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#### Abstract

We show that the suspended pinch point can be seen as an elliptically fibered variety with singular fibers of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ over codimension-one points of the base and a torsionless Mordell-Weil group of rank one. In the F-theory algorithm, this corresponds to a Lie group $S U(2) \times U(1)$. We also identify the matter content as given by the direct sum of the adjoint representation (with zero $\mathrm{U}(1)$ charge) and the fundamental representation with $U(1)$-charge $\pm 1$. We then study the geometry of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model given by a compact elliptically fibered variety with the singularities of a suspended pinch point. We describe in detail the crepant resolutions and the network of flops of this geometry. We compute topological invariants including the Euler characteristic and Hodge numbers. We also study the weak coupling limit of this geometry and show that it corresponds to an orientifold theory with an $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$-stack transverse to the orientifold and two brane-image-branes wrapping the orientifold.
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## 1 Introduction

Elliptic fibrations are elegant algebraic varieties whose geometries can surprisingly encode essential information about the structure of gauge theories $[3,6,48]$. Insights from the physics of gauge theories and string dualities shed light on different aspects of the geometry of elliptic fibrations, creating opportunities for new results in both mathematics and physics.

In mathematics, elliptic fibrations naturally appear in the classification of algebraic surfaces, which was the motivation for Kodaira's seminal work on elliptic surfaces [42]. They are also central in number theory, where the Riemann Roch theorem plus base change gives a Weierstrass model for any curve of genus one with a rational point. The singular fibers of a Weierstrass model were classified by Néron [49], and Tate's algorithm gives simple rules for determining the type of a singular fiber by analyzing the valuations of its coefficients, its $j$-invariant, and its discriminant [50, 55]. Elliptic fibrations also appear naturally in the classification of higher dimensional varieties as the output of Mori's minimal model program since they correspond to a special case of Calabi-Yau fibered spaces with one-dimensional fibers [41] and any minimal model satisfying the abundance theorem yields a Calabi-Yau fibered space [41]. There are examples of (singular) elliptic fibrations which are Mori dream spaces [35,52]. Elliptic fibrations are also instrumental in the study of Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry. Several surveys indicate that a large majority of known Calabi-Yau threefolds are elliptically fibered. Besides, they have rich a structure of flops that can be discussed in a context more general than toric geometry.

Over the years, elliptic fibrations have become precision tools in the art of geometrically engineering gauge theories in M-theory and F-theory compactifications (for a review of F-theory, see $[12,51,57])$. As a testimony to their ubiquitous influence on our current understanding of quantum field theory, elliptic fibrations provide an organizational tool for the classification of six-dimensional superconformal field theories [38]. In five-dimensional gauge theories obtained by compactification of M-theory on elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds, distinct minimal models can be identified with distinct chambers of the Coulomb phases of an associated five-dimensional gauge theory obtained by a compactification of M-theory on the Calabi-Yau threefold [39,58]. Crepant birational transformations between elliptic fibrations model physical phase transitions between different Coulomb phases of the gauge theory [39,58]. Certain degenerations of elliptic fibrations yield weak coupling limits of F-theory $[1,2,9,29,54]$ that have provided unexpected mathematical relations inspired by the cancellation of tadpoles [ $1,2,8,9,13,25$ ] and connection to K-theory [9]. The study of the Coulomb phases of five-dimensional gauge theories [39,58] indicates that the geography of flops of an elliptic fibration can be modeled by a hyperplane arrangement $[14,15,31,32]$. In this context, there has been real progress recently toward the classification of flops associated to gauge theories of small ranks both for simple groups [16,19,21,22,30-32], and semi-simple groups [18,23,24,26]. Their Euler characteristic and other characteristic numbers are also well understood [20,27,28].

The Mordell-Weil group of an elliptic fibration is the finitely generated Abelian group of its rational sections. The Mordell-Weil rank of an elliptic fibration is the rank of its Mordelll-Weil group. The Mordell-Weil group of an elliptic fibration is a birational invariant. A non-trivial Mordell-Weil rank has a dramatic impact on the singularities of the Weierstrass model of the elliptic fibration. One reason for this is that they are not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial in contrast to Weierstrass models with Mordell-Weil group of rank zero. A Weierstrass model over a smooth base is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if and only if its Mordell-Weil rank is zero [40, Theorem 8.3]. An elliptic fibration with zero Mordell-Weil rank is said to be extremal. In the F-theory algorithm, the rank of the Abelian sector of the reductive Lie group associated with an elliptic fibration is given by its Mordell-Weil rank. The role of the Mordell-Weil group in F-theory is studied in [44, 45]. We refer to [10, 57] for a pedagogical review.

The study of flops between crepant resolutions of Weierstrass models with zero Mordell-Weil rank but non-trivial Mordell-Weil torsion was started in [19, 26]. The study of flops of $G$-models with a nonzero Mordell-Weil rank is still in its infancy and in need of much more attention. The simplest case to consider would be an elliptic fibration with a torsionless Mordell-Weil group of rank one where the associated Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $\mathrm{A}_{1}$. Such a model is a $G$-model and has minimal positive rank both for the Abelian and the semi-simple sector as its corresponds to

$$
G=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)
$$

### 1.1 An $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbf{U}(1)$-model hiding in plain sight

The aim of this paper is to discuss the geometry of an elliptic fibration that is hiding in plain sight and corresponds to a simple $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model. The geometry of interest is the suspended pinch point defined by the following binomial equation in $\mathbb{C}^{4}[46]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}: \quad x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upon performing the following linear change of variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \rightarrow(y-s, y+s, x+t, x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the suspended pinch point $Z_{0}$ reveals itself as the following Weierstrass model

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}: \quad y^{2}=x^{3}+t x^{2}+s^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with discriminant and $j$-invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=s^{2}\left(4 t^{3}+27 s^{2}\right), \quad j=-\frac{2^{8} t^{6}}{s^{2}\left(4 t^{3}+27 s^{2}\right)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elliptic fibration $Y_{0}$ defined by the Weierstrass model in equation (1.3) is a special case of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model. We call it an SPP elliptic fibration as it has the singularity of a suspended pinch point. This is an elliptic fibration with a discriminant locus containing an irreducible component the smooth divisor $S=V(s)$ corresponding to the vanishing locus $s=0$ - whose generic point has a singular fiber with dual graph $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}$. The Mordell-Weil group has rank one and is generated by the regular sections

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{ \pm}: \quad x=y \pm s=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

that are opposite of each other with respect to the Modell-Weil group law.
When considering the Weierstrass model of equation (1.3) as a new model for the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ model, the base $B$ could be of arbitrary dimension. If we denote by $\mathscr{L}$ the fundamental line bundle of the Weierstrass model, the discriminant locus is a section of $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 12}$, thus the divisor $S=V(s)$ supporting the fiber $I_{2}^{\text {ns }}$ is necessarily a section of $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 3}$ and the divisor $T=V(t)$ is a zero section of $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$. In the Calabi-Yau case, $c_{1}(\mathscr{L})=-K$ where $K$ is the canonical class of the base of the fibration. In particular, if the base is a surface, $S$ is a curve of genus

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(S)=1+6 K^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, as for the $\mathrm{SO}(6), \mathrm{SO}(5)$, and $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-models [19], the divisor $S$ can never be a rational curve. Thus this model will always have matter in the adjoint representation in a compactification of M-theory to a five-dimensional gauge theory or F-theory to a six-dimensional gauge theory.

### 1.2 Minimal models, hyperplane arrangement, and flops

A compactification of M-theory on a Calalabi-Yau threefold gives a five-dimensional supergravity theory with eight supercharges $(\mathcal{N}=1)$ [7,34]. In the Coulomb regime, there may be multiple phases separated by hyperplanes on which massive hypermultiplets become massless [39,58]. The chamber structure of the Coulomb phases is due to the presence of absolute values that appear in the one-loop quantum correction to the prepotential that controls the dynamics of gauge fields in an $\mathcal{N}=15 \mathrm{D}$ gauge theory [39]. It is then natural to introduce an explicit hyperplane arrangement [14, 15, 31, 32] to study the structure of the Coulomb phases.

Definition 1.1 (The hyperplane arrangement $\mathrm{I}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ ). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semi-simple Lie algebra and $\mathbf{R}$ a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. The hyperplane arrangement $I(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ is defined inside the dual fundamental Weyl chamber of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Its walls are the kernels of the weights of the representation $\mathbf{R}$.

The chambers of $\mathrm{I}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ correspond to the Coulomb phases of an $\mathcal{N}=15$ D gauge theory with gauge algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and matter transforming in the representation $\mathbf{R}$ of $\mathfrak{g}[31,32,37,39]$.

Crepant resolutions of a Weierstrass model are minimal models over the Weierstrass model. We construct explicitly the minimal models over the Weierstrass model of equation (1.3) by giving explicit crepant resolutions. In F-theory, the duality with five-dimensional gauge theories with eight supercharges provides a one-to-one correspondence between the Coulomb phases of a gauge theory with gauge algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and hypermultiplets transforming in representation $\mathbf{R}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the minimal models of a Weierstrass model corresponding to a $G$-model with an associated representation $\mathbf{R}$. We show that the representation associated to the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model considered in this paper is ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=3_{0} \oplus 2_{-1} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is the adjoint representation of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ with zero $\mathrm{U}(1)$-charge, and $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{- 1}}$ is the fundamental representation of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ with $\mathrm{U}(1)$-charge $-1 / 2$. The $\mathrm{U}(1)$-charge can be normalized to -1 .

This $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model provides a unique opportunity to have a hard check, in the case of a Mordell-Weil group with a nonzero rank, on the conjecture that the chamber structure of the hyperplane arrangement $\mathrm{I}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ gives the geography of the extended Kähler-cone of a $G$-model with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and associated representation $\mathbf{R}$. Indeed, we can leverage the description of the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model as a suspended pinch point to fully control the structure of its crepant resolutions and compare it with the hyperplane arrangement $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{1}, \mathbf{R}\right)$.

One might think that the chamber structure of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model is given by the four chambers of the hyperplane arrangement $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{2}, \wedge^{2} \oplus V\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{2}, \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{2}\right)$ [14]. However, that is not what is supported by the geometry. Since the suspended pinch point famously has three crepant resolutions connected by flops, we can anticipate that the same is true for the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model. We will show it explicitly via resolution of singularities and computing geometric weights of rational curves appearing over codimension-two points. The walls separating the chambers are given by the geometric weights of the singular fibers and the $U(1)$-charge is computed also by intersection theory, using the Shioda-Tate-Wazir map [56]. All the hyperplane arrangements $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{1}, \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)$ with $\mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{0}$ have identical geographies: they have three chambers whose incidence graph is a Dynkin diagram of type $\mathrm{A}_{3}$. The degenerate case $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{0}$ has a unique chamber.
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Figure 1: Hyperplane arrangements $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{2}, \wedge^{2} \oplus V\right)$ (on the left) and $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ (on the right).

In Section 5, we compute the Euler characteristic of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model $Y$. In the case of a Calabi-Yau threefold, we also compute the Hodge numbers. These data can be used to analyze anomaly cancellations in the six-dimensional theory obtained by a compactification of F-theory on a threefold $Y$ or to compute the D3 tadpole on a fourfold $Y$.

### 1.3 Weak coupling limit and tadpole matching condition

The $j$-invariant has the following Fourrier $q$-expansion $(q=\exp (2 \pi i \tau))$ with a simple pole at the cusp:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\frac{1}{q}+744+196884 q+21493760 q^{2}+\cdots \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is the half-period of the elliptic curve. In type IIB string theory, $\tau$ is the axio-dilaton field [54]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=C_{0}+i e^{-\phi} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}$ is the type IIB axion and $\phi$ is the dilaton. The expectation value of $e^{\phi}$ is the string coupling $g_{s}$. When the string coupling becomes small, the $j$-invariant becomes infinite. This is called the weak coupling limit $[1,2,8,9,29,54]$. It is interesting to consider the weak coupling limit of the elliptic fibration introduced in equation (1.3). As explained in Section 6, we can define a weak coupling limit of the Weierstrass model of (1.3) as follows:

$$
s \rightarrow \epsilon s, \quad t \rightarrow h .
$$

In that limit, we define the double cover $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ of the base $B$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: \xi^{2}-h=0, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a section of $\mathscr{L}$ and the equation $\xi^{2}-h=0$ is written in the total space of the line bundle $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$. In the weak coupling limit, we get the following brane spectrum:

- an orientifold wrapping the divisor $O: \xi=0$
- two brane-image-brane wrapping the divisor $O: \xi=0$
- two invariant branes wrapping a smooth divisor $S=V(s)$ transverse to the orientifold.

We show that this configuration satisfies the matching conditions between the D3 charge as computed in M-theory and in type IIB in absence of fluxes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \chi(Y)=4 \chi(O)+2 \chi(O)+2 \chi(\bar{S}) . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also show that this is a byproduct of a general identity true at the level of the Chow group:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{*} c(Y)=3 \rho_{*}(O)+\rho_{*}(\bar{S}), \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi: Y \rightarrow B$ is the elliptic fibration and $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ is the double cover of the base branched at $h=0$. That is particularly remarkable as only a handful of configurations are known to satisfy the tadpole matching condition without fluxes and its version at the level of Chow groups [1,2,9,13,25].

## 2 Preliminaries

## $2.1 \quad G$-models

The F-theory algorithm provides a set of rules to attach to an elliptic fibration a triple ( $G, \mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{g}$ ) where $G$ is a reductive Lie group, $\mathbf{R}$ is a representation of $G$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $G$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ depends on the type of the dual graphs of the singular fibers over the generic points of the discriminant locus of the elliptic fibration. The weights of the representation $\mathbf{R}$ are computed geometrically via intersection between rational curves that compose singular fibers over codimensiontwo points, and fibral divisors ${ }^{2}$.

An elliptic fibration associated via the F-theory dictionary to a gauge group $G$ is called a $G$ model. In a $G$-model with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a representation $\mathbf{R}$, the pair ( $\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R}$ ) defines a hyperplane arrangement $\mathrm{I}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ that controls several aspects of the birational geometry of the elliptic fibration such as the structure of its flops. The incidence graph of the chambers of the hyperplane arrangement $\mathrm{I}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ is conjectured to match the geography of Coulomb phases of a five-dimensional supergravity theory with eight supercharges $(\mathcal{N}=1)$ and hypermultiplets transforming in the representation $\mathbf{R}$ of the gauge group $G$.
$G$-models are generally studied from a singular Weierstrass model satisfying the conditions of Tate's algorithm so as to have the appropriate fibers over generic points of the discriminant locus and produce the dual graph of the Dynkin diagram of the Lie algebra of the Langland dual of $G$. The smooth geometry is derived by a crepant resolution of singularities. Crepant resolutions are not unique when the elliptic fibration has dimension three or higher, however. Distinct crepant resolutions are connected by a sequence of flops. The structure defined by this network of flops can be predicted by studying the chamber structure of the hyperplane arrangement $I(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ defined within the dual fundamental Weyl chamber of $\mathfrak{g}$ with interior walls given by the kernel of the weights of the representation $\mathbf{R}$.

Once the geometry is well-understood, it is possible to study in detail the cancellation of anomalies in an F-theory compactification on a $G$-model, and compute the prepotential in the Coulomb

[^1]regime of the five-dimensional theory obtained by a compactification of M-theory on the same $G$ model. Several important $G$-models are studied along these lines. The $\operatorname{SU}(2), \mathrm{SU}(3)$, and $\mathrm{SU}(4)$, models are treated in [31]. The $\operatorname{SU}(5)$-model is discussed in [32, 33]. The $\operatorname{Spin}(7), \operatorname{Spin}(8)$, and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-models are analyzed in [16], the $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-model in [21], the $\mathrm{E}_{7}$-model in [22]. The $\mathrm{SO}(3), \mathrm{SO}(5)$, and $\mathrm{SO}(6)$-models have been studied recently in [19]. The semi-simple cases of rank 2 or 3 with two simple components have also been analyzed recently: the $\operatorname{Spin}(4)$ and $\operatorname{SO}(4)$-model is analyzed in [24], the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{G}_{2}$ in [23], the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{Sp}(4)$ in [17], and the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}(3)$-model in [18].

All of the models listed above confirm that the hyperplane arrangement $I(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$ correctly predicts the geography of the flops of a $G$-model with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and representation R. However, they all have a Mordell-Weil group of rank zero. The case of a Mordell-Weil group of nonzero rank has yet to be explicitly considered.

### 2.2 The group $\mathbf{S U}(2) \times \mathbf{U}(1)$

The group $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ is the group of smallest rank among compact, connected, reductive Lie groups with both a non-Abelian and an Abelian sector. It is also one of the most celebrated in theoretical physics as it is the gauge group of the electroweak sector of the Standard Model of particle physics. In the study of supersymmetric gauge theories in five-dimensional space-time with eight supercharges, the group $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ appears in the exceptional series of field theories with $N_{f}$ quark flavors and strongly coupled non-trivial fixed points studied by Morrison and Seiberg [47]:

$$
E_{8}, E_{7}, E_{6}, E_{5}=\operatorname{Spin}(10), E_{4}=\mathrm{SU}(5), E_{3}=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3), E_{2}=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1), E_{1}=\mathrm{SU}(2) .
$$

We emphasize that the group $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ is not globally isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{U}(2)$. There are strong geometric and physical arguments that $\mathrm{U}(2)$ is the more natural group for the electroweak theory. The group $U(2)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ quotient of $S U(2) \times U(1)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is generated by minus the identity element of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$. Both groups share the same underlying Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{1}$ :

$$
U(2) \cong(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \quad \mathfrak{u}_{2} \cong \mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{1}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2} \cong\{-\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}\} .
$$

Not all representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ project to well-defined representations of $\mathrm{U}(2)$. Continuous representations of $U(2)$ are the same as continuous representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ for which $-\mathbb{I}$ is mapped to the identity.

Matter in representations $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{ \pm \mathrm{m}}$ of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ can be obtained as follows. The branching rules for the decomposition of the adjoint representations of $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ and $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ along $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ are [39]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \rightarrow 3_{0} \oplus 2_{ \pm 2} \oplus 1_{0}, \quad 10 \rightarrow 3_{0} \oplus 2_{ \pm 1} \oplus 1_{ \pm 2} \oplus 1_{0} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The suspended pinch point as an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model

In this section, we explain in some detail how the suspended pinch point gives an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model. We motivate our analysis by first considering a Whitney umbrella as a fibration of nodal curves. The SPP elliptic fibration is then derived by a suspension. Finally, we study the resulting geometry as a Weierstrass model whose Mordell-Weil group, discriminant, and $j$-invariant provide enough information to determine uniquely the associated group as an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model.

### 3.1 The Whitney umbrella as a fibration of nodal cubics

The Whitney umbrella is a rational surface, singular along a line of double points that worsen to a pinch point at the origin. Its defining equation is $k\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right] /\left(x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1} x_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a classical result that any smooth, complex, projective surface is birational to a surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with only ordinary singularities: a curve of double points, pinch points and triple points [36, pages 616-618]. As a binomial variety with singularities in codimension-one, it is an example of a nonnormal toric surface. The Whitney umbrella appears naturally in F-theory: Sen's weak coupling limit of F-theory is an orientifold theory with a seven-brane wrapping a complex surface with the singularities of a Whitney umbrella.

Since the equation of a Whitney umbrella is cubic, it is tempting to interpret it as a fibration of curves of arithmetic genus one. It is natural to interpret a Whitney umbrella as an elliptic fibration: a Whitney umbrella is the surface swept by a nodal curve moving along a line. Then the singular fiber of this fibration is a cuspidal cubic at the origin. Under the linear transformation, $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow(y, x+t, x)$, the Whitney umbrella becomes the following singular Weierstrass model

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}: y^{2}-x^{3}-t x^{2}=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We think of $W_{0}$ as a fibration over the line parametrized by $t$. However, $W_{0}$ is not an elliptic fibration in the formal sense since its generic fiber is not a smooth elliptic curve but a nodal curve. We can fix it by a deformation that will force the generic fiber to be a smooth elliptic curve. If we consider a deformation parameter $s$ together with the parameter $t$ as defining a surface for the base of the fibration, we are naturally led to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{\prime}: y^{2}-x^{3}-t x^{2}-s=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variety $\mathrm{W}_{0}^{\prime}$ is now an elliptic threefold over the plane parametrized by $(s, t)$. We have increased the dimension of the base, but have lost the connection to the Whitney umbrella, however.

Interestingly, there is a satisfying solution to this problem if we do a base change that replaces the new deformation variable $s$ by its square $s^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}: \quad y^{2}-x^{3}-t x^{2}-s^{2}=0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a base change is similar to the one famously performed by Atiyah to obtain the threefold known as the conifold singularity in string theory and which gave the first example of a flop [4]. What we end up with in our case is isomorphic to a singular threefold called the suspended pinch point whose normal equation is the binomial variety:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}: \quad x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Suspension

Definition 3.1 (Suspension). Given a hypersurface singularity $X$ defined by an equation $f=0$ in the polynomial ring $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, a suspension of $X$ is any hypersurface singularity with equation $f+y_{1}^{2}+\cdots+y_{k}^{2}$ in the extended polynomial ring $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right]$.

The suspension of the Whitney umbrella by one variable is called the suspended pinch point. Its defining equation after a trivial linear redefinition of the coordinates is exactly $Z_{0}$. Just as the suspended pinch point is the first suspension of the Whitney umbrella, the conifold singularity $\left(x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3}\right)$ is the first suspension of an $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ singularity $\left(x y-t^{2}\right)$ after a trivial change of variables.


Figure 2: Crepant resolutions and partial resolutions of the suspended pinch point $Z_{0}: x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0$. The suspended pinch point is at the bottom row. The three crepant resolutions are on the top row. The four partial resolutions are in the middle row. The external varieties of the middle row have the singularities of a cylindrical quadratic cone $k[x, y, z, t] /\left(z^{2}-x y\right)$ while the others have singularities of a cone over a quadric surface (quadric threefold with a double point) $k[x, y, z, t] /(x y-z t)$.

### 3.3 Weierstrass form, codimension-one singular fibers, and Mordell-Weil group

The suspended pinch point is a normal toric variety singular in codimension two along the ideal $x_{0}=x_{1}=x_{3}=0$. This singularity has three distinct crepant resolutions connected by Atiyah flops.

By performing the shift $x \rightarrow x-t / 3$, the defining equation of the Weierstrass model $Y_{0}$ takes the form of a Weierstrass model

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+f x+g, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Weierstrass coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=-\frac{t^{2}}{3}, \quad g=s^{2}+\frac{2}{27} t^{3} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we can read off the discriminant $\Delta=4 f^{3}+27 g^{2}$ and $j$-invariant $j=1728 \cdot 4 f^{2} / \Delta$ [11]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=s^{2}\left(4 t^{3}+27 s^{2}\right), \quad j=-\frac{2^{8} t^{6}}{s^{2}\left(4 t^{3}+27 s^{2}\right)} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reduced discriminant locus is composed of two prime divisors

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=V(s) \text { and } \quad \Delta^{\prime}=V\left(4 t^{3}+27 s^{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

While $S$ is smooth, $\Delta^{\prime}$ has cuspidal singularities at $V(t, s)$, which is also the intersection of the two divisors. The valuation of $f, g, \Delta$ over $S$ is $(0,0,2)$, so Tate's algorithm tells us that we have a singular fiber of Kodaira type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ over the generic point of $S$.

Over a generic point, the valuation of $f, g, \Delta$ with respect to $\Delta^{\prime}$ is $(0,0,1)$ so it is of Kodaira type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$.

We note that the elliptic fibration has non-trivial rational sections

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{ \pm}: \quad x=y \pm s=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two sections correspond to opposite non-torsion elements of the Mordell-Weil group. Thus, the Mordell-Weil group is nontrivial with nonzero rank, that is generically one.

## 4 Crepant resolutions and flops

In this section, we study the crepant resolutions of the SPP elliptic fibration. As the SPP elliptic fibration can be written algebraically as a suspended pinch point, we anticipate that there are three distinct crepant resolutions forming a 3 -chain worth of flops. The blowups used to describe the crepant resolutions of the suspended pinch points are usually centered at (non-Cartier) divisors as reviewed in Figure 3. However, for an elliptic fibration with a fiber of type $I_{2}$, we can also resolve the variety with a unique blowup centered on the singular locus $V(x, y, s)$ [31]. We will study these four resolutions and match them accordingly.


Figure 3: The three crepant resolutions of the suspended pinch point $Z_{0}: x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0$. In the blowup defining $Z_{1}, e_{1}=0$ is the exceptional locus of the previous blowup defining $\tilde{Z}_{0}$, i.e. $e_{1}$ is the exceptional locus of the blowup of $Z_{0}$ centered at $x_{0}=x_{3}=0$.

### 4.1 A one-blowup crepant resolution

In this section, we resolve the singularities of the SPP elliptic fibration with one blowup centered on the support of the singular scheme. We start from

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=-y^{2}+x^{3}+t x^{2}+s^{2}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined over a base $B$, where $S=V(s)$ and $T=V(t)$ are two smooth Cartier divisors of $B$. The elliptic fibration is defined in the ambient space $X_{0}=\mathbb{P}_{B}\left[\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 3} \oplus \mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{B}\right]$. The singular locus is the ideal $(x, y, s)$. We perform the blowup centered at the ideal $(x, y, s)$ [31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0} \stackrel{\left(x, y, s \mid e_{1}\right)}{\longleftarrow} X_{1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving $F_{0}=e_{1}^{2} F_{1}$ with proper transform $F_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=-y_{1}^{2}+e_{1} x_{1}^{3}+t x_{1}^{2}+s_{1}^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The blowup introduces a $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-projective bundle over the subvariety $V(x, y, s)$ of $X_{0}$ and the projective coordinates of the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle are $\left[x_{1}: y_{1}: s_{1}\right]$. Moreover, to follow the change in the fiber structure of the elliptic fibration, we note that after the blowup we have $s=e_{1} s_{1}$. We check by working in patches that this blowup provides a full resolution of all singularities. Moreover, since the blowup is a regular sequence of length three and the singularity is a double point, the canonical class does not change. Thus, by definition, the resolution is crepant.

The fibral divisors are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{0}: s_{1}=-y_{1}^{2}+e_{1} x_{1}^{3}+t x_{1}^{2}=0,  \tag{4.4}\\
D_{1}: e_{1}=-y_{1}^{2}+t x_{1}^{2}+s_{1}^{2}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We denote by $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ the generic fibers of these fibral divisors. Over a generic point of $S, C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ intersect as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}=s=y_{1}^{2}-t x_{1}^{2}=0, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds geometrically (i.e. after a field extension to allow us to consider the square root of $t$ ) to two distinct points $y= \pm \sqrt{t} x_{1}$. We thus find the fiber is of type $I_{2}^{\text {ns }}$ with dual graph the affine Dynkin diagram $\tilde{A}_{1}$.

We expect the fiber to degenerate over $V(s, t)$, the intersection of $S$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$. When $t=0$, we see that $C_{0}$ is irreducible, while $C_{1}$ splits into two lines:

$$
\left(S \cap \Delta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \begin{cases}C_{0} & \rightarrow C_{0},  \tag{4.6}\\ C_{1} & \rightarrow C_{1}^{+}+C_{1}^{-},\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{ \pm}: e_{1}=\left(s_{1} \pm y_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the fiber over the generic point of $S \cap \Delta^{\prime}$ is composed of three rational curves all meeting at the point $e_{1}=s_{1}=y_{1}=0$ and forming in this way a fiber of type $\mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{s}}$. Thus, the collision of $S$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ defines the following enhancement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{ns}} \rightarrow \mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{s}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intersection diagram of the fiber components form the nodes of the affine Dynkin diagram $\tilde{A}_{2}$. Figure 4 illustrates the fiber structure of the $\operatorname{SPP} \operatorname{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model.

The fibral divisor $D_{0}$ is a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_{S}\left[\mathscr{O}_{S} \oplus \mathscr{L}\right]$ over $S$. The fibral divisor $D_{1}$ is a conic bundle over $S$ with discriminant supported on $S \cap V(t)$. The generic fiber of $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ over $S$ is a smooth conic that splits $\left(S \cap \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ into two lines meeting transversally at one point. The conic bundle can be described in this case as the vanishing locus of a section of $\mathscr{O}(2) \otimes \mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{S}\left[\mathscr{O}_{S} \oplus \mathscr{L} \oplus \mathscr{L}\right]$.

The geometric weight of the curve $\mathrm{C}_{1}^{ \pm}$with respect to $\left(D_{0}, D_{1}\right)$ is $\left(C_{1}^{ \pm} \cdot D_{0}, C_{1}^{ \pm} \cdot D_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varpi\left(C_{1}^{ \pm}\right)=(1,-1), \quad \varpi\left(C_{0}\right)=(-2,2), \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that $C_{1}^{ \pm}$has weight $\varpi_{1}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{s u}_{2}$ and we get the fundamental representation 2 for $\operatorname{SU}(2)$.

We will now determine the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ charge of $C_{1}^{ \pm}$. To prepare the stage, we first review how the sections change after the blowup. The center of the blowup is $(x, y, s)$, which is exactly the intersection of the two sections $\Sigma^{ \pm}$. Before the blowup, $\Sigma^{ \pm}: x=y \pm s=0$. The total transform of $\Sigma^{ \pm}$ is then $e_{1} x_{1}=e_{1}\left(y_{1} \pm s_{1}\right)=0$, which consists of two components: the divisor $D_{1}$ given by $V\left(e_{1}\right)$ and the proper transform is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1}^{ \pm}: \quad x_{1}=y_{1} \pm s_{1}=0 . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4: Fiber structure of the elliptic fibration $Y_{0}: y^{2} z-x^{3}-t x^{2} z-s^{2} z^{3}=0$ after a crepant resolution. Here $S=V(s)$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=4 t^{3}+27 s^{2}$.

The proper transform $\Sigma_{1}^{ \pm}$intersects $D_{1}$ transversally at $e_{1}=x_{1}=y_{1} \pm s_{1}=0$, which is a point of $C_{1}^{ \pm}$. The proper transforms $\Sigma_{1}^{+}$and $\Sigma_{1}^{-}$do not intersect $C_{0}$ nor each other.

To compute the $\mathrm{U}(1)$-charge of $C_{1}^{ \pm}$, we determine the divisor $\Gamma$ that is the image of $\Sigma_{1}^{ \pm}$under the Shioda map, which is essentially an orthogonal projection. In the present case, one can check that the following divisor does the job:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\Sigma_{1}^{+}-\Sigma_{0}-\pi^{*} \pi_{*}\left[\left(\Sigma_{1}^{+}-\Sigma_{0}\right) \cdot \Sigma_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{2} D_{1}=\Sigma_{1}^{+}-\Sigma_{0}-\pi^{*} L+\frac{1}{2} D_{1} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The divisor $\Gamma$ satisfies all the conditions required for the Shioda-Tate-Wazir map [45]: vanishing intersection with the generic fiber, vanishing intersection with any fibral divisor, vanishing intersection with any class pulled back from the base. The sections $\Sigma_{1}^{+}$and $\Sigma_{0}$ do not intersect, the components $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ project to points in the base. We can now compute the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ charge of $C_{1}^{ \pm}$ as an intersection number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma \cdot C_{1}^{ \pm}= \pm 1 / 2 . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will write the $U(1)$ charges in terms of units of this charge, we use $2 \Gamma$ to ensure that the $\mathrm{U}(1)$-charge is integral. Thus, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, 2 \Gamma\right) \cdot C_{1}^{ \pm}=(1,-1, \pm 1) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2 Crepant resolution $Z_{1}$

Starting from the expression for the suspended pinch point in (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}: x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we would now like to resolve the singularity of the elliptic fibration. We will follow the blowup sequences depicted in Figure 3, beginning with the one that leads to $Z_{1}$ here.

We first perform the blowup centered at the ideal $\left(x_{0}, x_{3}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0} \stackrel{\left(x_{0}, x_{3} \mid e_{1}\right)}{\longleftarrow} \tilde{Z}_{0} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving $Z_{0}=e_{1} \tilde{Z}_{0}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}_{0}=x_{0} x_{1}-e_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in what follows we will exert a slight abuse of notation by using the same variable name for the $x_{i}$ both before and after any blowup. The blowup introduces a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-projective bundle over the subvariety $V\left(x_{0}, x_{3}\right)$ and the projective coordinates of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle are $\left[x_{0}: x_{3}\right]$.

We then perform a second blowup centered at the ideal $\left(x_{0}, e_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0} \stackrel{\left(x_{0}, x_{3} \mid e_{1}\right)}{\longleftarrow} \tilde{Z}_{0} \stackrel{\left(x_{0}, e_{1} \mid e_{2}\right)}{\longleftarrow} Z_{1} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two blowups add up to the following birational transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, e_{1} x_{3}\right), \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the projective coordinates of the full resolution are $\left[e_{2} x_{0}: x_{3}\right]\left[x_{0}: e_{1}\right]$. The total transform of $Z_{0}$ is $Z_{0}=e_{1} e_{2}^{2} Z_{1}$, where $Z_{1}$ is its proper transform given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=x_{0} x_{1}-e_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{2} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This blowup introduces another $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-projective bundle over the subvariety $V\left(x_{0}, e_{1}\right)$. One can check that that this blowup provides a full resolution of all singularities. The blowup is trivially crepant as we only blowup smooth divisors.

We will now understand the geometry of this crepant resolution from the point of view of the elliptic fibration. We first recall the transformed coordinates before the two blowups:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=y-s, x_{1}=y+s x_{2}=x+t, x_{3}=x,  \tag{4.20}\\
x=x_{3}, \quad y=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right), \quad s=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right), \quad t=x_{2}-x_{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We note that the singular locus $V(y, s, t)$ is $V\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$, the section $\Sigma^{-}=V(y-s, x)$ is $V\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$, the section $\Sigma^{+}=V(y+s, x)$ is $V\left(x_{0}, x_{3}\right)$, and the divisor $S=V(s)$ is $V\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$. We also recall that the singular locus is the intersection $\Sigma^{+} \cap \Sigma^{-}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma^{+}=V(y+s, x)=V\left(x_{0}, x_{3}\right), \quad \Sigma^{-}=V(y-s, x)=V\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right),  \tag{4.21}\\
& S=V(s)=V\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right), \quad V(s, t)=V\left(x_{1}-x_{0}, x_{2}-x_{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that the center of the first blowup is the section $\Sigma^{+}$while the center of the second blowup is the intersection of the exceptional locus of the first blowup with the section $\Sigma^{-}$.

After the two blowups, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=e_{1} e_{2} x_{3}  \tag{4.22}\\
y=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1} e_{2}^{2} x_{0}+x_{1}\right) \\
s=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2}^{2} x_{0}\right) \\
t=x_{2}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So that when $s=0, x_{1}=e_{1} e_{2}^{2} x_{0}$ and $Z_{1}$ factors into two components

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=e_{1}\left(e_{2}^{2} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the fibral divisors over $S$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{0}: x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2}^{2} x_{0}=e_{2}^{2} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0  \tag{4.24}\\
D_{1}: x_{1}=e_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We denote by $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ the generic fiber of the fibral divisor $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$, respectively. Over a generic point of $S, C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ intersect as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=e_{1}=e_{2}^{2} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds geometrically (i.e. after a field extension to allow us to consider the square root of $t$ ) to two distinct points $e_{2} x_{0}= \pm \sqrt{x_{2}} x_{3}$. We thus find the fiber is of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\text {ns }}$ with dual graph the affine Dynkin diagram $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}$.

We expect the fiber to degenerate further over $V(s, t)$, the intersection of $S$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$. When $t=0$, we have $x_{2}=e_{1} e_{2} x_{3}$ and the second equation defining $C_{0}$ factors as $e_{2}\left(x_{0}^{2}-e_{1} x_{3}^{3}\right)=0$. Thus, we see that $C_{1}$ is irreducible, while $C_{0}$ splits into two lines:

$$
\left(S \cap \Delta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \begin{cases}C_{0} & \rightarrow C_{0}^{\prime}+C_{2},  \tag{4.26}\\ C_{1} & \rightarrow C_{1},\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{\prime}: x_{1}=x_{2}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{3}=e_{2} x_{0}^{2}-e_{1} x_{3}^{3}=0, \quad C_{2}: x_{1}=x_{2}=e_{2}=0 . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the fiber over the generic point of $S \cap \Delta^{\prime}$ is composed of three rational curves all meeting at the point $V\left(s, t, e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ and forming in this way a fiber of type $\mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{s}}$. Thus, the collision of $S$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ defines the following enhancement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{ns}} \rightarrow \mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{s}} . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The geometric weight of the curve $C_{1}$ is $(2,-2)$ while the geometric weight of $C_{2}$ is $(-1,1)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varpi\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\varpi\left(C_{2}\right)=(-1,1), \quad \varpi\left(C_{1}\right)=(2,-2) . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice that $\varpi\left(C_{2}\right)$ does not touch the zero section and has exactly the opposite weight we got for the resolution of section 4.1. We can conclude that the two are connected by a flop.

### 4.3 Crepant resolution $Z_{2}$

To perform the second blowup in Figure 3, we follow the above steps up to equation (4.16) after which we perform an alternate blowup, centered at the ideal $\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0} \stackrel{\left(x_{0}, x_{3} \mid e_{1}\right)}{\longleftarrow} \tilde{Z}_{0} \stackrel{\left(x_{0}, x_{2} \mid e_{2}\right)}{\longleftarrow} Z_{2} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving $Z_{0}=e_{1} e_{2} Z_{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2}=x_{0} x_{1}-e_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{2} . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This blowup introduces another $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-projective bundle over the subvariety $V\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$ of $X$. The projective coordinates of the full resolution are $\left[e_{2} x_{0}: x_{3}\right]\left[x_{0}: x_{2}\right]$. One can check that this blowup provides a full resolution of all singularities and is crepant.

In terms of the transformed coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \rightarrow y-s, \quad x_{1} \rightarrow y+s, \quad x_{2} \rightarrow x+t, \quad x_{3} \rightarrow x, \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that after these blowups, under which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}, x_{1}, e_{2} x_{2}, e_{1} x_{3}\right) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}+x_{1}\right)  \tag{4.34}\\
s=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}\right) \\
x=e_{1} x_{3} \\
t=e_{2} x_{2}-e_{1} x_{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So that when $s=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2}=e_{1}\left(e_{2} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fibral divisors are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{0}: x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}=e_{2} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0  \tag{4.36}\\
D_{1}: x_{1}=e_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We denote by $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ the generic fibers of these fibral divisors. Over a generic point of $S, C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ intersect as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=e_{1}=e_{2} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds geometrically to two distinct points. We thus find the fiber is of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\text {ns }}$ with dual graph the affine Dynkin diagram $\tilde{A}_{1}$.

We expect the fiber to degenerate over $V(s, t)$, the intersection of $S$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(\text { at } t=0): x_{1}=e_{1}=e_{2} x_{2}=0 \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the projective coordinates $\left[x_{0}: x_{2}\right]\left[e_{2} x_{0}: x_{3}\right]$. The first $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ only appears over the exceptional $e_{2}=0$ which would imply $t=0$. So, away from $t=0$ we have the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ parameterized by $\left[e_{2} x_{0}: x_{3}\right]$. When $t=e_{2} x_{2}=0$ we have a union of two lines $\left[x_{0}: x_{2}\right][0: 1]$ and $[1: 0]\left[e_{2}: x_{3}\right]$. Meanwhile

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(\text { at } t=0): x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}=e_{2} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=e_{2} x_{2}-e_{1} x_{3}=0 . \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t=0$, we thus see that $C_{0}$ is irreducible, while $C_{1}$ splits into two lines:

$$
\left(S \cap \Delta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \begin{cases}C_{0} & \rightarrow C_{0}  \tag{4.40}\\ C_{1} & \rightarrow C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{12}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{12}: s=e_{1}=e_{2}=0, \quad C_{1}^{\prime}: s=e_{1}=x_{2}=0 . \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

All three curves $\left(C_{0}, C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{12}\right)$ meet at a single point $s=e_{1}=e_{2}=x_{2}=0$. Thus, they form a fiber of type $\mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{s}}$ and the collision of $S$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ defines the following enhancement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{ns}} \rightarrow \mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{s}} \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The geometric weights of the curves $C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{12}$ with respect to the fibral divisors $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varpi\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\varpi\left(C_{12}\right)=(1,-1), \quad \varpi\left(C_{0}\right)=(-2,2) . \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4 Crepant resolution $Z_{3}$

Performing the third sequence of blowups in Figure 3, we begin with a blowup centered at the ideal $\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0} \stackrel{\left(x_{0}, x_{2} \mid e_{1}\right)}{\leftrightarrows} \tilde{Z}_{0}^{\prime} \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving $Z_{0}=e_{1} \tilde{Z}_{0}^{\prime}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2} \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The blowup introduces a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-projective bundle over the subvariety $V\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$ and the projective coordinates of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle are $\left[x_{0}: x_{2}\right]$.

We then perform a second blowup centered at the ideal $\left(x_{0}, x_{3}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0} \stackrel{\left(x_{0}, x_{2} \mid e_{1}\right)}{\leftrightarrows} \tilde{Z}_{0}^{\prime} \stackrel{\left(x_{0}, x_{3} \mid e_{2}\right)}{\longleftarrow} Z_{2} \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving $Z_{0}=e_{1} e_{2} Z_{3}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=x_{0} x_{1}-e_{2} x_{2} x_{3}^{2} . \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

This blowup introduces another $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-projective bundle over the subvariety $V\left(x_{0}, x_{3}\right)$ of $X$. The projective coordinates of the full resolution are $\left[e_{2} x_{0}: x_{2}\right]\left[x_{0}: x_{3}\right]$. One can check that that this blowup provides a full resolution of all singularities and is crepant.

In terms of the transformed coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \rightarrow y-s, \quad x_{1} \rightarrow y+s, \quad x_{2} \rightarrow x+t, \quad x_{3} \rightarrow x, \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that after these blowups, under which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}, x_{1}, e_{1} x_{2}, e_{2} x_{3}\right) \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=e_{2} x_{3}  \tag{4.50}\\
y=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}+x_{1}\right) \\
s=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}\right) \\
t=e_{1} x_{2}-e_{2} x_{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

When $s=0, Z_{3}$ factors into two components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=e_{2}\left(e_{1} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the fibral divisors are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{0}: x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}=e_{1} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0  \tag{4.52}\\
D_{1}: x_{1}=e_{2}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We denote by $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ the generic fibers of these fibral divisors. Over the generic point of $S, C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are rational curves. But as we shall see $C_{0}$ degenerates after a specialization.

Over a generic point of $S, C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ intersect as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=e_{2}=e_{1} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0 \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds geometrically to two distinct points. We thus find the fiber is of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{ns}}$ with dual graph the affine Dynkin diagram $\tilde{A}_{1}$.

We expect the fiber to degenerate over $V(s, t)$, the intersection of $S$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$. When $t=0$, we see that $C_{1}: x_{1}=e_{1}=e_{2}=0$ is irreducible, while $C_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}: x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}=e_{1} x_{2}-e_{2} x_{3}=e_{1} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0 . \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider a particular linear combination of the second and third equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x_{0}^{2}\left(e_{1} x_{2}-e_{2} x_{3}\right)+x_{2}\left(e_{1} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)=x_{3}\left(e_{2} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2}^{2} x_{3}\right)=0 . \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that over $S \cap \Delta^{\prime}$, the curve $C_{0}$ becomes reducible. We note that $x_{3}=0 \mathrm{implies}^{3}$ that $x_{3}=e_{1}=x_{1}=0$, which is a rational curve. Hence, we get

$$
\left(S \cap \Delta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \begin{cases}C_{0} & \rightarrow C_{0}^{\prime}+C_{2}  \tag{4.56}\\ C_{1} & \rightarrow C_{1},\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{0}^{\prime}: x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}=e_{1} x_{2}-e_{2} x_{3}=x_{2}^{2} x_{3}-x_{0}^{2} e_{2}=e_{1} x_{0}^{2}-x_{2} x_{3}^{2}=0  \tag{4.57}\\
C_{2}: x_{3}=x_{1}=e_{1}=0 \\
C_{1}: e_{2}=x_{1}=e_{1}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The curve $C_{0}^{\prime}$ is the normalization of a cuspidal curve. In the patch $x_{3} \neq 0$ :

$$
x_{1}=\frac{e_{1}^{3} x_{0}^{3}}{x_{3}^{3}}, \quad e_{2}=\frac{e_{1}^{2} x_{0}^{2}}{x_{3}^{3}}, \quad x_{2}=e_{1} \frac{x_{0}^{2}}{x_{3}^{2}} .
$$

All three curves are therefore smooth rational curves and meet at the same point $x_{1}=x_{3}=e_{1}=e_{2}=0$. Thus, they form a fiber of type $\mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{s}}$ and the collision of $S$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ defines the following enhancement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{ns}} \rightarrow \mathrm{IV}_{2}^{\mathrm{s}} . \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The geometric weights of the curves $C_{0}^{\prime}$ and $C_{2}$ with respect to the fibral divisors $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varpi\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\varpi\left(C_{2}\right)=(-1,1), \quad \varpi\left(C_{1}\right)=(2,-2) . \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the weights obtained here are of opposite signs compare to those of $Z_{2}$ but coincide with those of $Z_{1}$.

## 5 Euler characteristic and Hodge numbers

In this section, we compute the Euler characteristic of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model following [20]. We also compute the Hodge numbers in the case of a Calabi-Yau threefold.

Theorem 5.1 (Euler characteristic of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model). Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a line bundle over a projective variety $B$. Consider the projective bundle $X_{0}=\mathbb{P}_{B}\left[\mathscr{O}_{B} \oplus \mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathscr{L}^{\otimes 3}\right]$. Let $Y_{0}$ be the elliptic

[^2]fibration of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model cut by the equation $y^{2} z-\left(x^{3}+t x^{2} z+s^{2} z^{3}\right)=0$ in $X_{0}$. Let $Y$ be a crepant resolution of $Y_{0}$. Then the Euler characteristic of $Y$ is
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi(Y) & =\frac{12 L}{1+3 L} c(T B)  \tag{5.1}\\
& =12 L+12\left(c_{1} L-3 L^{2}\right)+12 L\left(c_{2}-3 c_{1} L+9 L^{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $c(T B)$ is the total Chern class of the base $B$ and $L=c_{1}(\mathscr{L}), c_{1}$ is written for $c_{1}(T B)$, and the Euler characteristic is given by the terms of degree $\operatorname{dim} B$.

Proof. Since the Euler characteristic is a k-invariant, it is preserved between two smooth varieties connected by a crepant birational map [5]. Thus, to compute the Euler characteristic of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model, it is enough to compute it for the crepant resolution of our choice. We will use the crepant resolution of Section 4 . The center of the blowup is exactly the same as for an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-model with the specialization $[S]=3 L$. The Euler characteristic of an $\operatorname{SU}(2)$-model is [20, §5]:

$$
\chi(Y)=6 \frac{3 L S+2 L-S^{2}}{(S+1)(1+6 L-2 S)} c(T B) .
$$

We get the Euler characteristic of an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model by substituting $S \rightarrow 3 L$ in the previous formula.

Remark 5.2. We note that this Euler characteristic matches that of the crepant resolution of a generic Weierstrass-model with Mordell-Weil group $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}[53, \S 7.3]$ corresponding to the PSU(3)model [28, $\S 2.5]$ and of an elliptic fibration of type $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ (see [2, Theorem 4.3] or [28]).

In the Calabi-Yau case, we have $c_{1}=L$, which gives the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(Y)=12 c_{1}-24 c_{1}^{2}+12\left(6 c_{1}^{3}+c_{1} c_{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using motivitic integration, Kontsevich proved in [43] that birational equivalent Calabi-Yau varieties have the same Hodge numbers. We now assume that the variety is a Calabi-Yau threefold. We use the following definition of a Calabi-Yau variety:

Definition 5.3. A Calabi-Yau variety is a smooth compact projective variety $Y$ of dimension $n$ with a trivial canonical class and such that $H^{i}\left(Y, \mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

In the case of a threefold, such a Calabi-Yau has non-trivial Hodge numbers $h^{1,1}(Y)$ and $h^{2,1}(Y)$, and its Euler characteristic satisfies the relation $\chi(Y)=2 h^{1,1}(Y)-2 h^{2,1}(Y)$. Following [20, §4], we have the following Corrollary.

Corrollary 5.4 (Hodge numbers for a Calabi-Yau threefold SPP-model). Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1, if $Y$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold, its Euler characteristic is $\chi(Y)=-24 K^{2}$, where $K$ is the canonical class of the base of the elliptic fibration. Moreover, its Hodge numbers $h^{1,1}(Y)$ and $h^{2,1}(Y)$ are

$$
\chi(Y)=-24 K^{2}, \quad h^{1,1}(Y)=13-K^{2}, \quad h^{2,1}(Y)=13+11 K^{2} .
$$

Proof. We compute $h^{1,1}(Y)$ using the Shioda-Tate-Wazir theorem [56, Corollary 3.2]:

$$
h^{1,1}(Y)=1+h^{1,1}(B)+r+f,
$$

where $r$ is the Mordell-Weil rank and $f$ is the number of geometrically irreducible fibral divisors not touching the zero section of the elliptic fibration. The Calabi-Yau condition forces $B$ to be a rational surface. Denoting the canonical class of $B$ by $K$, Noether's formula gives

$$
h^{1,1}(B)=10-K^{2} .
$$

In the present case, $r=f=1$. We can compute $\mathrm{h}^{1,1}(Y)$ and then use the Euler characteristic $\chi(Y)=2 h^{1,1}(Y)-2 h^{2,1}(Y)$ to compute $h^{2,1}(Y)$. For a Calabi-Yau, the vanishing of the first Chern class implies that $L=-K$.

## 6 Weak coupling limit

### 6.1 Brane geometry at weak coupling

When taking a weak coupling limit, the discriminant locus can split into different components that are wrapped by orientifolds and branes. These branes can be singular and can split further into brane-image-brane pairs in the double cover of the base. The typical situation is the following. Upon a weak coupling limit, the discriminant and the $j$-invariant are at leading order in the deformation parameter $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta & =\epsilon^{2} h^{2+n} \prod_{i}\left(\eta_{i}^{2}-h \psi_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{j}\left(\eta_{j}^{2}-h \chi_{j}\right), \prod_{k} \phi_{k}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right),  \tag{6.1}\\
j & \propto \frac{h^{4-n}}{\epsilon^{2} \prod_{i}\left(\eta_{i}^{2}-h \psi_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{j}\left(\eta_{j}^{2}-h \chi_{j}\right) \prod_{k} \phi_{k}} . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The locus $h=0$ is the orientifold locus as seen from the base of the elliptic fibration and is a section of the line bundle $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$. As $\epsilon$ goes to zero, $j$ goes to infinity and the string couplings goes to zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} j=\infty \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(\tau)=\infty \Longleftrightarrow g_{s}=0 . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orientifold theory is defined by considering the double cover $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ of the base $B$ branched at $h=0$. Explicitly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: \quad \xi^{2}=h . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The involution map $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$, which sends $\xi$ to $-\xi$, can be used to define a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ orientifold symmetry $\Omega(-)^{F_{L}} \sigma$ and the branched locus $\xi=0$ is interpreted as an $O 7$ orientifold. The geometry of Sen's weak coupling limit can be summarized by the following table:

| Name | In the discriminant | In the double cover $X$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Orientifold | $h^{2}$ | $\xi=0$ |
| Whitney brane | $\eta_{i}^{2}-h \chi_{i}$ | $\eta_{i}^{2}-\xi^{2} \chi_{i}=0$ |
| Brane-image-brane pair | $\eta_{j}^{2}-h \psi_{j}^{2}$ | $\left(\eta_{j}+\xi \psi_{j}\right)\left(\eta_{j}-\xi \psi_{j}\right)=0$ |
| Invariant brane | $\phi_{k}$ | $\phi_{k}=0$ |

Table 1: Familiar types of branes found in Sen's weak coupling limit. The Whitney brane is the one observed in Sen's limit of an $E_{8}$ elliptic fibration. It can specialize into a brane-image-brane pair when $\chi$ is a perfect square and into two invariant branes on top of each other when $\chi=0$.

### 6.2 Weak coupling limit and the tadpole matching condition

Following the point of view of [2], the weak coupling limit of an elliptic fibration is a degeneration such that in the limit, the $j$-invariant becomes infinity almost everywhere. An infinite $j$-invariant corresponds to a vanishing imaginary part of $\tau$, which in type IIB string theory means that the string coupling goes to zero: $g_{s} \rightarrow 0$. In a weak coupling limit of F-theory, the discriminant locus can decompose into multiple prime components corresponding to orientifolds and D7-branes.

In compactifications of F-theory on on an elliptically-fibered fourfold $Y \rightarrow B$, the number of D3 branes $\left(N_{D 3}\right)$ is a linear function of the Euler characteristic $\chi(Y)$ of the elliptic fibration $Y$ and the $G_{4}$-flux:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D3 charge in F-theory: } \quad N_{D 3}=\frac{1}{24} \chi(Y)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{Y} G_{4} \wedge G_{4} \text {. } \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a compactification of type IIB string theory on a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orientifold $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ with D7-branes wrapping cycles $D_{i}$, the D3 charge depends on D7-brane fluxes and the Euler characteristics of the cycles $D_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D3 charge in type IIB : } \quad 2 N_{D 3}=\frac{1}{6} \chi(O)+\frac{1}{24} \sum_{i} \chi\left(D_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \int_{D_{i}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{i}^{2}\right), \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O$ is an orientifold, $D_{i}$ are the surfaces wrapped by D7-branes, and $\int_{D_{i}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{i}^{2}\right)$ are fluxes localized on the D7-branes. The trace $\mathbf{t r}$ is taken in the adjoint representation.

Duality between M-theory and type IIB suggests a matching between the D3 charges observed in type IIB and in M-theory [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \chi(Y)-24 \int_{Y} G_{4} \wedge G_{4}=4 \chi(O)+\sum_{i} \chi\left(D_{i}\right)+12 \sum_{i} \int_{D_{i}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{i}^{2}\right) . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For vanishing $G$-fluxes and type IIB fluxes, the matching gives a purely topological relation between Euler characteristics [1,2,9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Tadpole matching condition : } \quad 2 \chi(Y)=4 \chi(O)+\sum_{i} \chi\left(D_{i}\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the curvature contribution to the D3 tadpole in F-theory and type IIB theory do not have to match as a configuration of branes in type IIB can recombine into a different configuration of branes with a different curvature contribution to the D3 charge but with the difference compensated by fluxes [9].

In Sen's limit, there is only one divisor $D$ which is singular and the meaning of its Euler characteristic was explained in $[1,9]$. The tadpole matching condition is shown to work in a few known cases: Sen's original limit $[1,9]$, the $\mathrm{E}_{7}, \mathrm{E}_{6}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ elliptic fibrations [1], and the cases of elliptic fibrations of rank one in the model introduced in [25]. In all these cases, the tadpole matching condition can be understood as a by-product of a much more general relation true at the level of the Chow group [1,2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Tadpole matching condition : } \quad 2 \varphi_{*} c(Y)=\rho_{*}\left(4 c(O)+\sum_{i} c\left(D_{i}\right)\right) \text {, } \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho: Y \rightarrow B$ is the elliptic fibration and $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ is the double cover of the base branched along a smooth divisor that is a section of the line bundle $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$. The relation holds for $B$ of arbitrary dimension and without imposing the Calabi-Yau condition. Mathematically, the origin of this type of relation can be understood using Verdier specialization as explained in [2, Remark 4.5] and in [8].

### 6.3 Weak coupling limit for the SPP elliptic fibration

In this section, we investigate the weak coupling limit of a SPP elliptic fibration and the tadpole matching condition of $[1,2,9]$. We show that the weak coupling limit consists of an orientifold, two bi-branes wrapping the orientifold locus, and a stack of two smooth transverse invariant branes wrapping the pullback of the divisor $S=V(s)$.

The weak coupling limit is by definition a one-parameter degeneration of the elliptic fibration such that the $j$-invariant becomes infinite almost everywhere. As explained in [2], a weak coupling limit is a semi-stable degeneration of the elliptic fibration. In the case of an SPP elliptic fibration, we have a fiber of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ over the divisor $S=V(s)$. We recall the equation of the discriminant locus and the $j$-invariant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=s^{2}\left(4 t^{3}+27 s^{2}\right), \quad j=-\frac{2^{8} t^{6}}{s^{2}\left(4 t^{3}+27 s^{2}\right)} . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that a weak coupling limit can be defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s \rightarrow \epsilon s,  \tag{6.11}\\
t \rightarrow h
\end{array}\right.
$$

which gives the following behavior at leading order in $\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \rightarrow 4 \epsilon^{2} s^{2} h^{3}+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right), \quad j \rightarrow-\frac{2^{6} h^{3}}{\epsilon^{2} s^{2}} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [2], we interpret this configuration in an orientifold theory. The orientifold is based on a double cover of the base branched at $h=0$. We start by considering the total space of the line bundle $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$ over $B$ and we introduce $\xi$ as a section of $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$. We then define the double cover $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ of the base $B$ branched at $V(h)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=V\left(\xi^{2}-h\right) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 6.1 (Physical interpretation). In a type IIB theory, the orientifold involution is $\Omega(-1)^{F_{L}} \sigma$, where $\sigma: X \rightarrow X:(\xi, x) \rightarrow(-\xi, x)$ is the spacetime involution, $\Omega$ is the involution on the worlsheet, and $F_{L}$ is the left-moving fermion number. The brane structure that we get describes a supersymmetric system composed of an orientifold, a USp(4)-stack of branes, and two bi-branes on top of the orientifold. What is interesting here is that in contrast to the usual configuration obtained in a Sen's limit, all the branes are wrapping smooth divisors as in certain limits found in [2].

If we pullback the discriminant to $X$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{*} \Delta=\xi^{6}\left(\rho^{*} s\right)^{2} . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orientifold is worth $\xi^{4}$ and the leftover $\xi^{2}$ corresponds to two bi-branes ${ }^{4}$ wrapping the orientifold. The pullback $\rho^{*} s^{2}$ corresponds to two invariant branes forming a $\mathrm{USp}(4)$-stack of branes.

### 6.4 Tadpole cancellation condition

Comparing the D3 tadpole from type IIB and from M-theory in the absence of fluxes will impose the following tadpole matching condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \chi(Y)=4 \chi(O)+\sum_{i} \chi\left(D_{i}\right) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]where $D_{i}$ are obtained by pulling back the divisors seen in the weak coupling limit of the discriminant locus.

As discussed in [2], a more general relation is usually true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varphi_{\star} c(Y)=4 \rho_{\star} c(O)+\sum_{i} \rho_{\star} c\left(D_{i}\right) \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$-model studied in this paper, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \chi(Y)=4 \chi(O)+\chi(O)+\chi(O)+\chi(\bar{S})+\chi(\bar{S}) \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 6.2. Let $\varphi: Y \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration defined by the crepant resolution of a SPPmodel. Let $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ be the double cover of the base branched on a divisor $h=0$ of class $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\star} c(Y)=3 \rho_{\star} c(O)+\rho_{\star} c(\bar{S}) \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The total Chern class of $X$ is

$$
c(X)=\frac{1+L}{1+2 L} \rho^{\star} c(B)
$$

The orientifold in $X$ is $O=V(\xi)$, which gives by adjunction

$$
c(O)=\frac{1}{1+L} c(X)=\frac{1}{(1+2 L)} \rho^{*} c(B)
$$

The divisor $\bar{S}=\rho^{*} S$ has

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\bar{S})=\frac{3 L}{1+3 L} c(X)=\frac{3 L(1+L)}{(1+2 L)(1+3 L)} \rho^{*} c(B) \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho$ is a finite morphism of degree two:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\star} \rho^{*} c(B)=\operatorname{deg}(\rho) c(B)=2 c(B) \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\star}(O)=\frac{2 L}{1+2 L} c(B), \quad \rho_{\star} c(\bar{S})=\frac{6 L(1+L)}{(1+3 L)(1+2 L)} c(B) \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final result follows from the rational identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L}{2 L+1}+\frac{(L+1) L}{(2 L+1)(3 L+1)}=\frac{2 L}{1+3 L} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We recall that any irreducible representation $\mathbf{r}$ of a product $H_{1} \times H_{2}$ of two compact Lie groups $H_{i}(i=1,2)$ is the tensor product $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{2}$ where $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is an irreducible representation of $H_{i}(i=1,2)$. We denote a representation of $\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{Y}$ of of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ as $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{Y}}$, where $\mathbf{n}$ is a representation of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ is a representation of $\mathrm{U}(1)$. The adjoint of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is denoted $\mathbf{3}$ and its fundamental representation is $\mathbf{2}$. We also note that $\mathbf{2}_{-\mathbf{m}}$ should be thought as the CPT conjugate of $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{m}}$ since the fundamental of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a pseudo-real representation. In the electroweak theory, $\mathbf{Y}$ is the hypercharge. For example, left-handed leptons transform in the representation the $\mathbf{2}_{-1}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Given an elliptic fibration $f: Y \rightarrow B$, a fibral divisor is a prime divisor $D$ such that there is a component $\Delta_{i}$ of the discriminant locus of $f$ such that $D$ is a prime component of $f^{*} \Delta_{i}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ When $x_{3}=0$, the third part of equation (4.54) implies that $e_{1} x_{0}^{2}=0$. Since ( $x_{0}, x_{3}$ ) cannot vanish at the same time, $x_{3} e_{1} x_{0}^{2}=0$ implies that $x_{3}=e_{1}=0$. Finally, $x_{3}=e_{1}=x_{1}-e_{1} e_{2} x_{0}=0$ forces $x_{3}=e_{1}=x_{1}=0$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ A bi-brane is a brane-image-brane in a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orientifold.

