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Abstract

We consider a homogeneous system of linear equations arising from the parallel distinguishability of two quantum operations. We affirm the necessity part of the conjecture of Duan et al. asserting the existence of a nontrivial nonnegative solution, and we show that for $n \leq 10$, the conjecture holds by providing explicit solutions.
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1 Introduction

Let $M_{m,n}$ (respectively, $M_n$) be the set of $m \times n$ (respectively, $n \times n$) complex matrices. Denote by $H_n$ and $D_n$ the set of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices and the set of $n \times n$ density matrices, which are positive semidefinite matrices with trace one, respectively.

In the mathematical framework of quantum mechanics, density matrices are used to describe the state of a quantum system. Quantum operations \cite{4,5} are trace-preserving, completely-positive linear maps from $M_n$ to $M_m$. It is known \cite{1,3} that for a quantum operation $\mathcal{E} : M_n \rightarrow M_m$, there exists a set of matrices $\{E_1, \ldots, E_{n_0}\} \subset M_{m,n}$, called a set of Choi-Kraus operators of $\mathcal{E}$, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_0} E_j^* E_j = I_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} E_j X E_j^* \quad \text{for any } X \in M_n.$$

For example, the identity map on $M_{\ell}$, denoted by $I_{\ell}$, has $\{I_{\ell}\}$ as Choi-Kraus operator.
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Two quantum operations $\mathcal{E} : M_n \to M_m$ and $\mathcal{F} : M_n \to M_m$, with Choi-Kraus operators given by $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{n_0}$ and $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^{n_1}$ are **distinguishable by $N$ uses in parallel** if for some integers $l, r$, there exists a nonzero vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^{l+nN}$ such that

$$Y_1 = (I_l \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\otimes N})(xx^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (I_l \otimes E_j^{\otimes N})xx^*(I_l \otimes E_j^{\otimes N})$$

and

$$Y_2 = (I_l \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes N})(xx^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} (I_l \otimes F_k^{\otimes N})xx^*(I_l \otimes F_k^{\otimes N})$$

are orthogonal, that is $\text{Tr}(Y_1^*Y_2) = 0$. One may see [2] and its references for the background of the concept. In particular, the following results were obtained in [2, Theorems 1 and 2].

**Proposition 1.1** Two quantum operations with Choi-Kraus operators $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ can be perfectly distinguished by $N$ uses in parallel if and only if there is a density matrix $\rho \in (S^{\otimes N}_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}})^\perp$, where

$$S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} = \text{Span} \{E_j^*F_k \mid 1 \leq j \leq n_0, 1 \leq k \leq n_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{\otimes N}_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} = \{R^N : R \in S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}\}.$$

**Proposition 1.2** Any non-empty subset $T \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be realized as a spanning set of $S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}$ of some pair of quantum operations $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$.

Here we give a short proof of Proposition 1.2. Suppose $\text{Span} T$ has a basis $\{A_1, \ldots, A_m\} \subseteq M_n$. Consider the block diagonal matrix $A = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_m$. If $A$ has rank $k$, then $A = [B_1 \cdots B_m]^*|C_1 \cdots C_m]$, where $B_1, \ldots, B_m, C_1, \ldots, C_m$ are $n \times k$ matrices. Let $M > 0$ be such that $I - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_j^*B_j = B_0^2$ and $I - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_j^*C_j = C_0^2$ for some positive semi-definite matrices. Let $E_1, \ldots, E_{m+1}, F_1, \ldots, F_{m+1} \in M_{n,3k}$ be such that

$$E_j^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}[B_j^*|0_{n,2k}], \quad F_j^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}[C_j^*|0_{n,2k}], \quad j = 1, \ldots, m,$$

$$E_{m+1}^* = |0_{n,k}|B_0^*|0_{n,k}], \quad F_{m+1}^* = |0_{n,2k}|C_0^*].$$

Then $\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} E_j^*E_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} F_j^*F_j = I_n$, and

$$[E_1 \cdots E_{m+1}]^*[F_1 \cdots F_{m+1}] = \frac{1}{M}(A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_m + 0_n).$$

If the quantum channels from $M_n$ to $M_{3k}$ have the sets of Choi-Kraus operators $\{E_1, \ldots, E_{m+1}\}$ and $\{F_1, \ldots, F_{m+1}\}$, then $\text{Span} \{E_i^*F_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq m + 1\} = \text{Span} \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$. \hfill $\square$

In [2], the authors considered the quantum channels $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with $S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}$ equal to the span of the set

$$T_\alpha = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 2\pi).$$

It is easy to see that the following conditions for a density operator $\rho = (\rho_{ij}) \in M_{3N}$ are equivalent.

(a) The density operator $\rho \in (\text{Span} (T_\alpha)^{\otimes N})^\perp$.

(b) The diagonal density operator $\hat{\rho} = \text{diag}(\rho_{11}, \ldots, \rho_{3N,3N}) \in (\text{Span} (T_\alpha)^{\otimes N})^\perp$. 
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(c) The vector \( \mathbf{x} = (\rho_{11}, \ldots, \rho_{3N}, 3N)^t \in \mathbb{C}^{3N} \) satisfies the homogeneous equation

\[
A^{\otimes N}_\alpha \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad A_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix}.
\]  

By the above fact, one can focus on finding a nontrivial nonnegative vector \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{3N} \) satisfying (1). Furthermore, the following remarks and conjecture were made in [2].

**Remark 1.3** If \( \alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \), the space \( \text{Span}(T_\alpha) \) contains a positive operator and in this case \( (\text{Span}(T_\alpha)^{\otimes N})^\perp \) does not contain a density matrix for any positive integer \( N \). This makes the corresponding pair of quantum operations \( \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \), satisfying \( S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} = \text{Span}(T) \), indistinguishable. By taking the complex conjugate of equation (1), we see that there is a nontrivial nonnegative solution (nns) to \( A^{N}_\alpha \mathbf{x} = 0 \) if and only if there is an nns to \( A^{-N}_\alpha \mathbf{x} = A^{N}_{2\pi - \alpha} \mathbf{x} = 0 \). Hence, we only need to focus on the case when \( \alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi] \).

**Conjecture 1.4** Let \( \alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi] \). The equation (1) has an nns if and only if \( \alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi] \).

In [2], the authors gave explicit solutions of the equation (1) for \( N \leq 4 \). Furthermore, in Section IV of the paper, it was shown that one may reduce the complexity of the equation (1) by finding solution with some symmetries imposed on its entries, and reduce the equation to another equation \( C_{\alpha,N} \mathbf{y} = 0 \), where \( C_{\alpha,N} \) is an \( (N+1) \times \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2} \) matrix with full column rank. In Section 2, we will set up the system \( C_{\alpha,N} \mathbf{y} = 0 \) and obtain another symmetry for the solution. In Section 3, we prove the necessity part of Conjecture 1.4; that is, if \( \alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi] \) and equation (1) has an nns, then \( \alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi] \). In Section 4, we provide explicit nns (1) for \( \alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi] \) and \( N \leq 10 \). In Section 5, we provide some additional remarks that may help in proving the sufficiency part of the conjecture.

2 A reduction of the linear system

First, we label the entries of a vector \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{3N} \) using ternary numbers. That is, we use the ternary number \( (j_0, \ldots, j_{N-1}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{N-1} \) for the \( j^{th} \) entry of \( \mathbf{x} \) when

\[
 j = 1 + \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} j_p 3^{N-1-p}.
\]

For example, we will label the entries of \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{3^2} \) with 00, 01, 02, 10, 11, 12, 20, 21, 22. In the same manner, we label the columns of \( A^{\otimes N}_\alpha \) using ternary numbers. Meanwhile, we label the rows of \( A^{\otimes N}_\alpha \) using binary numbers.

In [2, Section IV], it was shown that one may reduce the complexity of the equation (1) by finding solution \( \mathbf{x} = [x_J]_{J \in \{0,1,2\}^N} \in \mathbb{C}^{3N} \) with entries labeled by \( J \in \{0,1,2\}^N \) such that \( x_J = x_j \) whenever \( J = P \hat{J} \) for a permutation matrix \( P \in M_N \), i.e., the ternary sequences \( J \) and \( \hat{J} \) have the same numbers of 0, 1, 2 terms. We summarize the result in the following.
Proposition 2.1 If there is a nontrivial nonnegative solution \( \mathbf{x} \) satisfying equation (1), then there is a nontrivial nonnegative solution \( \hat{x} = [\hat{x}_j]_{j \in \{0,1,2\}^N} \) such that

\[
\hat{x}_{j_0, \ldots, j_{N-1}} = \hat{x}_{k_0, \ldots, k_{N-1}}
\]

whenever there exists a permutation \( \sigma \in S_n \) such that

\[
(j_{\sigma(0)}, \ldots, j_{\sigma(N-1)}) = (k_0, \ldots, k_{N-1}).
\]

For a triple \((N_0, N_1, N_2)\) of nonnegative integers with \(N_0 + N_1 + N_2 = N\), define the set

\[
[N_0, N_1, N_2] = \{(j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_{N-1}) \in \{0,1,2\}^N : N_\ell = \# \{p : j_p = \ell\} \text{ for all } \ell \in \{0,1,2\}\},
\]

of all ternary labels of length \(N\) that contains \(N_0\) digits equal to 0, \(N_1\) digits equal to 1 and \(N_2\) digits equal to 2. For example, when \(N = 2\),

\[
[1,1,0] = \{01, 10\}, \quad [0,1,1] = \{12, 21\}, \quad [2,0,0] = \{00\}.
\]

Proposition 2.1 states that if there is an nns to \(A_0^N \mathbf{x} = 0\), then there is an nns \(\hat{x} = [a_j]_{j \in \{0,1,2\}^N}\) such that \(a_J = a_K\) whenever \(J, K \in \{N_0, N_1, N_2\}\). Using this symmetry, \(\hat{x}\) has at most \(p_N\) distinct entries, where \(p_N\) is the number of nonnegative integer triples \((N_0, N_1, N_2)\) satisfying \(N_0 + N_1 + N_2 = N\). The total number of such triples equals the sum of solutions of \(N_0 + N_1 = k\) for \(k = 0, \ldots, N\), and hence

\[
p_N = 1 + \cdots + (N + 1) = \frac{(N + 1)(N + 2)}{2}.
\]

For example, when \(N = 2\), we see from equation (3), that \(\hat{x}\) has at most \(p_2 = 6\) distinct entries. In fact, we may assume that the solution has the form:

\[
\hat{x}^T = \begin{bmatrix} x_{00} & x_{01} & x_{02} & x_{10} & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{20} & x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & b & d & e & c & e & f \end{bmatrix}.
\]

In the following, it is convenient to replace \(A_\alpha\) by the matrix

\[
A_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -e^{2i\alpha} \\
0 & 1 & e^{i\alpha} \\
0 & 1 & e^{-i\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & e^{i\alpha} \\
0 & 1 & e^{-i\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -z^2 \\
0 & 1 & z \end{bmatrix}
\]

with \(z = e^{i\alpha}\). (5)

Now, let us define a \(3^N \times p_N\) matrix \(Q_N\) by labeling its rows by ternary numbers in the usual order and labeling its first \(N + 1\) columns by \([N,0,0],[N-1,0,1],\ldots,[1,0,N-1],[0,0,N]\), then its next \(N\) columns by \([N-1,1,0],[N-2,1,1],\ldots,[0,1,N-1]\) and so on; then setting the \((i,j)^{th}\) entry of \(Q_N\) equal to 1 precisely when the ternary label of the \(i^{th}\) row is an element of the \(j^{th}\) column label as defined in equation (2). We can then define the following \(2^N \times p_N\) matrix

\[
B_{\alpha,N} = A_\alpha^{\otimes N} Q_N.
\]

Notice that for an nns \(\hat{x}\) satisfying the symmetry described in Proposition 2.1, we have

\[
A_\alpha^{\otimes N} \hat{x} = A_\alpha^{\otimes N} Q_N y = B_{\alpha,N} y
\]
for some nonzero nonnegative vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$. Observe $B_{\alpha,N}$ for $N = 2, 3$ given below,

\[
B_{\alpha,2} = \begin{bmatrix}
[00] & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
[01] & 0 & z & -z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 \\
[10] & 0 & z & -z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 \\
[11] & 0 & 0 & z^2 & 0 & 2z & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
B_{\alpha,3} = \begin{bmatrix}
[00] & 1 & -3z^2 & 3z^4 & -z^6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
[01] & 0 & z & -2z^3 & z^5 & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 \\
[010] & 0 & z & -2z^3 & z^5 & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 \\
[011] & 0 & 0 & z^2 & -z^4 & 0 & 2z & -2z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 \\
[100] & 0 & z & -2z^3 & z^5 & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
[101] & 0 & 0 & z^2 & -z^4 & 0 & 2z & -2z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 \\
[110] & 0 & 0 & 0 & z^3 & 0 & 0 & 3z^2 & 0 & 3z & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

**Proposition 2.2** Let $B_{\alpha,N}$ be defined as in equation (5), then

(a) $\text{rank}(B_{\alpha,N}) = N + 1$

(b) The first $N + 1$ columns of $B_{\alpha,N}$ are linearly independent.

(c) If the digits of the binary labels of rows $J$ and $K$ have the same number of zeros (equivalently, the number of ones), then the $J^{\text{th}}$ and $K^{\text{th}}$ rows of $B_{\alpha,N}$ are identical.

(d) Let $J = 00 \ldots 11_{\bar{i}}$. Then

\[
(B_{\alpha,N})_{J,[N_0,N_1,N_2]} = \binom{N - j}{N_0} \cdot (-z^2)^{N - j - N_0} \cdot \binom{j}{N_1} z^{j - N_1},
\]

where we agree that $\binom{n}{k} = 0$ whenever $k > n$.

**Proof:** Let $A_\alpha$ be defined as in equation (5). Denote its entries by $a_{j,\ell}$ where $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. One can check that if $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N$ and $L = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_N) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^N$, then the $(J, L)$ entry of $A_\alpha^\otimes N$ is $\prod_{s=1}^N a_{j_s,\ell_s}$.

We first prove (c). Since $J$ and $K$ have the same number of zeros, there exists $\sigma \in S_N$ such that $J = \sigma(K)$.

Let $N_0, N_1, N_2$ be nonnegative integers with $N_0 + N_1 + N_2 = N$, $\tau \in S_N$, write

$$
\tau([N_0, N_1, N_2]) := \{\tau(L) | L \in [N_0, N_1, N_2]\}.
$$
It is easy to verify that $\tau([N_0, N_1, N_2]) = [N_0, N_1, N_2]$ for any $\tau$. Then

\[
(B_{\alpha,N})_{J,[N_0,N_1,N_2]} = \sum_{L=\ell_1,\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_N \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} (A_\alpha^{\otimes N})_{J,L}
\]

\[
= \sum_{L=\ell_1,\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_N \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} \prod_{s=1}^{N} (A_{\alpha})_{\ell_s,\ell_s}^s
\]

\[
= \sum_{\sigma(L) \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} \prod_{s=1}^{N} (A_{\alpha})_{\ell_{\sigma(s)},\ell_{\sigma(s)}}^s
\]

\[
= \sum_{L \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} \prod_{s=1}^{N} (A_{\alpha})_{\ell_s,\ell_s}^s
\]

\[
= (B_{\alpha,N})_{K,[N_0,N_1,N_2]},
\]

where $J = j_1j_2\ldots j_N$ and $K = k_1k_2\ldots k_N$. Thus the $J^{th}$ row and $K^{th}$ rows of $B_{\alpha,N}$ are identical.

Note that $\ell_a^N$ can’t be 1 since $a = 0$. Thus (a) and (b) hold.

Let $L \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]$ corresponding to a nonzero term in the formula (8). Since $a_10 = 0$, then $\{s|\ell_s = 0\} \subseteq \{n-j\}$. Since $\#\{s|\ell_s = 0\} = N_0$, there are $\binom{N-j}{N_0}$ different choices for the positions of 0s in $L$. Now suppose that the positions of 0s have been chosen, then for $s \in \{n-j\}\{s|\ell_s = 0\}$, $\ell_s$ can’t be 1 since $a_01 = 0$. Thus there are $N - j - N_0$ terms of $-z^2$ in the first product.

For the second product, $\ell_{N-j+1}\ldots \ell_N$ must contain all the $N_1$ 1s in $L$ since $a_01 = 0$, and there are $\binom{j}{N_1}$ different choices. After the positions of 1s been chosen, all the $\ell_t$ left must be 2, thus the corresponding terms in the product are $z$, yielding that the formula (8) holds.

By formula (7), the submatrix of $B_{\alpha,N}$ obtained by taking only the rows labeled by $00\ldots 00$, $00\ldots 01,\ldots,11\ldots 11$, and columns labeled by $[N,0,0]$, $[N-1,0,1],\ldots,[1,0,N-1]$, $[0,0,0]$, is an upper triangular matrix with nonzero diagonals. Thus (a) and (b) hold.

Now, define the $(N+1)\times p_N$ matrix $C_{\alpha,N}$ as the submatrix of $B_{\alpha,N}$ obtained by taking only the rows labeled by $00\ldots 00$, $00\ldots 01,\ldots,11\ldots 11$. This makes $C_{\alpha,N}$ a full column rank matrix such that $B_{\alpha,N}y = 0$ if and only if $C_{\alpha,N}y = 0$. We illustrate $C_{\alpha,2}$ and $C_{\alpha,3}$ below,

\[
C_{\alpha,2} = \begin{bmatrix}
[00] & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
[01] & 0 & z & -z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 \\
[11] & 0 & 0 & z^2 & 0 & 2z & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C_{\alpha,3} = \begin{bmatrix}
[000] & 1 & -3z^2 & 3z^4 & -z^6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
[001] & 0 & z & -2z^3 & z^5 & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 \\
[011] & 0 & 0 & z^2 & -z^4 & 0 & 2z & -2z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 \\
[111] & 0 & 0 & 0 & z^3 & 0 & 0 & 3z^2 & 0 & 3z & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Notice that we can write $C_{\alpha,N}$ as

$$
C_{\alpha,N} = \begin{bmatrix}
\Gamma_N & 0_{1,N} & 0_{2,N-1} & \cdots & 0_{N,1} \\
D_{N,1}\Gamma_{N-1} & D_{N,2}\Gamma_{N-2} & \cdots & D_{N,N}\Gamma_0
\end{bmatrix},
$$

where $\Gamma_n$ is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ upper triangular matrix whose $(j,k)$ entry is given by

$$
\begin{cases}
(-1)^{k-j} \binom{n+1-j}{k-j} (e^{i\alpha})^{j-1+2(k-j)} & \text{if } k \geq j, \\
0 & \text{if } j > k
\end{cases}
$$

and $D_{n,k}$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}\left(\binom{k}{k}, \binom{k+1}{k}, \ldots, \binom{n}{k}\right) \in M_{n-k+1}$.

### 3 Necessity Conjecture 1.4

To prove the necessity of Conjecture 1.4, we demonstrate another symmetry one may impose on the solution of $x$ of the equation (1).

**Proposition 3.1** Suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$ satisfies equation (1), and $\tilde{x}$ is obtained from $x$ by exchanging the entries $x_j = x_{3N-j}$ whenever $1 \leq j \leq (3^N - 1)/2$. Then $A^{\otimes N}\tilde{x} = 0$.

Note that if the entries of $x$ and $\tilde{x}$ are labeled by $x_{j_1 \cdots j_N}$ and $\tilde{x}_{j_1 \cdots j_N}$ using ternary sequences $j_1 \cdots j_N \in \{0,1,2\}^N$, then $x_{j_1 \cdots j_N} = \tilde{x}_{(2-j_1) \cdots (2-j_N)}$.

**Proof.** Let $\tilde{A}_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-i\alpha} & 1
\end{bmatrix}$, $J = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$ and $K = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & e^{-i\alpha}
\end{bmatrix} A_\alpha = \tilde{A}_\alpha = J A_\alpha K.
$$

Thus, $x \in \text{Null}(A_\alpha^{\otimes N})$ if and only if $x \in \text{Null}(\tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N})$. Additionally, if $x$ is real,

$$
x \in \text{Null}(\tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N}) \iff \tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N}x = 0 \iff A_\alpha^{\otimes N}x = 0 \iff K^{\otimes N}x \in \text{Null}(\tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N}),
$$

So, $\tilde{x}_{j_1 \cdots j_N} = \tilde{x}_{2-j_1 \cdots 2-j_N}$. Thus, we can assume that $x_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} = x_{(2-i_1)(2-i_2) \cdots (2-i_N)}$. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** Let $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$. If $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi]$, then the equation $C_{\alpha,N}y = 0$ has a nontrivial nonnegative solution $y$.

**Proof.** We consider the reduced equation $C_{\alpha,N}y = 0$ as shown in Section 2. Let

$$
y = \begin{bmatrix}
y_{(N-1)00} \cdots y_{(N-1)00}, y_{(N-1)10} \cdots y_{(N-1)10}, \ldots, y_{01(N-1)} \cdots y_{01(N-1)}, \ldots, y_{00(N-1)}
\end{bmatrix}^t
$$

be a nonnegative solution of $C_{\alpha,N}y = 0$. We will show that if $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}]$, $y$ is a zero vector, which is a contradiction.

By Proposition 3.1 we may assume that $y_{j,k} = y_{j,N-j-k}$. Let $z = e^{i\alpha}$. 7
Case 1. $N$ is even. Since $y_{j,k} = y_{j,N-j-k}$, we may rewrite the first equation of the linear system as
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} (z^{2k} + z^{2N-2k})y_{0,k} + (-1)^{N/2} \binom{N}{N/2} z^N y_{0,N/2} = 0. \] (9)
Divided by $z^N$, (9) reduces to
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} 2 \cos((N-2k)\alpha)y_{0,k} + (-1)^{N/2} \binom{N}{N/2} y_{0,N/2} = 0. \] (10)
Let $\theta = \alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}$. Since we assume that $\alpha \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}\right)$, then $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2N})$ so that $\cos(m\theta)$ and $\sin(m\theta)$ are all positive for $1 \leq m \leq N$.

Now replace $\alpha$ in (10) with $\theta$, we have
\[ (-1)^{N/2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} \binom{N}{k} \right) 2 \cos((N-2k)\theta)y_{0,k} + \binom{N}{N/2} y_{0,N/2} = 0. \] (11)
Since all the coefficients of $y_{0,k}$ are nonnegative, $y_{0,k} = 0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

Case 2. $N$ is odd. Since $y_{j,k} = y_{j,N-j-k}$, we may rewrite the first equation of the linear system as
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{(N-1)/2} (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} (z^{2k} - z^{2N-2k})y_{0,k} = 0. \] (12)
Dividing the equation by $iz^N$, and replacing $\alpha$ with $\theta = \alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}$, we get
\[ (-1)^{N+1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \binom{N}{k} \right) 2 \sin((N-2k)\theta)y_{0,k} = 0, \] (13)
by the same reason as the even case, $y_{0,k}$ needs to be 0 for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

For $y_{1,0}, y_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{1,N-1}$, since it is already proved that when $\alpha \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}\right)$, $y_{0,0} = y_{0,1} = \cdots = y_{0,N} = 0$, the second equation of $C_N y = 0$ becomes the same as the first equation of $C_{N-1} y = 0$. By induction on $N$, since $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}) \subseteq (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2(N+1)})$, we have $y_{1,0} = y_{1,1} = \cdots = y_{1,N-1} = 0$. Furthermore, by induction on $j$, we have $y_{j,0} = \cdots = y_{j,N-j} = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N$, which means $y = 0$, completing the proof.

Corollary 3.3 Let $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$. If $\alpha \in \left[\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi\right]$, then the equation $A_\alpha^{\otimes N} x = 0$ has a nontrivial nonnegative solution $x$.

4 Sufficiency of Conjecture 1.4 when $N \leq 10$

Note that if $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi] \subseteq [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2(N+1)}, \pi]$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$ satisfy $A_\alpha^N x = 0$, then for any nonnegative vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^3$, we have $A_\alpha^{N+1}(x \otimes y) = 0$. Thus, for $N \leq 10$, it is enough to find an nms to $C_{\alpha,N} y = 0$ when $\alpha \in \left[\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2(N+1)}\right]$. In the next lemma, we determine the exact location of $e^{ika}$ in the Argand plane. Let $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4$ denote the four quadrants of the complex plane.
Lemma 4.1. For \( N \geq 2 \), let

\[
\theta \in \left[ \frac{\pi}{2N}, \frac{\pi}{2(N-1)} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}
\]  

(14)

Then \( N\alpha \in Q_{N+2} \pmod{4} \), and for \( k < N \) we have \( k\alpha \in Q_{k+1} \pmod{4} \).

Proof. Note that \([a,b] \subseteq Q_f\) if and only if there exists \( l \) such that \( r \equiv l + 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( \frac{k}{2} - \pi \leq a \leq \frac{k}{2} \). Since \( \alpha \in \left[ \pi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} \right), \pi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \right) \right] \), then \( N\alpha \in \left[ \pi \left( \frac{N+1}{2} \right), \pi \left( \frac{N+1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \right) \right] \).

Note that \( \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \) and hence if \( l = N + 1 \), then \( \alpha \in Q_f \) where \( r \equiv l + 1 \equiv N + 2 \pmod{4} \). On the other hand, if \( 0 \leq k < N \), then \( \frac{k}{2(N-1)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \). Note that \( k\alpha \in \left[ \pi \left( \frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{2N} \right), \pi \left( \frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{2(N-1)} \right) \right] \).

Thus, if \( l = k \) then \( k\alpha \in Q_f \) where \( r \equiv l + 1 \equiv k + 1 \pmod{4} \). \( \square \)

We now list some explicit nns to \( C_{\alpha,N} y = 0 \). One can use the preceding lemma to verify that the given \( y \) is nonzero and nonnegative.

1. For \( N = 1 \), we have \( \alpha = \pi \) and an nns given by

\[
y^T = \begin{bmatrix} 100 & 001 & 010 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

2. For \( N = 2 \), we have \( \alpha \in \left[ \frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi \right) \) and an nns given by

\[
y^T = \begin{bmatrix} 200 & 101 & 002 & 110 & 011 & 020 \\ 1 & \cos 2\alpha & 1 & -\cos \alpha & -\cos \alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

3. For \( N = 3 \), we have \( \alpha \in \left[ \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right) \) and an nns given by

\[
y^T = \begin{bmatrix} 300 & 201 & 102 & 003 & 210 & 111 & 012 & 120 & 021 & 030 \\ 3\sin \alpha & \sin 3\alpha & \sin 3\alpha & 3\sin \alpha & -\sin 2\alpha & -\sin 2\alpha & -\sin 2\alpha & \sin \alpha & \sin \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

4. For \( N = 4 \), we have \( \alpha \in \left[ \frac{5\pi}{8}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right) \) and an nns given by

\[
y^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where}
\]

\[
a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 400 & 301 & 202 & 103 & 004 \\ 6 & 0 & -2\cos 4\alpha & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 310 & 211 & 112 & 013 \\ -3\cos \alpha & \cos 3\alpha & \cos 3\alpha & -3\cos \alpha \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 220 & 121 & 022 & 130 & 031 & 040 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & -3\cos \alpha & -3\cos \alpha & 6 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
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5. For $N = 5$, we have $\alpha \in \left[\frac{3\pi}{5}, \frac{5\pi}{5}\right)$ and an nns given by

$$y^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 500 & 401 & 302 & 203 & 104 & 005 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \sin \alpha & 0 & -2 \sin 5\alpha & -2 \sin 5\alpha & 0 & 20 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \sin 2\alpha & \sin 4\alpha & 2 \sin 4\alpha & \sin 4\alpha & -4 \sin 2\alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \sin \alpha & -\sin 3\alpha & -\sin 3\alpha & 3 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \sin 4\alpha & -4 \sin 2\alpha & 0 & -2 \sin 2\alpha & 2 \sin \alpha & 2 \sin \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

6. For $N = 6$, we have $\alpha \in \left[\frac{7\pi}{12}, \frac{3\pi}{5}\right)$ and an nns given by

$$y^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 200 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 20 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -10 \cos \alpha & 0 & -\cos 5\alpha & -\cos 5\alpha & 0 & -10 \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & \cos 4\alpha & 0 & 3 & \cos 3\alpha & 0 & 0 & \cos 3\alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \cos 2\alpha & 0 & -2 \cos 2\alpha & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

7. For $N = 7$, we have $\alpha \in \left[\frac{4\pi}{7}, \frac{7\pi}{12}\right)$ and an nns given by

$$y^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 140 \sin \alpha & 0 & 0 & 4 \sin 7\alpha & 4 \sin 7\alpha & 0 & 0 & 140 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -30 \sin 2\alpha & 0 & -2 \sin 6\alpha & -4 \sin 6\alpha & -2 \sin 6\alpha & 0 & -30 \sin 2\alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \sin \alpha & 0 & 2 \sin 5\alpha & 2 \sin 5\alpha & 0 & 20 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \sin 4\alpha & -4 \sin 2\alpha & \sin 4\alpha & 0 & \sin 4\alpha & 2 \sin 4\alpha & -4 \sin 2\alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \sin 3\alpha + 6 \sin \alpha & -2 \sin 3\alpha & -2 \sin 3\alpha & -4 \sin 3\alpha & 6 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 \sin \alpha & 10 \sin \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

8. For $N = 8$, we have $\alpha \in \left[\frac{3\pi}{16}, \frac{4\pi}{7}\right)$ and an nns given by

$$y^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 & a_8 \end{bmatrix},$$

where
\[ a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 140 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \cos 8\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 140 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -70 \cos \alpha & 0 & 0 & 2 \cos 7\alpha & 2 \cos 7\alpha & 0 & 0 & -70 \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & 0 & 0 & -2 \cos 6\alpha & 0 & 0 & 20 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \cos 3\alpha & -\cos 5\alpha & 0 & 0 & -\cos 5\alpha & 5 \cos 3\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -8 \cos 2\alpha & 2 \cos 4\alpha & 0 & 2 \cos 4\alpha & -8 \cos 2\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_5 \ a_6 \ a_7 \ a_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 10 & -35 \cos \alpha & -35 \cos \alpha & 140 \end{bmatrix}. \]

9. For \( N = 9 \), we have \( \alpha \in \left[ \frac{5\pi}{9}, \frac{9\pi}{16} \right) \) and an nns given by
\[ y^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \ a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3 \ a_4 \ a_5 \ a_6 \ a_7 \ a_8 \end{bmatrix}, \]
where
\[ a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 504 \sin \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \sin 9\alpha & -4 \sin 9\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 504 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -112 \sin 2\alpha & 0 & 0 & 2 \sin 8\alpha & 4 \sin 8\alpha & 2 \sin 8\alpha & 0 & 0 & -112 \sin 2\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 70 \sin \alpha & 0 & 0 & -2 \sin 7\alpha & -2 \sin 7\alpha & 0 & 0 & 70 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \sin 4\alpha - 15 \sin 2\alpha & -\sin 6\alpha & -\sin 6\alpha & 0 & -\sin 6\alpha & -\sin 6\alpha & 6 \sin 4\alpha - 15 \sin 2\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -10 \sin 3\alpha + 20 \sin \alpha & 2 \sin 5\alpha & 2 \sin 5\alpha & 2 \sin 5\alpha & 2 \sin 5\alpha & -10 \sin 3\alpha + 20 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \sin 4\alpha & 0 & 0 & 4 \sin 4\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 15 \sin \alpha - 12 \sin 3\alpha & -5 \sin 3\alpha & -5 \sin 3\alpha & 15 \sin \alpha - 12 \sin 3\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ [a_7 \ a_8 \ a_9] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 56 \sin \alpha & -56 \sin \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

10. For \( N = 10 \), we have \( \alpha \in \left[ \frac{11\pi}{20}, \frac{5\pi}{9} \right) \) and an nns is given by
\[ y^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \ a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3 \ a_4 \ a_5 \ a_6 \ a_7 \ a_8 \ a_9 \end{bmatrix}, \]
where
\[ a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 504 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \cos 10\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 504 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -252 \cos \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \cos 9\alpha & -2 \cos 9\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & -252 \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 70 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \cos 8\alpha & 0 & 0 & 70 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 21 \cos 3\alpha & 0 & \cos 7\alpha & 0 & 0 & \cos 7\alpha & 0 & 21 \cos 3\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -30 \cos 2\alpha & 0 & -2 \cos 6\alpha & 0 & -2 \cos 6\alpha & 0 & -30 \cos 2\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_5 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \cos 5\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \cos 5\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ a_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \cos 4\alpha + 15 & 0 & 5 \cos 4\alpha & 0 & 12 \cos 4\alpha + 15 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ [a_7 \ a_8 \ a_9 \ a_{10}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -56 \cos 2\alpha & 0 & -56 \cos 2\alpha & 0 & 0 & 504 \end{bmatrix}. \]
5 Final Remark

Here is a different approach to the problem of finding a rank one orthogonal projection $vv^* \in M_{3N}$ such that

$$\text{tr}(D_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes D_N)vv^* = 0$$

for all $D_1, \ldots, D_N \in \{\text{diag}(1, e^{i\alpha}, 0), \text{diag}(0, 1, e^{i\alpha})\}$. (15)

By the Hahn-Banach separation theorem, there is no such rank one orthogonal projection $vv^*$ satisfying (15) if and only if there is $H \in M_{3N}$ such that for all unit vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^{3N},$

$$\Re(\text{tr}H(D_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes D_N)) > 0 > \Re(\text{tr}Hvv^*), \quad D_1, \ldots, D_N \in \{\text{diag}(1, e^{i\alpha}, 0), \text{diag}(0, 1, e^{i\alpha})\}.$$ (16)

Equivalently, there is $H \in M_{3N}$ such that $H + H^*$ is negative definite such that (16) holds. Clearly, one may further assume that $H$ is a diagonal matrix. So, to prove the conjecture, we need to show that there is $y \in \mathbb{C}^{3N}$ whose entries have negative real part such that

$$(A_{\alpha}^\otimes N)y > 0$$

whenever $\alpha$ is outside the admissible region.
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