SU(1,1) and SU(2) Y-Maps in Loop Quantum Gravity

Leonid Perlov, Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Boston, USA leonid.perlov@umb.edu

December 12, 2021

Abstract

By using SU(1,1) LQG simplicity constraints we define SU(1,1) Y-Map from the square-integrable functions on SU(1,1) to the functions on SL(2, C), and prove its convergence. Before considering SU(1,1) Y-Map, we repeat our proof of SU(2) Y-Map convergence that we had proved earlier as part of a more general result - Peter-Weyl Theorem for the Lorentz group [3].

1 Introduction

Y-Map takes one of the central places in the Loop Quantum Gravity. The SU(2)-Y-Map was first introduced in [6] and [7]. We proved its convergence in [3] as part of the more general theorem. In this paper we define SU(1,1)-Y-Map and prove its convergence. SU(2)-Y-Map is a map from square integrable functions on SU(2) to functions on SL(2, C) provided by the solution of the simplicity constraints [5]. Likewise, SU(1,1)-Y-Map is a map from square integrable functions on SU(1, 1) to functions on SL(2, C) provided by the Solution of the simplicity constraints [2]. Y-Maps are important as they provide the way to define a Hilbert space on the space of non-square integrable functions on SL(2, C) by using a projective inner product, i.e. the inner product on the functions on SU(2).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we repeat our previous result - the proof of SU(2) Y-Map convergence. In the next section 3 we define SU(1,1) Y-Map and prove its convergence. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2 SU(2)-Y-Map

Before we define a new SU(1,1) Y-Map and prove its convergence in the next section, it is instructional to repeat our previous result - the proof of the SU(2) - Y-Map convergence. The proof of SU(2) Y-Map convergence was published back in 2015 as a part of a more general result - the analog of the Peter-Weyl theorem for Lorentz group [3] (Chapter 4). We are going to prove that any square integrable function $\phi(u)$ on SU(2) can be mapped to a function $\psi(g)$ on SL(2, C) (not necessary square integrable), by using the solution of the SU(2) Simplicity Constraints [5]: $(n = j, \rho = \gamma j, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C})$ in the following manner:

$$\phi(u) \to \psi(g) = \sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} d^{\frac{j}{2}}_{|p|m} D^{(j,\gamma j)}_{jm,jn} \tag{1}$$

, where $d_{|p|m}^{rac{j}{2}}$ is $\phi(u)$'s Fourier transform:

$$d_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}} = (j+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{SU(2)} \phi(u) \overline{D_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}} \, du \tag{2}$$

, where $p, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma \in C, u \in SU(2), g \in SL(2, C)$ Note that the parameter j in SL(2, C) matrix coefficients is an integer, while the parameter of the SU(2) in $d_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}$ is half-integer. Let us prove that (1) is convergent:

Lemma

The sum
$$\sum_{k=\frac{|p|}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|\leq k} d^k_{\frac{|p|}{2}m}$$
 is convergent, where $k=\frac{|p|}{2}+n, n\in N, p\in \mathbb{Z}$

Proof:

By the Paley-Wiener Theorem ([1] page 60, 91, see also [4]) the Fourier transform $d_{\frac{|p|}{m}}^{k}$ satisfies the following asymptotic inequality:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{m} \left(|d^k_{\frac{|p|}{2}m} k^n \right) = 0, \quad \forall n \in N$$
(3)

or

$$|k^n d^k_{\frac{|p|}{2}m}| \le C_n \tag{4}$$

 $\forall n \in N$ or we can rewrite it as:

$$\left|d_{\frac{|p|}{2}m}^{k}\right| \le \frac{C_{n}}{|k|^{n}} \tag{5}$$

which means that the Fourier transform is a fast dropping function and decreases faster than any polynomial of power n. Then the sum :

$$\sum_{k=\frac{|p|}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le k} |d_{\frac{|p|}{2}m}^{k}| \le \sum_{k=\frac{|p|}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le k} \frac{C_n}{|k|^n} \le C_n \sum_{k=\frac{|p|}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)}{|k|^n}$$
(6)

and the latter is a Riemann zeta function and is convergent $\forall n > 2$. This proves the absolute convergence and therefore the regular convergence. If we pass in the notation from the half-integer k to the integer j by writing $k = \frac{j}{2}$, we obtain that $\sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \leq j} d_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}$ is convergent.

SU(2)-Y-Map Existence Theorem:

 $\text{The sum } \phi(u) \to \psi(g) = \quad \sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \leq j} \sum_{|n| \leq j} d_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g) \text{ is convergent.}$

Proof:

$$\sum_{j=|p|}^{k} \sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} d_{|p|m}^{j} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g) \le \sum_{j=|p|}^{k} \sum_{|m| \le j} |d_{|p|m}^{j}| \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} |\sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g)|$$

$$\tag{7}$$

 $\forall k \geq |p|$ and therefore it is true in the limit when $k \to \infty$.

$$\sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} d_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g) \le \sum_{j=|p|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m| \le j} |d_{|p|m}^{\frac{j}{2}}| \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\sum_{|m| \le j} \sum_{|n| \le j} D_{jm,jn}^{(j,\gamma j)}(g)|$$
(8)

The first sum on the right hand side converges due to the Lemma above. The second sum converges due to the Lemma 1 in [3]. The proof of the second sum convergence is long and challenging. It is provided in all details in [3], so we do not repeat its details here.

The limit is a function on SL(2, C) since each $g \in SL(2, C)$ we map to the sum limit and the limit is unique by construction.

The SU(2)-Y-Map Theorem establishes a map from the space of square integrable functions on SU(2) to the space of functions (not necessarily square integrable) on SL(2, C).

3 SU(1,1)-Y-Map

In order to define an SU(1,1)-Y-Map similar to SU(2)-Y-Map, we need two components: the Fourier coefficients of the function $\phi(v), v \in SU(1,1)$, and the matrix coefficients of the function $\psi(g), g \in SL(2, C)$. However these matrix coefficients should be from the basis of the functions on SU(1,1), rather than on the usual SU(2) ones: $D_{j_1,m_1,j_2,m_2}^{(n,\rho)}$. Fortunately such basis exists.

The groups SU(1,1) and SL(2,R) are isomorphic. Consider an element a of the group

SL(2, R). It can be decomposed as [1]:

$$a = u_1 du_2 \tag{9}$$

, where $d = \begin{bmatrix} e^{1/2\eta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-1/2\eta} \end{bmatrix}, \ \eta \geq 0$

, while u_1 and u_2 are SU(2) rotation matrices of the form:

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(1/2\psi) & -\sin(1/2\psi) \\ \sin(1/2\psi) & \cos(1/2\psi) \end{bmatrix}$$

In all known facts of SU(1,1) harmonic analysis until the SU(1,1)-Y-Map definition we will follow [1].

The function x(v) on SU(1,1) is called q_1, q_2 bi-covariant if

$$v = e^{i/2\psi_1 \sigma_3} e^{1/2\eta \sigma_2} e^{i/2\psi_2 \sigma_3}$$
(10)

implies:

$$x_{q_1q_2}(v) = e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} x_{q_1q_2}(\eta)$$
(11)

, where $v\in SU(1,1),\ \eta\geq 0,\ q_1,q_2-{\rm half-integers},\ \psi_1,\psi_2\in R$

It is very useful as bi-covariant functions on SL(2, C) remain bi-covariant when restricted to SU(1, 1)

$$x_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}(v) = e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} x_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}(\eta)$$
(12)

The principal series SL(2, C) representation can be decomposed either into the canonical SU(2) basis $D_{1/2n,q}^{j}(u)$ or SU(1,1) basis: $D_{1/2\tau n,q}^{J}(v)$. More than that, the SU(1,1) basis can be expressed via SU(2) one ([1] p 229):

$$D_{1/2n\tau,q}^{j}(v) = (2j+1)^{1/2}(2s-1)^{(i/2)\rho-1}D_{((1/2)n,q}^{j}(u_{\tau}(v))$$
(13)

, where (n, ρ) are SL(2, C) principal series parameters,

 $\tau = \pm 1, s \in R, s \ge 0, j, q$ - half-integers, $u \in SU(2), v \in SU(1, 1)$, for $(u_{\tau}(v)$ expression see [1] page 229.

SL(2, C) matrix coefficients with SU(1, 1) basis can be defined as:

$$p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{n,\rho,\tau}(v) = \langle j_1, \tau q_1 | T_v^{n,\rho} | j_2 \tau q_2 \rangle$$
(14)

or for the bi-covariant functions:

$$p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{n,\rho,\tau}(\eta) = \langle j_1, \tau q_1 | T_{exp(1/2\eta\sigma_2)}^{n,\rho} | j_2 \tau q_2 \rangle$$
(15)

The Fourier coefficients of the SU(1,1) discrete and continuous series representations are as follows:

Discrete Series:

$$F_{q_1q_2}^+(J) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x_{q_1q_2}(\cosh \eta) \sinh(\eta) d\eta$$
(16)

Continues (Principal) Series:

$$F_{q_1q_2}(J) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} x_{q_1q_2}(\cosh \eta) \sinh(\eta) d\eta$$
 (17)

Before we begin elaborating on the SU(1,1) Y-Map definition, it is important to note that the inverse Fourier transform of the functions on SU(1,1) contains both discrete and continuous series representation matrix coefficients. In other words one can not decompose a function on SU(1,1) by using only one of the two series of representation. That's why it is important to use both discrete and continuous series representations in the SU(1,1) Y-Map definition.

Given a function $\phi(v)$ on SU(1,1) we define SU(1,1) Y-Map similar to SU(2) Y-Map, i.e as a sum of products of the $\phi(v)$ Fourier transform coefficients F(J) with the SL(2, C) matrix coefficients $p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{n,\rho,\tau}(v)$, with the parameters provided by the solutions of SU(1,1) simplicity constraints. As we show below there is only one free parameter n of the SL(2, C) principal series, all others can be expressed via it, by using the simplicity constraints. We first write two sums below and then we will define each index by using SU(1,1) simplicity constraints:

$$\phi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) \to \psi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) = \sum_{\tau=\pm 1}^{\infty} \sum_{J\geq 0}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^+(J) p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{J,\tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1}^{-1/2+i\infty} \sum_{J=0}^{-1/2+i\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^{n,\rho}(J) p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{J,\tau}(\eta)$$
(18)

For Discrete Series: J = j-1, For Continues Series: J = -1/2 + is, $0 \le s \le \infty$

 j_1, j_2, q_1, q_2 -half-integers, $\tau = \pm 1$, (n, ρ) - SL(2,C) principal series parameters (19)

The SU(1,1) simplicity constraints solution were obtained in [2]:

For Discrete Series:

$$\rho = \gamma n, \quad j = n/2, \qquad \gamma \in R, n \in Z$$
(20)

or taking into account (19):

$$\rho = \gamma n, \quad J = n/2 - 1 \tag{21}$$

For Continuous Principle Series:

$$\rho = -n/\gamma, \ s^2 + 1/4 = -J(J+1) = \rho^2/4$$
(22)

or

$$\rho = -n/\gamma, \ s = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{((n^2/\gamma^2) - 1)}$$
(23)

we selected the positive sign as $s \ge 0$. By using (19), we can write for continues series:

$$\rho = -n/\gamma, \quad J = -1/2 + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{((n^2/\gamma^2) - 1)}$$
(24)

By substituting from (20), (21), (24) into (18) the expressions for J and ρ for both discrete and continuous series, and by setting $j_1 = j_2 = j = n/2$ we obtain:

$$\phi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) \to \psi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) = \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^+(n) p_{(n/2)q_1,(n/2)q_2}^{(n/2-1),\tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^{n,-n/\gamma}(n) p_{(n/2)q_1(n/2)q_2}^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{((n^2/\gamma^2)-1),\tau})}(\eta)$$

$$(25)$$

The reason we set $j_1 = j_2 = j = n/2$ for the discrete series follows from the simplicity constraint, while for the continuous it follows from the expression of the SU(1,1) matrix coefficients via SU(2) ones ([1] page 234):

$$\sum_{\tau=\pm 1} p_{j_1q_1, j_2q_2}^{n,\rho,\tau}(\eta) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\pi(q_1+q_2)} \sum_q e^{-i\pi q} d_{q_1q}^{j_1}(0) \times d_{qq_2}^{j_2}(0) d_{j_1j_2q}^{n,\rho}(\eta)$$
(26)

So j_1 and j_2 are SU(2) spins and therefore by the simplicity constraint are equal n/2. By using the bi-covariance (12) and replacing the notation n to j to be in line with SU(2) Y-Map notation we finally can give the complete definition of the SU(1,1) Y-Map:

SU(1,1)-Y-Map Definition:

$$\phi(v) = e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} \phi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) \to e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} \psi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) =$$

$$= e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} \left(\sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^+(j) p_{(\frac{j}{2}q_1, \frac{j}{2}q_2)}^{\frac{j}{2}-1,\tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^{j,-j/\gamma}(j) p_{(\frac{j}{2}q_1, \frac{j}{2}q_2)}^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{((j^2/\gamma^2)-1)},\tau)}(\eta) \right)$$
(27)

Let us now prove the convergence of both sums.

SU(1,1)-Y-Map Existence Theorem:

The SU(1,1) Y-Map of a square-integrable function $\phi(v), v \in SU(1,1)$ to the function

 $\psi(g), g \in SL(2, C)$ defined as:

$$\phi(v) = e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} \phi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) \to e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} \psi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) =$$

$$= e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)} \left(\sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^+(j) p_{(\frac{j}{2}q_1, \frac{j}{2}q_2)}^{\frac{j}{2} - 1, \tau}(\eta) + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F_{q_1q_2}^{j, -j/\gamma}(j) p_{(\frac{j}{2}q_1, \frac{j}{2}q_2)}^{(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{j}{2}\sqrt{((j^2/\gamma^2) - 1)}, \tau)}(\eta) \right)$$
(28)

is convergent.

Proof:

First, we note that the functions $p_{\frac{j}{2}q_1,\tau}^{\frac{j}{2}-1,\tau}(\eta)$ and $p_{(\frac{j}{2}q_1,\frac{j}{2}q_2)}^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{((j^2/\gamma^2)-1)},\tau)}(\eta)$ are bounded, since the values of J that we obtained from the simplicity constraints were based on the (n,ρ) of the SL(2,C) principal series, and for that case the following bound is true (see [1] page 235):

$$|p_{j_1q_1j_2q_2}^{J,\tau}(\eta)| < C \frac{\eta}{\sinh \eta}, \quad C \in R$$

$$\tag{29}$$

, where for the discrete series $J=\frac{j}{2}-1,$ while for continuous: $J=-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{((j^2/\gamma^2)-1)}$

Secondly, by the Paley-Wiener theorem the Fourier coefficients of the continuous series are polynomially bounded on on the lines Re(J) = const for bi-covariant functions x(a), which vanish for |a| > N see [1] page 218:

$$|F(J)| \le \frac{M(N,C) \times \sup_{0 \le \eta \le \infty} |D^m(\cosh(\eta)x(\eta))|}{|J(J+1)|^m}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2...$$
(30)

, where D is a derivative operator.

Or by remembering that from the simplicity constraints (22)

$$J(J+1) = -\rho^2/4 = -j^2/4\gamma^2$$
(31)

By introducing in (33) notation:

$$c_m = (2\gamma)^{2m} M(N, C) \times \sup_{0 \le \eta \le \infty} |D^m(\cosh(\eta)x(\eta))|$$
(32)

and substituting (31) and (32) into (33) we can right the upper bound for the Fourier coefficients of the continuous series as:

$$|F(j)| \le \frac{c_m}{|j|^{2m}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 0, 1, 2..., \text{and } c_m = const$$
 (33)

For the discrete series the Paley-Wiener theorem provides a similar result: for any m = 0, 1, 2, ... [1] page 218:

$$|F^+(J)| \le \frac{d_m}{|J(J+1)|^m}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2... \text{and } d_m = const$$
 (34)

By remembering that for the discrete series J = j/2 - 1, we obtain:

$$|F^+(J)| \le \frac{d_m}{|j(j-2)|^m}, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2..., \text{and } d_m = const$$
 (35)

Thus, we have received similar upper estimates for the discrete and continuous series Fourier coefficients. Substituting all upper estimates: (29), (33) and (35) into SU(1,1) Y-Map definition (27), we obtain:

$$\phi(v) = e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)}\phi_{q_1q_2}(\eta) \to e^{i(q_1\psi_1 + q_2\psi_2)}\psi_{q_1q_2}(\eta)$$

$$\leq \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{d_m}{|j(j-2)|^m} \frac{\eta}{\sinh \eta} + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_m}{|j|^{2m}} \frac{\eta}{\sinh \eta} = \frac{2\eta}{\sinh \eta} \left(\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{d_m}{|j(j-2)|^m} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_m}{|j|^{2m}}\right) \quad (36)$$

The second sum is convergent for any $m \geq 1$, since the value is a Riemann zeta function. The first sum can be written as

$$\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|j(j-2)|^m} = \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{j-2} - \frac{1}{j} \right|^m \le \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{j-2} \right|^m + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{j} \right|^m$$
(37)

, where we used the triangle (Minkowsky) inequality. Both sums on the right are convergent as they are Riemann zeta functions. Therefore the first sum in (36) is convergent. Thus, we have proved the SU(1,1) Y-Map convergence Theorem.

4 Discussion

We have defined SU(1,1)-Y-Map from the square integrable functions on SU(1,1) to the functions (not necessarily square integrable) on SL(2, C), by using: SL(2, C) matrix coefficients in the basis of functions on SU(1,1), Fourier transform coefficients in both discrete and principal series, and the solution of the SU(1,1) simplicity constraints. We then proved that the newly defined SU(1,1)-Y-Map is convergent. We also reviewed the SU(2)-Y-Map and repeated our earlier proof of its convergence. The Y-Map is used in Loop Quantum Gravity to define a Hilbert space on the functions on SL(2, C) with the help of the projective inner product.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Michael Bukatin for reading this paper and making his comments.

References

- [1] W. Ruhl, "The Lorentz Group and Harmonic Analysis", (Benjamin, Inc 1970)
- [2] F. Conrady, J. Hnybida "A spin foam model for general Lorentzian 4–geometries", Class.Quant.Grav. 27(18), (2010)
- [3] L. Perlov "Analog of the Peter-Weyl expansion for Lorentz group", Journal of Mathematical Physics 56, 111703 (2015)
- [4] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, and N. Ya Vilenkin ""Integral Geometry and Related Issues of the Representation Theory (Academic Press, 1966)
- [5] J. Engle, E. Livine, R. Pereira, C. Rovelli, "LQG vertex with finite Immirzi parameter", Nuclear Physics B, vol 799, 136-149, (2008)
- [6] C. Rovelli, "A new look at loop quantum gravity", Class. Quant. Grav., vol. 28, 11, (2010)
- [7] C. Rovelli, F. Vidotto "Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity: An Elementary Introduction to Quantum Gravity and Spinfoam Theory", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2014)