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Abstract

It was recently pointed out that certain SiO$_2$ layer structures and SiO$_2$ nanotubes can be described as full subdivisions aka subdivision graphs of partial cubes. A key tool for analyzing distance-based topological indices in molecular graphs is the Djoković-Winkler relation $\Theta$ and its transitive closure $\Theta^*$. In this paper we study the behavior of $\Theta$ and $\Theta^*$ with respect to full subdivisions. We apply our results to describe $\Theta^*$ in full subdivisions of fullerenes, plane triangulations, and chordal graphs.
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1 Introduction

Partial cubes, that is, graphs that admit isometric embeddings into hypercubes, are of great interest in metric graph theory. Fundamental results on partial cubes are due to Chepoi [7], Djoković [12], and Winkler [27]. The original source for their interest however goes back to the paper of Graham and Pollak [15]. For additional information on partial cubes we refer to the books [11,14], the semi-survey [22], recent papers [16,21], as well as references therein.

Partial cubes offer many applications, ranging from the original one in interconnection networks [15] to media theory [14]. Our motivation though comes from mathematical chemistry where many important classes of chemical graphs are partial cubes. In the
seminal paper \[18\] it was shown that the celebrated Wiener index of a partial cube can be obtained without actually computing the distance between all pairs of vertices. A decade later it was proved in \[17\], based on the Graham-Winkler’s canonical metric embedding \[16\], that the method extends to arbitrary graphs. The paper \[18\] initiated the theory under the common name “cut method,” while \[20\] surveys the results on the method until 2015 with 97 papers in the bibliography. The cut method has been further developed afterwards, see \[8, 25, 26\] for some recent results on it related to partial cubes.

Now, in a series of papers \[3–5\] it was observed that certain SiO\(_2\) layer structures and SiO\(_2\) nanotubes that are of importance in chemistry can be described as the full subdivisions aka subdivision graphs of relatively simple partial cubes. (The paper \[24\] can serve as a possible starting point for the role of SiO\(_2\) nanostructures in chemistry.) The key step of the cut-method for distance based (as well as some other) invariants is to understand and compute the relation \(\Theta^*\). Therefore in \[4\] it was proved that the \(\Theta^*\)-classes of the full subdivision of a partial cube \(G\) can be obtained from the \(\Theta^*\)-classes of \(G\). Note that in a partial cube the latter coincide with the \(\Theta\)-classes.

The above developments yield the following natural, general problem that intrigued us: Given a graph \(G\) and its \(\Theta^*\)-classes, determine the \(\Theta^*\)-classes of the full subdivision of \(G\). In this paper we study this problem and prove several general results that can be applied in cases such as in \[3–5\] in mathematical chemistry as well as elsewhere. In the next section we list known facts about the relations \(\Theta\) and \(\Theta^*\) as well as the distance function in full subdivisions needed in the rest of the paper. In Section \[3\] general properties of the relations \(\Theta\) and \(\Theta^*\) in full subdivisions are derived. These properties are then applied in the subsequent sections. In the first of them, \(\Theta^*\) is described for fullerenes (a central class of chemical graph theory, see e.g. \[2, 23\]) and plane triangulations. In Section \[5\] the same problem is solved for chordal graphs.

2 Preliminaries

If \(R\) is a relation, then \(R^*\) denotes its transitive closure. The distance \(d_G(x, y)\) between vertices \(x\) and \(y\) of a connected graph \(G\) is the usual shortest path distance. If \(x \in V(G)\) and \(e = \{y, z\} \in E(G)\), then let

\[
d_G(x, e) = \min\{d_G(x, y), d_G(x, z)\}.
\]

Similarly, if \(e = \{x, y\} \in E(G)\) and \(f = \{u, v\} \in E(G)\), then we set

\[
d_G(e, f) = \min\{d_G(x, u), d_G(x, v), d_G(y, u), d_G(y, v)\}.
\]

Note that the latter function does not yield a metric space because if \(e\) and \(f\) are adjacent edges then \(d_G(e, f) = 0\). To get a metric space, one can define the distance between edges as the distance between the corresponding vertices in the line graph of \(G\). But for our purposes the function \(d_G(e, f)\) as defined is more suitable.
Edges $e = \{x, y\}$ and $f = \{u, v\}$ of a graph $G$ are in relation $\Theta$, shortly $e \Theta f$, if $d_G(x, u) + d_G(y, v) \neq d_G(x, v) + d_G(y, u)$. If $G$ is bipartite, then the definition simplifies as follows.

**Lemma 2.1** If $e = \{x, y\}$ and $f = \{u, v\}$ are edges of a bipartite graph $G$ with $e \Theta f$, then the notation can be chosen such that $d_G(u, x) = d_G(v, y) = d_G(u, y) - 1 = d_G(v, x) - 1$.

The relation $\Theta$ is reflexive and symmetric. Hence $\Theta^*$ is thus an equivalence, its classes are called $\Theta^*$-classes. Partial cubes are precisely those connected bipartite graph for which $\Theta = \Theta^*$ holds [27]. In partial cubes we may thus speak of $\Theta$-classes instead of $\Theta^*$-classes.

In the following lemma we collect properties of $\Theta$ to be implicitly or explicitly used later on.

**Lemma 2.2**

(i) If $P$ is a shortest path in $G$, then no two distinct edges of $P$ are in relation $\Theta$.

(ii) If $e$ and $f$ are edges from different blocks of a graph $G$, then $e$ is not in relation $\Theta$ with $f$.

(iii) If $e$ and $f$ are edges of an isometric cycle $C$ of a bipartite graph $G$, then $e \Theta f$ if and only if $e$ and $f$ are antipodal edges of $C$.

(iv) If $H$ is an isometric subgraph of a graph $G$, then $\Theta_H$ is the restriction of $\Theta_G$ to $H$.

If $G$ is a graph, then the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each each of $G$ exactly once is called the full subdivision (graph) of $G$ and denoted with $S(G)$. We will use the following related notation. If $x \in V(G)$ and $e = \{x, y\} \in E(G)$, then the vertex of $S(G)$ corresponding to $x$ will be denoted by $\bar{x}$ and the vertex of $S(G)$ obtained by subdividing the edge $e$ with $\bar{xy}$. Two edges incident with $\bar{xy}$ will be denoted with $e_x$ and $e_y$, where $e_x = \{\bar{x}, \bar{xy}\}$ and $e_y = \{\bar{y}, \bar{xy}\}$. See Fig. 1 for an illustration.

![Figure 1: Notation for the vertices and edges of $S(G)$.](image)

The following lemma is straightforward, cf. [19, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 2.3** If $G$ is a connected graph, then the following assertions hold.

(i) If $x, y \in V(G)$, then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 2d_G(x, y)$.
(ii) If $x \in V(G)$ and $\{y, z\} \in E(G)$, then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{ye}) = 2d_G(x, \{y, z\}) + 1$.

(iii) If $\{x, y\}, \{u, v\} \in E(G)$, then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv}) = 2d_G(\{x, y\}, \{u, v\}) + 2$.

3. $\Theta^*$ in full subdivisions

Lemma 3.1 If $G$ is a connected graph and $e \Theta S(G) f$ _a_ , then $e \Theta_G f$.

Proof. Let $e = \{x, y\}$ and $f = \{u, v\}$. If $\bar{x} = \bar{u}$ and $\bar{y} = \bar{v}$, then $e \Theta f$ and $e = f$, so there is nothing to prove. If $\bar{x} = \bar{v}$ and $\bar{y} = \bar{u}$, then $e \Theta f$ and $e = f$, which cannot be in relation $\Theta_{S(G)}$ because $S(G)$ is triangle-free. For the same reason the situation $\bar{x} = \bar{u}$ and $\bar{y} = \bar{v}$ is not possible. Assume next that $\bar{x} = \bar{v}$ and $\bar{y} \neq \bar{u}$. Then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{u}, \bar{xy}) = 3$ by Lemma 2.3 and hence $\bar{xy}, \bar{x}, \bar{uv}, \bar{u}$ are a geodesic containing $e \Theta f$, contradiction the assumption $e \Theta S(G) f$. In the rest of the proof we may thus assume that $\{x, y\} \cap \{u, v\} = \emptyset$.

Since $S(G)$ is bipartite, in view of Lemma 2.1 we need to consider the following two cases, where, using Lemma 2.3(i), we can assume that the distances $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})$ and $d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv})$ are even. Based on the assumption $e \Theta S(G) f$, we have $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) + d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv}) = d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{uv}) + d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{u})$ in a bipartite graph, thus the following cases.

Case 1. $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv}) = 2k$ and $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{uv}) = d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{u}) = 2k + 1$.

In the following, Lemma 2.3 will be used all the time.

By $2k = d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv}) = 2d_G(\{x, y\}, \{u, v\}) + 2$, we get

$$k - 1 \leq d_G(y, v), d_G(x, u), d_G(x, v), d_G(y, u),$$

where the lower bound is attained at least once.

Since $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = 2k$, we have $d_G(x, u) = k$. Because $d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv}) = 2k + 1$, we find that $d_G(x, \{u, v\}) = k$ and hence in particular $d_G(x, v) \geq k$. Similarly, as $d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{u}) = 2k + 1$ we have $d_G(u, \{x, y\}) = k$ and hence in particular $d_G(u, y) \geq k$. With the first observation this yields $k - 1 = d_G(y, v)$. In summary,

$$d_G(x, u) + d_G(y, v) = k + (k - 1) \neq k + k \leq d_G(x, v) + d_G(y, u),$$

which means that $e \Theta_G f$.

Case 2. $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv}) = 2k$ and $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{uv}) = d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{u}) = 2k - 1$.

Again, $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = 2k$ implies $d_G(x, u) = k$. The assumption $d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv}) = 2k - 1$ yields $d_G(x, \{u, v\}) = k - 1$ and consequently $d_G(x, v) = k - 1$. The condition $d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{u}) = 2k - 1$ implies $d_G(u, \{x, y\}) = k - 1$ and so $d_G(u, y) = k - 1$. Finally, the assumption $d_{S(G)}(\bar{xy}, \bar{uv}) = 2k$ gives us $d_G(\{x, y\}, \{u, v\}) = k - 1$, in particular, $d_G(y, v) \geq k - 1$. Putting these facts together we get

$$d_G(x, u) + d_G(y, v) \geq k + (k - 1) > (k - 1) + (k - 1) = d_G(x, v) + d_G(y, u),$$
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hence again $e \Theta_G f$. \hfill \square

Lemma \ref{lem:main-lemma} implies the following result on the relation $\Theta^*$.

**Corollary 3.2** If $e_x \Theta^*_S(G) f_\bar{u}$, then $e \Theta^*_G f$.

**Proof.** Suppose $e_x \Theta^*_S(G) f_\bar{u}$. Then there exists a positive integer $k$ such that

$$e_x \Theta_S(G) f^{(1)}_{x, \bar{u}}, f^{(1)}_{x, \bar{u}} \Theta_S(G) f^{(2)}_{z_2}, \ldots, f^{(k)}_{z_k} \Theta_S(G) f_\bar{u}.$$ 

Then, by Lemma \ref{lem:main-lemma} we have

$$e \Theta_G f^{(1)}, f^{(1)} \Theta_G f^{(2)}, \ldots, f^{(k)} \Theta_G f,$$

implying that $e \Theta^*_G f$. \hfill \square

The next lemma is a partial converse to Lemma \ref{lem:main-lemma}.

**Lemma 3.3** If $e \Theta_G f$, then there is a pair of edges $e_x, f_\bar{u}$ in $S(G)$ such that $e_x \Theta_S(G) f_\bar{u}$.

Moreover, if $G$ is bipartite, then there are two (disjoint) such pairs.

**Proof.** Let $e = \{x, y\}$, $f = \{u, v\}$, and let $k = d_G(x, u)$. Since $e \Theta_G f$, we may without loss of generality assume that $d_G(x, u) + d_G(y, v) < d_G(y, u) + d_G(x, v)$ and that $d_G(x, u) \leq d_G(y, v)$. We distinguish the following cases.

**Case 1.** $d_G(y, v) = k$. 

In this case, $\{d_G(x, v), d_G(y, u)\} \subseteq \{k - 1, k, k + 1\}$. Moreover, our assumption about the sum of distances implies that $\{d_G(x, v), d_G(y, u)\} \subseteq \{k, k + 1\}$. Since $e \Theta_G f$, the two distances cannot both be equal to $k$. Hence, up to symmetry, we need to consider the following two subcases.

Suppose $d_G(x, v) = d_G(y, u) = k + 1$. Then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = 2k + 2$, $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = 2k + 2$, $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = 2k + 1$, and $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = 2k + 1$. Hence $e_x \Theta_{S(G)} f_\bar{u}$.

Suppose $d_G(x, v) = k$ and $d_G(y, u) = k + 1$. Then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}) = 2k + 2$, $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 2k + 1$, and $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = 2k + 1$. Hence $e_y \Theta_{S(G)} f_\bar{u}$. A similar situation occurs when $d_G(x, v) = k + 1$ and $d_G(y, u) = k$.

**Case 2.** $d_G(y, v) = k + 1$.

Again, $\{d_G(x, v), d_G(y, u)\} \subseteq \{k - 1, k, k + 1\}$, but since $d_G(x, u) + d_G(y, v) < d_G(y, u) + d_G(x, v)$ it must be that $d_G(x, v) = d_G(y, u) = k + 1$. Then $d_{S(G)}(\bar{y}, \bar{v}) = 2k + 2$, $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 2k + 1$, and $d_{S(G)}(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) = 2k + 1$. Hence $e_y \Theta_{S(G)} f_\bar{u}$.

**Case 3.** $d_G(y, v) = k + 2$.

In this case the fact that $\{d_G(x, v), d_G(y, u)\} \subseteq \{k - 1, k, k + 1\}$ implies that $d_G(x, u) + d_G(y, v) \geq d_G(y, u) + d_G(x, v)$. As this is not possible, the first assertion of the lemma is proved.
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Assume now that $G$ is bipartite. Combining Lemma 2.1 with the above case analysis we infer that the only case to consider is when $d_G(x,u) = d_G(y,v) = k$ and $d_G(x,v) = d_G(y,u) = k+1$. Then, just in the first subcase of the above Case 1 we get that $e_x \Theta^*_S(G) f_{\bar{v}}$ and, similarly, $e_y \Theta^*_S(G) f_{\bar{u}}$. \hfill $\square$

We say that cycles $C$ and $C'$ of $G$ are isometrically touching if $|E(C) \cap E(C')| = 1$ and $C \cup C'$ is an isometric subgraph of $G$. Note that isometrically touching cycles are isometric.

![Figure 2: Isometrically touching cycles and their subdivisions.](image)

**Lemma 3.4** Let $C$ and $C'$ be isometrically touching cycles in $G$ with $E(C) \cap E(C') = \{e\}$. Then in $S(G)$ both edges corresponding to $e$ are in the same $\Theta^*_S(G)$-class. Moreover, this class contains the edges thickened in Fig. 2.

**Proof.** We take the notation from Fig. 2 and content ourselves with only providing the proof for the case where $C$ is odd and $C'$ is even. The other cases go through similarly. From Lemma 2.2(iii) we get that $\{\bar{u}, uv\} \Theta_S(G) \{\bar{w}, \bar{t}w\}$ and $\{\bar{u}, \bar{w}\} \Theta_S(G) \{\bar{y}, xy\}$. However, note now that $d(\bar{y}, \bar{w}) = d(xy, sw) = d(\bar{y}, sw) - 1 = d(xy, \bar{w}) - 1$. Thus we also have $\{w, sw\} \Theta_S(G) \{\bar{y}, xy\}$. Since $\{w, sw\}$ is also in relation with $\{\bar{v}, \bar{w}\}$ we obtain the claim for $\Theta^*_S(G)$ by taking the transitive closure. \hfill $\square$

For the full subdivision $S(G)$ of $G$ denote by $S(\Theta^*_G)$, the relation on the edges of $S(G)$, where $\{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\}$ and $\{u, v\}$ are in relation $S(\Theta^*_G)$ if and only if $\{x, y\} \Theta^* \{u, v\}$. In particular, $\{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\}$ and $\{\bar{y}, \bar{y}\}$ are always in relation.

**Lemma 3.5** We have $\{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\} \Theta^*_S(G) \{\bar{y}, \bar{y}\}$ for all $\{x, y\} \in G$ if and only if $\Theta^*_S(G) = S(\Theta^*_G)$. 
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Proof. The backwards direction holds by definition. Conversely, by Lemma 3.1 we have that if \( \{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\} \Theta^*_{S(G)} \{\bar{u}, \bar{v}\} \), then \( \{x, y\} \Theta^* \{u, v\} \). Therefore, \( \Theta^*_{S(G)} \subseteq S(\Theta^*_{G}) \). On the other hand, Lemma 3.3 assures that if \( \{x, y\} \Theta^* \{u, v\} \), then there is a pair \( \{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\} \Theta^*_{S(G)} \{\bar{u}, \bar{v}\} \), but then by our assumption also \( \{\bar{y}, \bar{y}\} \Theta^*_{S(G)} \{\bar{u}, \bar{v}\} \) and so on. Thus, \( \Theta^*_{S(G)} \supseteq S(\Theta^*_{G}) \).

□

Lemma 3.4 and 3.5 immediately yield:

Proposition 3.6 If every edge of \( G \) is in the intersection of two isometrically touching cycles, then \( \Theta^*_{S(G)} = S(\Theta^*_{G}) \).

4 Θ* in subdivisions of fullerenes and plane triangulations

In this section we study relation \( \Theta^* \) in full subdivisions of fullerenes and plane triangulations, for which Proposition 3.6 will be essential. We begin with fullerenes. Recall that a fullerene is a cubic planar graph all of whose faces are of length 5 or 6.

A cycle \( C \) of a connected graph \( G \) is separating if \( G \setminus C \) is disconnected and that a cyclic edge-cut of \( G \) is an edge set \( F \) such that \( G \setminus F \) separates two cycles. To prove our main result on fullerenes we need the following result.

Lemma 4.1 Given a fullerene graph \( G \), every separating cycle of \( G \) is of length at least 9. Moreover, the only separating cycles of length 9, are the cycles separating a vertex incident only to 5-faces, see the left of Fig. 3.

Proof. Let \( C \) be separating cycle of length at most 9. Since \( G \) is cubic, there are \( |C| \) edges of \( G \) incident to \( C \) which are not in \( C \). Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume at most four of them are in the inner side of \( C \). As they form an edge cut, and since fullerenes are cyclically 5-edge-connected \([13]\), the subgraph induced by vertices in the inner part of \( C \) is a forest, say \( F \). If \( F \) consists of one vertex, say \( v \), then we have three edges connecting \( v \) to \( C \) which form three faces \( G \). As each of these faces is of length at least 5, they are exactly 5-faces. Otherwise, \( F \) contains at least two vertices \( u \) and \( v \) each of which is either an isolated vertex of \( F \) or a leaf. As they are of degree 3 in \( F \), each of them must be connected by two edges to \( C \). And since there at most four such edges, it follows that \( u \) and \( v \) are of degree 1 in \( F \) and that every other vertex of \( F \) is of degree 3 in \( F \), which means there no other vertex and \( u \) is adjacent to \( v \). Thus inside \( C \) we have five edges and four faces. But \( C \) itself is of length at most 9 and thus one of these four faces is of length at most 4, a contradiction with the choice of \( G \).

Theorem 4.2 If \( G \) is a fullerene, then \( \Theta^*_{S(G)} = S(\Theta^*_{G}) \).

Proof. We claim that every edge \( e \) of \( G \) is the intersection of two isometrically touching cycles. For this sake consider the cycles \( C \) and \( C' \) that lie on the boundary of the faces
We have to prove that the union $C \cup C'$ is isometric. Assume on the contrary that this is not the case, that is, there exist vertices $u, v \in C \cup C'$ such that there is a shortest $u, v$-path $P$ (in $G$) interiorly disjoint from $C \cup C'$, that is shorter than any shortest path $P'$ from $u$ to $v$ in $C \cup C'$.

Consider the cycle $C''$ obtained by joining $P$ and a shortest path $P'$ from $u$ to $v$ in $C \cup C'$. Since $C$ and $C'$ are of length at most 6, the graph $C \cup C'$ is of diameter at most 5, thus the cycle $C''$ is of length at most 9. We will prove that there is a separating cycle contradicting Lemma 4.1.

First, note that if $e \in P'$, then $C''$ separates the graph $C \cup C'$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1 $C''$ is of length at most 9, so the endpoints of $P'$ must be at distance 5 on $C \cup C'$, i.e., one is in $C$ and the other in $C'$. Thus, both sides of $C''$ contain more than one vertex, contradicting Lemma 4.1.

Hence, $P'$ is on the boundary of $C \cup C'$. Suppose that $C''$ is not induced. Then since the girth of fullerenes is 5, there is a single chord from $P$ to $P'$ which splits $C''$ into a 5-cycle $A$ and into a 5- or a 6-cycle $B$. In particular, $|C''| \geq 8$ and $P'$ has at least five vertices on $C''$. Thus, one vertex of $P'$ has degree 2 in $C \cup C'$ and is not incident to the chord. Thus, this vertex has a neighbor in the interior of $A$ or $B$, that is, one of them is separating, contradicting Lemma 4.1.

If $C''$ is induced, it follows from the fact that $C$ and $C'$ are faces and $|C''| \geq 5$, that $C''$ is not a face, i.e., it is separating. Thus, $|C''| = 9$ and the patch $Q$ consisting of $C''$ and its interior is a single vertex surrounded by three 5-faces, see Lemma 4.1. Moreover, $C$ and $C'$ are 6-faces so that their union can have diameter 5. Note that any path $P'$ on the boundary of $C \cup C'$ of length 5 uses only one vertex of degree 3, see the right of Fig. 3. But any path $P'$ of length 5 on the boundary $Q$ uses at least two vertices of degree 2, see the left of Fig. 3. Thus, $P'$ cannot be in both boundaries simultaneously – contradiction.

We have shown the claim from the beginning and Proposition 3.6 yields the result. □

We have proved how $\Theta^*_G$ of a fullerene behaves with respect to subdivision. What can we say about $\Theta^*_G$ itself? If $G$ is a fullerene, then we define a relation $\Phi$ on $E(G)$ as

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{A separating 9-cycle and two isometrically touching 6-cycles in a fullerene.}
\end{figure}
follows: $e\Phi f$ if $e$ and $f$ are opposite edges of a facial $C_6$. Relation $\Phi$ falls into cycles and paths, that have been called railroads \cite{10}. In particular, it has been shown that cycles can have multiple self-intersection. We denote by $\overline{\Phi}$ the relation where additionally any two non-incident edges of a facial $C_5$ are in relation. Finally, recall that $\overline{\Phi}^*$ denotes the transitive closure of $\overline{\Phi}$. Since faces are isometric subgraphs, it is easy to see that $\overline{\Phi}$ is a refinement of $\Theta^*_G$ as well as $\overline{\Phi}^*$ is a refinement of $\Theta^*_G$. One might believe that the converse also holds, but the example in Fig. 4 shows that this is not always the case. We believe that determining $\Theta^*_G$ in fullerenes is an interesting problem.

We now turn our attention to plane triangulations. It is straightforward to verify that if $G$ is a plane triangulation, then $\Theta^*$ consists of a single class. On the other hand, $\Theta^*$ on the full subdivision of a plane triangulation has the following non-trivial structure.

**Theorem 4.3** Let $G \neq K_4$ be a plane triangulation. Then $\Theta^*_{S(G)}$ consists of one global class $\gamma$, plus one class $\gamma_x$ for every degree three vertex $x$. Here, if $N(x) = \{y_1,y_2,y_3\}$, then $\gamma_x = \{\overline{y_1x},\overline{y_2x},\overline{y_3x}\}$. If $G = K_4$ the same holds, except that there is no global class $\gamma$.

**Proof.** Recall that $S(K_4)$ is a partial cube, cf. \cite{19}, its $\Theta$-classes (= $\Theta^*$-classes) are shown in Fig. 5. Hence the result holds for $K_4$. 

Figure 4: A fullerene $G$ which has two $\overline{\Phi}^*$-classes (bold and normal edges), but only one $\Theta^*_G$-class since $e\Theta_G f$.
We proceed by induction on the number of vertices. Let $G$ have minimum degree at least 4, and let $e = \{x, y\}$ be an edge shared by triangles $C$ and $C'$ bonding faces of $G$. If $C \cup C'$ is isometric, then by Lemma 3.4 we have $\{\bar{x}, \overline{y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^* \{\overline{y}, \bar{y}\}$. Otherwise, $C \cup C'$ induces a $K_4$, but since the minimum degree of $G$ is at least 4, the other two triangles of the $K_4$ cannot be faces. An easy application of Lemma 3.4 on the other edges of this $K_4$ implies $\{\bar{x}, \overline{y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^* \{\overline{y}, \bar{y}\}$. Since in a triangulation there is only one $\Theta^*$-class, Proposition 3.6 implies the result, that is, there is only one global class $\gamma$ in $S(G)$.

Now suppose that $G$ contains a vertex $v$ of degree 3. The graph $G' = G \setminus \{v\}$ is a plane triangulation, thus our claim holds for $G'$ by induction. In particular, if $G' = K_4$, see Fig. 5 again. Otherwise, since $S(G')$ is an isometric subgraph of $S(G)$, Lemma 2.2(iv) says that $\Theta_{S(G')}^*$ is the restriction of $\Theta_{S(G)}^*$ to $S(G')$.

Consider an edge $e = \{x, y\}$ of the triangle of $G$ that contains $v$. Note that the facial triangles $C, C'$ containing $e$ have an isometric union, so by Lemma 3.4 we have $\{\bar{x}, \overline{y}\} \Theta_{S(G)}^* \{\overline{y}, \bar{y}\}$, which corresponds to our claim, since neither $x$ or $y$ can be of degree 3. If one of them—say $x$—was of degree 3 in $G'$, then now only the class $\gamma_x$ and $\gamma$ where merged. Since $G' \neq K_4$, not both $x$ and $y$ are of degree 3. Note furthermore that by Lemma 3.4 the edges incident to $v$ will all be in the class $\gamma$.

Finally, all the edges of the form $f = \{\bar{x}, \overline{y}\}$ are in relation $\Theta$ with each other. In order to see that they are the only constituents of the class $\gamma_v$ it suffices to notice that $d(\overline{y}, z) = d(\overline{y}, z) + 1$ for all $z \in S(G')$. The result then follows by Lemma 2.2 (i). □

5 \(\Theta^*\) in subdivisions of chordal graphs

Recall that a graph is chordal if all its induced cycles are of length 3. Similarly as in fullerenes we shall define relation $\Phi$ on the edges of $S(G)$, by $e \Phi f$ if $e, f$ are opposite edges of a $C_6$.

**Lemma 5.1** If $G$ is a chordal graph, then $\Phi_{S(G)}^* = \Theta_{S(G)}^*$.
Proof. Let $e \Theta_{S(G)} f$, where $e$ and $f$ are edges created by subdividing $\{a, b\}$, $\{c, d\} \in E(G)$, respectively. Then by Lemma 3.1 we have $\{a, b\} \Theta \{c, d\}$. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have (up to symmetry) two options.

**Case 1.** $d_G(a, c) = d_G(b, d) = k$.

We can assume that $d_G(a, d) \in \{k, k + 1\}$ and $d_G(b, c) = k + 1$. Let $P = p_0p_1 \ldots p_k$ and $P' = p_0'p_1' \ldots p_k'$ be shortest $a, c$- and $b, d$-paths, respectively. Clearly, $P$ and $P'$ must be disjoint since otherwise it cannot hold $d_G(a, d) \in \{k, k + 1\}$, $d_G(b, c) = k + 1$. The cycle $C$ formed by $\{a, b\}, P', \{d, c\}, P$ must have a chord. Inductively adding chords we can show that there is a chord of $C$ incident with $a$ or $b$. Since $P$ and $P'$ are shortest paths and the assumptions on distances hold, it follows that the latter chord must be incident with $a$ and the vertex $p_1'$ of $P'$. In particular, $d_G(a, d) = k$. Similarly, one can show that there must be a chord between $p_1'$ and $p_1$, and inductively between every $p_ip_{i+1}'$ for $0 \leq i < k$ and every $p_{i+1}'p_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i < k - 1$.

By the assumption on the distances, the only pair of subdivided edges of $\{a, b\}$, $\{c, d\}$, that is in relation $\Theta_{S(G)}$, is $\{b, ba\} \Theta_{S(G)} \{c, cd\}$, i.e., $e = \{b, ba\}$ and $f = \{c, cd\}$. Then

$$\{b, ba\} \Phi_{S(G)}(\pi, ap') \Phi_{S(G)}(\pi, P, p_{k-1}) \Phi_{S(G)} \cdots \Phi_{S(G)}(\pi, cd).$$

**Case 2.** $d_G(a, c) = k, d_G(b, d) = k + 1$.

Then we have $d_G(a, d) = d_G(b, c) = k + 1$. Similarly as above, shortest $a, c$- and $b, d$-paths, say $P = p_0p_1 \ldots p_k$ and $P' = p_0'p_1' \ldots p_{k+1}'$, cannot intersect. Using the same notation as above, $C$ must have a chord incident with $a$ or $b$. By similar arguments, there must be a chord between every $p_ip_{i+1}'$ and $p_{i+1}'p_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i < k$.

By the assumption on the distances, the only pair of subdivided edges of $\{a, b\}$, $\{c, d\}$, that is in relation $\Theta_{S(G)}$, is $\{b, ba\} \Theta_{S(G)} \{d, dc\}$, i.e., $e = \{b, ba\}$ and $f = \{d, dc\}$. Then

$$\{b, ba\} \Phi_{S(G)}(\pi, ap') \Phi_{S(G)}(\pi, P, p_{k-1}) \Phi_{S(G)} \cdots \Phi_{S(G)}(\pi, dc).$$

We have proved that $\Theta_{S(G)} \subset \Phi^*_{S(G)}$, thus $\Theta^*_{S(G)} = \Phi^*_{S(G)}$. \hfill $\square$

An edge of a graph $G$ is called exposed if it is properly contained in a single maximal complete subgraph of $G$. (This concept was recently introduced in [9], where it was proved that a $G$ is a connected chordal graph if and only if $G$ can be obtained from a complete graph by a sequence of removal of exposed edges.) Denote by $G^{-ee}$, for a chordal graph $G$, the graph obtained from $G$ by removing all its exposed edges. We will denote by $c(G^{-ee})$ the number of connected components of $G^{-ee}$. Note that the singletons of $G^{-ee}$ include the simplicial vertices of $G$, and if $G$ is 2-connected, its simplicial vertices coincide with singletons of $G^{-ee}$. It is straightforward to verify that if $G$ is a chordal graph, then $\Theta^*$ consists of a single class. On the other hand, $\Theta^*$ on the full subdivision of a chordal graph has the following non-trivial structure.

**Theorem 5.2** Let $G$ be a 2-connected, chordal graph. Then the coloring, that for an edge $\{a, b\}$ with a being in the $i$-th connected component of $G^{-ee}$ colors edge $\{ab, b\}$ with color $i$, corresponds to the $\Theta^*_{S(G)}$-partition. In particular, $|\Theta^*_{S(G)}| = c(G^{-ee})$.  
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Proof. We first prove that the above coloring of edges is a coarsening of $\Theta^*_S(G)$. Let $a$ be a vertex of $G$ and $b, c$ its neighbors. Since $G$ is 2-connected, there exists a $b, c$-path $P$ that does not cross $a$. Pick $P$ such that it is shortest possible. Then since $G$ is chordal, $a$ is adjacent to every vertex on $P$, otherwise there exists a shorter path. Denote $P = p_0p_1 \ldots p_k$, where $p_0 = b$ and $p_k = c$. Then $\{p_i a, p_i\} \Theta S(G) \{p_{i+1} a, p_{i+1}\}$, proving that $\{ba, \overline{b}\} \Theta^*_S(G) \{ca, \overline{c}\}$.

Furthermore, if $ab$ is not an exposed edge in $G$, then $ab$ lies in two maximal cliques. In particular, it lies in two isometrically touching triangles. By Lemma 3.4, $\{ab, \overline{b}\} \Phi S(G) \{a, \overline{ab}\}$. By transitivity, and the above two facts, all the edges $\{ab, \overline{b}\}$, with $a$ being in the same connected component of $G^{ee}$, are in relation $\Theta^*_S(G)$.

Finally, we prove that no other edge besides the asserted is in $\Theta^*_S(G)$. Assume otherwise, and let $\{\overline{a}, \overline{ab}\} \Theta^*_S(G) \{\overline{c}, \overline{cd}\}$ be such that $b$ and $d$ do not lie in the same connected component of $G^{ee}$. By Lemma 5.1, we can assume that $\{\overline{a}, \overline{ab}\} \Phi S(G) \{\overline{c}, \overline{cd}\}$. But then the edges lie on a 6-cycle, implying that $b = d$. This cannot be. □
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