$ is a field of characteristic 0. The inhomogeneous part $\mathcal{R}$ contains MIs which are already known. In simple cases, $\mathcal{R}$ turns out to be just the null vector. The first step to solve such a coupled system of differential equations is to find out whether the system factorizes to first order or not. Using the package `Oresys` [42], based on Zürcher's algorithm [43] and applying a corresponding solver [11, 44] we have first confirmed that the present system is indeed first order factorizable in x-space.

Without the need to choose a special basis, we solve the system in terms of iterated integrals over whatsoever alphabet, cf. Ref. [11] for details. To proceed, we first arrange the differential equations in such a manner that it appears in upper block-triangular form. Then, we compute the integrals block-by-block starting from the last in the arrangement. While solving for each block, say of order $m \times m$, the differential equations are solved order by order in ε successively, starting at the leading pole terms, $\propto 1/\varepsilon^3$ for our case. The successive solutions in ε also contribute to the inhomogeneities in the next order. We use the package `Oresys` [42], based on Zürcher's algorithm [43] to uncouple the differential equations. At each order in ε, l inhomogeneous ordinary differential equations are obtained, where $1 \leq l \leq m$. The orders of these differential equations are $m_1, \ldots, m_l$ such that $m_1 + \cdots + m_l = m$. We have solved these differential equations using the method of variation of constant. In our case, the spanning alphabet is

$$\frac{1}{x},\ \frac{1}{1-x},\ \frac{1}{1+x},\ \frac{1}{1-x+x^2},\ \frac{x}{1-x+x^2}, \tag{3}$$

[1] For a review on the computational methods of loop integrals in quantum field theory, see [41].

i.e. the usual harmonic polylogarithms (HPLs) [45] and their cyclotomic extension (CHPL) [46]. While integration over a letter is a straightforward algebraic manipulation, often k-th power of a letter, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, appears which needs to be transformed to the letters of (3) by partial integration. The other $m - l$ solutions are immediately obtained from the former solutions. The constants of integration are determined using boundary conditions in the low energy limit i.e. at $x = 1$. The boundary values for the HPLs and CHPLs give rise to the respective constants in the limit $x \to 1$, i.e. the multiple zeta values (MZVs) [47] and the cyclotomic constants [46]. The computation is performed by intense use of `HarmonicSums` [46, 48–54], which uses the package `Sigma` [55,56]. Finally, all the MIs have been checked numerically using `FIESTA` [57–59].

2.2 Ultraviolet renormalization and universal infrared structure

We perform the ultraviolet (UV) renormalization of the form factors in a mixed scheme. The heavy quark mass and wave function have been renormalized in the on-shell (OS) renormalization scheme. The strong coupling constant has been renormalized using the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme, by setting the universal factor $S_\varepsilon = \exp(-\varepsilon(\gamma_E - \ln(4\pi))$ for each loop order to one at the end of the calculation.

The required renormalization constants are already well known and are denoted by $Z_{m,\rm OS}$ [60–64], $Z_{2,\rm OS}$ [60–62, 65] and Z_{a_s} [66, 67], with $a_s = \alpha_s/(4\pi)$, for the heavy quark mass, wave function and strong coupling constant, respectively. $Z_{2,\rm OS}$ and Z_{a_s} are multiplicative, while the renormalization of massive fermion lines has been taken care of by properly considering the counter terms. For the scalar and pseudo-scalar currents, the presence of the heavy quark mass in the Yukawa coupling employs another overall mass renormalization constant, which also has been performed in the OS renormalization scheme.

The universal behavior of IR singularities of the massive form factors was first investigated in [21] considering the high energy limit. Later in [23], a general argument was provided to factorize the IR singularities as a multiplicative renormalization constant as

$$F_I = Z(\mu)\, F_I^{\rm fin}(\mu), \tag{4}$$

where $F_I^{\rm fin}$ is finite as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The renormalization group equation (RGE) for $Z(\mu)$ is constrained by the massive cusp anomalous dimension [68, 69].

2.3 Checks of the results

To perform checks, we have maintained the gauge parameter ξ to first order and have thus obtained a partial check on gauge invariance. Fulfillment of the chiral Ward identity gives another strong check on our calculation.

Considering α_s–decoupling appropriately, we obtain the universal IR structure for all the UV renormalized results, confirming again the universality of IR poles. Also, in the low energy limit, the magnetic vector form factor produces the anomalous magnetic moment of a heavy quark which we cross check with [70] in this limit.

Finally, we have compared our results with those of Ref. [7, 9, 12], which have been computed using partly different methods. Both results agree.

3 Asymptotic behavior of massive form factors

We consider from now on only the renormalized electric form factor (F_V) for the vector current and the renormalized scalar form factor (F_S), in the asymptotic limit. All other massive form factors either agree to one of them or vanish in this limit. To start with, we write down a Sudakov type integro-differential equation [71] for a function $\hat{F}_I\left(a_s(\mu), \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}, \varepsilon\right)$ in the asymptotic limit as follows

$$\mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} \ln \hat{F}_I\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}, a_s, \varepsilon\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left[K_I\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}, a_s, \varepsilon\right) + G_I\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, a_s, \varepsilon\right)\right], \tag{5}$$

where $I = V, S$ only. Here $\hat{F}_I$ contains all logarithmic behavior and singular contributions of the respective form factor. As evident from the functional dependence, K_I incorporates the contributions from the quark mass m and does not depend on the kinematic invariants, while G_I contains the information of the process. Along with the evolution of the strong coupling constant, Eq. (5), and the renormalization group (RG) invariance of $\hat{F}$, individual solutions for K_I and G_I are provided as follows

$$\begin{aligned} K_I &= K_I\Big(a_s(m^2), 1, \varepsilon\Big) - \int_{\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}}^{1} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} A_q\Big(a_s(\lambda\mu^2)\Big), \\ G_I &= G_I\Big(a_s(Q^2), 1, \varepsilon\Big) + \int_{\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}}^{1} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} A_q\Big(a_s(\lambda\mu^2)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Here A_q denotes the quark cusp anomalous dimension. $K_I(a_s(m^2), 1, \varepsilon)$ and $G_I(a_s(Q^2), 1, \varepsilon)$ are initial conditions arising while solving the RG equations. Using Eq. (6), one can solve Eq. (5) to obtain $\hat{F}_I$, from which the form factors can be obtained through the following matching relation

$$F_I\Big(a_s, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}, \varepsilon\Big) = C_I(a_s, \varepsilon)\hat{F}_I\Big(a_s, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}, \varepsilon\Big). \tag{7}$$

The solutions for $\hat{F}_I$ up to four-loop are presented in Ref. [26]. At each order in a_s, say n, the solution consists of $A_I^{(n)}$, $K_I^{(n)}$ and $G_I^{(n)}$, the expansion coefficients of A_I, $K_I(a_s(m^2), 1, \varepsilon)$ and $G_I(a_s(Q^2), 1, \varepsilon)$, respectively, and lower order terms.

In the massless quark form factor, the soft (f_q) and collinear (B_q) anomalous dimensions govern the infrared structure in the form $\gamma_q = B_q + \frac{f_q}{2}$. Intuitively, in the massive case, γ_q, along with similar contributions (γ_Q) from the heavy quark anomalous dimension, will control the singular structure. Hence, it is suggestive to write

$$K_I^{(n)} = -2(\gamma_q^{(n)} + \gamma_Q^{(n)} - \gamma_I^{(n-1)}). \tag{8}$$

The anomalous dimension $\gamma_I^{(n-1)}$ [60–62, 72–75] arises due to renormalization of the current. Note that the power of each term γ^n indicates the series expansion in a_s. For γ_I, the contribution is of the same order also, however we denote it by $(n-1)$ to match with general notation of [72]. The other finite functions $G_I^{(n)}$ contain the information on the process through its dependence on Q^2. Hence, it is similar to the one in case of massless form factors [76, 77]

$$G_I^{(n)} = 2(B_q^{(n)} - \gamma_I^{(n-1)}) + f_q^{(n)} + C_I^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k g_I^{n,k}. \tag{9}$$

Given the structural similarities, $C_I^{(n)}$ and $g_I^{n,k}$ are the same as in the massless cases. All the required anomalous dimensions, except γ_Q, are known from different computations. On the other hand, γ_Q can be obtained from the non-logarithmic contribution of the massive cusp anomalous dimension in the asymptotic limit. With all the ingredients, we obtain the full singular contributions and all logarithmic contributions to the finite part for vector and scalar form factors in the asymptotic limit. The non-logarithmic part of the finite piece gets contributions from the matching function C_I which can only be obtained by an exact computation. Using our results of [11], we obtain the color–planar and complete light quark contributions for $C_I^{3,0}$.

4 Conclusion

In the first part, we have summarized the computational details to obtain the color–planar and complete light quark contributions to the three–loop heavy quark form factors along with a new method to solve uni-variate first order factorizable systems of differential equations. The system is solved in terms of iterative integrals over a finite alphabet of letters. Finally, we have computed all the corresponding contributions to the massive three-loop form factors for vector, axial–vector, scalar and pseudo–scalar currents, which play an important role in the phenomenological study of the top quark. We then have studied the asymptotic behavior of these form factors. A Sudakov type integro–differential equation can be written down for the massive form factors and along with the study of RGE, we have obtained all the logarithmic contributions of the finite part of the vector and scalar form factors.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grant SFB F50 (F5009-N15), by the bilateral project DNTS-Austria 01/3/2017 (WTZ BG03/2017), funded by the Bulgarian National Science Fund and OeAD (Austria), by the EU TMR network SAGEX Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 764850 and COST action CA16201: Unraveling new physics at the LHC through the precision frontier.

References

1. W. Bernreuther, R. Bonciani, T. Gehrmann, R. Heinesch, T. Leineweber, P. Mastrolia, and E. Remiddi Nucl. Phys. **B706** (2005) 245–324.
2. W. Bernreuther, R. Bonciani, T. Gehrmann, R. Heinesch, T. Leineweber, P. Mastrolia, and E. Remiddi Nucl. Phys. **B712** (2005) 229–286.
3. W. Bernreuther, R. Bonciani, T. Gehrmann, R. Heinesch, T. Leineweber, and E. Remiddi Nucl. Phys. **B723** (2005) 91–116.
4. W. Bernreuther, R. Bonciani, T. Gehrmann, R. Heinesch, P. Mastrolia, and E. Remiddi Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 096002.
5. J. Gluza, A. Mitov, S. Moch, and T. Riemann JHEP **07** (2009) 001.
6. J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, G. Falcioni, A. De Freitas, P. Marquard, N. Rana, and C. Schneider Phys. Rev. **D97** no. 9, (2018) 094022.
7. J. Henn, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, and M. Steinhauser JHEP **01** (2017) 074.
8. J. M. Henn, A. V. Smirnov, and V. A. Smirnov JHEP **12** (2016) 144.
9. R. N. Lee, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, and M. Steinhauser JHEP **03** (2018) 136.
10. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, N. Rana, and C. Schneider Phys. Lett. **B782** (2018) 528–532.
11. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, N. Rana, and C. Schneider Nucl. Phys. **B939** (2019) 253–291.
12. R. N. Lee, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, and M. Steinhauser JHEP **05** (2018) 187.
13. S. Catani Phys. Lett. **B427** (1998) 161–171.
14. G. F. Sterman and M. E. Tejeda-Yeomans Phys. Lett. **B552** (2003) 48–56.
15. T. Becher and M. Neubert Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 162001. [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett.111,no.19,199905(2013)].
16. E. Gardi and L. Magnea JHEP **03** (2009) 079.
17. V. Ravindran, J. Smith, and W. L. van Neerven Nucl. Phys. **B704** (2005) 332–348.
18. S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren, and A. Vogt Phys. Lett. **B625** (2005) 245–252.
19. A. Mitov, G. F. Sterman, and I. Sung Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 094015.
20. A. A. Penin Nucl. Phys. **B734** (2006) 185–202.
21. A. Mitov and S. Moch JHEP **05** (2007) 001.
22. T. Becher and K. Melnikov JHEP **06** (2007) 084.
23. T. Becher and M. Neubert Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 125004. [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D80,109901(2009)].
24. V. Ravindran Nucl. Phys. **B746** (2006) 58–76.
25. V. Ravindran Nucl. Phys. **B752** (2006) 173–196.
26. J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, and N. Rana Phys. Rev. **D99** no. 1, (2019) 016013.
27. T. Ahmed, J. M. Henn and M. Steinhauser JHEP **06** (2017) 125.
28. P. Nogueira J. Comput. Phys. **105** (1993) 279–289.
29. R. Harlander, T. Seidensticker, and M. Steinhauser Phys. Lett. **B426** (1998) 125–132.
30. T. Seidensticker 1999. `arXiv:hep-ph/9905298 [hep-ph]`.
31. J. A. M. Vermaseren `arXiv:math-ph/0010025 [math-ph]`.
32. M. Tentyukov and J. A. M. Vermaseren Comput. Phys. Commun. **181** (2010) 1419–1427.
33. T. van Ritbergen, A. N. Schellekens, and J. A. M. Vermaseren Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A14** (1999) 41–96.
34. K. G. Chetyrkin and F. V. Tkachov Nucl. Phys. **B192** (1981) 159–204.
35. S. Laporta Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15** (2000) 5087–5159.
36. P. Marquard and D. Seidel. (unpublished).
37. A. V. Kotikov Phys. Lett. **B254** (1991) 158–164.
38. E. Remiddi Nuovo Cim. **A110** (1997) 1435–1452.
39. J. M. Henn Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 251601.
40. J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, and C. Schneider Comput. Phys. Commun. **202** (2016) 33–112.
41. J. Blümlein and C. Schneider Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A33** no. 17, (2018) 1830015.

42. S. Gerhold, Uncoupling systems of linear Ore operator equations, Master's thesis, RISC, J. Kepler University, Linz, 2002.
43. B. Zürcher, Rationale Normalformen von pseudo-linearen Abbildungen, Master's thesis, Mathematik, ETH Zürich (1994).
44. J. Ablinger, PoS (RADCOR2017) 069, arXive:1801.01039 [cs.SC].
45. E. Remiddi and J. A. M. Vermaseren Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15** (2000) 725–754.
46. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, and C. Schneider J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011) 102301.
47. J. Blümlein, D. J. Broadhurst, and J. A. M. Vermaseren Comput. Phys. Commun. **181** (2010) 582–625.
48. J. A. M. Vermaseren Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A14** (1999) 2037–2076.
49. J. Blumlein and S. Kurth Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 014018.
50. J. Ablinger PoS **LL2014** (2014) 019.
51. J. Ablinger. 2009. arXiv:1011.1176 [math-ph].
52. J. Ablinger. 2012-04. arXiv:1305.0687 [math-ph].
53. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, and C. Schneider J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 082301.
54. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C. G. Raab, and C. Schneider J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 112301.
55. C. Schneider Sém. Lothar. Combin. **56** (2007) 1, article B56b.
56. C. Schneider arXiv:1304.4134 [cs.SC].
57. A. V. Smirnov and M. N. Tentyukov Comput. Phys. Commun. **180** (2009) 735–746.
58. A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, and M. Tentyukov Comput. Phys. Commun. **182** (2011) 790–803.
59. A. V. Smirnov Comput. Phys. Commun. **204** (2016) 189–199.
60. D. J. Broadhurst, N. Gray, and K. Schilcher Z. Phys. **C52** (1991) 111–122.
61. K. Melnikov and T. van Ritbergen Nucl. Phys. **B591** (2000) 515–546.
62. P. Marquard, L. Mihaila, J. H. Piclum, and M. Steinhauser Nucl. Phys. **B773** (2007) 1–18.
63. P. Marquard, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, and M. Steinhauser Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** no. 14, (2015) 142002.
64. P. Marquard, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser, and D. Wellmann Phys. Rev. **D94** no. 7, (2016) 074025.
65. P. Marquard, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, and M. Steinhauser Phys. Rev. **D97** no. 5, (2018) 054032.
66. O. V. Tarasov, A. A. Vladimirov, and A. Yu. Zharkov Phys. Lett. **B93** (1980) 429–432.
67. S. A. Larin and J. A. M. Vermaseren Phys. Lett. **B303** (1993) 334–336.
68. A. Grozin, J. M. Henn, G. P. Korchemsky, and P. Marquard Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** no. 6, (2015) 062006.
69. A. Grozin, J. M. Henn, G. P. Korchemsky, and P. Marquard JHEP **01** (2016) 140.
70. A. G. Grozin, P. Marquard, J. H. Piclum, and M. Steinhauser Nucl. Phys. **B789** (2008) 277–293.
71. J. C. Collins Phys. Rev. **D22** (1980) 1478; J. C. Collins, in: Perturbative Quantim Chromodynamics, Ed. A.H. Mueller, Advanced Series on Directions in High Energy Physics, **5**, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989), 573–614.
72. J. A. M. Vermaseren, S. A. Larin, and T. van Ritbergen Phys. Lett. **B405** (1997) 327–333.
73. J. A. Gracey Phys. Lett. **B488** (2000) 175–181.
74. T. Luthe, A. Maier, P. Marquard, and Y. Schröder JHEP **01** (2017) 081.
75. P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin, and J. H. Kühn JHEP **04** (2017) 119.
76. T. Ahmed, M. Mahakhud, N. Rana, and V. Ravindran Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** no. 11, (2014) 112002.
77. T. Ahmed, N. Rana, and V. Ravindran JHEP **10** (2014) 139.