SU(1,1) and SU(2) Y-Maps in Loop Quantum Gravity

Leonid Perlov, Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Boston, USA leonid.perlov@umb.edu

November 15, 2019

Abstract

By using SU(1,1) LQG simplicity constraints we define SU(1,1) Y-Map from infinitely differentiable with compact support functions on SU(1,1) to the functions (not necessarily square integrable) on SL(2, C), and prove its convergence. Before considering SU(1,1) Y-Map, we repeat our proof of SU(2) Y-Map convergence that we had proved earlier as part of a more general result - Peter-Weyl Theorem for the Lorentz group [3].

1 Introduction

Y-Map takes the central place in the Loop Quantum Gravity as it provides the map from SU(2) spin networks to SL(2, C) spin networks. SU(2)-Y-Map was first introduced in [6], [7] and [8]. As it is stated in Rovelli and Vidotto book [7]: "Y-Map is the core ingredient of the quantum gravity dynamics ... It codes the way SU(2) states transform under SL(2, C) transformations. This in turn, codes the dynamical evolution of the quantum states of space." The physical states of quantum gravity are thus the images of SU(2) spin networks under Y-Maps. Explicitly SU(2)-Y-Map is defined in [7] (7.26, 7.27) as:

$$Y_{SU(2)}: \qquad L_2[SU(2)] \to F[SL(2,C)] \tag{1}$$

$$\psi(u) = \sum_{jmn} c_{jmn} D_{mn}^{(j)}(u) \to \phi(g) = \sum_{jmn} c_{jmn} D_{jmjn}^{(j,\gamma j,)}(g)$$
(2)

, where $\gamma \in R$ is Immirzi parameter, $u \in SU(2), g \in SL(2,C), j,m,n \in Z$. It is seen from the above definition that it is very different from the Plancherel formula for the Lorentz group. The latter contains a sum and an integral over all parameters of the principal series matrix coefficients $D_{jm,j'n}^{(k,\rho)}$, while the $Y_{SU(2)}$ -Map takes the Fourier transforms c_{jmn} of the square integrable muction on SU(2) and contracts them with the SL(2,C) matrix coefficients $D_{jmjn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g)$ summing up not over all SL(2,C) parameters $(k, \rho), k \in Z, \rho \in R$, but rather over the selected ones provided by the simplicity constraints spin map: $(k = j, \rho = \gamma j)$, and thus avoiding an integral, that is present in Plancherel formula.

The simplicity constraints, introduced by John Barrett and Louse Crane in [9] allow us to consider the Quantum Gravity as a 4-dimensional topological model called BFmodel plus some constraints on the form of the bivectors used in BF model. Those constraints are called the simplicity constraints. The simplicity constraints make the 4-dim topological model become Einstein's Quantum Gravity. SU(2) simplicity constraints solution is $(k = j, \rho = \gamma j)$. For details see [9], [10], [11] and [12].

A question to ask is whether the sum on the r.h.s of (2) is convergent, in other words if $Y_{SU(2)}$ -Map exists. We proved its convergence in [3] as part of a more general theorem. We will repeat the proof below as it is instructional before considering SU(1,1)-Y-Map. We should also mention a different approach to project functions on SU(2) to functions on SL(2, C) that was made in [13]. That approach is different from Y-Maps, it was made only for SU(2) and not for SU(1,1), and the convergence issue was not considered.

In this paper we are trying to find out whether SU(1,1) Y-Map can be defined in a convergent manner. While SU(2) Y-Map corresponds to the spacelike ADM foliation of the 4 dimensional spacetime, SU(1,1) Y-Map similarly corresponds to the timelike ADM foliation [2]. Recently the timelike foliation has received a deserved attention [14], [15] in an attempt to create a covariant theory in place of a spacelike covariant one. In this paper we find SU(1,1) Y-Map definition and prove such map convergence, which means existence.

SU(1,1)-Y-Map is a map from infinitely differentiable functions on SU(1,1) with compact support to functions on SL(2,C) provided by the SU(1,1) simplicity constraints solution [2], which for discrete series is the same as SU(2) one: $(k = j, \rho = \gamma j)$, while for continuous series it is different: $(\rho = -\frac{k}{\gamma}, s = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(k^2/\gamma^2 - 1)})$

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we repeat our previous result - the proof of SU(2) Y-Map convergence. In section 3 we define SU(1,1) Y-Map and prove its convergence. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2 SU(2)-Y-Map

Before we define a new SU(1,1) Y-Map and prove its convergence in the next section, it is instructional to repeat our previous result - the proof of SU(2) - Y-Map convergence [3]. We are going to prove that any square integrable function $\phi(u)$ on SU(2) can be mapped to a function $\psi(g)$ on SL(2, C) (not necessary square integrable), by using the solution of the SU(2) simplicity constraints [12]: $(k = j, \rho = \gamma j, j \in Z, \gamma \in C)$ in the following manner:

$$\phi(u) \to \psi(g) = \sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}$$
(3)

, where $c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}$ is $\phi(u)$'s Fourier transform:

$$c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}} = (j+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{SU(2)} \phi(u) \overline{D_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}(u)} \, du \tag{4}$$

, where $p, j, m, n \in Z, \ \gamma \in C, \ u \in SU(2), \ g \in SL(2, C)$ Note that the parameter j in SL(2, C) matrix coefficients is an integer, while the parameter of the SU(2) in $c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}$ is half-integer. Let us prove that (3) is convergent:

Lemma

The sum
$$\sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}$$
 is convergent, where $\frac{j}{2} = \frac{|p|}{2} + k, k \in N, p \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proof:

By Paley-Wiener Theorem ([1] page 60, 91, see also [4]) the Fourier transform $c_{|p|m}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfies the following asymptotic inequality:

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sup_{m} \left(|c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}} j^k) = 0, \quad \forall k \in N$$
(5)

or

$$j^k c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}} \le C_k \tag{6}$$

 $\forall k \in N$ or we can rewrite it as:

$$|c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}| \le \frac{C_k}{|j|^k} \tag{7}$$

which means that the Fourier transform is a fast dropping function and decreases faster than any polynomial of power n. Then the sum :

$$\sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} |c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}| \le \sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} \frac{C_k}{|j|^k} \le C_k \sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \frac{(2j+1)}{|j|^k}$$
(8)

and the latter is a Riemann zeta function and is convergent $\forall k > 2$. This proves the absolute convergence and therefore the regular convergence. Therefore $\sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} c_{|p|m}^{j^2}$ is convergent.

SU(2)-Y-Map Existence Theorem:

The sum
$$\phi(u) \to \psi(g) = \sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} c_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g)$$
 is convergent.

Proof:

$$\sum_{j=|p|}^{k} \sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} c_{|p|m}^{j} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g) \le \sum_{j=|p|}^{k} \sum_{|m| \le j} |c_{|p|m}^{j}| \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} |\sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g)|$$
(9)

 $\forall k \geq |p|$ and therefore it is true in the limit when $k \to \infty$.

$$\sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} c_{|p|m}^{j} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g) \le \sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} |c_{|p|m}^{j}| \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g)|$$
(10)

The first sum on the right hand side converges due to the Lemma above. The second sum converges due to the Lemma 1 in [3]. The proof of the second sum convergence is long and challenging. It is provided in all details in [3], so we do not repeat its details here.

The limit is a function on SL(2, C) since each $g \in SL(2, C)$ we map to the sum limit and the limit is unique by construction.



The SU(2)-Y-Map Theorem establishes a map from the space of square integrable functions on SU(2) to the space of functions (not necessarily square integrable) on SL(2, C).

3 SU(1,1)-Y-Map

In order to define SU(1,1)-Y-Map similar to SU(2)-Y-Map, we need two components: the Fourier coefficients of the function $\phi(v), v \in SU(1,1)$, and the matrix coefficients of the function $\psi(g), g \in SL(2, C)$. However, these matrix coefficients should be from the basis of the functions on SU(1, 1), rather than on SU(2). Fortunately such basis exists. In all known facts of SU(1, 1) harmonic analysis until the SU(1,1)-Y-Map definition we will follow [1].

Groups SU(1,1) and SL(2,R) are isomorphic. Consider an element a of the group SL(2,R). It can be decomposed as :

$$a = u_1 du_2 \tag{11}$$

, where $d = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\eta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\eta/2} \end{bmatrix}, \ \eta \geq 0$

, while u_1 and u_2 are SU(2) rotation matrices of the form:

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\psi/2) & -\sin(\psi/2) \\ \sin(\psi/2) & \cos(\psi/2) \end{bmatrix}$$

The function x(v) on SU(1,1) is called q_1, q_2 bi-covariant if

$$v = e^{i/2\psi_1 \sigma_3} e^{1/2\eta \sigma_2} e^{i/2\psi_2 \sigma_3}$$
(12)

implies:

$$x_{q_1q_2}(v) = e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} x_{q_1q_2}(\eta)$$
(13)

, where $v \in SU(1,1), \eta \ge 0, q_1, q_2$ - half-integers, $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in R$

It is very useful as bi-covariant functions on SL(2, C) remain bi-covariant when restricted to SU(1, 1)

$$x_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}(v) = e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} x_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}(\eta)$$
(14)

, where j_1, j_2, q_1, q_2 -half-integers.

What important is that the functions x(v) on SU(1, 1) can be expanded into the sum of the bi-covariant functions on SU(1, 1), [1] (pages 128, 206):

$$x(v) = \sum_{j_1q_1j_2q_2} (2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)x_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{q_1q_2}(v), \ v \in SU(1,1)$$
(15)

, where x(v) a) posses derivatives of all orders, b) has compact support, and c) $x_n(a)$ is a null sequence for |a| > N, i.e converges uniformly to zero,

and $x_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{q_1q_2}(v)$ are bi-covariant functions obtained from x(v) in the following manner:

$$x_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{q_3q_4}(v) = \int x(u_1^{-1}vu_2^{-1})D_{q_1q_2}^{j_1}(u_1)D_{q_1q_2}^{j_2}(u_2)d\mu(u_1)d\mu(u_2)$$
(16)

We need to look at bi-covariant functions asymptotic behavior when $j_1, j_2 \rightarrow \infty$. The expression (16) contains two integrals, in a sense two Fourier transforms, with respect to $u_1 \in SU(2)$ and $u_2 \in SU(2)$. For such Fourier transforms with respect to SU(2) variable Paley-Wiener theorem used in (4) provided the asymptotic behavior: (5), (7). We can use it for bi-covariant functions in (16), writing:

$$|x_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{q_1q_2}(v)| \le \frac{C_{j_1}}{|j_1|^k} \frac{C_{j_2}}{|j_2|^k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$
(17)

We will use this result below for SU(1, 1) Y-Map convergence proof.

First let us consider two things that we need for providing SU(1,1) Y-Map definition, i.e SL(2,C) principal series representation on the basis of the functions on SU(1,1), and Fourier coefficients of functions on SU(1,1).

Regarding SL(2, C) matrix coefficients in SU(1, 1) functions basis, it is known [1]

that SL(2, C) principal series representation can be decomposed either into the canonical SU(2) basis $D^{j}_{(1/2)n,q}(u)$ or SU(1,1) basis: $D^{J}_{(1/2)\tau n,q}(v)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau = \pm 1, s \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0, j, q$ - half-integers, $u \in SU(2), v \in SU(1,1)$.

SL(2, C) matrix coefficients with SU(1, 1) basis can be defined as:

$$p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{n,\rho,\tau}(v) = < j_1\tau q_1 | T_v^{n,\rho} | j_2\tau q_2 >$$
(18)

or for the bi-covariant functions:

$$p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{n,\rho,\tau}(\eta) = < j_1\tau q_1 | T_{\exp((1/2)\eta\sigma_2)}^{n,\rho} | j_2\tau q_2 >$$
(19)

,where $T_v^{n,\rho}$ is the SL(2,C) group operator, where $n\in Z, \rho\in R, v\in SU(1,1)$

SU(1,1) Fourier coefficients of the discrete and continuous series representations are then as follows:

For Discrete Series [1] page 209:

$$F_{q_1q_2}^+(J) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x_{q_1q_2}(\eta) c_{q_1q_2}^{(k,+)}(\eta) \sinh(\eta) d\eta$$
(20)

Continues Series [1] page 210:

$$F_{q_1q_2}(J) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x_{q_1q_2}(\eta) d_{q_1q_2}^J(\cosh\eta) \sinh(\eta) d\eta$$
(21)

, where $c^{(k,+)}_{q_1q_2}(\eta)$ and $d^J_{q_1q_2}(\cosh\eta)$ are two types of SU(1,1) matrix coefficients.

Given a function $\phi(v)$ on SU(1,1) we define SU(1,1) Y-Map similar to SU(2) Y-Map, i.e as a sum of products of $\phi(v)$ Fourier transforms F(J) with the SL(2,C) matrix coefficients $p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{n,\rho,\tau}(v)$. The solutions of SU(1,1) simplicity constraints provide the map between SU(1,1) and SL(2,C) spins, by which Fourier transforms can be contracted with the matrix coefficients. In a sense this is what lies in the core of the Y-Map.

We first write two sums below including both discrete and continuous series representations in a general form:

$$\phi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) \to \psi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) = \sum_{\tau=\pm 1}^{\infty} \sum_{J\geq 0}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^+(J) p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{J,\tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1}^{-1/2+i\infty} \sum_{J=0}^{-1/2+i\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^{n,\rho}(J) p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{J,\tau}(\eta)$$
(22)

,where for Discrete Series: J = j - 1, for Continues Series: J = -1/2 + is, $0 \le s \le \infty$, j_1, j_2, q_1, q_2 - half-integers, $\tau = \pm 1$, (n, ρ) - SL(2,C) principal series parameters.

Then we apply the simplicity constraints solutions and sum only over them to make the above sums convergent. SU(1, 1) simplicity constraints solution were obtained in [2]:

For Discrete Series:

$$\rho = \gamma n, \quad j = n/2, \qquad \gamma \in R, n \in Z$$
(23)

or taking into account J = j - 1, we can rewrite it as:

$$\rho = \gamma n, \quad J = n/2 - 1 \tag{24}$$

For Continuous Principle Series:

$$\rho = -n/\gamma, \ s^2 + 1/4 = -J(J+1) = \rho^2/4$$
(25)

or

$$\rho = -n/\gamma, \quad s = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(n^2/\gamma^2) - 1}$$
(26)

we select the positive sign as $s \ge 0$. By using J = -1/2 + is, we can rewrite it as:

$$\rho = -n/\gamma, \quad J = -1/2 + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{(n^2/\gamma^2) - 1}$$
(27)

, where (n, ρ) are SL(2, C) principal series representation parameters, $n \in Z, \rho \in R$, $\gamma \in R$ is an Immirzi constant. Note that, while in SU(2) case above we were able to introduce Y-Map and prove its convergence even for complex γ , in SU(1, 1) case we can do it only for real γ . As it is seen from the above simplicity constraints solution, real γ provides unitary SL(2, C) principal series representations as ρ becomes real, while complex γ corresponds to non-unitary SL(2, C) principal series representations.

By substituting (23), (24), and (27) into (22) the expressions for J and ρ for both discrete and continuous series, we obtain:

$$\phi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) \to \psi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) = \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^+(n) p_{(j_1q_1, j_2q_2)}^{(n/2-1), \tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^{n, -n/\gamma}(n) p_{(j_1q_1j_2q_2)}^{(-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{((n^2/\gamma^2) - 1), \tau})}(\eta)$$
(28)

By using expansion (15) for $\phi(v), v \in SU(1, 1)$ into bi-covariant functions and the above Y-Map definition for the bi-covariant functions, we finally obtain a general definition for any infinitely differentiable with compact support function on SU(1, 1):

SU(1,1)-Y-Map Definition:

$$\phi(v) = \sum_{j_1q_1j_2q_2} (2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)e^{i(q_1\psi_1+q_2\psi_2)}\phi_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}(\eta) \rightarrow \sum_{j_1q_1j_2q_2} (2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)e^{i(q_1\psi_1+q_2\psi_2)} \times \left(\sum_{\tau=\pm 1}\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^+(n)p_{(j_1q_1,j_2q_2)}^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^{n,-n/\gamma}(n)p_{(j_1q_1,j_2q_2)}^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{((n^2/\gamma^2)-1),\tau})}(\eta)\right)$$
(29)

Let us prove both sums convergence.

SU(1,1)-Y-Map Existence Theorem:

The SU(1,1) Y-Map of an infinitely differentiable function with compact support $\phi(v), v \in SU(1,1)$ to the function $\psi(g), g \in SL(2, C)$ defined as:

$$\phi(v) = \sum_{j_1q_1j_2q_2} (2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)e^{i(q_1\psi_1+q_2\psi_2)}\phi_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}(\eta) \rightarrow \sum_{j_1q_1j_2q_2} (2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)e^{i(q_1\psi_1+q_2\psi_2)} \times \left(\sum_{\tau=\pm 1}\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^+(n)p_{(j_1q_1,j_2q_2)}^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^{n,-n/\gamma}(n)p_{(j_1q_1,j_2q_2)}^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}}\sqrt{((n^2/\gamma^2)-1),\tau)}(\eta)\right)$$
(30)

is convergent.

Proof:

First, we note that the functions $p_{(j_1q_1j_2q_2)}^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\tau}(\eta)$ and $p_{(j_1q_1j_2q_2)}^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{((n^2/\gamma^2)-1)},\tau)}(\eta)$ are bounded, since the values of J, which we obtained from the simplicity constraints were based on (n,ρ) of the SL(2,C) principal series, and for the principal series the following bound is true (see [1] page 235):

$$|p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{J,\tau}(\eta)| < C\frac{\eta}{\sinh\eta}, \ C \in R$$
(31)

,where for the discrete series:

$$J = \frac{j}{2} - 1 \tag{32}$$

,while for continuous series:

$$J = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{(j^2/\gamma^2) - 1}$$
(33)

Remembering that $p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{J,\tau}$ are bi-covariant functions depending on j_1 and j_2 spins, we can rewrite the above bound in a stronger form by using the bi-covariant function asymptotic behavior (17):

$$|e^{i(q_1\psi_1+q_2\psi_2)}p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{J,\tau}(\eta)| < \frac{\eta}{\sinh\eta} \frac{C_{j_1}}{|j_1|^k} \frac{C_{j_2}}{|j_2|^k}, \ k \in N$$
(34)

Secondly, by Paley-Wiener theorem the Fourier coefficients of the continuous series are polynomially bounded on lines Re(J) = const for bi-covariant functions x(a), which vanish for |a| > N see [1] page 218. This is exactly our case as it follows from (33) for $j > \gamma$ and $\gamma \in R$.

$$|F(J)| \le \frac{M(N,C) \times \sup_{0 \le \eta \le \infty} |D^m(\cosh(\eta)x(\eta))|}{|J(J+1)|^m}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2...$$
(35)

,where D is a derivative operator. By substituting the simplicity constraints solution (25)

$$J(J+1) = -\rho^2/4 = -n^2/4\gamma^2$$
(36)

into (35) we obtain:

$$|F(n)| \le \frac{(2\gamma)^{2m} M(N,C) \times \sup_{0 \le \eta \le \infty} |D^m(\cosh(\eta)x(\eta))|}{|n|^{2m}}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2...$$
(37)

We rewrite (37) by introducing the following notation:

$$c_m = (2\gamma)^{2m} M(N, C) \times \sup_{0 \le \eta \le \infty} |D^m(\cosh(\eta)x(\eta))|$$
(38)

as:

$$|F(n)| \le = \frac{c_m}{|n|^{2m}}, \quad j \in Z, m = 0, 1, 2..., \text{and } c_m = const$$
 (39)

For discrete series Paley-Wiener theorem provides a similar result: for any m = 0, 1, 2, ... [1] page 218:

$$|F^+(J)| \le \frac{d_m}{|J(J+1)|^m}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2... \text{and } d_m = const$$
 (40)

By remembering that for discrete series J = n/2 - 1, we obtain:

$$|F^+(n)| \le \frac{d_m}{|n(n-2)|^m}, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2..., \text{and } d_m = const$$
 (41)

Thus, we have received the upper estimates for both discrete and continuous series Fourier coefficients. Substituting all upper estimates: (34), (39) and (41) into SU(1,1)

Y-Map definition (29) we obtain:

$$\sum_{j_{1}q_{1}j_{2}q_{2}} (2j_{1}+1)(2j_{2}+1)e^{i(q_{1}\psi_{1}+q_{2}\psi_{2})} \times \\ \times \left(\sum_{\tau=\pm 1}\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} F_{q_{1}q_{2}}^{+}(n)p_{(j_{1}q_{1},j_{2}q_{2})}^{\frac{n}{2}-1,\tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{q_{1}q_{2}}^{n,-n/\gamma}(n)p_{(j_{1}q_{1},j_{2}q_{2})}^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}}\sqrt{((n^{2}/\gamma^{2})-1),\tau})(\eta)\right) \leq \\ \leq \sum_{j_{1}j_{2}} (2j_{1}+1)(2j_{2}+1) \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m}}{|n(n-2)|^{m}} \frac{2\eta}{\sinh \eta} \frac{C_{j_{1}}}{|j_{1}|^{k}} \frac{C_{j_{2}}}{|j_{2}|^{k}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{m}}{|n|^{2m}} \frac{2\eta}{\sinh \eta} \frac{C_{j_{1}}}{|j_{1}|^{k}} \frac{C_{j_{2}}}{|j_{2}|^{k}}\right) = \\ \sum_{j_{1}j_{2}} (2j_{1}+1)(2j_{2}+1) \frac{\eta}{\sinh 2\eta} \frac{C_{j_{1}}}{|j_{1}|^{k}} \frac{C_{j_{2}}}{|j_{2}|^{k}} \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m}}{|n(n-2)|^{m}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{m}}{|n|^{2m}}\right)$$

$$(42)$$

, where k > 0.

The second sum in parenthesis in line three is convergent for any $m\geq 1$, since the sum value is a Riemann zeta function, while the first sum can be written as

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|n(n-2)|^m} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{1}{n} \right|^m \le \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n-2} \right|^m + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \right|^m$$
(43)

,where we used the triangle (Minkowsky) inequality. Both sums on the r.h.s are convergent as they are Riemann zeta functions. Therefore the sum on the l.h.s. is also convergent.

What remains to prove is the convergence of the first sum with respect to j_1 and j_2 in (42). By using Schwarz-Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality, we rewrite the last line of (42) as:

$$\sum_{j_{1}j_{2}} (2j_{1}+1)(2j_{2}+1) \frac{\eta}{\sinh 2\eta} \frac{C_{j_{1}}}{|j_{1}|^{k}} \frac{C_{j_{2}}}{|j_{2}|^{k}} \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m}}{|n(n-2)|^{m}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{m}}{|n|^{2m}} \right) \leq \\ \leq \frac{\eta}{\sinh 2\eta} \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m}}{|n(n-2)|^{m}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{m}}{|n|^{2m}} \right) \sum_{j_{1}j_{2}} (2j_{1}+1)(2j_{2}+1) \frac{C_{j_{1}}}{|j_{1}|^{k}} \frac{C_{j_{2}}}{|j_{2}|^{k}} \leq \\ \leq \frac{\eta}{\sinh 2\eta} \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m}}{|n(n-2)|^{m}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{m}}{|n|^{2m}} \right) \left(\sum_{j_{1}} \frac{C_{j_{1}}(2j_{1}+1)}{|j_{1}|^{k}} \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\sum_{j_{2}} \frac{C_{j_{2}}(2j_{2}+1)}{|j_{2}|^{k}} \right)^{1/2}$$
(44)

The last two sums in the last line are convergent for $k \ge 2$, since they are Riemann Zeta functions. This completes the proof of the SU(1,1) Y-Map convergence.

4 Discussion

We have defined SU(1,1)-Y-Map from infinitely differentiable functions on SU(1,1) with compact support to functions (not necessarily square integrable) on SL(2,C), by using: SL(2,C) matrix coefficients in the basis of functions on SU(1,1), Fourier transform coefficients in both discrete and principal series, and the solution of SU(1,1) simplicity constraints. We have proved that the newly defined SU(1,1)-Y-Map is convergent. This result is not to be confused with Plancherel formula for SU(1,1) or SL(2,C) groups. While Plancherel formula contains a sum and an integral over all principal series parameters, the $Y_{SU(1,1)}$ -Map on the contrary, contains only sums and no integrals, and sums not over all spins, but only over the selected ones provided by the simplicity constraints solution.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Michael Bukatin for reading this paper and making his comments.

References

- [1] W. Ruhl, "The Lorentz Group and Harmonic Analysis", (Benjamin, Inc 1970)
- [2] F. Conrady, J. Hnybida "A spin foam model for general Lorentzian 4–geometries", Class.Quant.Grav. 27(18), (2010)
- [3] L. Perlov "Analog of the Peter-Weyl expansion for Lorentz group", Journal of Mathematical Physics 56, 111703 (2015)
- [4] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, and N. Ya Vilenkin ""Integral Geometry and Related Issues of the Representation Theory (Academic Press, 1966)
- [5] J. Engle, E. Livine, R. Pereira, C. Rovelli, "LQG vertex with finite Immirzi parameter", Nuclear Physics B, vol 799, 136-149, (2008)
- [6] C. Rovelli, "A new look at loop quantum gravity", Class. Quant. Grav., vol. 28, 11, (2010)
- [7] C. Rovelli, F. Vidotto "Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity: An Elementary Introduction to Quantum Gravity and Spinfoam Theory", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2014)
- [8] Y. Ding, C. Rovelli, "The physical boundary Hilbert space and volume operator in the Lorentzian new spin-foam theory", Class. Quant. Grav., vol. 27, 20, (2010)
- [9] J. W. Barrett, L. Crane, "Relativistic spin networks and quantum gravity", J Math Phys 39, 6, (1998)

- [10] J. Engle, R. Pereira, C. Rovelli, "Flipped spinfoam vertex and loop gravity", Nuclear Physics B, vol 798, 251-290, (2008)
- [11] J. Engle, R. Pereira, C. Rovelli "The loop-quantum gravity vertex-amplitude", Phys Rev Lett 99 161301, (2007)
- [12] J. Engle, E. Livine, R. Pereira, C. Rovelli "LQG vertex with finite Immirzi parameter", Nuclear Physics B, vol 799, 136-149, (2008)
- [13] M. Dupuis, E Livine "Lifting SU(2) spin networks to projected spin networks", Phys Rev D 82, 064044, (2010)
- [14] M. Montesinos, J. Romero, R. Escobedo, M. Celada, "SU(1,1) Barbero-like variables derived from Holst action", Phys. Rev. D 98, 124002 (2018).
- [15] H Liu, K. Noui "Gravity as an su(1,1) gauge theory in four dimensions", Class.Quant.Grav. 34 (2017) no.13, 135008