

A solution of the van Dam-Veltman-Zakharov discontinuity problem in the frame of the Poincaré-covariant field gravitation theory

Yurij Baryshev¹ and Sergey Oschepkov²

¹Astronomical Department of the Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetskij pr.28, Staryj Peterhoff, St. Petersburg, 198504 Russia

²V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University, prospekt Vernadskogo 4 , Simferopol, 295007 Russia

E-mail: yubaryshev@mail.ru; y.baryshev@spbu.ru; sao@cfuv.ru

Abstract. The van Dam-Veltman-Zakharov (vDVZ) mass discontinuity problem can be solved in the frame of the linear approximation of the Poincaré-covariant $(M_4, \eta^{\mu\nu})$ second rank symmetric tensor field $(\psi^{\mu\nu})$ gravitation theory. Conservation of the source energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu},_{\nu} = 0$, together with gauge invariance of the field equations, lead to generation of two intrinsic irreducible non-ghost dynamical fields: 4-traceless symmetric tensor $\phi^{\mu\nu}$ (spin-2 universal attraction) and 4-trace $\psi = \eta_{\mu\nu}\psi^{\mu\nu}$ (spin-0 universal repulsion). Due to difference in the signs of these forces the total free field Lagrangian contains different signs for the tensor and scalar dynamical fields. Generalized Fierz-Pauli mass term in total spin-2 \oplus spin-0 Lagrangian gives natural massless limit for $m_g \rightarrow 0$, so the mass discontinuity paradox is absent. The Newtonian gravity and relativistic gravity effects, including positive localizable energy density of both parts of the gravitational field, are derived. Experimental test of the reality of the dynamical spin-0 repulsive field can be performed by LIGO-Virgo gravitational wave observations.

Keywords: vDVZ problem, quantum gravity, Poincaré-covariant gravity, symmetric tensor field, attraction and repulsion fields, gravitational waves

1. Introduction

Recent direct detections of gravitational waves (GW) by LIGO-Virgo observatories (reviewed in [1]) have opened new epoch in fundamental physics. Especially the questions about quantum nature of gravitational interaction and existence of the new massive gravitational wave polarizations have profound consequences for physics and astrophysics [2].

In classic papers, van Dam-Veltman-Zakharov ([3], [4]) studied a massive spin-2 field $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ in the flat Minkowski space, that couples to matter as the $\psi_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, i.e. as the effect of graviton exchange between two material objects ($T^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of any matter). They showed that, at distances much smaller than the Compton

wavelength of the massive graviton, one recovers Newton's law by an appropriate choice of the spin-2 coupling constant. However, in this small-mass limit, the bending angle of light by a massive body approaches 3/4 of the Einstein result of general relativity theory (GRT). This is the van Dam-Veltman-Zakharov (vDVZ) discontinuity paradox, which means that one must take the exact zero mass for the spin-2 graviton in the Fierz-Pauli type [5] quantum field theories having GRT as weak field approximation.

In modern literature there are many suggestions how to avoid vDVZ paradox by means of constructing different non-linear theories of massive gravity: higher-dimensional theories leading to the Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati model, cascading gravity, ghost-free massive gravity, Lorentz-violating massive gravity, non-local massive gravity and more ([6], [7], [8], [9]).

Here we present another way for resolution of the vDVZ discontinuity problem in the frame of the linear weak field approximation of the Poincaré-covariant symmetric second rank tensor quantum field theory in Minkowski space. Conservation of the source energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu},_{\nu} = 0$, together with gauge invariance of the field equations, lead to generation of two intrinsic irreducible non-ghost dynamical fields: 4-traceless symmetric tensor $\phi^{\mu\nu}$ spin-2 attraction and 4-trace $\psi = \eta_{\mu\nu}\psi^{\mu\nu}$ spin-0 repulsion, which describe the quantum nature of the gravitational interaction.

Due to difference in the signs of these forces the total free field Lagrangian contains different signs for the tensor and scalar dynamical fields. Decomposition of a reducible tensor onto irreducible parts is applied to both gravitational potentials $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ and the source $T_{\mu\nu}$ of this field, so as a result we get two non-ghost quantum dynamical fields describing observed gravitational phenomena. Decomposition of the massless symmetric tensor field onto two dynamical fields (spin-2 attraction and spin-0 repulsion) was suggested in [10], [11]. Here we show that in the frame of the massive linearised weak field Poincaré-covariant Feynman's field gravity approach there is no mass discontinuity paradox.

2. Poincaré-covariant field approach to gravitation

Physical arguments for the *Necessity of Gravitational Quantization* and understanding quantum nature of the gravitational interaction were presented by Richard Feynman many years ago in his comments at Chapel Hill Conference (1957) [12], his report at Warsaw Conference (1963) [13] and in lectures which he taught as a course at Caltech on gravitation during the 1962-63 academic year, first published in 1995 [14].

Feynman suggested to consider the gravitational interaction as a non-metric quantum field in Minkowski space, in addition to other fundamental physical quantum interactions - QED, QEDW, QCD, which are based on global Poincaré group (inhomogeneous Lorentz group). He emphasized that *"the geometrical interpretation is not really necessary or essential to physics"* and *"the fact is that a spin-two field has this geometrical interpretation; this is not something readily explainable – it is just marvelous"* ([14], p.113).

The question about identity of the Field Gravity Theory (FGT) with the geometrical General Relativity Theory (GRT) was debated for a long time (e.g. [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20]). Indeed, in the weak field approximation all actually performed relativistic gravity experiments have the same predicted values in both FGT and GRT (reviewed in [15], [20]). The initial basic principles of GRT are: fundamental role of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ of the curved space ($R_{\mu\nu}$), equivalence of inertial and accelerated by gravity reference frames, energy-momentum pseudotensor ($t_{\mu\nu}$) of gravitation field, non-trivial topology for black hole solutions.

While FGT has conceptually different basic principles: the tensor field gravitational potential $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ in the Minkowski space (with metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$) and the Poincaré transformation group, which guaranty existence of the conserved energy-momentum tensor $T_{(g)}^{\mu\nu}$ of the gravitational field, localizable and positive energy density of static and variable gravitational field ($T_{(g)}^{00}(x) > 0$), and initial quantum nature of the gravitational interaction (gravity force due to exchange by gravitons).

Hence one has to investigate Feynman's quantum field approach more carefully for understanding the physical nature of gravity phenomenon.

2.1. Action and Lagrangians

So, let us consider the relativistic (Poincaré-covariant) quantum field approach to gravitation as a theory of the second rank symmetric tensor field $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ in Minkowski space (\ddagger). We start from the first step in the iteration procedure, i.e. linear weak field, which is described by the action \mathcal{A} and the Lagrangians $\Lambda(\psi^{\mu\nu}(x), \psi^{\mu\nu}_{,\sigma}(x), T^{\mu\nu})$ for the system of gravitationally interacting particles/fields, which include the three parts:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{(g)} + \mathcal{A}_{(int)} + \mathcal{A}_{(m)} = \frac{1}{c} \int (\Lambda_{(g)} + \Lambda_{(int)} + \Lambda_{(m)}) d\Omega. \quad (1)$$

The notations (g), (int), (m) refer to the actions for the gravity field, the interaction, and the matter (particles/fields), $d\Omega = cdt dV = d^4x$. The physical dimension of the action is

$$[Action] = [energy\ density] \times [volume] \times [time] = [\hbar], \quad (2)$$

meaning that the measurable energy density of the gravitational field should be defined in the theory at the basic conceptual level together with volume and time. We shall use also the dimensionless form of the action:

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\hbar} = \frac{1}{c\hbar} \int (\Lambda) d\Omega = \frac{1}{e_{gPl}^2} \int (\Lambda) dx^4, \quad (3)$$

where the Planck gravitational charge e_{gPl} is

$$e_{gPl} = \sqrt{G} M_{Pl}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_{Pl} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}}. \quad (4)$$

\ddagger We use main definitions and notations similar to Bogolubov & Shirkov [21] (Quantum Fields 1980) so the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ has signature $(+, -, -, -)$, 4-dimensional tensor indices are denoted by Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu, \dots$ which take on the values 0, 1, 2, 3, and 3-dimensional quantities are denoted by Latin letters i, k, l, \dots and take on the values 1, 2, 3. Derivatives are denoted by $\partial\phi/\partial x^\nu = \partial^\nu\phi = \phi_{,\nu}$. We use explicit dimensional values of the fundamental constants G, \hbar, c and also $\hbar = c = 1$ system.

In the field approach the principle of *universality of gravitational interaction* (UGI) states that the gravitational field ψ^{ik} interacts with all kinds of matter via their energy-momentum tensor T^{ik} , so the Lagrangian for the interaction has the universal form:

$$\Lambda_{(\text{int})} = -\frac{1}{c^2}\psi_{ik}T^{ik} \quad (5)$$

The principle of universality (5) was introduced in [22] and it replaces the equivalence principle used in the geometrical approach. From the principle of UGI and the stationary action principle one can derive those consequences of the equivalence principle, which do not create paradoxes. An important consequence of UGI (5) is that the free fall acceleration of a body does not depend on its total mass, but does depend on the direction and value of test particle velocity ([16], [23]). This is also consistent with the factor 2 (as $1 + v^2/c^2$) in the bending angle of light by the Sun.

Dynamics of the field generated by the source and the motion of the matter in the field are described by the equations of motion derived from the *stationary action principle* $\delta\mathcal{S} = 0$. So assuming that the *total EMT of matter is fixed* and varying $\delta\psi^{\mu\nu}$ in (3) we get the field equations in the form:

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\delta\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)} = \frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial\psi^{\mu\nu}(x)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\sigma} \frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial\psi^{\mu\nu, \sigma}(x)} = 0 \quad (6)$$

2.2. The connection between the signs of the Lagrangian, the field energy and the force

From Lagrangians in action (1), (3) it can be seen that a field φ_{att} interacting with matter via (5) can describe the universal attraction force \vec{F}_{att} between two masses. However if we change the sign of the free field Lagrangian $\Lambda_{(g)}$ then both the potential and the force will change their signs ($\varphi_{rep} = -\varphi_{att}$, $\vec{F}_{rep} = -\vec{F}_{att}$), hence we get universal repulsion. The sign of the coupling constant makes no difference since it appears as a square in diagrams containing always two vertices ([14], p.48). The free field energy density will be positive in both cases.

For example the 4-scalar field φ generated by the source $T = \eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ (4-trace of the matter energy-momentum tensor) can present both universal attraction and universal repulsion. The corresponding massless field equation is:

$$-\varphi_{(att)}{}_{;\mu}{}^{\mu} = +\lambda^2 T \quad \text{and} \quad +\varphi_{(rep)}{}_{;\mu}{}^{\mu} = +\lambda^2 T. \quad (7)$$

so the force acting on a slow moving test particle with mass m_0 will be attractive or repulsive: $\vec{F} = \pm m_0 \nabla\varphi$, while the energy density for both attractive and repulsive fields is positive

$$T_{(\varphi)att}^{00} = T_{(\varphi)rep}^{00} = \kappa[(\dot{\varphi})^2 + (\nabla\varphi)^2] > 0. \quad (8)$$

However in the case of simultaneous generation of both attractive and repulsive fields by a *common source* $T^{\mu\nu}$ we get the *composite Lagrangian* with total kinetic term containing positive and negative parts, which does not mean negative energy of the repulsion field. The repulsive force partially compensates the attraction force, so the

resulting "effective" composite potential, force, work and energy will be less than in the single irreducible tensor field component.

Intriguingly, such situation exactly happens in the case of symmetric second rank tensor field $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ generated by the matter energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$. Here we have composite reducible tensor potential (direct sum of irreducible tensor and scalar parts) which is generated by the common source for attractive and repulsive potentials.

2.3. Conserved energy-momentum tensor of the field and positive localizable field's energy density

Important aspect of the FGT is that the GW detection by LIGO-Virgo observatories is consistent with the field nature of the gravitational interaction. The detected gravitational waves has positive localizable energy density as it should be for other boson fields.

Inded, the basic initial principle of the quantum field theory states that the action \mathcal{A} (eq.1) is the Poincaré-invariant 4-scalar in Minkowski space. The conservation laws of energy-momentum and angular momentum, i.e. the translational and Lorentz invariance of the Poincaré covariant theory, is a consequence of the Noether's theorem: existence and conservation of the energy-momentum tensor for any physical field is the direct consequence of the symmetry of the flat global Minkowski space. So the "prior geometry" of the Minkowski space in the field theories has the advantage of guarantee existence of the field energy-momentum, its localization and its conservation.

The conserved canonical energy-momentum tensor (EMT) of the field $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ is defined by the free field Lagrangian Λ :

$$T_{(can)}^{\alpha\beta}(x) = \psi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}(x) \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \psi^{\mu\nu}_{,\beta}(x)} - \eta^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda(x) \quad \text{and} \quad T_{(can),\alpha}^{\alpha\beta}(x) = 0 \quad (9)$$

with definite value at each point x . The physically motivated EMT features are:

- $T^{\alpha\beta}(x) = T^{\beta\alpha}(x)$ -symmetry condition, necessary for angular momentum conservation;
- $T^{00}(x) > 0$ - localizable field energy density, positive for both static and wave field, corresponding to the positive quant energy of integer spin fields $E = h\nu$;
- $T(x) = \eta_{\alpha\beta} T^{\alpha\beta}(x) = 0$ - trace of the EMT is zero for massless particles;
- the EMT from Λ is defined not uniquely: $T^{\alpha\beta} = T_{(can)}^{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\rho \Theta^{\rho\alpha\beta}$ for $\Theta^{\rho\alpha\beta} = -\Theta^{\rho\beta\alpha}$.

Localization of the energy of the gravitational field means that the energy-momentum tensor $T_{(g)}^{\alpha\beta}(\vec{r}, t)$ is defined for any point (\vec{r}, t) of the Minkowski spacetime and its energy can be transformed (localized) in the kinetic energy of test particles (e.g. registration of gravitational wave amplitude deeply inside its wavelength, as we actually have for LIGO events).

2.4. Decomposition of the second rank symmetric tensor under the Lorentz group

According to the group representation theory the symmetric second-rank tensor $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ (10 components) is a reducible representation of the Lorentz group which can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible representations: traceless 4-tensor \mathbf{T} (5 components), 4-vector \mathbf{V} (4 components) and 4-scalar \mathbf{S} (1 component) fields ([19], [24], [25]):

$$\{\psi^{\mu\nu}\} = \{\mathbf{T}\} \oplus \{\mathbf{V}\} \oplus \{\mathbf{S}\}. \quad (10)$$

Note the principal role of the Poincaré invariant scalar part $S^{\mu\nu} = (1/4)\psi\eta^{\mu\nu}$ where $\psi = \eta_{\mu\nu}\psi^{\mu\nu}$ is the trace of the symmetric tensor $\psi^{\mu\nu}$.

Below we shall consider special case when four supplement conditions (gauge invariance and EMT conservation) delete the 4-vector part of the eqs.(10), so the reducible symmetric tensor will present the direct sum only two irreducible parts – the spin-2 and spin-0 fields. In terms of spins this decomposition corresponds to following expression:

$$\{\psi^{\mu\nu}\} = \{2\} \oplus [\{1\} \oplus \{0'\}] \oplus \{0\} \implies \{\psi^{\mu\nu}\} = \{2\} \oplus \{0\} \quad (11)$$

It means that our symmetric tensor field $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ can be presented in the form:

$$\psi^{\mu\nu} = \psi_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} + \psi_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} = (\psi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\psi) + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\psi = \phi^{\mu\nu} + \theta^{\mu\nu} \quad (12)$$

and also the source $T^{\mu\nu}$ (the matter EMT) will have the same structure:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} + T_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} = (T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}T) + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}T. \quad (13)$$

Eqs.(12, 13) is the Poincaré-invariant decomposition of both the initial symmetric tensor potential $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ and symmetric source $T^{\mu\nu}$ of this potential onto two irreducible parts. This means that the true spin-2 field is only one part of this tensor – the 4-traceless field $\psi_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} = \phi^{\mu\nu} = \psi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\psi$ (corresponds to spin-2 gravitons). The second principally important part of the initial tensor potential $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ is the 4-scalar spin-0 field $\psi_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} = \theta^{\mu\nu} = (1/4)\psi\eta^{\mu\nu}$ (as we shall show below it corresponds the repulsive component of the gravity phenomenon).

3. Lagrangians, propagators and amplitudes for massive gravitational field

A massive 4-vector field has three degrees of freedom (dofs), namely two in the transverse modes and one in the longitudinal mode. Nevertheless, due to 4-current conservation, no external sources directly excite the helicity-0 mode of a massive spin-1 field, and the exchange amplitude between two conserved sources is the same in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ no matter whether the vector field is intrinsically massive and propagates three dofs or if it is massless and only propagates two modes. There is no difference between an exactly massive vector field and a massive one with arbitrarily small mass, which means that for the Proca mass term there is no mass discontinuity problem (e.g. [19], [7]).

Below we show that for the symmetric tensor field $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ there is analogous to ED smooth mass limit, if one takes into account the decomposition of the reducible field

onto its intrinsic irreducible spin-2 and spin-0 fields. The helicity-0 mode now couple to the Poincaré invariant 4-trace of the matter energy-momentum tensor and so generic sources will excite not only the 2 helicity-2 polarization of the *graviton* but also a third helicity-0 polarization of the "*repulson*" (spin-0 Poincaré scalar field), which deliver a new possibility for solution of the vDVZ mass discontinuity problem.

3.1. Generalized Fierz-Pauli approach, gauge invariance and EMT conservation

Let us consider Poincaré-invariant action (eq.1) in the linear approximation. We start with the Lagrangian for reducible symmetric second rank tensor field $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ (which according to Wigner's theorem describes composition of three irreducible Poincaré invariant fields (eq.10)) with the generalized Fierz-Pauli mass term ([5], [14], [9], [19]) :

$$\Lambda_{(g)} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} [2\psi_{\mu\sigma}{}^{,\mu}\psi^{\nu\sigma}{}_{,\nu} - \psi_{\nu\sigma}{}_{,\mu}\psi^{\nu\sigma}{}_{,\mu} - 2\psi_{\nu\mu}{}^{,\nu}\psi^{,\mu} + \psi_{,\nu}\psi^{,\nu} + \frac{\hat{m}_g^2}{2}(a\psi_{\nu\sigma}\psi^{\nu\sigma} - b\psi^2)] \quad (14)$$

where $\hat{m}_g = m_g c/\hbar$ is the inverse Compton length for the mass of the effective composite field, and a, b - two arbitrary constants. Taking into account the interaction Lagrangian (eq.5) we get field equations (eq.6) in the form:

$$-\psi^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\sigma} + \psi^{\mu\sigma}{}_{,\nu} + \psi^{\nu\sigma}{}_{,\mu} - \psi^{,\mu\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\psi^{\sigma\rho}{}_{,\sigma\rho} + \eta^{\mu\nu}\psi^{,\sigma}{}_{,\sigma} - \frac{\hat{m}_g^2}{2}(a\psi^{\mu\nu} - b\eta^{\mu\nu}\psi) = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}T^{\mu\nu} \quad (15)$$

The trace of the tensor field equations (15) gives:

$$-2\psi^{,\sigma}{}_{,\sigma} + 2\psi^{\sigma\rho}{}_{,\sigma\rho} + \frac{\hat{m}_g^2}{2}(a - 4b)\psi = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2}T. \quad (16)$$

In the massless limit the field equation (15) is similar to the linear approximation of Einstein's field equations and that is why there are many similarities between GRT and FGT in the weak field regime.

The role of the source energy-momentum conservation. Now let us take into account that according to the Noether's theorem the source of the gravitational field $T^{\mu\nu}$ is conserved (eq.9), hence we get from the field equation (eq.15) the consistency condition:

$$T_{\mu\nu}{}^{,\nu} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \hat{m}_g^2(\psi_{\mu\nu}{}^{,\nu} - \frac{b}{a}\psi^{,\mu}) = 0 \quad (17)$$

In the massless limit ($m_g \rightarrow 0$) the conservation law of the source in (eq.15) also consistent with the gauge invariance of this field equations, which are not changed by the following transformation of the potentials (in the fixed reference frame):

$$\psi^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \psi^{\mu\nu} + \lambda^{\mu,\nu} + \lambda^{\nu,\mu}, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \Rightarrow \psi + 2\lambda^{,\nu}{}_{,\nu}. \quad (18)$$

The four arbitrary functions λ^μ are consistent with the four restrictions on the source EMT due to energy-momentum conservation.

The choice of the gauge conditions. Note that the source EMT conservation law $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0$ is a fundamental property of the Poincaré invariance of the action (eq.1). Also decomposition of the symmetric tensor into three irreducible parts (eq.10) is Poincaré invariant. The EMT conservation law (17) together with the gauge invariance of the field equations (18) can be considered as the spin restriction conditions for the composite structure of the initial reducible symmetric second rank tensor $\psi^{\mu\nu}$, which corresponds to deleting the source of the irreducible 4-vector field ([25], [26]).

The consistency condition eq.(17) demonstrates that there is a possibility for having gauge conditions common for massive and massless cases, which we will use below in the Hilbert-Lorentz form ([14], [16], [27], [19])

$$\psi^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \psi^{,\mu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \psi^{,\mu} \quad (19)$$

so the constants $a = 2$ and $b = 1$ (§). An important property of the Hilbert-Lorentz gauge (19) is that these conditions conserve decomposition on tensor and scalar parts.

With the gauge (19) the field equations (15) are the Klein-Gordon equation :

$$(\mathcal{D} - \hat{m}_g^2) (\psi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \psi) = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} T^{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{or} \quad (\mathcal{D} - \hat{m}_g^2) \psi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} (T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} T), \quad (20)$$

and for the trace part $\psi = \eta^{\mu\nu} \psi_{\mu\nu}$ we get:

$$(\mathcal{D} - \hat{m}_g^2) \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2} T(\vec{r}, t), \quad (21)$$

where d'Alambertian is $\mathcal{D} = -\partial_\mu \partial^\mu = -(\cdot)_{;\mu}^{\mu} = \left(\Delta - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right)$.

3.2. Lagrangians and field equations for composite symmetric tensor field

Let us now compute the gravitational exchange amplitude between two sources $T'_{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow T_{\mu\nu}$ in both the massive and massless fields cases. For this we rewrite Lagrangian (eq.14) and the field equations (eq.15) by using the Hilbert-Lorentz gauge conditions (eq.19) and presenting of the field $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ and source $T^{\mu\nu}$ according to (eq.12, 13). Then the total composite Lagrangian (eq.14) will be the sum of spin-2 and spin-0 free field Lagrangians:

$$\Lambda_{(g)} = \Lambda_{(2g)} + \Lambda_{(0r)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[\phi_{\mu\nu,\sigma} \phi^{\mu\nu,\sigma} + \hat{m}_g^2 \phi_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\mu\nu} \right] - \frac{1}{64\pi G} \left[\psi_{;\nu} \psi^{;\nu} + \hat{m}_g^2 \psi^2 \right]. \quad (22)$$

The interaction Lagrangian will have the form $\Lambda_{(int)} = \Lambda_{(2int)} + \Lambda_{(0int)}$:

$$\Lambda_{(int)} = -\frac{1}{c^2} (\psi_{\{2\}\mu\nu} + \psi_{\{0\}\mu\nu}) (T_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} + T_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{c^2} (\phi_{\mu\nu} T_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} + \theta_{\mu\nu} T_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu}). \quad (23)$$

Corresponding field equation for the traceless spin-2 field $\phi^{\mu\nu}$ is:

$$(\mathcal{D} - \hat{m}_g^2) \psi_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} T_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\mathcal{D} - \hat{m}_g^2) \phi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} (T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} T) \quad (24)$$

The field equation for the scalar part $\psi_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} = \theta^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \psi$ will be:

$$(\mathcal{D} - \hat{m}_g^2) \psi_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2} T_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\mathcal{D} - \hat{m}_g^2) \psi = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2} T \quad (25)$$

§ Fierz-Pauli gauge corresponds to the choice $a = b = 1$, then the 4-scalar ψ is not a dynamical field, tensor and scalar parts are mixed, and there is no continuous transition from massive to massless cases.

where \mathcal{D} is the d'Alembertian. The sum of the field equations (24, 25) gives the composite field equation (20).

In the massless limit the field equations (20) were used by Feynman for the graviton's propagator calculation ([14], eq.3.7.9). In particular for the Feynman's "bar operator" there are two relations:

$$\overline{T^{\mu\nu}} = T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}T = (T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}T) - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}T = T_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} - T_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\overline{\psi^{\mu\nu}} = \psi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\psi = (\psi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\psi) - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\psi = \psi_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} - \psi_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} = \phi^{\mu\nu} - \theta^{\mu\nu}. \quad (27)$$

Hence our decomposition (11) onto irreducible traceless tensor and trace scalar components gives physical explanation of the Feynman's bar operator appearance due to the composite gravity source in equation (20) as the combination of the traceless attractive (gravitons) and trace repulsive (repulsons) forces.

In the Lagrangians (eqs.14, 22) and the field equations (eqs.24, 25) the tensor and scalar parts have opposite signs, which does not mean negative energy of the scalar field but reflects the opposite signs of the traceless tensor and intrinsic scalar forces.

3.3. Propagators for gravitons and repulsons

According to Feynman's quantum field approach, the gravitational force is a force between two energy-momentum tensors considered matter [14], [27], [8], [19], [6], [7].

In the weak field limit the interaction energy is determined by the single graviton exchange amplitude between two sources, $T_1^{\mu\nu}(x)$ and $T_2^{\mu\nu}(y)$, which takes the form

$$\mathcal{M} \sim \int d^4x \int d^4y T_1^{\mu\nu}(x) G_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x, y) T_2^{\mu\nu}(y) \quad (28)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x, y)$ is the corresponding propagator.

Using Feynman's path integral quantization method for massless symmetric tensor field $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ (without decomposition), the amplitude of the spin-2 particle exchange was derived by ([14], [26], [27], [19]) in the form

$$\mathcal{M}(k) = \lambda^2 T'^{\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} T^{\mu\nu} = \lambda^2 T'_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \overline{T^{\mu\nu}} = \lambda^2 T'_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) (T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}T) \quad (29)$$

with graviton propagator

$$G_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(k) = \frac{1}{2} [\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma}\eta_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\sigma}] \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right). \quad (30)$$

However the reducible massless symmetric tensor field $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ cannot be extended smoothly to the massive case, which is the vDVZ problem ([3], [4], [27], [19]).

In the irreducible decomposition picture, where the potentials and the source is presented by the irreducible traceless tensor plus scalar components, there is natural possibility for transition to smooth massive case for both partial fields. Indeed, for massive traceless symmetric tensor field $\psi_{\{2\}}^{\mu\nu} = \phi^{\mu\nu}$ and scalar field $\psi_{\{0\}}^{\mu\nu} = \theta^{\mu\nu}$ there are simple Lagrangians (eq. 22) and equations of motion (eqs.24, 25) which have smooth limit to the massless case.

Taking into account conservation of energy-momentum tensor for the total composite field together with additional condition of tracelessness for spin-2 parts and the Hilbert-Lorentz gauge conditions (19) on the potentials

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{,\nu} = (T^{\mu\nu}_{\{2\}} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\{0\}})_{,\nu} = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\{2\}} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{\mu\nu}_{,\nu} = \frac{1}{4}\psi^{,\mu}, \quad (31)$$

and using the Lagrangian eq.(22) and field equations (24, 25) we get amplitudes for simultaneous graviton and repulson exchange between vertexes having conserved EMTs ($T^{\rho\sigma}, T^{\mu\nu}$) (up to terms which vanish when contracted with a conserved tensor):

$$\mathcal{M}(k, m_g) = \lambda^2 T^{\rho\sigma} G^m_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(k, m_g) T^{\mu\nu} = \lambda^2 (T'_{\{2\}\mu\nu} + T'_{\{0\}\mu\nu}) \left(\frac{1}{k^2 - m_g^2} \right) (T^{\mu\nu}_{\{2\}} - T^{\mu\nu}_{\{0\}}) \quad (32)$$

where the total propagator is the sum of the traceless massive graviton and massive scalar repulson propagators:

$$G^m_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = G^{\{2\}m}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(k, m_g) + G^{\{0\}m}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(k, m_g) = \frac{1}{2} \left([\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma}\eta_{\nu\rho}] + [-\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\sigma}] \right) \left(\frac{1}{k^2 - m_g^2} \right) \quad (33)$$

The amplitudes for each field contain two parts – instantaneous ($\propto 1/\kappa^2$) and retarded ($\propto 1/(\omega^2 - \kappa^2)$), which describe static and radiated fields. In the massless limit $m_g \rightarrow 0$ we get smooth transition to massless fields, hence there is no mass discontinuity problem in FGT.

We should emphasize that gravitons and repulsons have united source $T^{\mu\nu}$ through which they related according to Hilbert-Lorentz gauge conditions and describe combination of the attractive and repulsive forces. While outside the source these fields are independent having helicity-2 and helicity-0 modes with positive localizable energy density.

3.4. Quantum nature of the Newtonian force and gravitational wave experiments

The quantum interpretation of the classical Newtonian gravity force and testable relativistic gravity effects relate to the amplitudes (eqs. 32) calculation for corresponding EMT of gravity sources. In the FGT linear weak field approximation the Newtonian gravity is generated by a point particle EMT:

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{(p)} = m_a c^2 \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a) \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_a^2}{c^2}} u_a^\mu u_a^\nu \quad (34)$$

so the gravity source energy density and the trace are

$$T_{00} = \frac{m_a c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_a^2}{c^2}}} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a) \quad \text{and} \quad T = m_a c^2 \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a) \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_a^2}{c^2}}. \quad (35)$$

For a particle at rest the source EMT is the sum of irreducible parts:

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{(p)} = T^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} + T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} = m c^2 \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a) \left[\frac{3}{4} \text{diag}(1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) + \frac{1}{4} \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \right], \quad (36)$$

the "bar" source of gravitons and repulsons according to field eqs.(24, 25) is

$$\overline{T}^{\mu\nu}_{(p)} = T^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} - T^{\mu\nu}_{(0)} = m c^2 \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a) \left[\frac{3}{4} \text{diag}(1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) - \frac{1}{4} \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \right]. \quad (37)$$

Hence the interaction energy due to exchange by graviton and repulson between two particles m_1 and m_2 can be calculated by using propagator eq.(33) and corresponding normalization of the amplitude eq.(32). For static massive traceless spin-2 field the interaction energy is:

$$U^{\{2\}}(r) = -\frac{i8\pi G}{c^4} \int \frac{dk^3}{(2\pi)^3} T_1^{00} G_{0000}^{\{2\}m}(k, m_g) T_2^{00} e^{-ikr} = -2 \frac{Gm_1 m_2}{r} e^{-\frac{m_g c}{h} r} \quad (38)$$

For static massive spin-0 repulson we get:

$$U^{\{0\}}(r) = -\frac{i8\pi G}{c^4} \int \frac{dk^3}{(2\pi)^3} T_1^{00} G_{0000}^{\{0\}m}(k, m_g) T_2^{00} e^{-ikr} = +1 \frac{Gm_1 m_2}{r} e^{-\frac{m_g c}{h} r} \quad (39)$$

The total interaction energy (attraction plus repulsion) will be given by the sum $U^{\{2\}} + U^{\{0\}}$, which gives for the massless limit ($m_g \rightarrow 0$) the Newtonian gravitational potential and total tensor potential in Birkhoff's form:

$$U_N(r) = -\frac{Gm_1 m_2}{r} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{\mu\nu} = \varphi_N \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1), \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi_N = -\frac{Gm}{r}. \quad (40)$$

Equations of motion for particles in Birkhoff's gravitational potential and calculations of classical relativistic gravity effects were considered in [20], [28].

For a photon fly by the Sun (energy density $T_{(em)}^{00} = \varepsilon \rightarrow m_1 = \varepsilon/c^2$) interacting with the Sun ($T_{(p)}^{00} = m_2 c^2$) we have exchange only by spin-2 gravitons (trace of the electromagnetic EMT equals zero). So the interaction energy for the massless limit ($m_g \rightarrow 0$) will be:

$$U^{\{2\}}(r) = -2 \frac{Gm_1 m_2}{r} \quad \text{and bending angle} \quad \theta_{\text{FGT}} = \theta_{\text{GRT}} = 2\theta_N = \frac{4GM}{c^2 b}. \quad (41)$$

which corresponds to the observed bending angle by the Sun.

Retarded part of the propagators eq.(33) corresponds to gravitational wave radiation for both 4-traceless tensor and 4-trace scalar irreducible parts of the source EMT. The amplitude of the transversal helicity-2 GW is the same in GRT and FGT ([29], [20]). Both tensor (helicity-2) and scalar (helicity-0) waves have localizable positive energy density. The dynamical longitudinal mode (helicity-0 repulson) obeys the wave equation (25), which in the massless limit is:

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2} T(\vec{r}, t), \quad (42)$$

so it allows to perform an observational test by measuring sky localization, signal amplitudes and polarizations of GW using modern LIGO-Virgo facilities ([2], [29], [30], [20], [31]).

4. Conclusion

The problem of linear vDVZ mass discontinuity can be naturally solved in the frame of Feynman's quantum field theory approach if one takes into account the composite structure of the symmetric tensor field $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ and its conserved source – the matter energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$. In the quantum interpretation the Newtonian gravity force is a

result of the exchange by two kinds of virtual particles – spin-2 gravitons (universal attraction) and spin-0 repulsons (universal repulsion). Experimental/observational testing of the quantum field gravitation theory is achievable with advanced LIGO-Virgo gravitational wave detectors.

References

- [1] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration 2018 arXiv:1811.12907
- [2] de Rham C. et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 2017 89 025004
- [3] van Dam, H & M. J. G. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. 1970 B22, 397-411.
- [4] Zakharov, V I, JETP Lett. 1970 12, 312,
- [5] Fierz M. & Pauli W. Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), 1939 A173, 211-232
- [6] Hinterbichler K., Rev. Mod. Phys., 2012, V.84, 671-710 arXiv:1105.3735
- [7] de Rham C., Liv. Rev. Rel., 17, 7 (2014), arXiv:1401.4173
- [8] Damour T. et al., Phys. Rev. D67 (2003) 064009 arXiv:0212155
- [9] Rubakov V.A. & Tinyakov P.G., Phys. Usp., 51 (8), 2008 arXiv:0802.4379
- [10] Sokolov V.V. & Baryshev Yu.V., 1980 Grav. Rel. Theory, Kazan State University, vyp.17, 34
- [11] Baryshev Yu. V. & Sokolov V.V., Hyperfine Interactions, 109, 95 (1997)
- [12] Feynman R. 1957 in Max Planck Research Library, Sources 5 (2011)
- [13] Feynman R., 1963 Acta Phys. Pol., vol. XXIV, 697 - 722,
- [14] Feynman R., Morinigo F., Wagner W., 1995 *Feynman Lectures on Gravitation*, AWPC
- [15] Misner, C., Thorne, K., Wheeler, J. 1973 *Gravitation* Freeman, San Francisco
- [16] Thirring W. E., Ann. of Phys. (1961) 16, 96
- [17] Straumann N. 2000 astro-ph/0006423
- [18] Padmanabhan T. 2008 Int. J. Mod. Phys., D17 367
- [19] Maggiore M., 2008 *Gravitational Waves*, Oxford Univ. Press
- [20] Baryshev Yu, 2017 arXiv:1702.02020
- [21] Bogolubov & Shirkov 1980 *Quantum Fields* M. Nauka
- [22] Moshinsky M. 1950 Phys. Rev., 80, 514
- [23] Baryshev Yu.V. 2002 Grav. & Cosmol 8, 232
- [24] Barnes K.J. 1965 J. Math. Phys. 6, 788
- [25] Ogievetsky V.I. & Polubarinov I.V. 1965 Ann. Phys. 35 167
- [26] Zakharov V.I. 1965 Zh. Eksp. & Teor. Fiz., 48, 303
- [27] Blagojević M. 1999 *Gravitation and gauge symmetries*, Inst. Phys., Belgrad
- [28] Baryshev Yu.V. & Oschepkov S.A. 2018 in Proc. Int. Conf. *SN 1987A, quark phase transition, in compact objects and multimessenger astronomy*, Moscow, INR RAS, 17
- [29] Baryshev, Yu. V., 1995 in the Proc. of the *First Amaldi Conference on Gravitational Wave Experiments*, eds. E. Coccia, G. Pizzella, F. Ronga, World Sci. Publ. Co., p. 251 (gr-qc/9911081)
- [30] Baryshev, Yu. V. & Paturel G. 2001 Astron. Astrophys. 371, 378
- [31] Fesik et al., 2017 arXiv:1702.03440